

A TWO-PIECE PROPERTY FOR FREE BOUNDARY MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES IN THE $(n + 1)$ -DIMENSIONAL BALL

VANDERSON LIMA AND ANA MENEZES

ABSTRACT. We prove that every hyperplane passing through the origin in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} divides an embedded compact free boundary minimal hypersurface of the euclidean $(n + 1)$ -ball in exactly two connected hypersurfaces. We also show that if a region in the $(n + 1)$ -ball has mean convex boundary and contains a nullhomologous $(n - 1)$ -dimensional equatorial disk, then this region is a closed halfball. Our first result gives evidence to a conjecture by Fraser and Li in any dimension.

1. INTRODUCTION

Inspired by the work of Ros [27] for closed minimal surfaces in S^3 , the authors proved in [26] the two-piece property for free boundary minimal surfaces in the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^3 . This result gives evidence to a conjecture by Fraser and Li [11] concerning the first Steklov eigenvalue of free boundary minimal surfaces in B^3 . Also, Kusner and McGrath [22] used our result of two-piece property in the free boundary context to prove the uniqueness of the critical catenoid among embedded minimal annuli invariant under the antipodal map. This settles a case of another well-known conjecture of [11] on the uniqueness of the critical catenoid.

In the present paper we prove that the two-piece property holds in any dimension. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem A (The two-piece property). *Every hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} passing through the origin divides an embedded compact free boundary minimal hypersurface of the unit $(n+1)$ -ball B^{n+1} in exactly two connected components.*

We also prove the following result which can be seen as a strong version of the analog of the result by Solomon [30] in the free boundary context.

Theorem B. *Let $W \subset B^{n+1}$ be a connected closed region with mean convex boundary such that ∂W meets S^n orthogonally along its boundary and ∂W is smooth. Suppose W contains a set of the form $P \cap B^{n+1}$ which is nullhomologous in W (see Definition 3), where P is a $(n - 1)$ -dimensional plane in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} passing through the origin. Then W is a closed halfball.*

Let us remark that exactly as in the case $n = 2$, Theorem A can be proved by assuming the conjecture by Fraser and Li [11] on the the first Steklov eigenvalue of free boundary minimal hypersurfaces in B^{n+1} ; hence, Theorem A gives evidence to this conjecture (see [26, Remark 2]).

The strategy to prove Theorem A and Theorem B is similar to the case $n = 2$ and uses Geometric Measure Theory to analyze the minimizers of a partially free boundary problem for the area functional. However, in higher dimensions the situation is more delicate since the hypersurfaces obtained as minimizers can have a singular set (see Theorem 1).

Motivated mainly by the celebrated work of Fraser and Schoen [12, 13], the study of free boundary minimal surfaces in B^3 saw a rapid development in the last few years, see for instance [23] and the references therein. However, the case of free boundary minimal hypersurfaces in B^{n+1} is not so well-studied. Concerning examples, some free boundary minimal hypersurfaces with symmetry were constructed in [15], and a variational theory has been developed in [24, 32, 33].

Regarding some properties of free boundary minimal hypersurfaces, we can mention that the asymptotic properties of the index of higher-dimensional free boundary minimal catenoids was studied in [29], and in [1] it was proved that the index of a properly embedded free boundary minimal hypersurface in B^{n+1} , $3 \leq n+1 \leq 7$, grows linearly with the dimension of its first relative homology group. In [25] the first author proved the index can be controlled from above by a function of the L^n norm of the second fundamental form. Also, compactness results for the space of free boundary minimal hypersurfaces were obtained in [2, 11, 18].

After we had announced our result in talks on the last months, it came to our knowledge that Theorem A was also obtained by Barbosa, Carvalho and Santos [5]. Their technique is different from ours and is based on a tangency principle.

2. PRELIMINARY

2.1. Free boundary minimal hypersurfaces. Let $B^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the unit ball of dimension $n+1$ with boundary $\partial B^{n+1} = S^n$. Throughout this paper we will denote by D^n the n -dimensional equatorial disk which is the intersection of B^{n+1} with a hyperplane passing through the origin. In the following, \mathcal{H}^s denotes the s -dimensional Hausdorff measure, where $s > 0$.

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Along this section we will use the following notation/assumptions:

- $\bar{\Sigma}$ is compact and it is contained in B^{n+1} .
- Σ is an embedded orientable smooth hypersurface with boundary.
- The singular set \mathcal{S}_Σ is the complement of Σ in $\bar{\Sigma}$. We suppose $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{S}_\Sigma) = 0$.
- The boundary of Σ satisfies $\partial\Sigma = \Gamma_I \cup \Gamma_S$, where $\text{int}(\Gamma_I) \subset \text{int}(B^{n+1})$ and $\Gamma_S \subset S^n$. We have that, away from the singular set, $\partial\Sigma$ is an embedded smooth submanifold of dimension $n-1$.

Definition 1. Let Σ be as above. We say that Σ is a *minimal hypersurface with free boundary* if the mean curvature vector of Σ vanishes and Σ meets S^n orthogonally along $\partial\Sigma$ (in particular, $\Gamma_I = \emptyset$). We say that Σ is a *minimal hypersurface with partially free boundary* if the mean curvature vector of Σ vanishes and its boundary $\Gamma_I \cup \Gamma_S$ satisfies that $\Gamma_I \neq \emptyset$ and Σ meets S^n orthogonally along Γ_S .

From now on, given a (partially) free boundary minimal hypersurface $\Sigma \subset B^{n+1}$ with boundary $\partial\Sigma = \Gamma_I \cup \Gamma_S$, we will call Γ_I its fixed boundary and Γ_S its free boundary.

Definition 2. Let Σ be a partially free boundary minimal hypersurface in B^{n+1} . We say that Σ is *stable* if for any function $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ such that $f|_{\Gamma_I} \equiv 0$ and $\text{supp}(f)$ is away from the singular set $\bar{\Sigma} \setminus \Sigma$, we have

$$-\int_{\Sigma} (f \Delta_{\Sigma} f + |A_{\Sigma}|^2 f^2) d\mathcal{H}^n + \int_{\Gamma_S} \left(f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} - f^2 \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2 - |A_{\Sigma}|^2 f^2) d\mathcal{H}^n - \int_{\Gamma_S} f^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where ν is the outward normal vector field to Γ_S .

Observe that if Σ is stable then, by an approximation argument, the inequality (2.2) holds for any function $f \in H^1(\Sigma)$ such that $f(p) = 0$ for a.e. $p \in \Gamma_I$ and $\text{supp}(f)$ is away from the singular set. In particular (2.2) holds for any Lipschitz function satisfying the boundary condition.

Lemma 1. *Let Σ be a partially free boundary minimal hypersurface in B^{n+1} of finite area and such that the singular set $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma = \overline{\Sigma} \setminus \Sigma$ satisfies $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma = \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1$, where $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \overline{\Gamma_I}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathcal{S}_1) = 0$. If Γ_I is contained in an equatorial disk, then Σ is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let Σ be as in the hypotheses and denote by D the equatorial disk that contains $\overline{\Gamma_I}$. Let $v \in S^n$ be a vector orthogonal to the disk D and consider the function $f(x) = \langle x, v \rangle$, $x \in \overline{\Sigma}$. By hypothesis, we know that $f|_{\Gamma_I} \equiv 0$. A standard calculation using that Σ is minimal and free boundary yields

$$\Delta_\Sigma f = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} = f.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and consider a smooth function $\eta_\epsilon : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that

- $\eta_\epsilon(s) = 0$ for $|s| < \epsilon$,
- $\eta_\epsilon(s) = 1$ for $|s| > 2\epsilon$,
- $|\eta'_\epsilon| < \frac{C}{\epsilon}$, for some constant $C > 0$.

Define $\phi_{0,\epsilon} : \overline{\Sigma} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as $\phi_{0,\epsilon}(x) = \eta_\epsilon(f(x))$. In particular, we have $|\nabla_\Sigma \phi_{0,\epsilon}| < C/\epsilon$ in Σ . Observe that the set $S \subset \mathcal{S}_1$ where $\phi_{0,\epsilon}$ is not smooth satisfies $\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(S) = 0$.

Since $\mathcal{S}_1 \cap \{|f(x)| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}$ is compact and $\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathcal{S}_1) = 0$, for any $\epsilon' > 0$ there exist balls $B_{r_i}(p_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, such that

$$\mathcal{S}_1 \cap \left\{ |f(x)| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{r_i}(p_i), \quad \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{n-2} < \epsilon', \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, m$, consider a smooth function $\phi_i : \overline{\Sigma} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

- $\phi_i(s) = 0$ in $B_{r_i}(p_i)$,
- $\phi_i(s) = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus B_{2r_i}(p_i)$,
- $|\nabla_\Sigma \phi_i| < \frac{2}{r_i}$, $\forall x \in \Sigma$.

Define $\phi_\epsilon, f_\epsilon : \overline{\Sigma} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\phi_\epsilon(x) = \min_{0 \leq i \leq m} \phi_i$, where $\phi_0 = \phi_{0,\epsilon}$, and $f_\epsilon = \phi_\epsilon f$. We have that f_ϵ is Lipschitz and $f_\epsilon|_{\Gamma_I} \equiv 0$, hence (2.2) holds. Moreover

$$|\nabla_\Sigma f_\epsilon|^2 = \phi_\epsilon^2 |\nabla_\Sigma f|^2 + 2f\phi_\epsilon \langle \nabla_\Sigma f, \nabla_\Sigma \phi_\epsilon \rangle + f^2 |\nabla_\Sigma \phi_\epsilon|^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma \phi_\epsilon^2 |\nabla_\Sigma f|^2 d\mathcal{H}^n &= - \int_\Sigma f \phi_\epsilon^2 \Delta_\Sigma f d\mathcal{H}^n - \int_\Sigma 2f\phi_\epsilon \langle \nabla_\Sigma \phi_\epsilon, \nabla_\Sigma f \rangle d\mathcal{H}^n + \int_{\partial\Sigma} \phi_\epsilon^2 f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= - \int_\Sigma 2f\phi_\epsilon \langle \nabla_\Sigma \phi_\epsilon, \nabla_\Sigma f \rangle d\mathcal{H}^n + \int_{\Gamma_S} \phi_\epsilon^2 f^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\Delta_\Sigma f \equiv 0$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} = f$ and $f|_{\Gamma_I} \equiv 0$. Hence, applying it to (2.2), we get

$$\int_\Sigma (f^2 |\nabla_\Sigma \phi_\epsilon|^2 - |A_\Sigma|^2 \phi_\epsilon^2 f^2) d\mathcal{H}^n \geq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, along $\overline{\Sigma}$ we have $f^2 \leq 1$. Since f has support away from the singular set, by the classical monotonicity formula at the interior and at the free boundary, there is $C_{\Sigma, \epsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(B_{2r_i}(p_i) \cap \Sigma) \leq C_{\Sigma, \epsilon} r_i^n.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} f^2 |\nabla_{\Sigma} \phi_{\epsilon}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^n &\leq \sum_{i=0}^m \int_{\Sigma} f^2 |\nabla_{\Sigma} \phi_{i, \epsilon}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^n \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} f^2 |\nabla_{\Sigma} \phi_{0, \epsilon}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^n + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{(B_{2r_i}(p_i) \setminus B_{r_i}(p_i)) \cap \Sigma} f^2 |\nabla_{\Sigma} \phi_{i, \epsilon}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^n \\ &\leq 4C\mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \{|f|^{-1}(\epsilon, 2\epsilon)\}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{4}{r_i^2} \mathcal{H}^n(B_{2r_i}(p_i) \cap \Sigma) \\ &\leq 4C\mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \{|f|^{-1}(\epsilon, 2\epsilon)\}) + C'_{\Sigma, \epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{n-2} \\ &\leq 4C\mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \{|f|^{-1}(\epsilon, 2\epsilon)\}) + C'_{\Sigma, \epsilon} \epsilon'. \end{aligned}$$

If we let $\epsilon' \rightarrow 0$ first and then $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma} |A_{\Sigma}|^2 f^2 d\mathcal{H}^n = 0.$$

If $|A_{\Sigma}| \equiv 0$ then Σ is totally geodesic and we are done. If $|A_{\Sigma}|(x) > 0$ for some $x \in \Sigma$, then we can find a neighborhood U of x in Σ such that $|A_{\Sigma}|$ is strictly positive. This implies $\langle y, v \rangle = 0$ for any $y \in U$. Therefore, Σ is entirely contained in the disk D ; in particular, it is totally geodesic. \square

An equatorial disk D^n divides the ball B^{n+1} into two (open) halfballs. We will denote these two halfballs by B^+ and B^- , and we have $B^{n+1} \setminus D^n = B^+ \cup B^-$.

In the next proposition we will summarize some facts about partially free boundary minimal surfaces in B^{n+1} which we will use in the proof of Theorem 3.

- Proposition 1.** *(i) Let D^n be an equatorial disk and let Σ be a smooth partially free boundary minimal hypersurface in B^{n+1} contained in one of the closed halfballs determined by D^n , say $\overline{B^+}$, and such that $\partial\Sigma \subset \partial\overline{B^+}$. If Σ is not contained in an equatorial disk, then Σ has necessarily nonempty fixed boundary and nonempty free boundary.*
- (ii) The only smooth (partially) free boundary minimal hypersurface that contains a $(n-1)$ -dimensional piece of the free boundary of a n -dimensional equatorial disk is (contained in) this equatorial disk itself.*

Proof. (i) If the free boundary were empty, we could apply the (interior) maximum principle with the family of hyperplanes parallel to the disk D^n and conclude that Σ should be contained in the disk D^n . On the other hand, if the fixed boundary were empty, then we would have a minimal hypersurface entirely contained in a halfball without fixed boundary; hence, we could apply the (interior or free boundary version of) maximum principle with the family of equatorial disks that are rotations of D around a $(n-1)$ -dimensional equatorial disk and conclude that Σ should be an equatorial disk.

(ii) Let D^n be an equatorial disk and suppose that Σ is a (partially) free boundary minimal hypersurface such that $\Sigma \cap D^n$ contains a $(n-1)$ -dimensional piece Υ of the free boundary of D^n in S^n . Assume, without loss of generality, $D^n \subset \{x_{n+1} = 0\}$.

Observe that since Σ is free boundary we know that $\frac{\partial x_{n+1}}{\partial \eta}|_{\Upsilon} = x_{n+1}|_{\Upsilon} = 0$, where η is the conormal vector to Υ ; and since Σ is a minimal hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} we have that $x_{n+1}|_{\Sigma}$ is harmonic.

We will show that $x_{n+1}|_{\Sigma} \equiv 0$.

Consider an extension $\hat{\Sigma}$ of Σ along Υ such that $\Upsilon \subset \text{int}(\hat{\Sigma})$ and define \hat{x}_{n+1} on $\hat{\Sigma}$ as

$$\begin{cases} \hat{x}_{n+1} = x_{n+1} & \text{on } \Sigma \\ \hat{x}_{n+1} = 0 & \text{on } \hat{\Sigma} \setminus \Sigma \end{cases}$$

Observe that $\hat{x}_{n+1}|_{\Upsilon} = x_{n+1}|_{\Upsilon} \equiv 0$, $\frac{\partial \hat{x}_{n+1}}{\partial \hat{\eta}}|_{\Upsilon} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \hat{x}_{n+1}}{\partial \eta}|_{\Upsilon} = \frac{\partial x_{n+1}}{\partial \eta}|_{\Upsilon} = 0$, where $\hat{\eta}$ is the conormal to Υ pointing towards Σ and η is the conormal to Υ pointing towards $\hat{\Sigma} \setminus \Sigma$; hence, \hat{x}_{n+1} is C^1 in a neighborhood of Υ in $\hat{\Sigma}$.

Claim 1. \hat{x}_{n+1} is a weak solution to the Laplacian equation $\Delta u = 0$.

Observe that \hat{x}_{n+1} is a harmonic function on $\hat{\Sigma} \setminus \Upsilon$, so we just need to show the claim in a neighborhood of Υ .

Consider a domain $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ where $\partial \bar{\Omega}_i = \Gamma_i \cup (\bar{\Omega} \cap \Upsilon)$ with $\Omega_1 \subset \hat{\Sigma} \setminus \Sigma$ and $\Omega_2 \subset \Sigma$ (see Figure 1), and let $\phi : \hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with compact support contained in Ω .




FIGURE 1. $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$.

Integration by parts gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \hat{x}_{n+1} \rangle d\sigma &= - \int_{\Omega} \hat{x}_{n+1} \Delta \phi d\sigma + \int_{\partial \Omega} \hat{x}_{n+1} \langle \nabla \phi, \nu \rangle dL \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \hat{x}_{n+1} \Delta \phi d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

since $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset \subset \Omega$, where ν is the outward conormal to $\partial \Omega$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} \hat{x}_{n+1} \Delta \phi d\sigma &= \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \hat{x}_{n+1} \rangle d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \hat{x}_{n+1} \rangle d\sigma + \int_{\Omega_2} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \hat{x}_{n+1} \rangle d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \hat{x}_{n+1} \rangle d\sigma &= - \int_{\Omega_1} \phi \Delta \hat{x}_{n+1} d\sigma + \int_{\partial \Omega_1} \phi \langle \nabla \hat{x}_{n+1}, \nu_1 \rangle dL \\ &= \int_{\Upsilon} \phi \langle \nabla \hat{x}_{n+1}, \nu_1 \rangle dL \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first equality we used that $\hat{x}_{n+1}|_{\Omega_1} \equiv 0$ and in the second equality we used the fact that $\frac{\partial \hat{x}_{n+1}}{\partial \nu_1}|_{\Upsilon} = 0$, where ν_1 is the outward conormal to Υ with respect to Ω_1 .

Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \hat{x}_{n+1} \rangle d\sigma &= - \int_{\Omega_2} \phi \Delta \hat{x}_{n+1} d\sigma + \int_{\partial \Omega_2} \phi \langle \nabla \hat{x}_{n+1}, \nu_1 \rangle dL \\ &= \int_{\Upsilon} \phi \langle \nabla \hat{x}_{n+1}, \nu_2 \rangle dL \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first equality we used that $\hat{x}_{n+1}|_{\Omega_2} = x_{n+1}|_{\Omega_2}$ is harmonic and in the second equality we used the fact that $\frac{\partial \hat{x}_{n+1}}{\partial \nu_2}|_{\Upsilon} = 0$, where ν_2 is the outward conormal to Υ with respect to Ω_2 .

Therefore, the claim follows and, by the Elliptic theory, \hat{x}_{n+1} has to be a (strong) solution to the Laplacian equation. Moreover, since \hat{x}_{n+1} vanishes on an open set, the unique continuation result implies that $\hat{x}_{n+1} \equiv 0$ on $\hat{\Sigma}$, that is, Σ is (contained in) the equatorial disk D^n . \square

2.2. Integer rectifiable varifolds. A set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called countably k -rectifiable if M is \mathcal{H}^k -measurable and if

$$M \subset \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} M_j,$$

where $\mathcal{H}^k(M_0) = 0$ and for $j \geq 1$, M_j is an k -dimensional C^1 -submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Such M possesses \mathcal{H}^k -a.e. an approximate tangent space $T_x M$.

Let $G(n+1, k)$ be the Grassmannian of k -hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . An integer multiplicity rectifiable k -varifold $\mathcal{V} = v(M, \theta)$ is a Radon measure on $U \times G(n+1, k)$, defined by

$$\mathcal{V}(f) = \int_M f(x, T_x M) \theta(x) d\mathcal{H}^k, \quad f \in C_0^c(U \times G(n+1, k)),$$

where $M \subset U$ is countably k -rectifiable and $\theta > 0$ is a locally \mathcal{H}^k -integrable integer valued function. Also, we say $\mathcal{V} = v(M, \theta)$ is *stationary* if

$$\int_M (\operatorname{div}_M \zeta) \theta d\mathcal{H}^k = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

for any C^1 -vector field ζ of compact support.

Then we have the following result, see [21].

Lemma 2. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an embedded C^1 -hypersurface such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}((\bar{\Sigma} \setminus \Sigma) \cap U) = 0$, for every open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with compact closure. Let $\theta > 0$ be a integer valued function which is locally constant. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{V} = v(\Sigma, \theta)$ is stationary.
- (2) $\vec{H}_\Sigma = 0$, and there is $C_\Sigma > 0$ such that for any ball $B_r(p) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}^n(B_r(p) \cap \Sigma) \leq C_\Sigma r^n.$$

2.3. Minimizing Currents with Partially Free Boundary. In this section we will use the following notation.

- $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an open set;
- $\mathcal{D}^k(U) = \{C^\infty\text{-}k\text{-forms } \omega; \operatorname{spt} \omega \subset U\}$;
- $\mathcal{D}_k(U)$ denotes the dual of $\mathcal{D}^k(U)$, and its elements are called k -currents with support in U ;

- The mass of $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(U)$ in W is defined by

$$\mathbf{M}_W(T) := \sup\{T(\omega); \omega \in \mathcal{D}^k(U), \text{ spt } \omega \subset W, |\omega| \leq 1\} \leq +\infty;$$

- The boundary of $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(U)$ is the $(k-1)$ -current $\partial T \in \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(U)$ given by

$$\partial T(\omega) := T(d\omega),$$

where d denotes the exterior derivative operator.

Consider a compact domain $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\partial W = S \cup M$, where S is a compact C^2 -hypersurface (not necessarily connected) with boundary, M is a smooth compact mean convex hypersurface with boundary, which intersects S orthogonally along ∂S , and such that $\text{int}(S) \cap \text{int}(M) = \emptyset$.

Let $\Omega \subset W$ be a compact hypersurface with boundary $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$. We assume that $\Gamma \cap \text{int}(W)$ is an embedded C^2 -submanifold of dimension $n-1$ away from a singular set \mathcal{S}_0 such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathcal{S}_0) = 0$.

Define the class \mathfrak{C} of admissible currents by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C} = \{ & T \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}); T \text{ is integer multiplicity rectifiable,} \\ & \text{spt } T \subset W \text{ and is compact, and } \text{spt}([\Gamma] - \partial T) \subset S\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $[\Gamma]$ is the current associated to Γ with multiplicity one. We want to minimize area in \mathfrak{C} , that is, we are looking for $T \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$\mathbf{M}(T) = \inf\{\mathbf{M}(\tilde{T}); \tilde{T} \in \mathfrak{C}\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Observe that $\mathfrak{C} \neq \emptyset$ since $[\Omega] \in \mathfrak{C}$. Hence, it follows from [9, 5.1.6(1)], that the variational problem (2.5) has a solution (see also [16]). If $T \in \mathfrak{C}$ is a solution we have

$$\mathbf{M}(T) \leq \mathbf{M}(T + X), \quad (2.6)$$

$$\text{spt } T \subset W, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\mu_T(S) = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

for any integer multiplicity current $X \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ with compact support such that $\text{spt } X \subset W$ and $\text{spt } \partial X \subset S$.

In order to apply the known regularity theory for T we need the following result, whose proof is the same as that of the case $n = 2$ (see [Section 3] [26]).

Proposition 2. *If T is a solution of (2.5), then either $\text{spt } T \setminus \Gamma \subset W \setminus M$ or $M \subset \text{spt } T$.*

For any given n -dimensional compact set $K \subset W$ we call *corners* the set of points of ∂K which also belong to S . We then have the following regularity result.

Theorem 1. *Let T be a solution of (2.5). Then there is a set $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \text{spt } T$ such that, away from $\mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \Gamma$, T is supported in a oriented embedded minimal C^2 -hypersurface, which meets S orthogonally along $\text{spt}([\Gamma] - \partial T)$. Moreover*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{S}_1 = \emptyset, & \text{if } n \leq 6, \\ \mathcal{S}_1 \text{ is discrete,} & \text{if } n = 7, \\ \mathcal{H}^{n-7+\delta}(\mathcal{S}_1) = 0, \forall \delta > 0, & \text{if } n > 7. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Let us write

$$\text{spt } T \setminus (\mathcal{S}_0) = \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{S}_1,$$

where the union is disjoint and \mathcal{R} consists of the points $x \in \text{spt } T$ such that there is a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of x where $T \llcorner U$ is given by m -times ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) integration over an embedded C^2 -hypersurface with boundary. To complete the proof we will prove the following:

- *Regularity at the interior:* $(\text{spt } T \setminus \text{spt } \partial T) \cap \mathcal{R} \neq \emptyset$ and $(\text{spt } T \setminus \text{spt } \partial T) \cap \mathcal{S}_1$ satisfies (2.9);
- *Regularity at the free-boundary:* $\text{spt}([\partial M] - \partial T) \cap \mathcal{R} \neq \emptyset$ and $(\text{spt}([\partial M] - \partial T) \cap \mathcal{S}_1)$ satisfies (2.9);

The interior regularity is a classical result, see [9, Section 5.3]. Since by Proposition 2 the free part of the boundary is contained in $S \setminus \partial S$, we can use the result by Grüter [17] to conclude the regularity at the free boundary (away from the corners). \square

3. THE TWO-PIECE PROPERTY AND OTHER RESULTS

Definition 3. Let W be a region in B^{n+1} and let $\Upsilon \subset W$ be a $(n-1)$ -dimensional equatorial disk (that is, the intersection of B^{n+1} with an $(n-1)$ -dimensional plane passing through the origin). We say that Υ is *nullhomologous* in W if there exists a compact hypersurface $M \subset W$ such that $\partial M = \Upsilon \cup \Gamma$, where Γ is a $(n-1)$ -dimensional compact set contained in S^n (see Figure 2).




FIGURE 2. In this region W , any $(n-1)$ -dimensional equatorial disk $\Upsilon \subset W$ is nullhomologous.

The boundary of the region W can be written as $U \cup V$, where $\text{int}(U) \subset \text{int}(B^{n+1})$ and $V \subset S^n$. In the next theorem we will denote by ∂W the closure of the component U , that is, $\partial W = \overline{U}$.

Theorem 2. Let $W \subset B^{n+1}$ be a connected closed region with (non-strictly) mean convex boundary such that ∂W meets S^n orthogonally along its boundary and ∂W is smooth. If W contains a $(n-1)$ -dimensional equatorial disk Υ , and Υ is nullhomologous in W , then W is a closed $(n+1)$ -dimensional halfball.

Proof. Up to a rotation of Υ around the origin, we can assume that $\Upsilon \cap \partial W$ is nonempty. Since Υ is nullhomologous in W , there exists a compact hypersurface M contained in W such that $\partial M = \Upsilon \cup \Gamma$, where Γ is a $(n-1)$ -dimensional compact set contained in S^n . We consider the class of admissible currents

$$\mathfrak{C} = \{T \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}); T \text{ is integer multiplicity rectifiable,} \\ \text{spt } T \subset W \text{ and is compact, and } \text{spt}([\partial M] - \partial T) \subset S^2 \cap W\},$$

where $[\partial M]$ is the current associated to ∂M with multiplicity one, and we minimize area (mass) in \mathfrak{C} . Then, by the results presented in Section 2.3, we get a compact embedded

(orientable) partially free boundary minimal hypersurface $\Sigma \subset W$ which minimizes area among compact hypersurfaces in W with boundary on the class $\Gamma = \Upsilon \cup \tilde{\Gamma}$; in particular, its fixed boundary is exactly Υ . Moreover, by Proposition 2 in Section 2.3, either $\Sigma \subset \partial W$ or $\Sigma \cap \partial W \subset \Upsilon$.

Now the same arguments we used in the proof of Theorem 1 in [26] can be applied. In fact:

Claim 2. Σ is stable.

In the case $\partial W \cap \Sigma \subset \Gamma$, Σ is automatically stable in the sense of Definition 2, since it minimizes area for all local deformations.

Suppose $\Sigma \subset \partial W$. For any $f \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$ with $f|_\Upsilon \equiv 0$, consider $Q(f, f)$ defined by

$$Q(f, f) = \frac{\int_\Sigma (|\nabla_\Sigma f|^2 - |A_\Sigma|^2 f^2) d\mathcal{H}^n - \int_\Gamma f^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}{\int_\Sigma f^2 d\mathcal{H}^n},$$

and let f_1 be a first eigenfunction, i.e., $Q(f_1, f_1) = \inf_f Q(f, f)$.

Observe that although differently from the classical stability quotient (we have an extra term that depends on the boundary of Σ) we can still guarantee the existence of a first eigenfunction. In fact, since for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $C_\delta > 0$ such that $\|f\|_{L^2(\partial\Sigma)} \leq \delta \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + C_\delta \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}$, for any $f \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$, we can use this inequality to prove that the infimum is finite. Once this is established the classical arguments to show the existence of a first eigenfunction work.

Since $|\nabla|f_1|| = |\nabla f_1|$ a.e., we have $Q(f_1, f_1) = Q(|f_1|, |f_1|)$, that is, $|f_1|$ is also a first eigenfunction. Since $|f_1| \geq 0$, the maximum principle implies that $|f_1| > 0$ in $\Sigma \setminus \partial\Sigma$, in particular, f_1 does not change sign in $\Sigma \setminus \partial\Sigma$. Then we can assume that $f_1 > 0$ in $\Sigma \setminus \partial\Sigma$ and, by continuity, we get $f_1 \geq 0$ in Γ . Therefore, we can use f_1 as a test function to our variational problem: Let ζ be a smooth vector field such that $\zeta(x) \in T_x S^n$, for all $x \in S^n$, $\zeta(x) \in (T_x \Sigma)^\perp$, for all $x \in \Sigma$, and ζ points towards W along Σ . Let Φ be the flow of ζ . For ε small enough the hypersurfaces $\Sigma_t = \{\Phi(x, t f_1); x \in \Sigma, 0 < t < \varepsilon\}$ are contained in W . Since Σ has least area among the hypersurfaces Σ_t , we know that

$$0 \leq \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0^+} |\Sigma_t| = \int_\Sigma (|\nabla_\Sigma f_1|^2 - |A_\Sigma|^2 f_1^2) d\mathcal{H}^n - \int_\Gamma f_1^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

which implies that $Q(f_1, f_1) \geq 0$. Since f_1 is a first eigenfunction, we get that $Q(f, f) \geq 0$ for any $f \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$ with $f|_\Upsilon \equiv 0$. Therefore, we have stability for Σ .

Then, since Υ is contained in an equatorial disk D^n , Lemma 1 implies that Σ is necessarily a half n -dimensional equatorial disk. If $\Sigma \subset \partial W$, then we already conclude that W has to be a $(n+1)$ -dimensional halfball.

Suppose $\Sigma \cap \partial W \subset \Upsilon$. Rotate Σ around Υ until the last time it remains in W (this last time exists once $\Sigma \cap \partial W$ is non empty), and let us still denote this rotated hypersurface by Σ . In particular, there exists a point p where Σ and ∂W are tangent. We will conclude that W is necessarily a $(n+1)$ -dimensional halfball.

In fact, if $p \in \text{int}(\Upsilon)$, we can write ∂W locally as a graph over Σ around p and apply the classical Hopf Lemma; if $p \in \partial\Upsilon$, we can use the Serrin's Maximum Principle at a corner (see Appendix A in [26] for the details); and if $p \in \Sigma \setminus \Upsilon$ we can apply (the interior or the free boundary version of) the maximum principle. In any case, we get that W is a $(n+1)$ -dimensional halfball. \square

Now we prove the two-piece property for free boundary minimal hypersurfaces in B^{n+1} .

Theorem 3. *Let M be a compact embedded smooth free boundary minimal hypersurface in B^{n+1} . Then for any equatorial disk D^n , $M \cap B^+$ and $M \cap B^-$ are connected.*

Proof. If M is an equatorial disk, then the result is trivial. So let us assume this is not the case.

Suppose that, for some equatorial disk D^n , $M \cap B^+$ is a disjoint union of two nonempty open hypersurfaces M_1 and M_2 , M_1 being connected. Notice that by Proposition 1(i) both \overline{M}_1 and (all components of) \overline{M}_2 have non empty fixed boundary and non empty free boundary. Let us denote by $\Gamma_I = \partial \overline{M}_1 \cap D^n$ the fixed boundary of \overline{M}_1 which might be disconnected. If M_1 and D^n are transverse, then Γ_I is an embedded smooth submanifold of dimension $n - 1$. If M_1 and D^n are tangent, then the local description of nodal sets of elliptic PDE's (see for instance [20]) imply that $\text{int}(\Gamma_I)$ is an embedded smooth submanifold of dimension $n - 1$, away from a singular set \mathcal{S}_0 such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathcal{S}_0) = 0$.

Denote by W and W' the closures of the two components of $B^{n+1} \setminus M$. They are compact domains with mean convex boundary. Hence, we can minimize area for the following partially free boundary problem (see Section 2.3):

We consider the class of admissible currents

$$\mathfrak{C} = \{T \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}); T \text{ is integer multiplicity rectifiable,} \\ \text{spt } T \subset W \text{ and is compact, and } \text{spt}([[\partial \overline{M}_1]] - \partial T) \subset S^2 \cap W\},$$

where $[[\partial \overline{M}_1]]$ is the current associated to $\partial \overline{M}_1$ with multiplicity one, and we minimize area (mass) in \mathfrak{C} . Then, by the results presented in Section 2.3, we get a compact embedded (orientable) partially free boundary minimal hypersurface $\Sigma \subset W$ which minimizes area among compact hypersurfaces in W with the same fixed boundary as \overline{M}_1 , which is contained in D^n . Moreover, by Proposition 2 in Section 2.3, either $\Sigma \subset \partial W$ or $\Sigma \cap \partial W \subset \partial \Sigma$.

Arguing as in Claim 2 of Theorem 2, we can prove the stability of Σ . Also, observe that by Theorem 1 the singular set \mathcal{S}_1 of $\Sigma \setminus D$ is empty or satisfies $\mathcal{H}^{n-7+\delta}(\mathcal{S}_1) = 0$, $\forall \delta > 0$, in particular $\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathcal{S}_1) = 0$. So, we can apply Lemma 1 and conclude that each component of Σ is a piece of an equatorial disk.

The case $\Sigma \subset \partial W$ can not happen because this would imply that M is a disk, and we are assuming it is not. Therefore, only the second case can happen, that is, any component of Σ meets ∂W only at points of $\partial \Sigma$. Observe that each component of Σ that is not bounded by a $(n - 1)$ -dimensional equatorial disk is necessarily contained in D^n . If some component of Σ were bounded by a $(n - 1)$ -dimensional equatorial disk, then we could apply Theorem 2 and would conclude that M is a n -dimensional equatorial disk, which is not the case. Then Σ is entirely contained in D^n and, since $\Sigma \cap \partial W \subset \partial \Sigma$, $M \subset \partial W$ and $M \cap D^n$ does not contain any $(n - 1)$ -dimensional piece of ∂D^n (Proposition 1(ii)), we have $\Sigma \cap M = \Gamma_I$.

Doing the same procedure as in the last paragraph for W' , we can construct another compact hypersurface Σ' of D with fixed boundary $\partial \Sigma' = \Gamma_I$ and such that $\Sigma' \subset W'$ and $\Sigma' \cap M = \Gamma_I$. Notice that $\Sigma \cup \Sigma'$ is a hypersurface without fixed boundary of D^n , therefore $\Sigma \cup \Sigma' = D^n$. In fact, let us denote by T and T' the minimizing currents associated to Σ and Σ' respectively, that is, $\text{spt } T = \Sigma$ and $\text{spt } T' = \Sigma'$. First observe that $\text{spt } \partial(T - T') \subset \partial D^n$ and $\partial \partial(T - T') = 0$; hence, by the Constancy Theorem, we know that $\partial(T - T') = k \partial D^n$, for some integer k . Now, since $\text{spt}(T - T' - k \partial D^n) \subset D^n$ and $\partial(T - T' - k \partial D^n) = 0$, the Constancy Theorem implies that $T - T' = k \partial D^n$; but since Γ_I has multiplicity one, this also holds for T and T' and therefore $k = 1$ necessarily. Hence, $\Sigma \cup \Sigma' = \text{spt}(T - T') = D^n$.

In particular, $M \cap D^n = \Gamma_I$, which implies that $M_2 = M \cap B^+ \setminus M_1$ has fixed boundary contained in Γ_I . For $n = 2$, since M is embedded and Γ_I has singularities of n -prong type (if any), we know that the fixed boundaries of M_1 and M_2 are disjoint, in particular, the fixed boundary of $\overline{M_2}$ is necessarily empty and this yields a contradiction by Proposition 1(i). It remains to analyse the case when $n \geq 3$.

Let us assume, without loss of generality, that $D^n = B \cap \{x_{n+1} = 0\}$; hence, we have $M \cap D^n = \Gamma_I = \{q \in M; x_{n+1}(q) = 0\}$ which is the nodal set of the Steklov eigenfunction $x_{n+1} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Observe that if $q \in \Gamma_I$ and $\nabla_M x_{n+1}(q) \neq 0$ then, since M is embedded, we know that in a neighborhood of q we have $M \cap D^n = \overline{M_1} \cap D^n$; in particular, q can not be contained in $\partial \overline{M_2}$.

Now let us analyse the singular set $\mathcal{S} = \{x_{n+1} = 0\} \cap \{\nabla_M x_{n+1} = 0\} \subset \Gamma_I$. By Theorem 1.7 in [20], the Hausdorff dimension of \mathcal{S} is less than or equal to $n - 2$; in particular, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ and therefore by Lemma 2 $\overline{M_2}$ is stationary. By [31] we can conclude that either $\overline{M_2} \cap D^n = \emptyset$ or $D^n \subset \overline{M_2}$ (which we already know is not possible). Therefore, $\overline{M_2}$ has empty fixed boundary which is a contradiction by Proposition 1(i).

Therefore, the theorem is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ambrozio, A. Carlotto and B. Sharp, *Index estimates for free boundary minimal hypersurfaces*, Math. Ann. **370** (2018), 1063–1078.
- [2] L. Ambrozio, A. Carlotto and B. Sharp, *Compactness analysis for free boundary minimal hypersurfaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **57** (2018), no. 1, Art. 22, 39 pp.
- [3] W. K. Allard, *On the first variation of a varifold* Ann. of Math. **95** (1972), no. 3, 417–491.
- [4] W. K. Allard, *On the first variation of a varifold: boundary behavior*, Ann. of Math. **101** (1975), no. 3, 418–446.
- [5] E. Barbosa, A. de Carvalho, R. Santos, *Some Properties of the Intersection of Free Boundary Minimal Hypersurfaces in Euclidean Balls*. arXiv:2109.13007 [math.DG]
- [6] T. Bourni, *Allard-type boundary regularity for $C^{1,\alpha}$ boundaries*, Adv. Calc. Var. **9** (2016), no. 2, 143–161.
- [7] F. Duzaar and K. Steffan, *Optimal interior and boundary regularity for almost minimizers to elliptic variational integrals*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **546** (2002), 73–138.
- [8] N. Edelen, *A note on the singular set of area-minimizing hypersurfaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **59** (2020), no. 1, Art. 18, 9 pp.
- [9] H. Federer, *Geometric measure theory*, Grundlehren der math. Wiss. **153**. Springer, New York 1969.
- [10] H. Federer, *The singular sets of area minimizing rectifiable currents with codimension one and of area minimizing flat chains modulo two with arbitrary codimension*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 767–771.
- [11] A. Fraser and M. Li, *Compactness of the space of embedded minimal surfaces with free boundary in three-manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and convex boundary*, J. Differential Geom. **96** (2014), 183–200.
- [12] A. Fraser and R. Schoen, *The first Steklov eigenvalue, conformal geometry, and minimal surfaces*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 5, 4011–4030.
- [13] A. Fraser and R. Schoen, *Minimal surfaces and eigenvalue problems*, Contemp. Math. **599** (2013), 105–121.
- [14] A. Fraser and R. Schoen, *Sharp eigenvalue bounds and minimal surfaces in the ball*, Invent. Math. **203** (2016), no. 3, 823–890.
- [15] B. Freidin, M. Gulian and P. McGrath, *Free boundary minimal surfaces in the unit ball with low cohomogeneity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no. 4, 1671–1683.
- [16] M. Grüter, *Regularität von minimierenden Strömen bei einer freien Randbedingung*, Universität Düsseldorf, 1985.

- [17] M. Grüter, *Optimal regularity for codimension one minimal surfaces with a free boundary*, Manuscripta Math. **58** (1987), no. 3, 295–343.
- [18] Q. Guang, X. Zhou, *Compactness and generic finiteness for free boundary minimal hypersurfaces*, Pacific J. Math. **310** (2021), no. 1, 85–114.
- [19] R. Hardt and L. Simon, *Boundary regularity and embedded solutions for the oriented Plateau problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 3, 439–486.
- [20] R. Hardt and L. Simon, *Nodal sets for solutions of elliptic equations*, J. Differential Geom. **30** (1989), 505–522.
- [21] T. Ilmanen, *A strong maximum principle for singular minimal hypersurfaces*, Calc. Var. **4** (1996), 443–467.
- [22] R. Kusner and P. McGrath, *On free boundary minimal annuli embedded in the unit ball*, Preprint at arXiv:2011.06884 (2020).
- [23] M. Li, *Free boundary minimal surfaces in the unit ball: recent advances and open questions*, Preprint at arXiv:arXiv:1907.05053v3 (2020).
- [24] M. Li and X. Zhou, *Min-max theory for free boundary minimal hypersurfaces I-regularity theory*, Preprint at arXiv:1611.02612 (2016).
- [25] V. Lima, *Bounds for the Morse index of free boundary minimal surfaces*, Preprint at arXiv:1710.10971 (2017).
- [26] V. Lima and A. Menezes, *A two-piece property for free boundary minimal surfaces in the ball*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **374** (2021), no. 3, 1661–1686.
- [27] A. Ros, *A two-piece property for compact minimal surfaces in a three-sphere*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **44** (1995), no. 3, 841–849.
- [28] L. Simon, *Lectures on geometric measure theory*, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, vol. 3, Australian National University, Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Canberra, 1983. vii+272 pp.
- [29] G. Smith, A. Stern, H. Tran, D. Zhou, *On the Morse index of higher-dimensional free boundary minimal catenoids*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **60** (2021), no. 6, Paper No. 208.
- [30] B. Solomon, *Harmonic maps to spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **21** (1985), 151–162.
- [31] B. Solomon and B. White, *A strong maximum principle for varifolds that are stationary with respect to even parametric elliptic functionals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **38** (1989), no. 3, 683–691.
- [32] A. Sun, Z. Wang and X. Zhou, *Multiplicity one for min-max theory in compact manifolds with boundary and its applications*, arXiv:2011.04136 [math.DG]
- [33] Z. Wang, *Existence of infinitely many free boundary minimal hypersurfaces*, Preprint at arXiv:2001.04674 (2020).

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL,
BRAZIL

Email address: vanderson.lima@ufrgs.br

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, USA

Email address: amenezes@math.princeton.edu