arXiv:2110.02850v2 [math.PR] 4 Nov 2021

Distributions of cherries and pitchforks for the Ford model

Gursharn Kaur*, Kwok Pui Choi†, and Taoyang Wu‡

January 26, 2022

Abstract

We study two fringe subtree counting statistics, the number of cherries and that of pitchforks for Ford's α model, a one-parameter family of random phylogenetic tree models that includes the uniform and the Yule models, two tree models commonly used in phylogenetics. Based on a nonuniform version of the extended Pólya urn models in which negative entries are permitted for their replacement matrices, we obtain the strong law of large numbers and the central limit theorem for the joint distribution of these two count statistics for the Ford model. Furthermore, we derive a recursive formula for computing the exact joint distribution of these two statistics. This leads to exact formulas for their means and higher order asymptotic expansions of their second moments, which allows us to identify a critical parameter value for the correlation between these two statistics. That is, when n is sufficiently large, they are negatively correlated for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ and positively correlated for $1/2 < \alpha < 1$.

1 Introduction

In biology, evolutionary relationships among the biological system under investigation are typically represented by a phylogenetic tree, that is, a binary tree whose leaves are labelled by a set of species. Distributional properties of tree shape statistics, such as Colless' index, Sackin's index and the number of subtrees, under random tree models play an important role in investigating phylogenetic trees inferred from real datasets (see, e.g. [20]). Various properties concerning these statistics have been established in the past decades on the following two fundamental random phylogenetic tree models: the Yule model (aka the Yule-Harding-Kingman (YHK) model) [10, 13, 19] and the uniform model (aka the proportional

*Biocomplexity Institute, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA 22911.

†Department of Statistics and Data Science, and the Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117546.

‡School of Computing Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, U.K.

to distinguishable arrangements (PDA) model) [6, 8, 17, 21]. However, for phylogenetic trees inferred from real datasets, the Yule or uniform model may not always be a good fit [5], and several general classes of random trees have been proposed for modelling and analysing the observed data, two popular ones being Ford's alpha model [11] and Aldous' beta model [2].

In this paper, we confine ourselves to Ford's alpha model, a one-parameter family of random tree growth models introduced by Daniel J. Ford in his PhD thesis [11]. More precisely, under the Ford model with a fixed parameter $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, a random tree of a given number of leaves is generated such that at any step in which a tree T_n with n leaves has been constructed from previous steps, a new leaf attaches to an internal edge of T_n with probability $\frac{\alpha}{n-\alpha}$ and to a leaf edge in T_n with probability $\frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha}$. The resulting random tree model will be referred to as the Ford model (indexed by the parameter α) in this paper, which is also known as the alpha tree model (see, e.g. [9]). Note that the Ford model is a family of random tree models which includes the Yule model with $\alpha = 0$ (which is closely related to random binary search trees, see e.g. [13]), the uniform model with $\alpha = 1/2$, and the Comb model with $\alpha = 1$.

The tree shape indices studied in this paper are the number of cherries and the number of pitchforks. A cherry is a fringe subtree (i.e., a subtree consisting of one of the edges (u, v) and all the descendants of v) with precisely two leaves and a pitchfork a fringe subtree with three leaves. The study of the number of fringe subtrees of a random tree can be traced back to a paper of Aldous [1] and has since been extended to various random tree models (see, e.g. [14]). In phylogenetics, the asymptotic properties of the number of cherries was first studied by McKenzie and Steel [17], who showed that the number of cherries is asymptotically normal for the Yule and the uniform models as the number of leaves tends to infinity. Later, similar properties of the number of cherries are extended to the Ford model [11, Theorem 57] and to the Crump-Mode-Jagers branching process [18]. For the number of pitchforks, Rosenberg [19] obtained its mean and variance, and Chang and Fuchs [6] proved that the number of pitchforks is also asymptotically normal for the Yule and the uniform models. For the joint distributions, Holmgren and Janson showed that [13] the joint distribution is asymptotically normal for the Yule model, using a correspondence between the Yule model and random binary search trees. This was recently extended to the uniform model based on a uniform version of the extended urn models in which negative entries are permitted for their replacement matrices [7].

In this paper, we establish the strong law of large numbers and the central limit theorem for the joint distribution of cherries and pitchforks under the Ford model (Theorem 3.2) by considering an associated nonuniform urn model (Theorem 3.1). These results are presented in Section 3, following Section 2 in which we collect background concerning the Ford model and limiting theorems on uniform urn models.

Furthermore, we derive a recurrence formula for computing the exact joint distribution under the Ford model (Theorem 4.1) in Section 4, generalizing the results in [21] for the Yule and the uniform models. This enables us to obtain recurrence expressions for the moments of these two statistics. As an application, in Theorems 4.5 we obtain the exact formula for the mean of the number of cherries (first reported in [11]) and that of pitchforks

under the Ford model. Furthermore, we also obtain higher order expansions of the second moments of their marginal and joint distributions (Theorems 4.6), which allows us to identify a critical parameter value for the correlation between these two statistics. That is, when n is sufficiently large these two statistics are negatively correlated for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ and positively correlated for $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. The proofs of Theorems 4.5 & 4.6 are presented in Section 5.

2 Ford Model and Urn Model

In this section, we first introduce the Ford model, which is a one-parameter family of random phylogenetic tree models. Next we present a nonuniform version of the extended urn models associated with the Ford tree model. Finally, we recall certain conditions on the related uniform version of the extended urn model under which the strong law of large numbers and the central limit theorem are obtained.

2.1 Ford model

A rooted binary tree is a finite connected simple graph without cycles that contains a unique vertex of degree 1 designated as the root and all the remaining vertices are of degree 3 (interior vertices) or 1 (leaves). A phylogenetic tree T with n leaves is a rooted binary tree whose leaves are bijectively labelled by the elements in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Note that all the edges in T are directed away from the root and edges incident with leaves are referred to as pendant edges. A fringe subtree in T consisting of one of the edges (u, v) and all the descendants of v. A cherry (resp. pitchfork) is a fringe subtree with two (resp. three) leaves. A cherry not contained in a pitchfork will be referred to as an essential cherry. Finally, we let $A(T)$ and $C(T)$ denote the number pitchforks and that of cherries in tree T, respectively.

Under the Ford model with parameter $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, a random phylogenetic tree T_n with n leaves is constructed recursively by adding one leaf at a time as follows. Fix a random permutation $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The initial tree T_2 contains precisely two leaves (e.g. one cherry) which are labelled as x_1 and x_2. For the recursive step, given a tree T_m with m leaves constructed so far, choose a random edge in T_m according to the distribution that assigns weight $1 - \alpha$ to each pendant edge (i.e., those incident with a leaf) and weight α to each of the other edges. The new leaf labelled x_{m+1} bifurcates the selected edge and joins in the middle. Every single addition of a leaf in the tree results into a replacement of the selected edge with two new edges. Finally, we let $A_n = A(T_n)$ and $C_n = C(T_n)$ denote the numbers of pitchforks and cherries in tree T_n, respectively.

2.2 An urn model associated with trees

Consider an urn containing balls of d different colours where colours are denoted by integers $\{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$. Let $U_n = (U_{n,1}, \ldots, U_{n,d})$ be the configuration vector of length d such that the i-th element of U_n is the number of balls of colour i at time n. Let U_0 be the initial vector of colour configuration, then at time $n \geq 1$, a ball is selected uniformly at random from the




Figure 1: A sample path of the Ford model and the associated trajectory under the urn model. (i) A sample path of the Ford model evolving from T_2 with two leaves to T_6 with six leaves. The labels of the leaves are omitted for simplicity. The type of an edge is indicated by the circled number next to it. For $2 \leq i \leq 5$, the edge selected in T_i to generate T_{i+1} is highlighted in bold and the associated edge type is indicated in the circled number above the arrow. (ii) The associated urn model with six colours, derived from the types of pendants edges in the trees. In vector form, $U_0 = (0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0), U_1 = (2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2), U_2 = (0, 4, , 0, 0, 2, 1), U_3 = (2, 2, 1, 0, 1, 3)$, and $U_4 = (2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 4)$.

urn and if the colour of the selected ball is i then the ball is replaced along with $R_{i,j}$ many balls of colour j, for every $1 \leq j \leq d$. The dynamics of the urn configuration depends on its initial configuration U_0 and the $d \times d$ replacement matrix $R = (R_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$.

We study the limiting properties of the numbers of cherries and pitchforks via an equivalent urn process. Towards this, we use six different colours and assign one colour to each type of edges of a tree T in the following scheme introduced in [7]: colour 1 for all pendant edges of a cherry in a pitchfork; colour 2 for pendant edges of an essential cherry; colour 3 for pendant edges in a pitchfork but not in any cherry; colour 4 for pendant edges in neither a cherry nor a pitchfork; colour 5 for the internal edge of an essential cherry (i.e., those adjacent to colour 2 edges), and colour 6 for all other (necessarily internal) edges. See Fig. 1 for an illustration of the scheme. For $1 \leq i \leq 6$, let $E_i(T)$ be the set of edges of color i in T.

Consider an urn with colour configuration at time n as $U_n = (U_{n,1}, \ldots, U_{n,6})$, where $U_{n,i}$ denotes the number of edges of colour i in the tree at time n, which has precisely $n+2$ leaves. Then $U_0 = (0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0)$, since at the initial time step ($n = 0$) the tree T_2 is an essential cherry which has two pendant edges and one interior edge; see T_2 in Fig. 1. Based on the colouring scheme of the edges, at any time $n \geq 0$, we have

$$(A_{n+2}, C_{n+2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(U_{n,1}, U_{n,1} + U_{n,2}\right), \tag{1}$$

where A_{n+2} and C_{n+2} are the numbers of pitchforks and cherries in T_{n+2}, respectively. Under the alpha tree model, the dynamics of the corresponding urn process evolves according to the following replacement matrix

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ -2 & 4 & -1 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let e_i, $1 \le i \le 6$, denote a 6-vector in which the i-th component is 1 and 0 elsewhere; and χ_n the random vector taking value e_i if, at time n, speciation happens at an edge with type i. Thus, we have the following recursion

$$U_n = U_{n-1} + \chi_n R, \qquad n \ge 1,$$

where

$$P(\chi_n = e_i | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \propto \begin{cases} (1-\alpha) U_{n-1,i}, & \text{for } i \in \{1,2,3,4\}, \\ \alpha\, U_{n-1,i}, & \text{for } i \in \{5,6\}. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Observe that the process $(U_n)_{n\ge 0}$, which describes the dynamics of the numbers of cherries and pitchfork, is a *nonuniform urn model* since the balls are not selected uniformly at random from the urn, which is different from the classical *uniform* urn models in which the balls are selected uniformly at random from the urn (see, e.g. [12, Chapter 7]).

We end this subsection with the following observation relating the edge color scheme with the number of pitchforks and that of cherries, which follows directly from the replacement matrix R (see also [21, Section 2]).

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that T is a phylogenetic tree with $n \ge 2$ leaves. Then we have $|E_3(T)| = A(T)$, $|E_2(T) \cup E_5(T)| = 3(C(T) - A(T))$, $|E_4(T)| = n - A(T) - 2C(T)$, and $|E_1(T) \cup E_6(T)| = n - 1 + 3A(T) - C(T)$. Furthermore, suppose that e is an edge in T and $T' = T[e]$. Then we have*

$$A(T') = \begin{cases} A(T) - 1 & \text{if } e \in E_3(T), \\ A(T) + 1 & \text{if } e \in E_2(T) \cup E_5(T), \\ A(T) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } C(T') = \begin{cases} C(T) + 1 & \text{if } e \in E_3(T) \cup E_4(T), \\ C(T) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2.3 Limiting theorems on uniform urn models

In this subsection, we recall the strong law of large numbers and the central limit theorem on a version of uniform urn models developed in [7], which will be related to the nonuniform urn process in Subsection 2.2 later using the urn coupling idea in [4].

For the classical uniform urn models, it has been shown (see [3]) that the random process U_n/n converges almost surely to the left eigenvector of R corresponding to the maximal eigenvalue and asymptotic normality holds with a known limiting variance matrix under certain assumptions on R. Standard assumptions made in the urn model theory are that the replacement matrix is irreducible with a constant row sum and all the off-diagonal elements are non-negative (see, e.g. [16]). In [7], we extend this to the case when off-diagonal elements of a replacement matrix can be negative satisfying the following set of assumptions **(A1)**–**(A4)**, which was slightly rephrased from [7]. Let $\mathrm{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ denote the diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are $a_1, \ldots, a_d$.

(A1): *Tenable:* It is always possible to draw balls and follow the replacement rule.

(A2): *Small:* All eigenvalues of R are real; the maximal eigenvalue λ_1, called the *principal eigenvalue* is positive with $\lambda_1 > 2\lambda$ holds for all other eigenvalues λ of R.

(A3): *Strictly balanced:* The column vector $\mathbf{u}_1 = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)^\top$, is a right eigenvector of R corresponding to λ_1; and it has a principal left eigenvector $\mathbf{v_1}$ (i.e., the left eigenvectors corresponding to λ_1) that is also a probability vector.

(A4): *Diagonalisable:* There exists an invertible matrix V with real entries whose first row is $\mathbf{v_1}$ such that the first column of V^{-1} is $\mathbf{u}_1$ and

$$VRV^{-1} = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_d) =: \Lambda, \tag{3}$$

where $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_d$ are eigenvalues of R.

Let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ be the multivariate normal distribution with mean vector $\mathbf{0} = (0, \ldots, 0)$ and covariance matrix Σ. Then we have the following result from [7, Theorems 1 & 2], which can also be alternatively derived from [15, Theorems 3.21 & 3.22 and Remark 4.2].

Theorem 2.2. *Under assumptions* **(A1)**–**(A4)**, *we have*

$$(n\lambda_1)^{-1} U_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbf{v}_1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad n^{-1/2}(U_n - n\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma), \tag{4}$$

where λ_1 is the principal eigenvalue and $\mathbf{v}_1$ is the principal left eigenvector of R, and

$$\Sigma = \sum_{i,j=2}^{d} \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_i \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_i^\top \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{v}_1) \mathbf{u}_j}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_i - \lambda_j} \mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathbf{v}_j$ is the j-th row of V and $\mathbf{u}_j$ the j-th column of V^{-1} for $2 \leq j \leq d$.

3 Limit Theorems for the Joint Distribution

In this section, we present the strong law of large numbers and the central limit theorems on the joint distribution of the number of cherries and the number of pitchforks under the Ford model.

3.1 Main convergence results

For later use, we consider the following polynomials in α:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1 &= 8\alpha^3 - 32\alpha^2 + 45\alpha - 23, & \phi_4 &= 8\alpha^3 - 40\alpha^2 + 37\alpha + 13,\\
\phi_2 &= 40\alpha^3 - 164\alpha^2 + 221\alpha - 97, & \phi_5 &= 40\alpha^3 - 112\alpha^2 - 31\alpha + 181,\\
\phi_3 &= 56\alpha^3 - 248\alpha^2 + 367\alpha - 181, & \phi_6 &= 8\alpha^3 + 4\alpha^2 - 71\alpha + 71;
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and for simplicity of notation, we do not indicate the ϕ_i's as functions of α. Moreover, it can be verified directly that $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 < 0$ and $\phi_4, \phi_5, \phi_6 > 0$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then, we have the following result on the joint asymptotic properties of the urn model process associated with the α-tree model.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $(U_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is the urn process associated with the Ford model with parameter $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then,*

$$\frac{U_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbf{v} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{U_n - n\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma), \tag{7}$$

as $n \to \infty$, where

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2(3-2\alpha)} (2(1-\alpha),\, 2(1-\alpha),\, (1-\alpha),\, 1+\alpha,\, 1-\alpha,\, 5-3\alpha) \tag{8}$$

and with the polynomials $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_6$ defined in (6),

$$\Sigma = \frac{1-\alpha}{4(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)(7-4\alpha)} \begin{bmatrix}
-12\phi_1 & 4\phi_2 & -6\phi_1 & -2\phi_4 & 2\phi_2 & -2\phi_2 \\
4\phi_2 & -4\phi_3 & 2\phi_2 & -2\phi_6 & -2\phi_3 & 2\phi_3 \\
-6\phi_1 & 2\phi_2 & -3\phi_1 & -\phi_4 & \phi_2 & -\phi_2 \\
-2\phi_4 & -2\phi_6 & -\phi_4 & \phi_5 & -\phi_6 & \phi_6 \\
2\phi_2 & -2\phi_3 & \phi_2 & -\phi_6 & -\phi_3 & \phi_3 \\
-2\phi_2 & 2\phi_3 & -\phi_2 & \phi_6 & \phi_3 & -\phi_3
\end{bmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is given at the end of this section.

Remark 1. *For later use, here we present the limiting results on the urn model using a scaling factor relating to the time n (which is motivated by noting that the number of leaves in the tree at time n is n + 2). However, the results can be readily rephrased using the proportion of color balls in the urn process.*

Remark 2. *Using the approach outlined in [7], Theorem 3.1 continues to hold for the unrooted α-tree models.*

With Theorem 3.1, we are ready to present one of our main results in this paper concerning limit theorems on the joint distribution of the number of cherries C_n and the number of pitchforks A_n under the Ford model.

Theorem 3.2. *Under the Ford model with parameter $\alpha \in [0,1]$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{n}(A_n, C_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} (\nu, \mu) := \frac{1-\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)}(1,2),$$

and

$$\frac{(A_n, C_n) - n(\nu,\mu)}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\big((0,0), S\big),$$

where

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} \tau^2 & \rho \\ \rho & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1-\alpha}{(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-24\alpha^3+96\alpha^2-135\alpha+69}{4(7-4\alpha)} & \frac{-(2-\alpha)(1-2\alpha)}{2} \\ \frac{-(2-\alpha)(1-2\alpha)}{2} & 2-\alpha \end{bmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Remark 3. *We consider special cases of α-tree model, which are commonly studied in phylogenetics. The first two have been established in [7].*

1. *The uniform model corresponds to $\alpha = 1/2$, where all edges, internal or leaf, are selected with equal weight and the limit results hold with*

$$(\nu,\mu) = \frac{1}{8}(1,2) \quad \textit{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \tau^2 & \rho \\ \rho & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{64}\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. *The Yule model corresponds to $\alpha = 0$, where only leaf edges are selected with equal weight and the limit results hold with*

$$(\nu,\mu) = \frac{1}{6}(1,2) \quad \textit{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \tau^2 & \rho \\ \rho & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{45}\begin{bmatrix} 69/28 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3. *The Comb model corresponds to $\alpha = 1$, a degenerate case. It is easy to see that $(\nu,\mu) = (0,0)$ and $\tau^2 = \rho = \sigma^2 = 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.2. First note that the case $\alpha = 1$ reduces to a degenerate case of Comb model and therefore we only consider $\alpha \in [0,1)$. The limiting results for the case $\alpha = 0$ has been obtained in [7], which agree with the above results when $\alpha = 0$. Thus, it is enough to prove the result for $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

By (1), we have $(A_n, C_n) = U_n Q$ with

$$Q^\top = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

Since

$$\frac{U_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2(3-2\alpha)}\big(2(1-\alpha), 2(1-\alpha), 1-\alpha, 1+\alpha, 1-\alpha, 5-3\alpha\big), \tag{12}$$

using the relation from equation (1) we get

$$\frac{1}{n}(A_n, C_n) = \left(\frac{U_n}{n}\right) Q \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbf{v}\, Q = \frac{1-\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)}(1,2).$$

This concludes the proof of the almost sure convergence. We now prove the central limit theorem and obtain the expression for the limiting variance matrix.

Denoting the (i,j)-entry in the covariance matrix Σ of (9) by $\sigma_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i,j \leq 6$, we consider the matrix

$$\begin{aligned} S = Q^\top \Sigma Q &= \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1,1} & \sigma_{1,1}+\sigma_{1,2} \\ \sigma_{1,1}+\sigma_{2,1} & \sigma_{1,1}+\sigma_{2,1}+\sigma_{1,2}+\sigma_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha}{16(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)(7-4\alpha)}\begin{bmatrix} -12\phi_1 & -12\phi_1+4\phi_2 \\ -12\phi_1+4\phi_2 & -12\phi_1+8\phi_2-4\phi_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha}{(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-24\alpha^3+96\alpha^2-135\alpha+69}{4(7-4\alpha)} & \frac{-(2-\alpha)(1-2\alpha)}{2} \\ \frac{-(2-\alpha)(1-2\alpha)}{2} & 2-\alpha \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(A_n, C_n) = U_n Q$, where Q is as defined in (11), we get

$$\frac{(A_n, C_n) - n(\nu,\mu)}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(U_n - n\mathbf{v}\right) Q \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, Q^\top \Sigma Q\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, S\right).$$

This completes the proof. □




Figure 2: Plot of the limiting variances and covariance of the joint distribution of cherries and pitchforks with respect to the parameter α under the Ford model.

We end this subsection with the following results on the behaviour of the first and second moments of the limiting joint distribution of cherries and pitchforks in the parameter region, as indicated by their plots in Figure 3.1.

Corollary 3.3. *i. For $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $A_n/C_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 1/2$ as $n \to \infty$. That is, the number of pitchforks is asymptotically equal to the number of essential cherries.*

ii. $A_n/n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \frac{1-\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)}$, this limit decreases strictly from $1/6$ to 0, as α increases from 0 to 1.

iii. The limiting variance of $A_n/\sqrt{n}$, τ^2, decreases strictly from $23/420$ to 0, as α increases from 0 to 1.

iv. The limiting variance of $C_n/\sqrt{n}$, σ^2, increases strictly from $2/45$ to 0.0695 over $(0, a_0)$ and decreases from 0.0695 to 0 over $(a_0, 1)$, where $a_0 = 0.7339$, the unique root of $19 - 48\alpha + 36\alpha^2 - 8\alpha^3 = 0$ in $(0, 1)$.

v. The limiting covariance of $A_n/\sqrt{n}$ and $C_n/\sqrt{n}$ changes sign from negative to positive at $\alpha = 1/2$. Specifically, it increases from $-1/45$ to 0.0225 over $(0, a_1)$ and decreases from 0.0225 over $(a_1, 1)$, where $a_1 = 0.8688$, the unique root of $-24\alpha^4+160\alpha^3-370\alpha^2+358\alpha - 123 = 0$ in $(0, 1)$.

3.2 A uniform urn model derived from U_n

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, consider the diagonal 6×6 matrix $T_\alpha = \mathrm{diag}(1-\alpha, 1-\alpha, 1-\alpha, 1-\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$ and

$$\widetilde{U}_n := U_n T_\alpha = ((1-\alpha)U_{n,1}, \ldots, (1-\alpha)U_{n,4}, \alpha U_{n,5}, \alpha U_{n,6})\,.$$

Clearly, there is a one to one correspondence between U_n and $\widetilde{U}_n = U_n T_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and therefore it is sufficient to obtain the limiting results for the urn process $\widetilde{U}_n$. Note that the off-diagonal elements of the replacement matrix R_α are not all non-negative, therefore we will use the limit results from [7] to obtain the convergence results for the urn process $\widetilde{U}_n$.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then $(\widetilde{U}_n)_{n\ge 0}$ is an uniform urn process with replacement matrix $R_\alpha = RT_\alpha$ and*

$$\frac{\widetilde{U}_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_1, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_1 = \frac{1}{2(3-2\alpha)}\big(2(1-\alpha)^2, 2(1-\alpha)^2, (1-\alpha)^2, 1-\alpha^2, \alpha(1-\alpha), \alpha(5-3\alpha)\big) \tag{14}$$

is the normalized left eigenvector of R_α corresponding to the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 1$. Furthermore,

$$\frac{\widetilde{U}_n - n\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_1}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}), \tag{15}$$

with the polynomials $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_6$ *defined in* (6) *and* $\beta = 1 - \alpha$,

$$\widetilde{\Sigma} = \frac{\beta}{4(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)(7-4\alpha)} \begin{bmatrix} -12\beta^2\phi_1 & 4\beta^2\phi_2 & -6\beta^2\phi_1 & -2\beta^2\phi_4 & 2\alpha\beta\phi_2 & -2\alpha\beta\phi_2 \\ 4\beta^2\phi_2 & -4\beta^2\phi_3 & 2\beta^2\phi_2 & -2\beta^2\phi_6 & -2\alpha\beta\phi_3 & 2\alpha\beta\phi_3 \\ -6\beta^2\phi_1 & 2\beta^2\phi_2 & -3\beta^2\phi_1 & -\beta^2\phi_4 & \alpha\beta\phi_2 & -\alpha\beta\phi_2 \\ -2\beta^2\phi_4 & -2\beta^2\phi_6 & -\beta^2\phi_4 & \beta^2\phi_5 & -\alpha\beta\phi_6 & \alpha\beta\phi_6 \\ 2\alpha\beta\phi_2 & -2\alpha\beta\phi_3 & \alpha\beta\phi_2 & -\alpha\beta\phi_6 & -\alpha^2\phi_3 & \alpha^2\phi_3 \\ -2\alpha\beta\phi_2 & 2\alpha\beta\phi_3 & -\alpha\beta\phi_2 & \alpha\beta\phi_6 & \alpha^2\phi_3 & -\alpha^2\phi_3 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. First, observe that at any time n, there are $n+2$ pendant edges and $n+1$ internal edges in a rooted tree. That is,

$$U_{n,1} + U_{n,2} + U_{n,3} + U_{n,4} = n + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad U_{n,5} + U_{n,6} = n + 1.$$

This gives

$$\|\widetilde{U}_n\|_1 = (1-\alpha)\sum_{j=1}^{4} U_{n,j} + \alpha \sum_{j=5}^{6} U_{n,j} = (1-\alpha)(n+2) + \alpha(n+1) = n + 2 - \alpha.$$

Therefore, from (2) we get,

$$\mathbb{E}[\chi_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \frac{U_{n-1}T_\alpha}{\|U_{n-1}T_\alpha\|_1} = \frac{U_{n-1}T_\alpha}{n+1-\alpha},$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[U_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = U_{n-1} + \mathbb{E}[\chi_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]R = U_{n-1} + \frac{1}{n+1-\alpha} U_{n-1}T_\alpha R.$$

Multiplying both sides by T_α, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{U}_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \widetilde{U}_{n-1} + \left(\frac{1}{\|\widetilde{U}_{n-1}\|_1} \widetilde{U}_{n-1} \right) RT_\alpha.$$

Hence, $(\widetilde{U}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a classical uniform urn model with replacement matrix $R_\alpha = RT_\alpha$.

Note that **(A1)** holds because the general Ford's dynamics on a rooted tree is well defined at every time n, thus the corresponding urn model satisfies the assumption of tenability. That is, it is always possible to draw balls without getting stuck with the replacement rule. Note that R_α is diagonalisable as

$$V R_\alpha V^{-1} = \Lambda$$

holds with $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}\big(1, 0, 0, 0, -2(1-\alpha), -(3-2\alpha)\big)$,

$$V^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\beta} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1-\alpha \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{\beta} & 0 & 1 & 3-\alpha \\ 1 & \frac{-2}{\beta} & 0 & \frac{3}{\beta} & \frac{-(2-\alpha)}{\beta} & -5+\alpha \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\beta} & \frac{-(2-\alpha)}{\beta} & -3+\alpha \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{-2}{\alpha} & \frac{1}{\alpha} & 1 & 3-\alpha \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{\alpha} & 1 & 1-\alpha \end{bmatrix} \tag{17}$$

and

$$V = \frac{1}{2(3-2\alpha)} \begin{bmatrix} 2\beta^2 & 2\beta^2 & \beta^2 & (1+\alpha)\beta & \alpha\beta & \alpha(5-3\alpha) \\ 2\beta(1+\alpha-\alpha^2) & 2\beta^3 & -(2-\alpha)\beta^2 & (2-\alpha)\beta^2 & -\alpha\beta^2 & -\alpha\beta(5-3\alpha) \\ 2\alpha\beta^2 & 2\alpha(2-\alpha)\beta & \alpha\beta^2 & -\alpha\beta^2 & -\alpha(3-\alpha)\beta & -3\alpha\beta^2 \\ 2\alpha(2-\alpha)\beta & 2\alpha\beta^2 & \alpha(2-\alpha)\beta & -\alpha(2-\alpha)\beta & \alpha^2\beta & -3\alpha(2-\alpha)\beta \\ 2(2-\alpha)\beta & -2\beta^2 & (2-\alpha)\beta & -(4-\alpha)\beta & -\alpha\beta & \alpha\beta \\ -2\beta & 2\beta & -\beta & \beta & \alpha & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

Therefore, R satisfies condition **(A4)**. Next, **(A2)** holds because R_α has eigenvalues

$$1, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad -2(1-\alpha), \quad -(3-2\alpha)$$

which are all real. The maximal eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 1$ is positive with $\lambda_1 > 2\lambda$ holds for all other eigenvalues λ of R_α. Furthermore, put $\mathbf{u}_i = V^{-1}\mathbf{e}_i^\top$ and $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{e}_i V$ for $1 \le i \le 4$. Then **(A3)** follows by noting that $\mathbf{u}_1 = (1,1,1,1,1,1)^\top$ is the principal right eigenvector, and

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_1 = \frac{1}{2(3-2\alpha)}\big(2(1-\alpha)^2, 2(1-\alpha)^2, (1-\alpha)^2, 1-\alpha^2, \alpha(1-\alpha), \alpha(5-3\alpha)\big)$$

is the principal left eigenvector.

Since all the assumptions **(A1)**–**(A4)** are satisfied by the replacement matrix R_α, by Theorem 2.2, (13) holds. Furthermore, since

$$\widetilde{\Sigma} = \sum_{i,j=2}^{6} \frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j \mathbf{u}_i^\top \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{v}_1)\mathbf{u}_j}{1-\lambda_i-\lambda_j} \mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j, \tag{19}$$

by (13) it follows that (15) holds. □

3.3 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Proof. Observe that $\sum_{i=1}^{6} U_{n,i} = 3 + 2n$ (since 2 balls are added into the urn at every time point), thus the vector of color proportions is $U_n/(3+2n)$. Since $\alpha \in (0,1)$, it follows that T_α is invertible and its inverse is

$$T_\alpha^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\mathrm{diag}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha, 1-\alpha, 1-\alpha),$$

which is also a diagonal matrix, and so $(T_\alpha^{-1})^\top = T_\alpha^{-1}$. Note that we have $U_n = \widetilde{U}_n T_\alpha^{-1}$ and consider

$$\mathbf{v} = \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_1 (T_\alpha)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2(3-2\alpha)}\big(2(1-\alpha), 2(1-\alpha), 1-\alpha, 1+\alpha, 1-\alpha, 5-3\alpha\big).$$

Since $\dfrac{\widetilde{U}_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_1$ holds in view of (13) in Theorem 3.4,

$$\frac{U_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbf{v}, \tag{20}$$

which concludes the proof of the almost sure convergence in (7).

Consider the covariance matrix $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ for $\widetilde{U}_n$ as stated in (16), then by straightforward calculation we have

$$\Sigma = (T_\alpha^{-1})^\top \widetilde{\Sigma} T_\alpha^{-1} = T_\alpha^{-1} \widetilde{\Sigma} T_\alpha^{-1}.$$

Therefore, since

$$\frac{\widetilde{U}_n - n\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_1}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma})$$

in view of Theorem 3.4, we get

$$\frac{U_n - n\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\big(\mathbf{0}, (T_\alpha^{-1})^\top \widetilde{\Sigma}\, T_\alpha^{-1}\big) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma).$$

This completes the proof. □

4 Exact Distributions

In this section, we present recursion formulas for exact computation of the joint distributions of cherries and pitchforks, their means, variances and covariance for fixed n under the Ford model.

We start with the following result on the exact computation of the joint probability mass function (pmf) of A_n and C_n, which can be regarded as a generalization of the previous results on the Yule model (e.g. when $\alpha = 0$ [21, Theorem 1]) and the uniform model (e.g. $\alpha = 1/2$ [21, Theorem 4]). A related result for unrooted trees is presented in [8].

Theorem 4.1. *For $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq a \leq n/3$ and $1 \leq b \leq n/2$, under the Ford model with parameter $\alpha \in [0,1]$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(A_{n+1} = a, C_{n+1} = b) \\
= \;& \frac{2a + \alpha(n-a-b-1)}{n-\alpha}\mathbb{P}(A_n = a, C_n = b) + \frac{(1-\alpha)(a+1)}{n-\alpha}\mathbb{P}(A_n = a+1, C_n = b-1) \\
&+ \frac{(2-\alpha)(b-a+1)}{n-\alpha}\mathbb{P}(A_n = a-1, C_n = b) + \frac{(1-\alpha)(n-a-2b+2)}{n-\alpha}\mathbb{P}(A_n = a, C_n = b-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Fix $n > 3$, and let $T_2, \ldots, T_n, T_{n+1}$ be a sequence of random trees generated by the Ford process, that is, T_2 contains two leaves and $T_{i+1} = T_i[e_i]$ for a random edge e_i in T_i chosen according to the Ford model for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(A_{n+1} = a, C_{n+1} = b) &= \mathbb{P}(A(T_{n+1}) = a, C(T_{n+1}) = b) \\
&= \sum_{p,q} \mathbb{P}(A(T_{n+1}) = a, C(T_{n+1}) = b \,|\, A(T_n) = p, C(T_n) = q)\mathbb{P}(A(T_n) = p, C(T_n) = q) \\
&= \sum_{p,q} \mathbb{P}(A(T_{n+1}) = a, C(T_{n+1}) = b \,|\, A(T_n) = p, C(T_n) = q)\mathbb{P}(A_n = p, C_n = q),
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where the first and second equalities follow from the law of total probability, and the definition of random variables A_n and C_n.

Let e_n be the edge in T_n chosen in the above Ford process for generating T_{n+1}, that is, $T_{n+1} = T_n[e_n]$. Since Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(A(T_{n+1}) = a, C(T_{n+1}) = b \mid A(T_n) = p, C(T_n) = q) = 0 \tag{22}$$

for $(p,q) \notin \{(a,b), (a+1,b-1), (a-1,b), (a,b-1)\}$, it suffices to consider the following four cases in the summation in (21): case (i): $p = a, q = b$; case (ii): $p = a+1, q = b-1$; case (iii): $p = a-1, q = b$; and case (iv): $p = a, q = b-1$.

First, Lemma 2.1 implies that case (i) occurs if and only if $e_n \in E_1(T_n) \cup E_6(T_n)$, and that $E_1(T_n) \cup E_6(T_n)$ contains precisely $2A(T_n)$ pendent edges and $(n-1) + A(T_n) - C(T_n)$ interior edges. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(A(T_{n+1}) = a, C(T_{n+1}) = b \mid A(T_n) = a, C(T_n) = b) \\
&\quad = \frac{2A(T_n)(1-\alpha) + \alpha(n-1+A(T_n)-C(T_n))}{n-\alpha} = \frac{2a + \alpha(n-a-b-1)}{n-\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Similarly, case (ii) occurs if and only if $e_n \in E_3(T_n)$, which contains $A(T_n)$ pendent edges and no interior edges. Therefore we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A(T_{n+1}) = a, C(T_{n+1}) = b \mid A(T_n) = a+1, C(T_n) = b-1) = \frac{(a+1)(1-\alpha)}{n-\alpha}. \tag{24}$$

Next, case (iii) occurs precisely when $e_n \in E_2(T_n) \cup E_5(T_n)$, which contains $2(A(T_n) - C(T_n))$ pendent edges and $A(T_n) - C(T_n)$ interior edges. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}(A(T_{n+1}) = a, C(T_{n+1}) = b \mid A(T_n) = a-1, C(T_n) = b)\\ &\quad = \frac{2(a-1-b)(1-\alpha) + \alpha(a-1-b)}{n-\alpha} = \frac{(2-\alpha)(b-a+1)}{n-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Finally, case (iv) occurs if and only if e_n is in $E_4(T_n)$, which contains precisely $n - A(T_n) - 2C(T_n)$ pendent edges and no interior edges. Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(A(T_{n+1}) = a, C(T_{n+1} = b) \mid A(T_n) = a, C(T_n) = b-1) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(n-a-2b+2)}{n-\alpha}. \tag{26}$$

Substituting Eq. (23)–(26) into Eq. (21) completes the proof of the theorem. □

To study the moments of A_n and C_n, we present below a functional recursion form of Theorem 4.1, whose proof is straightforward and hence omitted here.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary function. For $n \geq 3$, under the Ford model with parameter $\alpha \in [0,1]$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(n-\alpha)\mathbb{E}\varphi(A_{n+1}, C_{n+1}) &= \mathbb{E}\Big[\big\{\alpha(n - A_n - C_n - 1) + 2A_n\big\}\varphi(A_n, C_n)\\ &\quad +(1-\alpha)A_n\varphi(A_n - 1, C_n + 1) + (2-\alpha)(C_n - A_n)\varphi(A_n + 1, C_n)\\ &\quad +(1-\alpha)(n - A_n - 2C_n)\varphi(A_n, C_n + 1)\Big].\end{aligned}$$

For a fix integer k, consider the indicating function $I_k(x, y)$ that equals to 1 if $y = k$, and 0 otherwise. Then applying Proposition 4.2 with $\varphi(x, y) = I_k(x)$ leads to the following result on the distribution of cherries.

Corollary 4.3. *For integers $n \geq 3$ and $0 \leq k \leq n/2$, under the Ford model with parameter $\alpha \in [0,1]$ we have*

$$(n-\alpha)\mathbb{P}(C_{n+1} = k) = [(n-1)\alpha + 2(1-\alpha)k]\mathbb{P}(C_n = k) + (1-\alpha)(n-2k+2)\mathbb{P}(C_{n+1} = k-1).$$

Similarly, applying Proposition 4.2 with appropriate functions φ leads to the following recurrence relation on the moments of the joint distributions; the proof is similar to those of [21, Corollary 4 & Proposition 5] and hence omitted here.

Corollary 4.4. *For $n \geq 3$, under the Ford model with parameter $\alpha \in [0,1]$ we have*

$$(n-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[C_{n+1}] - (n-2+\alpha)\mathbb{E}[C_n] = n(1-\alpha), \tag{27}$$

$$(n-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[A_{n+1}] - (n-3+\alpha)\mathbb{E}[A_n] = (2-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[C_n], \tag{28}$$

$$(n-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[C_{n+1}^2] - (n-4+3\alpha)\mathbb{E}[C_n^2] = 2(n-1)(1-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[C_n] + n(1-\alpha), \tag{29}$$

$$(n-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[A_{n+1}C_{n+1}] - (n-5+3\alpha)\mathbb{E}[A_nC_n] = (n-1)(1-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[A_n] + (2-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[C_n^2], \tag{30}$$

$$(n-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[A_{n+1}^2] - (n-6+3\alpha)\mathbb{E}[A_n^2] = 2(2-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[A_nC_n] + (2-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[C_n] - \mathbb{E}[A_n], \tag{31}$$

with initial conditions $\mathbb{E}[A_3] = \mathbb{E}[C_3] = \mathbb{E}[A_3^2] = \mathbb{E}[C_3^2] = \mathbb{E}[A_3C_3] = 1$.

Remark 4. *Let $\mu_n = \mathbb{E}[C_n]$ and $\sigma_n^2 = \mathrm{var}(C_n)$. Substituting $\mathbb{E}[C_n^2] = \sigma_n^2 + \mu_n^2$ into (29) and applying (27), we obtain below a recurrence relation of the σ_n^2, which was also obtained in Ford's thesis (Theorem 60, [11]):*

$$(n-\alpha)\sigma_{n+1}^2 - (n-4+3\alpha)\sigma_n^2 \;=\; -\frac{4(1-\alpha)^2}{n-\alpha}\mu_n^2 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)[(1-2\alpha)n+\alpha]}{n-\alpha}\mu_n + \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)n(n-1)}{n-\alpha}.$$

As an application of Corollary 4.4, in the next theorem we obtain the formulas for the mean of A_n and that of C_n under the Ford model, which extends previous results on the Yule and the uniform models (see e.g. [21] and the references therein). Note that the mean of C_n as stated in Theorem 4.5 (i) was first obtained in [11] and is included here for completeness.

Theorem 4.5. *Under the Ford model with parameter $\alpha \in [0,1]$,we have*

i. $\mathbb{E}[C_n] = \dfrac{1-\alpha}{3-2\alpha}\, n + \dfrac{\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)} + x_n$, *where* $x_3 = \frac{\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)}$ *and for* $n \geq 4$,

$$x_n = \frac{\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)} \prod_{i=3}^{n-1} \frac{i-2+\alpha}{i-\alpha} = \frac{\alpha\Gamma(3-\alpha)}{2(3-2\alpha)\Gamma(1+\alpha)} n^{-2(1-\alpha)} \left(1+o(1)\right); \tag{32}$$

ii. $\mathbb{E}[A_n] = \dfrac{1-\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)}\, n + \dfrac{\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)} + y_n$, *where* $y_3 = \frac{1}{2}$, $y_4 = \frac{\alpha(5-3\alpha)}{2(3-\alpha)(3-2\alpha)}$, *and for* $n \geq 5$,

$$y_n = \frac{\alpha(2n-3+\alpha-n\alpha)}{2(3-2\alpha)(3-\alpha)} \prod_{j=4}^{n-1} \frac{j-3+\alpha}{j-\alpha} = \frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{2(3-2\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(3-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} n^{-2(1-\alpha)} \left(1+o(1)\right). \tag{33}$$

The proof of Theorem 4.5 and that of Theorem 4.6, which concerns higher order expansions of the second moments, are presented in Section 5.

Theorem 4.6. *Under the Ford model with parameter $\alpha \in [0,1]$ we have*

i.
$$\mathrm{var}(C_n) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)}\, n - \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2(1-\alpha)}).$$

ii.
$$\mathrm{cov}(A_n, C_n) = \frac{-(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)(1-2\alpha)}{2(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)}\, n - \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2(1-\alpha)}).$$

iii.
$$\mathrm{var}(A_n) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(69-135\alpha+96\alpha^2-24\alpha^3)}{4(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)(7-4\alpha)}\, n + \frac{3\alpha(1-\alpha)(1-2\alpha)(5-3\alpha)}{4(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)(7-4\alpha)} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2(1-\alpha)}).$$

Let $\rho_\alpha(A_n, C_n)$ be the correlation of A_n and C_n under the Ford model with parameter $\alpha \in [0,1)$, which is not defined for $\alpha = 1$ because in this case A_n and C_n are both degenerate random variables. It is shown in [21, Corollaries 3 & 5] that for the Yule model $\rho_0(A_n, C_n) = -\sqrt{14/69}$ holds for $n \geq 7$, and for the uniform model $\{\rho_{1/2}(A_n, C_n)\}_{n\geq 4}$ is an increasing sequence converging to 0. Together with Theorem 4.6(ii), this leads directly to the following result which shows that $\alpha = 1/2$ is a critical value for $\rho_\alpha(A_n, C_n)$: when n is large, A_n and C_n are negatively correlated for $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$, which is expected, and positively correlated for $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$, which is less expected.

Corollary 4.7. *Under the Ford model, for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ there exists a constant $n_0(\alpha)$ such that $\rho_\alpha(A_n, C_n) < 0$ for all $n > n_0(\alpha)$. Furthermore, for each $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ there exists a constant $n_0(\alpha)$ such that $\rho_\alpha(A_n, C_n) > 0$ for all $n > n_0(\alpha)$.*

5 Proofs of Theorems 4.5 and 4.6

In this section, we present the proofs of the two theorems, starting with the two lemmas below.

Lemma 5.1. *Let a, b and c be three positive real numbers with $a > b - 1$. Given an integer $n_0 \geq 2$, suppose that $\{X_n\}_{n\geq n_0}$ is a sequence of real numbers satisfying the recursion*

$$X_{n+1} = f_n X_n + g_n, \qquad n \geq n_0,$$

where $\{f_n\}_{n\geq n_0}$ and $\{g_n\}_{n\geq n_0}$ are two sequences with $\prod_{i=\ell}^{n-1} |f_i| \leq c(n/\ell)^{-a}$ and $|g_\ell| \leq c\ell^{-b}$ for every $\ell \geq n_0$. Then there exists a positive number C such that $|X_n| \leq Cn^{1-b}$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Since the solution to the given recursion is given by

$$X_n = X_{n_0} \prod_{i=n_0}^{n-1} f_i + \sum_{i=n_0}^{n-1} g_i \prod_{j=i+1}^{n-1} f_j$$

for $n > n_0$, we have

$$|X_n| \leq |X_{n_0}| \prod_{i=n_0}^{n-1} |f_i| + \sum_{i=n_0}^{n-1} |g_i| \prod_{j=i+1}^{n-1} |f_j|\,.$$

Considering $C = 2\max\{c(n_0)^a |X_{n_0}|, \frac{c^2 2^a}{a-b+1}\}$, then the lemma follows by noticing that

$$|X_{n_0}| \prod_{i=n_0}^{n-1} |f_i| \leq c{n_0}^a |X_{n_0}| n^{-a} \leq Cn^{-a}/2 \leq Cn^{1-b}/2$$

and

$$\sum_{i=n_0}^{n-1} |g_i| \prod_{j=i+1}^{n-1} |f_j| \leq c \sum_{i=n_0}^{n-1} |g_i| \left(\frac{i+1}{n}\right)^a \leq \frac{c^2\, 2^a}{n^a} \sum_{i=n_0}^{n-1} i^{\,a-b} \leq \frac{c^2\, 2^a\, n^{a-b+1}}{n^a(a-b+1)} \leq \frac{C}{2}\, n^{1-b}.$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *For $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and three finite non-negative integers l, k, m such that $l \geq k$ and $m \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant $K = K(\alpha, m)$ such that*

$$\prod_{i=l}^{n-1} \left| \frac{i-k+m\alpha}{i-\alpha} \right| \leq K \left(\frac{n}{l}\right)^{-k+(m+1)\alpha} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq l \leq n-1. \tag{34}$$

Furthermore, as $n \to \infty$ we have

$$\prod_{i=l}^{n-1} \frac{i-k+m\alpha}{i-\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(l-\alpha)}{\Gamma(l-k+m\alpha)} n^{-k+(m+1)\alpha} \left(1+o(1)\right). \tag{35}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.2. First, (34) follows from [7, Lemma 2]. To prove (35), note that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=l}^{n-1} \frac{i-k+m\alpha}{i-\alpha} &= \prod_{i=l}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(i+1-k+m\alpha)\Gamma(i-\alpha)}{\Gamma(i-k+m\alpha)\Gamma(i+1-\alpha)} = \frac{\Gamma(n-k+m\alpha)}{\Gamma(l-k+m\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(l-\alpha)}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(l-\alpha)}{\Gamma(l-k+m\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(n+m\alpha)}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n-j+m\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

By Stirling's approximation formula, $\Gamma(x) = \sqrt{2\pi}\, x^{x-1/2} e^{-x} \left(1+o(1)\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(n+m\alpha)}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}(n+m\alpha)^{n+m\alpha-1/2}\, e^{-(n+m\alpha)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(n-\alpha)^{n-\alpha-1/2}\, e^{-(n-\alpha)}} \left(1+o(1)\right) \\ &= n^{(m+1)\alpha} \frac{(1+m\alpha/n)^{n+m\alpha-1/2}}{(1-\alpha/n)^{n-\alpha-1/2}} e^{-(m+1)\alpha} \left(1+o(1)\right) = n^{(m+1)\alpha} \left(1+o(1)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Combining (37) and $\prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n-j+m\alpha} = n^{-k}\left(1+o(1)\right)$, we get (35). □

Next, we present the proof of the first theorem.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. To prove part (i), we consider

$$x_n = \mathbb{E}[C_n] - \frac{1-\alpha}{3-2\alpha}\, n - \frac{\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)}, \quad n \geq 3. \tag{38}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[C_3] = 1$, we get $x_3 = \frac{\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)}$. Furthermore, substituting (38) into (27) leads to

$$(n-\alpha)x_{n+1} - (n-2+\alpha)x_n = 0, \quad n \geq 3,$$

and hence

$$x_n = x_3 \prod_{i=3}^{n-1} \frac{i-2+\alpha}{i-\alpha} = x_3 \frac{\Gamma(3-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(n-2+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}, \quad n \geq 4.$$

Together with Lemma 5.2, this establishes (32), and hence completes the proof of part (i).

To prove part (ii), we consider

$$y_n = \mathbb{E}[A_n] - \frac{1-\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)}\, n - \frac{\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)} \tag{39}$$

for $n \geq 3$. Then $y_3 = 1/2$. Furthermore, substituting (39) and (38) into (28) leads to

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{n-3+\alpha}{n-\alpha} y_n + \frac{2-\alpha}{n-\alpha} x_n, \quad n \geq 3.$$

Solving this recurrence relation gives us $y_4 = \frac{\alpha(5-3\alpha)}{2(3-\alpha)(3-2\alpha)}$ and for $n \geq 5$

$$\begin{aligned}
y_n &= y_3 \prod_{i=3}^{n-1} \frac{i-3+\alpha}{i-\alpha} + \sum_{i=3}^{n-1} \frac{2-\alpha}{i-\alpha} x_i \prod_{j=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{j-3+\alpha}{j-\alpha} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=3}^{n-1} \frac{i-3+\alpha}{i-\alpha} + \frac{(2-\alpha)\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)} \sum_{i=3}^{n-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{j-3+\alpha}{j-\alpha} \times \frac{1}{i-\alpha} \times \prod_{j=3}^{i-1} \frac{j-2+\alpha}{j-\alpha} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=3}^{n-1} \frac{i-3+\alpha}{i-\alpha} + \frac{(2-\alpha)\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)} \sum_{i=3}^{n-1} \frac{1}{3-\alpha} \prod_{j=4}^{n-1} \frac{j-3+\alpha}{j-\alpha} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=3}^{n-1} \frac{i-3+\alpha}{i-\alpha} + \frac{(2-\alpha)\alpha}{2(3-2\alpha)} \frac{(n-3)}{(3-\alpha)} \prod_{j=4}^{n-1} \frac{j-3+\alpha}{j-\alpha} \\
&= \frac{\alpha(2n-3+\alpha-n\alpha)}{2(3-2\alpha)(3-\alpha)} \prod_{j=4}^{n-1} \frac{j-3+\alpha}{j-\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
y_n &= \frac{\alpha(2n-3+\alpha-n\alpha)}{2(3-2\alpha)(3-\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(4-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} n^{-3+2\alpha} \left(1+o(1)\right) \\
&= \frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{2(3-2\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(3-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} n^{-2+2\alpha} \left(1+o(1)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. This completes the proof of part (ii) and hence the theorem. □

In the remainder of this section we present the proof of the second theorem.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Since the theorem clearly holds for $\alpha = 1$, we shall assume that $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ in the remainder of the proof. Furthermore, we will use the same x_n and y_n as defined in Theorem 4.5, and the fact that $x_n = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2(1-\alpha)})$ and $y_n = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2(1-\alpha)})$ as $n \to \infty$. We start with the proof of Part (i). To this end, we let

$$z_n = \mathbb{E}[C_n^2] - \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{(3-2\alpha)^2}\, n^2 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)(1+2\alpha-2\alpha^2)}{(5-4\alpha)(3-2\alpha)^2}\, n + \frac{\alpha(8-17\alpha+8\alpha^2)}{4(5-4\alpha)(3-2\alpha)^2}, \; n \geq 3. \tag{40}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[C_3^2] = 1$, we get $z_3 = \frac{88\alpha^3-213\alpha^2+152\alpha-24}{4(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)}$. Next, substituting (40) into (29) leads to

$$(n-\alpha)z_{n+1} - (n-4+3\alpha)z_n = 2(1-\alpha)(n-1)x_n, \quad n \geq 3.$$

Furthermore, using Theorem 4.5(i) we have

$$\mathrm{var}(C_n) = \mathbb{E}[C_n^2] - (\mathbb{E}[C_n])^2 = \frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{(5-4\alpha)(3-2\alpha)^2}\, n - \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{(5-4\alpha)(3-2\alpha)^2} + v_n - x_n^2, \quad (41)$$

where

$$v_n = z_n - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3-2\alpha} n x_n - \frac{\alpha}{3-2\alpha} x_n = z_n - \frac{[2(1-\alpha)n+\alpha]}{3-2\alpha} x_n.$$

Then, for $n \geq 3$, we have

$$(n-\alpha)v_{n+1} = (n-\alpha)z_{n+1} - \frac{[2(1-\alpha)(n+1)+\alpha]}{3-2\alpha}(n-\alpha)x_{n+1} = (n-4+3\alpha)v_n - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3-2\alpha} x_n$$

and hence also

$$v_{n+1} = \frac{n-4+3\alpha}{n-\alpha} v_n - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(3-2\alpha)} \frac{x_n}{(n-\alpha)}. \quad (42)$$

Now consider $f_n = \frac{n-4+3\alpha}{n-\alpha}$ and $g_n = -\frac{2(1-\alpha)x_n}{(3-2\alpha)(n-\alpha)}$ for $n \geq 3$, and let $a = 4-4\alpha$ and $b = 3-2\alpha$. Then by Lemma 5.2, it follows that there exists a constant K_1 such that

$$\prod_{i=\ell}^{n-1} |f_i| = \prod_{i=\ell}^{n-1} \frac{i-4+3\alpha}{i-\alpha} \leq K_1 \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{-4+4\alpha} = K_1 \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)^{-a} \quad \text{for all} \quad 3 \leq \ell \leq n-1,$$

and by Theorem 4.5 there exists a constant K_2 such that

$$|g_n| = \frac{2(1-\alpha)x_n}{(3-2\alpha)(n-\alpha)} < \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{(3-2\alpha)} \frac{x_n}{n} \leq K_2\, n^{-3+2\alpha} = K_2 n^{-b} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 3.$$

Since $a-b+1 = 2(1-\alpha) > 0$ for $\alpha \in [0,1)$, an application of Lemma 5.1 on the recursion (42) with the above f_n, g_n, a, b, and $c = \max\{K_1, K_2\}$ leads to $v_n = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2+2\alpha})$, and hence also $v_n - x_n^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2+2\alpha})$. This, together with (41), completes the proof of (i).

To prove Part (ii), we consider

$$t_n = \mathbb{E}[A_n C_n] - \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{2(3-2\alpha)^2}\, n^2 + \frac{(1-\alpha)(4-25\alpha+16\alpha^2)}{4(5-4\alpha)(3-2\alpha)^2} n + \frac{\alpha(8-17\alpha+8\alpha^2)}{4(5-4\alpha)(3-2\alpha)^2}$$

for $n \geq 3$. Combining (30) and (43) leads to

$$(n-\alpha)t_{n+1} - (n-5+3\alpha)t_n = (2-\alpha)z_n + (1-\alpha)(n-1)y_n, \quad n \geq 3.$$

Since $\mathrm{cov}(A_n, C_n) = \mathbb{E}[A_n C_n] - \mathbb{E}[A_n]\mathbb{E}[C_n]$, by (38), (39) and (43) we have

$$\mathrm{cov}(A_n, C_n) = \frac{-(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)(1-2\alpha)}{2(5-4\alpha)(3-2\alpha)^2}\, n - \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)}{(5-4\alpha)(3-2\alpha)^2} + w_n - x_n y_n, \quad (43)$$

where $w_n = t_n - \dfrac{[(1-\alpha)n+\alpha]x_n + [2(1-\alpha)n+\alpha]y_n}{2(3-2\alpha)}$. Using straightforward but tedious algebraic simplification steps, we can show that

$$(n-\alpha)w_{n+1} - (n-5+3\alpha)w_n = (2-\alpha)v_n - \frac{1-\alpha}{3-2\alpha}x_n$$

holds for $n \geq 3$ and hence

$$w_{n+1} = \frac{n-5+3\alpha}{n-\alpha}w_n + (2-\alpha)\frac{v_n}{n-\alpha} - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(3-2\alpha)}\frac{x_n}{(n-\alpha)}. \tag{44}$$

Similarly to the proof of Part (i), applying Lemma 5.1 on the recursion (44) with $f_n = \frac{n-5+3\alpha}{n-\alpha}$, $g_n = (2-\alpha)\frac{v_n}{n-\alpha} - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(3-2\alpha)}\frac{x_n}{(n-\alpha)}$, $a = 5-4\alpha$ and $b = 3-2\alpha$, we get $w_n = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2+2\alpha})$, and hence $w_n - x_n y_n = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2+2\alpha})$. This proves part (ii) in view of (43).

To prove (iii), we consider

$$s_n = \mathbb{E}[A_n^2] - \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{4(3-2\alpha)^2}n^2 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)(1+2\alpha+2\alpha^2)}{(5-4\alpha)(3-2\alpha)^2}n - \frac{\alpha(5-3\alpha+\alpha^2)}{4(3-2\alpha)(5-4\alpha)(7-4\alpha)}$$

for $n \geq 3$. Let $u_n = s_n - \dfrac{[(1-\alpha)n+\alpha]y_n}{3-2\alpha}$. Then, by straightforward simplification steps we have

$$u_{n+1} = \frac{n-6+3\alpha}{n-\alpha}u_n + \frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{(3-2\alpha)}\frac{y_n}{(n-\alpha)} + \frac{(2-\alpha)^2}{(3-2\alpha)}\frac{x_n}{(n-\alpha)}, \quad n \geq 3. \tag{45}$$

Furthermore, by $\mathrm{var}(A_n) = \mathbb{E}[A_n^2] - (\mathbb{E}[A_n])^2$ and Theorem 4.5(ii) we have

$$\mathrm{var}(A_n) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(69-135\alpha+96\alpha^2-24\alpha^3)}{4(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)(7-4\alpha)}\,n + \frac{3\alpha(1-\alpha)(1-2\alpha)(5-3\alpha)}{4(3-2\alpha)^2(5-4\alpha)(7-4\alpha)} + u_n - y_n^2.$$

Similarly to the proof of Part (i), applying Lemma 5.1 on the recursion (45) with $f_n = \frac{n-6+3\alpha}{n-\alpha}$, $g_n = \left[\frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{(3-2\alpha)}\frac{y_n}{(n-\alpha)} + \frac{(2-\alpha)^2}{(3-2\alpha)}\frac{x_n}{(n-\alpha)}\right]$, $a = 6-4\alpha$ and $b = 3-2\alpha$, we get $u_n = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2+2\alpha})$ and hence also $u_n - y_n^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2+2\alpha})$, which completes the proof of (iii) and hence the theorem. □

Acknowledgements

The work of Gursharn Kaur was supported by NUS Research Grant R-155-000-198-114, and that of Kwok Pui Choi by Singapore Ministry of Education Academic Research Fund R-155-000-188-114. We thank Chris Greenman and Ariadne Thompson for stimulating discussions on the Ford model.

References

[1] David Aldous. Asymptotic fringe distributions for general families of random trees. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 1:228–266, 1991.

[2] David Aldous. Probability distributions on cladograms. In David Aldous and Robin Pemantle, editors, *Random Discrete Structures*, volume 76 of *The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications*, pages 1–18. Springer-Verlag, 1996.

[3] Zhi-Dong Bai and Feifang Hu. Asymptotics in randomized urn models. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 15(1B):914–940, 2005.

[4] Antar Bandyopadhyay and Gursharn Kaur. Linear de-preferential urn models. *Advances in Applied Probability*, 50(4):1176–1192, 2018.

[5] Michael G. B. Blum and Olivier François. Which random processes describe the tree of life? A large-scale study of phylogenetic tree imbalance. *Systematic Biology*, 55(4):685–691, 2006.

[6] Huilan Chang and Michael Fuchs. Limit theorems for patterns in phylogenetic trees. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 60(4):481–512, 2010.

[7] Kwok Pui Choi, Gursharn Kaur, and Taoyang Wu. On asymptotic joint distributions of cherries and pitchforks for random phylogenetic trees. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 83(40):34 pp, 2021.

[8] Kwok Pui Choi, Ariadne Thompson, and Taoyang Wu. On cherry and pitchfork distributions of random rooted and unrooted phylogenetic trees. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 132:92–104, 2020.

[9] Tomás M Coronado, Arnau Mir, Francesc Rosselló, and Gabriel Valiente. A balance index for phylogenetic trees based on rooted quartets. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 79(3):1105–1148, 2019.

[10] Filippo Disanto and Thomas Wiehe. Exact enumeration of cherries and pitchforks in ranked trees under the coalescent model. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 242(2):195–200, 2013.

[11] Daniel J. Ford. *Probabilities on cladograms: Introduction to the alpha model*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2006. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Stanford University.

[12] Micha Hofri and Hosam Mahmoud. *Algorithmics of nonuniformity: tools and paradigms*. CRC Press, 2019.

[13] Cecilia Holmgren and Svante Janson. Limit laws for functions of fringe trees for binary search trees and recursive trees. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 20:1–51, 2015.

[14] Cecilia Holmgren and Svante Janson. Fringe trees, Crump–Mode–Jagers branching processes and m-ary search trees. *Probability Surveys*, 14:53–154, 2017.

[15] Svante Janson. Functional limit theorems for multitype branching processes and generalized Pólya urns. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 110(2):177–245, 2004.

[16] Hosam M. Mahmoud. *Pólya urn models*. Texts in Statistical Science Series. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009.

[17] Andy McKenzie and Mike A. Steel. Distributions of cherries for two models of trees. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 164:81–92, 2000.

[18] Giacomo Plazzotta and Caroline Colijn. Asymptotic frequency of shapes in supercritical branching trees. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 53(4):1143–1155, 2016.

[19] Noah A. Rosenberg. The mean and variance of the numbers of r-pronged nodes and r-caterpillars in Yule-generated genealogical trees. *Annals of Combinatorics*, 10:129–146, 2006.

[20] Mike Steel. *Phylogeny: discrete and random processes in evolution*. SIAM, 2016.

[21] Taoyang Wu and Kwok Pui Choi. On joint subtree distributions under two evolutionary models. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 108:13–23, 2016.