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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the $W^{s, p}$-boundedness for stationary wave operators of the Schrödinger operator with inverse-square potential $$
\mathcal{L}_{a}=-\Delta+\frac{a}{|x|^{2}}, \quad a \geq-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}
$$ in dimension $d \geq 2$. We construct the stationary wave operators in terms of integrals of Bessel functions and spherical harmonics, and prove that they are $W^{s, p}$-bounded for certain $p$ and $s$ which depend on $a$. As corollaries, we solve some open problems associated with the operator $\mathcal{L}_{a}$, which include the dispersive estimates and the local smoothing estimates in dimension $d \geq 2$. We also generalize some known results such as the uniform Sobolev inequalities, the equivalence of Sobolev norms and the Mikhlin multiplier theorem, to a larger range of indices. These results are important in the description of linear and nonlinear dynamics for dispersive equations with inverse-square potential.
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## 1. Main results and applications

1.1. Background. For a real-valued potential $V$, the time-dependent wave operators for the pair of self-adjoint operators $H_{0}=-\Delta$ and $H:=H_{0}+V$ are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{ \pm}=\underset{t \rightarrow \pm \infty}{\mathrm{s}-\lim _{t \rightarrow} e^{i t H} e^{-i t H_{0}}}  \tag{1.1}\\
& W_{ \pm}^{*}=\underset{t \rightarrow \pm \infty}{\mathrm{s}-\lim _{t} e^{i t H_{0}} e^{-i t H}} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where s-lim indicates the strong limit in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. In 18, Kato and Kuroda derived the following stationary formula for the wave operators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{ \pm}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} E(\lambda)}{\mathrm{d} \lambda}(H-\lambda) R_{0}(\lambda \pm i 0) \mathrm{d} \lambda \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{ \pm}^{*}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} E_{0}(\lambda)}{\mathrm{d} \lambda}\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right) R(\lambda \pm i 0) \mathrm{d} \lambda \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} E(\lambda), \mathrm{d} E_{0}(\lambda), R(\lambda \pm i 0), R_{0}(\lambda \pm i 0)$ are the spectral measures and resolvents associated to $H$ and $H_{0}$, respectively. The authors in 18 proved that under some restrictive assumptions, the wave operators defined in time-dependent form (1.1), (1.2) and stationary form (1.3), (1.4) are identical.

For suitable potentials $V$ e.g. short range potentials, one can prove that the timedependent $W_{ \pm}$and $W_{ \pm}^{*}$ exist and are complete, see Agmon [2]. This implies that they are isometries from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and there is no singular continuous spectrum. It is natural to ask: for what $p \neq 2$ are the wave operators $W_{ \pm}, W_{ \pm}^{*}$ bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, or bounded in $W^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ? This is precisely the question that this paper tries to answer.

The $W^{s, p}$-boundedness of the wave operators was first investigated by Yajima [44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49] under the assumption that zero energy is neither a eigenvalue nor a resonance. These results are established by using the perturbation method and the resolvent identity. However, the above results only apply to a restricted class of potentials. To relax the conditions, Beceanu and Schlag recently [3] established a structure formula for wave operators using an abstract version of Wiener's theorem, which implies the $L^{p}$-boundedness for more general potentials.

In this paper, we study the $W^{s, p}$-boundedness of the stationary wave operators associated to the operator $\mathcal{L}_{a}=-\Delta+\frac{a}{|x|^{2}}$ for $a \geq-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$ in dimensions $d \geq 2$, for suitable $p \in[1, \infty]$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We define $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ as the Friedrichs extension of the operator $-\Delta+\frac{a}{|x|^{2}}$ defined on $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}\right)$. We refer the readers to [36, Section X.3] for the general theory of such extensions. The restriction $a \geq-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$ guarantees positivity of $\mathcal{L}_{a}$; indeed, the associated form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nabla f+\frac{\sigma x}{|x|^{2}} f\right|^{2} d x, \quad \text { where } \quad \sigma:=\frac{d-2}{2}-\left[\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}+a\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elliptic operator $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ has appeared frequently in the literature. For example, the heat and Schrödinger flows associated with the elliptic operator $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ have been studied in the theory of combustion (see [42), and in quantum mechanics (see [17]). It is known [8, 32] that the time-dependent wave operators of $-\Delta$ and $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ exist and are complete for $a>-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$ in dimensions $d \geq 3$. For the case $d=2$ and $d \geq 3$ with $a=-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$, there is no scattering theory for $\mathcal{L}_{a}$. However, we can still define the stationary wave operators using the formula (1.3) and (1.4), provided we have the spectral measures.

One of the main motivations for investigating the $W^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$-boundedness for the stationary wave operators is to derive the Strichartz and dispersive estimates. Burq, Planchon, Stalker, and Tahvildar-Zadeh [9, 10] proved the Strichartz estimates for the Schrödinger and wave equations with inverse-square potentials, in space dimensions $d \geq 2$ and $a>-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$. The endpoint case $a=-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$ was proven
by Mizutani [30. Later, Fanelli, Felli, Fontelos, and Primo proved [13, 14] the dispersive estimates for certain Schrödinger evolution, including the inverse-square potential in dimensions $d=2$ and 3. The dispersive estimates and Strichartz estimates play an important role in the description of well-posedness and long-time behavior for the nonlinear dispersive equation with potentials, see $[22,23,24,28$, 29, 50, 51. However, to the best of our knowledge, the dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger evolution $e^{i t \mathcal{L}_{a}}$ in higher dimensions $d \geq 4$, and wave propagator $\frac{\sin \left(t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}$ in all dimensions are still open. Once we have the $W^{s, p}$-boundedness, by the intertwining property, we may transfer the dispersive estimates and Strichartz estimates of the operator $-\Delta$ to the operator $\mathcal{L}_{a}$.

Another motivation for our work is to obtain Sogge's local smoothing estimates for $e^{i t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}$. This states that the space regularity of half-wave propagator will be improved if we average in time. Using the $W^{s, p}$-boundedness, we will establish the corresponding estimates for $e^{i t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}$, under the assumption that local smoothing estimates have been proven for $e^{i t \sqrt{-\Delta}}$.

Our results also imply the uniform Sobolev inequality for $\mathcal{L}_{a}$, the equivalence of Sobolev norms adapted to $\mathcal{L}_{a}$, and a Mikhlin-type multiplier theorem for $\mathcal{L}_{a}$. These results improve the corresponding results in [33, 22] to a more general range of indices. See Subsection 1.3 for more details.

One thing we want to mention is that a number of other estimates related to $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ also can be directly deduced from the $W^{s, p}$-boundedness of the stationary wave operators, but to keep our paper at a reasonable length, we omit the details.

### 1.2. Main results.

Main result 1. First, we give the $L^{p}$-boundedness of the stationary wave operators, which is also the first step to establish the $W^{s, p}$-boundedness.

Theorem 1.1 ( $L^{p}$-boundedness). Let $d \geq 2$ and $a \geq-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$. Then, the stationary wave operators $W_{ \pm}$and $W_{ \pm}^{*}$ are bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{0, \frac{\sigma}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}\right\}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is as in (1.5).
Remark 1.2. The condition (1.6) is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1<p<+\infty & \text { if } \quad a>0  \tag{1.7}\\
p_{0}^{\prime}<p<p_{0} & \text { if } \quad a<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p_{0}=\frac{d}{\sigma}$.
Proof sketch for Theorem 1.1. To prove the above results, we cannot use the perturbative method as Yajima and Beceanu did, due to the strong singularity at zero. However, notice that the potential term $V=\frac{a}{|x|^{2}}$ is homogeneous of degree -2 , which has the same scaling as $-\Delta$. This key property has been employed in (9, 10 , 35 to prove the dispersive and Strichartz estimates for the solutions of Schrödinger equation and wave equation with inverse square potentials. In particular, 35] proved Strichartz estimates for the wave equation by constructing some intertwining operators which enabled them to transfer the results for $-\Delta$ to $\mathcal{L}_{a}$, but under the radial assumption. Our contribution is to remove this assumption, as we now explain.

Using the special structure of our operator, on each subspace of spherical harmonics, the operator $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ is equivalent to a Bessel operator which can be diagonalized using the eigenfunction expansion in terms of spherical waves. This eigenfunction expansion serves as the "distorted Fourier transform" for $\mathcal{L}_{a}$. It is known 27] that once the distorted Fourier transforms $\mathscr{F}_{ \pm}$are well-defined, the wave operators can be given by $W_{ \pm}=\mathscr{F}_{ \pm}^{*} \mathscr{F}$. This formula inspires us to construct the stationary wave operators directly.

Hence, we construct two intertwining operators $W$ and $W^{*}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $-\Delta$ and $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ using the decomposition into spherical harmonics,

$$
\begin{align*}
W f(r, \omega) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K_{k}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} r}{r}  \tag{1.8}\\
W^{*} f(r, \omega) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K_{k}^{*}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} r}{r} \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{k}(r, s)$, and $K_{k}^{*}(r, s)$ are given by integrals involving two Bessel functions, and the projection operator $\mathbb{P}_{k}$ is defined as in (2.14) below. It is easy to check that $W$ and $W^{*}$ are unitary in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. In addition, we are able to prove that $W=W_{-}$ and $W^{*}=W_{-}^{*}$ defined by (1.3) and (1.4).

To verify that $W$ and $W^{*}$ are bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, it suffices to prove two modified operators $\widetilde{W}$ and $\widetilde{W}^{*}$ are bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$. One of the key ingredients in proving the $L^{p}$-boundedness is a discrete multiplier theorem (Theorem 2.12 below) for spherical harmonics. If for any fixed $r$ and $s$, the coefficients $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$ satisfy the assumptions of this theorem, then it follows that

$$
\|\widetilde{W} f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \leq M(r, s)\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)}
$$

where $M(r, s)$ is the upper bound for $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$ uniformly in $k$. If $M(r, s)$ is bounded in $L^{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)$ on the diagonal $r=s$, then by Young's inequality, we would have that $\widetilde{W}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$. However, this is not true. In fact, as we will see from (4.31) below, for each fixed $k, \widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$ has a singularity of the type

$$
c(r-s)^{-1}
$$

as $r$ approaches $s$, which cannot be controlled by a $L^{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)$ function on the diagonal. This fact also suggests that the intertwining operators $\widetilde{W}$ and $\widetilde{W}^{*}$ are CalderónZygmund singular integral operators on the radial part in each subspace. Hence, our second ingredient in proving the $L^{p}$-boundedness is the Calderón-Zygmund theory.

If we directly estimate each $k$ th part in $r$ using Calderón-Zygmund theory and Minkowski's inequality, we would have

$$
\|\widetilde{W} f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\|\mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)}
$$

That is, the $L^{p}$ norm would go inside of the sum, making it impossible to recover the $L^{p}$ norm of $f$. However, if we estimate the angular part first, the resulting bound is expressed in terms of $1 /|r-s|$ instead of $1 /(r-s)$ and we will not be able to take advantage of the cancellation in $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$.

One special case which can be easily proved is when the kernels are of the variable-separated form $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)=F(r, s) M(k)$, where $F(r, s)$ defines a CalderónZygmund operator and $\{M(k)\}_{k \geq 0}$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.12. In this situation, the operator $\widetilde{W}$ can be written as

$$
\widetilde{W} f(r, \omega)=\int_{0}^{\infty} F(r, s) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M(k) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} r}{r} .
$$

Using Calderón-Zygmund theory, we have

$$
\|\widetilde{W} f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)} \leq\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M(k) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)}
$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 2.12, we would get the boundedness in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$. This easy case motivates us to approximate the $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$ with variable-separated kernels to capture the singularity in the radial part. The remainder term has an $L^{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)$ kernel which guarantees that we can estimate the angular part first.

Having the previous ideas in mind, the main difficulty in proving Theorem 1.1 is in checking that the conditions for the discrete multiplier theorem are valid. To obtain this, we need to use the power series expansion for hypergeometric functions, and the asymptotic expansions for Gamma functions and its derivatives. This step forms the main part of the proof.

Main result 2. Now we give our second theorem, which improves the previous theorem to the scale of Sobolev spaces. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{0}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}+a} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.3 ( $W^{s, p}$-boundedness). Assume that $d \geq 2$ and $a \geq-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$.
(1) Let $-d<\alpha<2+2 \nu_{0}$. The stationary wave operators $W_{ \pm}$are bounded in $W^{\alpha, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, if $p$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{0, \frac{\sigma}{d}, \frac{\sigma+\alpha}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}, \frac{d+\alpha}{d}\right\} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Let $-2 \nu_{0}-2<\beta<d$. The stationary wave operators $W_{ \pm}^{*}$ are bounded in $W^{\beta, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, if $p$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{0, \frac{\sigma}{d}, \frac{\beta}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}, \frac{d-\sigma+\beta}{d}\right\} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof sketch for Theorem 1.3. To prove the $W^{s, p_{\text {-boundedness }} \text { of the wave }}$ operators, we introduce the following Riesz-type operators $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$ formally given by

$$
\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}=(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} \mathcal{L}_{a}^{-\alpha / 2}, \quad-d<\alpha<2 \nu_{0}+2, \alpha \neq 0
$$

and their inverse operators

$$
\mathcal{R}^{-\beta}=\mathcal{L}_{a}^{\beta / 2}(-\Delta)^{-\beta / 2}, \quad-2-2 \nu_{0}<\beta<d, \beta \neq 0
$$

The precise definitions are given in Section 5
For these operators, we have the following $L^{p}$-boundedness results.
Proposition 1.4. Let $d \geq 2$ and $a \geq-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$.
(1) Let $-d<\alpha<2+2 \nu_{0}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. The operator $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$ given by (5.1) is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{0, \frac{\sigma+\alpha}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}, \frac{d+\alpha}{d}\right\} . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Let $-2 \nu_{0}-2<\beta<d$ and $\beta \neq 0$. The operator $\mathcal{R}^{-\beta}$ given by (5.2) is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{0, \frac{\beta}{d}, \frac{\sigma}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma+\beta}{d}\right\} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once we prove Proposition 1.4, combining it with the $L^{p}$-boundedness of wave operators immediately gives the $W^{s, p}$-boundedness for $W_{ \pm}$and $W_{ \pm}^{*}$ : for $s \neq 0$ satisfying $-d<s<2 \nu_{0}+2$, and $p$ as in (1.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|W_{ \pm} f\right\|_{\dot{W}^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & =\left\||\nabla|^{s} W_{ \pm}|\nabla|^{-s}|\nabla|^{s} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& =\left\||\nabla|^{s} \mathcal{L}_{a}^{-s / 2} W_{ \pm}|\nabla|^{s} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}  \tag{1.15}\\
& \lesssim\left\|W_{ \pm}|\nabla|^{s} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

This proves the result, because boundedness in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\dot{W}^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ implies boundedness in $W^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Hence, to prove Theorem 1.3, we only need to prove Proposition 1.4 .

The operators $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-\beta}$ are essentially Mellin multipliers on each subspace of spherical harmonics. The kernel functions of $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-\beta}$ are given by Fox $H$ functions $H_{4,4}^{2,2}(k ; r, s)$, which plays the same role as the hypergeometric functions in the study of wave operators. Using the residue theorem, $H_{4,4}^{2,2}$ can be written as power series when $0<\frac{r}{s}<1$ and when $\frac{r}{s}>1$. At the point $r=s$, we can prove that they have a singularity of type

$$
c(r-s)^{-1}
$$

see Lemma 5.4 below. These facts allow us to essentially re-use the proof of the $L^{p}$-boundedness of $W_{ \pm}$and $W_{ \pm}^{*}$ to show the boundedness of $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-\beta}$. The details are given in Section 5 ,

The $L^{p}$-boundedness of $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-\beta}$ is also closely related to the equivalence of Sobolev norms as studied in [22], where the authors utilized generalized Gaussian kernel estimates for the operator $e^{-t \mathcal{L}_{a}}$ and a perturbative method to obtain the equivalence in a restricted range $\alpha \in(0,2)$. In this paper, we directly handle these operators using spherical harmonics and Fox $H$-functions, which enable us to extend the range of the fractional powers to $-2-2 \nu_{0}<\beta<d$ and $-d<\alpha<2+2 \nu_{0}$. These bounds on $\alpha$ and $\beta$ arise naturally in order to guarantee that the Fox $H$-functions are well-defined. In particular, the equivalence of Sobolev norms hold for a larger range of $\alpha$. To be precise, we have the following:

Corollary 1.5 (Equivalence of Sobolev norms). Let $d \geq 2$ and $a \geq-\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}$.
(1) Let $-d<\alpha<2+2 \nu_{0}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Further assume that $p$ satisfies

$$
\max \left\{0, \frac{\sigma+\alpha}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}, \frac{d+\alpha}{d}\right\} .
$$

Then for all $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a}^{\alpha / 2} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Let $-2 \nu_{0}-2<\beta<d$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Further assume that $p$ satisfies

$$
\max \left\{0, \frac{\beta}{d}, \frac{\sigma}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma+\beta}{d}\right\} .
$$

Then for all $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a}^{\beta / 2} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|(-\Delta)^{\beta / 2} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.6. We want to emphasize part (2) of Corollary 1.5 in dimension $d=2$ : in this case, $a \geq 0$, and our result simply says that $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ is $L^{p}$-bounded for $1<p<2$. In particular, this implies that for $1<p<2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||x|^{-1} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \lesssim\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is consistent with the fact that Hardy's inequality, which is (1.18) at the $p=2$ endpoint, is false. In this sense, the range for which we proved the inequality (1.17) is sharp in dimension two.
1.3. Applications. Since $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ is a positive self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By the spectral theorem, for any bounded Borel function $F$, we can define the operator $F\left(\mathcal{L}_{a}\right)$ by the formula

$$
F\left(\mathcal{L}_{a}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \mathrm{d} E_{\mathcal{L}_{a}}(\lambda)
$$

By the intertwining property $W_{ \pm}(-\Delta)=\mathcal{L}_{a} W_{ \pm}$and the spectral theorem, we have $W \mathrm{~d} E_{-\Delta}(\lambda)=\mathrm{d} E_{\mathcal{L}_{a}}(\lambda) W$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\mathcal{L}_{a}\right)=W_{ \pm} F\left(H_{0}\right) W_{ \pm}^{*} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Application 1: Dispersive estimates. Let $e^{i t \mathcal{L}}{ }_{a} f$ be the solution of the free Schrödinger equation with inverse-square potential and initial data $f$. Using (1.19) and the $L^{p}-L^{p^{\prime}}$ estimates for the free Schrödinger operator $e^{-i t \Delta}$,

$$
\left\|e^{-i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}} \lesssim|t|^{-d\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}, \quad \forall t \neq 0
$$

with $1 \leq p \leq 2$, and by Theorem 1.1] we obtain the dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger operator $e^{-i t \mathcal{L}_{a}}$ as follows:

Corollary 1.7 (Dispersive estimate for Schrödinger equations). Let $d \geq 2, a \geq$ $-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}\right\}$. Then, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-i t \mathcal{L}_{a}} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim|t|^{-d\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}, \quad \forall t \neq 0 \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the wave propagator, the following dispersive estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\sin (t \sqrt{-\Delta})}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim|t|^{-(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{\theta_{p, d}, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}, \quad \forall t \neq 0 \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{p, d}=\frac{d+1}{p}-\frac{d+3}{2} . \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality together with Theorem 1.3 yields the dispersive estimates for the wave propagator $\frac{\sin \left(t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}$.

Corollary 1.8 (Dispersive estimate for wave equations). Let $d \geq 2, a \geq-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}\right\}$. If further assume that $\frac{1}{p}>\frac{3}{2}-\frac{d}{2}+\sigma$, then there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\sin \left(t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim|t|^{-(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{\theta_{p, d}, p}}, \quad \forall t \neq 0 . \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (1.19), we have $\frac{\sin \left(t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}=W_{ \pm} \frac{\sin (t \sqrt{-\Delta})}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} W_{ \pm}^{*}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{\sin \left(t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & =\left\|W_{ \pm} \frac{\sin (t \sqrt{-\Delta})}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} W_{ \pm}^{*} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\frac{\sin (t \sqrt{-\Delta})}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} W_{ \pm}^{*} f\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}  \tag{1.24}\\
& \lesssim|t|^{-(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left\|W_{ \pm}^{*} f\right\|_{\dot{W}^{\theta_{p, d}, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim|t|^{-(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{\theta_{p, d}, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}, \tag{1.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where in (1.24), we used that $\max \left\{0, \frac{\sigma}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}\right\}$, and in (1.25), we used that

$$
-2 \nu_{0}-2<\theta_{p, d}<d, \max \left\{0, \frac{\theta_{p, d}}{d}, \frac{\sigma}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}, \frac{d-\sigma+\theta_{p, d}}{d}\right\}
$$

Remark 1.9. As we mentioned before, Fanelli, Felli, Fontelos, and Primo have proven (1.20) with $d \in\{2,3\}$ in [13, 14, while we prove the dispersive estimates for $e^{i t \mathcal{L}_{a}}$ in all dimensions $d \geq 4$. We stress that the dispersive estimates for the propagator $\frac{\sin \left(t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}$ are new for all dimensions $d \geq 2$.
Application 2: Strichartz estimates. Using the classical Strichartz estimates for the free Schrödinger operator $e^{-i t \Delta}$ (see Keel-Tao [19] and Strichartz [40])

$$
\left\|e^{-i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

with $(q, r) \in \Lambda_{0}:=\left\{(q, r): q, r \geq 2, \frac{2}{q}=d\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}\right),(q, r, d) \neq(2, \infty, 2)\right\}$, we recover the Strichartz estimates for $e^{i t \mathcal{L}_{a}}$.

Corollary 1.10 (Strichartz estimates for Schrödinger equations). Let $d \geq 2$ and $a \geq-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$. Then for any $(q, r) \in \Lambda_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \mathcal{L}_{a}} f\right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the condition $\frac{1}{r} \in\left(\frac{\sigma}{d}, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}\right)$, the spectral theorem gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{i t \mathcal{L}_{a}} f\right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & =\left\|W e^{-i t \Delta} W^{*} f\right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|e^{-i t \Delta} W^{*} f\right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|W^{*} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $I$ be a time interval and let $u: I \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz solution to the homogeneous wave equation $\partial_{t t} u-\Delta u=0$ with the initial data $(f, g)$. Then we have the estimates

$$
\|u\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}+\|g\|_{\dot{W}^{s-1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

whenever $s \geq 0,2 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $2 \leq r<\infty$ obey the scaling condition

$$
\frac{1}{q}+\frac{d}{r}=\frac{d}{2}-s
$$

and the wave admissibility conditions

$$
\frac{1}{q}+\frac{d-1}{2 r} \leq \frac{d-1}{4} .
$$

One could also obtain the following Strichartz estimates for the wave equation with inverse-square potential using Theorem 1.3

Corollary 1.11 (Strichartz estimates for wave equation). Let $d \geq 2, a \geq-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$, and $u$ be the solution of wave equation $\partial_{t t} u-\mathcal{L}_{a} u=0$ with Cauchy data $(f, g) \in$ $\dot{W}^{s, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \times \dot{W}^{s-1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $0<s<\frac{d}{2}$. Let $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $2 \leq r<\infty$ such that $\frac{2}{q}+\frac{d-1}{r} \leq \frac{d-1}{2}(q>2$, if $d=3$ and $q>4$, if $d=2)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}+\|g\|_{\dot{W}^{s-1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{d}{r}$.
Proof. Let $\tilde{u}$ be the solution of the wave equation without potential with Cauchy data $\left(W^{*} f, W^{*} g\right)$, then $\left(W^{*} f, W^{*} g\right) \in \dot{W}^{s, 2} \times \dot{W}^{s-1,2}$. By $W^{s, p}$-boundedness of $W$ and $W^{*}$, since we have

$$
\max \left\{0, \frac{\sigma}{d}, \frac{s}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{r}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}, \frac{d-\sigma+s-1}{d}\right\}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}} & =\|W \tilde{u}\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq\|\tilde{u}\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|W^{*} f\right\|_{\dot{W}^{s, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}+\left\|W^{*} g\right\|_{\dot{W}^{s-1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}+\|g\|_{\dot{W}^{s-1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Application 3: Sogge's local smoothing estimates. By the $W^{s, p}$-boundedness of wave operators, we can extend some results of conjectures in harmonic analysis associated to $-\Delta$, such as the local smoothing conjecture, Bochner-Riesz conjecture, and restriction conjecture, to the operator $\mathcal{L}_{a}$. For simplicity, we take Sogge's local smoothing conjecture for example.

Conjecture 1.12 (Sogge's Local smoothing conjecture, 37). For $d \geq 2$ and $a \geq$ $-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left\|e^{i t \sqrt{-\Delta}} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim\|f\|_{W^{s_{p}-\varepsilon, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all

$$
\varepsilon< \begin{cases}s_{p}, & \text { if } 2<p \leq \frac{2 d}{d-1} \\ \frac{1}{p}, & \text { if } \frac{2 d}{d-1}<p<\infty\end{cases}
$$

For the history and progress on the local smoothing conjecture, we refer the readers to [4, 15]. By the intertwining property (1.19) and Theorem 1.3, we establish the local smoothing estimates for the propagator $e^{i t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}$ as follows:

Corollary 1.13 (Local smoothing estimates for $e^{i t \sqrt{\mathcal{L a}_{a}}}$. Let $d \geq 2$, and $a \geq$ $-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}$. Suppose that (1.28) holds for $p \geq p_{\text {known }}$. Then, for $p \geq p_{\text {known }}$ and $\max \left\{\frac{s_{p}-\varepsilon}{d}, \frac{\sigma}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the following estimate is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left\|e^{i t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim\|f\|_{W^{s_{p}-\varepsilon, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} . \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Under the assumption for $p$, we know that $W$ is $L^{p}$-bounded and $W^{*}$ is $W^{s_{p}-\varepsilon, p_{-} \text {bounded. Hence, }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left\|e^{i t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & =\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left\|W e^{i t \sqrt{-\Delta}} W^{*} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left\|e^{i t \sqrt{-\Delta}} W^{*} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|W^{*} f\right\|_{W^{s_{p}-\varepsilon, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{W^{s_{p}-\varepsilon, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Application 4: Uniform resolvent inequality. For the Laplacian $-\Delta$, the uniform Sobolev inequality has been proved by Kenig-Ruiz-Sogge [20] which is given as follows: for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(-\Delta-z)^{-1} f\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C|z|^{\frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)-1}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}, \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \geq 3$ and $(p, q)$ satisfies the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{d+1} \leq \frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{2}{d}, \quad \frac{2 d}{d+3}<p<\frac{2 d}{d+1}, \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{2 d}{d-1}<q<\frac{2 d}{d-3} . \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

See also the paper of Gutiérrez [16, which proved that the condition (1.31) is sharp. More recently, Evéquoz [7] applied the method of [16] to show the uniform resolvent estimate (1.30) in dimension $d=2$ provided that $(1 / p, 1 / q)$ is contained in the pentagon

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right): \frac{2}{3} \leq \frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}<1, \frac{3}{4}<\frac{1}{p} \leq 1,0 \leq \frac{1}{q}<\frac{1}{4}\right\} . \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 one can extend (1.30) to the operator $\mathcal{L}_{a}$. To state our result, with $\nu_{0}$ as in (1.10), we write

$$
\mu_{a}:=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \nu_{0}\right\}
$$

Corollary 1.14 (Uniform Sobolev inequality). For $d \geq 3$, we suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{d+1} \leq \frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{2}{d}, \quad \frac{2 d}{d+2\left(1+\mu_{a}\right)}<p<\frac{2 d}{d+1}, \quad \frac{2 d}{d-1}<q<\frac{2 d}{d-2\left(1+\mu_{a}\right)} \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

While for $d=2$, we assume that $(1 / p, 1 / q)$ is as in (1.32). Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}^{+}, f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\mathcal{L}_{a}-z\right)^{-1} f\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C|z|^{\frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)-1}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.15. The result of Corollary 1.14 with $d=2$ is new. For $d \geq 3$, the estimates for (1.34) were first proved by Bouclet and Mizutani (6) and Mizutani
(31] under (1.33) and an extra assumption $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. Mizutani-Zhang-Zheng [33] proved Corollary 1.14 for

$$
\mu_{a}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}, & \nu_{0} \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ \tilde{\nu}_{0}, & 0<\nu_{0}<\frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

with $\tilde{\nu}_{0}=\frac{\nu_{0}^{2}}{1-2 \nu_{0}^{2}}$. Our result extends their results to $\mu_{a}=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \nu_{0}\right\}$, since $\tilde{\nu}_{0}<\nu_{0}$ for $\nu_{0}<\frac{1}{2}$.

See Figure 1 for an illustration of condition (1.33):

- When $a \geq-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}+\frac{1}{4}$, or equivalently $\nu_{0} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, (1.33) coincides with (1.31) and corresponds to the interior of the trapezium $A B B^{\prime} A^{\prime}$ unioned with the two open line segments $A A^{\prime}, B B^{\prime}$ (see Figure 1), in which case Corollary 1.14 gives the full range of uniform Sobolev inequalities for $\mathcal{L}_{a}$.
- When $-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4} \leq a<-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}+\frac{1}{4}$, the condition on $(p, q)$ in the paper of Mizutani-Zhang-Zheng [33] corresponds to the interior of the shaded hexagon $C D B B^{\prime} D^{\prime} C^{\prime}$, unioned with the two open line segments $B B^{\prime}$ and $C C^{\prime}$. From our work, we extend the region of validity of the uniform Sobolev inequality to the interior of the larger shaded hexagon $E F B B^{\prime} F^{\prime} E^{\prime}$ unioned with the two open line segments $B B^{\prime}$ and $E E^{\prime}$, which corresponds to the condition (1.33) of Corollary 1.14

Application 5: Multiplier theorem. It follows from Sogge 38, Theorem 0.2.6] that $m(\sqrt{-\Delta})$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0 \leq|\alpha|<n} \sup _{\lambda>0} \lambda^{-d}\left\||\lambda|^{\alpha} D^{\alpha}(\chi(\cdot / \lambda) m(\cdot))\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}<\infty \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integer $n>\frac{d}{2}$, whenever $\chi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}\right)$. This, together with $L^{p_{-}}$ boundedness of wave operators yields the following multiplier theorem for $\mathcal{L}_{a}$.

Corollary 1.16. Let $m \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ satisfy the condition (1.35). Then $m\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$ when a>0, and for $p_{0}^{\prime}<p<p_{0}=\frac{d}{\sigma}$ when $-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{2} \leq a<0$.

Remark 1.17. Noting that the condition

$$
\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{j} m(\lambda)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{-j}, \quad \forall j \geq 0
$$

implies that $m$ satisfies the assumption (1.35), Corollary 1.16 also implies the Mikhlin-type multiplier theorem of Killip-Miao-Visan-Zhang-Zheng [22].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give some preliminaries which will be used in the following sections. In Section 3, we construct two intertwining operators which turns out to be the stationary wave operators. The proof of the $L^{p}$-boundedness is given in Section 4 In Section 5 we give the $L^{p}$-boundedness of two Riesz-type operators, which implies the $W^{s, p}$-boundedness of wave operators. In Appendices $A$ and $B$, we give the proof for two technical lemmas.

We conclude this introduction by defining some notation which will be used throughout this paper. If $X, Y$ are nonnegative quantities, we use $X \lesssim Y$ or $X=O(Y)$ to denote the estimate $X \leq C Y$ for some constant $C>0$. We use $\lfloor s\rfloor$


Figure 1. An illustration of condition (1.33) of Corollary 1.14 , $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, E^{\prime}$ are dual points of $A, B, C, D, E$, respectively, and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A=\left(\frac{d+1}{2 d}, \frac{d-3}{2 d}\right), & B=\left(\frac{d+1}{2 d}, \frac{d^{2}-3 d+1}{2 d(d+1)}\right), \\
C=\left(\frac{d+2\left(1-\tilde{\nu}_{0}\right)}{2 d}, \frac{d-2\left(1+\tilde{\nu}_{0}\right)}{2 d}\right), & D=\left(\frac{d+1}{2 d}, \frac{d-2\left(1+\tilde{\nu}_{0}\right)}{2 d}\right), \\
E=\left(\frac{d+2\left(1-\nu_{0}\right)}{2 d}, \frac{d-2\left(1+\nu_{0}\right)}{2 d}\right), & F=\left(\frac{d+1}{2 d}, \frac{d-2\left(1+\nu_{0}\right)}{2 d}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

The two trapeziums $C D F E$ and $C^{\prime} D^{\prime} F^{\prime} E^{\prime}$ are new when $-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4} \leq a<-\frac{(d-2)^{2}}{4}+\frac{1}{4}$.
to denote the floor of $s$, i.e. the largest integer that does not exceed $s .\langle f(r), g(r)\rangle$ denotes the inner product between $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r\right)$, i.e.

$$
\langle f(r), g(r)\rangle=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(r) g(r) r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r
$$

In the course of proving our results, we will need to further introduce more notation, which we summarize in Table 1 at the end of this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first recall some basic properties of gamma, Bessel and hypergeometric functions and Fox $H$-functions. Then, we prove the $L^{p}$-boundedness of a singular integral operator, and recall a discrete multiplier theorem for spherical harmonics which is crucial in proving our main result.
2.1. Gamma function and reciprocal gamma function. For a complex number $z$ with $\operatorname{Re} z>0$, the gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ is defined by

$$
\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} d t
$$

and analytically continued to $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}$. Let $b, c$ be two positive constants. Then the following asymptotic expression is a generalization of the Stirling formula (see 41),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Gamma(z+b)}{\Gamma(z+c)} \simeq z^{b-c}\left[1+C_{1} \frac{1}{z}+C_{2} \frac{1}{z^{2}}+\ldots\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$ along any curve joining $z=0$ and $z=\infty$ provided $z \neq-b,-b-1,-b-$ $2, \ldots$, and $z \neq-c,-c-1,-c-2, \ldots$, where

$$
C_{1}=\frac{(b-c)(b+c-1)}{2}, \quad C_{2}=\frac{1}{12}\binom{b-c}{2}\left[3(b+c-1)^{2}-(b-c+1)\right]
$$

The reciprocal Gamma function $\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}$ is an analytic function near $z=0$ with the Taylor expansion

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}=z+\gamma z^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{j} z^{j}
$$

where $\gamma$ is Euler's constant, see [1, p. 256].
2.2. Polygamma function. As in [1, p. 258, 260], polygamma functions $\psi^{(m)}(x)$ of order $m$ are defined as the $(m+1)$-th derivative of the logarithm of the gamma function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{(0)}(x) & :=\psi(x)=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(x)}{\Gamma(x)} \\
\psi^{(m)}(x) & :=\frac{d^{m} \psi(x)}{d x^{m}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1. The polygamma function has the following asymptotic expansion as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{(m)}(z) & \sim(-1)^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+m-1)!B_{k}}{k!z^{k+m}}, \quad m \geq 1 \\
\psi^{(0)} & \sim \ln (z)-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{k}}{k z^{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{k}$ are the Bernoulli numbers with $B_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$.
2.3. The Bessel functions. The Bessel function of the first kind of order $\nu$ $\left(\operatorname{Re} \nu>-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is defined by (see [43])

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\nu}(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right)^{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4} z^{2}\right)^{k}}{k!\Gamma(\nu+k+1)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Bessel function of the second kind (a.k.a. Weber's function) of order $\nu$ is

$$
Y_{\nu}(z)=\frac{J_{\nu}(z) \cos (\nu \pi)-J_{-\nu}(z)}{\sin (\nu \pi)}
$$

When $\nu$ is an integer, the right hand side is given by the limiting value

$$
Y_{n}(z)=\left.\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial J_{\nu}(z)}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\nu=n}+\left.\frac{(-1)^{n}}{\pi} \frac{\partial J_{\nu}(z)}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\nu=-n} .
$$

The Bessel function of the third kind (a.k.a. Hankel function) of order $\nu$ is

$$
H_{\nu}^{(1)}(z)=J_{\nu}(z)+i Y_{\nu}(z), \quad H_{\nu}^{(2)}=J_{\nu}(z)-i Y_{\nu}(z)
$$

Lemma 2.2 (Watson [43, p. 429]). The following equality is valid

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{t^{2}-r^{2}} J_{\nu}(a t) J_{\nu}(b t) \mathrm{d} t=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \pi \mathrm{i} J_{\nu}(b r) H_{\nu}^{(1)}(a r), b<a \\
\frac{1}{2} \pi \mathrm{i} J_{\nu}(a r) H_{\nu}^{(1)}(b r), a<b
\end{array}\right.
$$

Another formula we need is the indefinite integral for products of two Bessel functions (see [34, p. 241]).

Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathscr{C}_{\nu}(z)$ and $\mathscr{D}_{\nu}(z)$ be any nontrivial linear combination of $J_{\nu}(z), Y_{\nu}(z)$, and $H_{\nu}^{(1)}(z)$ (not necessarily different). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathscr{C}_{\mu}(z) \mathscr{D}_{\nu}(z) \frac{\mathrm{d} z}{z}=-z \frac{\mathscr{C}_{\mu+1}(z) \mathscr{D}_{\nu}(z)-\mathscr{C}_{\mu}(z) \mathscr{D}_{\nu+1}(z)}{\mu^{2}-\nu^{2}}+\frac{\mathscr{C}_{\mu}(z) \mathscr{D}_{\nu}(z)}{\mu+\nu} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the proof of Proposition 2 in [11], we can derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{J_{\mu}(t) Y_{\nu}(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t= & \frac{z Y_{\nu}(z)}{\mu+\nu}\left(\frac{J_{\mu+1}(z)-J_{\nu+1}(z)}{\mu-\nu}\right)-\frac{z Y_{\nu+1}(z)}{\mu+\nu}\left(\frac{J_{\mu}(z)-J_{\nu}(z)}{\mu-\nu}\right) \\
& -\frac{J_{\mu}(z) Y_{\nu}(z)}{\mu+\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{z} \frac{J_{\mu}(t) J_{\nu}(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t=-z \frac{J_{\mu+1}(z) J_{\nu}(z)-J_{\mu}(z) J_{\nu+1}(z)}{\mu^{2}-\nu^{2}}+\frac{J_{\mu}(z) J_{\nu}(z)}{\mu+\nu}
$$

Hence, combining the Wronskians for Bessel equations (see [34, p. 222]),

$$
J_{\nu+1}(z) Y_{\nu}(z)-J_{\nu}(z) Y_{\nu+1}(z)=\frac{2}{\pi z}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{s} Y_{\mu}(s \lambda) J_{\mu}(\rho \lambda) J_{\nu}(\rho \lambda) \frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\rho}+\int_{s}^{\infty} J_{\mu}(s \lambda) Y_{\mu}(\rho \lambda) J_{\nu}(\rho \lambda) \frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\rho} \\
= & \frac{2}{\left(\nu^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \pi}\left[J_{\nu}(s \lambda)-J_{\mu}(s \lambda)\right] . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

2.4. The hypergeometric function ${ }_{2} F_{1}$. See [1, p. 556]. Recall that Pochhammer's symbol $(z)_{n}$ is

$$
(z)_{n}:=z(z+1)(z+2) \ldots(z+n-1)=\frac{\Gamma(z+n)}{\Gamma(z)}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

with $(z)_{0}=1$. The hypergeometric function ${ }_{2} F_{1}(a ; b ; c ; z)$ is defined by the Gauss series

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{2} F_{1}(a ; b ; c ; z) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n} n!} z^{n}=1+\frac{a b}{c} z+\frac{a(a+1) b(b+1)}{c(c+1) 2!} z^{2}+\ldots \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n) \Gamma(b+n)}{\Gamma(c+n) n!} z^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

on the disk $|z|<1$, and by analytic continuation elsewhere. Near the branch point $z=1$, when $\operatorname{Re}(c-a-b)<0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow 1^{-}}(1-z)^{a+b-c}{ }_{2} F_{1}(a ; b ; c ; z)=\frac{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(a+b-c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4 ([26, p. 74]). The following Weber-Sonin-Schafheitlin integral can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t J_{\mu}(a t) J_{\nu}(b t) \mathrm{d} t= & \frac{2 b^{\nu} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} \mu+\frac{1}{2} \nu+1\right)}{a^{\nu+2} \Gamma(\nu+1) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} \mu-\frac{1}{2} \nu\right)} \\
& \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\mu+\nu}{2}+1, \frac{\nu-\mu}{2}+1 ; \nu+1 ; \frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0<b<a$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t J_{\mu}(a t) J_{\nu}(b t) \mathrm{d} t= & \frac{2 a^{\mu} \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu+\nu}{2}+1\right)}{b^{\mu+2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-\mu}{2}\right) \Gamma(\mu+1)} \\
& \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\mu+\nu}{2}+1, \frac{\mu-\nu}{2}+1 ; \mu+1 ; \frac{a^{2}}{b^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0<a<b$, as long as $\mu, \nu$ are such that the integral is convergent.
2.5. Mellin transform. The Mellin transform of a function is defined by

$$
\mathcal{M}(f(s))(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(s) s^{z-1} d s
$$

as long as the above integral is valid.
The inverse Mellin transform is defined by

$$
\mathcal{M}^{-1}(g(z))(s)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} s^{-z} g(z) \mathrm{d} z
$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is a curve in $\mathbb{C}$ which are specially chosen.

### 2.6. Fox $H$-functions.

Definition 2.5 (21). For integers $m, n, p, q$ such that $0 \leq m \leq q, 0 \leq n \leq p$, for $a_{i}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$ and for $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}=(0, \infty)(i=1,2, \ldots, p ; j=1,2, \ldots, q)$, the Fox $H$-function $H_{p, q}^{m, n}(z)$ is defined via a Mellin-Barnes type integral in the form

$$
H_{p, q}^{m, n}(z):=H_{p, q}^{m, n}\left[z \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.a_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{p}, \alpha_{p}\right) \\
\left(b_{1}, \beta_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(b_{q}, \beta_{q}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right.\right]=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{H}_{p, q}^{m, n}(s) z^{-s} d s
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_{p, q}^{m, n}(s) & \left.:=\mathcal{H}_{p, q}^{m, n}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(a_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{p}, \alpha_{p}\right) \\
\left(b_{1}, \beta_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(b_{q}, \beta_{q}\right)
\end{array}\right) s\right] \\
& :=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma\left(b_{j}+\beta_{j} s\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma\left(1-a_{i}-\alpha_{i} s\right)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^{p} \Gamma\left(a_{i}+\alpha_{i} s\right) \prod_{j=m+1}^{q} \Gamma\left(1-b_{j}-\beta_{j} s\right)}, \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\mathcal{C}$ is the infinite contour in the complex plane which separate the poles

$$
b_{j l}=\frac{-b_{j}-l}{\beta_{j}} \quad(j=1, \ldots, m ; l=0,1,2, \ldots)
$$

of the Gamma functions $\Gamma\left(b_{j}+\beta_{j} s\right)$ to the left of $\mathcal{C}$ and the poles

$$
a_{i k}=\frac{1-a_{i}+k}{\alpha_{i}} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n ; k=0,1,2, \ldots)
$$

of the Gamma functions $\Gamma\left(1-a_{i}-\alpha_{i} s\right)$ to the right of $\mathcal{C}$.
The properties of the Fox $H$-function $H_{p, q}^{m, n}(z)$ depend on the parameters of $a^{*}$, $\Lambda, \varrho$ and $\delta$ which are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{*} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}-\sum_{i=n+1}^{p} \alpha_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j}-\sum_{j=m+1}^{q} \beta_{j}  \tag{2.7}\\
\Lambda & =\sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i}  \tag{2.8}\\
\varrho & =\sum_{j=1}^{q} b_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i}+\frac{p-q}{2}  \tag{2.9}\\
\delta & =\prod_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i}^{-\alpha_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \beta_{j}^{\beta_{j}} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition, it is immediate that

$$
\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{H}_{p, q}^{m, n}(s)\right)(z)=H_{p, q}^{m, n}(z), \quad \mathcal{M}^{-1}\left(H_{p, q}^{m, n}(z)\right)(s)=\mathcal{H}_{p, q}^{m, n}(s)
$$

In this paper, we only consider the Fox $H$-functions with fixed indices $H_{4,4}^{2,2}(z)$ with $\delta=1$. For $H_{4,4}^{2,2}(z)$ with $\delta=1$, we have the following power series expansions Lemma 2.6 (Theorem 1.3, [21]). Let $\Lambda=0$ and $0<|z|<\delta=1$. Then the Fox $H$-function $H_{4,4}^{2,2}(z)$ has the power series expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{4,4}^{2,2}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{1 n}^{*} z^{\frac{b_{1}+n}{\beta_{1}}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{2 n}^{*} z^{\frac{b_{2}+n}{\beta_{2}}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1 n}^{*} & =\lim _{s \rightarrow b_{1 n}}\left[\left(s-b_{1 n}\right) \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}\right] \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!\beta_{1}} \frac{\Gamma\left(b_{2}-\left[b_{1}+n\right] \frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\right) \Pi_{j=1}^{2} \Gamma\left(1-a_{j}+\left[b_{1}+n\right] \frac{\alpha_{j}}{\beta_{1}}\right)}{\Pi_{j=3}^{4} \Gamma\left(a_{j}-\left[b_{1}+n\right] \frac{\alpha_{j}}{\beta_{1}}\right) \Pi_{i=3}^{4} \Gamma\left(1-b_{i}-\left[b_{1}+n\right] \frac{\beta_{i}}{\beta_{1}}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{2 n}^{*} & =\lim _{s \rightarrow b_{2 n}}\left[\left(s-b_{2 n}\right) \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}\right] \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!\beta_{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(b_{1}-\left[b_{2}+n\right] \frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right) \Pi_{j=1}^{2} \Gamma\left(1-a_{j}+\left[b_{2}+n\right] \frac{\alpha_{j}}{\beta_{2}}\right)}{\Pi_{j=3}^{4} \Gamma\left(a_{j}-\left[b_{2}+n\right] \frac{\alpha_{j}}{\beta_{2}}\right) \Pi_{i=3}^{4} \Gamma\left(1-b_{i}-\left[b_{2}+n\right] \frac{\beta_{i}}{\beta_{2}}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.7 (Theorem 1.4, [21]). Let $\Lambda=0$ and $|z|>\delta=1$. Then the Fox $H$-function $H_{4,4}^{2,2}(z)$ has the powerseries expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{4,4}^{2,2}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{1 n} z^{\frac{a_{1}-1-n}{\alpha_{1}}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{2 n} z^{\frac{a_{2}-1-n}{\alpha_{2}}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1 n} & =\lim _{s \rightarrow a_{1 n}}\left[-\left(s-a_{1 n}\right) \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}\right] \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!\alpha_{1}} \frac{\Pi_{i=1}^{2} \Gamma\left(b_{i}+\left[1-a_{1}+n\right] \frac{\beta_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right) \Gamma\left(1-a_{2}-\left[1-a_{1}+n\right] \frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)}{\Pi_{j=3}^{4} \Gamma\left(a_{j}-\left[1-a_{1}+n\right] \frac{\alpha_{j}}{\alpha_{1}}\right) \Pi_{i=3}^{4} \Gamma\left(1-b_{i}-\left[1-a_{1}+n\right] \frac{\beta_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{2 n} & =\lim _{s \rightarrow a_{2 n}}\left[-\left(s-a_{2 n}\right) \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}\right] \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!\alpha_{2}} \frac{\Pi_{i=1}^{2} \Gamma\left(b_{i}+\left[1-a_{2}+n\right] \frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right) \Gamma\left(1-a_{1}-\left[1-a_{2}+n\right] \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)}{\Pi_{j=3}^{4} \Gamma\left(a_{j}-\left[1-a_{2}+n\right] \frac{\alpha_{j}}{\alpha_{2}}\right) \Pi_{i=3}^{4} \Gamma\left(1-b_{i}-\left[1-a_{2}+n\right] \frac{\beta_{i}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.7. Singular integral operators on the half-line. We first easily get Young's inequality for convolutions on the half-line $(0, \infty)$ with Haar measure $\mathrm{d} r / r$ as follows:

Lemma 2.8 (Young's inequality). For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we have

$$
\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} f(\rho) g(r / \rho) \frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\rho}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)} \leq\|f(r)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)}\|g(r)\|_{L^{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)}
$$

As a corollary of Lemma [2.8, we have the following result.
Lemma 2.9. The operator

$$
T f(r)=\int_{\frac{r}{2}}^{r}\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right)\right| f(s) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}+\int_{r}^{2 r}\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}\right)\right| f(s) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}
$$

is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \frac{\mathrm{d} r}{r}\right)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.
Proof. It is easy to notice that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\ln \left(1-s^{2}\right)\right| \chi_{\{1 / 2<s<1\}} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}<\infty
$$

Thus, by Lemma [2.8, we deduce that $T$ is $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \frac{\mathrm{d} r}{r}\right)$ bounded.
The following lemma is a variant of Schur's test, which will be used in the proof of Lemma 2.11 below.

Lemma 2.10 (Schur's test with weights, [25]). Suppose $(X, d \mu)$ and $(Y, d \nu)$ are measure spaces, and $w(x, y)$ is a positive measurable function defined on $X \times Y$. $\operatorname{Let} K(x, y): X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{x \in X} \int_{Y} w(x, y)^{\frac{1}{p}}|K(x, y)| d \nu(y) & =C_{0}<\infty \\
\sup _{y \in Y} \int_{X} w(x, y)^{-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}|K(x, y)| d \mu(x) & =C_{1}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $1<p<\infty$. Then the operator $T$ defined by

$$
T f(x)=\int_{Y} K(x, y) f(y) d \nu(y)
$$

is a bounded operator from $L^{p}(Y, d \nu)$ to $L^{p}(X, d \mu)$. In particular,

$$
\|T f\|_{L^{p}(X, d \mu)} \leq C_{0}^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} C_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(Y, d \nu)}
$$

Using the $L^{p}$-boundedness of the Hilbert transform and Schur's test in Lemma 2.10, we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.11. Let $\mu_{0}=\frac{d}{2}-1$ and $\nu_{0}=\sqrt{\mu_{0}^{2}+a}$. For $r \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, define

$$
T f(r)=\int_{\frac{r}{2}}^{r}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{0}+\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1} \frac{f(s)}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s} \quad-\int_{r}^{2 r}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+\nu_{0}+1} \frac{f(s)}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s},
$$

then $T$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \frac{\mathrm{d} r}{r}\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$.
Proof. Note that $T$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \frac{\mathrm{d} r}{r}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\left\|r^{-\frac{1}{p}} T f(r)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathrm{d} r\right)} \lesssim\left\|r^{-\frac{1}{p}} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathrm{d} r\right)}
$$

Hence we define the following modified operator $\widetilde{T}$ with

$$
\widetilde{T} f(r)=\int_{\frac{r}{2}}^{r}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{0}+\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d-1}{p}+1} \frac{f(s)}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s}-\int_{r}^{2 r}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+\nu_{0}+1} \frac{f(s)}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s}
$$

and it suffices to prove that $\widetilde{T}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathrm{d} r\right)$.
Let $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathrm{d} r\right)$, which we identify with its extension by zero to a function on $\mathbb{R}$. For $r>0$, write $\widetilde{T} f(r)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{T}(r, s) f(s) \mathrm{d} s$ with

$$
\widetilde{T}(r, s)=\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{0}+\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d-1}{p}} \frac{r}{r^{2}-s^{2}} \chi_{\{1 / 2<s / r<1\}}+\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+\nu_{0}+1} \frac{s}{r^{2}-s^{2}} \chi_{\{1<s / r<2\}}
$$

We also identify $\widetilde{T} f$ with its extension by zero. It is easy to check that $\widetilde{T}(r, s)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\widetilde{T}(r, s)| & <C \frac{1}{|r-s|} \\
\left|\partial_{r} \widetilde{T}(r, s)+\partial_{s} \widetilde{T}(r, s)\right| & \leq C \frac{1}{|r-s|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with Calderón-Zygmund singular integral theory (See [12, Chapter $5])$, in order to prove $T$ is bounded in $L^{p}(\mathbb{R} ; \mathrm{d} r)$ for $1<p<\infty$, it suffices to prove that $T$ is bounded in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R} ; \mathrm{d} r)$. We write $T f(r)$ as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T} f(r)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(F(r, s)-1) f(s) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{r-s}+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{r-s} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(r, s) & =\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d-1}{p}-1} \frac{r}{r+s} \chi_{\{r / 2<s<r\}}-\frac{1}{2}+\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+\nu_{0}+1} \frac{s}{r+s} \chi_{\{r<s<2 r\}}-\frac{1}{2}+1 \\
& =:\left[F^{+}(r, s)-\frac{1}{2}\right]+\left[F^{-}(r, s)-\frac{1}{2}\right]+1
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{T}^{+} f(r)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[F^{+}(r, s)-\frac{1}{2}\right] f(s) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{r-s} \\
& \widetilde{T}^{-} f(r)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[F^{-}(r, s)-\frac{1}{2}\right] f(s) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{r-s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the boundedness of the Hilbert transform, we know that the convolution operator

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{r-s}
$$

is bounded in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R} ; \mathrm{d} r)$. Hence, it remains to show that the operators $\widetilde{T}^{ \pm}$are $L^{2}$-bounded. To do this, we rewrite the kernels as

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{+}(r, s)-\frac{1}{2} & =\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d-1}{p}-1}\left(\frac{r}{r+s}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \chi_{\{r / 2<s<r\}}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d-1}{p}-1}-1\right] \chi_{\{r / 2<s<r\}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d-1}{p}-1} \frac{r-s}{2(r+s)} \chi_{\{r / 2<s<r\}}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d-1}{p}-1}-1\right] \chi_{\{r<s<2 r\}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{-}(r, s)-\frac{1}{2} \\
= & \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+\nu_{0}+1}\left(\frac{s}{r+s}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \chi_{\{r<s<2 r\}}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+\nu_{0}+1}-1\right] \chi_{\{r<s<2 r\}} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+\nu_{0}+1} \frac{s-r}{2(r+s)} \chi_{\{r<s<2 r\}}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d-1 s}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+\nu_{0}+1}-1\right] \chi_{\{r<s<2 r\}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{T}^{+} f(r) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[F^{+}(r, s)-\frac{1}{2}\right] f(s) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{r-s} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{r / 2}^{r}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d-1}{p}-1} \frac{f(s)}{2(r+s)} \mathrm{d} s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{r / 2}^{r}\left[\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d-1}{p}-1}-1\right] f(s) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{r-s} \\
& \triangleq \widetilde{T}_{1}^{+} f(r)+\widetilde{T}_{2}^{+} f(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $w(r, s)=(r / s)^{1 / 2}$ in Lemma 2.10, we can check that

$$
\sup _{r>0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r+s} \frac{r^{1 / 2}}{s^{1 / 2}} d s \lesssim 1, \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{s>0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r+s} \frac{s^{1 / 2}}{r^{1 / 2}} d r \lesssim 1
$$

Hence, $\widetilde{T}_{1}^{+}$is $L^{2}(\mathbb{R} ; \mathrm{d} r)$ bounded.
For $\widetilde{T}_{2}^{+}$, a direct computation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{r} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d-1}{p}-1}}{1-\frac{s}{r}} \chi_{\{r / 2<s<r\}} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \lesssim 1 \\
& \sup _{s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d-1}{p}-1}}{1-\frac{s}{r}} \chi_{\{r / 2<s<r\}} \frac{\mathrm{d} r}{r} \lesssim 1
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields the $L^{2}(\mathbb{R} ; \mathrm{d} r)$ boundedness of $\widetilde{T}_{2}^{+}$. By the same argument, we get the $L^{2}$-boundedness of $\widetilde{T}$.

In conclusion, we derive that $T$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; \frac{\mathrm{d} r}{r}\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$.
2.8. A discrete multiplier theorem for spherical harmonics. We denote $Y_{k, l}(\omega)$ to be the $l$-th spherical harmonic of degree $k$. These are homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree $k$ restricted to the surface of unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. It is known that $Y_{k, l}(\omega)$ are the eigenfunctions of the angular part of $\Delta$ with eigenvalues $-k(k+d-2) .\left\{Y_{k, l}\right\}_{k, l}$ forms a complete orthonormal system in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right)$ in the sense that for any given function $F(\omega) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right)$, we expand $F(\omega)$ in spherical harmonics

$$
F(\omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} F_{k, l} Y_{k, l}(\omega)
$$

with coefficients $F_{k, l}=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} F(\omega) Y_{k, l}(\omega) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\omega)$. We write $\mathbb{P}_{k} F$ for the projection of $F$ to the spherical harmonics of degree $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}_{k} F(\omega):=\sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} F_{k, l} Y_{k, l}(\omega) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making use of zonal harmonics $Z^{k}, F(\omega)$ can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} F(\omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} Z^{k}(\theta \cdot \omega) F(\theta) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\theta) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

See [39, Chapter IV] for more information about spherical harmonics and zonal harmonics.

Define the forward finite difference operator acting on sequences $\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ by

$$
\mathfrak{D} \mathcal{C}_{k}:=\mathcal{C}_{k+1}-\mathcal{C}_{k}
$$

and inductively define the $N$ th order forward finite difference operator

$$
\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{C}_{k}:=\mathfrak{D}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{N-1} \mathcal{C}_{k}\right), \quad N \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

For the decomposition (2.15), we have the following multiplier theorem on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, which is crucial in proving our main result.

Theorem 2.12 (Bonami and Clerc, 5). Let $\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ be a sequence of complex numbers satisfying the conditions
$(\mathrm{BCi}) \sup _{k}\left|\mathcal{C}_{k}\right| \lesssim 1$,
(BCii) $\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{C}_{k}\right| \lesssim 1$ for $N=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$.
Then for $1<p<\infty$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{k} \mathbb{P}_{k} F\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} F\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right)} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.13. Notice that if ( $\overline{\mathrm{BCi}})$ and ( $\overline{\mathrm{BCiii}})$ are valid, by interpolation, we have for all $1 \leq m \leq N$,

$$
\sup _{j} 2^{j(m-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{m} \mathcal{C}_{k}\right| \lesssim 1
$$

The following lemma gives a sufficient condition for (BCii) if the sequence can be viewed as a smooth function of $k$.

Lemma 2.14. Suppose that a bounded sequence $\mathcal{C}_{k}=\mathcal{C}(k)$ for some smooth function $\mathcal{C}:[1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If one has

$$
\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} \mathcal{C}}{\mathrm{~d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim_{N} \frac{1}{k^{N}}, \quad N=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1,
$$

then, there holds

$$
\sup _{k} k^{N}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{C}_{k}\right| \lesssim_{N} 1
$$

This estimate implies (BCiil) for the sequence $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 0}$.
Proof. Using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and Remark 2.13, we have

$$
|\mathfrak{D C}(k)|=\left|\int_{k}^{k+1} \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(y) d y\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k}
$$

By induction, we have for $N=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$,

$$
\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{C}(k)\right|=\left|\int_{k}^{k+1} \int_{y_{1}}^{y_{1}+1} \cdots \int_{y_{N-1}}^{y_{N-1}+1} \mathcal{C}^{(N)}(z) d z d y_{N-1} \ldots d y_{1}\right| \lesssim k^{-N}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{C}_{k}\right| & <\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} 2^{j} \sup _{2^{j} \leq k \leq 2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{C}_{k}\right| \\
& \lesssim \sup _{k} k^{N}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{C}_{k}\right|<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Later, we need to determine whether the product of sequences satisfies the conditions ( $\overline{\mathrm{BCi}})$ and ( $\overline{\mathrm{BCiil}})$ or not. This is achieved by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.15. Suppose that $\left(\mathcal{G}_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ and $\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ both satisfy (BCi), $\left(\mathcal{G}_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ satisfies (BCiil), and $\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ satisfies the stronger condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k} k^{m}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{m} \mathcal{F}_{k}\right| \lesssim 1 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq m \leq N=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, then $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 0}:=\left\{\mathcal{G}_{k} \mathcal{F}_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 0}$ also satisfies the assumptions (BCi) and ( $\overline{\mathrm{BCii}})$ in Theorem 2.12,

Proof. For $N=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, using the Leibniz rule for finite difference, we have

$$
\mathfrak{D}^{N}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k} \mathcal{G}_{k}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{N}\binom{N}{m} \mathfrak{D}^{N-m} \mathcal{F}_{k} \mathfrak{D}^{m} \mathcal{G}_{k+N-m} .
$$

Hence, by Remark 2.13, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k} \mathcal{G}_{k}\right)\right| \\
= & \sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\sum_{m=0}^{N}\binom{N}{m} \mathfrak{D}^{N-m} \mathcal{F}_{k} \mathfrak{D}^{m} \mathcal{G}_{k+N-m}\right| \\
= & \sup _{j} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\sum_{m=0}^{N}\binom{N}{m} 2^{j(N-m)} \mathfrak{D}^{N-m} \mathcal{F}_{k} 2^{j(m-1)} \mathfrak{D}^{m} \mathcal{G}_{k+N-m}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq C_{N} \max _{1 \leq m \leq N} \sup _{j} 2^{j m}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{m} \mathcal{F}_{2^{j}}\right| \sup _{j} \sum_{k=2^{j}+N-m}^{2^{j+1}+N-m}\left|\sum_{m=0}^{N} 2^{j(m-1)} \mathfrak{D}^{m} \mathcal{G}_{k}\right| \lesssim_{N} 1
$$

## 3. Construction of stationary wave operators

In this section, we construct two operators $W$ and $W^{*}$ which "intertwine" $-\Delta$ and $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Later, we prove that these two intertwining operators are the wave operators $W_{-}$and $W_{-}^{*}$ as in (1.3) and (1.4) defined by the stationary method which is introduced by Kato and Kuroda [18] for $d \geq 2$.
3.1. Spherical harmonics decomposition of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Using the spherical harmonics expansion, for any function $f(x) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we can decompose it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r, \omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} f_{k, l}(r) Y_{k, l}(\omega)=: \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=|x|, \omega=\frac{x}{|x|}$, and each $f_{k, l}(r) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r\right)$. For this decomposition, we have the following identity

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(x)|^{2} d x=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f_{k, l}(r)\right|^{2} r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r
$$

This gives an isometric isomorphism between $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and the countable direct sum of $\mathscr{H}_{k, l}=\left\{f(r) Y_{k, l}(\omega) \mid f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r\right)\right\} \cong L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{I}: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) & \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k, l} \mathscr{H}_{k, l} \\
f & \mapsto\left\{f_{k, l}\right\}_{\substack{0 \leq k<\infty \\
1 \leq l \leq d_{k}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3.2. Bessel transform and Hankel transform. Each $\mathscr{H}_{k, l}$ reduces $-\Delta$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{H}_{0 ; k, l} & :=-\left.\Delta\right|_{\mathscr{H} k, l}=-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}-\frac{d-1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}(k(k+d-2)) \\
& =-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}-\frac{d-1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{d-2}{2}+k\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

If we denote $\mu_{k}=\frac{d-2}{2}+k$, then $\mathbb{H}_{0 ; k, l}$ has the generalized eigenfunction,

$$
e_{k}(r, \lambda)=(\lambda r)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_{\mu_{k}}(\lambda r) \quad \text { with eigenvalue } \lambda^{2}>0
$$

in the sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}_{0 ; k, l} e_{k}(r, \lambda)=\lambda^{2} e_{k}(r, \lambda) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $J_{\mu_{k}}$ are Bessel functions of the first kind of order $\mu_{k}$ as in Subsection 2.3 Now we define the Bessel transform in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} f(\lambda):=\left\langle f(r), e_{k}(r, \lambda)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(r)(\lambda r)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_{\mu_{k}}(r \lambda) r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the inner product in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r\right)$.

Lemma 3.1 (Properties of the Bessel Transform). There holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} f(\lambda)\right|^{2} \lambda^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda & =\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(r)|^{2} r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r  \tag{3.4}\\
\mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} & =I  \tag{3.5}\\
\mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}}\left(\mathbb{H}_{0 ; k, l} f\right)(\lambda) & =\lambda^{2} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} f(\lambda) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Using the integral formula

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda J_{\nu}(s \lambda) J_{\nu}(r \lambda) \mathrm{d} \lambda=\frac{\delta(r-s)}{s}
$$

we can easily obtain (3.4) and (3.5) by the definition of Bessel transform.
Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}}\left(\mathbb{H}_{0 ; k, l} f\right)(\lambda) & =\left\langle\mathbb{H}_{0 ; k, l} f(r), e_{k}(r, \lambda)\right\rangle=\left\langle f(r), \mathbb{H}_{0 ; k, l} e_{k}(r, \lambda)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f(r), \lambda^{2} e_{k}(r, \lambda)\right\rangle=\lambda^{2} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} f(\lambda),
\end{aligned}
$$

we get (3.6).
Restricting the operator $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ to $\mathscr{H}_{k, l}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{H}_{k, l}:=\left.\mathcal{L}_{a}\right|_{\mathscr{H}} ^{k, l},=-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{d-2}{2}+k\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}+a\right]
$$

with the generalized eigenfunction

$$
\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)=(\lambda r)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_{\nu_{k}}(\lambda r), \text { with eigenvalue } \lambda^{2}>0
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}_{k, l} \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)=\lambda^{2} \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\nu_{k}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{d-2}{2}+k\right)^{2}+a}$. We define the Hankel transform on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} f(\lambda):=\left\langle f(r), \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(\lambda)(r \lambda)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_{\nu_{k}}(r \lambda) r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have the following properties of the Hankel transform.

Lemma 3.2 (Properties of the Hankel transform). One has

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} f(\lambda)\right|^{2} \lambda^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda & =\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(r)|^{2} r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r  \tag{3.9}\\
\mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} & =I  \tag{3.10}\\
\mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}}\left(\mathbb{H}_{k, l} f\right)(\lambda) & =\lambda^{2} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} f(\lambda) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

3.3. Construction of intertwining operators. Now we define the operators $W_{k}=\mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}}$ and $W_{k}^{*}=\mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}}$ on each $\mathscr{H}_{k, l}$. Both operators are unitary on $\mathscr{H}_{k, l}$ by (3.4) and (3.9), in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|W_{k} f(r)\right|^{2} r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r=\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(r)|^{2} r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|W_{k}^{*} f(r)\right|^{2} r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r=\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(r)|^{2} r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we utilize the spherical harmonics decomposition to define two operators $W, W^{*}: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
W f(r, \omega) & :=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} W_{k} f_{k, l}(r) Y_{k, l}(\omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{k} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega),  \tag{3.14}\\
W^{*} f(r, \omega) & :=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} W_{k}^{*} f_{k, l}(r) Y_{k, l}(\omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{k}^{*} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega), \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{k}$ is as in (3.1).
Lemma 3.3 ( $L^{2}$ boundedness of wave operator and intertwining property). The operators $W$ and $W^{*}$ given by (3.14) and (3.15) are unitary on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and have the following intertwining property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{a} W=W(-\Delta), \quad W^{*} \mathcal{L}_{a}=(-\Delta) W^{*} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (3.12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|W f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|W_{k} f_{k, l}(r)\right|^{2} r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f_{k, l}(r)\right|^{2} r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r \\
& =\|f(x)\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $W$ is a unitary operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
To prove $\mathcal{L}_{a} W=W(-\Delta)$, note that for any $f(x) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(-\Delta) f(r, \omega) & =W(-\Delta)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} f_{k, l}(r) Y_{k, l}(\omega)\right) \\
& =W\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} \mathbb{H}_{0 ; k, l} f_{k, l}(r) Y_{k, l}(\omega)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}}\left[\mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} \mathbb{H}_{0 ; k, l} f_{k, l}(\lambda)\right](r) Y_{k, l}(\omega) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}}\left[\lambda^{2} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} f_{k, l}(\lambda)\right](r) Y_{k, l}(\omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{a} W f(r, \omega) & =\mathcal{L}_{a} W\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} f_{k, l}(r) Y_{k, l}(\omega)\right) \\
& =\mathcal{L}_{a}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} f_{k, l}(r) Y_{k, l}(\omega)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} \mathbb{H}_{k, l} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} f_{k, l}(r) Y_{k, l}(\omega) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \mathbb{H}_{k, l} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} f_{k, l}(r) Y_{k, l}(\omega) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{k}} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}}\left[\lambda^{2} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} f_{k, l}(\lambda)\right](r) Y_{k, l}(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $W(-\Delta)=\mathcal{L}_{a} W$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By the same argument, we obtain the desired result for the operator $W^{*}$.

Therefore, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.3.
3.4. The equivalence to the wave operators. In this subsection, we prove that the intertwining operators $W$ and $W^{*}$ defined by (3.14) and (3.15) are in fact the stationary wave operators $W_{-}$and $W_{-}^{*}$ given by (1.3) and (1.4), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W_{-}, \quad W^{*}=W_{-}^{*} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use $\mathrm{d} E_{\sqrt{-\Delta}}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{d} E_{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}(\lambda)$ to denote the spectral measure for $\sqrt{-\Delta}$ and $\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}$. By previous eigenfunction expansions (see also [18]), we have

$$
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} E_{\sqrt{-\Delta}}(\lambda)}{\mathrm{d} \lambda}\right|_{\mathscr{H}_{k, l}} f(r)=\left\langle e_{k}(r, \lambda), f(r)\right\rangle e_{k}(r, \lambda) \lambda^{d-1}
$$

and

$$
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} E_{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}(\lambda)}{\mathrm{d} \lambda}\right|_{\mathscr{H}_{k, l}} f(r)=\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda), f(r)\right\rangle \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda) \lambda^{d-1}
$$

The spectral measures for $-\Delta$ and $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ are given by

$$
\mathrm{d} E_{-\Delta}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)=\mathrm{d} E_{\sqrt{-\Delta}}(\lambda), \text { and } \quad \mathrm{d} E_{\mathcal{L}_{a}}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)=\mathrm{d} E_{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}(\lambda)
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} E_{-\Delta}}{\mathrm{d} \lambda}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \frac{\mathrm{~d} E_{\sqrt{-\Delta}}(\lambda)}{\mathrm{d} \lambda}, \text { and } \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} E_{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \frac{\mathrm{~d} E_{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}(\lambda)}{\mathrm{d} \lambda}
$$

Thus, the wave operator $W_{-}$is also given by

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{-} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} E_{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)\left(\mathcal{L}_{a}-\lambda^{2}\right) R_{0}\left(\lambda^{2}-i 0\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\lambda^{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} E_{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{a}}}(\lambda)}{\mathrm{d} \lambda}\left(\mathcal{L}_{a}-\lambda^{2}\right) R_{0}\left(\lambda^{2}-i 0\right) \mathrm{d} \lambda \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

By the functional calculus, on each $\mathscr{H}_{k, l}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.R_{0}\left(\lambda^{2}-i \varepsilon\right)\right|_{\mathscr{H}} ^{k, l}, ~ f(r)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\langle e_{k}(r, \mu), f(r)\right\rangle e_{k}(r, \mu) \mu^{d-1}}{\mu^{2}-\left(\lambda^{2}-i \varepsilon\right)} \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove $W=W_{-}$and $W^{*}=W_{-}^{*}$, it suffices to prove that on each spherical harmonic subspace $\mathscr{H}_{k, l}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.W_{-}\right|_{\mathscr{H}_{k, l}}=W_{k}, \text { and, }\left.W_{-}^{*}\right|_{\mathscr{H}_{k, l}}=W_{k}^{*} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the following limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon f(\mu)}{\left(\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \mu=\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{f(\lambda)}{\lambda} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (3.18), (3.19), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{-} \mid \mathscr{H}_{k, l} f(r) \\
= & \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda),\left(\mathbb{H}_{k, l}-\lambda^{2}\right) R_{0}\left(\lambda^{2}-i 0\right) f(r)\right\rangle \lambda^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \\
= & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda),\left(\mathbb{H}_{k, l}-\lambda^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\langle e_{k}(r, \mu), f(r)\right\rangle e_{k}(r, \mu) \mu^{d-1}}{\mu^{2}-\left(\lambda^{2}-i \varepsilon\right)} \mathrm{d} \mu\right\rangle \lambda^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \\
= & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda), \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\langle e_{k}(r, \mu), f(r)\right\rangle\left(\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}+V(r)\right) e_{k}(r, \mu) \mu^{d-1}}{\mu^{2}-\left(\lambda^{2}-i \varepsilon\right)} \mathrm{d} \mu\right\rangle \lambda^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \\
= & \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda), \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\langle e_{k}(r, \mu), f(r)\right\rangle\left(\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}+V(r)\right) e_{k}(r, \mu) \mu^{d-1}}{\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \mu\right\rangle \lambda^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \\
& -\frac{i \pi}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda),\langle e(r, \lambda), f(r)\rangle V(r) e_{k}(r, \lambda) \lambda^{d-2}\right\rangle \lambda^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \\
= & \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}-\mathrm{III},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda), \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\langle e_{k}(r, \mu), f(r)\right\rangle e_{k}(r, \mu) \mu^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \mu\right\rangle \lambda^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda, \\
\mathrm{II} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda), \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\langle e_{k}(r, \mu), f(r)\right\rangle V(r) e_{k}(r, \mu) \mu^{d-1}}{\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \mu\right\rangle \lambda^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda, \\
\mathrm{III} & =\frac{i \pi}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)\left\langle\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda),\langle e(r, \lambda), f(r)\rangle V(r) e_{k}(r, \lambda) \lambda^{d-2}\right\rangle \lambda^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the properties (3.5) and (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}=f(r) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate II, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}= & r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda \rho J_{\nu_{k}}(r \lambda) J_{\nu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu}{\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}} J_{\mu_{k}}(s \mu) J_{\mu_{k}}(\rho \mu) \mathrm{d} \mu V(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho \mathrm{~d} \lambda f(s) \lambda s^{d / 2} \mathrm{~d} s \\
= & r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \frac{i \pi}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda \rho J_{\nu_{k}}(r \lambda) \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho J_{\nu_{k}}(\rho \lambda)\left\{J_{\mu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) H_{\mu_{k}}^{(1)}(s \lambda) 1_{\{\rho<s\}}\right. \\
& \left.+J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) H_{\mu_{k}}^{(1)}(\rho \lambda) 1_{\{\rho>s\}}\right\} V(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho \mathrm{~d} \lambda f(s) s^{d / 2} \mathrm{~d} s \\
= & r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda J_{\nu_{k}}(r \lambda) \mathrm{II}^{\mathrm{in}}(s, \lambda) f(s) s^{d / 2} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \mathrm{~d} s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\operatorname{II}^{\mathrm{in}}(s, \lambda)=\frac{i \pi}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho V(\rho) J_{\nu_{k}}(\rho \lambda)\left\{J_{\mu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) H_{\mu_{k}}^{(1)}(s \lambda) \mathbf{1}_{\{\rho<s\}}+J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) H_{\mu_{k}}^{(1)}(\rho \lambda) 1_{\{\rho>s\}} \mathrm{d} \rho\right\}$.
Using the equality (2.4), and the relationship $H_{\mu}^{(1)}(z)=J_{\mu}(z)+i Y_{\mu}(z)$, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}^{\mathrm{in}}(s, \lambda)= & \frac{i \pi a}{2} J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{\nu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) J_{\mu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) \frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\rho} \\
& -\frac{a \pi}{2}\left[\int_{0}^{s} Y_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) J_{\mu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) J_{\nu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) \frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\rho}+\int_{s}^{\infty} J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) Y_{\mu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) J_{\nu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) \frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\rho}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
W^{s, p} \text {-BOUNDEDNESS OF WAVE OPERATORS } \\
=\frac{i \pi a}{2} J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{\nu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) J_{\mu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) \frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\rho}+J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda)-J_{\nu_{k}}(s \lambda) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Putting $\mathrm{II}^{\mathrm{in}}(s, \lambda)$ into II gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}= & \frac{i \pi a}{2} r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda J_{\nu_{k}}(r \lambda) J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{\nu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) J_{\mu_{k}}(\rho \lambda) \frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\rho} f(s) s^{d / 2} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \mathrm{~d} s \\
& +r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda J_{\nu_{k}}(r \lambda) J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) f(s) s^{d / 2} \lambda \mathrm{~d} \lambda \mathrm{~d} s \\
& -r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda J_{\nu_{k}}(r \lambda) J_{\nu_{k}}(s \lambda) f(s) s^{d / 2} \lambda \mathrm{~d} \lambda \mathrm{~d} s \\
= & \mathrm{III}+W_{k} f(r)-f(r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing I, II and III, we have

$$
\left.W_{-}\right|_{\mathscr{H}_{k, l}}=W_{k}
$$

Hence the operator $W$ defined by (3.14) equals to $W_{-}$. A similar calculation shows that $W^{*}=W_{-}^{*}$.

## 4. Proof of the $L^{p}$-boundedness

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. Since

$$
\left\|W_{+} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\left\|\overline{W_{+} f}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\left\|W_{-} \bar{f}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\left\|W_{+}^{*} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\left\|\overline{W_{+}^{*} f}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\left\|W_{-}^{*} \bar{f}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

it suffices to show the $L^{p}$-boundedness for $W_{-}$and $W_{-}^{*}$. By (3.17), it is equivalent to prove the $L^{p}$-boundedness for $W$ and $W^{*}$. We only give the proof for $W$, since the proof for $W^{*}$ is exactly the same.

By definition (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
W f(r, \omega) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}(\lambda s)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) s^{d-1} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \mathrm{d} s(\lambda r)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_{\nu_{k}}(\lambda r) \lambda^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{\frac{d}{2}} \lambda J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) J_{\nu_{k}}(r \lambda) \mathrm{d} \lambda \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{k}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
K_{k}(r, s)=\frac{s^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}{r^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) J_{\nu_{k}}(r \lambda) \mathrm{d} \lambda
$$

To prove

$$
\|W f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

it is equivalent to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|r^{\frac{d}{p}} W f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \leq\left\|r^{\frac{d}{p}} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the modified kernels

$$
\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)=\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{-\frac{d}{p}} K_{k}(r, s)
$$

and the modified wave operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{W} f(r, \omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\widetilde{W} f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \lesssim\|f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to give the explicit formula for the kernel functions $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$, we introduce $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$, and give some estimates for of $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$ and its derivatives. Recall $\mu_{k}=\frac{d-2}{2}+k$ and $\nu_{k}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{d-2}{2}+k\right)^{2}+a}$. We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=\frac{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}}{2}, \quad b_{k}=\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}}{2}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then we have the following estimates.
Lemma 4.1. For $2 \leq N \leq\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k}\right| \lesssim k, \quad\left|\frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k}\right| \lesssim 1, \quad\left|\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{N} a_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k^{N}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $0 \leq N \leq\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} b_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k^{N+1}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $\mu_{k}$ and $\nu_{k}$ satisfy $\mu_{k}, \nu_{k} \lesssim k$. Also, $\left|\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}\right|=\left|\frac{-a}{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}}\right| \lesssim$ $\frac{1}{k}$, and $\frac{d \nu_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k}=\frac{\mu_{k}}{\nu_{k}}$, thus (4.5) and (4.6) are valid.

Explicit formula for the kernel functions. By Lemma 2.4, $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$ can be written in terms of ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)=\frac{s^{\frac{d}{2}+1-\frac{d}{p}}}{r^{\frac{d}{2}-1-\frac{d}{p}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda J_{\mu_{k}}(s \lambda) J_{\nu_{k}}(r \lambda) \mathrm{d} \lambda \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
2\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1+\mu_{k}} \frac{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+1\right) \Gamma\left(-b_{k}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a_{k}+1, b_{k}+1 ; a_{k}+b_{k}+1 ;\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right), \\
2\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+1+\nu_{k}} \frac{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+1\right) \Gamma\left(b_{k}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a_{k}+1,1-b_{k} ; a_{k}-b_{k}+1 ;\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}\right), \\
& 0<r<s ;
\end{array}\right. \\
& = \begin{cases}2\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1+\mu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(b_{k}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2 n}, & 0<s<r ; \\
2\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+1+\nu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(1-b_{k}+n\right)}{\Gamma\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2 n}, & 0<r<s .\end{cases} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

For ease of notation, we define for $n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{k, n}^{+} & =\frac{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(b_{k}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)} \\
A_{k, n}^{-} & =\frac{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(1-b_{k}+n\right)}{\Gamma\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the kernels $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)= \begin{cases}2\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1+\mu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{k, n}^{+}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2 n}, & 0<s<r  \tag{4.8}\\ 2\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+1+\nu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{k, n}^{-}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2 n}, & 0<r<s\end{cases}
$$

Using the definition of ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ and the asymptotic behavior (2.5), we have the following lemma.
Lemma 4.2. The kernel functions $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$ have the following asymptotic behavior:

$$
\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s) \sim \begin{cases}\frac{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1+\mu_{k}}, & \frac{s}{r} \rightarrow 0 \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \sin \pi\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1+\mu_{k}}\left(1-\frac{s^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)^{-1}, & \frac{s}{r} \rightarrow 1^{-} \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \sin \pi\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+1+\nu_{k}}\left(1-\frac{r^{2}}{s^{2}}\right)^{-1}, & \frac{s}{r} \rightarrow 1^{+} \\ \frac{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\nu_{k}+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+1+\nu_{k}}, & \frac{s}{r} \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

The asymptotic behavior of $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$ suggests that we should split $\widetilde{W}$ into the three parts as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{W} f(r, \omega)= & \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^{\frac{d}{p}} K_{k}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) s^{-\frac{d}{p}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s} \\
= & \int_{0}^{\frac{r}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}+\int_{\frac{r}{2}}^{2 r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \\
& +\int_{2 r}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \\
:= & \widetilde{W}_{1} f(r, \omega)+\widetilde{W}_{2} f(r, \omega)+\widetilde{W}_{3} f(r, \omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, showing (4.3) is reduced to proving that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{i} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \lesssim\|f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)}, \text { for } i=1,2,3 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $\widetilde{K}_{k, 1}(r, s), \widetilde{K}_{k, 2}(r, s)$ and $\widetilde{K}_{k, 3}(r, s)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}_{k, 1}(r, s) & =\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s) \chi_{\{0<s<r / 2\}} \\
\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}(r, s) & =\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s) \chi_{\{r / 2<s<2 r\}} \\
\widetilde{K}_{k, 3}(r, s) & =\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s) \chi_{\{2 r<s\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 1: $0<\frac{s}{r}<2$. By (2.1) it is easy to verify that $A_{k, n}^{+}$are uniformly bounded in $n$ and $k$. Thus, by the formula (4.8), for any fixed $r, s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{K}_{k, 1}(r, s)\right| \lesssim\left|\frac{\sin \pi b_{k}}{\pi}\right|\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+\mu_{k}+1-\frac{d}{p}} \lesssim\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+\mu_{0}+1-\frac{d}{p}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the finite difference of order $N=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, we have

$$
\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \widetilde{K}_{k, 1}(r, s)\right| \lesssim 2^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{N-1}\left|\widetilde{K}_{k, 1}(r, s)\right|
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lesssim 2^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{N-1}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+\mu_{k}+1-\frac{d}{p}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{N}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d}{p}} . \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

This inequality together with (4.10) shows that $\widetilde{K}_{k, 1}(r, s)$ satisfies (BCi) and (BCii) in Theorem [2.12] Hence, for $1<p<\infty$, we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k, 1}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \lesssim\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d}{p}}\left\|_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)}
$$

Furthermore, notice $r^{d-\frac{d}{p}} \chi_{\{0<r<1 / 2\}} \in L^{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)$ for all $1<p<\infty$, hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{1} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{d r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} & \lesssim\left\|\int_{0}^{r / 2}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{d-\frac{d}{p}}\right\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\left\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{d r}{r}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{d r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)}=\|f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} . \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\widetilde{W}_{1}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$.
Case 2: $\frac{s}{r}>2$. Using (4.7), and the fact that $A_{k, n}^{-}$are uniformly bounded in $k, n$, we have for fixed $r, s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{K}_{k, 3}(r, s)\right| \lesssim\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}+1+\nu_{k}-\frac{d}{2}} \lesssim\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}+1+\nu_{0}-\frac{d}{2}} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the finite difference of order $N=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, we have the following bound:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \widetilde{K}_{k, 3}(r, s)\right| & \lesssim 2^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{N-1}\left|\widetilde{K}_{k, 3}(r, s)\right| \\
& \lesssim 2^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{N-1}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}+1+\nu_{k}-\frac{d}{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{N}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}+1+\nu_{0}-\frac{d}{2}} \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.13) and (4.14), we know that $\widetilde{K}_{k, 3}(r, s)$ satisfy the condition (BCi) and (BCii) in Theorem 2.12, which implies the following bound is valid:

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k, 3}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \lesssim\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}+1+\nu_{0}-\frac{d}{2}}\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)}
$$

To get the boundedness for the radial part, we divide the estimates into two cases, that is, $a>0$, and $-\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2} \leq a<0$. If $a>0$, then $\nu_{0} \geq \frac{d}{2}-1$, thus $\frac{d}{p}+1+\nu_{0}-\frac{d}{2}>0$ for $1<p<\infty$. If $-\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2} \leq a<0$, then $\frac{d}{p}+1+\nu_{0}-\frac{d}{2}>0$ as long as $p<\frac{d}{\sigma}=\frac{d}{\frac{d-2}{2}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}+a}}$.

Therefore, if $\max \left\{0, \frac{\sigma}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}\right\}$, it follows that $r^{\frac{d}{p}+1+\nu_{0}-\frac{d}{2}} \chi_{\{0<r<1 / 2\}} \in$ $L^{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)$. In both cases, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{3} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} & \lesssim\left\|\int_{2 r}^{\infty}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}+1+\nu_{0}-\frac{d}{2}}\right\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\left\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)}=\|f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $\widetilde{W}_{3}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$.
For the rest part of this section, we remain to show that $\widetilde{W}_{2}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$.

Case 3: $\frac{1}{2}<\frac{s}{r}<2$. Now we deal with the term $\widetilde{W}_{2} f(r, \omega)$. From Lemma 4.2, we know that the kernels have a singularity of type $(s-r)^{-1}$ at $s=r$, and we cannot get a uniform bound in $r, s$ for $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$. Instead, we can use the theory of Calderón-Zygmund operators to prove the $L^{p}$-boundedness of the radial part. To achieve this goal, for each kernel $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$, we define an approximate kernel $\widetilde{K}_{k}^{\text {ap }}(r, s)$ with the property that the index $k$ and variables $r, s$ are separated, and that they also have the same singularity as $\widetilde{K}_{k}(r, s)$. For the approximating operator, we first estimate the radial part. After that, we use the multiplier theorem for spherical harmonics to get the boundedness for the angular part. For the error term, we will estimate the angular part first, then the radial part using Young's inequality.

In the remainder of this paper, we use the shorthand notation $\chi_{+}=\chi_{\{r / 2<s<r\}}$ and $\chi_{-}=\chi_{\{r<s<2 r\}}$. Sometimes we omit $\chi_{+}$and $\chi_{-}$when we have already restricted the range of $r, s$ appropriately.

Before we give the details for the proof, we introduce a few lemmas to estimate the finite differences.

Lemma 4.3. The following sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{k}^{+}(r, s)=\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}} \chi_{+} \\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k}^{+} \\
& \\
&(r, s)
\end{aligned}=\frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\mu_{0}}-1\right] \chi_{+}, ~ l
$$

satisfy (BCi) and (BCii) with implicit constants that do not depend on $r, s$.
Proof. Boundedness: Notice that $\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}}=\left(1-\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)\right)^{\mu_{k}} \lesssim \min \left\{1, \mu_{k}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)\right\}$ as $k$ goes to $\infty$, this gives the uniform bound for $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{+}(r, s)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k}^{+}(r, s)$. Therefore, we only need to estimate their finite difference.
Finite difference estimate for $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{+}(r, s)$. Notice that $\mu_{k+1}=\mu_{k}+1$, direct computation gives

$$
\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{T}_{k}^{+}\right|=\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)^{N}
$$

Thus, taking $N=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$,

$$
\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{T}_{k}^{+}\right| \lesssim \sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2^{j}}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)^{N} \frac{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2^{j}}}{\left[1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)\right]} \lesssim 1
$$

The above bound is valid because $x^{N} k^{N}(1-x)^{k}$ is uniformly bounded for $x \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by

$$
x^{N} k^{N}(1-x)^{k} \leq(x k)^{N} e^{-k x} \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} t^{N} e^{-t} \lesssim_{N} 1
$$

Finite difference estimate for $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k}^{+}(r, s)$. To estimate this term, let us denote $\mathcal{F}_{k}=$ $\frac{\sin \pi b_{k}}{\pi}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{k}=\frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\mu_{0}}-1\right] \chi_{+}$. Using (4.6) and the Faá di Bruno formula, it is easy to prove that for $N=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{F}_{k}\right| \leq\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} \mathcal{F}_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k^{N+1}} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by the Leibniz rule for the finite difference, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k}^{+}\right|= & \left|\sum_{m=0}^{N}\binom{N}{m} \mathfrak{D}^{N-m}\left(\frac{\sin \pi b_{k}}{\pi}\right) \mathfrak{D}^{m} \mathcal{G}_{k+N-m}\right| \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{N-m+1}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k+N-m}-\mu_{0}}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)^{m} \\
& +\frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{N+1}\left[\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k+N}-\mu_{0}}-1\right] \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{N-m+1}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k+N-m-\mu_{0}}}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)^{m}+k^{-N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k}^{+}\right| \\
\lesssim & \sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{N-m+1}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k+N-m}-\mu_{0}}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)^{m}+1 \\
\lesssim & \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{N-m+1}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k+N-m}-\mu_{0}}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)^{m}+1 \\
\lesssim & \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)}\left(2^{-j}\right)^{N-m+1}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)^{m}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2^{j}} \frac{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2^{j}}}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)}+1 \\
\lesssim & \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sup _{k} k^{(m-1)}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)^{m-1}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{k}+1<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof.
Later, we need to estimate the finite differences for the sequence $\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}} \chi_{-}$. In the proof of Lemma 4.3 we used the fact that $\mu_{k}$ is an arithmetic sequence, which allowed us to compute the sum explicitly. However, $\nu_{k}$ is not generally in arithmetic progression. Instead, notice that $\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}$ goes to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let us define $\gamma_{k}=\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}$. By Lemma 4.1, it is easy to deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \gamma_{k}\right| \lesssim \frac{C}{k^{N+1}} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate the finite difference for some sequence which involves $\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}} \chi_{-}$, we first introduce the following lemma which can be proved by induction.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\theta \in(1 / 2,1)$ and define $F(t):=1-\theta^{t}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For every $N \geq 1$, there exist $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and finite sequences $a_{\ell}^{N}, b_{\ell, j}^{N}, c_{\ell}^{N}, d_{\ell, i}^{N}, e_{\ell, i}^{N} \quad(\ell=1,2, \ldots, L)$ such that (dropping the $N$ index for legibility)

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{\ell, i} \geq 1, & \sum_{i=0}^{c_{\ell}} d_{\ell, i}=N  \tag{4.18}\\
\mathfrak{D}^{N} \theta^{\gamma_{k}}= & \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} a_{\ell}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta^{b_{\ell, j} \gamma_{k+j}}\right)\left(\prod_{i=0}^{c_{\ell}} F\left(\mathfrak{D}^{d_{\ell, i}} \gamma_{k+e_{\ell, i}}\right)\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. This follows from repeated application of the following basic calculations: if $s_{k}$ is any sequence, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{D}\left(\theta^{s_{k}}\right) & =\theta^{s_{k}} F\left(\mathfrak{D} s_{k}\right),  \tag{4.20}\\
\mathfrak{D} F\left(s_{k}\right) & =-\theta^{s_{k}} F\left(\mathfrak{D} s_{k}\right) ; \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

and the following Leibniz rule for the finite difference of product of $n$ terms:

$$
\mathfrak{D}\left(a_{k}^{1} \ldots a_{k}^{n}\right)=\sum_{r=1}^{n} a_{k}^{1} \ldots a_{k}^{r-1}\left(\mathfrak{D} a_{k}^{r}\right) a_{k+1}^{r+1} \ldots a_{k+1}^{n}
$$

The base case $N=1$ is (4.20) which is of the correct form 4.19). For $N=2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{D}^{2}\left(\theta^{\gamma_{k}}\right)=\mathfrak{D}\left(\theta^{\gamma_{k}} F\left(\mathfrak{D} \gamma_{k}\right)\right) & =\left(\mathfrak{D} \theta^{\gamma_{k}}\right) F\left(\mathfrak{D} \gamma_{k+1}\right)+\theta^{\gamma_{k}} \mathfrak{D} F\left(\mathfrak{D} \gamma_{k}\right) \\
& =\theta^{\gamma_{k}} F\left(\mathfrak{D} \gamma_{k}\right) F\left(\mathfrak{D} \gamma_{k+1}\right)-\theta^{\gamma_{k}} \theta^{\mathfrak{D} \gamma_{k}} F\left(\mathfrak{D}^{2} \gamma_{k}\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

which is again of the form (4.19). Inductively, if a derivative falls on a term $\theta^{\gamma_{k+j}}$, it creates a term $F\left(\mathfrak{D} \gamma_{k+j}\right)$, or else it falls on a term $F\left(\mathfrak{D}^{d_{\ell, i}} \gamma_{k+e_{\ell, i}}\right)$; in either case we obtain the new coefficients $d_{\ell, i}^{N+1} \geq 1$ sum to $N$, as claimed.

By Lemma 4.4 (4.17) and the fact that for $\theta \in(1 / 2,1), t>0$,

$$
|F(t)| \lesssim t(1-\theta)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{D}^{N}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\gamma_{k}} \leq \frac{1}{k^{N+1}} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.5. The following sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{k}^{-}(r, s)=\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}} \chi_{-}, \\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k}^{-}(r, s)=\frac{\sin \left(\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\nu_{0}}-1\right] \chi_{-},
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfy (BCi) and (BCii) with constants that do not depend on $r, s$.
Proof. Boundedness. It is easy to verify that both $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{-}(r, s)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k}^{-}(r, s)$ are uniformly bounded in $k, r$ and $s$.
Finite difference for $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{-}(r, s)$. We write

$$
\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}}=\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\gamma_{k}}
$$

From Lemma 4.3 we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}}\right|<\infty . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.15 with $\mathcal{G}_{k}=\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}} \chi_{-}$and $\mathcal{F}_{k}=\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\gamma_{k}} \chi_{-}$, and using the estimate (4.23) and (4.24), we deduce that $\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}}$ satisfy (BCii).
Finite difference for $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k}^{-}(r, s)$. Notice that

$$
\mathfrak{D}^{m}\left[\frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\nu_{0}}-1\right\}\right]=\frac{\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{-\nu_{0}}}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}} \mathfrak{D}^{m}\left[\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\gamma_{k}}\right]
$$

for $1 \leq m \leq N=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{D}^{N} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k}^{-}(r, s) \\
= & \mathfrak{D}^{N}\left[\frac{\sin \pi b_{k}}{\pi}\right] \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\nu_{0}}-1\right] \\
& +\sum_{m=1}^{N}\binom{N}{m} \mathfrak{D}^{N-m}\left[\frac{\sin \pi b_{k}}{\pi}\right] \mathfrak{D}^{m}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{-\nu_{0}}}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k+N-m}}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\gamma_{k+N-m}}\right] \\
= & \mathfrak{D}^{N}\left[\frac{\sin \pi b_{k}}{\pi}\right] \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\nu_{0}}-1\right] \\
& +\sum_{m=1}^{N}\binom{N}{m} \mathfrak{D}^{N-m}\left[\frac{\sin \pi b_{k}}{\pi}\right] \sum_{l=0}^{m}\binom{m}{l} \mathfrak{D}^{l}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{-\nu_{0}}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k+N-m}}}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}\right] \mathfrak{D}^{m-l}\left[\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\gamma_{k+N-l}}\right] \\
\lesssim & \frac{1}{k^{N}}+\sum_{m=1}^{N}\binom{N}{m} \frac{1}{k^{N-m+1}} \sum_{l=0}^{m}\binom{m}{l} \frac{\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{-\nu_{0}}}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k+N-m}}\left(1-\frac{r}{s}\right)^{l} \frac{1}{k^{m-l}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k}^{-}(r, s)\right| \\
& \lesssim \sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{k^{N}} \\
& \quad+\sup _{j} \sum_{m=1}^{N}\binom{N}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{m}\binom{m}{l} \frac{\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{-\nu_{0}}}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{k^{N-1}}{k^{N-l+1}}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k+N-m}}\left(1-\frac{r}{s}\right)^{l} \\
& \lesssim 1+\sup _{k} \sum_{m=1}^{N}\binom{N}{m} \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}} \\
& \quad+\sup _{k} \sum_{m=1}^{N}\binom{N}{m} \sum_{l=1}^{m}\binom{m}{l} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{k^{2-l}}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}}\left(1-\frac{r}{s}\right)^{l} \frac{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}}}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 1+1+\sup _{k} \sum_{m=1}^{N}\binom{N}{m} \sum_{l=1}^{m}\binom{m}{l} k^{l-1}\left(1-\frac{r}{s}\right)^{l-1}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}} \\
& \lesssim \\
& \\
& 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we are in position to prove the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{2} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \lesssim\|f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, using the asymptotic behavior in (2.1) for gamma functions, we have for any fixed $k$, as $n$ goes to $\infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{k, n}^{+}=1+\frac{a}{4(n+1)}+O_{k}\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right)  \tag{4.26}\\
& A_{k, n}^{-}=1-\frac{a}{4(n+1)}+O_{k}\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right) \tag{4.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{k, n}^{+} & :=A_{k, n}^{+}-1-\frac{a}{4(n+1)}, \quad E_{k, n}^{-}:=A_{k, n}^{-}-1+\frac{a}{4(n+1)},  \tag{4.28}\\
E_{k}^{+}(r, s) & :=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, n}^{+}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{+}, \quad E_{k}^{-}(r, s):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, n}^{-}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{-} . \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.26) and (4.27), and the following Taylor series,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n+1}=\frac{\ln (1-x)}{x}, \quad x \in(0,1) \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}= & 2\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1+\mu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi}\left[\frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}}+\frac{a}{4}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{-2} \ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right)+E_{k}^{+}\right] \chi_{+} \\
& +2\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+1+\nu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi}\left[\frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}-\frac{a}{4}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{-2} \ln \left(1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}\right)+E_{k}^{-}\right] \chi-^{=} \\
= & \widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{1}(r, s)+\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{2}(r, s)+\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{3}(r, s) \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{1}(r, s)= & 2\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1+\mu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \chi_{+} \\
& +2\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+1+\nu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}} \chi_{-} ; \\
\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{2}(r, s)= & \frac{1}{2} a\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}-1+\mu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right) \chi_{+} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} a\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}-1+\nu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \ln \left(1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}\right) \chi_{-} ; \\
\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{3}(r, s)= & 2\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1+\mu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} E_{k}^{+}(r, s) \\
& +2\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+1+\nu_{k}} \frac{\sin \left(\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} E_{k}^{-}(r, s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote the corresponding operators $\widetilde{W}_{2}^{1}, \widetilde{W}_{2}^{2}$ and $\widetilde{W}_{2}^{3}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{W}_{2}^{i} f(r, \omega)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{i}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Estimate for the first term $\widetilde{W}_{2}^{1}$.

Step 1: Estimate for the approximate kernels: Let us define the approximate kernels by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{1, \mathrm{ap}}(r, s): & 2\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1+\mu_{0}} \frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \chi_{+} \\
& +2\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+1+\nu_{0}} \frac{\sin \pi b_{k}}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}} \chi_{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the corresponding operator $\widetilde{W}_{2}^{1, \text { ap }} f(r, \omega)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{W}_{2}^{1, \text { ap }} f(r, \omega):= & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{1, \text { ap }}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \\
= & 2 \int_{\frac{r}{2}}^{r}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1+\mu_{0}} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \\
& +2 \int_{r}^{2 r}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+1+\nu_{0}} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \pi b_{k}}{\pi} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 2.11, we have

$$
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{2}^{1, \text { ap }} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{d r}{r}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sin \pi b_{k} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{d r}{r}\right)}
$$

It is easy to check that the sequence $\left\{\sin \pi b_{k}\right\}$ satisfy the condition (BCi) and (BCii) in Theorem 2.12, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{2}^{1, \mathrm{ap}} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{d r}{r} \cdot d \omega\right)} \lesssim\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{d r}{r} \cdot d \omega\right)} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: Estimate for the error term. Define the kernels of the error terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{1, \mathrm{err}}(r, s)= & \widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{1}(r, s)-\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{1, \mathrm{ap}}(r, s) \\
= & 2\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}+1+\mu_{0}} \frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\mu_{0}}-1\right] \chi_{+} \\
& +2\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}+1+\nu_{0}} \frac{\sin \left(\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\nu_{0}}-1\right] \chi_{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the associated operator

$$
\widetilde{W}_{2}^{1, \operatorname{err}} f(r, \omega)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{1, \text { err }}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}
$$

By Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.5 we have

$$
\left|\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{1, \text { err }}(r, s)\right| \lesssim 1,
$$

and for $N=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$,

$$
\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{1, \operatorname{err}}(r, s)\right| \lesssim 1
$$

That is, $\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{1, \text { err }}(r, s)$ satisfies ( $(\overline{\mathrm{BCi})}$ ) and ( $\overline{\mathrm{BCii}})$, hence

$$
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{2}^{1, \operatorname{err}} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \leq \int_{r / 2}^{r}\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{2}^{1, e r r} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{d r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \leq\|f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{d r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combing (4.33) and (4.34), we get the $L^{p}$-boundedness of $\widetilde{W}_{2}^{1}$.
Estimate for the second term $\widetilde{W}_{2}^{2}$. Taking $\mathcal{F}_{k}=\frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi}$ and

$$
\mathcal{G}_{k}=\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p}-1+\mu_{k}} \chi_{+}+\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{d}{2}-1+\nu_{k}} \chi_{-}
$$

in Lemma 2.15, and by the estimates in Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{2}(r, s)\right| & \lesssim\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right)\right| \chi_{+}+\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}\right)\right| \chi_{-}, \\
\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{2}(r, s)\right| & \lesssim \ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right)\left|\chi_{+}+\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}\right)\right| \chi_{-} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 2.12, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{2}^{2} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \leq & \int_{r / 2}^{r}\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right)\right|\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \\
& +\int_{r}^{2 r}\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}\right)\right|\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 2.9, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{2}^{2} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \lesssim\|f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimate for the third term $\widetilde{W}_{2}^{3}$. Recall that $\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{3}(r, s)= & 2\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1+\mu_{k}-\frac{d}{p}} \frac{\sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, n}^{+}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{+} \\
& +2\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}+1-\nu_{k}+\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\sin \pi b_{k}}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, n}^{-}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following identities are valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k, n}^{+}=1+\frac{a}{4(n+1)}+E_{k, n}^{+}, A_{k, n}^{-}=1-\frac{a}{4(n+1)}+E_{k, n}^{-} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

When we consider $A_{k, n}^{+}, A_{k, n}^{-}, E_{k, n}^{+}$and $E_{k, n}^{-}$as smooth functions of $k$, then by (4.36) for $N \geq 1$, we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} A_{k, n}^{+}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} E_{k, n}^{+}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} A_{k, n}^{-}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} E_{k, n}^{-}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}} .
$$

For their derivatives, we have the following estimates.

Lemma 4.6. For $A_{k, n}^{+}, A_{k, n}^{-}, E_{k, n}^{+}$and $E_{k, n}^{-}$, and $N \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} A_{k, n}^{+}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right|=\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} E_{k, n}^{+}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k^{N}} \frac{1}{n+1},  \tag{4.37}\\
& \left|\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{N} A_{k, n}^{-}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right|=\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} E_{k, n}^{-}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k^{N}} \frac{1}{n+1} . \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. See Appendix A.
Using Lemma 4.6, (4.30) and (4.28), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} E_{k}^{+}(r, s)}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k^{N}}\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right)\right| \chi_{+},  \tag{4.39}\\
& \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} E_{k}^{-}(r, s)}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k^{N}}\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}\right)\right| \chi_{-} . \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_{k}^{+}(r, s)=\frac{2 \sin \left(-\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{p}{d}+1+\mu_{k}} E_{k}^{+}(r, s) \chi_{+} \\
& \mathcal{E}_{k}^{-}(r, s)=\frac{2 \sin \left(\pi b_{k}\right)}{\pi}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{p}{2}+1+\nu_{k}} E_{k}^{-}(r, s) \chi_{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using Lemma 2.15 and Lemma 4.3 again yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{E}_{k}^{+}(r, s)\right| & \lesssim\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right)\right| \chi_{+}, \\
\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{E}_{k}^{+}(r, s)\right| & \lesssim\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right)\right| \chi_{+}, \\
\left|\mathcal{E}_{k}^{-}(r, s)\right| & \lesssim\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}\right)\right| \chi_{-}, \\
\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \mathcal{E}_{k}^{-}(r, s)\right| & \left.\lesssim \ln \left(1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}\right) \right\rvert\, \chi_{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.12, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{2}^{3} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \leq & \int_{r / 2}^{r}\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right)\right|\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \\
& +\int_{r}^{2 r}\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}\right)\right|\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, Lemma 2.9 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{2}^{3} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \lesssim\|f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.33), (4.34), (4.35) and (4.41), we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{2} f(r)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \lesssim\|f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality together with (4.12) and (4.15) implies that $\tilde{W}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right.$. $\mathrm{d} \omega)$. Therefore, we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1

## 5. Proof of the $W^{s, p}$-Boundedness

In this section, we will prove the $W^{s, p}$-boundedness of the stationary wave operators. Recall the operators $\mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}}$ introduced in (3.3) and (3.8) of Subsection 3.2. On each subspace of spherical harmonics, we define the fractional powers $\left(\mathcal{L}_{a}\right)^{s / 2}$ and $(-\Delta)^{s / 2}$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\left.\left(\mathcal{L}_{a}\right)^{s / 2}\right|_{\mathscr{H}_{k, l}}:=\mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \lambda^{s} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}},\left.\quad(-\Delta)^{s / 2}\right|_{\mathscr{H}_{k, l}}:=\mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} \lambda^{s} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}}
$$

and introduce the following Riesz-type operators for $\alpha, \beta$ satisfying $-d<\alpha<$ $2+2 \nu_{0}, \alpha \neq 0$ and $-2 \nu_{0}-2<\beta<d, \beta \neq 0$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{R}^{\alpha} f(r, \omega):=(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{a}\right)^{-\alpha / 2} f=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} \lambda^{\alpha} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \lambda^{-\alpha} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega)  \tag{5.1}\\
\mathcal{R}^{-\beta} f(r, \omega):=\left(\mathcal{L}_{a}\right)^{\beta / 2}(-\Delta)^{-\beta / 2} f=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \lambda^{\beta} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} \lambda^{-\beta} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega) \tag{5.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here we assume that $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, since the operator for $\alpha=0$ or $\beta=0$ is identity operator and the $L^{p}$-boundedness is trivial. Due to the calculation (1.15), to prove Theorem 1.3, we only need to prove the $L^{p}$-boundedness of $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-\beta}$.

First, we derive the kernels of $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-\beta}$ using the Mellin transform. These operators are Mellin multipliers on each subspace with the multipliers given by a ratio of products of Gamma functions. The details of this calculation are presented below.

Using the formula for the Mellin transform of Bessel functions, it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{M} \mathscr{H}_{\nu_{k}} f\right)(z)=2^{z-\lambda_{0}-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\left.z-\lambda_{0}+\nu_{k}\right)}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{z-\lambda_{0}-\nu_{k}}{2}\right)}(\mathcal{M} f)(d-z), \\
& \left(\mathcal{M} \mathscr{B}_{\mu_{k}} f\right)(z)=2^{z-\lambda_{0}-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\left.z-\lambda_{0}+\mu_{k}\right)}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{z-\lambda_{0}-\mu_{k}}{2}\right)}(\mathcal{M} f)(d-z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}=\frac{d-2}{2} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, on the $k$ th spherical harmonic subspace,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} \mathcal{R}^{\alpha} f(z)=\mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; z) \mathcal{M} f(z) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; z) \\
= & \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}\left[\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\left(-\frac{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(-\frac{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), & \left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), & \left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\
\left(-\frac{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(-\frac{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{array} \right\rvert\, k, \alpha ; z\right] \\
= & \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{z}{2}+\frac{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{z}{2}+\frac{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{z}{2}+\frac{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{z}{2}+\frac{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha}{2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}$ is as defined in (2.6), with particular choices of the parameters $b_{k, j}, \beta_{k, j}$, $a_{k, i}$, and $\alpha_{k, i}$. We have written $k, \alpha ; z$ instead of $z$ to emphasize the dependence on $k$ and $\alpha$. To guarantee that the poles of $\Gamma\left(b_{k, j}+\beta_{k, j} z\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(1-a_{k, i}-\alpha_{k, i} z\right)$ do not coincide, we need that

$$
\max \left\{b_{k, 10}, b_{k, 20}\right\}<\min \left\{a_{k, 10}, a_{k, 20}\right\}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\lambda_{0}-\mu_{k}, \lambda_{0}+\alpha-\nu_{k}\right\}<\min \left\{2+\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}, 2+\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha\right\} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To make sure (5.5) are valid for all $k \geq 0$, we naturally assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d<\alpha<2+2 \nu_{0} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, taking the inverse Mellin transform on both sides of (5.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}^{\alpha} f(r, \omega) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} r^{-z} \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; z) \mathcal{M} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(z, \omega) \mathrm{d} z \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} r^{-z} \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; z) s^{z} \mathrm{~d} z}_{:=K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s)} \mathbb{P}_{k} f \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{-z} \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; z) \mathrm{d} z \\
& =H_{4,4}^{2,2}\left[k, \alpha ; \frac{r}{s} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(-\frac{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(-\frac{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\
\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\
\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha}{2}, \frac{\lambda_{0}-\alpha}{2}\right), \\
2
\end{array}\left(-\frac{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right.,\left(-\frac{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right.
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Hence, we have the following lemma:
Lemma 5.1. Let $-d<\alpha<2+2 \nu_{0}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Then the operator $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$ defined by (5.1) can be written in the following form:

$$
\mathcal{R}^{\alpha} f(r, \omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s},
$$

with $K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s)$ given by (5.7). In addition, the parameters defined in (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}^{*}=0, \Lambda_{k}=0, \delta_{k}=1, \varrho_{k}=0 \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the inverse operators $\mathcal{R}^{-\beta}$, we only need to exchange the positions of $\nu_{k}$ and $\mu_{k}$. We record this in the following lemma:
Lemma 5.2. Let $-2 \nu_{0}-2<\beta<d$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Then the operator $\mathcal{R}^{-\beta}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{R}^{-\beta} f(r, \omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{k}^{-\beta}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& K_{k}^{-\beta}(r, s)  \tag{5.9}\\
= & H_{4,4}^{2,2}\left[k, \beta ; \frac{r}{s}\right. \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\left(-\frac{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(-\frac{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\beta}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\
\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\
\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\beta}{2}, \frac{\nu_{0}-\beta}{2}\right),
\end{array} \\
\left(-\frac{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), & \left(-\frac{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\beta}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

In addition, then the parameters defined in (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}^{*}=0, \Lambda_{k}=0, \delta_{k}=1, \varrho_{k}=0 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.1. The power series representation of the $K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s)$ when $r \neq s$.

Case 1: When $\alpha$ is not an even integer. Using Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 and the formula

$$
\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z)=\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi z)}
$$

the kernel functions $K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s)$ have the following power series expansions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{4,4}^{2,2}\left(k, \alpha ; \frac{r}{s}\right)  \tag{5.11}\\
= & \begin{cases}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+2+2 n}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha+2+2 n}, & 0<\frac{s}{r}<1 \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha *}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}+2 n}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha *}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+2 n}, & 0<\frac{r}{s}<1,\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

with the coefficients satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}=h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha *}, \quad h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}=h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha *} . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can compute the coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha} & =\lim _{z \rightarrow a_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}}\left[-\left(z-a_{k, 1 n}\right) \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; z)\right] \\
& =\frac{2 \sin (\pi \alpha / 2) \sin \left(\pi\left(\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}\right) / 2\right)}{\pi \sin \left(\pi\left(\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}-\alpha\right) / 2\right)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{4} \Gamma\left(b_{k, i}+\left(1-a_{k, 1}+n\right)\right)}{\prod_{j=2}^{4} \Gamma\left(a_{k, j}+\left(1-a_{k, 1}+n\right)\right) \Gamma(n+1)} \\
& :=C_{k}^{\alpha} A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{k}^{\alpha} & =\frac{2 \sin (\pi \alpha / 2) \sin \left(\pi\left(\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}\right) / 2\right)}{\pi \sin \left(\pi\left(\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}+\alpha\right) / 2\right)},  \tag{5.13}\\
A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha} & =\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\nu_{k}-\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}-\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\nu_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}-\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)} ; \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha} & =\lim _{z \rightarrow a_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}}\left[-\left(z-a_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\right) \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; z)\right] \\
& =\frac{-2 \sin (\pi \alpha / 2) \sin \left(\pi\left(\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}\right) / 2\right)}{\pi \sin \left(\pi\left(\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}+\alpha\right) / 2\right)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{4} \Gamma\left(b_{k, i}+\left(1-a_{k, 2}+n\right)\right)}{\prod_{j=1,3,4} \Gamma\left(a_{k, j}+\left(1-a_{k, 2}+n\right) \Gamma(n+1)\right.} \\
& =-C_{k}^{\alpha} A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}+\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}+\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the coefficients $h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha *}, h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha *}$, a direct computation gives that

$$
h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha *}=\lim _{z \rightarrow b_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}}\left[\left(z-b_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\right) \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; z)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{-2 \sin (\pi \alpha / 2) \sin \left(\pi\left(\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}\right) / 2\right)}{\pi \sin \left(\pi\left(\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}-\alpha\right) / 2\right)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{4} \Gamma\left(b_{k, 1}+\left(1-a_{k, j}+n\right)\right)}{\prod_{j=2}^{4} \Gamma\left(1-b_{k, j}+b_{1}+n\right) \Gamma(n+1)} \\
& =\frac{-2 \sin (\pi \alpha / 2) \sin \left(\pi\left(\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}\right) / 2\right)}{\pi \sin \left(\pi\left(\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}-\alpha\right) / 2\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}+\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu+\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)} \\
& =-C_{k}^{\alpha} A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha} \\
& =h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha *} & =\lim _{z \rightarrow b_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}}\left[\left(z-b_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\right) \mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; z)\right] \\
& =\frac{2 \sin (\pi \alpha / 2) \sin \left(\pi\left(\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}\right) / 2\right)}{\pi \sin \left(\pi\left(\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}+\alpha\right) / 2\right)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{4} \Gamma\left(b_{k, 2}+\left(1-a_{k, j}+n\right)\right)}{\prod_{j=1,3,4} \Gamma\left(b_{k, 2}+\left(1-b_{k, j}+n\right) \Gamma(n+1)\right.} \\
& =\frac{2 \sin (\pi \alpha / 2) \sin \left(\pi\left(\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}\right) / 2\right)}{\pi \sin \left(\pi\left(\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}+\alpha\right) / 2\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu_{k}-\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}-\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}-\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\nu_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)} \\
& =C_{k}^{\alpha} A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha} \\
& =h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: When $\alpha=2 m$ is a positive even integer. Using the fact that $\frac{1}{\Gamma(-n)}=$ 0 for nonnegative integer $n$, a direct computation gives for all $n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha} & =h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha *} \\
& =\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{n!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}-\alpha}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}+\alpha}{2}+n+1\right)} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha} & =h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha *} \\
& =\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{n!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}+\alpha}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(\nu_{k}-\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}-\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\nu_{k}+n+1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, when $n \geq m, h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}=0$, and when $0 \leq n<m, h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha} \neq 0$. Thus, in this case, the kernel functions are given by

$$
K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s)=H_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; r, s)= \begin{cases}\sum_{n=0}^{m} h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+2+2 n}, & z=\frac{s}{r}<1  \tag{5.16}\\ \sum_{n=0}^{m} h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+2 n}, & z=\frac{r}{s}<1\end{cases}
$$

In this case, the kernels have been reduced to a finite sum of polynomials of either $\frac{s}{r} \chi_{\left\{0<\frac{s}{r}<1\right\}}$ or $\frac{r}{s} \chi_{\left\{0<\frac{r}{s}<1\right\}}$. In particular, $K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s)$ are uniformly bounded for all $r, s$. Furthermore, it defines an $L^{p}$-bounded operator on the radial part as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}-\nu_{0}+\alpha<\frac{d}{p}<\lambda_{0}+\nu_{0}+2 \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can also be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma+\alpha}{d}<\frac{1}{p}<\frac{d-\sigma}{d} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 3: When $\alpha=-2 m$ is a negative even integer. In this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha} & =h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha *} \\
& =\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{n!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}+\alpha}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(\nu_{k}-\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}-\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\nu_{k}+n+1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, when $n \geq m, h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}=0$, and when $0 \leq n<m, h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha} \neq 0$. For $h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha} & =h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha *} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n} 2}{n!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}-\alpha}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}-n\right) \Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}+\alpha}{2}+n+1\right)} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the kernel function in this case is given by

$$
K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s)=H_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; r, s)= \begin{cases}\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha+2+2 n}, & z=\frac{s}{r}<1  \tag{5.19}\\ \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}+2 n}, & z=\frac{r}{s}<1\end{cases}
$$

Similarly to the previous case, the kernels have thus been reduced to a finite sum of polynomials of either $\frac{s}{r} \chi_{\left\{0<\frac{s}{r}<1\right\}}$ or $\frac{r}{s} \chi_{\left\{0<\frac{r}{s}<1\right\}}$. This implies that $K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s)$ are uniformly bounded for all $r, s$. To guarantee the $L^{p}$-boundedness, we need the restriction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}-\mu_{0}<\frac{d}{p}<\mu_{0}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha+2 \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{1}{p}<\frac{d+\alpha}{d} \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the asymptotic expansion for Gamma functions (2.1), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. The coefficients $h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}, h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}, C_{k}^{\alpha}, A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}, A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}$ are uniformly bounded in $k$ and $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\right|,\left|h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\right|,\left|C_{k}^{\alpha}\right|,\left|A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\right|,\left|A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\right| \lesssim 1 . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $C_{k}^{\alpha}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{N} C_{k}^{\alpha}}{d k^{N}} \lesssim_{N} \frac{1}{k^{N+1}} \text { for all } \quad N \geq 0 \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each fixed $k$ and as $n \rightarrow \infty, A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}, A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}$ have the asymptotic behavior

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}=1+O_{\alpha, k}\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right) \\
& A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}=1+O_{\alpha, k}\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By $O_{\alpha, k}(\cdot)$, we mean that the implicit $O(\cdot)$ constant depends on $\alpha$ and $k$.
5.2. Singularity at $r=s$ of $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$. To find the singularity at $r=s$, we notice that the Mellin multipliers $\mathcal{H}_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; z)$ are uniformly bounded in $k, n$, we conjecture that the singularity of $H_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; r / s)$ is $\frac{1}{r-s}$. In the following lemma, we give the proof.

Lemma 5.4. As $r \rightarrow s$, we have

$$
K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s) \sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{C_{k}^{\alpha}}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+2}-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha+2}\right\}, \text { as } \frac{s}{r} \rightarrow 1^{-}  \tag{5.24}\\
\frac{C_{k}^{\alpha}}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}}-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha}\right\}, \text { as } \frac{r}{s} \rightarrow 1^{-}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. For a Gauss series $G(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$, assume that the convergence radius is 1 , and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=a$, then by Abel's theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{z \rightarrow 1^{-}}(1-z) G(z) & =\lim _{z \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n+1}-a_{n}\right) z^{n+1}+a_{0} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n+1}-a_{n}\right)+a_{0}=a
\end{aligned}
$$

From this result and the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}=1, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}=1 \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

the lemma follows.
This lemma implies that as $r$ close to $s, K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s)$ has a singularity of type

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{4,4}^{2,2}(k, \alpha ; r, s) \sim(r-s)^{-1} \quad(r \rightarrow s) \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.3. Proof of Proposition 1.4. Here we only give the details for $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$, as the proof for $\mathcal{R}^{-\beta}$ is similar. We may also assume that $\alpha \neq 2 m$ where $m$ is an integer; when $\alpha=2 m$, the details are much simpler. To obtain the $L^{p}$-boundedness, we use the power series representation (5.11) and the discrete multiplier theorem (Theorem 2.12) for decompositions into spherical harmonics. Similarly to the modified kernels in Section 4, we define

$$
\widetilde{K}_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s):=\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{-\frac{d}{p}} K_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s)
$$

and the modified operator

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\alpha} f(r, \omega):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if and only if $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\alpha}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$. Due to the singularity at $r=s$ of the kernel functions, we divide the operator into three parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\alpha} f(r, \omega)= & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\frac{r}{2}} \widetilde{K}_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{r}{2}}^{2 r} \widetilde{K}_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2 r}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k}^{\alpha}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \\
= & : \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}^{\alpha} f(r, \omega)+\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha} f(r, \omega)+\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{3}^{\alpha} f(r, \omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{i}^{\alpha}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$ for $i=1,2,3$ and for suitable $p$.
Case 1: $0<\frac{s}{r}<\frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 5.3, $h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}, h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}$ are uniformly bounded in $k$ and $n$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\widetilde{K}_{k, 1}^{\alpha}(r, s)\right| & \lesssim\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}+\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}+\alpha+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}} \\
& \lesssim\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{0}+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}+\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{0}+\alpha+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the finite difference of $\widetilde{K}_{k, 1}^{\alpha}(r, s)$ with $1 \leq N \leq\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \widetilde{K}_{k, 1}^{\alpha}(r, s)\right| \\
\leq & 2^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{N-1}\left|\widetilde{K}_{k, 1}^{\alpha}(r, s)\right| \\
\lesssim & 2^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{N-1}\left[\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}+\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}+\alpha+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}\right] \\
\lesssim & 2^{N}\left[\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{0}+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}+\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{0}+\alpha+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

For the angular part, using the multiplier theorem, Theorem 2.12,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k, 1}^{\alpha}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \\
\lesssim & {\left[\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{0}+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}+\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{0}+\alpha+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}\right]\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} }
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate the radial part, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{0}+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}>0 \text { and } \mu_{0}+\alpha+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}>0 \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left[r^{\nu_{0}+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}+r^{\mu_{0}+\alpha+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}\right] \chi_{\{0<r<1 / 2\}} \in L^{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}^{\alpha} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} & \lesssim\left\|\int_{0}^{r / 2}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{0}+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}\right\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\left\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)} \\
& +\left\|\int_{0}^{r / 2}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{0}+\alpha+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}\right\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\left\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)}=\|f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, if $\frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{\frac{d+\alpha}{d}, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}\right\}$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}^{\alpha}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$.
5.4. Case 2: $\frac{s}{r}>2$. Since $h_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}, h_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}$ are uniformly bounded in $k, n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\widetilde{K}_{k, 3}^{\alpha}(r, s)\right| & \lesssim\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}}+\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}+\frac{d}{p}} \\
& \lesssim\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{0}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}}+\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{0}-\lambda_{0}+\frac{d}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the finite difference of $\widetilde{K}_{k, 3}^{\alpha}(r, s)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \widetilde{K}_{k, 3}^{\alpha}(r, s)\right| \\
\leq & 2^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{N-1}\left|\widetilde{K}_{k, 3}^{\alpha}(r, s)\right| \\
\lesssim & 2^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{N-1}\left[\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}}+\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}+\frac{d}{p}}\right] \\
\lesssim & 2^{N}\left[\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{0}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}}+\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{0}-\lambda_{0}+\frac{d}{p}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 2.12, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k, 3}^{\alpha}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \\
\lesssim & {\left[\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{0}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}}+\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{0}-\lambda_{0}+\frac{d}{p}}\right]\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} }
\end{aligned}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{0}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}>0, \text { and } \mu_{0}-\lambda_{0}+\frac{d}{p}>0 \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $r^{\nu_{0}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}} \chi_{\left\{0<r<\frac{1}{2}\right\}}+r^{\mu_{0}-\lambda_{0}+\frac{d}{p}} \chi_{\left\{0<r<\frac{1}{2}\right\}} \in L^{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}^{\alpha} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} & \lesssim\left\|\int_{2 r}^{\infty}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{0}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}}\right\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\left\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)} \\
& +\left\|\int_{2 r}^{\infty}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{0}-\lambda_{0}+2+\frac{d}{p}}\right\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega)\left\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)}=\|f(r, \omega)\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, if $\frac{1}{p}>\max \left\{0, \frac{\alpha+\sigma}{d}\right\}$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{3}^{\alpha}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$.
5.5. Case 3: $\frac{1}{2}<\frac{s}{r}<2$. Let us define

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}:=A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}-1  \tag{5.29}\\
& E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}:=A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}-1 \tag{5.30}
\end{align*}
$$

By definition, for $N \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{N} E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}}{d k^{N}}=\frac{d^{N} A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}}{d k^{N}}, \quad \frac{d^{N} E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}}{d k^{N}}=\frac{d^{N} A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}}{d k^{N}} \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rewrite the kernel functions as

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{k, 2}^{\alpha}(r, s)= & C_{k}^{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+2+2 n} \chi_{+}-C_{k}^{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha+2+2 n} \chi_{+} \\
& -C_{k}^{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}+2 n} \chi_{-}+C_{k}^{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+2 n} \chi_{-} \\
= & : K_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { main }}(r, s)+K_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { rem }}(r, s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { main }}(r, s): & C_{k}^{\alpha} \frac{\left\{\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+2}-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha+2}\right\}}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \chi_{+}-C_{k}^{\alpha} \frac{\left\{\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}}-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha}\right\}}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}} \chi_{-} \\
K_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { rem }}(r, s): & C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{+}-C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha+2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{+} \\
& -C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{-}+C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote the modified kernels

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { main }}(r, s) & :=\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{-\frac{d}{p}} K_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { main }}(r, s), \\
\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { rem }}(r, s) & :=\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{-\frac{d}{p}} K_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { rem }}(r, s)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the associated operators are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha, \text { main }} f(r, \omega) & :=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k}^{\alpha, \text { main }}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha, \text { rem }} f(r, \omega) & :=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k}^{\alpha, \text { rem }}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

5.5.1. The estimate of the term $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha, \text { main }}$. Let us define the approximated kernels

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { main, ap }}(r, s)= & C_{k}^{\alpha} \frac{\left\{\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{0}+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{0}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha+2-\frac{d}{p}}\right\}}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \chi_{+} \\
& -C_{k}^{\alpha} \frac{\left\{\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{0}-\lambda+\frac{d}{p}}-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{0}-\lambda-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}}\right\}}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}} \chi_{-},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{K}_{\mathrm{err}, k}^{\alpha, \text { main }}(r, s)=\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { main }}(r, s)-\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { main, ap }}(r, s) \\
& =\left[C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}\left\{\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{k}}-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{0}}\right\}-C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\lambda_{0}+\alpha+2-\frac{d}{p}}\left\{\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}}-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{0}}\right\}\right] \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}} \chi_{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\left[C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\lambda_{0}+\frac{d}{p}}\left\{\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}}-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{0}}\right\}-C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\lambda-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}}\left\{\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{k}}-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{0}}\right\}\right] \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}} \chi_{-}
$$

and the associated operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha, \text { main, ap }} f(r, \omega) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{k}^{\alpha, \text { main, ap }}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text {err }} f(r, \omega) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_{\text {err }, k}^{\alpha, \text { main }}(r, s) \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha, \text { main, ap }}$, we can rewrite it as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha, \text { main, ap }} f(r, \omega) \\
= & \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\left\{\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{0}+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}}-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{0}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha+2-\frac{d}{p}}\right\} \chi_{+}}{1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\left\{\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{0}-\lambda+\frac{d}{p}}-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{0}-\lambda-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}}\right\} \chi_{-}}{1-\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& \times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{\alpha} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(s, \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund singular operators, we have

$$
\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha, \text { main, ap }} f(\cdot, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{\alpha} \mathbb{P}_{k} f(\cdot, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}\right)}
$$

For the coefficients $C_{k}^{\alpha}$, by Lemma 5.3 we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|C_{k}^{\alpha}\right| \lesssim 1 \\
\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} C_{k}^{\alpha}\right| \lesssim 1
\end{array}
$$

That is, $C_{k}^{\alpha}$ satisfies the conditions of ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{BCi}}\right)$ and ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{BCii}}\right)$, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha, \text { main, ap }} f(r, \omega)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \lesssim\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{k} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)}=\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)} \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the error term $\widetilde{K}_{\mathrm{err}, k}^{\alpha, \text { main }}(r, s)$, using Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.5, the following estimates are valid:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\widetilde{K}_{\mathrm{err}, k}^{\alpha, \text { main }}(r, s)\right| \\
\sup _{j} 2^{j(N-1)} \sum_{k=2^{j}}^{2^{j+1}}\left|\mathfrak{D}^{N} \widetilde{K}_{\mathrm{err}, k}^{\alpha, \text { main }}(r, s)\right|
\end{array}
$$

Hence, $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text {err }}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$. In conclusion, we have proved that $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha, \text { main }}$ is also bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$.
5.5.2. The estimate of the term $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha, \text { rem }}$. Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{K}_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { rem }}(r, s) \\
= & C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\nu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+2-\frac{d}{p}} \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{+}}_{E_{k, 1}^{\alpha,+}(r, s)}-C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\mu_{k}+\lambda_{0}+\alpha+2-\frac{d}{p}} \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{+}}_{E_{k, 2}^{\alpha,+}(r, s)} \\
& -C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu_{k}-\lambda_{0}-\alpha+\frac{d}{p}} \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{-}}_{E_{k, 2}^{\alpha,-}(r, s)}+C_{k}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\mu_{k}-\lambda_{0}+\frac{d}{p}} \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{-}}_{E_{k, 1}^{\alpha,-}(r, s)},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{k, 1}^{\alpha,-}(r, s) & :=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{+}, \quad E_{k, 2}^{\alpha,+}(r, s)  \tag{5.33}\\
E_{k, 2}^{\alpha,-}(r, s) & :=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{+}  \tag{5.34}\\
E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{-}, \quad E_{k, 1}^{\alpha,-}(r, s) & :=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2 n} \chi_{-}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 5.5. For $E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}, E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}, A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}$ and $A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}$, and $N \geq 1$, we have

$$
\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k^{N}} \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad\left|\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{N} E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k^{N}} \frac{1}{n+1}
$$

Proof. See Appendix B.
Using Lemma 5.5, a direct computation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} E_{k, 1}^{\alpha,+}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right|,\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} E_{k, 2}^{\alpha,+}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k^{N}}\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right)\right| \chi_{+}, \\
& \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} E_{k, 1}^{\alpha,-}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right|,\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} E_{k, 2}^{\alpha,-}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k^{N}}\left|\ln \left(1-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}\right)\right| \chi_{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from these estimates that $\widetilde{K}_{k, 2}^{\alpha, \text { rem }}(r, s)$ satisfies the condition (BCi) and (BCii) of Theorem 2.12. Therefore, $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{\alpha, \text { rem }}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \omega\right)$. Thus we finished the proof of Proposition 1.4

In conclusion, if $\max \left\{0, \frac{\sigma+\alpha}{d}\right\}<\frac{1}{p}<\min \left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}, \frac{d+\alpha}{d}\right\}$, the operator $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

## Appendix A.

Proof of Lemma 4.6. We only give the details for $A_{k, n}^{+}$, as the estimate for $A_{k, n}^{-}$is similar.

For $N=1$, using the definition of polygamma functions, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d A_{k, n}^{+}}{\mathrm{d} k}= A_{k, n}^{+}\left[\left(\psi\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right)\right) \frac{d a_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k}\right. \\
& \quad+\left(\psi\left(b_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right) \frac{d b_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k}\right] \\
&=: A_{k, n}^{+} G_{n, k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Leibniz rule gives

$$
\frac{d^{N+1} A_{k, n}^{+}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N+1}}=\sum_{m=0}^{N}\binom{N}{m} \frac{d^{m} A_{k, n}^{+}}{\mathrm{d} k^{m}} \frac{d^{N-m} G_{n, k}}{\mathrm{~d} k^{N-m}}=A_{k, n}^{+} \sum_{i=0}^{M(N)} C_{i, N} \prod_{j=0}^{N}\left(G_{n, k}^{(j)}\right)^{\alpha_{i, j, N}}
$$

where $\alpha_{i, j, N}$ are integers depends on $i, j, N$ and satisfying $\sum_{j=0}^{N} \alpha_{i, j, N}(1+j)=N+1$, and $C_{i, N}, M(N)$ are some constants which depends on $N$.

For $G_{n, k}$, we have the following estimate, with $z \in\left(a_{k}+n+1, a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right)$ and $\widetilde{z} \in\left(b_{k}+n+1, a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right)$,

$$
\left|G_{n, k}\right| \leq\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) b_{k}\right| \cdot\left|\frac{d a_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k}\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(\widetilde{z}) a_{k}\right| \cdot\left|\frac{d b_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k}\right|
$$

To estimate the derivatives of $G_{n, k}$ with respect to $k$, we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{D}_{b} \psi\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)=\psi\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right), \\
& \mathfrak{D}_{a} \psi\left(b_{k}+n+1\right)=\psi\left(b_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n, k}=\mathfrak{D}_{b} \psi\left(a_{k}+n+1\right) \frac{d a_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k}+\mathfrak{D}_{a} \psi\left(b_{k}+n+1\right) \frac{d b_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k} . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\mathfrak{D}_{b} \psi\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)\right|\left|\frac{d a_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{k}\right\} \frac{1}{k} \\
\left|\mathfrak{D}_{a} \psi\left(b_{k}+n+1\right)\right|\left|\frac{d b_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} k}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k} .
\end{array}
$$

By the Faá di Bruno formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} \mathfrak{D}_{b} \psi\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}} \\
& =\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} C_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{m_{j}} \\
& \quad-\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N} C_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}+b_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{n_{j}} \\
& =\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} C_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N}}\left[\psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right)\right] \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{m_{j}} \\
& \quad-\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N} C_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\alpha_{j}=0}^{n_{j-1}} C_{\alpha_{j}, n_{j}}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{\alpha_{j}}\left(b_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{n_{j}-\alpha_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (4.5) and (4.6), we have the following estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} \mathfrak{D}_{b} \psi\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}}\right| \\
\lesssim & \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)+1}(z)\left|b_{k}\right| \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{m_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)-1}} \sum_{\alpha_{j}=0}^{n_{j-1}} \frac{1}{k^{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j \alpha_{j}+(j+1)\left(n_{j}-\alpha_{j}\right)-\alpha_{1}}} \\
\lesssim & \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)+1}} \frac{1}{k^{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}-m_{1}}} \\
& -\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N, \alpha_{1}<N}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)-1}} \frac{1}{k^{N+N-\alpha_{1}}} \\
\lesssim & \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{N+1}} . \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for $\mathfrak{D}_{a} \psi\left(b_{k}+n+1\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} \mathfrak{D}_{a} \psi\left(b_{k}+n+1\right)}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}} \\
= & \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} C_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)}\left(b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(b_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{m_{j}} \\
& -\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N} C_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}+b_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{n_{j}} \\
= & \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} C_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)}\left(b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(b_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{m_{j}} \\
& -\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N} C_{n_{1} \ldots n_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{\alpha_{j}=0}^{n_{j}} C_{\alpha_{j}, n_{j}} a_{k}^{(j)\left(n_{j}-\alpha_{j}\right)} b_{k}^{(j) \alpha_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, (4.5) and (4.6) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} \mathfrak{D}_{a} \psi\left(b_{k}+n+1\right)}{\mathrm{d} k^{N} \mid}\right| & \lesssim \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{\sum_{j=1}^{N}(j+1) m_{j}}} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N \\
\alpha_{1} \leq n_{1} \leq N}} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{N}-1}} \frac{1}{k^{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}-\left(n_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{(n+1) k^{N-1}} \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Leibniz rule for (A.1), we have

$$
G_{n, k}^{(N)}=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\binom{N}{j}\left(\left(\mathfrak{D}_{b} \psi\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)\right)^{(j)} a_{k}^{(N-j+1)}+\left(\mathfrak{D}_{a} \psi\left(b_{k}+n+1\right)\right)^{(j)} b_{k}^{(N-j+1)}\right)
$$

By (A.2) and (A.3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|G_{n, k}^{(N)}\right| & \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{j+1}} \frac{1}{k^{N-j+1}}+\sum_{j=0}^{N} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{j-1}} \frac{1}{k^{N-j+2}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{N+1}} \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of the boundedness of $A_{k, n}^{+}$, we have

$$
A_{k, n}^{+(N+1)} \lesssim \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{N+1}}
$$

This finishes the proof.

## Appendix B.

Proof of Lemma 5.5. Using the asymptotics of the Gamma function, we know that $E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}, E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}$ are uniformly bounded in $n$ and $k$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}}{d k} & =E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\left[\left\{\left(\psi\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right)\right)\right\} \frac{d a_{k}}{d k}\right. \\
& +\left\{\left(\psi\left(a_{k}-b_{k}-\alpha / 2+n+1\right)-\psi\left(a_{k}-\alpha / 2+n+1\right)\right)\right\} \frac{d a_{k}}{d k} \\
& +\left\{\psi\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi\left(-b_{k}+n+1\right)\right\} \frac{d b_{k}}{d k} \\
& \left.+\left\{\psi\left(-b_{k}-\alpha / 2+n+1\right)-\psi\left(a_{k}-b_{k}-\alpha / 2+n+1\right)\right\} \frac{d b_{k}}{d k}\right] \\
& :=E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha} G_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{N} E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}}{d k^{N}}=E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{M(N)} C_{i, N} \prod_{j=0}^{N}\left(\frac{d^{j} G_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}}{d k^{j}}\right)^{\alpha_{i, j, N}} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i, j, N}$ are integers that depend on $i, j, N$, satisfying $\sum_{j=0}^{N} \alpha_{i, j, N}(1+j)=N+1$; and $C_{i, N}, M(N)$ are some constants which depend on $N$. It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{n+1} \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we compute the derivatives of $G_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}$.
Using the Faá di Bruno formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}^{N}\left\{\left(\psi\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right)\right)\right\}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}} \\
= & \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} C_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{m_{j}} \\
& -\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N} C_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}-b_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{n_{j}} \\
= & \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} C_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N}}\left[\psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right)\right] \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{m_{j}} \\
& -\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N} C_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\alpha_{j}=0}^{n_{j-1}} C_{\alpha_{j}, n_{j}}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{\alpha_{j}}\left(b_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{n_{j}-\alpha_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}^{N}\left\{\left(\psi\left(a_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right)\right)\right\}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}} \\
\lesssim & \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)+1}(z)\left|b_{k}\right| \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{m_{j}} \\
& -\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)-1}} \sum_{\alpha_{j}=0}^{n_{j-1}} \frac{1}{k^{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j \alpha_{j}+(j+1)\left(n_{j}-\alpha_{j}\right)-\alpha_{1}}} \\
\lesssim & \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)+1}} \frac{1}{k^{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}-m_{1}}} \\
& -\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N, \alpha_{1}<N}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)-1}} \frac{1}{k^{N+N-\alpha_{1}}} \\
\lesssim & \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{N+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An analogous calculation gives that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N}\left\{\left(\psi\left(a_{k}-b_{k}-\alpha / 2+n+1\right)-\psi\left(a_{k}-\alpha / 2+n+1\right)\right)\right\}}{\mathrm{d} k^{N}} \lesssim \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{N+1}}
$$

For $\psi\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi\left(-b_{k}+n+1\right)$, Faá di Bruno formula gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{N}\left\{\psi\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi\left(-b_{k}+n+1\right)\right\}}{d k^{N}} \\
= & \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} C_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}-b_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{m_{j}} \\
& -\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N} C_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)}\left(-b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(-b_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{n_{j}} \\
= & \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j m_{j}=N} C_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}\right)}\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\alpha_{j}=0}^{m_{j}} C_{\alpha_{j}, m_{j}}\left(a_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{\alpha_{j}}\left(b_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{m_{j}-\alpha_{j}} \\
& -\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N} C_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{N}} \psi^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j}\right)}\left(-b_{k}+n+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(-b_{k}^{(j)}\right)^{n_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{d^{N}\left\{\psi\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right)-\psi\left(-b_{k}+n+1\right)\right\}}{d k^{N}}\right| \\
\lesssim & \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N}} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j}-1}} \frac{1}{k^{\sum_{j=0}^{N} j \alpha_{j}+(j+1)\left(m_{j}-\alpha_{j}\right)-\alpha_{1}}} \\
& +\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_{j}=N} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{\sum_{j=1}^{N}(j+1) n_{j}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\lesssim \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{N-1}}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{d^{N}\left\{\psi\left(-b_{k}-\alpha / 2+n+1\right)-\psi\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right)\right\}}{d k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{N-1}} . \\
\left|\frac{d^{N} G_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}}{d k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{N+1}} . \tag{B.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d^{N} E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}}{d k^{N}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{N+1}} \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimates for $E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}$ are similar, so we omit the details.

Table 1. Summary of notation used in this paper.

| Notation | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{D}^{N}$ | $N$ th order (forward) finite difference |
| $\mathscr{B}_{\mu}$ | Bessel transform of order $\mu$ |
| $\mathscr{H}_{\nu}$ | Hankel transform of order $\nu$ |
| $\mathbb{P}_{k}$ | Projection from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right)$ to the space of spherical harmonics of degree $k$ |
| $Y_{k, l}(\omega)$ | The $l$ th spherical harmonic of degree $k$ |
| $\mathscr{H}_{k, l}$ | $\mathscr{H}_{k, l}=\left\{f(r) Y_{k, l}(\omega): f(r) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; r^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} r\right)\right\}$ |
| $\mathbb{H}_{0 ; k, l}$ | $-\Delta$ restricted to $\mathscr{H}_{k, l}$ |
| $\mathbb{H}_{k, l}$ | $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ restricted to $\mathscr{H}_{k, l}$ |
| $\lambda_{0}$ | $\lambda_{0}=\frac{d-2}{2}$ |
| $\mu_{k}$ | $\mu_{k}=\frac{d-2}{2}+k$ |
| $\nu_{k}$ | $\nu_{k}=\sqrt{\mu_{k}^{2}+a}$ |
| $e_{k}(r, \lambda)$ | $e_{k}(r, \lambda)=(r \lambda)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_{\mu_{k}}(r \lambda)$ |
| $\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)$ | $\widetilde{e}_{k}(r, \lambda)=(r \lambda)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_{\nu_{k}}(r \lambda)$ |
| $a_{k}$ | $a_{k}=\frac{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}}{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}}$ |
| $b_{k}$ | $b_{k}=\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}}{2}$ |
| $A_{k, n}^{+}$ | $A_{k, n}^{+}=\frac{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(b_{k}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+b_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)}$ |
| $A_{k, n}^{-}$ | $A_{k, n}^{-}=\frac{\Gamma\left(a_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(1-b_{k}+n\right)}{\Gamma\left(a_{k}-b_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)}$ |
| $E_{k, n}^{+}$ | $E_{k, n}^{+}=A_{k, n}^{+}-1-\frac{a}{4(n+1)}$ |
| $E_{k, n}^{-}$ | $E_{k, n}^{-}=A_{k, n}^{-}-1+\frac{a}{4(n+1)}$ |
| $\chi_{ \pm}$ | $\chi_{+}=\chi_{\{r / 2<s<r\}}$ and $\chi_{-}=\chi_{\{r<s<2 r\}}$ |
| $C_{k}^{\alpha}$ | $C_{k}^{\alpha}=\frac{2 \sin (\pi \alpha / 2) \sin \left(\pi\left(\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}\right) / 2\right)}{\pi \sin \left(\pi\left(\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}+\alpha\right) / 2\right)}$ ( $\alpha$ is not an even integer $)$ |
| $A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}$ | $A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}+\nu_{k}}{}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\nu_{k}-\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}-\mu_{k}-\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\nu_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}-\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)}$ |
| $A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}$ | $A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}}{2}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_{k}-\nu_{k}+\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{k}+\mu_{k}+\alpha}{2}+n+1\right) \Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+n+1\right) \Gamma(n+1)}$ |
| $E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}$ | $E_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}=A_{k, 1 n}^{\alpha}{ }^{2}-1$ |
| $E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}$ | $E_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}=A_{k, 2 n}^{\alpha}-1$ |
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