

ALGEBRAIC AND DIFFERENTIAL-GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTIONS OF SET-THEORETICAL SOLUTIONS TO THE ZAMOLODCHIKOV TETRAHEDRON EQUATION

SERGEI IGONIN

SOTIRIS KONSTANTINOU-RIZOS

Centre of Integrable Systems, P.G. Demidov Yaroslavl State University, Yaroslavl, Russia

ABSTRACT. We present several algebraic and differential-geometric constructions of tetrahedron maps, which are set-theoretical solutions to the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation. In particular, we obtain a family of new (nonlinear) polynomial tetrahedron maps on the space of square matrices of arbitrary size, using a matrix refactorisation equation, which does not coincide with the standard local Yang–Baxter equation. Liouville integrability is established for some of these maps.

Also, we show how to derive linear tetrahedron maps as linear approximations of nonlinear ones, using Lax representations and the differentials of nonlinear tetrahedron maps on manifolds. We apply this construction to two nonlinear maps: a tetrahedron map obtained in [10] in a study of soliton solutions of vector KP equations and a tetrahedron map obtained in [27] in a study of a matrix trifactorisation problem related to a Darboux matrix associated with a Lax operator for the NLS equation. We derive parametric families of new linear tetrahedron maps (with nonlinear dependence on parameters), which are linear approximations for these nonlinear ones.

Furthermore, we present (nonlinear) matrix generalisations of a tetrahedron map from Sergeev’s classification [37]. These matrix generalisations can be regarded as tetrahedron maps in noncommutative variables.

Besides, several tetrahedron maps on arbitrary groups are constructed.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 02.90.+p, 03.65.Fd.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 16T25, 81R12.

Keywords: Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation, quantum Yang–Baxter equation, tetrahedron maps, Yang–Baxter maps, Liouville integrability, Lax representations, differentials of maps.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation [44, 45] is a higher-dimensional analogue of the well-celebrated quantum Yang–Baxter equation. They belong to the most fundamental equations in mathematical physics and have applications in many diverse branches of physics and mathematics, including statistical mechanics, quantum field theories, algebraic topology, and the theory of integrable systems. The Yang–Baxter and tetrahedron equations are members of the family of n -simplex equations [4, 11, 16, 31, 33, 34], where they correspond to the cases of 2-simplex and 3-simplex, respectively. Some applications of the tetrahedron equation can be found in [5, 6, 11, 12, 15, 21, 23, 26, 33, 34, 40, 43] and references therein.

This paper is devoted to tetrahedron maps and their relations with Yang–Baxter maps, which are set-theoretical solutions to the tetrahedron equation and the Yang–Baxter equation, respectively. Set-theoretical solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation have been intensively studied by many authors after the work of Drinfeld [13]. Even before that, examples of such solutions were constructed by Sklyanin [38]. A quite general construction for tetrahedron maps first appeared in works of Korepanov (see [29, 30] and references therein) in connection with integrable dynamical systems in discrete time. Presently, the relations of tetrahedron maps and Yang–Baxter maps with integrable systems (including PDEs and lattice

E-mail addresses: s-igonin@yandex.ru, skonstantin84@gmail.com.

equations) and with algebraic structures (including groups and rings) are very active areas of research (see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 12, 20, 23, 26, 27, 28, 32, 36, 40, 43]). In this paper we present several algebraic and differential-geometric constructions of tetrahedron maps. Some of our constructions of tetrahedron maps use Yang–Baxter maps as an auxiliary tool. The paper is organised as follows.

Section 2 contains the definitions of Yang–Baxter and tetrahedron maps and recalls some basic properties of them. Section 3.1 recalls the well-known method to construct tetrahedron maps by means of the matrix local Yang–Baxter equation written as a matrix refactorisation [23, 37, 12, 27]. In Section 3.2 we present a modification of this method. Namely, in Section 3.2 we find new tetrahedron maps by means of other matrix refactorisations, which are similar to the local Yang–Baxter equation, but do not coincide with it. The following notation is used.

- \mathbb{K} is a field. Usually, \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , but one can consider also arbitrary fields.
- $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is the set of positive integers.
- $\mathbf{1}_n$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.
- $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is the set of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from \mathbb{K} .

For any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we consider the matrix refactorisation equation (23) with (22), where $\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{C}_n, \mathbf{D}_n$ are $n \times n$ matrix-functions on a set W . (That is, $\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{C}_n, \mathbf{D}_n$ are maps from W to $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$.) In the case $m \neq n$ the matrices (22) are different from (8), and, therefore, equation (23) does not coincide with the standard matrix local Yang–Baxter equation (9).

Using equation (23) with (22) in the case when $W = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{C}_n, \mathbf{D}_n: \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}), \\ & \mathbf{A}_n(X) = \mathbf{1}_n, \quad \mathbf{B}_n(X) = X, \quad \mathbf{C}_n(X) = 0, \quad \mathbf{D}_n(X) = \mathbf{1}_n, \quad X \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the following new polynomial tetrahedron maps:

- (28) for $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,
- (29) for $m \in \{n+1, \dots, 2n-1\}$.

In our opinion, it is interesting to see that such a nonstandard matrix refactorisation equation gives tetrahedron maps. The recent paper [18] (which was written later than the first version of this paper) presents an algebraic explanation of the fact that the maps (28), (29) satisfy the tetrahedron equation.

In Section 3.3 we prove Liouville integrability

- for the map (28) in the case $1 \leq m \leq n/2$ in Theorem 3.4,
- for the map (29) in the case $3n/2 \leq m \leq 2n-1$ in Theorem 3.7.

Examples 3.5, 3.6, 3.8, 3.9 clarify these results for small values of n, m .

We use the standard notion of Liouville integrability for maps on manifolds (see, e.g., [14, 28, 41] and references therein). This notion is presented in Definition 3.3. Recall that Liouville integrability plays essential roles in classical mechanics and in the theory of integrable maps (see, e.g., [14, 17, 24, 28, 41]). We think that new examples of Liouville integrable maps satisfying the tetrahedron equation make valuable contribution in clarifying the interplay between the classical Liouville integrability and the 3-dimensional discrete integrability encoded in the tetrahedron equation.

In Section 3.1 we construct also new tetrahedron maps (13), (15), which are matrix generalisations of the map (11) from Sergeev’s classification [37]. As shown in Proposition 3.2, in (13), (15) one can replace $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ by an arbitrary associative ring \mathcal{A} with a unit. As a result, we get the maps (17), (19), which can be regarded as tetrahedron maps in noncommutative variables.

It is known that the local Yang–Baxter equation [33] can be viewed as a “Lax equation” or “Lax system” for the tetrahedron equation (see, e.g., [11] and references therein). This allows one to introduce the notion of Lax representations for tetrahedron maps, see Remark 5.10 for details.

In Section 5 we show how to derive linear tetrahedron maps as linear approximations of nonlinear ones, using Lax representations and results of [19] on the differentials of nonlinear tetrahedron maps on manifolds. In our opinion, this connection between Lax representations and the construction of linear tetrahedron maps as linear approximations of nonlinear ones is interesting and deserves attention. (As

discussed in Remark 5.13, Lax representations were not considered in [19].) In Examples 5.11, 5.12 we apply this construction to two nonlinear maps:

- a tetrahedron map obtained in [10] in a study of soliton solutions of vector Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) equations;
- a tetrahedron map obtained in [27] in a study of a matrix trifactorisation problem related to a Darboux matrix associated with a Lax operator for the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation.

As a result, one derives parametric families of new linear tetrahedron maps (77), (84), (85), which are linear approximations for these nonlinear ones. The obtained parametric families of linear tetrahedron maps (77), (84), (85) depend nonlinearly on the parameters q, q_1, q_2 .

Relations with results of [19] are discussed in Remark 5.13. Note that linear approximations of nonlinear Yang–Baxter maps were considered in [28, 7].

In Section 4 we construct new tetrahedron maps (47) on an arbitrary group \mathbf{G} . Section 6 concludes the paper with suggestions on how the results of this paper can be extended.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For any set S and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we use the notation $S^n = \underbrace{S \times S \times \cdots \times S}_n$. Also, we denote by $\text{Id}_S: S \rightarrow S$ the identity map.

Let W be a set. A *Yang–Baxter map* is a map

$$Y: W \times W \rightarrow W \times W, \quad Y(x, y) = (u(x, y), v(x, y)), \quad x, y \in W,$$

satisfying the Yang–Baxter equation

$$(2) \quad Y^{12} \circ Y^{13} \circ Y^{23} = Y^{23} \circ Y^{13} \circ Y^{12}.$$

The terms Y^{12}, Y^{13}, Y^{23} in (2) are maps $W^3 \rightarrow W^3$ defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{12}(x, y, z) &= (u(x, y), v(x, y), z), & Y^{23}(x, y, z) &= (x, u(y, z), v(y, z)), \\ Y^{13}(x, y, z) &= (u(x, z), y, v(x, z)), & x, y, z &\in W. \end{aligned}$$

A *tetrahedron map* is a map

$$T: W^3 \rightarrow W^3, \quad T(x, y, z) = (f(x, y, z), g(x, y, z), h(x, y, z)), \quad x, y, z \in W,$$

satisfying the (Zamolodchikov) *tetrahedron equation*

$$(3) \quad T^{123} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{356} = T^{356} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{123}.$$

Here $T^{ijk}: W^6 \rightarrow W^6$ for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6, i < j < k$, is the map acting as T on the i th, j th, k th factors of the Cartesian product W^6 and acting as identity on the remaining factors. For instance,

$$T^{246}(x, y, z, r, s, t) = (x, f(y, r, t), z, g(y, r, t), s, h(y, r, t)), \quad x, y, z, r, s, t \in W.$$

Sometimes we write $T((x, y, z))$ instead of $T(x, y, z)$, in order to emphasise that we apply T to a given point $(x, y, z) \in W^3$.

Proposition 2.1 ([26]). *Consider the permutation map*

$$P^{13}: W^3 \rightarrow W^3, \quad P^{13}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_3, a_2, a_1), \quad a_i \in W.$$

If a map $T: W^3 \rightarrow W^3$ satisfies the tetrahedron equation (3) then $\tilde{T} = P^{13} \circ T \circ P^{13}$ obeys this equation as well.

Proposition 2.2 ([26]). *Let $T: W^3 \rightarrow W^3$ be a tetrahedron map. Suppose that a map $\sigma: W \rightarrow W$ satisfies*

$$(4) \quad (\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma) \circ T \circ (\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma) = T, \quad \sigma \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_W.$$

Note that, since $\sigma \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_W$, the relation $(\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma) \circ T \circ (\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma) = T$ is equivalent to $(\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma) \circ T = T \circ (\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma)$, hence (4) means that σ is an involutive symmetry of T .

Then

$$\tilde{T} = (\sigma \times \text{Id}_W \times \sigma) \circ T \circ (\text{Id}_W \times \sigma \times \text{Id}_W), \quad \hat{T} = (\text{Id}_W \times \sigma \times \text{Id}_W) \circ T \circ (\sigma \times \text{Id}_W \times \sigma)$$

are tetrahedron maps.

The statement of Proposition 2.3 is well known. A proof can be found, e.g., in [19].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $Y: W^2 \rightarrow W^2$ be a Yang–Baxter map. Then the maps*

$$Y^{12} = Y \times \text{Id}_W: W^3 \rightarrow W^3, \quad Y^{23} = \text{Id}_W \times Y: W^3 \rightarrow W^3$$

are tetrahedron maps.

The result of Proposition 2.4 is also well known, but for completeness we present a proof for it.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $T: W^3 \rightarrow W^3$ be an invertible map satisfying the tetrahedron equation (3). Then the inverse map $T^{-1}: W^3 \rightarrow W^3$ obeys this equation as well.*

Proof. We set $\tilde{T} = T^{-1}$. It is easily seen that

$$(5) \quad \tilde{T}^{123} = (T^{123})^{-1}, \quad \tilde{T}^{145} = (T^{145})^{-1}, \quad \tilde{T}^{246} = (T^{246})^{-1}, \quad \tilde{T}^{356} = (T^{356})^{-1}.$$

Using (5), one obtains

$$(6) \quad (T^{123} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{356})^{-1} = (T^{356})^{-1} (T^{246})^{-1} (T^{145})^{-1} (T^{123})^{-1} = \tilde{T}^{356} \circ \tilde{T}^{246} \circ \tilde{T}^{145} \circ \tilde{T}^{123},$$

$$(7) \quad (T^{356} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{123})^{-1} = (T^{123})^{-1} (T^{145})^{-1} (T^{246})^{-1} (T^{356})^{-1} = \tilde{T}^{123} \circ \tilde{T}^{145} \circ \tilde{T}^{246} \circ \tilde{T}^{356}.$$

From (3), (6), (7) we derive $\tilde{T}^{123} \circ \tilde{T}^{145} \circ \tilde{T}^{246} \circ \tilde{T}^{356} = \tilde{T}^{356} \circ \tilde{T}^{246} \circ \tilde{T}^{145} \circ \tilde{T}^{123}$, which means that the map $\tilde{T} = T^{-1}$ satisfies the tetrahedron equation. \square

3. TETRAHEDRON MAPS RELATED TO MATRIX REFACTORISATIONS

3.1. Tetrahedron maps associated with the matrix local Yang–Baxter equation. In this subsection we construct new tetrahedron maps by means of the well-known method based on the matrix local Yang–Baxter equation written as a matrix refactorisation [23, 37, 12, 27]. In Subsection 3.2 we find new tetrahedron maps by means of other matrix refactorisations, which are similar to the local Yang–Baxter equation, but do not coincide with it.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we denote by $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ the set of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from \mathbb{K} . Let $\mathbf{1}_n$ be the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Consider a set W and maps $\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{C}_n, \mathbf{D}_n: W \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. That is, $\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{C}_n, \mathbf{D}_n$ are $n \times n$ matrix-functions on the set W . Then we have the corresponding $2n \times 2n$ matrix-function

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_n & \mathbf{B}_n \\ \mathbf{C}_n & \mathbf{D}_n \end{pmatrix}: W \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{K})$$

and the $3n \times 3n$ matrix-functions $\mathbf{L}^{12}, \mathbf{L}^{13}, \mathbf{L}^{23}: W \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{3n}(\mathbb{K})$

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{L}^{12} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_n & \mathbf{B}_n & 0 \\ \mathbf{C}_n & \mathbf{D}_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{L}^{13} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_n & 0 & \mathbf{B}_n \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ \mathbf{C}_n & 0 & \mathbf{D}_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{L}^{23} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}_n & \mathbf{B}_n \\ 0 & \mathbf{C}_n & \mathbf{D}_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the corresponding local Yang–Baxter equation

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{L}^{12}(x) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(y) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{23}(z) = \mathbf{L}^{23}(\hat{z}) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(\hat{y}) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{12}(\hat{x}), \quad x, y, z, \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} \in W.$$

To our knowledge, in all available examples the following property is valid:

Suppose that equation (9) determines a map

$$(10) \quad \mathbb{T}: W \times W \times W \rightarrow W \times W \times W, \quad \mathbb{T}((x, y, z)) = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}),$$

in the sense that equation (9) is equivalent to the relation $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) = \mathbb{T}((x, y, z))$. Then the map (10) satisfies the tetrahedron equation (3).

One can expect that this property holds in general under some non-degeneracy conditions, but we do not find a detailed general proof in the available literature. When we get a map (10) arising from (9), we check separately that the map satisfies the tetrahedron equation.

Example 3.1. Let $n = 1$ and $W = \mathbb{K}$. Following [37], fix a constant $k \in \mathbb{K}$ and consider the 2×2 matrix-function

$$\mathbf{L}: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{K}), \quad \mathbf{L}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{K}.$$

As shown in [37], the corresponding local Yang–Baxter equation (9) determines the tetrahedron map

$$(11) \quad \mathbb{T}: \mathbb{K}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^3, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) = (x, ky + xz, z).$$

As noticed in [37], if $k \neq 0$, then the map (11) is invertible, and the inverse map

$$(12) \quad (\mathbb{T})^{-1}: \mathbb{K}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^3, \quad (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) \mapsto (x, y, z) = \left(\hat{x}, \frac{1}{k}(\hat{y} - \hat{x}\hat{z}), \hat{z} \right),$$

satisfies the tetrahedron equation as well.

Now let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $W = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$. To construct matrix generalisations of the map (11), fix a nondegenerate $n \times n$ matrix $K \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and consider the $2n \times 2n$ matrix-function

$$\mathbf{L}_K: \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{K}), \quad \mathbf{L}_K(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & X \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}, \quad X \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_K^{12}(X) \cdot \mathbf{L}_K^{13}(Y) \cdot \mathbf{L}_K^{23}(Z) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & X & 0 \\ 0 & K & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & Y \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & Z \\ 0 & 0 & K \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & X & XZ + YK \\ 0 & K & KZ \\ 0 & 0 & K^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{L}_K^{23}(\hat{Z}) \cdot \mathbf{L}_K^{13}(\hat{Y}) \cdot \mathbf{L}_K^{12}(\hat{X}) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & \hat{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & \hat{Y} \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & \hat{X} & 0 \\ 0 & K & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & \hat{X} & \hat{Y} \\ 0 & K & \hat{Z}K \\ 0 & 0 & K^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ &X, Y, Z, \hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z} \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}). \end{aligned}$$

The local Yang–Baxter equation $\mathbf{L}_K^{12}(X) \cdot \mathbf{L}_K^{13}(Y) \cdot \mathbf{L}_K^{23}(Z) = \mathbf{L}_K^{23}(\hat{Z}) \cdot \mathbf{L}_K^{13}(\hat{Y}) \cdot \mathbf{L}_K^{12}(\hat{X})$ gives the map

$$(13) \quad \mathbb{T}_K: (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3 \rightarrow (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3, \quad (X, Y, Z) \mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = (X, YK + XZ, KZK^{-1}).$$

It is easy to verify that the map (13) satisfies the tetrahedron equation. By Proposition 2.4, the inverse map

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\mathbb{T}_K)^{-1}: (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3 \rightarrow (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3, \\ &(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) \mapsto (X, Y, Z) = (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}K^{-1} - \hat{X}K^{-1}\hat{Z}, K^{-1}\hat{Z}K), \end{aligned}$$

obeys the tetrahedron equation as well.

Now consider the permutation map $P^{13}: (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3 \rightarrow (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3$, $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (Z, Y, X)$. Applying Proposition 2.1 to (13), we see that the map

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_K = P^{13} \circ \mathbb{T}_K \circ P^{13}: (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3 \rightarrow (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3, \\ &(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = (KXK^{-1}, YK + ZX, Z), \end{aligned}$$

also satisfies the tetrahedron equation. By Proposition 2.4, the inverse map

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_K)^{-1}: (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3 \rightarrow (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3, \\ &(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) \mapsto (X, Y, Z) = (K^{-1}\hat{X}K, \hat{Y}K^{-1} - \hat{Z}K^{-1}\hat{X}, \hat{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

obeys the tetrahedron equation as well. The maps (13), (15) are matrix generalisations of (11).

Let \mathcal{A} be an associative ring with a unit. Let $K \in \mathcal{A}$ be an invertible element. Replacing $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ by \mathcal{A} in (13)–(16), we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *For any associative ring \mathcal{A} with a unit and any invertible element $K \in \mathcal{A}$, we have the tetrahedron maps*

$$(17) \quad \mathbb{T}_K: (\mathcal{A})^3 \rightarrow (\mathcal{A})^3, \quad (X, Y, Z) \mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = (X, YK + XZ, KZK^{-1}),$$

$$(18) \quad (\mathbb{T}_K)^{-1}: (\mathcal{A})^3 \rightarrow (\mathcal{A})^3, \quad (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) \mapsto (X, Y, Z) = (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}K^{-1} - \hat{X}K^{-1}\hat{Z}, K^{-1}\hat{Z}K),$$

$$(19) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_K: (\mathcal{A})^3 \rightarrow (\mathcal{A})^3, \quad (X, Y, Z) \mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = (KXK^{-1}, YK + ZX, Z),$$

$$(20) \quad (\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_K)^{-1}: (\mathcal{A})^3 \rightarrow (\mathcal{A})^3, \quad (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) \mapsto (X, Y, Z) = (K^{-1}\hat{X}K, \hat{Y}K^{-1} - \hat{Z}K^{-1}\hat{X}, \hat{Z}).$$

One can say that (17)–(20) are tetrahedron maps in noncommuting variables $X, Y, Z, \hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}$.

3.2. Tetrahedron maps associated with other matrix refactorisations. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Consider a set W and maps $\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{C}_n, \mathbf{D}_n: W \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$. One has the corresponding map

$$(21) \quad \mathbf{L}_n: W \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{K}), \quad \mathbf{L}_n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_n(x) & \mathbf{B}_n(x) \\ \mathbf{C}_n(x) & \mathbf{D}_n(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in W.$$

Now we introduce the maps $\mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{12}, \mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{13}, \mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{23}: W \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2n+m}(\mathbb{K})$ given by

$$(22) \quad \mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{12} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_n & \mathbf{B}_n & 0 \\ \mathbf{C}_n & \mathbf{D}_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{13} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_n & 0 & \mathbf{B}_n \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ \mathbf{C}_n & 0 & \mathbf{D}_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{23} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}_n & \mathbf{B}_n \\ 0 & \mathbf{C}_n & \mathbf{D}_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the following matrix refactorisation equation

$$(23) \quad \mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{12}(x) \cdot \mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{13}(y) \cdot \mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{23}(z) = \mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{23}(\hat{z}) \cdot \mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{13}(\hat{y}) \cdot \mathbf{L}_{n,m}^{12}(\hat{x}), \quad x, y, z, \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} \in W.$$

In the case $m \neq n$ the matrices (22) are different from (8), and, therefore, the matrix refactorisation equation (23) does not coincide with the matrix local Yang–Baxter equation (9). Below we present new tetrahedron maps arising from equation (23).

Let $W = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$. We consider the map

$$(24) \quad \mathbf{L}_n: \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{K}), \quad \mathbf{L}_n(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & X \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad X \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}),$$

which is of the form (21) with $\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{C}_n, \mathbf{D}_n$ given by (1). Taking the corresponding matrices (22), we see that in the case (24) equation (23) reads

$$(25) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & X & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & Y \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & Z \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix} = \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & \hat{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & \hat{Y} \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & \hat{X} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad X, Y, Z, \hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z} \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}).$$

For given $n \times n$ matrices X, Y, Z , equation (25) does not determine $n \times n$ matrices $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}$ uniquely. In order to get a map of the form $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z})$, we need to add some extra equations to (25).

For example, as shown below, one can get a map of the form $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z})$, if one adds the equations $\hat{X} = X, \hat{Z} = Z$ to (25). Substituting $\hat{X} = X, \hat{Z} = Z$ in (25), we obtain

$$(26) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & X & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & Y \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & Z \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix} = \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & Z \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & \hat{Y} \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & X & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad X, Y, Z, \hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z} \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}).$$

Now we need to consider three cases:

- (1) $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,
- (2) $m \in \{n+1, \dots, 2n-1\}$,
- (3) $m \geq 2n$.

Below the elements of matrices X , Z are denoted by $x_{k,l}$, $z_{k,l}$ for $k, l = 1, \dots, n$, and we use the multiplication of a column by a row

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,i} \\ x_{2,i} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n,i} \end{pmatrix} (z_{j,1} \ z_{j,2} \ \dots \ z_{j,n}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,i}z_{j,1} & x_{1,i}z_{j,2} & \dots & x_{1,i}z_{j,n} \\ x_{2,i}z_{j,1} & x_{2,i}z_{j,2} & \dots & x_{2,i}z_{j,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,i}z_{j,1} & x_{n,i}z_{j,2} & \dots & x_{n,i}z_{j,n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then equation (26) is equivalent to

$$(27) \quad \hat{Y} = Y + \sum_{i=1}^m \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,i} \\ x_{2,i} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n,i} \end{pmatrix} (z_{n-m+i,1} \ z_{n-m+i,2} \ \dots \ z_{n-m+i,n}).$$

Therefore, we obtain the following map

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{n,m}: (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3 &\rightarrow (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3, \quad m \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ (X, Y, Z) &\mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = \left(X, Y + \sum_{i=1}^m \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,i} \\ x_{2,i} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n,i} \end{pmatrix} (z_{n-m+i,1} \ z_{n-m+i,2} \ \dots \ z_{n-m+i,n}), Z \right), \\ &X, Y, Z \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $m \in \{n+1, \dots, 2n-1\}$. Then (26) is equivalent to

$$\hat{Y} = Y + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-m} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,m-n+i} \\ x_{2,m-n+i} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n,m-n+i} \end{pmatrix} (z_{i,1} \ z_{i,2} \ \dots \ z_{i,n}),$$

and we get the map

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{n,m}: (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3 &\rightarrow (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3, \quad m \in \{n+1, \dots, 2n-1\}, \\ (X, Y, Z) &\mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = \left(X, Y + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-m} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,m-n+i} \\ x_{2,m-n+i} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n,m-n+i} \end{pmatrix} (z_{i,1} \ z_{i,2} \ \dots \ z_{i,n}), Z \right), \\ &X, Y, Z \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}). \end{aligned}$$

In the case $m \geq 2n$ equation (26) is equivalent to $\hat{Y} = Y$, and one obtains the identity map $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = (X, Y, Z)$. One can verify by a straightforward computation that the maps (28), (29) satisfy the tetrahedron equation.

3.3. Liouville integrability. In Definition 3.3 we recall the standard notion of Liouville integrability for maps on manifolds (see, e.g., [14, 28, 41] and references therein).

Definition 3.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let \mathbf{M} be a k -dimensional manifold with (local) coordinates $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$. A (smooth or analytic) map $F: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is said to be *Liouville integrable* (or *completely integrable*) if one has the following objects on the manifold \mathbf{M} .

- A Poisson bracket $\{, \}$ which is invariant under the map F and is of constant rank $2r$ for some positive integer $r \leq k/2$ (i.e., the $k \times k$ matrix with the entries $\{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j\}$ is of constant rank $2r$). The invariance of the bracket means the following. For any functions g, h on \mathbf{M} one has

$$(30) \quad \{g, h\} \circ F = \{g \circ F, h \circ F\}.$$

To prove that the bracket is invariant, it is sufficient to check property (30) for $g = \mathbf{x}_i$, $h = \mathbf{x}_j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, k$.

In our examples considered below the manifold has a system of coordinates $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$ such that for any $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ the function $\{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j\}$ is constant. Then, in order to prove that the bracket is invariant under F , it is sufficient to show that $\{\mathbf{x}_i \circ F, \mathbf{x}_j \circ F\} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j\}$ for all i, j .

- If $2r < k$ then one needs also $k - 2r$ functions

$$(31) \quad C_s, \quad s = 1, \dots, k - 2r,$$

which are invariant under F (i.e., $C_s \circ F = C_s$) and are Casimir functions (i.e., $\{C_s, g\} = 0$ for any function g).

- One has r functions

$$(32) \quad I_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, r,$$

which are invariant under F and are in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket (i.e., $\{I_{l_1}, I_{l_2}\} = 0$ for all $l_1, l_2 = 1, \dots, r$).

- The functions (31), (32) must be functionally independent.

In this subsection we assume that \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Then the set $(\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3$ is a manifold. Below the elements of matrices $X, Y, Z \in (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3$ are denoted by $x_{i,j}, y_{i,j}, z_{i,j}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$(33) \quad x_{i,j}, \quad y_{i,j}, \quad z_{i,j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

can be regarded as coordinates on the $3n^2$ -dimensional manifold $(\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3$. Clearly, the functions $x_{i,j}, z_{i,j}$ are invariant under the maps (28), (29).

Theorem 3.4. *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $1 \leq m \leq n/2$. Then the map (28) is Liouville integrable.*

Proof. On the manifold $(\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3$ we consider the Poisson bracket defined as follows. The bracket of two coordinates from the list (33) is nonzero only for

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \{y_{p,j}, z_{p,j}\} &= -\{z_{p,j}, y_{p,j}\} = 1, & p = 1, \dots, n - m, & \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \{y_{n-m+q,j}, x_{j,m+q}\} &= -\{x_{j,m+q}, y_{n-m+q,j}\} = 1, & q = 1, \dots, m, & \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

This Poisson bracket is of rank $2n^2$. The n^2 functions

$$(35) \quad z_{n-m+q,j}, \quad x_{j,q}, \quad x_{j,2m+s}, \quad q = 1, \dots, m, \quad s = 1, \dots, n - 2m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

are Casimir functions, since they do not appear in (34). The rank of the bracket plus the number of the Casimir functions (35) equals the dimension of the manifold.

The n^2 functions

$$(36) \quad z_{p,j}, \quad x_{j,m+q}, \quad p = 1, \dots, n - m, \quad q = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

are in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket, as one has $\{x_{i,j}, x_{i',j'}\} = \{z_{i,j}, z_{i',j'}\} = \{x_{i,j}, z_{i',j'}\} = 0$ for all $i, j, i', j' = 1, \dots, n$. The functions (35), (36) are functionally independent and are invariant under the map (28).

Let us show that the bracket (34) is invariant as well. In (28) we have

$$(37) \quad \hat{X} = X, \quad \hat{Y} = Y + \sum_{i=1}^m \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,i} \\ x_{2,i} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n,i} \end{pmatrix} (z_{n-m+i,1} \ z_{n-m+i,2} \ \dots \ z_{n-m+i,n}), \quad \hat{Z} = Z.$$

The elements of matrices $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z} \in (\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3$ are denoted by $\hat{x}_{i,j}, \hat{y}_{i,j}, \hat{z}_{i,j}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. The functions

$$(38) \quad x_{1,i}, x_{2,i}, \dots, x_{n,i}, \quad z_{n-m+i,1}, z_{n-m+i,2}, \dots, z_{n-m+i,n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

which appear in (37), belong to the list of the Casimir functions (35). Therefore, formulas (34), (37) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \{\hat{x}_{i,j}, \hat{x}_{i',j'}\} &= \{x_{i,j}, x_{i',j'}\}, & \{\hat{y}_{i,j}, \hat{y}_{i',j'}\} &= \{y_{i,j}, y_{i',j'}\}, & \{\hat{z}_{i,j}, \hat{z}_{i',j'}\} &= \{z_{i,j}, z_{i',j'}\}, \\ \{\hat{x}_{i,j}, \hat{y}_{i',j'}\} &= \{x_{i,j}, y_{i',j'}\}, & \{\hat{x}_{i,j}, \hat{z}_{i',j'}\} &= \{x_{i,j}, z_{i',j'}\}, & \{\hat{y}_{i,j}, \hat{z}_{i',j'}\} &= \{y_{i,j}, z_{i',j'}\}, \\ & & i, j, i', j' &= 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the Poisson bracket is invariant under the map (28).

Therefore, the map (28) in the case $1 \leq m \leq n/2$ is Liouville integrable. \square

Example 3.5. Let $n = 2$ and $m = 1$. Then the map (28) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{2,1}: (\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{K}))^3 &\rightarrow (\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{K}))^3, \\ (X, Y, Z) &\mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = \left(X, Y + \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}z_{21} & x_{11}z_{22} \\ x_{21}z_{21} & x_{21}z_{22} \end{pmatrix}, Z \right), \\ X &= \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

One has the coordinates x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ij} , $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, on the 12-dimensional manifold $(\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{K}))^3$.

The Poisson bracket (34) reads

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} \{y_{11}, z_{11}\} &= -\{z_{11}, y_{11}\} = 1, & \{y_{12}, z_{12}\} &= -\{z_{12}, y_{12}\} = 1, \\ \{y_{21}, x_{12}\} &= -\{x_{12}, y_{21}\} = 1, & \{y_{22}, x_{12}\} &= -\{x_{12}, y_{22}\} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and is of rank 8. The Casimir functions (35) are $z_{21}, z_{22}, x_{11}, x_{21}$. The functions (36) are $z_{11}, z_{12}, x_{12}, x_{22}$, and they are in involution with respect to the bracket (39).

Example 3.6. Now let $n = 5$ and $m = 2$. Then the map (28) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{5,2}: (\text{Mat}_5(\mathbb{K}))^3 &\rightarrow (\text{Mat}_5(\mathbb{K}))^3, \\ (X, Y, Z) &\mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = \left(X, Y + \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}z_{41} + x_{12}z_{51} & \dots & x_{11}z_{45} + x_{12}z_{55} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{51}z_{41} + x_{52}z_{51} & \dots & x_{51}z_{45} + x_{52}z_{55} \end{pmatrix}, Z \right), \\ X &= \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{15} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{51} & \dots & x_{55} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & \dots & y_{15} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{51} & \dots & y_{55} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & \dots & z_{15} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{51} & \dots & z_{55} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

One has the coordinates x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ij} , $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$, on the 75-dimensional manifold $(\text{Mat}_5(\mathbb{K}))^3$.

The Poisson bracket (34) reads

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} \{y_{1,j}, z_{1,j}\} &= -\{z_{1,j}, y_{1,j}\} = \{y_{2,j}, z_{2,j}\} = -\{z_{2,j}, y_{2,j}\} = \{y_{3,j}, z_{3,j}\} = -\{z_{3,j}, y_{3,j}\} = 1, \\ \{y_{4,j}, x_{j,3}\} &= -\{x_{j,3}, y_{4,j}\} = \{y_{5,j}, x_{j,4}\} = -\{x_{j,4}, y_{5,j}\} = 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, 5, \end{aligned}$$

and is of rank 50. The Casimir functions (35) are $z_{4,j}, z_{5,j}, x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}, x_{j,5}$, $j = 1, \dots, 5$. The functions (36) are $z_{1,j}, z_{2,j}, z_{3,j}, x_{j,3}, x_{j,4}$, and they are in involution with respect to the bracket (40).

Theorem 3.7. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $3n/2 \leq m \leq 2n - 1$. Then the map (29) is Liouville integrable.

Proof. Now on the manifold $(\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}))^3$ we consider the following Poisson bracket. The bracket of two coordinates from the list (33) is nonzero only for

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} \{y_{p,j}, z_{2n-m+p,j}\} &= -\{z_{2n-m+p,j}, y_{p,j}\} = 1, & p &= 1, \dots, m-n, & j &= 1, \dots, n, \\ \{y_{m-n+q,j}, x_{j,q}\} &= -\{x_{j,q}, y_{m-n+q,j}\} = 1, & q &= 1, \dots, 2n-m, & j &= 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

This bracket is of rank $2n^2$. The n^2 functions

$$(42) \quad z_{q,j}, \quad x_{j,2n-m+p}, \quad q = 1, \dots, 2n-m, \quad p = 1, \dots, m-n, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

are Casimir functions, as they do not appear in (41). The rank of the bracket plus the number of the Casimir functions (42) equals the dimension of the manifold.

The n^2 functions

$$(43) \quad z_{2n-m+p,j}, \quad x_{j,q}, \quad p = 1, \dots, m-n, \quad q = 1, \dots, 2n-m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

are in involution with respect to the bracket, since we have $\{x_{i,j}, x_{i',j'}\} = \{z_{i,j}, z_{i',j'}\} = \{x_{i,j}, z_{i',j'}\} = 0$ for all $i, j, i', j' = 1, \dots, n$. The functions (42), (43) are functionally independent and are invariant under the map (29).

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.4, one shows that the bracket (41) is invariant as well. Hence the map (29) in the case $3n/2 \leq m \leq 2n-1$ is Liouville integrable. \square

Example 3.8. Let $n = 2$ and $m = 3$. Then the map (29) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{2,3}: (\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{K}))^3 &\rightarrow (\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{K}))^3, \\ (X, Y, Z) &\mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = \left(X, Y + \begin{pmatrix} x_{12}z_{11} & x_{12}z_{12} \\ x_{22}z_{11} & x_{22}z_{12} \end{pmatrix}, Z \right), \\ X &= \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

One has the coordinates x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ij} , $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, on the 12-dimensional manifold $(\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{K}))^3$.

The Poisson bracket (41) reads

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} \{y_{11}, z_{21}\} &= -\{z_{21}, y_{11}\} = 1, & \{y_{12}, z_{22}\} &= -\{z_{22}, y_{12}\} = 1, \\ \{y_{21}, x_{11}\} &= -\{x_{11}, y_{21}\} = 1, & \{y_{22}, x_{21}\} &= -\{x_{21}, y_{22}\} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and is of rank 8. The Casimir functions (42) are $z_{11}, z_{12}, x_{12}, x_{22}$. The functions (43) are $z_{21}, z_{22}, x_{11}, x_{21}$, and they are in involution with respect to the bracket (44).

Example 3.9. Now let $n = 5$ and $m = 8$. Then the map (29) is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{5,8}: (\text{Mat}_5(\mathbb{K}))^3 &\rightarrow (\text{Mat}_5(\mathbb{K}))^3, \\ (X, Y, Z) &\mapsto (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = \left(X, Y + \begin{pmatrix} x_{14}z_{11} + x_{15}z_{21} & \dots & x_{14}z_{15} + x_{15}z_{25} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{54}z_{11} + x_{55}z_{21} & \dots & x_{55}z_{15} + x_{55}z_{25} \end{pmatrix}, Z \right), \\ X &= \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{15} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{51} & \dots & x_{55} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & \dots & y_{15} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{51} & \dots & y_{55} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & \dots & z_{15} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{51} & \dots & z_{55} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

One has the coordinates x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ij} , $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$, on the 75-dimensional manifold $(\text{Mat}_5(\mathbb{K}))^3$.

The Poisson bracket (41) reads

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} \{y_{1,j}, z_{3,j}\} &= -\{z_{3,j}, y_{1,j}\} = \{y_{2,j}, z_{4,j}\} = -\{z_{4,j}, y_{2,j}\} = \{y_{3,j}, z_{5,j}\} = -\{z_{5,j}, y_{3,j}\} = 1, \\ \{y_{4,j}, x_{j,1}\} &= -\{x_{j,1}, y_{4,j}\} = \{y_{5,j}, x_{j,2}\} = -\{x_{j,2}, y_{5,j}\} = 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, 5, \end{aligned}$$

and is of rank 50. The Casimir functions (42) are $z_{1,j}, z_{2,j}, x_{j,3}, x_{j,4}, x_{j,5}$, $j = 1, \dots, 5$. The functions (43) are $z_{3,j}, z_{4,j}, z_{5,j}, x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}$, and they are in involution with respect to the bracket (45).

4. TETRAHEDRON MAPS ON GROUPS

Let \mathbf{G} be a group. It is known that one has the following Yang–Baxter maps

$$(46a) \quad \mathbb{Y}_1: \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}, \quad \mathbb{Y}_1(x, y) = (yxy, y^{-1}),$$

$$(46b) \quad \mathbb{Y}_2: \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}, \quad \mathbb{Y}_2(x, y) = (x^{-1}, xyx),$$

$$(46c) \quad \mathbb{Y}_3: \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}, \quad \mathbb{Y}_3(x, y) = (yx^{-1}y, y),$$

$$(46d) \quad \mathbb{Y}_4: \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}, \quad \mathbb{Y}_4(x, y) = (x, xy^{-1}x).$$

(see, e.g., [9, 20] and references therein). In Theorem 4.1 we present tetrahedron maps of similar type.

Theorem 4.1. *For any group \mathbf{G} , one has the following tetrahedron maps*

$$(47a) \quad \mathbb{T}_1: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad \mathbb{T}_1(x, y, z) = (yxy, y^{-1}, z^{-1}),$$

$$(47b) \quad \check{\mathbb{T}}_1: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad \check{\mathbb{T}}_1(x, y, z) = (x^{-1}, zyz, z^{-1}),$$

$$(47c) \quad \mathbb{T}_2: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad \mathbb{T}_2(x, y, z) = (x^{-1}, xyx, z^{-1}),$$

$$(47d) \quad \check{\mathbb{T}}_2: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad \check{\mathbb{T}}_2(x, y, z) = (x^{-1}, y^{-1}, yzy),$$

$$(47e) \quad \mathbb{T}_3: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad \mathbb{T}_3(x, y, z) = (yx^{-1}y, y, z^{-1}),$$

$$(47f) \quad \check{\mathbb{T}}_3: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad \check{\mathbb{T}}_3(x, y, z) = (x^{-1}, zy^{-1}z, z),$$

$$(47g) \quad \mathbb{T}_4: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad \mathbb{T}_4(x, y, z) = (x, xy^{-1}x, z^{-1}),$$

$$(47h) \quad \check{\mathbb{T}}_4: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad \check{\mathbb{T}}_4(x, y, z) = (x^{-1}, y, yz^{-1}y).$$

Proof. Applying Proposition 2.3 to the Yang–Baxter map (46a), we obtain the following tetrahedron maps

$$(48) \quad T = \mathbb{Y}_1 \times \text{Id}_{\mathbf{G}}: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad T(x, y, z) = (yxy, y^{-1}, z),$$

$$(49) \quad \check{T} = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{G}} \times \mathbb{Y}_1: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad \check{T}(x, y, z) = (x, zyz, z^{-1}).$$

Consider

$$(50) \quad \sigma: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}, \quad \sigma(x) = x^{-1}.$$

For (50), (48), (49) one has

$$\sigma \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{G}}, \quad (\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma) \circ T \circ (\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma) = T, \quad (\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma) \circ \check{T} \circ (\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma) = \check{T}.$$

Therefore, we can apply Proposition 2.2 to the tetrahedron maps (48), (49), which gives the maps (47e), (47f). Indeed, for any $x, y, z \in \mathbf{G}$ one has

$$((\sigma \times \text{Id}_{\mathbf{G}} \times \sigma) \circ T \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathbf{G}} \times \sigma \times \text{Id}_{\mathbf{G}}))(x, y, z) = (yx^{-1}y, y, z^{-1}) = \mathbb{T}_3(x, y, z),$$

$$((\sigma \times \text{Id}_{\mathbf{G}} \times \sigma) \circ \check{T} \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathbf{G}} \times \sigma \times \text{Id}_{\mathbf{G}}))(x, y, z) = (x^{-1}, zy^{-1}z, z) = \check{\mathbb{T}}_3(x, y, z).$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.2, the maps (47e), (47f) satisfy the tetrahedron equation.

Similarly, applying Proposition 2.3 to the Yang–Baxter maps (46), one obtains the tetrahedron maps

$$(51) \quad \mathbb{Y}_i \times \text{Id}_{\mathbf{G}}: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad \text{Id}_{\mathbf{G}} \times \mathbb{Y}_i: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Applying Proposition 2.2 to the tetrahedron maps (51) with σ given by (50), one obtains all the maps (47). Therefore, the maps (47) satisfy the tetrahedron equation. \square

Remark 4.2. Using (50) and the Yang–Baxter maps (46) for a group \mathbf{G} , one can rewrite the tetrahedron maps (47) as follows $\mathbb{T}_i = \mathbb{Y}_i \times \sigma$, $\check{\mathbb{T}}_i = \sigma \times \mathbb{Y}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Note that not for every Yang–Baxter map $\mathbb{Y}: \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}$ the maps $\mathbb{Y} \times \sigma$ and $\sigma \times \mathbb{Y}$ satisfy the tetrahedron equation. For example, consider the well-known Yang–Baxter map

$$\hat{\mathbb{Y}}: \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}, \quad \hat{\mathbb{Y}}(x, y) = (x, xyx^{-1}),$$

which appeared in [13]. The corresponding maps

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{Y}} \times \sigma: \mathbf{G}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, & (\hat{\mathbb{Y}} \times \sigma)(x, y, z) &= (x, xyx^{-1}, z^{-1}), \\ \sigma \times \hat{\mathbb{Y}}: \mathbf{G}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, & (\sigma \times \hat{\mathbb{Y}})(x, y, z) &= (x^{-1}, y, yzy^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

do not satisfy the tetrahedron equation.

Remark 4.3. For a group \mathbf{G} , consider

$$P^{13}: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3, \quad P^{13}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_3, a_2, a_1), \quad a_i \in \mathbf{G}.$$

According to Proposition 2.1, for any tetrahedron map $T: \mathbf{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^3$, the map $\tilde{T} = P^{13}TP^{13}$ obeys the tetrahedron equation as well. For the maps (47) one has

$$\begin{aligned}P^{13}\mathbb{T}_1P^{13} &= \check{\mathbb{T}}_2, & P^{13}\check{\mathbb{T}}_1P^{13} &= \mathbb{T}_2, & P^{13}\mathbb{T}_2P^{13} &= \check{\mathbb{T}}_1, & P^{13}\check{\mathbb{T}}_2P^{13} &= \mathbb{T}_1, \\ P^{13}\mathbb{T}_3P^{13} &= \check{\mathbb{T}}_4, & P^{13}\check{\mathbb{T}}_3P^{13} &= \mathbb{T}_4, & P^{13}\mathbb{T}_4P^{13} &= \check{\mathbb{T}}_3, & P^{13}\check{\mathbb{T}}_4P^{13} &= \mathbb{T}_3.\end{aligned}$$

5. DIFFERENTIALS AND LINEAR APPROXIMATIONS OF TETRAHEDRON MAPS

In this section, when we consider maps of manifolds, we assume that they are either smooth, or complex-analytic, or rational, so that the differential is defined for such a map.

Let W be a manifold. Consider the tangent bundle $\tau: TW \rightarrow W$. Then the bundle

$$\tau \times \tau \times \tau: TW \times TW \times TW \rightarrow W \times W \times W$$

can be identified with the tangent bundle of the manifold $W \times W \times W$. Using this identification and the general procedure to define the differential of a map of manifolds, for any map

$$\mathbb{T}: W \times W \times W \rightarrow W \times W \times W$$

we obtain the differential $d\mathbb{T}: TW \times TW \times TW \rightarrow TW \times TW \times TW$. Proposition 5.1 is proved in [19].

Proposition 5.1 ([19]). *Let W be a manifold. For any tetrahedron map $\mathbb{T}: W \times W \times W \rightarrow W \times W \times W$, the differential*

$$d\mathbb{T}: TW \times TW \times TW \rightarrow TW \times TW \times TW$$

is a tetrahedron map of the manifold $TW \times TW \times TW$.

A similar result on the differentials of Yang–Baxter maps was used in [7] without proof and is proved in [19].

Corollary 5.2 is proved in [19] as well. For completeness we present the proof from [19], since it clarifies some notions which we use in this paper.

Corollary 5.2 ([19]). *Consider a manifold W , a tetrahedron map $\mathbb{T}: W \times W \times W \rightarrow W \times W \times W$, and its differential*

$$d\mathbb{T}: TW \times TW \times TW \rightarrow TW \times TW \times TW.$$

Let $p \in W$ such that $\mathbb{T}((p, p, p)) = (p, p, p)$. Consider the tangent space $T_pW \subset TW$ at the point $p \in W$. Then we have

$$(52) \quad d\mathbb{T}(T_pW \times T_pW \times T_pW) \subset T_pW \times T_pW \times T_pW \subset TW \times TW \times TW,$$

and the map

$$(53) \quad d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}: T_pW \times T_pW \times T_pW \rightarrow T_pW \times T_pW \times T_pW$$

is a linear tetrahedron map. Here $d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}$ is the restriction of the map $d\mathbb{T}$ to $T_pW \times T_pW \times T_pW$.

Proof. The property $\mathbb{T}((p, p, p)) = (p, p, p)$ and the definition of the differential imply (52) and the fact that the map (53) is linear. By Proposition 5.1, the differential $d\mathbb{T}$ is a tetrahedron map. Therefore, its restriction $d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}$ to $T_pW \times T_pW \times T_pW$ is a tetrahedron map as well. \square

Remark 5.3. As noticed in [19], the definition of the differential implies that the linear tetrahedron map $d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}$ described in Corollary 5.2 can be regarded as a linear approximation of the nonlinear tetrahedron map \mathbb{T} at the point $(p, p, p) \in W \times W \times W$. Explicit examples of $d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}$ are presented in Examples 5.4, 5.11, 5.12.

Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let W be an N -dimensional manifold with (local) coordinates x_1, \dots, x_N . Then $\dim TW = 2N$, and we have the (local) coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_N, X_1, \dots, X_N$ on the manifold TW , where X_i corresponds to the differential dx_i , which can be regarded as a function on TW . (Thus, the functions X_1, \dots, X_N are linear along the fibres of the bundle $TW \rightarrow W$.)

Following [19], to study maps of the form

$$W \times W \times W \rightarrow W \times W \times W, \quad TW \times TW \times TW \rightarrow TW \times TW \times TW,$$

we consider

- 6 copies of the manifold W with coordinate systems

$$(x_1, \dots, x_N), \quad (y_1, \dots, y_N), \quad (z_1, \dots, z_N), \quad (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_N), \quad (\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_N), \quad (\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_N),$$

- 6 copies of the manifold TW with coordinate systems

$$(x_1, \dots, x_N, X_1, \dots, X_N), \quad (y_1, \dots, y_N, Y_1, \dots, Y_N), \quad (z_1, \dots, z_N, Z_1, \dots, Z_N), \\ (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_N, \tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_N), \quad (\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_N, \tilde{Y}_1, \dots, \tilde{Y}_N), \quad (\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_N, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_N).$$

Here, for each $i = 1, \dots, N$, the functions $X_i, Y_i, Z_i, \tilde{X}_i, \tilde{Y}_i, \tilde{Z}_i$ correspond to the differentials $dx_i, dy_i, dz_i, d\tilde{x}_i, d\tilde{y}_i, d\tilde{z}_i$. Below we use the following notation

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_N), \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_N), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_N), \\ X = (X_1, \dots, X_N), \quad Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N), \quad Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N), \\ \tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_N), \quad \tilde{y} = (\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_N), \quad \tilde{z} = (\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_N), \\ \tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_N), \quad \tilde{Y} = (\tilde{Y}_1, \dots, \tilde{Y}_N), \quad \tilde{Z} = (\tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_N).$$

Consider a tetrahedron map

$$\mathbb{T}: W \times W \times W \rightarrow W \times W \times W, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}), \\ \tilde{x}_i = f_i(x, y, z), \quad \tilde{y}_i = g_i(x, y, z), \quad \tilde{z}_i = h_i(x, y, z), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Its differential is the following tetrahedron map

$$d\mathbb{T}: TW \times TW \times TW \rightarrow TW \times TW \times TW, \quad (x, X, y, Y, z, Z) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{Z}), \\ \tilde{x}_i = f_i(x, y, z), \quad \tilde{y}_i = g_i(x, y, z), \quad \tilde{z}_i = h_i(x, y, z), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ \tilde{X}_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial f_i(x, y, z)}{\partial x_j} X_j + \frac{\partial f_i(x, y, z)}{\partial y_j} Y_j + \frac{\partial f_i(x, y, z)}{\partial z_j} Z_j \right), \\ \tilde{Y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial g_i(x, y, z)}{\partial x_j} X_j + \frac{\partial g_i(x, y, z)}{\partial y_j} Y_j + \frac{\partial g_i(x, y, z)}{\partial z_j} Z_j \right), \\ \tilde{Z}_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial h_i(x, y, z)}{\partial x_j} X_j + \frac{\partial h_i(x, y, z)}{\partial y_j} Y_j + \frac{\partial h_i(x, y, z)}{\partial z_j} Z_j \right).$$

Example 5.4 is taken from [19].

Example 5.4. Let $N = \dim W = 1$. Consider the well-known electric network transformation

$$(54) \quad \mathbb{T}: W \times W \times W \rightarrow W \times W \times W, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}),$$

$$(55) \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{xy}{x + z + xyz}, \quad \tilde{y} = x + z + xyz, \quad \tilde{z} = \frac{yz}{xyz + x + z},$$

which is a tetrahedron map [37, 23]. As shown in [19], its differential is the following tetrahedron map

$$(56) \quad d\mathbb{T}: TW \times TW \times TW \rightarrow TW \times TW \times TW, \quad (x, X, y, Y, z, Z) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{Z}),$$

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{xy}{x+z+xyz}, \quad \tilde{y} = x+z+xyz, \quad \tilde{z} = \frac{yz}{xyz+x+z},$$

$$(57) \quad \tilde{X} = \frac{-xy(1+xy)Z + yzX + x(x+z)Y}{(xyz+x+z)^2}, \quad \tilde{Y} = X + Z + xyZ + xzY + yzX,$$

$$(58) \quad \tilde{Z} = \frac{-yz(yz+1)X + z(x+z)Y + xyZ}{(xyz+x+z)^2}.$$

We assume that $x, y, z, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$ take values in \mathbb{C} , so W is a complex manifold. Consider $i \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $i^2 = -1$. Formulas (54), (55) imply $\mathbb{T}((i, i, i)) = (i, i, i)$.

Let $p = i$. The coordinate system on W gives the isomorphism $T_p W \cong \mathbb{C}$. By Corollary 5.2, we obtain the linear tetrahedron map $d\mathbb{T}|_{(i,i,i)}: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$. To compute it, we substitute $x = y = z = i$ in (57), (58) and derive the linear map

$$(59) \quad \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X} \\ \tilde{Y} \\ \tilde{Z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X + 2Y \\ -Y \\ 2Y + Z \end{pmatrix}.$$

The linear tetrahedron map (59) is a linear approximation of the nonlinear map (54), (55) at the point (i, i, i) in the following sense. We have

$$\mathbb{T}((i + \varepsilon X, i + \varepsilon Y, i + \varepsilon Z)) = (i + \varepsilon(X + 2Y) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), i - \varepsilon Y + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), i + \varepsilon(2Y + Z) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)).$$

Remark 5.5. Results of Hietarinta [16] imply that for any field \mathbb{K} and any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{K}$ the linear map

$$(60) \quad T: \mathbb{K}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^3, \quad \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X} \\ \tilde{Y} \\ \tilde{Z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}X + (1 - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b})Y \\ -\mathbf{b}Y \\ (1 - \mathbf{b}\mathbf{c})Y + \mathbf{c}Z \end{pmatrix},$$

is tetrahedron map. The map (59) is of the form (60) for $\mathbf{a} = 1, \mathbf{b} = -1, \mathbf{c} = 1$.

Proposition 5.6. Consider a set W and a map $\mathbb{T}: W^3 \rightarrow W^3$. Suppose that for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ there are maps $\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{C}_n, \mathbf{D}_n: W \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ such that for the corresponding map

$$(61) \quad \mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_n & \mathbf{B}_n \\ \mathbf{C}_n & \mathbf{D}_n \end{pmatrix}: W \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{K})$$

we have the following property:
the local Yang-Baxter equation

$$(62) \quad \mathbf{L}^{12}(x) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(y) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{23}(z) = \mathbf{L}^{23}(\hat{z}) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(\hat{y}) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{12}(\hat{x}), \quad x, y, z, \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} \in W,$$

is equivalent to the relation

$$(63) \quad (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) = \mathbb{T}((x, y, z)), \quad x, y, z, \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} \in W.$$

Here $\mathbf{L}^{12}, \mathbf{L}^{13}, \mathbf{L}^{23}: W \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{3n}(\mathbb{K})$ are given by (8).

Then a point $p \in W$ satisfies

$$(64) \quad \mathbb{T}((p, p, p)) = (p, p, p)$$

if and only if the linear map

$$(65) \quad \mathbf{L}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_n(p) & \mathbf{B}_n(p) \\ \mathbf{C}_n(p) & \mathbf{D}_n(p) \end{pmatrix}: \mathbb{K}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{2n}$$

obeys the Yang-Baxter equation, that is,

$$(66) \quad \mathbf{L}^{12}(p) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(p) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{23}(p) = \mathbf{L}^{23}(p) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(p) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{12}(p).$$

Proof. By assumption, (62) is equivalent to (63). Substituting $x = y = z = p$ and $\hat{x} = \hat{y} = \hat{z} = p$ in (62), (63), we derive that (66) is equivalent to (64). \square

Remark 5.7. In Proposition 5.6 equation (62) can be replaced by the equation

$$(67) \quad \mathbf{L}^{23}(z) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(y) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{12}(x) = \mathbf{L}^{12}(\hat{x}) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(\hat{y}) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{23}(\hat{z}), \quad x, y, z, \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} \in W.$$

That is, if equation (67) is equivalent to relation (63) then the conclusion of Proposition 5.6 remains valid.

Remark 5.8. Let W be an algebraic variety and $\mathbb{T}: W^3 \rightarrow W^3$ be a rational map defined on an open dense subset of W^3 . Proposition 5.6 remains valid in this situation, provided that we restrict considerations to the open dense subset of W^3 on which the map \mathbb{T} is defined.

When we consider the expressions $\mathbb{T}((x, y, z))$ and $\mathbb{T}((p, p, p))$ for such a rational map $\mathbb{T}: W^3 \rightarrow W^3$, we always assume that $x, y, z, p \in W$ are such that \mathbb{T} is defined at $(x, y, z) \in W^3$ and at $(p, p, p) \in W^3$.

Remark 5.9. Results of [16] imply the following classification of linear Yang–Baxter maps $Y: \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$.

A linear map $Y: \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$ can be identified with a 2×2 matrix $Y = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}$. Then the maps $Y^{12}, Y^{13}, Y^{23}: \mathbb{K}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^3$ are given by the matrices

$$Y^{12} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^{13} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Yang–Baxter equation (2) reads

$$(68) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As shown in [16], a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ obeys (68) if and only if one has

$$(69) \quad abc = 0, \quad bcd = 0, \quad bc(b - c) = 0, \quad b(ad + b - 1) = 0, \quad c(ad + c - 1) = 0.$$

As noticed in [16], it is easy to show that a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies (69) if and only if this matrix belongs to one of the following classes

$$(70) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} & 1 - \mathbf{ad} \\ 0 & \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} & 0 \\ 1 - \mathbf{ad} & \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Remark 5.10. It is known that the local Yang–Baxter equation [33] can be regarded as a “Lax equation” or “Lax system” for the tetrahedron equation (see, e.g., [11] and references therein). By analogy with the notions of Lax matrices, Lax representations, and strong Lax matrices for Yang–Baxter maps [39, 32], one can use the following “Lax terminology” for tetrahedron maps.

Suppose that we have a tetrahedron map $\mathbb{T}: W^3 \rightarrow W^3$ and a matrix-function \mathbf{L} of the form (61) such that relation (63) implies the local Yang–Baxter equation (62). Then \mathbf{L} can be called a Lax matrix (or Lax representation) for the map \mathbb{T} . If \mathbf{L} is such that relation (63) is equivalent to equation (62) then \mathbf{L} can be called a strong Lax matrix (or strong Lax representation) for \mathbb{T} . Using this terminology, one can say that in Proposition 5.6 we consider a map \mathbb{T} with a strong Lax representation.

Note that the paper [27] uses the term “Lax representation” in a slightly different sense. Namely, if a tetrahedron map $\mathbb{T}: W^3 \rightarrow W^3$ and a matrix-function \mathbf{L} of the form (61) are such that relation (63) is equivalent to equation (67) then the paper [27] says that equation (67) is a Lax representation for the map \mathbb{T} .

Example 5.11. Dimakis and Müller-Hoissen [10] constructed the rational tetrahedron map

$$(71) \quad \mathbb{T}: \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2, \quad ((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2)) \mapsto ((\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2), (\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2), (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_1 &= y_1 C, & \tilde{x}_2 &= \left(y_1 - \frac{A}{x_1}\right) C, & \tilde{y}_1 &= \frac{x_1}{C}, & \tilde{y}_2 &= 1 - B, \\ \tilde{z}_1 &= \frac{z_1 y_1 (x_1 - x_2)}{A}, & \tilde{z}_2 &= 1 - \frac{(1 - y_2)(1 - z_2)}{B}, \\ A &= y_2 z_1 x_1 - y_2 x_1 - z_1 x_2 + x_1 y_1, & B &= y_2 z_2 x_1 - y_2 x_1 - z_2 x_2 + 1, \\ C &= \frac{AB - A(1 - y_2)(1 - z_2)x_1 - Bz_1(x_1 - x_2)}{AB - A(1 - y_2)(1 - z_2) - Bz_1 y_1 (x_1 - x_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $W = \mathbb{C}^2$ and $n = 1$. Following [10, Section 10], we consider the 2×2 matrix-function

$$\mathbf{L}: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}), \quad \mathbf{L}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ 1 - x_1 & 1 - x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

As shown in [10, Section 10], for this \mathbf{L} and $W = \mathbb{C}^2$ equation (62) is equivalent to relation (63) for the map (71). (This equivalence holds on the open dense subset of $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ on which the rational map (71) is defined.) Hence \mathbf{L} is a strong Lax representation for (71) in the sense of Remark 5.10.

Using Proposition 5.6, we are going to find points $p \in W$ satisfying $\mathbb{T}((p, p, p)) = (p, p, p)$. According to Proposition 5.6 and Remarks 5.8, 5.9, it is sufficient to find points $p = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$(72) \quad \text{the } 2 \times 2 \text{ matrix } \mathbf{L}(p) \text{ belongs to one of the classes (70),}$$

$$(73) \quad \text{the rational map (71) is defined at the point } (p, p, p).$$

Condition (73) means that the denominators of the rational functions in the formula for the map (71) must not vanish at the point (p, p, p) .

Conditions (72), (73) are valid in the following cases

$$(74) \quad p = (c, 0), \quad \mathbf{L}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 1 - c & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}, \quad c \neq 0,$$

$$(75) \quad p = (1, q), \quad \mathbf{L}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q \\ 0 & 1 - q \end{pmatrix}, \quad q \in \mathbb{C}, \quad q \neq 1.$$

Therefore, in the cases (74), (75) we have $\mathbb{T}((p, p, p)) = (p, p, p)$. Since $W = \mathbb{C}^2$, one has $\mathbb{T}_p W \cong \mathbb{C}^2$. Hence, by Corollary 5.2, we obtain the linear tetrahedron map

$$d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}: \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Computing $d\mathbb{T}$ and $d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}$ in the case (74), one derives that $d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}$ is given by

$$(76) \quad \begin{aligned} d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}: \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2, & p &= (c, 0), & c &\in \mathbb{C}, & c &\neq 0, \\ ((X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2), (Z_1, Z_2)) &\mapsto ((\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2), (\tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2), (\tilde{Z}_1, \tilde{Z}_2)), \\ \tilde{X}_1 &= X_1 + \frac{(c-1)}{c}Y_1 + \frac{(c+1)(c-1)^2}{c}Y_2 - \frac{(c-1)}{c}Z_1 + (1-c)Z_2, \\ \tilde{X}_2 &= X_2 + (1-c)Y_2, \\ \tilde{Y}_1 &= \frac{1}{c}Y_1 - \frac{(c+1)(c-1)^2}{c}Y_2 + \frac{(c-1)}{c}Z_1 + (c-1)Z_2, \\ \tilde{Y}_2 &= cY_2, & \tilde{Z}_1 &= (1-c)Y_2 + Z_1, & \tilde{Z}_2 &= (1-c)Y_2 + Z_2. \end{aligned}$$

In the case (75) one obtains

$$(77) \quad \begin{aligned} d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}: \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2, & p &= (1, q), & q &\in \mathbb{C}, & q &\neq 1, \\ ((X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2), (Z_1, Z_2)) &\mapsto ((\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2), (\tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2), (\tilde{Z}_1, \tilde{Z}_2)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{X}_1 &= X_1 + \frac{q}{q-1}Y_1, & \tilde{X}_2 &= X_2 + \frac{q}{q-1}Y_1, & \tilde{Y}_1 &= \frac{1}{1-q}Y_1, \\
\tilde{Y}_2 &= (1-q)qX_1 + qX_2 + (1-q)Y_2, \\
\tilde{Z}_1 &= \frac{q}{q-1}Y_1 + Z_1, & \tilde{Z}_2 &= (q-1)qX_1 - qX_2 + qY_2 + Z_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Example 5.12. One of us [27] constructed the rational tetrahedron map

$$\begin{aligned}
(78) \quad & \mathbb{T}: \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3, \\
& ((x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3), (z_1, z_2, z_3)) \mapsto ((\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3), (\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{y}_3), (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \tilde{z}_3)), \\
& \tilde{x}_1 = \frac{y_3x_1 - y_1z_2}{z_3}, \quad \tilde{x}_2 = \frac{x_3z_3(x_2(z_3 + z_1z_2) + y_2z_1(x_3 + x_1x_2))}{x_3y_3z_3 - (x_2z_2 + y_2(x_3 + x_1x_2))(y_3x_1z_1 - y_1(z_3 + z_1z_2))}, \\
& \tilde{x}_3 = x_3, \quad \tilde{y}_1 = \frac{y_1(z_3 + z_1z_2) - y_3x_1z_1}{x_3z_3}, \quad \tilde{y}_2 = x_2z_2 + y_2(x_3 + x_1x_2), \quad \tilde{y}_3 = y_3, \\
& \tilde{z}_1 = \frac{z_3(y_3z_1(x_3 + x_1x_2) - x_2y_1(z_3 + z_1z_2))}{x_3y_3z_3 - (x_2z_2 + y_2(x_3 + x_1x_2))(y_3x_1z_1 - y_1(z_3 + z_1z_2))}, \\
& \tilde{z}_2 = x_1y_2 + z_2, \quad \tilde{z}_3 = z_3.
\end{aligned}$$

(These formulas appear in [27] in different notation: x_3, y_3, z_3 are denoted by a, b, c in [27].)

Let $W = \mathbb{C}^3$ and $n = 1$. Following [27, Section 4], we consider the 2×2 matrix-function

$$\mathbf{L}: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}), \quad \mathbf{L}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 + x_1x_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3.$$

For this \mathbf{L} and $W = \mathbb{C}^3$ equation (67) holds as a consequence of relation (63) for the map (78), but, in this case, (67) is not equivalent to (63). Hence \mathbf{L} is a Lax representation for the map inverse to (78), but this Lax representation is not strong.

Therefore, in this case, Remark 5.7 and Proposition 5.6 cannot be applied immediately. However, the idea of Proposition 5.6 can be applied here with the following slight modifications.

Below we use the notation

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= (x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad y = (y_1, y_2, y_3), \quad z = (z_1, z_2, z_3), \quad \tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3), \quad \tilde{y} = (\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{y}_3), \quad \tilde{z} = (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \tilde{z}_3), \\
x_j, y_j, z_j, \tilde{x}_j, \tilde{y}_j, \tilde{z}_j &\in \mathbb{C}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that, for the map (78), the relation

$$(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = \mathbb{T}((x, y, z)), \quad x, y, z, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in \mathbb{C}^3,$$

is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L}^{23}(z) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(y) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{12}(x) &= \mathbf{L}^{12}(\tilde{x}) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(\tilde{y}) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{23}(\tilde{z}), \quad x, y, z, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in \mathbb{C}^3, \\
\tilde{x}_3 &= x_3, \quad \tilde{y}_3 = y_3, \quad \tilde{z}_3 = z_3.
\end{aligned}$$

(This equivalence holds on the open dense subset of $\mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3$ on which the rational map (78) is defined.)

Therefore, if a point $p = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ satisfies the following conditions:

(79) the 2×2 matrix $\mathbf{L}(p)$ belongs to one of the classes (70),

(80) the rational map (78) is defined at the point (p, p, p) ,

then we have $\mathbf{L}^{23}(p) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(p) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{12}(p) = \mathbf{L}^{12}(p) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{13}(p) \cdot \mathbf{L}^{23}(p)$ and $\mathbb{T}((p, p, p)) = (p, p, p)$.

Condition (80) means that the denominators of the rational functions in the formula for the map (78) must not vanish at the point (p, p, p) .

Conditions (79), (80) are valid in the following cases

$$(81) \quad p = (0, 1 - q_1, q_1), \quad \mathbf{L}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & 0 \\ 1 - q_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q_1 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad q_1 \neq 0,$$

$$(82) \quad p = (1 - q_2, 0, q_2), \quad \mathbf{L}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} q_2 & 1 - q_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad q_2 \neq 0,$$

$$(83) \quad p = (0, 0, q_3), \quad \mathbf{L}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} q_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q_3 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad q_3 \neq 0.$$

Therefore, in the cases (81), (82), (83) we have $\mathbb{T}((p, p, p)) = (p, p, p)$. Since $W = \mathbb{C}^3$, one has $\mathbb{T}_p W \cong \mathbb{C}^3$. Hence, by Corollary 5.2, we obtain the linear tetrahedron map

$$d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}: \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3.$$

Computing $d\mathbb{T}$ and $d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}$ in the case (81), one derives that $d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}$ is given by

$$(84) \quad \begin{aligned} d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}: \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3, \quad p = (0, 1 - q_1, q_1), \quad q_1 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad q_1 \neq 0, \\ ((X_1, X_2, X_3), (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3), (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3)) &\mapsto ((\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3), (\tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2, \tilde{Y}_3), (\tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2, \tilde{Y}_3)), \\ \tilde{X}_1 &= X_1 + \frac{(q_1 - 1)}{q_1} Y_1, \\ \tilde{X}_2 &= X_2 - \frac{(q_1 - 1)^2}{(q_1)^2} Y_1 + \frac{(q_1 - 1)}{q_1} Y_3 - \frac{(q_1 - 1)}{q_1} Z_1 - \frac{(q_1 - 1)}{q_1} Z_3, \\ \tilde{X}_3 &= X_3, \quad \tilde{Y}_1 = \frac{1}{q_1} Y_1, \\ \tilde{Y}_2 &= (q_1 - 1)^2 X_1 + (1 - q_1) X_2 + (1 - q_1) X_3 + q_1 Y_2 + (1 - q_1) Z_2, \\ \tilde{Y}_3 &= Y_3, \quad \tilde{Z}_1 = \frac{(q_1 - 1)}{q_1} Y_1 + Z_1, \quad \tilde{Z}_2 = (1 - q_1) X_1 + Z_2, \quad \tilde{Z}_3 = Z_3. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in the case (82) we obtain

$$(85) \quad \begin{aligned} d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}: \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3, \quad p = (1 - q_2, 0, q_2), \quad q_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad q_2 \neq 0, \\ ((X_1, X_2, X_3), (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3), (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3)) &\mapsto ((\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3), (\tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2, \tilde{Y}_3), (\tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2, \tilde{Y}_3)), \\ \tilde{X}_1 &= X_1 - \frac{(q_2 - 1)}{q_2} Y_3 + \frac{(q_2 - 1)}{q_2} Z_2 + \frac{(q_2 - 1)}{q_2} Z_3, \\ \tilde{X}_2 &= X_2 + (1 - q_2) Y_2, \quad \tilde{X}_3 = X_3, \\ \tilde{Y}_1 &= \frac{(q_2 - 1)}{q_2} X_1 + \frac{(q_2 - 1)}{q_2} X_3 + \frac{1}{q_2} Y_1 - \frac{(q_2 - 1)^2}{(q_2)^2} Y_3 + \frac{(q_2 - 1)}{q_2} Z_1 + \frac{(q_2 - 1)^2}{(q_2)^2} Z_2 + \frac{(q_2 - 1)^2}{(q_2)^2} Z_3, \\ \tilde{Y}_2 &= q_2 Y_2, \quad \tilde{Y}_3 = Y_3, \\ \tilde{Z}_1 &= (q_2 - 1) X_2 - (q_2 - 1)^2 Y_2 + Z_1, \quad \tilde{Z}_2 = (1 - q_2) Y_2 + Z_2, \quad \tilde{Z}_3 = Z_3. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in the case (83) one gets a very simple map

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}: \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3, \quad p = (0, 0, q_3), \quad q_3 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad q_3 \neq 0, \\ ((X_1, X_2, X_3), (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3), (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3)) &\mapsto ((\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3), (\tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2, \tilde{Y}_3), (\tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2, \tilde{Y}_3)), \\ \tilde{X}_1 &= X_1, \quad \tilde{X}_2 = X_2, \quad \tilde{X}_3 = X_3, \\ \tilde{Y}_1 &= \frac{1}{q_3} Y_1, \quad \tilde{Y}_2 = q_3 Y_2, \quad \tilde{Y}_3 = Y_3, \quad \tilde{Z}_1 = Z_1, \quad \tilde{Z}_2 = Z_2, \quad \tilde{Z}_3 = Z_3. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.13. It was noticed in [19] that the linear tetrahedron map $d\mathbb{T}|_{(p,p,p)}$ described in Corollary 5.2 can be regarded as a linear approximation of a nonlinear tetrahedron map $\mathbb{T}: W^3 \rightarrow W^3$ at a point $(p, p, p) \in W^3$ satisfying $\mathbb{T}((p, p, p)) = (p, p, p)$. Relations with the local Yang–Baxter equation and Lax representations, which we consider in this section, were not discussed in [19]. As shown in this section,

a Lax representation (defined for \mathbb{T} by means of the local Yang–Baxter equation) helps to find points $(p, p, p) \in W^3$ satisfying $\mathbb{T}((p, p, p)) = (p, p, p)$.

The map (76) was obtained in [19]. The maps (77), (84), (85) are new.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have presented several constructions of tetrahedron maps, using algebraic and differential-geometric tools.

In particular, we have obtained the families of new nonlinear polynomial tetrahedron maps (28), (29) on the space of square matrices, using a matrix refactorisation equation, which does not coincide with the standard local Yang–Baxter equation. In Section 3.3 Liouville integrability has been proved for the map (28) in the case $1 \leq m \leq n/2$ and for (29) in the case $3n/2 \leq m \leq 2n - 1$.

Also, we have shown how to derive linear tetrahedron maps as linear approximations of nonlinear ones, using Lax representations and the differentials of nonlinear tetrahedron maps on manifolds. Applying this construction to nonlinear maps from [10, 27], we have obtained parametric families of new linear tetrahedron maps (77), (84), (85) with nonlinear dependence on the parameters q, q_1, q_2 .

Another result is the new nonlinear tetrahedron maps (13), (15), which are matrix generalisations of the map (11) from Sergeev’s classification [37]. As shown in Proposition 3.2, in (13)–(16) one can replace $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ by an arbitrary associative ring \mathcal{A} with a unit. This gives the maps (17)–(20), which can be viewed as tetrahedron maps in noncommuting variables.

Furthermore, new tetrahedron maps (47) on an arbitrary group \mathbf{G} have been presented.

Motivated by the results of this paper, we suggest the following directions for future research:

- As said above, we have proved Liouville integrability of (28) for $1 \leq m \leq n/2$ and of (29) for $3n/2 \leq m \leq 2n - 1$. One can try to prove Liouville integrability of the maps (28), (29) for other values of n, m .
- We have obtained the tetrahedron maps (28), (29), using the matrix refactorisation equation (23) with (22), which does not coincide with the matrix local Yang–Baxter equation (9).

To derive the maps (28), (29), we have used in equation (23) with (22) the matrix-function (21) of the form (24). It would be interesting to obtain other tetrahedron maps from equation (23) with (22), using matrix-functions (21) of other forms.

- It is well known that Yang–Baxter and tetrahedron maps are closely related to integrable lattice equations (see, e.g., [24, 25, 26, 36]). As discussed above, in this paper we have constructed several families of new nonlinear tetrahedron maps, some of which are Liouville integrable, and also we have described a procedure to derive new linear tetrahedron maps as linear approximations of nonlinear ones. It would be interesting to study possible relations of the obtained tetrahedron maps with integrable lattice equations, using the methods described in [25, 24, 26, 36] and references therein. In particular, it would be interesting to understand whether the above-mentioned linear approximations of nonlinear tetrahedron maps correspond to some sort of linear approximations of nonlinear lattice equations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work on Sections 1, 3, 4 was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant No. 21-71-30011).

The work on Sections 2, 5, 6 was carried out within the framework of a development programme for the Regional Scientific and Educational Mathematical Centre of the P.G. Demidov Yaroslavl State University with financial support from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (Agreement on provision of subsidy from the federal budget No. 075-02-2022-886).

We would like to thank A. Doliwa, V.A. Kolesov, M.M. Preobrazhenskaia, S.M. Sergeev, and D.V. Talalaev for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] V.E. Adler, A.I. Bobenko, and Yu.B. Suris. Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. The consistency approach. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **233** (2003), 513–543.

- [2] J. Atkinson and M. Nieszporiski. Multi-quadratic quad equations: integrable cases from a factorized-discriminant hypothesis. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2014**, 4215–4240.
- [3] V. Bardakov, B. Chuzinov, I. Emel'yanenkov, M. Ivanov, T. Kozlovskaya, and V. Leshkov. Set-theoretical solutions of simplex equations. arXiv:2206.08906 (2022).
- [4] V.V. Bazhanov and Yu.G. Stroganov. Conditions of commutativity of transfer matrices on a multidimensional lattice. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **52** (1982), 685–691.
- [5] V.V. Bazhanov and S.M. Sergeev. Zamolodchikov's tetrahedron equation and hidden structure of quantum groups. *J. Phys. A* **39** (2006), 3295–3310.
- [6] V.V. Bazhanov, V.V. Mangazeev, and S.M. Sergeev. Quantum geometry of three-dimensional lattices. *J. Stat. Mech.* (2008) P07004.
- [7] V.M. Buchstaber, S. Igonin, S. Konstantinou-Rizos, and M.M. Preobrazhenskaia. Yang–Baxter maps, Darboux transformations, and linear approximations of refactorisation problems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **53** (2020) 504002.
- [8] V. Caudrelier and Q.C. Zhang. Yang–Baxter and reflection maps from vector solitons with a boundary. *Nonlinearity* **27** (2014), 1081–1103.
- [9] J.S. Carter and M. Saito. Set-theoretic Yang–Baxter solutions via Fox calculus. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **15** (2006), 949–956.
- [10] A. Dimakis and F. Müller-Hoissen. Matrix KP: tropical limit and Yang–Baxter maps. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **109** (2019), 799–827.
- [11] A. Dimakis and F. Müller-Hoissen. Simplex and polygon equations. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA)* **11** (2015) 042.
- [12] A. Doliwa and R.M. Kashaev. Non-commutative birational maps satisfying Zamolodchikov equation, and Desargues lattices. *J. Math. Phys.* **61** (2020) 092704.
- [13] V.G. Drinfeld. On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1510** (1992) (Berlin: Springer), pp. 1–8.
- [14] A.P. Fordy. Periodic cluster mutations and related integrable maps. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47** (2014) 474003.
- [15] V. Gorbounov and D. Talalaev. Electrical varieties as vertex integrable statistical models. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **53** (2020) 454001.
- [16] J. Hietarinta. Permutation-type solutions to the Yang–Baxter and other n -simplex equations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** (1997) 4757.
- [17] J. Hietarinta, N. Joshi, and F.W. Nijhoff. *Discrete systems and integrability*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [18] S. Igonin. Set-theoretical solutions to the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation on associative rings and Liouville integrability. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **212** (2022), 1116–1124.
- [19] S. Igonin, V. Kolesov, S. Konstantinou-Rizos, and M.M. Preobrazhenskaia. Tetrahedron maps, Yang–Baxter maps, and partial linearisations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **54** (2021) 505203.
- [20] T. Ito. The classification of Wada-type representations of braid groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **217** (2013), 1754–1763.
- [21] M.M. Kapranov and V.A. Voevodsky. 2-categories and Zamolodchikov tetrahedra equations. In: *Algebraic Groups and Their Generalizations: Quantum and Infinite-Dimensional Methods (University Park, PA, 1991)*, pp. 177–259. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **56** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [22] R.M. Kashaev. On discrete three-dimensional equations associated with the local Yang–Baxter relation. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **38** (1996), 389–397.
- [23] R.M. Kashaev, I.G. Koperanov, and S.M. Sergeev. Functional tetrahedron equation. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **117** (1998), 1402–1413.
- [24] P. Kassotakis. Invariants in separated variables: Yang–Baxter, entwining and transfer maps. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA)* **15** (2019) 048.
- [25] P. Kassotakis and M. Nieszporiski. On non-multiaffine consistent-around-the-cube lattice equations. *Phys. Lett. A* **376** (2012) 3135–3140.
- [26] P. Kassotakis, M. Nieszporiski, V. Papageorgiou, and A. Tongas. Tetrahedron maps and symmetries of three dimensional integrable discrete equations. *J. Math. Phys.* **60** (2019) 123503.
- [27] S. Konstantinou-Rizos. Nonlinear Schrödinger type tetrahedron maps. *Nuclear Phys. B* **960** (2020) 115207.
- [28] S. Konstantinou-Rizos and A.V. Mikhailov. Darboux transformations, finite reduction groups and related Yang–Baxter maps. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** (2013) 425201.
- [29] I.G. Korepanov. A dynamical system connected with inhomogeneous 6-vertex model. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **215** (1994), 178–196. arXiv:hep-th/9402043
- [30] I.G. Korepanov. Algebraic integrable dynamical systems, $2 + 1$ -dimensional models in wholly discrete space-time, and inhomogeneous models in 2-dimensional statistical physics. arXiv:solv-int/9506003 (1995).
- [31] I.G. Korepanov, G.I. Sharygin, and D.V. Talalaev. Cohomologies of n -simplex relations. *Math. Proc. Cambridge* **161** (2016), 203–222.
- [32] T.E. Kouloukas and V.G. Papageorgiou. Poisson Yang–Baxter maps with binomial Lax matrices. *J. Math. Phys.* **52** (2011) 073502.
- [33] J.M. Maillet and F. Nijhoff. Integrability for multidimensional lattice models. *Phys. Lett. B* **224** (1989), 389–396.

- [34] J.M. Maillet and F. Nijhoff. The tetrahedron equation and the four-simplex equation. *Phys. Lett. A* **134** (1989), 221–228.
- [35] A.V. Mikhailov, G. Papamikos, and Jing Ping Wang. Darboux transformation for the vector sine-Gordon equation and integrable equations on a sphere. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **106** (2016), 973–996.
- [36] V.G. Papageorgiou, A.G. Tongas, and A.P. Veselov. Yang–Baxter maps and symmetries of integrable equations on quad-graphs. *J. Math. Phys.* **47** (2006) 083502.
- [37] S.M. Sergeev. Solutions of the Functional Tetrahedron Equation Connected with the Local Yang–Baxter Equation for the Ferro-Electric Condition. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **45** (1998), 113–119.
- [38] E.K. Sklyanin. Classical limits of the $SU(2)$ -invariant solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. *Journal of Soviet Mathematics* **40** (1988), 93–107.
- [39] Yu.B. Suris and A.P. Veselov. Lax matrices for Yang–Baxter maps. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **10** (2003), 223–230.
- [40] D.V. Talalaev. Tetrahedron equation: algebra, topology, and integrability. *Russian Math. Surveys* **76** (2021), 685–721.
- [41] A.P. Veselov. Integrable maps. *Russian Math. Surveys* **46** (1991), 1–51.
- [42] A. Veselov. Yang–Baxter maps: dynamical point of view. *Math. Soc. Japan Memoirs (Tokyo: Math. Soc. Japan)* **17** (2007), 145–167.
- [43] A. Yoneyama. Boundary from Bulk Integrability in Three Dimensions: 3D Reflection Maps from Tetrahedron Maps. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **24** (2021), 21.
- [44] A.B. Zamolodchikov. Tetrahedra equations and integrable systems in three-dimensional space. *Sov. Phys. JETP* **52** (1980), 325–336.
- [45] A.B. Zamolodchikov. Tetrahedron equations and the relativistic S -matrix of straight strings in $(2+1)$ -dimensions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **79** (1981), 489–505.