Diastereoselective Formation of trans-HC(O)SH Through Hydrogenation of OCS on Interstellar Dust Grains.
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ABSTRACT

With the presence of evermore complex S-bearing molecules being detected lately, studies on their chemical formation routes need to keep up the pace to rationalize observations, suggest new candidates for detection, and provide input for chemical evolution models. In this paper, we theoretically characterize the hydrogenation channels of OCS on top of amorphous solid water as an interstellar dust grain analog in molecular clouds. Our results show that the significant reaction outcome is trans-HC(O)SH, a recently detected prebiotic molecule toward G+0.693. The reaction is diastereoselective, explaining the seemingly absence of the cis isomer in the astronomical observations. We found that the reaction proceeds through a highly localized radical intermediate (cis-OCSH), which could be essential in the formation of other sulfur-bearing complex organic molecules due to its slow isomerization dynamics on top of amorphous solid water.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Sulfur-bearing molecules constitute a fundamental branch of prebiotic chemistry due to the recently gained importance of cysteine C3H7NO2S as a catalyst in the assembly of complex peptides (Foden et al. 2020). In cold, interstellar environments, cysteine is far from being detected, but other complex organic molecules (COM) of prebiotic importance bearing S have recently been detected, highlighting ethyl mercaptan C2H5SH or thioformic acid (HC(O)SH) (Kolesniková et al. 2014; Rodríguez-Almeida et al. 2021). In turn, the family of compounds to which (HC(O)SH) belongs (thioacids) has also been pointed out as possible catalysts in prebiotic processes in a primordial Earth (Chandru et al. 2016). Particularly puzzling is the fact that the presence of these molecules on Earth could have an (at least partial) panspermic origin, owing to both a confirmed presence of sulfur bearing species in comets (Korth et al. 1986; Krishna Swamy & Wallis 1987; Calmonte et al. 2016; Rubin et al. 2019) and the fact that the simplest thioacid (thioformic acid) has been...
recently identified in the interstellar medium (i.e., the giant molecular cloud G+0.693, see Rodríguez-Almeida et al. (2021)). The chemical mechanism behind the formation of thioformic acid is unknown, but such a mechanism needs to explain the formation of HC(O)SH from simple, sulfur bearing precursors. In Rodríguez-Almeida et al. (2021) several possible routes were postulated for the formation of HC(O)SH, namely:

\[ CO + SH \rightarrow HSCO \rightarrow^H HC(O)SH \]  
\[ HCO + SH \rightarrow HC(O)SH \]  
\[ OCS + 2H \rightarrow HC(O)SH \]  

In this work, we have put the focus on reaction 3 on interstellar dust grains, having two main objectives in mind. First, to check whether or not the reaction proceeds effectively and second, to determine the stereoisomerism of the reaction. The study of reaction 3 sparked our interest for two main reasons. Firstly, OCS is a moderately abundant molecule in the astronomical source where HC(O)SH was detected (Armijos-Abendaño et al. 2014; Rodríguez-Almeida et al. 2021), second OCS is the only sulfur bearing species positively detected on interstellar ices (Palumbo et al. 1997).

Under the harsh conditions operating in molecular clouds, it is expected that a large fraction of the molecular material efficiently depletes on ice-covered dust grains. It is on the surface of these grains where a significant portion of the reaction networks operate, with a prevalence of hydrogenation reactions (Tielens & Hagen 1982; Garrod et al. 2008; Hama & Watanabe 2013) and hydrogen saturated species (with noteworthy exceptions, see for example Noble et al. (2015)). In dense molecular clouds, atomic hydrogen is efficiently formed from the dissociation of H$_2$, the most abundant interstellar molecule (Wakelam et al. 2017a), by cosmic rays (Padovani et al. 2018). These newly formed H atoms accrete on interstellar dust grains at a rate of $\sim$ 1 atom per day (Wakelam et al. 2017a). In addition, note that G+0.693, i.e. the molecular cloud in the Galactic Center where HC(O)SH has been detected, has been proposed to be located in an environment with a large amount of H atoms available (Requena-Torres et al. 2006; Requena-Torres et al. 2008). This is due to the fact that a high cosmic-ray ionization rate is measured in the Galactic Center (factors of 100-1000 higher than the standard cosmic-ray ionization rate in the Galactic disk; Goto 2014)) and this induces a strong radiation field of cosmic-ray induced secondary UV photons. The prevalence of hydrogenation is due to atomic hydrogen being sufficiently mobile to scan the surface of dust grains in search of possible reaction partners (Hama & Watanabe 2013), but also is due to tunneling, a quantum effect in nature that permits light particles to proceed through kinetic barriers.

Tunneling is often invoked as the mechanism behind hydrogenation reactions in the interstellar medium (ISM), both via an external incoming H atom (Meisner et al. 2017; Lamberts & Kästner 2017; Oba et al. 2018; Álvarez-Barcia et al. 2018; Molpeceres & Kästner 2021; Miksch et al. 2021) playing also a role in intramolecular hydrogen/proton migrations reactions (Rani & Vikas 2020a,b, 2021; García de la Concepción et al. 2021). An important trait of the reactions at the cold temperatures of the ISM (and specifically in this case of H atoms) on dust grains is that they yield kinetically controlled reaction products, meaning that lower reaction barriers are correlated with molecular abundances. (Loomis et al. 2015; Shingledecker et al. 2019b) This also has severe implications in the isomerism of the reactions. (Shingledecker et al. 2019a, 2020) It is important to note that has been recently proved that in the gas phase of the ISM, thermodynamic equilibrium can be achieved, even at shallow temperatures (García...
Hydrogenation of OCS on interstellar grains.

...but such equilibrium cannot be taken for granted on dust grains, experiencing constant H accretion, diffusion, and reaction (Wakelam et al. 2017a). For HC(O)SH, Rodríguez-Almeida et al. (2021) were only able to detect trans-HC(O)SH the most stable structural isomer of the two possible ones, with the cis one lying 3.1 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) above in energy, (Kaur & Vikas 2014).

As mentioned above, OCS was postulated as a possible precursor of HC(O)SH because of its relatively large abundance in G+0.693 (Armijos-Abendaño et al. 2014; Rodríguez-Almeida et al. 2021). The sequence of reactions that we have studied include a two-step reaction initiated by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OCS} + \text{H} &\rightarrow \text{OCSH} \quad (4) \\
\text{OCS} + \text{H} &\rightarrow \text{OCHS} \quad (5) \\
\text{OCS} + \text{H} &\rightarrow \text{HOCS}, \quad (6)
\end{align*}
\]

and followed by (further reactions on the HOCS are not considered, because they are not competitive):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OCSH} + \text{H} &\rightarrow \text{trans–HC(O)SH} \quad (7) \\
\text{OCSH} + \text{H} &\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{S} + \text{CO} \quad (8) \\
\text{OCHS} + \text{H} &\rightarrow \text{trans–HC(O)SH} \quad (9) \\
\text{OCHS} + \text{H} &\rightarrow \text{cis–HC(O)SH}. \quad (10)
\end{align*}
\]

From all of them, we found that reaction 4 and 7 determine the most likely reaction pathway, locking the reaction product in trans–HC(O)SH. Both reactions constitute a diastereospecific pathway for the formation of trans–HC(O)SH coherent with the absence of cis–HC(O)SH in astronomical observations (Rodríguez-Almeida et al. 2021). We provide reaction energies and, when applicable, activation energies and rate constants accounting for tunneling for all reactions. Furthermore, for radical-radical reactions, we give a tentative branching ratio of reaction.

2. METHODOLOGY

The OCS + 2 H \(\rightarrow\) HC(O)SH reaction on amorphous solid water (ASW) was investigated alternating two different surface models; the explicit and the implicit model. In the explicit model, we incorporated the effects of a surface by placing the reactants on top of a 20 water cluster (Shimonishi et al. 2018; Molpeceres & Kästner 2021). In the implicit model, we took the effect of a surface in the reaction into account by fixing the value of the rotational partition function of reactant and transition states to the unity (Meisner et al. 2017). The latter approximation allows us to compute accurate reaction barriers with computationally expensive theoretical methods. Such an approximation breaks down in the presence of significant binding between adsorbate and surface.

The protocol we followed in deciding which model to employ in each step involves computing the different adsorbate’s binding energies on a water ice cluster containing 20 molecules, mimicking a fragment of interstellar ice, and starting with OCS as an adsorbate. We did the water ice cluster and adsorbate placement following the same procedure as in Molpeceres & Kästner (2021). Very briefly, molecular dynamics simulations (MD) were used to generate five amorphous clusters, of 20 water molecules each, by a heating (100 ps) and cooling (10 ps) loop using the recently developed GFNFF potential (Spicher & Grimme 2020). The resulting clusters were optimized using density functional theory (DFT) and the PW6B95-D4 exchange and correlation functional (Zhao & Truhlar 2005; Caldeweyher et al. 2019), using the def2-SVP basis set (Weigend & Ahlrichs 2005) for the optimization of the structures and the def2-TZVP basis (Weigend & Ahlrichs 2005) set for energy refinement. The theoretical method is abbreviated as PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP//PW6B95-D4/def2-SVP. All open-shell
DFT calculations were carried out using an unrestricted wave function formalism.

Once the water clusters are generated, the adsorbates are distributed on its surface by placing the adsorbate’s center of mass (CM) in random points of a spherical grid distorted to an ellipsoidal grid surrounding the cluster (Molpeceres & Kästner 2021). Ten samples are placed per adsorbate and ice pair, at a minimum distance of $\sim 3$ Å between the CM of the adsorbate and any other atom in the cluster. The initial orientation of the adsorbate is randomized.

Binding energies are calculated according to:

$$\Delta E_{\text{bin}} = E_{\text{ice} + \text{ads}} - (E_{\text{ice}} + E_{\text{ads}}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

using the PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP//PW6B95-D4/def2-SVP level of theory. A low average binding energy of the adsorbate indicates physisorption and weak influence of the surface and justifies using the implicit surface approach. When such was the case (for example, for OCS, see below), the associated magnitudes of the reaction, reaction energies, and activation barriers were calculated employing the high-level (U)CCSD(T)-F12a/cc-pVTZ-F12//PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP method (Adler et al. 2007; Knizia et al. 2009). Moreover, reaction rate constants accounting for tunneling were obtained using reduced instanton theory above the crossover temperature for tunneling (McConnell & Kästner 2017) and instanton theory (Langer 1967; Miller 1975; Coleman 1977; Kästner et al. 2009; Rommel & Kästner 2011; Rommel et al. 2011) below the crossover temperature at this level of theory.

Crossover temperatures, understood as temperatures where the tunneling regime starts to dominate, are defined as:

$$T_c = \frac{\hbar \omega_i}{2\pi k_B}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$\omega_i$ corresponds to the absolute value of the frequency of the reaction transition mode. When instanton rate constants were calculated, we constructed and optimized a discretized Feynman path consisting of 48 images, starting at T $\sim 0.7 \ T_c$. A sequential cooling scheme was applied until we reached a minimum temperature of 50 K. The number of images at lower temperatures was increased to ensure convergence of the path with the number of images (up to a maximum number of 186 images at 50 K). Symmetry factors ($\sigma$), accounting for the degeneracy in the reaction channels were not included because such a degeneracy should break in a surface (Fernández-Ramos et al. 2007).

When high binding energies are obtained during the sequential study of the different hydrogenation reactions, the implicit model approach is no longer a valid model, and explicit inclusion of water molecules is mandatory. Such is the case of the OCSH and OCHS radicals studied in this work. The hydrogenation of these radicals involves the study of radical-radical reactions. We studied these processes in the presence of the previously described water clusters by placing hydrogen atoms on the vicinity of the radicals and optimizing the resulting structures following a similar protocol as in the adsorbate placement on the ice (minimum distance of 2 Å to the surface and of $\sim 2.5$ Å to the adsorbate’s CM). Although a minimum distance of 2.5 Å is relatively short, it allows us to obtain a good amount of reactive events as a function of the initial configurations of the H atoms. For all the outcomes of this simulation, we tested that there were no long-range entrance barriers. Finally, it is essential to mention that radical-radical recombinations must be modeled using a broken-symmetry formalism (Enrique-Romero et al. 2020), which requires a careful initialization of the potential energy surface for the system. In this study, the generation of biradical, open-shell singlet solutions was ensured in a two-step way. First, we converged our system in the high-spin state (triplet) at long internu-
clear distances, and second, from this solution, we safely converged to the open-shell singlet solution.

The codes we have employed during this work are: ASE and GFN-xTB (GFNFF model) for the MD simulations employed during the cluster generation (Hjorth Larsen et al. 2017; Spicher & Grimme 2020), ChemShell (Sherwood et al. 2003; Metz et al. 2014) for the geometry optimizations, transition state search and instanton optimization, Turbomole v7.5 (Furche et al. 2014) for the DFT calculations and Molpro 2019 (Werner et al. 2012) for the CCSD(T)-F12 calculations.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Hydrogenation of OCS

To confirm that the implicit surface model is applicable in the hydrogenation of OCS we have computed the distribution of binding energies of OCS in ASW. From a total of 50 samples obtained in 5 different model clusters, we got an average binding energy of \( E_{bin} = 1613 \) K with a standard deviation of 639 K. This average is within the values reported in Wakelam et al. (2017b) for a model of OCS interacting with one water. Such a weak binding represents a physisorbed state. It has been discussed previously that in situations of weak binding and at low temperatures, several diffusion mechanisms can be invoked to justify the consideration of the tail of the binding energy distribution as predominant binding energy (Shimonishi et al. 2018; Molpeceres & Kästner 2021). If we consider this argument, the five highest binding energies for OCS are in the range of \( \sim 2800-3100 \) K, in evident agreement with the experimental results of Collings et al. (2004) of 2888 K. In thermal desorption events, the whole distribution of binding energies must be considered because at the desorption temperature, diffusion and desorption are competitive processes, and an interchange of binding sites can be assumed.

In this work, we are interested in reactions at low temperatures, and thus configurations with higher values of the binding energy constitute a better approach to the situation found in quiescent clouds.

In Fig 1 we present two snapshots obtained of optimized structures on the water cluster surface belonging to the highest binding group. From the visualization of the picture, it appears evident that even in the deepest binding sites, there should not be any preferential orientation of the OCS on the surface. Therefore the choice of the implicit surface models is valid for the OCS + H \( \rightarrow \) OCS(H) reaction, and the different hydrogenation channels have been modeled at the (U)CCSD(T)-F12a/cc-pVTZ-F12//PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP level of theory. In the previous equation, the H atom is in parenthesis because from the first hydrogenation reaction, three different structural isomers, namely OCSH, OCHS and HOCS are possible. Furthermore, OCSH presents two different diastereomers, \(^1\) cis and trans (See Figure 2).

\(^1\) “Diastereomers” refers to a type of isomers where the different molecules are not mirror images of each other. Cis-trans isomerism is included in this category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>( \Delta U_A )</th>
<th>( \Delta U_r )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCS + H ( \rightarrow ) cis-OCSH</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>-46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS + H ( \rightarrow ) OCHS</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>-41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS + H ( \rightarrow ) HOCS</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Deep binding sites for OCS on H$_2$O. The figure portraits two of the binding sites with highest binding energy. The values for the binding energies of the configurations in the figure are (left) 3082 and (right) 3174 K, respectively. As a reference, the bond distances for OCS in the gas phase are $r_{O-C}=1.15$ Å and $r_{C-S}=1.56$ Å. The geometries corresponding to this figure are provided as data behind the figure.

Figure 2. Isomers of the OCSH radical. Left - Cis. Right - Trans. The geometries corresponding to this figure are provided as data behind the figure.

The activation barriers and reaction energies for the three structural isomers are summarized in Table 1. From the table, we observe that all proceed with an activation barrier. On the one hand, hydrogenations at the sulfur atom and the carbon atom are exothermic processes presenting moderate barriers worth further investigation. The geometries of the transition states for the hydrogenations in the sulfur and carbon atom are presented in Figure 3. On the other hand, the hydrogenation at the oxygen atom gives an activation barrier that is too high to be competitive with the other two processes, especially considering that no significant orientation in the surface has been found in our binding energy calculations. Moreover, hydrogenation in the O atoms is slightly endothermic. The hydrogenation on the sulfur atoms produces the cis–OCSH isomer exclusively because it proceeds via a slightly bent transition state (see Figure 4 for an intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) of the hydrogenation reaction showing this effect). The IRC energies are not corrected with (U)CCSD(T)-F12a/cc-pVTZ-F12 energies, and that is the reason behind seemingly lower activation energy. The values of Table 1 remain more accurate, and the IRC results are presented for visual purposes.

The reaction rate constants for the discussed barriers are presented in Fig 5. From the figure, we can see that the hydrogenation at the sulfur atom is several orders of magnitude faster than the same reaction in the carbon atom: $k_S(50 \text{ K})=1.3 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_C(50 \text{ K})=3.9 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$, so three orders of magnitude of difference. In this context, the reaction at the sulfur atom should dominate the different hydrogenation channels. However, we will also study the second hydrogenation on the carbon atom in subsequent sections. It is important to make note
Figure 3. Transition state geometries for the main hydrogenation channels considered in the present work. Left- Addition at the S atom. Right- Addition at the C atom. Bottom- Addition to the O atoms. The geometries corresponding to this figure are provided as data behind the figure.

Figure 4. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) profile for the OCS + H $\rightarrow$ cis–OCSH reaction. Please note that the level of theory represented in the plot is PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP.

Figure 5. Instanton reaction rate constants for the OCS + H $\rightarrow$ OCSH and OCS + H $\rightarrow$ OCHS reaction. Numerical values for the rate constants are provided as data behind the figure that during the review process of this article, a similar one (Nguyen et al. 2021) has been made public as a preprint that shares some of the conclusions. The agreement in the comparable calculations (binding energies, activation barriers) is excellent between both works.

3.2. Second hydrogenation

The second hydrogenation processes have been modelled for the reactions cis–OCSH + H $\rightarrow$ products and for the OCHS + H $\rightarrow$ products. Due to the high barrier for the hydrogenation on the oxygen atom, we have not further considered the reaction HOCS + H $\rightarrow$ products in this section. In addition, to confirm the stereoselectivity of the whole process, we have modeled the reaction cis–OCSH $\rightarrow$ trans–OCSH both in the gas phase and on the surface of the ice.

3.2.1. cis-OCSH + H

To model the second hydrogenation reaction, the first step is to obtain an approximation of the binding energy distribution and, most notably, an approximation of the up-
per binding tail of such distribution, as explained in the previous section. We have studied the binding properties of this radical analogously to OCS, obtaining an average binding energy of $E_{bin} = 3284$ K at the PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP//PW6B95-D4/def2-SVP level of theory, with a standard deviation of 1256 K. This binding energy is higher than in the case of the OCS, but of particular interest are the situations of stronger binding. A visualization of the optimized geometries for binding energies higher than 4000 K (12 samples) shows that in all cases, the binding of the cis-OCSH is highly oriented, with the hydrogen of the radical interacting with one oxygen of the water in the cluster and one hydrogen of an adjacent water molecule interacting with the oxygen atom of the radical, in an “anchor-like” configuration (Fig 6 shows this binding conformation for one of the highest binding situations of the distribution). Despite the relatively large binding energy, a closer look at the bond distances of the radical in the gas phase and on the surface reveals minor structural changes, pointing to a physisorbed nature of the adduct. This behavior in the surface is in contrast with the structural changes associated with sulfur compounds in the solvated phase (e.g. thiourea) (Vikas et al. 2007). The radical orientation on the surface is crucial for explaining the subsequent reactivity for two reasons. Firstly, such a fixed position of binding sites hinders the internal rotation of the radical, precluding the isomerization. We have quantified the influence of this effect on the reactivity in section 3.2.3. Secondly, and more importantly, such a binding mode leaves only a single molecular face for a reaction, fixing the possible outcomes of the second reaction in either cis–OCSH + H $\rightarrow$ trans–HC(O)SH or cis–OCSH + H $\rightarrow$ H$_2$S + CO. We have confirmed this effect by determining the second hydrogenation channels of cis–OCSH on the surface, following the procedure described in Section 2.

From one of the binding configurations of higher binding (the one depicted in Figure 6) we placed H atoms around the cis–OCSH radical, satisfying the constraints presented in Section 2. The configurations generated this way (70) were subsequently optimized, visualizing the reaction output to obtain an estimation branching ratio of reaction. Figure 7 represents the initial geometries for this second reaction that were subsequently and sequentially optimized. In the complex potential generated by the ice + adsorbate, we found many optimizations to yield pre-reactive complexes or co-deposition of H on other binding sites of the water ice. This is an artifact of the geometry optimization procedure. In actual ISM conditions, it is expected that both pre-reactive complexes and H bindings are short-lived in the presence of a near radical.
Figure 7. Representation of the starting configurations for the study of second hydrogenations in the cis–OCSH + H reaction. Please note that this figure is presented in perspective to highlight the geometric arrangement of the surrounding H atoms. The list of structures required to form this figure is available as data behind the figure.

The branching ratios provided here are therefore subjected to high uncertainty and should be regarded as qualitative. The computation of accurate branching ratios requires bigger structural models and sampled configurations and, ideally, molecular dynamics simulations to determine the outcomes. Such extensive sampling is unfeasible due to computational limitations. We have estimated the uncertainty in our branching ratios profiting the binomial nature of the product channels. Hence we computed the confidence intervals with a confidence of 90% for a binomial distribution using a 90% confidence interval) and reaction energies on the ice ($\Delta U_{r,i}$, in kJ mol$^{-1}$, ZPE corrected) and in the gas-phase ($\Delta U_{r,g}$, in kJ mol$^{-1}$, ZPE corrected) for the cis–OCSH + H reaction. The numbers in the table are obtained using PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP//PW6B95-D4/def2-SVP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Branching Ratio</th>
<th>$\Delta U_{r,i}$</th>
<th>$\Delta U_{r,g}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>trans–HC(O)SH</td>
<td>0.86 (0.71–0.94)</td>
<td>-360.9</td>
<td>-356.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO + H$_2$S</td>
<td>0.14 (0.05–0.29)</td>
<td>-336.3</td>
<td>-347.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Reaction outcome, branching ratios (in parenthesis, the lower and upper bounds using a binomial distribution using a 90% confidence interval) and reaction energies on the ice ($\Delta U_{r,i}$, in kJ mol$^{-1}$, ZPE corrected) and in the gas-phase ($\Delta U_{r,g}$, in kJ mol$^{-1}$, ZPE corrected) for the cis–OCSH + H reaction. The numbers in the table are obtained using PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP//PW6B95-D4/def2-SVP.

We have only found two possible reaction products for the hydrogenation reaction, either the title product (trans–HC(O)SH) or a mixture of H$_2$S + CO, being the former dominant in our simulations. A detailed summary of our results for this reaction can be found in Table 2. In the table, we make the distinction between the reaction energy for the reaction on the ice ($\Delta U_{r,i}$) and in the gas phase ($\Delta U_{r,g}$). The former is affected by the binding of the products with the surface and depends on the binding site, whereas the latter is univocal. Combining the rate constants present in Figure 5 with the results of the second hydrogenation we arrive at the most important conclusion of the current work: the recently detected trans–HC(O)SH molecule (Rodríguez-Almeida et al. 2021) can be effectively synthesized on the surface of interstellar dust grains. This reaction happens via two subsequent H additions to OCS, a relatively abundant, sulfur-bearing interstellar molecule and the only one positively detected in interstellar ices (Palumbo et al. 1997), as we mention in the introduction. Furthermore, the structure of the primary intermediate of reaction, cis–OCSH ensures that the reaction is diastereoselective, further agreeing with the observations.

3.2.2. OCHS + H

Although the formation of cis–OCSH is expected to be the principal outcome of the first hydrogenation reaction evinced by the different rate constants for OCS hydrogenation portrayed in Fig 5, we have also deepened in the
Table 3. Reaction outcome, branching ratios (in parenthesis, the lower and upper bounds using a binomial distribution using a 90% confidence interval) and reaction energies on the ice (\(\Delta U_{r,i}\), in kJ mol\(^{-1}\), ZPE corrected) and in the gas-phase (\(\Delta U_{r,g}\), in kJ mol\(^{-1}\), ZPE corrected) for the OCHS + H reaction. The numbers in the table are obtained using PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP//PW6B95-D4/def2-SVP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Branching Ratio</th>
<th>(\Delta U_{r,i})</th>
<th>(\Delta U_{r,g})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>trans−HC(O)SH</td>
<td>0.67 (0.54–0.78)</td>
<td>-350.7</td>
<td>-364.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis−HC(O)SH</td>
<td>0.33 (0.22–0.46)</td>
<td>-354.6</td>
<td>-361.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In section 3.2.1 we determined that the reaction proceeds with diastereoselectivity owing to two factors. First, the formation of cis−OCSH as the sole hydrogenation product on the S atom for OCS, and second, the particular binding arrangement between cis−OCSH and H\(_2\)O, locking only one possible face for reaction (the one leading to trans−HC(O)SH). A legitimate question, however, remains if cis−OCSH may be able to isomerize to trans−OCSH in short timescales. In a positive case, the face available for the second hydrogenation reaction (assuming a similar binding arrangement with H\(_2\)O) would be different, and the isomerism of the whole process would surely change. Trans−OCSH is more stable than cis−OCSH by 8.5 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) (ZPE corrected, estimated with (U)CCSD(T)-F12a/cc-pVTZ-F12//PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP), so cis−OCSH should be, in principle prone to isomerize under the right conditions. To determine if the isomerization of cis−OCSH is a plausible outcome we have computed instanton rate constants in the gas-phase using the implicit surface approach and (U)CCSD(T)-F12a/cc-pVTZ-F12//PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP as the level of theory and instanton rate constants for the isomerization using a small, cis−OCSH–(H\(_2\)O)\(_2\) model removing the water molecules not directly involved in the binding of Figure 6. The (U)CCSD(T)-F12a/cc-pVTZ-F12//PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP method is significantly more expensive for the cis−OCSH–(H\(_2\)O)\(_2\) model. In this particular case, we have left the explicit correlation treatment (F12) out. The level of theory for the model with two water molecules is thus (U)CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ//PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP. The transition state geometries, along with the torsion angle of the two different models can be found in Figure 8.

The reaction using the implicit surface model has an activation energy of \(\Delta U_A=20.5\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\).
Figure 8. Transition state geometries for the torsional cis$\text{OCSH}$$\rightarrow$ trans$\text{OCSH}$ isomerization
Left- Gas phase (Implicit surface). Right- Explicit inclusion of two water molecules. The geometries corresponding to this figure are provided as data behind the figure.

The same reaction now explicitly including the two water cluster has an activation energy of $\Delta U_{A,\text{cluster}} = 20.8 $ kJ mol$^{-1}$. Therefore the activation energy for the isomerization hardly depends on the binding situation, most likely due to a similar stabilization in reactant and transition state on the surface. The slightly different levels of theory can have a small impact in the height of the barrier, too. What changes and deeply impacts the kinetics of the process is the frequency of the imaginary transition mode, which is $343.3i$ cm$^{-1}$ for the reaction in the gas phase, different from the value using the cluster model, of $213.9i$ cm$^{-1}$.

Such a subtle change dramatically changes the tunneling rate constants. It renders the reaction non-plausible when cis$\text{OCSH}$ interacts with water. The (reduced) instanton rate constant at 50 K, in this case, is $1.6x10^{-9}$ s$^{-1}$, in contrast with the instanton rate constant using the implicit surface model of $6.6x10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$ (See Fig 9 for an Arrhenius plot of the rate constants at different temperatures). Translating these rate constants to half-lifetimes, we arrive at 13.6 years in the former case and 17 min in the latter. While a half-lifetime of 13.6 years is not extremely long in astronomical timescales, an H atom lands on interstellar dust grains approximately once per day (Wakelam et al. 2017a). The second hydrogenation reaction will occur much faster than the isomerization, finally confirming that occurrence of trans$\text{OCSH}$, and thus cis$\text{HC(O)SH}$ on dust grains should be extremely scarce.

Finally, we dedicate some words to the possible trans$\text{HC(O)SH}$$\rightarrow$ cis$\text{HC(O)SH}$ reaction after the formation of trans$\text{HC(O)SH}$. Very briefly, such a reaction is not feasible on

---

2 Small transition frequencies are likely associated with the higher mass of the migrating SH moiety of the OCSH radical to other equivalent molecules, e.g., HOCO or HONO.
interstellar dust grains, owing to a high isomerization barrier of $\Delta U_A = 35.9$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, 1.8 times higher than the same isomerization for the cis$-\text{OCSH} \rightarrow$ trans$-\text{OCSH}$ reaction. With such a barrier, an approximation of the rate constant using a symmetric Eckart correction yields $1.9 \times 10^{-16}$ s$^{-1}$, rendering the process impossible under ISM conditions.

4. DISCUSSION

In this work, we determined that the sequential reaction OCS + 2 H on interstellar dust grains primarily leads to trans$-\text{HC(O)}$SH, the only thioacid found in the ISM (Rodríguez-Almeida et al. 2021). In the absence of other competitive reactions, the cis$-\text{HC(O)}$SH isomer abundance must be small based on the observed specificity of the OCS + 2 H reaction. cis$-\text{HC(O)}$SH is the less stable isomer (cis-trans gap of 3.1 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (261 K), as mentioned in the introduction) with the direct cis-trans isomerization barrier of 37.2 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Kaur & Vikas 2014). Such obstacles (endothermicity and moderate activation barrier) make it difficult to predict a significant abundance of cis$-\text{HC(O)}$SH in cold molecular clouds. This is the reason why in previous works isomerization transformations of compounds such as imines and other acids such as formic acid, have been investigated by means of chemical processing (Shingledecker et al. 2019b, 2020) or photoisomerization (Cuadrado, S. et al. 2016). Alternatively, a multi-dimensional treatment of the quantum tunneling effects could explain the isomerization transformation in molecular clouds, as obtained for the E/Z isomers of imines (García de la Concepción et al. 2021). However, it remains unknown whether the same conclusions can be applied to the case of cis$-/trans$-HC(O)SH or other acids. This possibility will be explored in a forthcoming paper (Garcia de la Concepcion et al., in prep.).

Our results build on top of the studies unveiling the chemistry of sulfur in the ISM. Past and recent interstellar searches of saturated (Linke et al. 1979; Kolesniková et al. 2014; Rodríguez-Almeida et al. 2021) and unsaturated (Fuente et al. 2017; Martin-Drumel et al. 2019; Cer- nicharo et al. 2021; Rodríguez-Almeida et al. 2021) S-bearing molecules present a scenario with many mysteries in their formation routes, each one of them contributing to understanding the amount of elemental sulfur locked in organosulfur compounds (Laas & Caselli 2019). In previous studies Lamberts (2018) showed that hydrogenation to saturation of CS is possible in astronomical timescales, indicating that highly saturated species (such as CH$_3$SH or C$_2$H$_5$SH) must be prevalent in later stages of a molecular cloud life. Based on these results, we also expect OCS to undergo significant hydrogenation at the adulthood of a molecular cloud at the same time-scales when OCS is predicted to show its peak abundance on dust grains (Taquet, V. et al. 2020).

The values of our tunneling corrected rate constants, summed to the tentative branching ratios of reaction provided here can be used to improve astrochemical models. Similarly, the here provided data complements recent efforts (Shingledecker et al. 2019b, 2020; Zhang et al. 2020; García de la Concepción et al. 2021) to study stereochemistry in the ISM, fundamental in the context of prebiotic chemistry. The high diastereospecificity of this reaction makes trans-HC(O)SH a good case study for the study of isomerization processes in the ISM.

This work has important implications on a physicochemical end for other results studying chemistry on top of dust grains. We have found that the long-lived intermediate radical for the reaction cis$-\text{OCSH}$ is present in a particular binding arrangement with the surface, which may facilitate subsequent reactions on the free carbon atom of such radical. In the future, we will explore the reactions between cis$-\text{OCSH}$ with radicals different than H, as well as to
analyse the destruction channels of HC(O)SH with H or OH on ice surfaces via the Langmuir-Hinshelwood and the Eley-Rideal mechanism. The particular nature of the cis-OCS radical binding with water ice opens the gate to addition reactions with radicals more complex than H (e.g. CH$_3$, HCO), and to the advent of evermore sulfur bearing complex organic molecules.

We want to emphasize the subtle effects of explicit consideration of the interaction of reactants and surfaces in our calculations. In this study, we have found that the interaction of the different intermediates of reaction with water plays several subtle yet critical roles in the reaction. Firstly, the abovementioned orientation effect of cis-OCS with water and secondly, the reduction of the transition mode for reaction in the cis-OCS $\longrightarrow$ trans-OCS reaction. Deepening in the latter effect, it is easy to overlook its importance since either an implicit surface treatment or the inclusion of a couple of water molecules yield very similar activation energies for the reaction. However, the reduction in the frequency of the transition mode has drastic effects, rendering reaction rate constants separated by almost six orders of magnitude and confirming that the reaction proceeds through a metastable intermediate cis-OCS. In the absence of a surface, the cis-OCS $\longrightarrow$ trans-OCS is a fast process under the ISM conditions.

5. CONCLUSION

The detection of new sulfur bearing compounds of prebiotic relevance in the ISM has proved to be an invaluable source of inspiration for experimental and theoretical studies seeking to understand the formation and chemical evolution of prebiotic molecules in space. Thioformic acid HC(O)SH is particularly puzzling, for being first thioacid ever detected in space, with a seemingly pure diastereomeric excess of its trans isomer. Both facts (the detection and the particular isomerism) are rationalized in the present work. There are still unknowns in the chemistry of acids in general and thioacids in particular that we will address in subsequent works, e.g. return of the acids to the gas phase, chemical interconversions after formation or alternative mechanisms of isomerization.
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