arXiv:2110.02326v1 [math-ph] 5 Oct 2021

Higher order first integrals of autonomous dynamical systems

Antonios Mitsopoulos[1,a)] and Michael Tsamparlis[1,b)]

[1] *Faculty of Physics, Department of Astronomy-Astrophysics-Mechanics, University of Athens, Panepistemiopolis, Athens 157 83, Greece*

[a)] Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: antmits@phys.uoa.gr
[b)] Email: mtsampa@phys.uoa.gr

Abstract

A theorem is derived which determines higher order first integrals of autonomous holonomic dynamical systems in a general space, provided the collineations and the Killing tensors –up to the order of the first integral– of the kinetic metric, defined by the kinetic energy of the system, can be computed. The theorem is applied in the case of Newtonian autonomous conservative dynamical systems of two degrees of freedom, where known and new integrable and superintegrable potentials that admit cubic first integrals are determined.

Keywords: Liouville integrable system; superintegrable system; higher order first integral; kinetic metric; Killing tensor; cubic first integral.

1 Introduction

In general, a system of differential equations is integrable if there exist 'enough' in number first integrals (FIs) so that its solution can be found by means of quadratures. It is well-known [1] that in the special case of autonomous Hamiltonian systems with n degrees of freedom the above definition becomes more specific. Indeed, such systems are integrable if they admit n (functionally) independent autonomous FIs $I(q,p)$ which are in involution. The last definition is carried over [2], [3] unchanged for non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems $H(q,p,t)$ and general time-dependent FIs $I(q,p,t)$. This means that time-dependent FIs can be used to establish the integrability of a dynamical system. The maximal number of *autonomous* independent FIs is $2n-1$; however, if additional time-dependent FIs exist, this maximal limit can be surpassed.

If there exist $2n-1$ independent FIs, an integrable Hamiltonian system $H(q,p,t)$ is called (maximally) superintegrable. If there are k independent FIs such that $n < k < 2n-1$, the system is called minimally superintegrable. In the case of non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems, the Hamiltonian is not a FI.

A general first order autonomous system $\dot{x}_i = F_i(x)$, where $i = 1, ..., n$ and F_i are arbitrary smooth functions of the variables x_i, is always integrable if there exist $n-1$ independent FIs [4]. However, the existence of fewer FIs may also be sufficient since in the case of Hamiltonian systems, where $n = 2m$, m independent FIs in involution are enough for (Liouville) integrability.

In the course of time, there have been developed various methods which determine FIs. A brief review of the major such methods has as follows.

The Lie symmetry method

A Lie symmetry of a differential equation is a point transformation in the solution space of the equation which preserves the set of solutions of the equation. The vector field[1] $\mathbf{X} = \xi(t,q,\dot{q})\partial_t + \eta^a(t,q,\dot{q})\partial_{q^a}$ which generates the point transformation is called the generator of the Lie symmetry. If the components $\xi(t,q), \eta^a(t,q)$, i.e. they

[1] We restrict our considerations to the first jet bundle $J^1\{t,q,\dot{q}\}$, i.e. to contact transformations.

do not depend on the 'velocities' $\dot{q}^a$, the Lie symmetry is called a point symmetry; otherwise it is a generalized Lie symmetry. A generalized Lie symmetry has one free parameter which is removed by means of a gauge condition. The standard gauge condition is $\xi = 0$. Although a Lie symmetry is possible to lead to a FI (see e.g. [5]), in general it does not, and one has to restrict to Noether symmetries. These are Lie symmetries which satisfy the additional requirement of the Noether condition. Every Noether symmetry leads to a Noether FI. The method of Noether symmetries is the most widely used tool for the determination of FIs (see e.g. [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11]).

The inverse Noether theorem

If $I(t,q,\dot{q})$ is a FI of a second order dynamical system whose Lagrangian $L(t,q,\dot{q})$ is regular, that is, $\det(\gamma_{ab}) \neq 0$ where $\gamma_{ab} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial \dot{q}^b}$ is the kinetic metric, then by means of the inverse Noether theorem one may associate to I a gauged generalized Noether symmetry and finally compute the FIs. This is done as follows. From the inverse Noether theorem, the FI I is associated to the generalized Noether symmetry (see e.g. [12], [13], [14])

$$\eta^a = -\gamma^{ab}\frac{\partial I}{\partial \dot{q}^b} + \xi \dot{q}^a \tag{1}$$

$$\xi = \frac{1}{L}\left(f - I + \gamma^{ab}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\frac{\partial I}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\right) \tag{2}$$

where $f(t,q,\dot{q})$ is the Noether function, the Einstein summation convention is used, $\dot{q}^a \equiv \frac{dq^a}{dt}$ and the kinetic metric γ_{ab} is used for lowering and raising the indices. Equation (1) is the well-known Cartan condition. In the gauge $\xi = 0$ conditions (1), (2) become

$$\eta^a = -\gamma^{ab}\frac{\partial I}{\partial \dot{q}^b} \tag{3}$$

$$f = I - \gamma^{ab}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\frac{\partial I}{\partial \dot{q}^b}. \tag{4}$$

If one looks for quadratic FIs (QFIs) of the form

$$I = K_{ab}(t,q)\dot{q}^a\dot{q}^b + K_a(t,q)\dot{q}^a + K(t,q) \tag{5}$$

where $K_{ab}(t,q), K_a(t,q), K(t,q)$ are symmetric tensor quantities, then from conditions (3), (4) follows that the generator $\eta_a = -2K_{ab}\dot{q}^b - K_a$ and the Noether function $f = -K_{ab}\dot{q}^a\dot{q}^b + K$. Replacing these into the Noether condition one obtains a set of partial differential equations (PDEs) whose solution provides the corresponding Noether integrals [15].

The Lax pair method

In this method (see e.g. [16], [17], [18], [19], [20]), one brings the dynamical equations into a special matrix form called a Lax representation. Then the existence of an extended set of FIs is guaranteed. Specifically, Hamilton's equations have to be written in the form

$$\dot{A} = [B, A] = BA - AB \tag{6}$$

where A, B are two square matrices whose entries are functions on the phase space q, p of the system. If this is possible, then it is said that the system admits a Lax representation with A being the corresponding Lax matrix. The pair of matrices A, B is called a Lax pair.

If one finds a Lax representation, then the functions

$$I_k = tr(A^k) \tag{7}$$

where tr denotes the trace and k is a positive integer, are FIs. Indeed, we have

$$\dot{I}_k = k\ tr\left(A^{k-1}\dot{A}\right) = k\ tr\left(A^{k-1}[B,A]\right) = k\ tr\left(A^{k-1}BA\right) - k\ tr\left(A^kB\right) = k\ tr\left(A^kB\right) - k\ tr\left(A^kB\right) = 0$$

because the trace is invariant under cyclic permutations and $tr(A+B) = tr(A) + tr(B)$. In fact, the matrix equation (6) has the general solution

$$A(t) = F(t)A(0)F(t)^{-1} \tag{8}$$

where the invertible matrix $F(t)$ is such that $B = \dot{F}F^{-1}$.

A Hamiltonian system may admit more than one Lax pair. These pairs may be 1) represented by square matrices of different size; 2) related by transformations of the type

$$A' = GAG^{-1}, \;\; B' = GBG^{-1} + \dot{G}G^{-1} \tag{9}$$

where G is an arbitrary invertible matrix.

The Hamilton-Jacobi (H-J) method

This is also a widely applied method and –as a rule– concerns autonomous conservative dynamical systems and FIs with small degrees of freedom. In this method, one considers in the phase space (cotangent bundle) the Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ab}(q)p_a p_b + V(q)$ where $V(q)$ denotes the potential and q^a, p_a are the canonical coordinates. The coordinates q^a and the Hamiltonian are called separable if the corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi (H-J) equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ab}W_{,a}W_{,b} + V = h$$

has a complete solution of the form

$$W(q;c) = W_1(q^1;c) + ... + W_n(q^n;c)$$

where $W, W_1, ..., W_n$ are smooth functions of q^a, $W_{,a} = \partial_{q^a}W$, h is an arbitrary constant and $c = (c_1, ..., c_n)$ are integration constants. Separable Hamiltonian systems form a large class of integrable systems and, moreover, the additive separation of the H-J equation is related to the multiplicative separation of the corresponding Helmholtz (or Schrödinger) equation. The separation of variables in the H-J equation, corresponding to a natural Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ab}(q)p_a p_b + V(q)$ with a kinetic metric of any signature, is intrinsically characterized by geometrical objects on the Riemannian configuration manifold, i.e. Killing vectors (KVs), Killing tensors (KTs), and Killing webs. The intrinsic characterization in terms of Riemannian geometry of the additive separation of variables in the H-J equation is discussed e.g. in [21], [22], [23] and references cited therein. The H-J theory in the context of the moving frames formalism of E. Cartan is discussed in [24].

One application of the H-J theory which is relevant to the present paper is the determination of the autonomous conservative dynamical systems with two degrees of freedom which are superintegrable with one cubic FI (CFI) and either one linear FI (LFI) or a QFI. It is found in [25] that the case of LFIs gives the well-known cases of the harmonic oscillator and the Kepler potential, while the case of QFIs gives five irreducible potentials whose finite trajectories are all closed. In another relevant work [26], concerning the classification of autonomous CFIs of autonomous Hamiltonians with two degrees of freedom, the authors classify the non-trivial third order KTs using the group invariants of KTs defined on pseudo-Riemannian spaces of constant curvature under the action of the isometry group. Higher order FIs are also discussed in [27]. In all cases mentioned above, the studies concern autonomous Hamiltonians and autonomous FIs (see e.g. [28], [29], [30], [31], [32]).

The direct method

The direct method applies to second order holonomic dynamical systems which are not necessarily conservative. In this method, one assumes a generic FI, say $I(t, q, \dot{q})$, which is of a polynomial form in terms of the velocities $\dot{q}^a$ with unknown coefficients and requires the condition $dI/dt = 0$. This condition leads to a system of PDEs involving the unknown coefficients (tensors) of I together with the elements which characterize the dynamical system, that is, the potential V and the non-conservative generalized forces F^a. The solution of this system is done as in the H-J method, that is, in terms of the geometrical objects on the Riemannian configuration manifold, i.e. the collineations (KVs, Homothetic vectors (HVs), Affine vectors (AVs), Projective Collineations (PCs)) and KTs of the appropriate order. It appears that the direct method has been introduced for the first time by Bertrand [33] in the study of integrable surfaces and later used by Whittaker [34] in the determination of the integrable autonomous conservative Newtonian systems with two degrees of freedom. In the course of time, this method has been used and extended by various authors (see e.g. [5], [6], [14], [35], [36], [37], [38]).

Most studies consider the integrability of autonomous conservative dynamical systems with two degrees of freedom. These systems admit already the Hamiltonian QFI; therefore, in order to establish their integrability one needs one more independent autonomous FI. A review of the known integrable and superintegrable autonomous conservative dynamical systems with two degrees of freedom in terms of QFIs is given in [39] and a more extended one including time-dependent QFIs in [40]. It is to be noted that these reviews do not contain all integrable/superintegrable dynamical systems of this type, because the general solution of one of the equations resulting from the condition $dI/dt = 0$, the Bertrand-Darboux equation, is not known.

In the review paper [39], as a rule, the integrability of the considered dynamical systems is established in terms of FIs which are autonomous and quadratic. The time-dependent FIs are totally absent, whereas there are occasional references mainly to CFIs and to a lesser extent to quartic FIs (QUFIs). However, as it has been indicated above, the time-dependent FIs are equally appropriate for establishing integrability [2, 3]. The same applies to a greater degree for the higher order FIs. These two types of FIs are not usually considered because their determination is difficult, especially, when algebraic methods are employed. However, this does not apply to the geometric method where one uses the general results of differential geometry concerning the collineations (symmetries) of the kinetic metric to compute the FIs. An early example in this direction determines the time-dependent FIs of higher order of the geodesic equations [35].

Concerning the solution of the system of PDEs resulting from the condition $dI/dt = 0$, there are two methods. The algebraic method in which one solves the differential equations following the standard approach (see e.g. [8], [39], [41]); and the geometric method in which one 'solves' the system of PDEs in terms of the collineations of the metric defined by the kinetic energy (kinetic metric) of the dynamical system (see e.g. [35], [37], [38]). In the present work, we follow the geometric method.

In the present paper, the main new result is Theorem 1 which generalizes the results of [35] to the case of autonomous holonomic dynamical systems. It turns out that the geodesic equations is a special case in which the system of PDEs resulting from the condition $dI/dt = 0$ is directly integrable. Using this Theorem we show how one takes known results concerning integrable autonomous conservative systems in a unified method. Furthermore, we present new integrable autonomous dynamical systems with two degrees of freedom which admit only a CFI. Finally, we show how a dynamical system which was considered to be integrable is in fact superintegrable because it admits an additional time-dependent FI.

2 The conditions for an mth-order FI of an autonomous dynamical system

We consider the autonomous holonomic dynamical system

$$\ddot{q}^a = -\Gamma^a_{bc}\dot{q}^b\dot{q}^c - Q^a(q) \tag{10}$$

where Γ^a_{bc} are the coefficients of the Riemannian connection of the kinetic metric $\gamma_{ab}(q)$ defined by the kinetic energy of the system and $-Q^a(q)$ are the generalized forces.

We look for mth-order FIs of the form

$$I^{(m)} = \sum_{r=0}^{m} M_{i_1 i_2 ... i_r}\dot{q}^{i_1}\dot{q}^{i_2}...\dot{q}^{i_r} = M + M_{i_1}\dot{q}^{i_1} + M_{i_1 i_2}\dot{q}^{i_1}\dot{q}^{i_2} + ... + M_{i_1 i_2 ... i_m}\dot{q}^{i_1}\dot{q}^{i_2}...\dot{q}^{i_m} \tag{11}$$

where $M_{i_1...i_r}(t,q)$ with $r = 0, 1, ..., m$ are totally symmetric r-rank tensors, the index (m) denotes the order of the FI and the Einstein summation convention is used.

The condition

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = 0 \tag{12}$$

leads to the following system of equations

$$M_{(i_1 i_2 ... i_m ; i_{m+1})} = 0 \tag{13}$$

$$M_{i_1 i_2 ... i_m, t} + M_{(i_1 i_2 ... i_{m-1} ; i_m)} = 0 \tag{14}$$

$$M_{i_1 i_2 ... i_r, t} + M_{(i_1 i_2 ... i_{r-1} ; i_r)} - (r+1) M_{i_1 i_2 ... i_r i_{r+1}} Q^{i_{r+1}} = 0, \quad r = 1, 2, ..., m-1 \tag{15}$$

$$M_{,t} - M_{i_1} Q^{i_1} = 0 \tag{16}$$

where round brackets indicate symmetrization of the enclosed indices, a comma indicates partial derivative and a semicolon Riemannian covariant derivative. Equation (13) implies that $M_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m}$ is an mth-order KT of the kinetic metric γ_{ab}.

Equations (13) - (16) must be supplemented with the integrability conditions $M_{,i_1 t} = M_{,t i_1}$ and $M_{,[i_1 i_2]} = 0$ of the scalar function:

$$M_{i_1,tt} - 2M_{i_1 i_2,t} Q^{i_2} + \left(M_c Q^c\right)_{,i_1} = 0 \tag{17}$$

$$2\left(M_{[i_1|c|} Q^c\right)_{;i_2]} - M_{[i_1;i_2],t} = 0. \tag{18}$$

We note that square brackets indicate antisymmetrization of the enclosed indices; and indices enclosed between vertical lines are overlooked by symmetrization or antisymmetrization symbols.

Equations (13) - (18) constitute the system of equations which has to be solved.

3 Determination of the mth-order FIs

In order to solve the system of equations (13) - (18) we assume a polynomial form in t for both the mth-order KT $M_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(t,q)$ and the r-rank totally symmetric tensors $M_{i_1 \ldots i_r}(t,q)$, where $r = 1, 2, ..., m-1$, with coefficients depending only on q^a. That is, we assume that:

a. The **mth-order KT** $M_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(t,q)$ has the form

$$M_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(t,q) = C_{(0) i_1 \ldots i_m}(q) + \sum_{N=1}^{n} C_{(N) i_1 \ldots i_m}(q) \frac{t^N}{N} \tag{19}$$

where $C_{(N) i_1 \ldots i_m}$, $N = 0, 1, ..., n$, is a sequence of arbitrary **mth-order KTs** of the kinetic metric γ_{ab} and n is the degree of the considered polynomial.

b. The **r-rank totally symmetric tensors** (not in general KTs!) $M_{i_1 \ldots i_r}(t,q)$, where $r = 1, 2, ..., m-1$, have the form

$$M_{i_1 \ldots i_r}(t,q) = \sum_{N_r=0}^{n_r} L_{(N_r) i_1 \ldots i_r}(q) t^{N_r}, \;\; r = 1, 2, ..., m-1 \tag{20}$$

where $L_{(N_r) i_1 \ldots i_r}(q)$, $N_r = 0, 1, ..., n_r$, are arbitrary **r-rank totally symmetric tensors** and n_r is the degree of the considered polynomial.

The degrees n, n_r of the above polynomial expressions of t may be infinite.

Substituting (19), (20) in the system of equations (14) - (18) (equation (13) is identically satisfied since $C_{(N) i_1 \ldots i_m}$ are assumed to be mth-order KTs) we find the solution given in the following Theorem.

4 The Theorem

Theorem 1 *The independent mth-order FIs of the dynamical system (10) are the following:*

Integral 1.

$$\begin{aligned} I_n^{(m)} = & \left(-\frac{t^n}{n} L_{(n-1)(i_1 \ldots i_{m-1}; i_m)} - ... - \frac{t^2}{2} L_{(1)(i_1 \ldots i_{m-1}; i_m)} - t L_{(0)(i_1 \ldots i_{m-1}; i_m)} + C_{(0) i_1 \ldots i_m} \right) \dot{q}^{i_1} ... \dot{q}^{i_m} + \\ & + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \left(t^n L_{(n) i_1 \ldots i_r} + ... + t L_{(1) i_1 \ldots i_r} + L_{(0) i_1 \ldots i_r} \right) \dot{q}^{i_1} ... \dot{q}^{i_r} + s \frac{t^{n+1}}{n+1} + \\ & + L_{(n-1)c} Q^c \frac{t^n}{n} + ... + L_{(1)c} Q^c \frac{t^2}{2} + L_{(0)c} Q^c t + G(q) \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{(0) i_1 \ldots i_m}$, $L_{(N)(i_1 \ldots i_{m-1}; i_m)}$ for $N = 0, 1, ..., n-1$ are ***m-th-order KTs****, $L_{(n) i_1 \ldots i_{m-1}}$ is an* $(\mathbf{m-1})$***th-order KT****, s is an arbitrary constant defined by the condition*

$$L_{(n) i_1} Q^{i_1} = s \tag{21}$$

while the vectors $L_{(N)i_1}$ *and the* ***totally symmetric tensors*** $L_{(A)i_1...i_r}$, $A=0,1,...,n$, $r=2,3,...,m-2$ *satisfy the conditions*

$$L_{(n)(i_1...i_{m-2};i_{m-1})} = -\frac{m}{n}L_{(n-1)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}Q^{i_m} \quad (22)$$

$$L_{(k-1)(i_1...i_{m-2};i_{m-1})} = -\frac{m}{k-1}L_{(k-2)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}Q^{i_m} - kL_{(k)i_1...i_{m-1}}, \ \ k=2,3,...,n \quad (23)$$

$$L_{(0)(i_1...i_{m-2};i_{m-1})} = mC_{(0)i_1...i_{m-1}i_m}Q^{i_m} - L_{(1)i_1...i_{m-1}} \quad (24)$$

$$L_{(n)(i_1...i_{r-1};i_r)} = (r+1)L_{(n)i_1...i_ri_{r+1}}Q^{i_{r+1}}, \ \ r=2,3,...,m-2 \quad (25)$$

$$L_{(k-1)(i_1...i_{r-1};i_r)} = (r+1)L_{(k-1)i_1...i_ri_{r+1}}Q^{i_{r+1}} - kL_{(k)i_1...i_r}, \ \ k=1,2,...,n, \ \ r=2,3,...,m-2 \quad (26)$$

$$\left(L_{(n-1)c}Q^c\right)_{,i_1} = 2nL_{(n)i_1i_2}Q^{i_2} \quad (27)$$

$$\left(L_{(k-2)c}Q^c\right)_{,i_1} = 2(k-1)L_{(k-1)i_1i_2}Q^{i_2} - k(k-1)L_{(k)i_1}, \ \ k=2,3,...,n \quad (28)$$

$$G_{,i_1} = 2L_{(0)i_1i_2}Q^{i_2} - L_{(1)i_1}. \quad (29)$$

Integral 2.

$$I_e^{(m)} = \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{\lambda}\left(-L_{(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}\dot{q}^{i_1}...\dot{q}^{i_m} + \lambda\sum_{r=1}^{m-1}L_{i_1...i_r}\dot{q}^{i_1}...\dot{q}^{i_r} + L_{i_1}Q^{i_1}\right)$$

where $\lambda\neq 0$, $L_{(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}$ *is an* m*th-order KT and the remaining totally symmetric tensors satisfy the conditions*

$$L_{(i_1...i_{m-2};i_{m-1})} = -\frac{m}{\lambda}L_{(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}Q^{i_m} - \lambda L_{i_1...i_{m-1}} \quad (30)$$

$$L_{(i_1...i_{r-1};i_r)} = (r+1)L_{i_1...i_ri_{r+1}}Q^{i_{r+1}} - \lambda L_{i_1...i_r}, \ \ r=2,3,...,m-2 \quad (31)$$

$$\left(L_cQ^c\right)_{,i_1} = 2\lambda L_{i_1i_2}Q^{i_2} - \lambda^2 L_{i_1}. \quad (32)$$

The above Theorem for $m=2$ reduces to Theorem 3 of [14] for the QFIs of autonomous dynamical systems.

We note that the FI $I_n^{(m)}$ consists of two independent FIs of the same order $J_\ell^{(m,1)}$ and $J_\ell^{(m,2)}$ which for an even order $m=2\nu$ ($\nu\in\mathbb{N}$) are computed by the formulae ($\ell\in\mathbb{N}$):

a.

$$\begin{aligned} J_\ell^{(m=2\nu,1)} = & \left(-\frac{t^{2\ell}}{2\ell}L_{(2\ell-1)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)} - ... - \frac{t^2}{2}L_{(1)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)} + C_{(0)i_1...i_m}\right)\dot{q}^{i_1}...\dot{q}^{i_m} + \\ & + \sum_{1\leq r\leq m-1}^{odd}\left(t^{2\ell-1}L_{(2\ell-1)i_1...i_r} + ... + t^3L_{(3)i_1...i_r} + tL_{(1)i_1...i_r}\right)\dot{q}^{i_1}...\dot{q}^{i_r} + \\ & + \sum_{1\leq r\leq m-1}^{even}\left(t^{2\ell}L_{(2\ell)i_1...i_r} + ... + t^2L_{(2)i_1...i_r} + L_{(0)i_1...i_r}\right)\dot{q}^{i_1}...\dot{q}^{i_r} + \\ & + \frac{t^{2\ell}}{2\ell}L_{(2\ell-1)c}Q^c + ... + \frac{t^2}{2}L_{(1)c}Q^c + G(q) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $C_{(0)i_1...i_m}$, $L_{(N)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}$ for $N=1,3,...,2\ell-1$ are mth-order KTs and the following conditions are satisfied

$$L_{(2\ell)(i_1...i_{m-2};i_{m-1})} = -\frac{m}{2\ell}L_{(2\ell-1)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}Q^{i_m} \quad (34)$$

$$L_{(k-1)(i_1...i_{m-2};i_{m-1})} = -\frac{m}{k-1}L_{(k-2)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}Q^{i_m} - kL_{(k)i_1...i_{m-1}}, \ \ k=3,5,...,2\ell-1 \quad (35)$$

$$L_{(0)(i_1...i_{m-2};i_{m-1})} = mC_{(0)i_1...i_{m-1}i_m}Q^{i_m} - L_{(1)i_1...i_{m-1}} \quad (36)$$

$$L_{(2\ell)(i_1...i_{r-1};i_r)} = (r+1)L_{(2\ell)i_1...i_ri_{r+1}}Q^{i_{r+1}}, \ \ r=3,5,...,m-3 \quad (37)$$

$$L_{(k-1)(i_1...i_{r-1};i_r)} = (r+1)L_{(k-1)i_1...i_ri_{r+1}}Q^{i_{r+1}} - kL_{(k)i_1...i_r}, \ \ k=1,3,...,2\ell-1, r=3,5,...,m-3 \quad (38)$$

$$L_{(k-1)(i_1...i_{r-1};i_r)} = (r+1)L_{(k-1)i_1...i_ri_{r+1}}Q^{i_{r+1}} - kL_{(k)i_1...i_r}, \ \ k=2,4,...,2\ell, \ \ r=2,4,...,m-2 \quad (39)$$

$$\left(L_{(2\ell-1)c}Q^c\right)_{,i_1} = 4\ell L_{(2\ell)i_1i_2}Q^{i_2} \quad (40)$$

$$\left(L_{(k-2)c}Q^c\right)_{,i_1} = 2(k-1)L_{(k-1)i_1i_2}Q^{i_2} - k(k-1)L_{(k)i_1}, \;\; k=3,5,...,2\ell-1 \quad (41)$$

$$G_{,i_1} = 2L_{(0)i_1i_2}Q^{i_2} - L_{(1)i_1}. \quad (42)$$

b.

$$\begin{aligned} J_\ell^{(m=2\nu,2)} = & \left(-\frac{t^{2\ell+1}}{2\ell+1}L_{(2\ell)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)} - ... - \frac{t^3}{3}L_{(2)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)} - tL_{(0)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}\right)\dot{q}^{i_1}...\dot{q}^{i_m} + \\ & + \sum_{1\le r\le m-1}^{odd}\left(t^{2\ell}L_{(2\ell)i_1...i_r} + ... + t^2L_{(2)i_1...i_r} + L_{(0)i_1...i_r}\right)\dot{q}^{i_1}...\dot{q}^{i_r} + \\ & + \sum_{1\le r\le m-1}^{even}\left(t^{2\ell+1}L_{(2\ell+1)i_1...i_r} + ... + t^3L_{(3)i_1...i_r} + tL_{(1)i_1...i_r}\right)\dot{q}^{i_1}...\dot{q}^{i_r} + \\ & + \frac{t^{2\ell+1}}{2\ell+1}L_{(2\ell)c}Q^c + ... + \frac{t^3}{3}L_{(2)c}Q^c + tL_{(0)c}Q^c \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where $L_{(N)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}$ for $N=0,2,...,2\ell$ are mth-order KTs and the following conditions are satisfied

$$L_{(2\ell+1)(i_1...i_{m-2};i_{m-1})} = -\frac{m}{2\ell+1}L_{(2\ell)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}Q^{i_m} \quad (44)$$

$$L_{(k-1)(i_1...i_{m-2};i_{m-1})} = -\frac{m}{k-1}L_{(k-2)(i_1...i_{m-1};i_m)}Q^{i_m} - kL_{(k)i_1...i_{m-1}}, \;\; k=2,4,...,2\ell \quad (45)$$

$$L_{(2\ell+1)(i_1...i_{r-1};i_r)} = (r+1)L_{(2\ell+1)i_1...i_ri_{r+1}}Q^{i_{r+1}}, \;\; r=3,5,...,m-3 \quad (46)$$

$$L_{(k-1)(i_1...i_{r-1};i_r)} = (r+1)L_{(k-1)i_1...i_ri_{r+1}}Q^{i_{r+1}} - kL_{(k)i_1...i_r}, \;\; k=1,3,...,2\ell+1, r=2,4,...,m-2 \quad (47)$$

$$L_{(k-1)(i_1...i_{r-1};i_r)} = (r+1)L_{(k-1)i_1...i_ri_{r+1}}Q^{i_{r+1}} - kL_{(k)i_1...i_r}, \;\; k=2,4,...,2\ell, \;\; r=3,5...,m-3 \quad (48)$$

$$\left(L_{(2\ell)c}Q^c\right)_{,i_1} = 2(2\ell+1)L_{(2\ell+1)i_1i_2}Q^{i_2} \quad (49)$$

$$\left(L_{(k-2)c}Q^c\right)_{,i_1} = 2(k-1)L_{(k-1)i_1i_2}Q^{i_2} - k(k-1)L_{(k)i_1}, \;\; k=2,4,...,2\ell. \quad (50)$$

For $\nu=1 \implies m=2$ the QFIs (33) and (43) reduce to the QFIs $I_{(1)}$ and $I_{(2)}$ respectively found in Theorem 3 of [14] (see also Appendix in [14]).

Moreover, for an odd order $m=2\nu+1$ the independent FIs $J_\ell^{(m,1)}$, $J_\ell^{(m,2)}$ of the FI $I_n^{(m)}$ are given by the relations $J_\ell^{(2\nu+1,1)} = J_\ell^{(2\nu+2,1)}$ $(M_{i_1...i_m}=0)$ and $J_\ell^{(2\nu+1,2)} = J_\ell^{(2\nu+2,2)}$ $(M_{i_1...i_m}=0)$.

The mth-order FIs of geodesic equations follow from the application of Theorem 1 to the case $Q^a=0$. It is found that in this case the integral $I_e^{(m)}=0$, whereas the Integral 1 is given by the expression (see eq. (2.10) in [35])

$$I_m^{(m)} = \sum_{r=0}^{m}\sum_{b=0}^{r}\frac{(-t)^{r-b}}{(r-b)!}C_{(i_1...i_b;i_{b+1}..i_r)}\dot{q}^{i_1}\dot{q}^{i_2}...\dot{q}^{i_r} = \sum_{r=0}^{m}\sum_{b=0}^{r}\frac{(-t)^{r-b}}{(r-b)!}C_{i_1...i_b;i_{b+1}..i_r}\dot{q}^{i_1}\dot{q}^{i_2}...\dot{q}^{i_r} \quad (51)$$

where the b-rank totally symmetric tensors $C_{i_1...i_b}$ satisfy the condition (see eq. (2.9) in [35])

$$C_{(i_1...i_b;i_{b+1}..i_{m+1})} = 0, \;\; b=0,1,2...,m. \quad (52)$$

We note that in the case of geodesic equations the totally symmetric tensors $C_{;i_1...i_m}$, $C_{(i_1;i_2...i_m)}$, $C_{(i_1i_2;i_3...i_m)}$, ..., $C_{i_1i_2...i_m}$ are mth-order KTs of γ_{ab}.

5 Killing tensors (KTs) of spaces of constant curvature

In a space of constant curvature that admits n_0 KVs (gradient and non-gradient) X_{Ia} where $I=1,2,...,n_0$ all KTs of order m are of the form (see e.g. [42], [43], [44], [45], [46], [47])

$$K_{i_1...i_m} = \alpha^{I_1...I_m}X_{I_1(i_1}X_{|I_2|i_2}...X_{|I_m|i_m)} \quad (53)$$

where $\alpha^{I_1...I_m}$ are constants and $1 \leq I_1 \leq I_2 \leq ... \leq I_m \leq n_0$. In (53) in general the parameters $\alpha^{I_1...I_m}$ are not all independent.

In the following section, we apply this result in the case of the Euclidean plane E^2.

6 The geometric quantities of E^2

E^2 admits two gradient KVs ∂_x, ∂_y whose generating functions are x, y respectively, and one non-gradient KV (the rotation) $y\partial_x - x\partial_y$. These vectors are written collectively as

$$L_a = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 + b_3 y \\ b_2 - b_3 x \end{pmatrix} \tag{54}$$

where b_1, b_2, b_3 are arbitrary constants, possibly zero.

6.1 KTs of order 2 in E^2

- The general KT of order 2 in E^2 is [24, 48]

$$C_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma y^2 + 2\alpha y + A & -\gamma xy - \alpha x - \beta y + C \\ -\gamma xy - \alpha x - \beta y + C & \gamma x^2 + 2\beta x + B \end{pmatrix} \tag{55}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, C$ are arbitrary constants.

- The vector L_a generating KTs of E^2 of the form $C_{ab} = L_{(a;b)}$ is[2]

$$L_a = \begin{pmatrix} -2\beta y^2 + 2\alpha xy + Ax + (2C - a_1)y + a_2 \\ -2\alpha x^2 + 2\beta xy + a_1 x + By + a_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{56}$$

where a_1, a_2, a_3 are also arbitrary constants.

- The KTs $C_{ab} = L_{(a;b)}$ in E^2 generated from the vector (56) are

$$C_{ab} = L_{(a;b)} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{x,x} & \frac{1}{2}(L_{x,y} + L_{y,x}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(L_{x,y} + L_{y,x}) & L_{y,y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha y + A & -\alpha x - \beta y + C \\ -\alpha x - \beta y + C & 2\beta x + B \end{pmatrix}. \tag{57}$$

Observe that these KTs are special cases of the general KTs (55) for $\gamma = 0$.

We note that the vector L_a given by (56) depends on 8 parameters while the generated KT $L_{(a;b)}$ depends on five of them the α, β, A, B, C. This is because the remaining $8 - 5 = 3$ parameters a_1, a_2, a_3 of the vector L_a generate the KVs in E^2 which generate the zero KTs.

6.2 KTs of order 3 in E^2

- The general KT C_{abc} of order 3 in E^2 has independent components [26, 49]

$$\begin{aligned} C_{111} &= a_1 y^3 + 3a_2 y^2 + 3a_3 y + a_4 \\ C_{112} &= -a_1 xy^2 - 2a_2 xy + a_5 y^2 - a_3 x + a_8 y + a_9 \\ C_{221} &= a_1 x^2 y + a_2 x^2 - 2a_5 xy - a_8 x - a_6 y + a_{10} \\ C_{222} &= -a_1 x^3 + 3a_5 x^2 + 3a_6 x + a_7 \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where a_K with $K = 1, 2, ..., 10$ are arbitrary constants.

- The reducible KT $C_{abc} = L_{(ab;c)}$ of order 3 in E^2 is generated by the symmetric tensor

$$\begin{aligned} L_{11} &= 3b_2 xy^2 + 3b_5 y^3 + 3b_3 xy + 3(b_{10} + b_8)y^2 + b_4 x + 3b_{15} y + b_{12} \\ L_{12} &= -3b_2 x^2 y - 3b_5 xy^2 - \frac{3}{2}b_3 x^2 - \frac{3}{2}(2b_{10} + b_8)xy - \frac{3}{2}b_6 y^2 + \frac{3}{2}(b_9 - b_{15})x - \frac{3}{2}b_{11} y + b_{13} \\ L_{22} &= 3b_2 x^3 + 3b_5 x^2 y + 3b_{10} x^2 + 3b_6 xy + 3(b_1 + b_{11})x + b_7 y + b_{14} \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

[2]Note that L_a in (56) is the sum of the non-proper ACs of E^2 and not of its KVs which give $C_{ab} = 0$.

where $b_1, b_2, ..., b_{15}$ are arbitrary constants.

- The independent components of the generated KT are

$$\begin{aligned} L_{(11;1)} &= 3b_2y^2 + 3b_3y + b_4 \\ L_{(11;2)} &= -2b_2xy + b_5y^2 - b_3x + b_8y + b_9 \\ L_{(22;1)} &= b_2x^2 - 2b_5xy - b_8x - b_6y + b_1 \\ L_{(22;2)} &= 3b_5x^2 + 3b_6x + b_7. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

We note that the KT (60) is just a subcase of the general KT (58) for $a_1 = 0$.

7 Applications

Theorem 1 is covariant, independent of the dimension and applies to a curved Riemannian space provided its geometric elements can be determined. In that respect, it can be used to determine the higher order (time-dependent and autonomous) FIs of autonomous holonomic dynamical systems. In the following, we demonstrate the application of the Theorem to the rather simple case of Newtonian autonomous conservative dynamical systems with two degrees of freedom which has been a research topic for many years. For these systems the kinetic metric $\gamma_{ab} = \delta_{ab} = diag(1, 1)$ and $Q^a = -V^{,a}$ where $V(x, y)$ indicates the potential of the dynamical system.

As it has been mentioned, the known integrable and superintegrable systems of that type that admit QFIs are reviewed in [39] and recently in [40]. Using the general Theorem 1, we shall show that:
a. CFIs which have been determined by other methods follow as subcases directly from Theorem 1.
b. New integrable potentials which admit only CFIs are found.
c. Dynamical systems which were considered to be integrable admit an additional time-dependent CFI therefore are, in fact, superintegrable.

7.1 Known CFIs

In [29] the authors determined all potentials of the form $V = F(x^2 + \nu y^2)$, where ν is an arbitrary constant and F an arbitrary smooth function, that admit autonomous CFIs. They found the following three potentials[3] (see eqs. (3.15a), (3.15b), (3.19) of [29])

$$V_{(1a)} = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{9}{2}y^2, \;\; V_{(1b)} = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{18}y^2, \;\; V_{(1c)} = (x^2 - y^2)^{-2/3}. \tag{61}$$

Using Theorem 1 we found the new superintegrable[4] potential

$$V_1 = c_0(x^2 + 9y^2) + c_1y \tag{62}$$

where c_0, c_1 are arbitrary constants, which admits the associated CFI

$$J_1 = (x\dot{y} - y\dot{x})\dot{x}^2 - \frac{c_1}{18c_0}\dot{x}^3 + \frac{c_1}{3}x^2\dot{x} + 6c_0x^2y\dot{x} - \frac{2c_0}{3}x^3\dot{y} \tag{63}$$

and the integrable potential

$$V_2 = k(x^2 - y^2)^{-2/3} \tag{64}$$

where k is an arbitrary constant, which admits the CFI

$$J_2 = (x\dot{y} - y\dot{x})\left(\dot{y}^2 - \dot{x}^2\right) + 4V_2(y\dot{x} + x\dot{y}). \tag{65}$$

We note that the potentials (61) are special cases of V_1, V_2 as follows:

$$V_{(1a)} = V_1\left(c_1 = 0, c_0 = \frac{1}{2}\right), \;\; V_{(1b)} = V_1\left(x \leftrightarrow y; c_1 = 0, c_0 = \frac{1}{18}\right), \;\; V_{(1c)} = V_2(k = 1).$$

Working in the same manner one recovers all known potentials which are integrable or superintegrable and admit higher order FIs (see e.g. [22], [27], [50],[51]).

[3]There is a misprint in the FI (3.15b) of [29] where the $p_1 = \dot{x}$ in the last term must be $p_2 = \dot{y}$.

[4]It is superintegrable because it is of the separable form $V(x, y) = F_1(x) + F_2(y)$, where F_1, F_2 are arbitrary smooth functions. It is well-known [40] that such potentials admit also the QFIs $I_1 = \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}^2 + F_1(x)$ and $I_2 = \frac{1}{2}\dot{y}^2 + F_2(y)$.

7.2 New integrable potentials

Using Theorem 1 we found the new integrable potential

$$V_3 = \frac{k_1}{(a_2y - a_5x)^2} + \frac{k_2}{r} + \frac{k_3(a_2x + a_5y)}{r(a_2y - a_5x)^2} \tag{66}$$

where k_1, k_2, k_3, a_2, a_5 are arbitrary constants and $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, which admits the CFI

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 \quad = \quad & (x\dot{y} - y\dot{x})^2(a_2\dot{x} + a_5\dot{y}) + \frac{2k_1r^2}{(a_2y - a_5x)^2}(a_2\dot{x} + a_5\dot{y}) - \frac{k_2(a_2y - a_5x)}{r}(x\dot{y} - y\dot{x}) + \\ & + \frac{k_3r}{a_2y - a_5x}(a_2\dot{y} - a_5\dot{x}) - \frac{k_3(a_2x + a_5y)}{r(a_2y - a_5x)}(x\dot{y} - y\dot{x}) + \frac{2k_3(a_2x + a_5y)r}{(a_2y - a_5x)^2}(a_2\dot{x} + a_5\dot{y}). \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Furthermore, for $a_5 = 0$ this potential becomes the superintegrable potential (see last Table of [40])

$$V_4 = V_3(a_5 = 0) = \frac{c_1}{y^2} + \frac{c_2}{r} + \frac{c_3x}{ry^2} \tag{68}$$

where $c_1 = \frac{k_1}{a_2^2}$, $c_2 = k_2$, $c_3 = \frac{k_3}{a_2}$ are arbitrary constants, which admits the CFI

$$J_4 = J_3(a_5 = 0) = (x\dot{y} - y\dot{x})^2\,\dot{x} - \frac{c_2y}{r}\,(x\dot{y} - y\dot{x}) + \frac{2c_1r^2}{y^2}\dot{x} + \frac{c_3x(2x^2 + 3y^2)}{ry^2}\dot{x} + \frac{c_3y}{r}\dot{y}. \tag{69}$$

We note that under the transformation $c_1 = B + C, c_2 = A, c_3 = C - B$, where A, B, C are the new constants, the potential V_4 becomes

$$V_4 = \frac{A}{r} + \frac{B}{r(r + x)} + \frac{C}{r(r - x)}$$

which is the potential (3.2.36) of [39].

For $k_2 = 0$ we have the special potential

$$V_5 = V_3(k_2 = 0) = \frac{k_1}{(a_2y - a_5x)^2} + \frac{k_3(a_2x + a_5y)}{r(a_2y - a_5x)^2} \tag{70}$$

which admits the additional time-dependent CFI

$$J_5 = -tJ_3(k_2 = 0) + (a_2x + a_5y)(x\dot{y} - y\dot{x})^2 + \frac{2k_1r^2(a_2x + a_5y)}{(a_2y - a_5x)^2} + \frac{2k_3r(a_2x + a_5y)^2}{(a_2y - a_5x)^2} + k_3r. \tag{71}$$

We conclude that V_5 is not just an integrable but a new superintegrable potential. This result illustrates the importance of the time-dependent FIs in the determination of the integrability/superintegrability.

8 Conclusions

Theorem 1 provides a general method for determining higher order FIs of autonomous holonomic dynamical systems in a general space provided one knows, or is able to calculate, the KTs of all orders –up to the order of the FI– of the kinetic metric. It is shown that an autonomous dynamical system is possible to admit two families of independent FIs of a given order. The results of the Theorem are covariant and do not depend on the number of degrees of freedom of the dynamical system.

We have considered an application of the Theorem in the rather simple –but widely studied– case of autonomous conservative dynamical systems with two degrees of freedom. It is shown that one is possible to obtain the known integrable potentials which have been computed using other methods but the direct method in a simple, direct, and concrete geometrical approach. Finally, we have given a new integrable potential whose integrability is established only by means of CFIs, and we have shown the importance of the time-dependent FIs in the determination of the integrability/superintegrability of a dynamical system by finding a new superintegrable potential.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the referee for pointing out useful remarks which improved the quality of the paper.

Data Availability

The data that supports the findings of this study are available within the article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] V.I. Arnold, *'Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics'*, Springer, (1989), proof in p. 272-284.

[2] V.V. Kozlov, *'Integrability and non-integrability in Hamiltonian mechanics'*, Russ. Math. Surv., Turpion, **38**(1), pp. 1-76 (1983), Theorem 1, p.17, chap. II, par. 2.

[3] T.G. Vozmishcheva, *'Integrable problems of celestial mechanics in spaces of constant curvature'*, J. Math. Sc. **125**(4), 419 (2005), Theorem 3.4.

[4] H. Yoshida, *'Necessary condition for the existence of algebraic first integrals'*, Celest. Mech. **31**, 381 (1983).

[5] G.H. Katzin, *'Related integral theorem. II. A method for obtaining quadratic constants of the motion for conservative dynamical systems admitting symmetries'*, J. Math. Phys. **14**(9), 1213 (1973).

[6] G.H. Katzin and J. Levine, *'Dynamical symmetries and constants of the motion for classical particle systems'*, J. Math. Phys. **15**(9), 1460 (1974).

[7] G.H. Katzin and J. Levine, *'A gauge invariant formulation of timedependent dynamical symmetry mappings and associated constants of motion for Lagrangian particle mechanics. I'*, J. Math. Phys. **17**(7), 1345 (1976).

[8] M.F. Rañada, *'Superintegrable $n = 2$ systems, quadratic constants of motion, and potentials of Drach'*, J. Math. Phys. **38**(8), 4165 (1997).

[9] P.A. Damianou and C. Sophocleous, *'Classification of Noether Symmetries for Lagrangians with Three Degrees of Freedom'*, Nonlin. Dyn. **36**, 3 (2004).

[10] F.X. Mei, H.B. Wu and Y.F. Zhang, *'Symmetries and conserved quantities of constrained mechanical systems'*, Int. J. Dyn. Contr. **2**, 285 (2014).

[11] A.K. Hadler, A. Paliathanasis and P.G.L. Leach, *'Noethers Theorem and Symmetry'*, Symmetry **10**(12), 744 (2018).

[12] D.S. Djukic and B.D. Vujanovic, *'Noether's Theory in Classical Nonconservative Mechanics'*, Acta Mechanica **23**, 17-27 (1975).

[13] W. Sarlet and F. Cantrijn, *'Generalizations of Noether's Theorem in Classical Mechanics'*, SIAM Rev. **23**(4), 467 (1981).

[14] M. Tsamparlis and A. Mitsopoulos, *'First integrals of holonomic systems without Noether symmetries'*, J. Math. Phys. **61**, 122701 (2020).

[15] P.G.L. Leach, *'Classes of potentials of timedependent central force fields which possess first integrals quadratic in the momenta'*, J. Math. Phys. **26**(7), 1613 (1985).

[16] P.D. Lax, *'Integrals of Nonlinear Equations of Evolution and Solitary Waves'*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **21**, 467 (1968).

[17] F. Calogero, *'Exactly Solvable One-Dimensional Many-Body Problems'*, Lett. Nuovo Cim. **13**(11), 411 (1975).

[18] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *'Classical integrable finite-dimensional systems related to Lie algebras'*, Phys. Rep. **71**(5), 313 (1981).

[19] O. Babelon and C-M. Viallet, *'Hamiltonian structures and Lax equations'*, Phys. Lett. B **237** (3,4), 411 (1990).

[20] G. Arutyunov, *'Elements of Classical and Quantum Integrable Systems'*, Springer Nature Switzerland AG (2019).

[21] S. Benenti, *'Intrinsic characterization of the variable separation in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation'*, J. Math. Phys. **38**(12), 6578 (1997).

[22] A.V. Tsiganov, *'The Drach superintegrable systems'*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33**, 7407 (2000).

[23] S. Benenti, C. Chanu and G. Rastelli, *'Remarks on the connection between the additive separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and the multiplicative separation of the Schrödinger equation. I. The completeness and Robertson conditions'*, J. Math. Phys. **43**(11), 5183 (2002).

[24] C.M. Adlam, R.G. McLenaghan and R.G. Smirnov, *'On geometric properties of joint invariants of Killing tensors'*, Symmetries and overdetermined systems of partial differential equations, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., Vol. 144, pp 205-221, Springer, New York (2008).

[25] I. Marquette and P. Winternitz, *'Superintegrable systems with third-order integrals of motion'*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 304031 (2008).

[26] R.G. McLenaghan, R.G. Smirnov and D. The, *'Towards a classification of cubic integrals of motion'*, p. 199, Superintegrability in classical and quantum systems, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, Vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2004). arXiv:nlin/0305048v1.

[27] A.V. Tsiganov, *'Addition theorems and the Drach superintegrable systems'*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 335204 (2008).

[28] A.S. Fokas, *'Group Theoretical Aspects of Constants of Motion and Separable Solutions in Classical Mechanics'*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **68**, 347 (1979).

[29] A.S. Fokas and P.A. Lagerstrom, *'Quadratic and Cubic Invariants in Classical Mechanics'*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **74**, 325 (1980).

[30] E.G. Kalnins and W. Miller, Jr., *'Killing tensors and variable separation for Hamilton-Jacobi and Helmholtz equations'*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **11**(6), 1011 (1980).

[31] N.W. Evans, *'Superintegrability in classical mechanics'*, Phys. Rev. A **41**(10), 5666 (1990).

[32] R.G. McLenaghan, R.G. Smirnov and D. The, *'Group invariant classification of separable Hamiltonian systems in the Euclidean plane and the $O(4)$-symmetric Yang-Mills theories of Yatsun'*, J. Math. Phys. **43**(3), 1422 (2002).

[33] J. Bertrand, *'Sur les intégrales communes à plusieurs problèmes de Mécanique'*, Journal de Mathématiques pures et appliquées 1 série **17**, 121 (1852).

[34] E.T. Whittaker, *'A Treatise on the Analytical Dynamics of Particles and Rigid Bodies'*, 4th ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, (1947).

[35] G.H. Katzin and J. Levine, *'Geodesic first integrals with explicit path-parameter dependence in Riemannian space-times'*, J. Math. Phys. **22**(9), 1878 (1981).

[36] L.S. Hall, *'A theory of exact and approximate configurational invariants'*, Physica D **8**, 90 (1983).

[37] J.T. Horwood, *'Higher order first integrals in classical mechanics'*, J. Math. Phys **48**, 102902 (2007).

[38] M. Tsamparlis and A. Mitsopoulos, *'Quadratic first integrals of autonomous conservative dynamical systems'*, J. Math. Phys. **61**, 072703 (2020).

[39] J. Hietarinta, *'Direct methods for the search of the second invariant'*, Phys. Rep. **147**(2), 87 (1987).

[40] A. Mitsopoulos, M. Tsamparlis and A. Paliathanasis, *'Integrable and Superintegrable Potentials of 2d Autonomous Conservative Dynamical Systems'*, Symmetry **12**, 1655 (2020).

[41] C. Daskaloyannis and K. Ypsilantis, *'Unified treatment and classification of superintegrable systems with integrals quadratic in momenta on a two-dimensional manifold'*, J. Math. Phys. **47**, 042904 (2006).

[42] M. Takeuchi, *'Killing tensor fields on spaces of constant curvature'*, Tsukuba J. Math. **7**(2), 233 (1983).

[43] G. Thompson, *'Polynomial constants of motion in flat space'*, J. Math. Phys. **25**(12), 3474 (1984).

[44] G. Thompson, *'Killing tensors in spaces of constant curvature'*, J. Math. Phys. **27**(11), 2693 (1986).

[45] M. Eastwood, *'Higher symmetries of the Laplacian'*, Ann. Math. **161**, 1645 (2005).

[46] A.G. Nikitin and O.I. Prylypko, *'Generalized Killing tensors and symmetry of Klein-Gordon-Fock equations'*, arXiv:math-ph/0506002v1.

[47] J.T. Horwood, *'On the theory of algebraic invariants of vector spaces of Killing tensors'*, J. Geom. Phys. **58**, 487 (2008).

[48] C. Chanu, L. Degiovanni and R.G. McLenaghan, *'Geometrical classification of Killing tensors on bidimensional flat manifolds'*, J. Math. Phys. **47**, 073506 (2006).

[49] J.T. Horwood, R.G. McLenaghan, R.G. Smirnov and D. The, *'Fundamental covariants in the invariant theory of Killing tensors'*, SPT 2004, Symmetry and Perturbation Theory, pp. 124-131, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ (2005).

[50] M. Karlovini and K. Rosquist, *'A unified treatment of cubic invariants at fixed and arbitrary energy'*, J. Math. Phys. **41**(1), 370 (2000).

[51] M. Karlovini, G. Pucacco, K. Rosquist and L. Samuelson, *'A unified treatment of quartic invariants at fixed and arbitrary energy'*, J. Math. Phys. **43**(8), 4041 (2002).