THE NEHARI MANIFOLD APPROACH FOR SINGULAR EQUATIONS INVOLVING THE p(x)-LAPLACE OPERATOR

Dušan D. Repovš

Faculty of Education and Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana & Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Email: dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si

Kamel Saoudi

College of Sciences at Dammam, University of Dammam 31441 Dammam, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Email: kmsaoudi@iau.edu.sa

Abstract

We study the following singular problem involving the p(x)-Laplace operator $\Delta_{p(x)}u = div(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u)$, where p(x) is a nonconstant continuous function,

 $(\mathbf{P}_{\lambda}) \begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u = a(x)|u|^{q(x)-2}u(x) + \frac{\lambda b(x)}{u^{\delta(x)}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$

Here, Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N\geq 2}$ with C^2 -boundary, λ is a positive parameter, $a(x), b(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ are positive weight functions with compact support in Ω , and $\delta(x), p(x), q(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy certain hypotheses (A_0) and (A_1) . We apply the Nehari manifold approach and some new techniques to establish the multiplicity of positive solutions for problem (P_{λ}) .

Keywords: Nehari manifold, generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev space, topological method, singular equation, p(x)-Laplace operator, multiplicity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to study the following inhomogeneous equation

$$(\mathbf{P}_{\lambda}) \begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u = a(x)|u|^{q(x)-2}u(x) + \frac{\lambda b(x)}{u^{\delta(x)}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here, operator $\Delta_{p(x)}u := div(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u)$ is the p(x)-Laplacian, p(x) is a nonconstant continuous function, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N $(N \ge 2)$ with C^2 boundary, λ is a positive parameter, $a(x), b(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ are positive weight functions with compact support in Ω , and $\delta(x), p(x), q(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy the following conditions

Math. Subj. Classif. (2010): 35J20, 35J60, 35J70, 47J10.

$$(A_0) \ 0 < 1 - \delta(x) < p(x) < q(x) < p^*(x);$$

 $(A_1) \ 0 < 1 - \delta^- \le 1 - \delta^+ < p^- \le p^+ < q^- \le q^+.$

Here, $p^*(x) := Np(x)/(N - p(x)), \delta^+ := \operatorname{ess\,sup} \delta(x), \delta^- := \operatorname{ess\,inf} \delta(x)$, and analogous definitions hold for p^-, p^+, q^- , and q^+ .

Partial differential equations with variable exponents are a very interesting and active topics. The motivation for this type of problems was stimulated by their various applications in physics - for more details see ACERBI-MINGIONE [1], DIEN-ING [4], and in particular the book RĂDULESCU-REPOVŠ [15]) and the references therein.

Before stating our main result, we review the key literature concerning singular partial differential equations with variable exponents. ZHANG [25] proved the existence of solutions for the purely singular problem. Using variational methods, SAOUDI [17] proved the existence for a superlinear singular equation with variable exponent. FAN [6] investigated the multiplicity of solutions using topological methods. In SAOUDI-GHANMI [20] and SAOUDI ET AL. [23] variational methods were used to establish the multiplicity of solutions for a singular problems with Dirichlet and Neumann conditions, respectively (see also SAOUDI-GHANMI [21]).

The case when p is constant in problem (P_{λ}), has recieved more attention and has been approached by differents techniques. For a more general presentation we refer to COCLITE-PALMIERI [2], CRANDALL ET AL. [3], GHERGU-RĂDULESCU [9], GIACOMONI-SAOUDI [10], GIACOMONI ET AL. [11], SAOUDI [18], and SAOUDI-KRATOU [22] and the references therein.

Some interesting papers on the applications of the Nehari manifold method in variable exponent problem have recently been published, see e.g. MASHIYEV ET AL. [13], SAIEDINEZHAD-GHAEMI [16], and SAOUDI [19]. In the present paper, we generalize the results of GIACOMONI ET AL. [11] and SAOUDI [18] to the problem with variable exponent, by using topological methods. Here is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that condition (A_0) and (A_1) are fulfilled. Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, problem (P_{λ}) has at least two positive solutions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review the properties of generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces. In Section 3, we prove the necessary lemmas. In Section 4, we prove the existence of a minimum for the functional energy E_{λ} in \mathcal{N}_{λ}^+ . In Section 5, we prove the existence of a minimum for the functional energy E_{λ} in \mathcal{N}_{λ}^- . Finally, In Section 6, we present the proof of our main result.

2. Generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev Spaces

In this section, we recall definitions of functional spaces with variable exponents and properties of the p(x)-Laplacian operator which will be used later (for more on this topics see RĂDULESCU-REPOVŠ [15], and for other additional information see PAPAGEORGIOU ET AL. [14]). Let

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in S(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\},$$

with the norm

$$|u|_{p(\cdot)} = |u|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \le 1 \right\}.$$

Then $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), |\cdot|_{p(\cdot)})$ is a reflexive, uniform convex Banach, separable space - for details see FAN-ZHAO [8, Theorems 1.6, 1.10, 1.14] and RADULESCU-REPOVS [15].

The variable exponent Sobolev space

$$W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \right\},\$$

can be equipped with the norm

$$||u|| = |u|_{p(\cdot)} + |\nabla u|_{p(\cdot)}, \text{ for all } u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

Note that $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. We denote by $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ the conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{q(x)} + \frac{1}{p(x)} = 1$. For $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, the Hölder type inequality

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)dx \right| \le \left(\frac{1}{p^{-}} + \frac{1}{q^{-}} \right) |u|_{p(x)}|v|_{q(x)},$$
(2.1)

holds. Recall the following result.

Lemma 2.1. Consider the mapping $\rho_{p(x)}$: $L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\rho_{p(x)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx$$

where $(u_n), u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, and $p^+ < \infty$. Then the following relations hold

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}} > 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}}^{p^{-}} \le \rho_{p(x)}(u) \le \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}}^{p^{+}},$$
(2.2)

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}} < 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}}^{p^+} \le \rho_{p(x)}(u) \le \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}}^{p^-},$$
(2.3)

$$||u_n - u||_{L^{p(x)}} \to 0 \text{ if and only if } \rho_{p(x)}(u_n - u) \to 0.$$
 (2.4)

We state the Sobolev embedding theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (See FAN ET AL. [7] and KOVĂČIK-RĂKOSNIK [12]). Let $p \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with p(x) > 1 for each $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary and suppose that $p(x) \leq r(x) \leq p^*(x)$ and $r \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Then the embedding $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuous. Also, if r(x) < 0 $p^*(x)$ almost everywhere in $\overline{\Omega}$, then this embedding is compact.

Let $\rho(x, s)$ be a Carathéodory function satisfying the following condition

$$|\rho(x,s)| \le A \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } s \in [-s_0, s_0], \tag{2.5}$$

where $s_0 > 0$ and A is a constant. Recall the following comparison principle.

Lemma 2.2 (ZHANG [24, Lemma 2.3]). Let $\rho(x,t)$ be a function satisfying (2.5) and increasing in t. Let $u, v \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ satisfy

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}u + \rho(x, u) \le -\Delta_{p(x)}v + \rho(x, v), \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega.$$

and assume that $u \leq v$ on $\partial \Omega$. Then $u \leq v$ in Ω .

Next, we recall the following strong maximum principle.

Theorem 2.2 (SAOUDI-GHANMI [20, Theorem 3.2]). Suppose that for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, $u, v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ we have $0 \leq u$, $0 \leq v$, and

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}u - \frac{\lambda}{u^{\delta(x)}} = h(x) \ge g(x) = -\Delta_{p(x)}v - \frac{\lambda}{v^{\delta(x)}},$$
(2.6)

with u = v = 0 on $\partial\Omega$, where $g, h \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ are such that $0 \leq g < h$ pointwise everywhere in Ω . Assume that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} > 0 \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} > 0 \quad on \ \partial\Omega, \tag{2.7}$$

where **n** is the inward unit normal on $\partial \Omega$. Then the following strong comparison principle holds:

$$u > v$$
 in Ω , and there is a positive ϵ such that $\frac{\partial(u-v)}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \ge \epsilon$ on $\partial\Omega$. (2.8)

We shall now prove the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that the domain Ω has the cone property and consider $p \in C(\overline{\Omega})$. Assume that $b \in L^{\alpha(x)}$, b(x) > 0 for $x \in \Omega$, $\alpha \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\alpha^- > 1$, $\alpha_0^- \leq \alpha_0(x) \leq \alpha_0^+ (\frac{1}{\alpha(x)} + \frac{1}{\alpha_0(x)} = 1)$, $\delta \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, and

$$0 < 1 - \delta(x) < \frac{\alpha(x) - 1}{\alpha(x)} p^*(x), \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$
(2.9)

Then the embedding $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{1-\delta(x)}_{b(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact. Moreover, there is a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that the following inequality holds

$$\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta(x)} dx \le c_2(||u||^{1-\delta^-} + ||u||^{1-\delta^+}).$$
(2.10)

Proof. The proof of the first assertion is adopted from FAN [6]. Let $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and let

$$r(x) = \frac{\alpha(x)}{\alpha(x) - 1} (1 - \delta(x)) = \alpha_0(x)(1 - \delta(x)).$$

Hence, (2.9) implies that $r(x) < p^*(x)$. Therefore, using Theorem (2.1), we obtain $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$. So, for $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, we get $|u|^{1-\delta(x)} \in L^{\alpha_0(x)}(\Omega)$. By (2.1),

$$\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta(x)} dx \le c_1 |b|_{\alpha(x)} \left| |u|^{1-\delta(x)} \right| < \infty.$$

This means that $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \subset L^{1-\delta(x)}(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, if $u_n \to 0$ weakly in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, then we have that $u_n \to 0$ strongly in $L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u_n|^{1-\delta(x)}dx \le c_1|b|_{\alpha(x)} \left| |u_n|^{1-\delta(x)} \right| \to 0,$$

hence $|u_n|_{1-\delta(x),b(x)} \to 0$ and we can conclude that

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{1-\delta(x)}_{b(x)}(\Omega)$$

Next, we shall prove inequality (2.10). First, we have from above

$$\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta(x)}dx \le c_1|b|_{\alpha(x)} \left||u|^{1-\delta(x)}\right| < \infty.$$

Since $1 - \delta^- \le 1 - \delta(x) \le 1 - \delta^+$ and $|u|^{1 - \delta(x)} \le |u|^{1 - \delta^-} + |u|^{1 - \delta^+}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta(x)} dx \le \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta^{-}} dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta^{+}} dx$$

On the other hand, using (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), and condition $p(x) < (1 - \delta^-)\alpha_0(x) \le (1 - \delta^+)\alpha_0(x) < p^*(x)$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta^{-}} dx \le c_{2}|b|_{\alpha(x)} \left| |u|^{1-\delta(x)} \right|_{\alpha_{0}(x)} = c_{2}|b|_{\alpha(x)}|u|^{1-\delta^{-}}_{(1-\delta^{-})\alpha_{0}(x)} \le c_{3}||u||^{1-\delta^{-}}.$$
(2.11)

In the same way, one gets

$$\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta^+} dx \le c_4 ||u||^{1-\delta^+}.$$
(2.12)

Hence, using (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta(x)}dx \le c_5(||u||^{1-\delta^-} + ||u||^{1-\delta^+}).$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.4. Let $p \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, suppose the boundary of domain Ω has the cone property and let $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Then there exist nonnegative constants c_6 , c_7 , c_8 , $c_9 > 0$ such that the following inequalities hold

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q(x)}dx \leq \begin{cases} c_{6}||u||^{q^{+}} & \text{if } ||u|| > 1, \\ c_{7}||u||^{q^{-}} & \text{if } ||u|| < 1. \end{cases}$$
$$\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta(x)}dx \leq \begin{cases} c_{8}||u||^{1-\delta^{-}} & \text{if } ||u|| > 1, \\ c_{9}||u||^{1-\delta^{+}} & \text{if } ||u|| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Theorem 2.4 follows immediately by MASHIYEV ET AL. [13, Theorem 2.3] and Theorem 2.3. $\hfill \Box$

3. Some necessary lemmas

Let us define the functional $E_{\lambda}: W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$E_{\lambda}(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)(u^+)^{1-\delta(x)}}{1-\delta(x)} dx (3.1)$$

Definition 3.1. We say that $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a generalized solution of the equation

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}u = a(x)|u|^{q(x)-2}u(x) + \frac{\lambda b(x)}{u^{\delta(x)}}$$
(3.2)

if for all $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{essinf}_K u > 0$ for every compact set $K \subset \Omega$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q(x)-1} \varphi \, dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x) u^{-\delta(x)} \varphi \, dx \qquad (3.3)$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Obviously, every weak solution of problem (P_{λ}) is also a generalized solution of equation (3.2).

In many problems, such as (P_{λ}) , E_{λ} is not bounded below on $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, but it is bounded below on the corresponding Nehari manifold which is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda} := \{ u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \langle E_{\lambda}'(u), u \rangle = 0 \}.$$

Then $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)|u|^{1-\delta(x)}}{1-\delta(x)} dx = 0.$$
(3.4)

We note that \mathcal{N}_{λ} contains every solution of problem (P_{λ}) .

It is well-known that the Nehari manifold is closely related to the behavior of the functions $\Phi_u : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $\Phi_u(t) = E_\lambda(tu)$. Such maps are called fiber maps and were introduced by DRABEK-POHOZAEV [5]. For $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, we define

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{u}(t) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x) t^{q(x)}}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x) t^{1-\delta(x)} |u|^{1-\delta(x)}}{1-\delta(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x, \\ \Phi'_{u}(t) &= \int_{\Omega} t^{p(x)-1} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} a(x) t^{q(x)-1} |u|^{q(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x) t^{-\delta(x)} |u|^{1-\delta(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x, \\ \Phi''_{u}(t) &= \int_{\Omega} (p(x)-1) t^{p(x)-2} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} a(x) (q(x)-1) t^{q(x)-2} |u|^{q(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x) \delta(x) t^{-\delta(x)-1} |u|^{1-\delta(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

It is easy to see that $tu \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ if and only if $\Phi'_{u}(t) = 0$ and in particular, $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ if and only if $\Phi'_{u}(1) = 0$. Thus it is natural to split \mathcal{N}_{λ} into three parts corresponding to local minima, local maxima and points of inflection defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+} &:= \{ u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} : \Phi_{u}''(1) > 0 \} = \left\{ tu \in W_{0}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{ 0 \} : \Phi_{u}'(t) = 0, \Phi_{u}''(t) > 0 \right\},\\ \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-} &:= \{ u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} : \Phi_{u}''(1) < 0 \} = \left\{ tu \in W_{0}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{ 0 \} : \Phi_{u}'(t) = 0, \Phi_{u}''(t) < 0 \right\},\\ \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0} &:= \{ u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} : \Phi_{u}''(1) = 0 \} = \left\{ tu \in W_{0}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{ 0 \} : \Phi_{u}'(t) = 0, \Phi_{u}''(t) = 0 \right\}.\\ \text{Our first result is the following.} \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.1. E_{λ} is coercive and bounded below on \mathcal{N}_{λ} .

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ and ||u|| > 1. Then, using (2.2)-(2.4) and the embeddings from Theorem 2.1, we estimate $E_{\lambda}(u)$ as follows:

$$E_{\lambda}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)|u|^{1-\delta(x)}}{1-\delta(x)} dx$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{p^{+}} - \frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{1-\delta^{+}} - \frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta(x)} dx$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{p^{+}} - \frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) ||u||^{p^{-}} -\lambda c_{8} \left(\frac{1}{1-\delta^{+}} - \frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) ||u||^{1-\delta^{+}}.$$

Note that since $0 < \delta^+ < 1$ and $1 - \delta^+ < p^-$, it follows that $E_{\lambda}(u) \to \infty$ as $||u|| \to \infty$. Therefore E_{λ} is coercive and bounded below.

Lemma 3.2. Let u be a local minimizer for E_{λ} on subsets \mathcal{N}_{λ}^+ or \mathcal{N}_{λ}^- of \mathcal{N}_{λ} such that $u \notin \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^0$. Then u is a critical point of E_{λ} .

Proof. Recall u is a local minimizer for E_{λ} under the constraint

$$I_{\lambda}(u) := \langle E'_{\lambda}(u), u \rangle = 0.$$
(3.5)

Hence, using the theory of Lagrange multipliers, we obtain the existence of $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $E'_{\lambda}(u) = \mu I'_{\lambda}(u).$

Therefore,

$$\langle E'_{\lambda}(u), u \rangle = \mu \langle I'_{\lambda}(u), u \rangle = \mu \Phi''_{\mu}(1) = 0.$$

So,
$$u \notin \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda}$$
, hence $\Phi''_u(1) \neq 0$. Consequently, $\mu = 0$. The proof of Lemma 3.2 is thus complete.

Lemma 3.3. There exists λ_0 such that for every $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, we have $\mathcal{N}^{\pm}_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{N}^{0}_{\lambda} = \{0\}$.

Proof. First, by Lemma 3.2, we deduce that $\mathcal{N}^{\pm}_{\lambda}$ are nonempty for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$. Now, suppose that there exists $u \in \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda}$ such that ||u|| > 1. Using the definition of \mathcal{N}^0_{λ} , we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{1-\delta(x)} dx = 0.$$

Combining the above equality with (3.5) and Theorem 2.3 in [13], we get

$$\begin{array}{lll} 0 &=& \langle I_{\lambda}'(u), u \rangle = \int_{\Omega} p(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} a(x) q(x) |u|^{q(x)} \, dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x) (1 - \delta(x)) |u|^{1 - \delta(x)} \, dx \\ &\geq & p^{-} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \, dx - q^{+} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q(x)} \, dx - (1 - \delta^{+}) \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q(x)} \, dx \right) \\ &\geq & (p^{-} - (1 - \delta^{+})) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \, dx + (1 - \delta^{+} - q^{+}) \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q(x)} \, dx. \end{array}$$

It now follows from Theorem 2.4 that

$$(p^{-} - (1 - \delta^{+}))||u||^{p^{-}} + c_{10}(1 - \delta^{+} - q^{+})||u||^{q^{+}} \ge 0,$$

hence

$$||u|| \ge c_{10} \left(\frac{p^- + \delta^+ - 1}{1 - \delta^+ - q^+}\right)^{\frac{1}{q^+ - p^-}}.$$
(3.6)

In the same way, since $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta(x)} dx = 0$$

and since $u \in \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda}$, we have

$$p^{+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - q^{-} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q(x)} dx - \lambda (1 - \delta^{+}) \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{1 - \delta(x)} dx \ge 0.$$

Therefore

$$p^{+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - q^{-} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q(x)} dx$$
$$-\lambda (1 - \delta^{+}) \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q(x)} dx \right) \ge 0.$$

$$= (p^+ - q^+) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \, dx + \lambda (q^+ + \delta^+ - 1) \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{1 - \delta(x)} \, dx \ge 0.$$

Now since ||u|| > 1, by Theorem 2.4, one has

$$(p^{+} - q^{+})||u||^{p^{-}} + c_{11}\lambda(q^{+} + \delta^{+} - 1)||u||^{1-\delta^{+}} \ge 0,$$

and therefore

$$||u|| \le c_{11} \left(\lambda \frac{q^+ + \delta^+ - 1}{q^+ - p^-}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^- + \delta^+ - 1}}.$$
(3.7)

Using (3.6) and (3.7),

$$c_{11}\left(\lambda \frac{q^+ + \delta^+ - 1}{q^+ - p^-}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^- + \delta^+ - 1}} \ge c_{10}\left(\frac{p^- + \delta^+ - 1}{1 - \delta^+ - q^+}\right)^{\frac{1}{q^+ - p^-}}$$

we get

$$\lambda \ge \frac{c_{10}}{c_{11}} \left(\frac{p^- + \delta^+ - 1}{1 - \delta^+ - q^+} \right)^{\frac{p^- + \delta^+ - 1}{q^+ - p^-}} \left(\frac{q^+ + \delta^+ - 1}{q^+ - p^-} \right).$$

Then, if λ is small enough,

$$\lambda = \frac{c_{10}}{c_{11}} \left(\frac{p^- + \delta^+ - 1}{1 - \delta^+ - q^+} \right)^{\frac{p^- + \delta^+ - 1}{q^+ - p^-}} \left(\frac{q^+ + \delta^+ - 1}{q^+ - p^-} \right)$$

we obtain ||u|| < 1, which is impossible. Therfore, $\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda} = \{0\}$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$. Hence, this completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

4. EXISTENCE OF MINIMIZERS ON \mathcal{N}_{λ}^+

In this section, we shall prove the existence of a minimum for the functional energy E_{λ} in \mathcal{N}_{λ}^+ . We shall also prove that this minimizer is a solution to problem (P_{λ}) .

Theorem 4.1. There exists $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+$ satisfying

$$E_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}} E_{\lambda}(u),$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$. Now, E_{λ} is bounded below on \mathcal{N}_{λ} and hence also on \mathcal{N}_{λ}^+ . Therefore there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+$, satisfying $E_{\lambda}(u_n) \to \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+} E_{\lambda}(u)$, as $n \to \infty$.

Since E_{λ} is coercive, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Therefore we can assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and by the compact embedding, we obtain

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$$
 in $L^{1-\delta(x)}_{b(x)}(\Omega)$

and

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$$
 in $L^{q(x)}_{a(x)}(\Omega)$

Now, we shall show that $u_n \to u_0$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. First, we shall prove that

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+} E_{\lambda}(u) < 0.$$

Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda}$. Then $\phi''_{u_0}(1) > 0$ which gives

$$p^{+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - q^{-} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q(x)} dx - \lambda (1 - \delta^{+}) \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{1 - \delta(x)} dx > 0.$$
(4.1)
Moreover, by the definition of the functional energy E_{λ} , we can write

Moreover, by the definition of the functional energy E_{λ} , we can write

$$E_{\lambda}(u) \leq \frac{1}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \frac{1}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q(x)} dx - \frac{\lambda}{1 - \delta^{+}} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{1 - \delta(x)} dx.$$
(4.2)

Now, we multiply (3.5) by $(-(1 - \delta^+))$ and get

$$-(1-\delta^+)\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + (1-\delta^+)\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q(x)} dx + \lambda(1-\delta^+)\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{1-\delta(x)} dx = 0.$$
Involving the above equality and (4.1), one gets

Invoking the above equality and (4.1), one gets

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q(x)} dx < \frac{p^{+} + \delta^{+} - 1}{q^{-} + \delta^{+} - 1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx.$$
(4.3)

On the other hand, from (3.5) and (4.2), we obtain

$$E_{\lambda}(u) \leq \left(\frac{1}{p^{-}} - \frac{1}{1 - \delta^{+}}\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \left(\frac{1}{q^{-}} - \frac{1}{1 - \delta^{+}}\right) \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q(x)} dx. \quad (4.4)$$

Then, by (4.3) and (4.4), we get
$$(n^{-} + \delta^{+} - 1)(a^{+} - n^{-})$$

$$E_{\lambda}(u) < -\frac{(p^{-} + \delta^{+} - 1)(q^{+} - p^{-})}{p^{-}q^{+}(1 - \delta^{+})}||u||^{p^{-}} < 0.$$

Now, let us assume that $u_n \not\rightarrow u_0$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^{p(x)} \, dx \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \, dx.$$

Using the compactness of embeddings, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x)u_0^{q(x)} dx = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} b(x)u_n^{q(x)} dx,$$
$$\int_{\Omega} b(x)u_0^{1-\delta(x)} dx = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} a(x)u_n^{1-\delta(x)} dx.$$

Now, by (3.5) and Theorem 2.3 in [13], one has

$$E_{\lambda}(u_n) \ge \left(\frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{q^+}\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q^+} - \frac{1}{1 - \delta^+}\right) \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_n|^{1 - \delta(x)} dx.$$
Pressing to the limit when *n* goes to ∞ , we obtain

Passing to the limit when n goes to ∞ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E_{\lambda}(u_n) \ge \left(\frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{q^+}\right) \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx$$
$$+ \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q^+} - \frac{1}{1 - \delta^+}\right) \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_n|^{1 - \delta(x)} dx.$$

Hence, using Theorem 2.3 in [13], we get

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+} E_{\lambda}(u) > \left(\frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{q^+}\right) ||u_0||^{p^-} + \lambda c_5 \left(\frac{1}{q^+} - \frac{1}{1 - \delta^+}\right) (||u_0||^{1 - \delta^-} + ||u_0||^{1 - \delta^+}) > 0$$

since $p^- > 1 - \delta^+ \ge 1 - \delta^-$ and $||u_0|| > 1$, which gives a contradiction. Therefore,

 $u_n \to u_0$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $E_{\lambda}(u_0) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+} E_{\lambda}(u)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

5. EXISTENCE OF MINIMIZERS ON $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$

In this section, we shall prove the existence of a minimum for the functional energy E_{λ} in $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$. We shall also prove that this minimizer is a solution to problem (P_{λ}) .

Theorem 5.1. There exists $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$ such that

$$E_{\lambda}(v_{\lambda}) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}} E_{\lambda}(v),$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$. Since E_{λ} is bounded below on \mathcal{N}_{λ} hence also on \mathcal{N}_{λ}^- . Therefore, there exists a sequence $\{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$, satisfying $E_{\lambda}(v_n) \to \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-} E_{\lambda}(u)$, as $n \to \infty$. Since E_{λ} is coercive, $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Therefore we can assume that $v_n \rightharpoonup v_0$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and by the compact embedding, we get

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v_0$$
 in $L^{1-\delta(x)}_{b(x)}(\Omega)$

and

10

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v_0$$
 in $L^{q(x)}_{a(x)}(\Omega)$.

Now, we shall show $v_n \to v_0$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. First, we shall prove that

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}} E_{\lambda}(v) > 0$$

Let $v_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$. Then we have from (3.5),

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{q(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{1-\delta(x)} dx = 0.$$
(5.1)

Moreover, by the definition of the functional energy E_{λ} , we can write

$$E_{\lambda}(v) \ge \frac{1}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx - \frac{1}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |v|^{q(x)} dx - \frac{\lambda}{1 - \delta^{+}} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v|^{1 - \delta(x)} dx.$$
(5.2)

Hence, from (5.1) and (5.2), one has

$$E_{\lambda}(v) \geq \frac{1}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx - \frac{\lambda}{1-\delta^{+}} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|v|^{1-\delta(x)} dx$$

$$-\frac{1}{q^{+}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x)|v|^{1-\delta(x)} dx \right)$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{p^{-}} - \frac{1}{q^{+}} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx + \left(\frac{1}{q^{+}} - \frac{1}{1-\delta^{+}} \right) \int_{\Omega} b(x)|v|^{1-\delta(x)} dx$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{p^{-}} - \frac{1}{q^{+}} \right) ||v||^{p^{-}} + \lambda c_{8} \left(\frac{1}{q^{+}} - \frac{1}{1-\delta^{+}} \right) ||v||^{1-\delta^{+}}$$

$$\geq \left[\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}} - \frac{1}{q^{+}} \right) + \lambda c_{8} \left(\frac{1}{q^{+}} - \frac{1}{1-\delta^{+}} \right) \right] ||v||^{p^{-}}$$

since $p^- > 1 - \delta^+$.

Hence, if we choose

$$\lambda < \frac{(1-\delta^+)(p^--q^+)}{c_8 p^+(1-\delta^+-q^+)},$$

we obtain $E_{\lambda}(v) > 0$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-} = \emptyset$ and $\inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}} E_{\lambda}(v) < 0$, we see that $v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$.

In the same way, if $v_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$, hence there exists t_0 satisfying $t_0 v_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$ and so $E_{\lambda}(t_0 v_0) \leq E_{\lambda}(v_0)$. Moreover, since

$$I'_{\lambda}(v) = \int_{\Omega} p(x) |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} a(x)q(x)|v|^{q(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x)(1-\delta(x))|v|^{1-\delta(x)} dx$$

we get

we get

$$\begin{split} I'_{\lambda}(t_{0}v_{0}) &= \int_{\Omega} p(x) |\nabla t_{0}v_{0}|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} a(x)q(x) |t_{0}v_{0}|^{q(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x)(1-\delta(x)) |t_{0}v_{0}|^{1-\delta(x)} dx \\ &\leq t_{0}^{p^{+}}p^{+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{0}|^{p(x)} dx - t_{0}^{q^{-}}q^{-} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |v_{0}|^{q(x)} dx - \lambda(1-\delta^{+})t_{0}^{1-\delta^{+}} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v_{0}|^{1-\delta(x)} dx, \end{split}$$

since $1 - \delta^+ < p^+ < q^-$. By the conditions on a and b it follows that $I'_{\lambda}(t_0 v_0) < 0$, so by definition of $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$ $t_0 v_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$.

Now, let us assume that $v_n \not\rightarrow v_0$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Using the fact that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^{p(x)} \, dx \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega}, |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} \, dx$$

one gets

$$\begin{split} E_{\lambda}(tv_{0}) &\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{p(x)} |\nabla v_{0}|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{q(x)}}{q(x)} |v_{0}|^{q(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{1-\delta(x)} |v_{0}|^{1-\delta(x)}}{1-\delta(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\frac{t^{p^{+}}}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{n}|^{p(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{t^{q^{-}}}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega} |v_{n}|^{q(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \lambda \frac{t^{1-\delta^{+}}}{1-\delta^{+}} \int_{\Omega} |v_{n}|^{1-\delta(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \right], \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} E_{\lambda}(tv_{n}) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} E_{\lambda}(v_{n}) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}} E_{\lambda}(v), \end{split}$$

which contradicts with the fact that $tv_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$. Hence, $v_n \to v_0$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $E_{\lambda}(v_0) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-} E_{\lambda}(v)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1, for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, there exist $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda}$, $v_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$ such that

$$E_{\lambda}(u_0) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+} E_{\lambda}(u)$$

and

$$E_{\lambda}(v_0) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-} E_{\lambda}(v).$$

On the other hand, since $E_{\lambda}(u_0) = E_{\lambda}(|u_0|)$ and $|u_0| \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+$ and in the same way, $E_{\lambda}(v_0) = E_{\lambda}(|v_0|)$ and $|v_0| \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$, we suppose that $u_0, v_0 \ge 0$. Using Lemma 3.2, u_0 , v_0 are critical points of E_{λ} on $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and thus weak solutions of (\mathbf{P}_{λ}) .

Finally, by the Harnack inequality and by ZHANG-LIU [26], we obtain that u_0, v_0 are nonnegative solutions of (P_{λ}) .

It remains to prove that the solutions we obtained for Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1 are distinct. Indeed, since $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+} = \emptyset$, it follows that u_0 and v_0 are different. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements

The first author was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency program P1-0292 and grants N1-0114 and N1-0083.

References

- [1] E. ACERBI AND G. MINGIONE, Regularity results for a class of functionals with nonstandard growth, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **156** (2001) 121–140.
- M.M. COCLITE AND G. PALMIERI, On a singular nonlinear Dirichlet problem, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 14 (1989), 1315–1327.
- [3] M.G. CRANDALL, P.H. RABINOWITZ AND L. TARTAR, On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 2 (1977), 193-222.
- [4] L. DIENING, Theorical and numerical results for electrorheological fluids, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, 2002.
- [5] P. DRABEK AND S.I. POHOZAEV, Positive solutions for the p-Laplacian: application of the fibering method, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect A, 127 (1997), 703–726.
- [6] X. L. FAN, Solutions for p(x)-Laplacian Dirichlet problems with singular coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 312 (2005), 464–477.
- [7] X.L. FAN, J.S. SHEN AND D. ZHAO Sobolev embedding Theorems for spaces $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **262** (2001), 749–760.
- [8] X.L. FAN AND D. ZHAO, On spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. bf 263 (2001), 424–446.
- [9] M. GHERGU AND V. RĂDULESCU, Singular Elliptic Problems: Bifurcation and Asymptotic Analysis, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications 37. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- [10] J. GIACOMONI AND K. SAOUDI, Multiplicity of positive solutions for a singular and critical problem, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009), no. 9, 4060–4077.
- [11] J. GIACOMONI, I. SCHINDLER AND P. TAKÁČ, Sobolev versus Hölder local minimizers and global multiplicity for a singular and quasilinear equation, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di scienze Série V 6 (2007), 117–158.
- [12] O. KOVĂČIK AND J. RĂKOSNIK, On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$, Czechoslovak Math. J. 41 (116) (1991), 592–618.
- [13] R.A. MASHIYEV, S. OGRAS, Z. YUCEDAG AND M. AVCI, The Nehari manifold approach for Dirichlet problem involving the p(x)-Laplacian equation, J. Korean Math. Soc. 47 (2010), No. 1. pp. 1–16.
- [14] N.S. PAPAGEORGIOU, V.D. RĂDULESCU, D.D. REPOVŠ, Nonlinear Analysis Theory and Methods, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [15] V.D. RĂDULESCU AND D.D. REPOVŠ, Partial Differential Equations with Variable Exponents: Variational Methods and Qualitative Analysis, Chapman and Hall /CRC, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL, 2015.
- [16] S. SAIEDINEZHAD AND M.B. GHAEMI, The fibering map approach to a quasilinear degenerate p(x)-Laplacian equation, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., **41** (2015), 1477-1492.
- [17] K. SAOUDI, Existence and non-existence of solution for a singular nonlinear Dirichlet problem involving the p(x)-Laplace operator, J. Adv. Math. Stud. 9 (2016), No. 2, 292-303.
- [18] K. SAOUDI, Existence and non-existence for a singular problem with variables potentials, Electronic Journal of Differential equations 2017 (2017), No. 291, 1-9.
- [19] SAOUDI, A singular elliptic system involving the p(x)-Laplacian and generalized Lebesgue–Sobolev spaces, International Journal of Mathematics **30**(116):1950064 (2019).
- [20] K. SAOUDI AND A. GHANMI, A multiplicity results for a singular problem involving the fractional p-Laplacian operator, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 61:9 (2016), 1199–1216.

- [21] K. SAOUDI AND A. GHANMI, A multiplicity results for a singular equation involving the p(x)-Laplace operator, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **62** (2017) No. 5, 695-725.
- [22] K. SAOUDI AND M. KRATOU, Existence of multiple solutions for a singular and quasilinear equation, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 60 (2015), no.7, 893–925.
- [23] K. SAOUDI, M. KRATOU AND S. AL SADHAN, Multiplicity results for the p(x)-Laplacian equation with singular nonlinearities and nonlinear Neumann boundary condition, Intern. J. Differential Equ. **2016** (2016), Article ID 3149482, 14 pp.
- [24] Q.H. ZHANG, A strong maximum principle for differential equations with nonstandard p(x)-growth conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **312** (2005), No.1, 24–32.
- [25] Q. ZHANG, Existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions to p(x)-Laplacian equations with singular nonlinearities, J. Inequal. Appl. 2007, Art. ID 19349, 9 pp.
- [26] X. ZHANG AND X. LIU, The local boundedness and Harnack inequality of p(x)-Laplace equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **332** (2007), 209–218.