

Experimental evidence of plasmarons and effective fine structure constant in electron-doped graphene/h-BN heterostructure

Hongyun Zhang¹, Shuopei Wang², Eryin Wang¹, Xiaobo Lu², Qian Li¹, Changhua Bao¹, Ke Deng¹, Haoxiong Zhang¹, Wei Yao¹, Guorui Chen³, Alexei V. Fedorov⁴, Jonathan D. Denlinger⁴, Kenji Watanabe⁵, Takashi Taniguchi⁶, Guangyu Zhang² and Shuyun Zhou^{1,7,*}

¹*State Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional Quantum Physics and Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R. China.*

²*Beijing National Laboratory for condensed Matter Physics and Institute of physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing 100190, P.R. China.*

³*State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics and Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.*

⁴*Advanced Light source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA.*

⁵*Research Center for Functional Materials, National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan.*

⁶*International Center for Materials Nanoarchitectonics, National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan.*

⁷*Frontier Science Center for Quantum Information, Beijing 100084, P. R. China.*

* Correspondence should be sent to syzhou@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn.

Electron-electron interaction is fundamental in condensed matter physics and can lead to composite quasiparticles called plasmarons, which strongly renormalize the dispersion and carry information of electron-electron coupling strength as defined by the effective fine structure constant α_{ee}^* . Although h-BN with unique dielectric properties has been widely used as an important substrate for graphene, so far there is no experimental report of plasmarons in graphene/h-BN yet. Here, we report direct experimental observation of plasmaron dispersion in graphene/h-BN heterostructures through angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements upon *in situ* electron doping. Characteristic diamond-shaped dispersion is observed near the Dirac cone in both 0° (aligned) and 13.5° (twisted) graphene/h-BN, and the electron-electron interaction strength α_{ee}^* is extracted to be $\alpha_{ee}^* \approx 0.9 \pm 0.1$, highlighting the important role of electron-electron interaction. Our results suggest graphene/h-BN as an ideal platform for investigating strong electron-electron interaction with weak dielectric screening, and lays fundamental physics for gate-tunable nano-electronics and nano-plasmonics.

Introduction

Electron-electron interaction is ubiquitous in solids and plays an important role in condensed matter physics. In graphene, the strength of the electron-electron interaction is quantified by the ratio of the Coulomb potential $U = e^2 k_F / \epsilon$ to the kinetic energy $K = \hbar v_F k_F$,¹⁻³ where v_F and k_F are the Fermi velocity and Fermi momentum respectively, and ϵ is the dielectric constant. This ratio defines a fundamental constant $\alpha_{ee}^* = U/K = e^2 / \epsilon \hbar v_F$,^{4,5} which is in analogy to the fine structure constant $\alpha = e^2 / \hbar c = 1/137$ in quantum electrodynamics, with the speed of light c replaced by the Fermi velocity v_F and the effective dielectric screening of the environment taken into account by ϵ . Because of this analogy, α_{ee}^* is called the effective fine structure constant. The effective fine structure constant α_{ee}^* reflects the relative strength of electron-electron interaction and determines many fundamental physical properties of graphene, e.g., optical absorption⁵ and transport properties.⁶ Moreover, by tuning the carrier concentration and electron-electron correlation, superconductivity has been reported in twisted bilayer graphene placed on h-BN substrate.⁷ Revealing the electron-electron interaction strength and extracting the effective fine structure constant are fundamentally important.

Electron-electron interaction can significantly affect the electronic dispersion by reshaping the graphene Dirac cone dispersion with a modified Fermi velocity.⁸⁻¹¹ Moreover, collective excitations of electron gas can form plasmons,¹² and the interaction between plasmons and charges leads to composite quasiparticles called plasmarons,¹³ which strongly modify the electronic dispersion with newly generated plasmaron bands. As an atomically thin material with unique conical dis-

persion as shown in Fig. 1a, graphene shows a stronger plasmon-charge interaction^{14–16} than other two-dimensional (2D) materials with parabolic dispersions in general. In particular, the coupling between charges and plasmons with the same group velocity leads to low-energy plasmaron dispersions displaced from the original Dirac cone, forming a characteristic diamond-shaped dispersion near the Dirac point^{14–18} as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1b. Since the energy and momentum separation between the Dirac cone and plasmaron bands is determined by the effective fine structure constant α_{ee}^* ,^{14–18} observing plasmaron dispersion allows to extract α_{ee}^* experimentally, which is critical for revealing the fundamental physics of electron-electron interaction in graphene-based electronics and plasmonics.

Plasmarons have been reported for graphene grown on SiC substrates by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements,^{17,18} however, for graphene/h-BN heterostructure which shows intriguing physics such as Hofstadter butterfly states and newly generated Dirac cones,^{19–25} better graphene electronics²⁶ and plasmonics^{27–29} performance, so far, there is still no experimental report of the plasmarons yet. Here we provide direct experimental evidence for plasmon-charge interaction induced plasmarons in a highly electron-doped graphene/h-BN heterostructure through ARPES measurements combined with *in situ* electron doping via surface deposition of Rb (Fig. 1c). Plasmaron bands are clearly observed at high electron doping, forming a diamond-shaped dispersion near the Dirac point. Moreover, from the plasmaron bands, the extracted effective fine structure constant of $\alpha_{ee}^* \approx 0.9 \pm 0.1$ is found to be the largest among all reported graphene systems including graphite^{4,30} and graphene on different treated SiC substrates,^{17,18} indicating the strongest electron-electron interaction in graphene/h-BN heterostruc-




Figure 1: **A schematic drawing for plasmarons in highly electron-doped graphene/h-BN heterostructure.** (a) Dirac cone dispersion in undoped sample. (b) Plasmaron bands plus Dirac cone in highly electron-doped graphene/h-BN. Blue and red cones represent the graphene Dirac cone and plasmaron bands respectively. (c) A schematic drawing of electron doping of graphene/h-BN heterostructure by *in situ* Rb deposition.

ture with the weakest effective dielectric screening. In addition, despite the strong plasmaron, the dispersions remain sharp at high electron doping. This suggests the plasmarons and dopants do not significantly affect the electron scattering at such high electron doping, which is useful for gate-tunable nano-electronics and nano-plasmonics applications.

Results

Electron doping of graphene/h-BN and gap filling by Rb deposition

Figure 2 shows an overview of the band structure evolution through the K point (indicated by dotted line in Fig. 2i) upon electron doping. In the undoped sample, the graphene Dirac point energy E_0 is near the Fermi energy E_F as pointed by blue arrow in Fig. 2a, and the energy of second generation Dirac cones (minibands) E_{SDC} is at ≈ -200 meV as indicated by gray arrow. Gap openings consistent with previous ARPES measurements²⁴ show up as the suppression of intensity near E_0 and E_{SDC} . Since pristine graphene/h-BN is almost charge neutral with E_0 near the Fermi energy (E_F), increasing the carrier concentration is important for observing the effects of plasmons which require a dense electron gas.^{1,31} By *in situ* deposition of Rb on the graphene/h-BN surface, we can control the charge carrier concentration in a large range up to $5.8 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (calculated from the size of the Fermi pockets;³² see Supplementary Figure 1) and reveal the evolution of the electronic structure. Upon electron doping, both E_0 and E_{SDC} shift down in energy while at the same time, gradual filling of the intensity is also observed in the gap region near E_0 and E_{SDC} in Fig. 2b-d (see Supplementary Figure 2 for more details). At carrier concentration of $8.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Fig. 2d), the intensity suppression near E_0 and E_{SDC} becomes almost undetectable, indicating gap filling induced by the electronic screening of the inversion-asymmetric component of the moiré superlattice potential. Further electron doping leads to the emergence of plasmaron features, which are the main focus of this letter.




Figure 2: Formation of plasmaron bands upon doping in a 0° aligned graphene/h-BN. (a)-(h) Evolution of the electronic structure through the K point (indicated by black dotted line in i) upon electron doping. E_0 , E_{SDC} and E_{pm} indicate the Dirac point energy, second-generation Dirac point energy, and plasmaron crossing energy respectively. (i) Fermi surface map at doping level corresponding to data shown in (g). (j) Dirac point energy E_0 and plasmaron crossing energy E_{pm} as a function of electron doping. Black broken curves are guides to the eyes.

Emergence of plasmaron bands in 0° aligned graphene/h-BN upon electron doping

Signatures of plasmaron bands first become observable at a carrier concentration of $8.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ as two twisted bands near the Dirac point (marked by red arrows in Fig. 2d,e). The twisted bands become more obvious and increase in size with doping, and they eventually evolve into a clear diamond-shaped dispersion at even higher electron doping (Fig. 2f-2h), indicating the emergence of plasmaron bands. This is different from graphene on SiC substrate where no diamond-shaped dispersion is observed³³. In addition to the diamond-shaped dispersion near the Dirac point energy, moiré replica bands are also clearly observed on both sides of the graphene bands near E_F (Fig. 2e-2h). Such moiré superlattice replicas are also observed as replica pockets in the Fermi surface map shown in Fig. 2i. To summarize the evolution of the electronic structure upon electron doping, the Dirac point energy E_0 and plasmaron crossing energy E_{pm} (defined as the crossing energy between graphene Dirac cone and the plasmaron bands, indicated by red arrows in Fig. 2d-2h) are both plotted in Fig. 2j. Plasmaron bands are clearly observed at intermediate to high electron doping with Dirac point energy E_0 shifted from -0.28 eV to -0.64 eV (blue symbols in Fig. 2j). Such shift in E_0 corresponds to carrier concentration ranging from $8.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ to $5.8 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. With increasing electron doping, the energy separation between E_0 and E_{pm} becomes larger. We note that the moiré superlattice period is determined by the lattice mismatch between graphene and h-BN³⁴ and is doping independent, and as a result, the separation between the moiré superlattice replica and the original Dirac cone is expected to be doping independent. Therefore, the increasing energy and momentum separation between the plasmaron bands and Dirac cone upon electron doping (see Supplementary Figure 3 for more details) confirms that it is not caused by overlapping

of graphene Dirac cone and moiré superlattice bands, but instead band renormalization induced by plasmon-charge interaction. In addition, the ARPES dispersions remain quite sharp, and indeed they are sharper than those at lower carrier concentration. This is in agreement with previous report on graphene/h-BN at a lower doping (with the Dirac point at ≈ -0.3 eV),⁸ where the decrease of scattering rate is attributed to the increase of long-range impurity screening from the higher electron density. Here we show that at an even higher doping (with the Dirac point at -0.64 eV) and in the presence of plasmarons, the electron scattering rate still remains low, which is useful for gate-tunable nano-electronics and plasmonics.

Plasmaron bands observed in a 13.5° twisted graphene/h-BN upon electron doping

Since the moiré superlattice period of graphene/h-BN strongly depends on the twist angle¹⁹, to check whether the plasmaron features depend on the twist angle, we show in Fig. 3 ARPES results on a 13.5° twisted graphene/h-BN heterostructure. The moiré superlattice period decreases from $\lambda \approx 14$ nm at 0° to 1.05 nm at 13.5°, and therefore the superlattice replica Dirac cone is much farther away from the graphene Dirac cone. Figure 3a shows the exfoliated monolayer graphene, which was then transferred onto a h-BN flake (shown in Fig. 3b) with a designed twist angle of 13.5°. This twist angle is confirmed by the K points of graphene and h-BN from energy contours at the Fermi energy (Fig. 3c) and -2.9 eV (Fig. 3d). By performing ARPES measurements with *in situ* Rb deposition, the evolution of the band dispersion upon electron doping is revealed. Figure 3e-3g shows the dispersions measured at different carrier density, with a characteristic diamond-shaped dispersion formed by the crossing of Dirac cone (blue curve) and plasmaron bands (red). The




Figure 3: **Plasmaron dispersion observed in a 13.5° twisted graphene/h-BN heterostructure.**

(a,b) Optical images of exfoliated monolayer graphene and graphene/h-BN heterostructure. The twist angle determined from the straight edge is 73.5° (equivalent to 13.5°). Scale bar indicates 100 μm . (c,d) Energy contours at Fermi energy and -2.9 eV to reveal the K points of graphene and h-BN, which confirm the twist angle of 13.5°. (e)-(g) Dispersion of 13.5° graphene/h-BN at different carrier density to show the evolution of plasmaron bands upon doping. (h) Dispersion of 0° graphene/h-BN at doping level of $3.7 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (also shown in Fig. 2(g)) to compare with results on 13.5° graphene/h-BN sample. (i)-(l) 2D curvature results of (e)-(h), which clearly show the diamond-shaped dispersion (indicated by red arrows) formed by the Dirac cone and plasmaron bands.

plasmaron bands are more clearly observed in the 2D curvature plots shown in Fig. 3i-3k. A comparison of dispersions measured at high electron doping for 13.5° twisted (Fig. 3f) and 0° aligned (Fig. 3h) graphene/h-BN heterostructures shows that the diamond-shaped dispersion has the same size despite the different twist angle. We further note that the Rb doping does not induce any additional reconstruction, as revealed by the Fermi surface map in the doped sample as shown in Supplementary Figure 4. Therefore, the diamond-shaped dispersion near the Dirac point is a result of the plasmaron at high electron doping, independent of the stacking angle between monolayer graphene and h-BN and the distribution of Rb atoms. In the case of magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene where the flat band emerges^{7,35,36}, the plasmon dispersion will depend on the twisting angle between the two graphene layers, leading to flat plasmons with a much larger fine structure constant³⁷ with $\alpha \gg 1$ and chiral edge plasmons³⁸.

The plasmaron dispersion at the highest electron doping

To extract the plasmaron dispersion, we show in Fig. 4 the band structure analysis at the highest doping of $5.8 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ on 0° aligned graphene/h-BN sample. Figure 4a shows dispersion image through the Dirac point. Dispersions extracted from peak positions (indicated by tick marks in Fig. 4c in the momentum distribution curves (MDCs) are overplotted in the dispersion image in Fig. 4b. Three types of dispersing bands are identified, the graphene Dirac cone (blue), the plasmaron bands (red) and the moiré superlattice bands (gray). The clear diamond-shaped dispersion formed by the crossing of the Dirac cone (blue) and the plasmaron bands (red) is observed in our data in Fig. 4c and is the characteristic feature of plasmarons. Figure 4d shows a schematic sum-




Figure 4: Electronic structure at doping level of $5.8 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ to show the plasmaron dispersion. (a,b) Electronic structure at the highest doping ($5.8 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) through the K point along the K-K direction. Dispersions extracted from MDCs are appended as blue, red and gray curves in (b). (c) MDCs with energy range from e_i to e_f shown in b, and the colored marks indicate peaks and are over-plotted in (b). (d) A schematic of electronic structure at the highest doping level. Blue, red and gray colors represent the original graphene Dirac cone, plasmaron bands and the moiré superlattice bands, respectively. (e)-(i) Cuts parallel to the K-K direction throughout the momentum range of the diamond shape (indicated by gray lines in (d)).

mary of the band structure. To follow the evolution of the dispersion across the K point, parallel cuts from one side of the diamond-shaped dispersion to the other side (indicated by gray lines in Fig. 4d) are shown in Fig. 4e-4i. Both the Dirac cone (indicated by blue dotted curves) and the plasmaron bands (indicated by red dotted curves) show a separation-touching-separation behavior between the conduction and valence bands across this momentum region, confirming the diamond-shaped dispersion along both k_x and k_y direction consistent with the schematic drawing in Fig. 4d. We note that the upper plasmaron bands are much weaker compared to the Dirac cone due to disorder-induced damping^{15,16} and near E_F , the dispersion is dominated by the moiré replica bands (gray curves). In addition, as shown in Fig. 3f,h, the diamond-shaped dispersion formed by the Dirac cone and plasmaron is observed in both aligned and twisted graphene/h-BN heterostructures with the same size, indicating the same strength of electron-plasmon interaction.

The extracted effective fine structure constant

The plasmaron dispersion in graphene/h-BN heterostructure provides critical information about the electron-electron interaction and the effective fine structure constant. Although observations of plasmons and plasmarons require large electron concentration, the dimensionless effective fine structure constant $\alpha_{ee}^* = e^2/\epsilon\hbar v_F$ is directly related to the effective dielectric constant ϵ and is independent of the carrier density. In particular, as a result of the unique linear dispersion, the normalized energy separation δE (by the separation between the Dirac point energy and the Fermi energy) and momentum separations δk (by Fermi momentum k_F) between the graphene Dirac cone and the plasmaron dispersion is determined by α_{ee}^* .^{15,17} Therefore, the dimensionless effective fine

structure constant α_{ee}^* can be directly extracted by analyzing the diamond-shaped dispersion.

To quantify the energy and momentum separation between the plasmaron bands and the graphene Dirac cone, we show in Fig. 5 a detailed analysis of the plasmaron dispersion upon doping for 0° aligned graphene/h-BN. Figure 5a-e shows the renormalized dispersions with energy E scaled to $|E_0|$, with E_0 defined as the shift of the Dirac point from E_F . In the renormalized plot, the diamond-shaped dispersion is positioned at the same energy with similar energy range δE (indicated by broken lines) for all doping levels, confirming that the dimensionless energy separation $\delta E = |E_1 - E_0|/|E_0| = 0.60 \pm 0.02$ (E_0 and E_1 are labeled in Fig. 4d) is doping independent. To quantify the size of the diamond-shaped dispersion along the momentum direction, we plot in Fig. 5f the MDCs at E_{pm} (labeled in Fig. 4d) with the fitting peaks appended. The momentum separation Δk of the two main peaks defines the momentum range of the diamond-shaped dispersion. Figure 5g shows that the extracted momentum separation Δk scales linearly with the Fermi momentum k_F , and the slope gives a renormalized dimensionless momentum separation $\delta k = \Delta k/k_F = 0.42 \pm 0.02$. We note that the doping independent δE and δk are consistent with results calculated from the spectral function $A(k, w)$, and they are uniquely determined by the α_{ee}^* value.¹⁸ Figure 5h shows a replot of calculated δE and δk at different values of α_{ee}^* (open symbols).¹⁸ Our extracted δE and δk (filled symbols) fall on the extrapolated curves and correspond to $\alpha_{ee}^* \approx 0.9 \pm 0.1$.

Figure 5i shows a comparison of experimental α_{ee}^* values for graphene samples reported so far, including graphene samples grown on Au-, fluoride-, and hydrogen-treated SiC substrate as well as the carbon face of SiC.^{17,18} Our graphene/h-BN heterostructure shows the largest re-




Figure 5: The extracted effective fine structure constant in graphene/h-BN heterostructure.

(a)-(e) Renormalized dispersions for data at high doping regime with energy scaled to $|E_0|$. δE represents the extracted dimensionless energy separation of the diamond shape after renormalization. (f) MDCs at energy of E_{pm} for extracting the momentum separation Δk of the diamond-shaped dispersion. (g) Extracted Δk vs k_F with a slope of $\delta k = \Delta k/k_F = 0.42 \pm 0.02$. (h) δE and δk as a function of the dimensionless constant α_{ee}^* . Filled symbols are extracted values for our graphene/h-BN heterostructure and open symbols are from theoretical calculations¹⁸ as a reference. The dotted curve is the fitting curve to infer the α_{ee}^* with larger δE and δk value. (i) Comparison of α_{ee}^* for our graphene/h-BN sample (red filled symbol), reported values for other graphene systems (open symbols),¹⁸ and theoretical limit for graphene in vacuum (orange filled symbol). Black dotted curve is a plot of $\alpha_{ee}^* = e^2/\epsilon\hbar v_F \approx 4.4/(\epsilon_s+1)$.

ported α_{ee}^* value among all graphene samples. At the lowest order approximation, the effective fine structure constant is related to the dielectric environment by $\alpha_{ee}^* = e^2/\epsilon\hbar v_F$, which is directly determined by the dielectric environment. We note that similar spectral features have also been discussed theoretically as satellite bands induced by weakly interacting electron-plasmon interaction³⁹ rather than strongly interacting plasmarons, which corresponds to a much larger electron-electron interaction strength. Therefore, our extracted value of $\alpha_{ee}^* \approx 0.9 \pm 0.1$ gives the lower limit of the effective fine structure constant in graphene/h-BN heterostructure. Without considering the dielectric screening from the valence electrons and the Rb atoms, which is quite reasonable considering that δE and δk are both independent of carrier concentration or amount of Rb deposited, the effective dielectric constant is taken as the average value between dielectric constants of materials on both sides of graphene. In the extreme case for free-standing graphene without dielectric screening from the environment, $\epsilon = 1$ and the effective fine structure constant is $\alpha_{ee}^* = 2.2$ (orange symbol in Fig. 5i), which is an upper limit for α_{ee}^* . When graphene is placed on a substrate with dielectric constant ϵ_s , the effective fine structure constant depends on the substrate dielectric constant ϵ_s by $\alpha_{ee}^* \approx 4.4/(\epsilon_s + 1)$ ¹⁸, where the effective dielectric constant ϵ is taken as the average between the vacuum $\epsilon_{vac} = 1$ and ϵ_s . The fitting function of $\alpha_{ee}^* \approx 4.4/(\epsilon_s + 1)$ is also plotted in Fig. 5i. From this relation, the extracted $\alpha_{ee}^* \approx 0.9$ for graphene/h-BN gives an effective dielectric constant of $\epsilon \approx 2.5$, which corresponds to substrate dielectric contribution $\epsilon_s \approx 4$, similar to the reported dielectric constant of $\epsilon_{h-BN} \approx 3-4$.^{26,34} Considering the dielectric constant of h-BN, the large fine structure constant of graphene/h-BN is not surprising, however, being able to experimentally observing it is still an important experimental progress.

Discussions

In summary, we report the experimental evidence of plasmaron and extract the fine structure constant of graphene/h-BN. We note that experimental values for the effective fine structure constant have been reported through optical transparency⁵ and inelastic x-ray scattering measurement^{4,30} on both graphene and graphite, and the fitting of the Dirac point velocity,⁸ which involves both effects of the carrier screening and dielectric screening. Here by observing not only the Dirac cone but also the dispersion of the previously inaccessible plasmarons in graphene/h-BN at high electron density, we extract the dressed effective fine structure constant $\alpha_{ee}^* \approx 0.9$. Such large effective fine structure constant reveals the important role of the small dielectric constant of h-BN in reducing the dielectric screening. In addition, the dispersions remain quite sharp (Fig. 2c-h) under the presence of such a large number of carriers and strong plasmon-charge interaction, suggesting the insignificant contribution of both scattering channels in the electron scattering. Since many device applications require tunable electronic density, our finding on the small scattering of a highly electron-doped graphene/h-BN provides useful information for applications in gate-tunable nano-electronic and nano-plasmonic applications.^{28,40}

In the past decade, h-BN has been widely used as a substrate and a capping layer, for example, in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene or ABC stacking trilayer graphene on h-BN, both exhibiting Mott insulator^{7,41} and superconductivity upon doping,^{36,42} Revealing the effect of dielectric property of h-BN on the effective fine structure constant of graphene can also be helpful for understanding the electron-electron interaction in graphene/h-BN. Finally, it has been sug-

gested that the effective fine structure constant is relevant to other Dirac systems³⁰ including topological insulator surface states,^{43,44} and Dirac or Weyl materials.^{45,46} Therefore, our results on graphene/h-BN heterostructure in principle can be extended to other Dirac materials for evaluating the electron-electron interaction and the effective fine structure constant.

Methods

Sample preparation. Sample preparation of the 0° aligned graphene/h-BN sample. Single crystal h-BN flakes were first exfoliated onto a 300 nm SiO_2/Si substrate by mechanical cleaving method. Graphene samples were directly grown on h-BN substrates by the epitaxial method, as specified in previous work.³⁴ As-grown samples were characterized by tapping mode atomic force microscopy at room temperature in ambient atmosphere. We used freshly cleaved mica as shadow masks for metal electrode deposition. The contact metal (2 nm Cr on 90 nm Au) was deposited on the non-mica-covered area with a small part of target graphene/h-BN samples. The samples were then annealed at 200°C , after removing the mica flakes.

Sample preparation of the 13.5° twisted graphene/h-BN sample. Firstly, h-BN flake was exfoliated onto a PDMS stamp. Then the graphene flake on a SiO_2/Si substrate was picked up sequentially with h-BN on PDMS, and the twist angle between graphene and h-BN was determined by the edge of the flakes. Subsequently, the graphene/h-BN structure was flipped over and picked up with a second PDMS stamp and then transferred onto the gold-plated substrate. Finally, a piece of graphite was placed to connect graphene and gold to make sure the conductivity.

ARPES measurements. ARPES measurements were performed at beamline 4.0.3 and 12.0.1 of the Advanced Light Source at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL). The optimal spot size was set to 30 μm . The data were recorded with photon energies of 50 and 60 eV. The overall energy and angle resolution are better than 26 meV and 0.1° , respectively. Before measurements, the samples were annealed at 200-300°C until sharp dispersions were observed. All measurements were performed below 20 K and under a vacuum better than 5×10^{-11} torr. The Rb deposition was achieved by heating an SAES commercial dispenser *in situ*.

Acknowledgements We thank Yuanbo Zhang, V. I. Fal’ko and J. Jung for useful discussions. This work is supported by National Key R & D Program of China (Grant No. 2016YFA0301004, 2020YFA0308800), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11725418 and 11427903), Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Future Chip (ICFC), Tsinghua University Initiative Scientific Research Program and Tohoku-Tsinghua Collaborative Research Fund, Science Challenge Project (Grant No. TZ2016004). K.W. and T.T. acknowledge support from the Elemental Strategy Initiative conducted by the MEXT, Japan, Grant Number JPMXP0112101001, JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20H00354 and the CREST(JPMJCR15F3), JST. This research used resources of the Advanced Light Source, which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility under contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

Competing Interests The Authors declare no Competing Financial or Non-Financial Interests.

Author Contributions S.Z. designed the research project. Hongyun Z., E.W., C.B., K.D., H.Z., A.F., J.D. and S.Z. performed the ARPES measurements and analyzed the ARPES data. S.W., X.L., Q. L., G.C. and G.Z. prepared the graphene samples. K.W. and T.T. prepared h-BN crystals. Hongyun Z. and S.Z. wrote the

manuscript, and all authors commented on the manuscript.

1. Castro Neto, A. H., Guinea, F., Peres, N. M. R., Novoselov, K. S. & Geim, A. K. The electronic properties of graphene. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 109–162 (2009).
2. Kotov, V. N., Uchoa, B., Pereira, V. M., Guinea, F. & Castro Neto, A. H. Electron-electron interactions in graphene: current status and perspectives. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 1067–1125 (2012).
3. Grigorenko, A. N., Polini, M. & Novoselov, K. S. Graphene plasmonics. *Nat. Photonics* **6**, 749–758 (2012).
4. Reed, J. P. *et al.* The effective fine-structure constant of freestanding graphene measured in graphite. *Science* **330**, 805–808 (2010).
5. Nair, R. R. *et al.* Fine structure constant defines visual transparency of graphene. *Science* **320**, 1308–1308 (2008).
6. Jang, C. *et al.* Tuning the effective fine structure constant in graphene: opposing effects of dielectric screening on short- and long-range potential scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 146805 (2008).
7. Cao, Y. *et al.* Correlated insulator behaviour at half-filling in magic-angle graphene superlattices. *Nature* **556**, 80–84 (2018).
8. Siegel, D. A., Regan, W., Fedorov, A. V., Zettl, A. & Lanzara, A. Charge-carrier screening in single-layer graphene. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 146802 (2013).

9. Siegel, D. *et al.* Many-body interactions in quasi-freestanding graphene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108**, 11365 (2011).
10. Elias, D. *et al.* Dirac cones reshaped by interaction effects in suspended graphene. *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 701 (2011).
11. Muzzio, R. *et al.* Momentum-resolved view of highly tunable many-body effects in a graphene/hBN field-effect device. *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 201409 (2020).
12. Pines, D. A collective description of electron interactions: Iv. electron interaction in metals. *Phys. Rev.* **92**, 626–636 (1953).
13. Lundqvist, B. I. Single-particle spectrum of the degenerate electron gas. *Phys. Kondens. Materie.* **6**, 193–205 (1967).
14. Hwang, E. H. & Das Sarma, S. Dielectric function, screening, and plasmons in two-dimensional graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 205418 (2007).
15. Polini, M. *et al.* Plasmons and the spectral function of graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 081411 (2008).
16. Hwang, E. H. & Das Sarma, S. Quasiparticle spectral function in doped graphene: electron-electron interaction effects in ARPES. *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 081412 (2008).
17. Bostwick, A. *et al.* Observation of plasmarons in quasi-freestanding doped graphene. *Science* **328**, 999–1002 (2010).

18. Walter, A. L. *et al.* Effective screening and the plasmaron bands in graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 085410 (2011).
19. Yankowitz, M. *et al.* Emergence of superlattice Dirac points in graphene on hexagonal boron nitride. *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 382–386 (2012).
20. Ponomarenko, L. A. *et al.* Cloning of Dirac fermions in graphene superlattices. *Nature* **497**, 594–597 (2013).
21. Dean, C. R. *et al.* Hofstadter’s butterfly and the fractal quantum Hall effect in moiré superlattices. *Nature* **497**, 598–602 (2013).
22. Hunt, B. *et al.* Massive Dirac fermions and Hofstadter butterfly in a van der Waals heterostructure. *Science* **340**, 1427–1430 (2013).
23. Yu, G. L. *et al.* Hierarchy of Hofstadter states and replica quantum Hall ferromagnetism in graphene superlattices. *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 525–529 (2014).
24. Wang, E. *et al.* Gaps induced by inversion symmetry breaking and second-generation Dirac cones in graphene/hexagonal boron nitride. *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 1111–1115 (2016).
25. Yankowitz, M., Ma, Q., Jarillo-Herrero, P. & LeRoy, B. J. Van der Waals heterostructures combining graphene and hexagonal boron nitride. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **1**, 112–125 (2019).
26. Dean, C. *et al.* Boron nitride substrates for high-quality graphene electronics. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **5**, 722 (2010).

27. Woessner, A. *et al.* Highly confined low-loss plasmons in graphene–boron nitride heterostructures. *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 421–425 (2015).
28. Ni, G. X. *et al.* Plasmons in graphene moiré superlattices. *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 1217–1222 (2015).
29. Sunku, S. S. *et al.* Photonic crystals for nano-light in moiré graphene superlattices. *Science* **362**, 1153–1156 (2018).
30. Gan, Y. *et al.* Reexamination of the effective fine structure constant of graphene as measured in graphite. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 195150 (2016).
31. von Allmen, P. Plasmaron excitation and band renormalization in a two-dimensional electron gas. *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 13345–13350 (1992).
32. Luttinger, J. M. Fermi surface and some simple equilibrium properties of a system of interacting fermions. *Phys. Rev.* **119**, 1153–1163 (1960).
33. Zhou, S. Y. *et al.* Substrate-induced bandgap opening in epitaxial graphene. *Nat. Mater.* **6**, 770–775 (2007).
34. Yang, W. *et al.* Epitaxial growth of single-domain graphene on hexagonal boron nitride. *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 792–797 (2013).
35. Bistritzer, R. & MacDonald, A. H. Moiré bands in twisted double-layer graphene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108**, 12233–12237 (2011).
36. Cao, Y. *et al.* Unconventional superconductivity in magic-angle graphene superlattices. *Nature* **556**, 43–50 (2018).

37. Lewandowski, C. & Levitov, L. Intrinsically undamped plasmon modes in narrow electron bands. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **116**, 20869–20874 (2019).
38. Brey, L., Stauber, T., Slipchenko, T. & Martín-Moreno, L. Plasmonic Dirac cone in twisted bilayer graphene. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 256804 (2020).
39. Lischner, J., Vigil-Fowler, D. & Louie, S. G. Physical origin of satellites in photoemission of doped graphene: An ab initio GW plus cumulant study. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 146801 (2013).
40. Polini, M. & Koppens, F. H. L. Plasmons in moiré superlattices. *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 1187–1188 (2015).
41. Chen, G. *et al.* Tunable correlated Chern insulator and ferromagnetism in a moiré superlattice. *Nature* **579**, 56–61 (2019).
42. Chen, G. *et al.* Signatures of tunable superconductivity in a trilayer graphene moiré superlattice. *Nature* **572**, 215–219 (2019).
43. Hasan, M. Z. & Kane, C. L. Colloquium: topological insulators. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 3045–3067 (2010).
44. Qi, X.-L. & Zhang, S.-C. Topological insulators and superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1057–1110 (2011).
45. Wan, X., Turner, A. M., Vishwanath, A. & Savrasov, S. Y. Topological semimetal and Fermi-arc surface states in the electronic structure of pyrochlore iridates. *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 205101 (2011).

46. Young, S. M. *et al.* Dirac semimetal in three dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 140405 (2012).