arXiv:2110.02790v2 [math.GT] 26 Nov 2021

SOME APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS TO THE SPREAD OF INFLUENZA A VIRUS INFECTION

BOLDIZSÁR KALMÁR

Abstract. We study differential equations describing the spread of influenza A virus infection based on a mathematical model. We look for surface trajectories of the dynamical system in hand and their asymptotic behaviour.

1. Introduction

We consider the system of differential equations

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
\partial_t T(x,t) & = & -\beta T(x,t)V(x,t) \\
\partial_t E_1(x,t) & = & \beta T(x,t)V(x,t) - \frac{n_E}{\tau_E} E_1(x,t) \\
\partial_t E_i(x,t) & = & \frac{n_E}{\tau_E} E_{i-1}(x,t) - \frac{n_E}{\tau_E} E_i(x,t) \\
\partial_t I_1(x,t) & = & \frac{n_E}{\tau_E} E_{n_E}(x,t) - \frac{n_I}{\tau_I} I_1(x,t) \\
\partial_t I_j(x,t) & = & \frac{n_I}{\tau_I} I_{j-1}(x,t) - \frac{n_I}{\tau_I} I_j(x,t) \\
\partial_t V(x,t) & = & p\sum_{j=1}^{n_I} I_j(x,t) - cV(x,t) + D_{PCF}a + v_a W(x,t) \\
\partial_x V(x,t) & = & W(x,t)
\end{array}
\tag{1.1}
$$

where $i = 2, \ldots, n_E$ and $j = 2, \ldots, n_I$. We replace the value $\partial_{xx}V(x,t)$ in the model [BQRY20] by the constant a, so in this paper we deal with only the case of constant $\partial_{xx}V(x,t)$. The constants D_{PCF} and v_a correspond to the diffusion rate and to the upward transport in the periciliary fluid. In the equations c denotes the viral clearance rate, p denotes the virion producing rate and T denotes the fraction of uninfected target cells. We denote by n_I and n_E the numbers of age classes of infectious and eclipse phases, see [BQRY20].

In the present paper, in the case of a system of equations with $n_E = 0$, we propose a heuristic which shows that around $T(x,t) = \frac{c}{\tau_I p \beta}$ the system changes its behaviour. We obtain that if $\tau_I p \beta T > c$, then the trajectories tend to have asymptotic behaviour while for $\tau_I p \beta T < c$ they do not. Denote by c_E and c_I the values $\frac{n_E}{\tau_E}$ and $\frac{n_I}{\tau_I}$.

To solve the equations, we are looking for the smooth functions

$$T, E_i, I_j, V, W \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B40; Secondary 35Q92.
Key words and phrases. PDE, virus infection, dynamical system.

This means we are looking for a map

$$S\colon \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} T(x,t) \\ E_1(x,t) \\ \vdots \\ E_{n_E}(x,t) \\ I_1(x,t) \\ \vdots \\ I_{n_I}(x,t) \\ V(x,t) \\ W(x,t) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad S'(x,t) = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x T(x,t) & \partial_t T(x,t) \\ \partial_x E_1(x,t) & \partial_t E_1(x,t) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial_x E_{n_E}(x,t) & \partial_t E_{n_E}(x,t) \\ \partial_x I_1(x,t) & \partial_t I_1(x,t) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial_x I_{n_I}(x,t) & \partial_t I_{n_I}(x,t) \\ \partial_x V(x,t) & \partial_t V(x,t) \\ \partial_x W(x,t) & \partial_t W(x,t) \end{bmatrix}$$

such that at every (x_0,t_0) the graph of the linear map $(x,t) \mapsto S'(x_0,t_0)\cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ is the same as the graph of the linear map

$$\Phi_{T,E_1,\dots,E_{n_E},I_1,\dots,I_{n_I},V,W}\colon \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\beta TV \\ \gamma_0 & \beta TV - c_E E_1 \\ \gamma_1 & c_E E_1 - c_E E_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{n_E-1} & c_E E_{n_E-1} - c_E E_{n_E} \\ \delta_0 & c_E E_{n_E} - c_I I_1 \\ \delta_1 & c_I I_1 - c_I I_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta_{n_I-1} & c_I I_{n_I-1} - c_I I_{n_I} \\ W & p\sum_{j=1}^{n_I} I_j - cV + D_{PCF}a + v_a W \\ a & \psi \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix}$$

for all the points

$$(T, E_1, \dots, E_{n_E}, I_1, \dots, I_{n_I}, V, W) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_E+n_I+3},$$

where

$$\alpha, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n_E-1}, \delta_0, \dots, \delta_{n_I-1}, \psi\colon\ (T, E_1, \dots, E_{n_E}, I_1, \dots, I_{n_I}, V, W) \to \mathbb{R}$$

are some given smooth functions.

If the linear maps

$$\Phi_{T,E_1,\dots,E_{n_E},I_1,\dots,I_{n_I},V,W}$$

have rank two, then their graphs form a 2-distribution in $\mathbb{R}^{n_E+n_I+3}$ and we are looking for integral surfaces (graphs of the solutions S) of this distribution.

2. Preliminaries

Let us partition the space $\mathbb{R}^{n_E+n_I+3}$ according to different values of the first T coordinate into hyperplanes. Then for each $T \in \mathbb{R}$ consider the map

$$\varphi\colon \begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ E_{n_E} \\ I_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ I_{n_I} \\ V \\ W \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \left[\begin{array}{ccccc|cccccc} -c_E & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \beta T & 0 \\ c_E & -c_E & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_E & -c_E & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & c_E & -c_I & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_I & -c_I & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_I & -c_I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & p & \cdots & \cdots & p & -c & v_a \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{array}\right] \cdot \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ E_{n_E} \\ \hline I_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ I_{n_I} \\ V \\ W \end{array}\right]$$

defined by the multiplication with this coefficient matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_E+n_I+2)\times(n_E+n_I+2)}$. Then the second column in $\mathbb{R}^{(n_E+n_I+3)}$ of the coefficient matrix of the linear map

$$\Phi_{T,E_1,\ldots,E_{n_E},I_1,\ldots,I_{n_I},V,W}$$

can be obtained by extending the vector

$$\varphi(E_1,\ldots,E_{n_E},I_1,\ldots,I_{n_I},V,W) + (0,\ldots,0,D_{PCF}a,\psi)$$

by the first coordinate $-\beta TV$.

For example, the first column of the matrix of the map $\Phi_{T,E_1,\ldots,E_{n_E},I_1,\ldots,I_{n_I},V,W}$ can be chosen to be

$$[-r_1W, r_2W, \ldots, r_{n_E+n_I+2}W, a],$$

where $r_i > 0$ are constants and $r_{n_E+n_I+2} = 1$.

These two column vectors define two vector fields in $\mathbb{R}^{(n_E+n_I+3)}$. If these are linearly independent, then we have a 2-distribution and if this distribution is involutive, then there are integral surfaces for it. These surfaces are the trajectories of the differential equation.

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$(-1)^{n_E+n_I}\det(A-\lambda I) = (c_E+\lambda)^{n_E}(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}(c+\lambda)\lambda + \beta T c_E^{n_E} p\left(c_I^{n_I} - (c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}\right)$$

for the characteristic polynomial of A.

Proof. We compute the determinant of

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc|cccccc} -c_E-\lambda & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \beta T & 0 \\ c_E & -c_E-\lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_E & -c_E-\lambda & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & c_E & -c_I-\lambda & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_I & -c_I-\lambda & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_I & -c_I-\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & p & \cdots & \cdots & p & -c-\lambda & v_a \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -\lambda \end{array}\right]$$

It is equal to

$$(-c_E-\lambda)^{n_E}(-c_I-\lambda)^{n_I}(-c-\lambda)(-\lambda)+(-1)^{n_E+n_I}\beta T c_E^{n_E}\det B,$$

where

$$B=\begin{bmatrix} c_I & -c_I-\lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & c_I & -c_I-\lambda & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & & & & \vdots\\ 0 & \cdots & c_I & -c_I-\lambda & 0\\ p & \cdots & \cdots & p & v_a\\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\det B=(-\lambda)\det B_{n_I},\qquad B_k=\begin{bmatrix} c_I & -c_I-\lambda & 0 & \cdots\\ 0 & c_I & -c_I-\lambda & 0\\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & c_I & -c_I-\lambda\\ p & \cdots & \cdots & p \end{bmatrix}$$

where $3\le k\le n_I$. We have

$$\det B_k=c_I\det B_{k-1}+(-1)^{k+1}p(-c_I-\lambda)^{k-1}$$

for $k\ge 3$ and

$$\det B_2=\det\begin{bmatrix} c_I & -c_I-\lambda\\ p & p\end{bmatrix}=c_Ip-p(-c_I-\lambda).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\det B&=(-\lambda)\left(c_I\det B_{n_I-1}+(-1)^{n_I+1}p(-c_I-\lambda)^{n_I-1}\right)=\\ &(-\lambda)\left(c_I\left(c_I\det B_{n_I-2}+(-1)^{n_I}p(-c_I-\lambda)^{n_I-2}\right)+(-1)^{n_I+1}p(-c_I-\lambda)^{n_I-1}\right)=\\ &(-\lambda)\left(c_I^2\det B_{n_I-2}+c_I(-1)^{n_I}p(-c_I-\lambda)^{n_I-2}+(-1)^{n_I+1}p(-c_I-\lambda)^{n_I-1}\right)=\\ &(-\lambda)(c_I^3\det B_{n_I-3}+c_I^2(-1)^{n_I-1}p(-c_I-\lambda)^{n_I-3}+c_I(-1)^{n_I}p(-c_I-\lambda)^{n_I-2}+\\ &\qquad(-1)^{n_I+1}p(-c_I-\lambda)^{n_I-1})=\cdots=\\ &(-\lambda)\left(c_I^{n_I-2}\det B_2+c_I^{n_I-3}(-1)^4p(-c_I-\lambda)^2+\cdots+(-1)^{n_I+1}p(-c_I-\lambda)^{n_I-1}\right)=\\ &\qquad(-\lambda)\left(c_I^{n_I-1}p+c_I^{n_I-2}p(c_I+\lambda)+c_I^{n_I-3}p(c_I+\lambda)^2+\cdots+p(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I-1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{n_E+n_I}\det(A-\lambda I)&=(c_E+\lambda)^{n_E}(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}(c+\lambda)\lambda+\\ &\beta T c_E^{n_E}p(-\lambda)(c_I^{n_I-1}+c_I^{n_I-2}(c_I+\lambda)+c_I^{n_I-3}(c_I+\lambda)^2+\cdots\\ &\qquad+(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I-1})=\\ &(c_E+\lambda)^{n_E}(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}(c+\lambda)\lambda+\beta T c_E^{n_E}p(-\lambda)\sum_{j=0}^{n_I-1}c_I^j(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I-1-j}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.2. *If $n_E=0$, then*

$$(-1)^{n_I}\det(A-\lambda I)=(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}(c+\lambda)\lambda+\beta Tp\left(c_I^{n_I}-(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}\right).$$

3. Results

Recall that c denotes the viral clearance rate, p denotes the virion producing rate and T denotes the fraction of uninfected target cells. We denote by n_I and n_E the numbers of age classes of infectious and eclipse phases and we have $c_I = n_I/\tau_I$ and $c_E = n_E/\tau_E$. We want to determine the eigenvalues of the matrix A.

3.1. **The case of $n_E = 0$.** If we suppose that $n_E = 0$, then by Corollary 2.2 we have

$$(-1)^{n_I}\det(A-\lambda I) = (c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}(c+\lambda)\lambda + \beta Tp\left(c_I^{n_I} - (c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}\right) =$$
$$(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}\left((c+\lambda)\lambda - \beta Tp\right) + \beta Tpc_I^{n_I} = (c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}\left(\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \beta Tp\right) + \beta Tpc_I^{n_I},$$

denote $(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}\left(\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \beta Tp\right)$ by $F(\lambda)$. Of course $F(0) < 0$ so 0 is between the roots

$$\frac{-c \pm \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta Tp}}{2}$$

of $\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \beta Tp$. This implies for example that

The derivative of $(-1)^{n_I}\det(A-\lambda I)$ is the same as the derivative of $F(\lambda)$, which is

$$n_I(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I-1}(c+\lambda)\lambda + (c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}\lambda + (c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}(c+\lambda) - \beta Tpn_I(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I-1} =$$
$$(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I-1}\left(n_I(c+\lambda)\lambda + (c_I+\lambda)(c+2\lambda) - \beta Tpn_I\right) =$$
$$(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I-1}\left((n_I+2)\lambda^2 + (cn_I + c + 2c_I)\lambda + cc_I - \beta Tpn_I\right).$$

Observe that

$$(-c + \sqrt{c^2+4\beta Tp})/2 \le -c_I$$

is impossible since

$$0 < c_I \le (c - \sqrt{c^2+4\beta Tp})/2 < 0$$

is impossible.

3.1.1. *The case of $-c_I \le (-c - \sqrt{c^2+4\beta Tp})/2$.* Suppose that

$$-c_I \le (-c - \sqrt{c^2+4\beta Tp})/2$$

so $F(\lambda)$ has two or three different roots, more simply

$$c_I \ge (c + \sqrt{c^2+4\beta Tp})/2$$
$$2(c_I - c/2) \ge \sqrt{c^2+4\beta Tp},$$

which is equivalent to

$$4(c_I - c/2)^2 \ge c^2 + 4\beta Tp$$

because $2(c_I - c/2) \le -\sqrt{c^2+4\beta Tp}$ is not possible, so

$$c_I^2 - cc_I \ge \beta Tp,$$

and note that this also implies that

$$c_I > c.$$

If n_I is even, then

$$(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}\left((c+\lambda)\lambda - \beta Tp\right) + \beta Tpc_I^{n_I}$$

has a horizontal tangency at

$$\lambda_a = -c_I$$

and a local minimum at

$$\lambda_b = \frac{-c(n_I+1) - 2c_I + \sqrt{\left(c(n_I+1)+2c_I\right)^2 - 4(n_I+2)(cc_I - \beta T p n_I)}}{2(n_I+2)}$$

because

$$\lim_{\pm\infty} F = \infty.$$

Since $F(0) = -\beta T p c_I^{n_I}$, we have $F(\lambda_2) < 0$ so it also has at most one singular point between λ_a and λ_b. We have that

$$(-1)^{n_I} \det(A - \lambda I) = F(\lambda) + \beta T p c_I^{n_I}$$

is equal to 0 at $\lambda = 0$. These imply that if

$$cc_I = \beta T p n_I,$$

then the root of $\det(A - \lambda I)$ is only $\lambda_0 = 0$, if

$$cc_I < \beta T p n_I,$$

then the roots are $\lambda_0 = 0$ and some $\lambda_1 > 0$ and if

$$cc_I > \beta T p n_I,$$

then the roots are $\lambda_0 = 0$ and some $-c_I < \lambda_1 < 0$.

If $n_I \geq 3$ is odd, then $\lambda = -c_I$ is just an inflection point and then we have the analogous result about the roots but in every case there is another root $\lambda_2 < -c_I$ as well.

3.1.2. *The case of* $(-c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2 < -c_I < (-c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2$. In the case of

$$(-c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2 < -c_I < (-c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2$$

the function $F(\lambda)$ has again three different roots, more simply

$$(c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2 > c_I > (c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2$$
$$\sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p} > 2c_I - c > -\sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p}$$
$$|2c_I - c| < \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p}$$
$$4c_I^2 - 4cc_I < 4\beta T p$$
$$c_I^2 - cc_I < \beta T p.$$

If n_I is even, then $(c_I + \lambda)^{n_I}\left((c+\lambda)\lambda - \beta T p\right) + \beta T p c_I^{n_I}$ has two local minima at

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{-c(n_I+1) - 2c_I \pm \sqrt{\left(c(n_I+1)+2c_I\right)^2 - 4(n_I+2)(cc_I - \beta T p n_I)}}{2(n_I+2)}$$

with $F(\lambda_{1,2}) < 0$ and a local maximum at $\lambda = -c_I$, where $\lambda_1 < -c_I < \lambda_2$. So $\det(A - \lambda I)$ has the roots $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $\lambda_1 > 0$ if $cc_I < \beta T p n_I$, $\lambda_0 = 0$ if $cc_I = \beta T p n_I$ and $\lambda_0 = 0$ and some $\lambda_1 < 0$ if $cc_I > \beta T p n_I$ and at most two other negative roots in each cases. If $n_I \geq 3$ is odd, then similarly to the case of $2|n_I$ we have $\lambda_0 = 0$ and a positive or negative root depending on the same conditions and one other negative root.

This motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.1. If $c > \tau_I p \beta T$, then the system (1.1) is *definite*. If $c < \tau_I p \beta T$, then the system is *indefinite*.

If the system that we have studied is definite or indefinite, then 0 is an eigenvalue with multiplicity one. So we obtain the following.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $n_E = 0$. If the system (1.1) is definite, then all the non-zero eigenvalues of the matrix of φ is negative. If the system is indefinite, then there is one positive eigenvalue and all the other non-zero eigenvalues are negative. In both cases 0 is an eigenvalue.*

Proof. The statement follows from the previous arguments. □

$c < \beta Tp\tau_I$	$0, +$	$0, +$	$(-,-), 0, +$
$c = \beta Tp\tau_I$	0	0	$(-,-), 0$
$c > \beta Tp\tau_I$	$-, 0$	$-, 0$	$(-,-), -, 0$
	$c_I^2 - cc_I > \beta Tp$	$c_I^2 - cc_I = \beta Tp$	$c_I^2 - cc_I < \beta Tp$

TABLE 1. The signs of the possible different eigenvalues of A in the case of $2|n_I$. The "$-$" signs in the brackets are optional and represent negative numbers which are smaller than $-c_I$. The other "$-$" signs represent negative numbers which are bigger than $-c_I$.

$c < \beta Tp\tau_I$	$-, 0, +$	$-, 0, +$	$-, 0, +$
$c = \beta Tp\tau_I$	$-, 0$	$-, 0$	$-, 0$
$c > \beta Tp\tau_I$	$-, -, 0$	$-, -, 0$	$-, -, 0$
	$c_I^2 - cc_I > \beta Tp$	$c_I^2 - cc_I = \beta Tp$	$c_I^2 - cc_I < \beta Tp$

TABLE 2. The signs of the possible different eigenvalues of A in the case of odd n_I. The "$-$" signs in the first and second rows represent negative numbers which are smaller than $-c_I$ and in the third row the "$-$" signs represent a negative number which is smaller than $-c_I$ and a negative number which is bigger than $-c_I$.

Proposition 3.3. *The algebraic multiplicity of all the real eigenvalues is one, except possibly for the negative eigenvalues in the brackets in the case of $2|n_I$ and for the eigenvalue 0 in the case of $c = \beta Tp\tau_I$.*

Proof. The statement follows from the previous arguments. □

Proposition 3.4. *The geometric multiplicity of every real eigenvalue is one.*

Proof. We have to solve the equation

$$(A - \lambda I)x = 0,$$

which is just

$$\begin{gathered}(-c_I - \lambda)I_1 + \beta TV = 0,\\ c_I I_1 + (-c_I - \lambda)I_2 = 0,\\ \cdots\\ c_I I_{n_I - 1} + (-c_I - \lambda)I_{n_I} = 0,\\ p\sum_{i=1}^{n_I} I_i + (-c - \lambda)V + v_a W = 0,\\ -\lambda W = 0.\end{gathered}$$

This implies $W = 0$ and since substituting the first n_I equations into

$$p\sum_{i=1}^{n_I} I_i + (-c-\lambda)V = 0$$

results $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$, which can be seen after a short computation, the solution of $(A - \lambda I)x = 0$ is

$$W = 0, \quad V \in \mathbb{R}, \quad I_k = \frac{c_I^{k-1}}{(c_I+\lambda)^k}\beta TV,$$

which means that the geometric multiplicity is equal to 1 for $\lambda \neq 0$. If $\lambda = 0$, then the solution is

$$V \in \mathbb{R}, \quad I_1 = \beta TV/c_I, \quad I_k = I_{k-1}, \quad p\sum_{i=1}^{n_I} I_i - cV + v_a W = 0$$

so the geometric multiplicity is equal to 1. □

Corollary 3.5. *The eigenvectors for $\lambda \neq 0$ are*

$$\left[\frac{1}{c_I+\lambda}\beta TV, \frac{c_I}{(c_I+\lambda)^2}\beta TV, \ldots, \frac{c_I^{n_I-1}}{(c_I+\lambda)^{n_I}}\beta TV, V, 0\right],$$

where $V \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $\lambda = 0$ they are

$$\left[\frac{1}{c_I}\beta TV, \frac{1}{c_I}\beta TV, \ldots, \frac{1}{c_I}\beta TV, V, \frac{\beta Tp\tau_I - c}{-v}V\right],$$

where $V \in \mathbb{R}$.

In the following, suppose that $n_E = 0$. According to the previous arguments by parametrizing everything by T let us write and denote the space $\mathbb{R}^{n_I+3}$ as

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_I+2} = \mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}_T^- \oplus \mathbb{V}_T^+ \oplus \mathbb{V}_T^0,$$

where the first $\mathbb{V}$ summand stands for the variable T, the summand $\mathbb{V}_T^-$ is the eigenspace for the negative eigenvalues, the summand $\mathbb{V}_T^+$ is the eigenspace for the positive eigenvalues and the last $\mathbb{V}_T^0$ summand stands for the eigenvalue 0. Then we get the vector field

$$\Phi_{T,I_1,\ldots,I_{n_I},V,W} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_I+3}$$

by computing at first the vector field

$$\varphi(I_1,\ldots,I_{n_I},V,W) \in \mathbb{V}_T^- \oplus \mathbb{V}_T^+ \oplus \mathbb{V}_T^0$$

and then by taking the vector field

$$\varphi(I_1,\ldots,I_{n_I},V,W) + (0,\ldots,0,D_{PCF}a,0) + (0,\ldots,0,\psi).$$

This last addition modifies $\varphi(I_1,\ldots,I_{n_I},V,W)$ only in its last two coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{n_I+2}$. After this we add $-\beta TV$ in the first coordinate $\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_I+2}$.

The map φ has negative eigenvalues in the direction $\mathbb{V}_T^-$, an eigenvalue 0 in the direction of $\mathbb{V}_T^0$ and a positive eigenvalue in the direction of $\mathbb{V}_T^+$ depending on whether the state of the system is definite or indefinite (the presence of the positive eigenvalue changes at $T = \frac{c}{\tau_I p\beta}$). The last coordinate of the vector field $\varphi(I_1,\ldots,I_{n_I},V,W)$ is equal to 0. By omitting $\mathbb{V}_T^0$ it is easy to sketch this vector field depending on the value of T, see Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. The sketch of the vector fields for $T < \frac{c}{\tau_I p \beta}$, $T = \frac{c}{\tau_I p \beta}$ and $T > \frac{c}{\tau_I p \beta}$, respectively, from the left to the right hand side. The subspace $\mathbb{V}_T^{0\prime}$ is a component of $\mathbb{V}_T^0$.

When we add $(0, \dots, 0, D_{PCF}a, 0)$, all the vector fields are modified by the constant $D_{PCF}a$ in one coordinate direction. Then in the space $\mathbb{V}^{n_I} \oplus \mathbb{V}^2 = \mathbb{R}^{n_I+2}$ we add $(0, \dots, 0, \psi)$ to the previously constructed vector field. If the value of $|\psi| = |\partial_{xt} V(x,t)|$ is small, then adding it does not modify the picture too much.

REFERENCES

[BQRY20] C. A. A. Beauchemin, C. Quirouette, M. B. Reddy and N. P. Younis,
A mathematical model describing the localization and spread of influenza A virus infection within the human respiratory tract, PLoS Comput Biol. 16(4): e1007705 (2020),
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007705

[BCDD20] A. S. Blunden, A. Cullinane, J. M. Daly, P. Digard, D. Elton, J. R. Gog, B. T. Grenfell, S. MacRae, J. W. McCauley, J. A. Mumford, M. Quinlivan, R. A. Saenz and J. L. N. Wood,
Dynamics of Influenza Virus Infection and Pathology, J. Virol. 84(8) (2020), 3974–3983,
https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02078-09

[BBEG15] A. Boianelli, D. Bruder, T. Ebensen, C. A. Guzmán, E. A. Hernandez-Vargas, M. Meyer-Hermann, V. K. Nguyen, K. Schulze, N. Sharma, S. Stegemann-Koniszewski, F. R. Toapanta and E. Wilk,
Modeling Influenza Virus Infection: A Roadmap for Influenza Research, Viruses 7(10) (2015), 5274–5304,
https://doi.org/10.3390/v7102875

[Cu18] D. R. Curtiss, Recent extensions of Descartes' rule of signs, Ann. of Math. **19** (1918), 251–278.

Email address: boldizsar.kalmar@gmail.com