

ON DOUGLAS WARPED PRODUCT METRICS

NEWTON MAYER SOLÓRZANO CHÁVEZ

ABSTRACT. We study the new warped metric proposed by P. Marcal and Z. Shen. We obtain the differential equation of such metrics with vanishing Douglas curvature. By solving this equation, we obtain all Douglas warped product metrics. We show that Landsberg and Berwald warped product metrics are equivalent. We classify Douglas Ricci-flat metrics. Examples are included.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Finsler metric on a manifold M is a *Douglas metric* if its Douglas curvature vanishes identically. The Douglas curvature was introduced by J. Douglas [5] in 1927. Its importance in Finsler geometry is due to the fact that it is a projective invariant. Namely, if two Finsler metrics F and \bar{F} are projectively equivalent, then F and \bar{F} have the same Douglas curvature.

The class of Douglas metrics contains all Riemannian metrics and the locally projectively flat Finsler metrics. However, there are many Douglas metrics which are not Riemannian. There are also many Douglas metrics which are not locally projectively flat.

The warped product metric was introduced by Bishop and O’Neil [2] to study Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature, as a generalization of Riemannian product metrics. The notion of warped products was extended to the case of Finsler manifolds [3, 8]. These metrics are called *Finsler warped product metrics*. In [3], it was observed that spherically symmetric Finsler metrics are actually warped product metrics. In [9], the authors used this observation and gave all the complete characterization of Douglas Finsler warped product metrics and some new Douglas metrics of this type were produced by using known spherically symmetric Douglas metrics given in [11].

In [10], the authors considered a new class of Finsler metrics using the warped product notion introduced by Chen, S. and Zhao [3], with another “warping”, one that is consistent with static spacetime. They gave the PDE characterization for the proposed metrics to be Ricci-flat and they explicitly constructed two non-Riemannian examples. In this paper, we characterize such metrics with vanishing Douglas curvature in terms of a differential equation. Then, by solving this equation, we obtain all Douglas warped product metrics (Theorem 2). We also obtain the Berwald curvature and the Landsberg curvature, concluding Landsberg and Berwald warped product metrics are equivalent (Corollary 1). Additionally, we characterize the Ricci-flat Douglas warped product metrics (Corollary 4).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some notations, definitions and lemmas that will be used in the proof of our main results. Let M be a manifold and let $TM = \cup_{x \in M} T_x M$ be the tangent bundle of M , where $T_x M$ is the tangent space at $x \in M$. We set $TM_o := TM \setminus \{0\}$ where $\{0\}$

Date: April 21, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53B40, 53C60.

Key words and phrases. Finsler metric, warped product, Douglas metric.

stands for $\{(x, 0) \mid x \in M, 0 \in T_x M\}$. A *Finsler metric* on M is a function $F : TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the following properties

- (a) F is C^∞ on TM_0 ;
- (b) At each point $x \in M$, the restriction $F_x := F|_{T_x M}$ is a Minkowski norm on $T_x M$.

Let $\mathbb{B}^n(\rho) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the n dimensional ($n \geq 2$) open ball of radius ρ and centered at the origin. Set $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{B}^n(\rho)$, with coordinates on TM

$$(2.1) \quad x = (x^0, \bar{x}), \quad \bar{x} = (x^1, \dots, x^n),$$

$$(2.2) \quad y = (y^0, \bar{y}), \quad \bar{y} = (y^1, \dots, y^n).$$

We introduce the notation

$$(2.3) \quad z := \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}, \quad r := |\bar{x}|, \quad s := \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{y}|},$$

where $|\cdot|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ are the standard Euclidean norm and inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

Throughout our work, the following convention for indices is adopted:

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \leq A, B, \dots \leq n;$$

$$(2.5) \quad 1 \leq i, j, \dots \leq n.$$

Consider the Finsler metric F defined on M such that

$$(2.6) \quad F((x^0, O\bar{x}), (y^0, O\bar{y})) = F((x^0, \bar{x}), (y^0, \bar{y}))$$

for every orthogonal $n \times n$ matrix O .

Inspired by [12], (see also [6]) we show the following:

Lemma 1. *A Finsler metric F , defined on $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{B}^n(\rho)$, satisfies (2.6) if, and only if, there exists a differentiable function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$F(x, y) = |\bar{y}| \sqrt{\phi\left(x^0, \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}, |\bar{x}|, \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{y}|}\right)}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $F((x^0, O\bar{x}), (y^0, O\bar{y})) = F((x^0, \bar{x}), (y^0, \bar{y}))$. Denote by e_A the $n+1$ -dimensional vector with 1 in the A^{th} entry and zeros elsewhere.

For $\bar{x} \neq 0$, put

$$(2.7) \quad (0, \nu_1) = \left(0, \frac{\bar{x}}{|\bar{x}|}\right), \quad (0, \nu_2) = \left(0, \frac{\bar{y} - \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{x}|^2} \bar{x}}{\left|\bar{y} - \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{x}|^2} \bar{x}\right|}\right).$$

Then ν_1 and ν_2 are orthonormal vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . It follows that there exists an $O = O(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad (0, O\nu_1) = e_1, \quad (0, O\nu_2) = e_2.$$

Using (2.8), we have that

$$(x^0, O\bar{x}) = (x^0, |\bar{x}|O\nu_1) = x^0 e_0 + |\bar{x}|e_1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(y^0, O\bar{y}) &= \left(y^0, O \left(\left| \bar{y} - \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{x}|^2} \bar{x} \right| \nu_2 + \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{x}|^2} \bar{x} \right) \right) \\
&= \left(y^0, \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{x}|^2} O\bar{x} + \frac{\sqrt{|\bar{x}|^2 |\bar{y}|^2 - \langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle^2}}{|\bar{x}|} O\nu_2 \right) \\
&= y^0 e_0 + \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{x}|} e_1 + \frac{\sqrt{|\bar{x}|^2 |\bar{y}|^2 - \langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle^2}}{|\bar{x}|} e_2,
\end{aligned}$$

for any x^0, y^0 . Applying the condition (2.6) of F we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x, y) &= F(x^0, R\bar{x}, y^0, R\bar{y}) \\
&= F\left(x^0, |\bar{x}|, \bar{0}; y^0, \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{x}|}, \frac{\sqrt{|\bar{x}|^2 |\bar{y}|^2 - \langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle^2}}{|\bar{x}|}, \bar{0}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the homogeneity of F with respect to y , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda F(x, y) &= F(x, \lambda y) \\
&= F\left(x^0, |\bar{x}|, \bar{0}; \lambda y^0, \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \lambda \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{x}|}, \frac{\sqrt{|\bar{x}|^2 |\lambda \bar{y}|^2 - \langle \bar{x}, \lambda \bar{y} \rangle^2}}{|\bar{x}|}, \bar{0}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

in particular, for $\lambda = \frac{1}{|\bar{y}|}$, we have that $F(x, y)$ can be expressed as a function of $x^0, \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}, |\bar{x}|$ and $\frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{y}|}$.

Then we define ϕ as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{y}|^2 \phi\left(x^0, \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}, |\bar{x}|, \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{y}|}\right) &:= F^2\left(x^0, |\bar{x}|, \bar{0}; \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}, \frac{1}{|\bar{x}|} \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{y}|}, \frac{1}{|\bar{x}|} \sqrt{|\bar{x}|^2 - \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle^2}{|\bar{y}|^2}}, \bar{0}\right) \\
&= F^2(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that

$$F(x, y) = |\bar{y}| \sqrt{\phi\left(x^0, \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}, |\bar{x}|, \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{y}|}\right)},$$

for some function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, clearly

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x^0, R\bar{x}, y^0, R\bar{y}) &= |R\bar{y}| \sqrt{\phi\left(x^0, \frac{y^0}{|R\bar{y}|}, |R\bar{x}|, \frac{\langle R\bar{x}, R\bar{y} \rangle}{|R\bar{y}|}\right)} \\
&= |\bar{y}| \sqrt{\phi\left(x^0, \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}, |\bar{x}|, \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{y}|}\right)} = F(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

□

This class of metrics generalizes the metrics considered in [3, 8] and [10].

The matrix $g_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}[F^2]_{y^i y^j}$, is given by

$$(g_{AB}) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{1}{2}\phi_{zz} & \frac{1}{2}\Omega_z \frac{y^j}{|\bar{y}|} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{sz} x^j \\ \hline \frac{1}{2}\Omega_z \frac{y^i}{|\bar{y}|} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{sz} x^i & \frac{1}{2}\Omega\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(z\Omega_z + s\Omega_s) \frac{y^i}{|\bar{y}|} \frac{y^j}{|\bar{y}|} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_s (x^i \frac{y^j}{|\bar{y}|} + x^j \frac{y^i}{|\bar{y}|}) + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{ss} x^i x^j \end{array} \right)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &= 2\phi - z\phi_z - s\phi_s, \\ \Omega_z &= \phi_z - z\phi_{zz} - s\phi_{sz}, \\ \Omega_s &= \phi_s - z\phi_{sz} - s\phi_{ss}.\end{aligned}$$

Through this work we consider the particular case when ϕ depends only on z and r , where z and r are given by (2.3).

A Finsler metric on a manifold N is called a *Douglas metric* if its geodesic coefficients $G^i = G^i(x, y)$ are given in the following form

$$G^i = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{jk}^i(x)y^jy^k + P(x, y)y^i,$$

where $\Gamma_{jk}^i(x)$ are functions on N , in local coordinates, and $P(x, y)$ is a local positively y -homogeneous function of degree one. Douglas metrics are also characterized by vanishing Douglas curvature, i.e., $D = 0$.

Considering the Finsler metric introduced in [10]:

$$(2.9) \quad F(x, y) = |\bar{y}|\sqrt{\phi(z, r)}$$

where $z = \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}$, $r = |\bar{x}|$.

The matrix, $g_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}[F^2]_{y^i y^j}$, is given by

$$(g_{AB}) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{1}{2}\phi_{zz} & \frac{1}{2}\Omega_z \frac{y^i}{|\bar{y}|} \\ \hline \frac{1}{2}\Omega_z \frac{y^i}{|\bar{y}|} & \frac{1}{2}\Omega\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}z\Omega_z \frac{y^i}{|\bar{y}|} \frac{y^j}{|\bar{y}|} \end{array} \right),$$

where,

$$(2.10) \quad \Omega = 2\phi - z\phi_z.$$

Then,

$$\det(g_{AB}) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\Omega^{n-1}\Lambda,$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad \Lambda = 2\phi\phi_{zz} - \phi_z^2.$$

We recall the following,

Proposition 1 ([10]). $F = |\bar{y}|\sqrt{\phi(z, r)}$ is strongly convex if, and only if, $\Omega, \Lambda > 0$.

The inverse g^{AB} is given by

$$(g^{AB}) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{2}{\Lambda}(\Omega - z\Omega_z) & -\frac{2}{\Lambda}\Omega_z \frac{y^i}{|\bar{y}|} \\ \hline -\frac{2}{\Lambda}\Omega_z \frac{y^i}{|\bar{y}|} & \frac{2}{\Omega}\delta^{ij} + \frac{2\phi_z(\phi_z - z\phi_{zz})}{\Omega\Lambda} \frac{y^i}{|\bar{y}|} \frac{y^j}{|\bar{y}|} \end{array} \right),$$

and the spray coefficients $G^C = \frac{1}{4}g^{CA}([F^2]_{y^A x^B}y^B - [F^2]_{x^A})$ are

$$(2.12) \quad G^0 = |\bar{y}|\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle (U + zV),$$

$$(2.13) \quad G^i = \langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle (V + W)y^i - |\bar{y}|^2 Wx^i,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U &:= \frac{1}{2r\Lambda}(2\phi\phi_{zr} - \phi_z\phi_r), \\ V &:= \frac{1}{2r\Lambda}(\phi_r\phi_{zz} - \phi_z\phi_{zr}), \\ W &:= \frac{1}{2r\Omega}\phi_r. \end{aligned}$$

3. DOUGLAS CURVATURE

In [5], Douglas introduced the local functions $D_j^i{}_{kl}$ on TN^n defined by

$$(3.1) \quad D_j^i{}_{kl} := \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \left(G^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_m \frac{\partial G^m}{\partial y^m} y^i \right),$$

in local coordinates x^1, \dots, x^n and $y = \sum_i y^i \partial / \partial x^i$. These functions are called *Douglas curvature* [5] and a Finsler metric F is said to be a *Douglas metric* if $D_j^i{}_{kl} = 0$. In our next result, we obtain the Douglas curvature of (2.9).

Theorem 1. *Let $F = |\bar{y}| \sqrt{\phi(z, r)}$ be a Finsler metric defined on M , where $z = \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}$, $r = |\bar{x}|$, and TM with coordinates (2.1), (2.2). Then the Douglas curvature of F is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} D_{000}^0 &= \frac{s}{u} R_{zzz}, \\ D_{00l}^0 &= \frac{1}{u} (R_{zz}) x^l - \frac{s}{u} (R_{zz} + z R_{zzz}) y^l, \\ D_{0kl}^0 &= s z (z R_{zzz} + 3 R_{zz}) \frac{y^k y^l}{u^3} - z R_{zz} \left(\frac{x^k y^l + x^l y^k}{u^2} \right) - s z R_{zz} \frac{\delta^{kl}}{u}, \\ D_{jkl}^0 &= \frac{s}{u^4} \left[R - z R_z - 2 z^2 R_{zz} - \frac{1}{3} z^3 R_{zzz} \right] (y^j y^k y^l)_{\vec{jkl}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{u^3} [-R + z R_z + z^2 R_{zz}] (y^j y^k x^l)_{\vec{jkl}} + \frac{1}{u} [R - z R_z] (\delta^{jk} x^l)_{\vec{jkl}} \\ &\quad + \frac{s}{u^2} [-R + z R_z + z^2 R_{zz}] (\delta^{jk} y^l)_{\vec{jkl}}, \\ D_{000}^i &= \frac{s}{u^2} T_{zzz} y^i - \frac{1}{u} W_{zzz} x^i \\ D_{00l}^i &= -\frac{s}{u^3} (2 T_{zz} + z T_{zzz}) y^i y^l + \frac{1}{u^2} T_{zz} y^i x^l + \frac{z}{u^2} W_{zzz} y^l x^i + \frac{s}{u} T_{zz} \delta^{il}, \\ D_{0kl}^i &= \frac{s}{u^4} (3 T_z + 5 z T_{zz} + z^2 T_{zzz}) y^i y^k y^l - \frac{s}{u^2} (T_z + z T_{zz}) (\delta^{kl} y^i)_{\vec{ikl}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{u^3} (T_z + z T_{zz}) (x^l y^k y^i + x^k y^l y^i) + \frac{1}{u} T_z (x^l \delta^{ik} + x^k \delta^{il}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{u^3} (W_z - z W_{zz} - z^2 W_{zzz}) x^i y^k y^l - \frac{1}{u} (W_z - z W_{zz}) x^i \delta^{kl}, \\ (3.2) \quad D_{jkl}^i &= \frac{s}{u^5} (-15 z T_z - 9 z^2 T_{zz} - z^3 T_{zzz}) y^i y^j y^k y^l \\ &\quad + (3 z T_z + z^2 T_{zz}) \left(\frac{1}{u^4} y^i x^j y^k y^l + \frac{s}{u^3} \delta^{ij} y^k y^l + \frac{s}{u^3} \delta^{jl} y^k y^i \right)_{\vec{jkl}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{u^2} z T_z ((x^j y^i + u s \delta^{ij}) \delta^{kl} + (x^j \delta^{ik} + x^k \delta^{ij}) y^l)_{\vec{jkl}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{u^4} (3 z W_z - 3 z^2 W_{zz} - z^3 W_{zzz}) x^i y^j y^k y^l + \frac{z}{u^2} (W_z - z W_{zz}) x^i (y^j \delta^{kl})_{\vec{jkl}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s = \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{y}|}$, $u = |\bar{y}|$,

$$(3.3) \quad R = U - \frac{z}{n+2}(U_z + (n-1)W), \quad T = \frac{1}{n+2}(3W - U_z),$$

$$(3.4) \quad U = \frac{2\phi\phi_{zr} - \phi_z\phi_r}{2r(2\phi\phi_{zz} - \phi_z^2)}, \quad V = \frac{\phi_r\phi_{zz} - \phi_z\phi_{zr}}{2r(2\phi\phi_{zz} - \phi_z^2)}, \quad W = \frac{\phi_r}{2r(2\phi - z\phi_z)},$$

and $(\cdot)_{\vec{jkl}}$ denotes cyclic permutation.

Proof. Note that $|\bar{y}|s(V+W)$ is positive homogeneous of degree 1 on (y^0, \bar{y}) . From Euler's theorem for homogeneous functions,

$$y^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0} (|\bar{y}|s(V+W)) + y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} (|\bar{y}|s(V+W)) = |\bar{y}|s(V+W),$$

then

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\partial G^A}{\partial y^A} = |\bar{y}|s [U_z + (n+1)(V+W) + V - 2W].$$

Using (3.5) we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad G^0 - \frac{y^0}{n+2} \frac{\partial G^A}{\partial y^A} = u^2 s \left[U - \frac{z}{n+2}(U_z + (n-1)W) \right];$$

$$= u^2 s R$$

$$(3.7) \quad G^i - \frac{y^i}{n+2} \frac{\partial G^A}{\partial y^A} = u s \left[\frac{3W - U_z}{n+2} \right] y^i - u^2 W x^i$$

$$= u s T y^i - u^2 W x^i.$$

Substituting (3.6)-(3.7) into (3.1),

$$(3.8) \quad D_B^0{}_{CD} = \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^B \partial y^C \partial y^D} (u^2 s R),$$

$$= (u^2 s)_{y^B y^C y^D} R + ((u^2 s)_{y^B y^C} R_{y^D} + (u^2 s)_{y^B} R_{y^C y^D})_{\overline{BCD}} + u^2 s R_{y^B y^C y^D};$$

$$(3.9) \quad D_B^i{}_{CD} = \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^B \partial y^C \partial y^D} (u s T - u^2 W x^i)$$

$$= (u s)_{y^B y^C y^D} T + ((u s)_{y^B y^C} T_{y^D} + (u s)_{y^B} T_{y^C y^D})_{\overline{BCD}} + u s T_{y^B y^C y^D}$$

$$- ((u^2)_{y^B y^C} W_{y^D} - (u^2)_{y^B} W_{y^C y^D})_{\overline{BCD}} - u^2 W_{y^B y^C y^D}.$$

For any function $\Theta = \Theta(z)$ we have,

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0} \Theta = \frac{1}{u} \Theta_z,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} \Theta = -\frac{z}{u^2} \Theta_z y^l,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^k \partial y^l} \Theta = (z^2 \Theta_{zz} + 3z \Theta_z) \frac{y^j y^k}{u^4} - z \Theta_z \frac{\delta^{jk}}{u^2},$$

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \Theta = - (15z \Theta_z + 9z^2 \Theta_{zz} + z^3 \Theta_{zzz}) \frac{y^j y^k y^l}{u^6} + (z^2 \Theta_{zz} + 3z \Theta_z) \frac{(\delta^{jk} y^l)_{\vec{jkl}}}{u^4}.$$

Thus, using (3.10) - (3.13) into (3.8) and (3.9), we conclude the proof. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $F = |\bar{y}|\sqrt{\phi(z, r)}$ be a Finsler metric defined on M , where $z = \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}$, $r = |\bar{x}|$, and TM with coordinates (2.1), (2.2). Then F has vanishing Douglas curvature if, and only if, ϕ satisfies*

$$(3.14) \quad R - zR_z = 0,$$

$$(3.15) \quad T_z = 0,$$

$$(3.16) \quad W_z - zW_{zz} = 0,$$

where R, T and W are in given in (3.3)-(3.4).

Proof. Evidently (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) imply that $D_{BCD}^A = 0$. On the other hand, the functions R, T, W and their derivatives in z , are functions of $r = |\bar{x}|$ and $z = \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}$. Then we can choose the coordinates of \bar{x} and \bar{y} such that their norms remain unchanged. In (3.2) we consider \bar{x}, \bar{y} such that $s = 0$ and i, j, k, l all distinct, then

$$D_{jkl}^i = \frac{1}{u^4}(3zT_z + z^2T_{zz})y^i(x^jy^ky^l)_{\bar{j}kl} - \frac{1}{u^4}(3zW_z - 3z^2W_{zz} - z^3W_{zzz})x^iy^jy^ky^l = 0,$$

for all y^i . Hence we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 3zW_z - 3z^2W_{zz} - z^3W_{zzz} &= 0, \\ 3zT_z + z^2T_{zz} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider i, j, k, l all distinct, and $s \neq 0$, in (3.2), then $D_{jkl}^i = 0$ implies

$$15T_z + 9zT_{zz} + z^2T_{zzz} = 0.$$

Finally, we consider $j = k = l$ and $i \neq j$, in (3.2), then $D_{jjj}^i = 0$ is equivalent to $T_z y^i(x^j) + (zW_{zz} - W_z)x^iy^j = 0$, for every $y^i, y^j \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $W_z - zW_{zz} = 0$ and $T_z = 0$. Similar arguments on $D_{jkl}^0 = D_{00l}^0 = 0$, imply that $R - zR_z = 0$. \square

Theorem 2. *Let $F = |\bar{y}|\sqrt{\phi(z, r)}$ be a Finsler metric defined on M , where $z = \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}$, $r = |\bar{x}|$, and TM with coordinates (2.1), (2.2). Then, F has vanishing Douglas curvature if, and only if, either F is a Randers metric of the form*

$$(3.17) \quad F(x, y) = f(r)\sqrt{g(r)(y^0)^2 + |\bar{y}|^2} + by^0,$$

where $f, g : J \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are positive differentiable functions, and b is constant such that $|b|^2 < f^2(r)g(r)$, or F is of the form

$$(3.18) \quad F(x, y) = |\bar{y}|(h(r))^{-1}G(h(r)z),$$

where h and G are arbitrary positive functions such that,

$$(3.19) \quad G - t(G)' > 0,$$

$$(3.20) \quad (G)'' > 0.$$

Proof. For simplicity, we define $\Phi = \sqrt{\phi}$, then (2.10), (2.11) and (3.4) become

$$(3.21) \quad U = \frac{\Phi_{rz}}{2r\Phi_{zz}}, \quad W = \frac{\Phi_r}{2r(\Phi - z\Phi_z)}, \quad \Omega = 2\Phi(\Phi - z\Phi_z), \quad \Lambda = 4\Phi^3\Phi_{zz},$$

From (3.16), there exist real differentiable functions $h_1, h_2 : J \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.22) \quad W = \frac{1}{2r} \left(h_1(r) \frac{z^2}{2} + h_2(r) \right).$$

From (3.3), (3.14) and (3.15) we have $U - zU_z + z^2W_z = 0$, which implies that there exists $h_3 : J \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.23) \quad U = \frac{z}{2r} \left(h_1(r) \frac{z^2}{2} + h_3(r) \right).$$

Then, from (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23), we have that $\Phi(r, z)$ satisfies the system

$$(3.24) \quad \Phi_r = \left(h_1(r) \frac{z^2}{2} + h_2(r) \right) (\Phi - z\Phi_z),$$

$$(3.25) \quad \Phi_{rz} = z \left(h_1(r) \frac{z^2}{2} + h_3(r) \right) \Phi_{zz}.$$

Taking the derivative of (3.24) with respect to z ,

$$(3.26) \quad \Phi_{rz} = -z \left(h_1(r) \frac{z^2}{2} + h_2(r) \right) \Phi_{zz} + zh_1(r)(\Phi - z\Phi_z).$$

Observe that, if $h_1(r) = 0$, then, from (3.25) and (3.26), $h_2(r) = -h_3(r)$, and equation (3.24) can be rewritten as

$$\left[e^{-\int h_2(r) dr} \Phi \right]_r + zh_2(r) \left[e^{-\int h_2(r) dr} \Phi \right]_z = 0.$$

Then, there exists a positive differentiable function $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.27) \quad \phi(r, z) = \Phi^2(r, z) = (h(r))^{-2} G^2(h(r)z),$$

where $h(r) = e^{-\int h_2(r) dr}$.

If $h_1(r) \neq 0$ and $h_2(r) + h_3(r) \neq 0$, from (3.25) and (3.26), we have,

$$(3.28) \quad (g(r)z^2 + 1)\Phi_{zz} = g(r)(\Phi - z\Phi_z),$$

where $g = \frac{h_1}{h_2 + h_3}$. From Proposition 1, we conclude that $g(r) > 0$. Solving (3.28), we have that, there exist $f(r) > 0$ and $b(r)$, such that

$$(3.29) \quad \phi(r, z) = \Phi^2(r, z) = \left(f(r) \sqrt{g(r)z^2 + 1} + b(r)z \right)^2,$$

substituting (3.29) in (3.16), we have

$$W_z - zW_{zz} = \frac{1}{2r} \frac{b'(r)}{f(r)(g(r)z^2 + 1)^{3/2}},$$

which implies $b(r) = b$ is a constant.

The last case, namely $h_1 \neq 0$ and $h_2(r) + h_3(r) = 0$, is discarded because the condition (3.28) gives $z^2\Phi_{zz} = \Phi - z\Phi_z$, which implies that $\Phi - z\Phi_z = 0$ for all $y = (0, \bar{y})$.

Conversely, suppose that ϕ is of the form $\phi(r, z) = (h(r))^{-2} G^2(h(r)z)$, where h and G are arbitrary positive differentiable functions. Substituting ϕ into (3.4), we have

$$(3.30) \quad U = z \frac{(\ln(h))'}{2r},$$

$$(3.31) \quad V = W = - \frac{(\ln(h))'}{2r},$$

then

$$R = z \frac{n}{n+2} \frac{(\ln(h))'}{r},$$

$$T = - \frac{2}{n+2} \frac{(\ln(h))'}{r}.$$

Therefore $D_{BCD}^A = 0$.

On the other hand, it is known that a Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ is a Douglas metric if, and only if, β is a closed 1-form [1], thus (3.17) is a Douglas metric.

Finally, Proposition 1 gives us the conditions (3.19)-(3.20). □

Remark 1. *Observe that, in (3.28)- (3.29) we used strongly the positive definiteness of F .*

Remark 2. *Observer that, when $f(r) = \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{g(r)}}$, (3.17) becomes (3.18) with $h(r) = g(r)$ and $G(t) = k^2\sqrt{t^2 + 1} + bt$.*

The next corollary of Theorem 2 is useful to construct new examples of Douglas metrics (see example 5).

Corollary 1. *Let $G_c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by*

$$(3.32) \quad G_c(t) = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\tau h(\nu) d\nu \right) d\tau + c,$$

where c is a real number and $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive differentiable real function, such that $\int_0^t \tau h(\tau) d\tau$ is bounded above by $L \in \mathbb{R}$, with $c - L > 0$. Then G_c is positive and the following Finsler metric,

$$F(x, y) = |\overline{y}|(g(r))^{-1} G_c(g(r)z),$$

is of Douglas type. Here $r = |\overline{x}|$, $z = \frac{y^0}{|\overline{y}|}$ and $g : [0, \rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary positive differentiable function of r .

Proof. For G_c defined by (3.32) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} G_c'' &= h(t) > 0, \\ G_c - tG_c' &= - \int_0^t \tau h(\tau) d\tau + c > -L + c > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we only need to check the positivity of G_c . From the hypothesis, and integrating by parts, we have

$$(3.33) \quad t \int_0^t h(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\tau h(\nu) d\nu \right) d\tau = \int_0^t \tau h(\tau) d\tau < L, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The quantities t and $\int_0^t h(\tau) d\tau$ have the same sign. Then (3.33) implies

$$-L \leq t \int_0^t h(\tau) d\tau - L < G_c - c.$$

□

The Berwald tensor $B = B_{BCD}^A \partial_A \otimes dx^B \otimes dx^C \otimes dx^D$ is defined by

$$B_{BCD}^A := \frac{\partial^3 G^A}{\partial y^B \partial y^C \partial y^D}.$$

A Finsler metric is called a Berwald metric if $B_{BCD}^A = 0$, i.e. the spray coefficients $G^A = G^A(x, y)$ are quadratic in $y \in T_x M$ at every point $x \in M$.

Theorem 3. Let $F = |\bar{y}|\sqrt{\phi(z, r)}$ be a Finsler metric defined on M , where $z = \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}$, $r = |\bar{x}|$, and TM with coordinates (2.1), (2.2). Then the Berwald curvature of F is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{000}^0 &= \frac{s}{u} E_{zzz}, \\
B_{00l}^0 &= \frac{1}{u} (E_{zz}) x^i - \frac{s}{u} (E_{zz} + z E_{zzz}) y^i, \\
B_{0kl}^0 &= sz (z E_{zzz} + 3 E_{zz}) \frac{y^k y^l}{u^3} - z E_{zz} \left(\frac{x^k y^l + x^l y^k}{u^2} \right) - sz E_{zz} \frac{\delta^{kl}}{u}, \\
B_{jkl}^0 &= \frac{s}{u^4} \left[E - z E_z - 2z^2 E_{zz} - \frac{1}{3} z^3 E_{zzz} \right] (y^j y^k y^l)_{\overrightarrow{jkl}} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{u^3} [-E + z E_z + z^2 E_{zz}] (y^j y^k x^l)_{\overrightarrow{jkl}} + \frac{1}{u} [E - z E_z] (\delta^{jk} x^l)_{\overrightarrow{jkl}} \\
&\quad + \frac{s}{u^2} [-E + z E_z + z^2 E_{zz}] (\delta^{jk} y^l)_{\overrightarrow{jkl}}, \\
B_{000}^i &= \frac{s}{u^2} H_{zzz} y^i - \frac{1}{u} W_{zzz} x^i \\
B_{00l}^i &= -\frac{s}{u^3} (2H_{zz} + z H_{zzz}) y^i y^l + \frac{1}{u^2} H_{zz} y^i x^l + \frac{z}{u^2} W_{zzz} y^l x^i + \frac{s}{u} H_{zz} \delta^{il}, \\
B_{0kl}^i &= \frac{s}{u^4} (3H_z + 5z H_{zz} + z^2 H_{zzz}) y^i y^k y^l - \frac{s}{u^2} (H_z + z H_{zz}) (\delta^{kl} y^i)_{\overrightarrow{ikl}} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{u^3} (H_z + z H_{zz}) (x^l y^k y^i + x^k y^l y^i) + \frac{1}{u} H_z (x^l \delta^{ik} + x^k \delta^{jl}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{u^3} (W_z - z W_{zz} - z^2 W_{zzz}) x^i y^k y^l - \frac{1}{u} (W_z - z W_{zz}) x^i \delta^{kl}, \\
B_{jkl}^i &= \frac{s}{u^5} (-15z H_z - 9z^2 H_{zz} - z^3 H_{zzz}) y^i y^j y^k y^l \\
&\quad + (3z H_z + z^2 H_{zz}) \left(\frac{1}{u^4} y^i x^j y^k y^l + \frac{s}{u^3} \delta^{ij} y^k y^l + \frac{s}{u^3} \delta^{jl} y^k y^i \right)_{\overrightarrow{jkl}} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{u^2} z H_z ((x^j y^i + u s \delta^{ij}) \delta^{kl} + (x^j \delta^{ik} + x^k \delta^{ij}) y^l)_{\overrightarrow{jkl}} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{u^4} (3z W_z - 3z^2 W_{zz} - z^3 W_{zzz}) x^i y^j y^k y^l + \frac{z}{u^2} (W_z - z W_{zz}) x^i (y^j \delta^{kl})_{\overrightarrow{jkl}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $s = \frac{\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle}{|\bar{y}|}$, $u = |\bar{y}|$,

$$(3.34) \quad E = U + zV, \quad H = V + W,$$

$$U = \frac{2\phi\phi_{zr} - \phi_z\phi_r}{2r(2\phi\phi_{zz} - \phi_z^2)}, \quad V = \frac{\phi_r\phi_{zz} - \phi_z\phi_{zr}}{2r(2\phi\phi_{zz} - \phi_z^2)}, \quad W = \frac{\phi_r}{2r(2\phi - z\phi_z)},$$

and $(\cdot)_{\overrightarrow{jkl}}$ denotes cyclic permutation.

Proof. The arguments are similar to the proof of Theorem 1 (compare (2.12)-(2.13) with (3.6)-(3.7)). □

The Landsberg tensor $L = L_{ABC} dx^A \otimes dx^B \otimes dx^C$ is defined by

$$L_{ABC} := -\frac{1}{4} [F^2]_{y^D} [G^D]_{y^A y^B y^C}.$$

A Finsler metric is called a Landsberg metric if $L_{ABC} = 0$.

Theorem 4. *With the same notations of Theorem 2. The Landsberg curvature of F is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{000} &= -\frac{s}{4}(\phi_z E_{zzz} + \Omega(H_{zzz} - W_{zzz})), \\
L_{00l} &= -\frac{1}{4}(\phi_z E_{zz} + \Omega H_{zz})x^l + \frac{s}{4u}(\phi_z(E_{zz} + zE_{zzz}) + \Omega(H_{zz} + zH_{zzz} - zW_{zzz}))y^l \\
L_{0kl} &= -\frac{s}{4u^2}(z\phi_z(zE_{zzz} + 3E_{zz}) + \Omega(H_z + 3zH_{zz} + z^2H_{zzz}) + \\
&\quad + \Omega(W_z - zW_{zz} - z^2W_{zzz}))y^k y^l \\
&\quad + \frac{z}{4u}(\phi_z E_{zz} + \Omega H_{zz})(x^l y^k + x^k y^l) \\
&\quad + \frac{s}{4}(z\phi_z E_{zz} + \Omega(H_z + zH_{zz} + W_z - zW_{zz}))\delta^{kl} \\
L_{jkl} &= -\frac{s}{4u^3}(\phi_z[3E - 3zE_z - 6z^2E_{zz} - z^3E_{zzz}] \\
&\quad - \Omega[6zH_z + 6z^2H_{zz} + z^3H_{zzz}] - \Omega[3zW_z - 3z^2W_{zz} - z^3W_{zzz}])y^j y^k y^l \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4u^2}(\phi_z[-E + zE_z + z^2E_{zz}] + \Omega[zH_z + z^2H_{zz}]) (x^j y^k y^l)_{\vec{jkl}} \\
&\quad - \frac{s}{4u}(\phi_z[-E + zE_z + z^2E_{zz}] + \Omega[2zH_z + z^2H_{zz} + zW_z - z^2W_{zz}]) (y^l \delta^{jk})_{\vec{jkl}} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}(\phi_z[E - zE_z] - z\Omega H_z) (x^l \delta^{jk})_{\vec{jkl}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Apply directly the results of Theorem 3 into

$$[F^2]_{y^A} B_{BCD}^A = |\bar{y}| \phi_z B_{BCD}^0 + \Omega y^i B_{BCD}^i.$$

□

Corollary 2. *Let $F = |\bar{y}| \sqrt{\phi(z, r)}$ be a Finsler metric. Then F is Berwald metric if, and only if, F is Landsberg metric. In this case, either F is Riemannian or F is of the form $F(x, y) = |\bar{y}|(h(r))^{-1}G(h(r)z)$, where h and G are positive real functions such that $G - zG' > 0$ and $G'' > 0$.*

Proof. Similar arguments used in the proof of Theorem 1 show us that

$$L_{jkl} = 0 \text{ if, and only if,}$$

$$(3.35) \quad \phi_z E_{zz} + \Omega H_{zz} = 0,$$

$$(3.36) \quad \phi_z[E - zE_z] - \Omega zH_z = 0,$$

$$(3.37) \quad H_z + W_z - zW_{zz} = 0.$$

Suppose that F is a Landsberg metric. Taking the derivative of (3.36) with respect to z , we obtain

$$2\phi \frac{H_z}{\phi_z} [\phi_z - z\phi_{zz}] = 0.$$

If $H_z = 0$, then, by (3.35) and (3.37), $E - zE_z = W_z - zW_{zz} = 0$, which implies that $B_{BCD}^A = 0$, i.e., F is a Berwald metric. If $\phi_z - z\phi_{zz} = 0$, then F is a Riemannian metric.

It is known that every Berwald metric is a Douglas metric and a Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ is a Berwald metric, if and only if, β is parallel with respect to α [7]. Thus, we conclude that the Douglas metric given by (3.17) is a Berwald metric if, and only if, $b = 0$ or $(f^2(r)g(r))' = 0$. On the other hand, we can verify, using (3.30) and (3.31), that the Douglas metric given by (3.18), satisfies $B_{BCD}^A = 0$. Thus, we conclude that, either F is a Riemannian metric or, from Remark 2, F is of the form (3.18). □

The proof of Corollary 2 gives a classification of non Berwald metrics with vanishing Douglas curvature.

Corollary 3. *Let $F = |\bar{y}| \sqrt{\phi(z, r)}$ be a Finsler metric defined on M , where $z = \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}$, $r = |\bar{x}|$, and TM with coordinates (2.1), (2.2). Then F is a non Berwald metric with vanishing Douglas curvature if, and only if, there exist positive differentiable functions $f, g : [0, \rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and a constant b , such that F is of the form*

$$F(x, y) = f(r) \sqrt{g(r)(y^0)^2 + |\bar{y}|^2} + by^0,$$

where $(f^2(r)g(r))' \neq 0$, and $0 < b^2 < f^2(r)g(r)$.

It is known that a Finsler metric F is locally projectively flat if, and only if,

$$(3.38) \quad F_{x^A y^B} y^A - F_{x^B} = 0.$$

Theorem 5. *Let $F = |\bar{y}| \sqrt{\phi(z, r)}$ be a Finsler metric defined on M , where $z = \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}$, $r = |\bar{x}|$, and TM with coordinates (2.1), (2.2). Then F is locally projectively flat if, and only if,*

$$\phi_r = 0.$$

Moreover, any such metric is given by

$$F(x, y) = |\bar{y}| G(z),$$

where $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary positive differentiable real function such that

$$(3.39) \quad G - zG' > 0,$$

$$(3.40) \quad G'' > 0.$$

Proof. We have that,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x^0} &= 0, \\ F_{x^i y^0} &= \frac{1}{r} [\sqrt{\phi}]_{rz} x^i, \\ F_{x^i y^j} &= \frac{s}{r} ([\sqrt{\phi}]_r - z[\sqrt{\phi}]_{rz}) x^i y^j. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (3.38), F is locally projectively flat if, and only if,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\bar{y}|}{r} s [\sqrt{\phi}]_{rz} &= 0, \\ \frac{s}{r} ([\sqrt{\phi}]_r - z[\sqrt{\phi}]_{rz}) y^j - \frac{|\bar{y}|}{r} [\sqrt{\phi}]_{rz} x^j &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

for every y . Finally, Proposition 1 give the conditions (3.39)-(3.40). \square

In the next proposition, we recall the classification of the Ricci-flat metrics given by the authors in [10].

Proposition 2 ([10]). *For $n \geq 3$, $F = |\bar{y}| \sqrt{\phi(z, r)}$ is Ricci-flat if, and only if,*

$$(3.41) \quad (2r^2 W + 1)(U_z + nV + (n-3)W) + 2(nW + rW_r + r^2 W_z(zW - U)) = 0,$$

$$(3.42) \quad 2U(U_{zz} + nV_z + (n-2)W_z) - \frac{1}{r}(U_{rz} + nU_r + (n-3)W_r) + nV(V + 2W) + W((n-5)W + 2zW_z) + U_z(2W - U_z) = 0.$$

Theorem 2 and Proposition 2 give a characterization for a Ricci-flat Finsler metric with vanishing Douglas curvature.

Corollary 4. *Let $F = |\bar{y}|\sqrt{\phi(z, r)}$ be a Finsler metric defined on $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{B}^n(\rho)$, where $z = \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}$, $r = |\bar{x}|$, and TM with coordinates (2.1), (2.2). Then F is Ricci-flat with vanishing Douglas curvature if, and only if, either*

- (1) F is a Ricci-flat Riemannian metric,
- (2) or,

$$F = |\bar{y}|r^C G\left(\frac{z}{r^C}\right), \quad C \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ when } n = 2,$$

- (3) or,

$$F = |\bar{y}|r^2 G\left(\frac{z}{r^2}\right), \quad \text{when } n \neq 2,$$

- (4) or

$$F = |\bar{y}|G(z),$$

where $G = G(t)$ is a positive real function such that $G - tG' > 0$ and $G'' > 0$.

Proof. In [4], Y. Tian and X. Cheng, proved that a Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ is a Ricci-flat Douglas metric if, and only if, β is parallel with respect to α and α is Ricci-flat. In this case, F is a Berwald metric. Then, the Douglas metric given by (3.17), is Ricci-flat if, and only if, either $b = 0$ or $(f^2(r)g(r))' = 0$ and, in both cases, the Riemannian metric $f(r)\sqrt{g(r)z^2 + 1}$ is Ricci-flat. From Remark 2, the case $(f^2(r)g(r))' = 0$ is included in the next part of the proof.

Now, suppose that F is of the form (3.18), i.e., $\phi = (h(r))^{-2}G^2(h(r)z)$. Using (3.30) and (3.31) in (3.41)-(3.42), we have that the positive function h satisfies the system

$$(3.43) \quad (1 - n)rh'^2 + rhh'' + (2n - 3)hh' = 0$$

$$(3.44) \quad (n - 2)(rh'' - h') = 0.$$

If $n = 2$, from (3.43), there exist constants k and C such that $h(r) = kr^C$. If $n \neq 2$, from (3.43)-(3.44), we have that there exist constants k_1, k_2 such that $h(r) = k_1r^2 + k_2$ with $k_1k_2 = 0$. Finally, Proposition 1 gives the conditions $G - tG' > 0$ and $G'' > 0$ of the positive function G . □

P. Marcal and Z. Shen characterized Ricci-flat Riemannian warped metrics in [10].

4. DOUGLAS METRIC EXAMPLES

Note that the functions f, g and h in Theorem 2 are arbitrary, then we can consider the domain of F to be of the form $\mathbb{R} \otimes \bar{M}$, where \bar{M} is an open symmetric subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

Example 1. *Considering $G(t) = \sqrt{t^2 + \varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ in (3.18), the following Finsler metric*

$$F(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{g^2(|\bar{x}|)(y^0)^2 + \varepsilon|\bar{y}|^2}}{g(|\bar{x}|)},$$

is of Douglas type, where g is a positive arbitrary differentiable function.

We observe that, if two functions satisfy (3.19) and (3.20), then the sum satisfies too.

Example 2. *Considering $G = \sqrt{t^2 + \varepsilon} + \gamma t$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma^2 < 1$ in (3.18), the following Randers metric*

$$F(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{g^2(|\bar{x}|)(y^0)^2 + \varepsilon|\bar{y}|^2}}{g(|\bar{x}|)} + \gamma y^0,$$

is of Douglas type, where g is a positive arbitrary differentiable function.

Example 3. Considering $h(t) = \frac{3}{(t^2+1)^{5/2}}$ and $c = 1$ in Corollary 1, the following Finsler metric

$$F(x, y) = |\bar{y}| + \frac{2g^2(|\bar{x}|)(y^0)^2 + |\bar{y}|^2}{\sqrt{g^2(|\bar{x}|)(y^0)^2 + |\bar{y}|^2}}$$

is of Douglas type, where g is a positive arbitrary differentiable function. Observe that, we can use any constant $c \geq 1$.

Example 4. Considering $G(t) = \frac{2t^2+1}{\sqrt{t^2+1}} + 2t$ in (3.18), the following Finsler metric

$$F(x, y) = \frac{\left(\sqrt{g^2(|\bar{x}|)(y^0)^2 + |\bar{y}|^2} + g(|\bar{x}|)y^0\right)^2}{\sqrt{g^2(|\bar{x}|)(y^0)^2 + |\bar{y}|^2}},$$

is of Douglas type, where g is a positive arbitrary differentiable function.

Example 5. Considering $h(t) = (t^2 + 1)^{-3}$ and $c = 1$ in Corollary 1, the following Finsler metric

$$F(x, y) = \frac{3y^0}{8} \arctan\left(g(|\bar{x}|) \frac{y^0}{|\bar{y}|}\right) + \frac{8g^2(|\bar{x}|)(y^0)^2 + 7|\bar{y}|^2}{8g(|\bar{x}|)(g^2(|\bar{x}|)(y^0)^2 + |\bar{y}|^2)} |\bar{y}|,$$

is of Douglas type, where g is a positive arbitrary differentiable function. Observe that, we can use any constant $c \geq 1/4$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Prof. Ketten Tenenblat for helpful conversations during my postdoctoral project at the Universidade de Brasilia (Brazil). Her suggestions were invaluable. I am also grateful to the referees for their observations.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bácsó S., Matsumoto M.: *On Finsler spaces of Douglas type. A generalization of the notion of Berwald space.* Publ. Math. Debrecen, **51**, 385-406 (1997).
- [2] Bishop, R.L., O'Neill, B.: *Manifolds of negative curvature.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **145**, 1-49 (1969). <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1969-0251664-4>
- [3] Chen, B., Shen, Z., Zhao, L.: *Constructions of einstein finsler metrics by warped product*, International Journal of Mathematics, **29**(11): 1850081 (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X18500817>
- [4] Cheng, X., Tian, Y.: *Ricci-flat Douglas (α, β) -metrics.* Differential Geom. Appl., **30**(1), 20-32 (2012)
- [5] Douglas, J.: *The general geometry of paths*, Ann. Math. **29**, 143-168 (1927-28).
- [6] Huang, L., Mo, X.: *Projectively flat Finsler metrics with orthogonal invariance*, Ann. Polon. Math. **107**, 259-270 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.4064/ap107-3-3>
- [7] Kikuchi, S.: *On the condition that a space with (α, β) - metric be locally Minkowskian*, Tensor, N. S **33**, 242-246 (1979).
- [8] Kozma, L., Peter, R., Varga, C.: *Warped product of Finsler manifolds.* Ann. univ. Sci. Budapest **44**, 157-170 (2001).
- [9] Liu, H., Mo, X.: *Finsler Warped Product Metrics of Douglas Type.* Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, **62**(1), 119-130 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2017-077-0>
- [10] Marcal, P., Shen, Z.: *Ricci flat Finsler metrics by warped product.* Preprint, arXiv:2012.05699.
- [11] Mo, X., Solórzano, N. M., Tenenblat, K.: *On spherically symmetric Finsler metrics with vanishing Douglas curvature.* Differential Geom. Appl. **31**, 746-758 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.difgeo.2013.09.002>
- [12] Zhou, L.: *Spherically symmetric Finsler metrics in R^n .* Publ. Math. Debrecen **80**(1-2), 67-77 (2012).

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA INTEGRAÇÃO LATINO-AMERICANA - AVENIDA SILVIO AMÉRICO SASDELLI, 1842 - VILA A, EDIFÍCIO COMERCIAL LORIVO - CEP: 85866-000 - CAIXA POSTAL 2044 - FOZ DO IGUAÇU - PARANÁ.
Email address: nmayer159@gmail.com