arXiv:2110.06005v1 [math.DG] 12 Oct 2021

COMPARISON RESULTS FOR SOLUTIONS OF POISSON EQUATIONS WITH ROBIN BOUNDARY ON COMPLETE RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

DAGUANG CHEN,HAIZHONG LI, YILUN WEI

Abstract. In this paper, by using Schwarz rearrangement and isoperimetric inequalities, we prove comparison results for the solutions of Poisson equations on complete Riemannian manifolds with $Ric \geq (n-1)\kappa,\ \kappa \geq 0$, which extends the results in [2]. Furthermore, as applications of our comparison results, we obtain the Saint-Venant inequality and Bossel-Daners inequality for Robin Laplacian.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}(g) \geq (n-1)\kappa$, where $\kappa \geq 0$. For $\kappa = 0$, we further assume that M is noncompact with positive asymptotic volume ratio, i.e.

$$\mathrm{AVR}(g) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{|B_r(x_0)|_g}{\omega_n r^n} > 0,$$

where $B_r(x_0)$ denotes the geodesic ball in M centered at x_0 with radius r, while ω_n denotes the volume of n-dimensional Euclidean unit ball. Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ on M. For a positive number β and a nonnegative function f in $L^2(\Omega)$, we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g u = f, & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial N} + \beta u = 0, & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where N denotes the outer unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. For $\beta = \infty$, the equation (1.1) can be seen as the problem equipped with Dirichlet boundary condition.

According to isoperimetric inequalities in [8] for $\kappa = 0$ (See also [1, 6]) and in [20] for $\kappa = 1$, we define

$$\alpha_\kappa = \begin{cases} \mathrm{AVR}(g), & \kappa = 0, \\ \frac{|M|_g}{|\mathbb{S}^n|}, & \kappa = 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $|M|_g$, $|\mathbb{S}^n|$ denote the volume of M and $\mathbb{S}^n$, respectively. Let $(\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa), g_\kappa)$ be Euclidean space when $\kappa = 0$ and be unit sphere when $\kappa = 1$ with canonical metrics. In this article, we intend to establish a comparison principle with the solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{g_\kappa} v = f^\sharp, & \text{in} \quad \Omega^\sharp, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} + \beta v = 0, & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega^\sharp, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C44, 53C42.

Key words and phrases. Bossel-Daners inequality, Isoperimetric inequality, Talenti's comparison, Lorentz norm.

The authors were supported by NSFC-FWO 11961131001 and NSFC grant No. 11831005.

where $\Omega^\sharp$ is a geodesic ball on $\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa)$ satisfying $|\Omega|_g = \alpha_\kappa|\Omega^\sharp|_{g_\kappa}$ and $f^\sharp$ is the Schwarz rearrangement of f.

For Poisson equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions in Euclidean spaces, Talenti [25] gave pointwise comparisons of $u^\sharp$ and v. Talenti's comparison results were generalized to semilinear and nonlinear elliptic equations, for instance, in [26, 3, 15, 24]. Recently, Talenti's comparison results were extended to solutions of Poisson equations on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature by the first two authors in [13]. We also refer the reader to excellent books [5, 21, 23] for related topics.

Recently, Alvino et al. [2] obtained the Talenti type comparison results of Poisson equations with Robin boundary conditions in Euclidean spaces. The results in [2] were generalized to the case of p-Laplace operator with Robin boundary conditions in [4] and to the torsion problem for the Hermite operator with Robin boundary conditions in [14]. Following the strategies in [2], we focus on Poisson equations with Robin boundary conditions on complete Riemannian manifolds and prove the following comparison results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}(g) \geq (n-1)\kappa$, where $\kappa = 0$ or 1. For $\kappa = 0$, we further assume that M is noncompact with $\mathrm{AVR}(g) > 0$. Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary on M and $\Omega^\sharp$ be a geodesic ball on $\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa)$ satisfying $|\Omega|_g = \alpha_\kappa|\Omega^\sharp|_{g_\kappa}$, where α_κ is defined in (1.2). Let u and v be solutions to (1.1) and (1.3) respectively, then*

$$\|u\|_{L^{p,1}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha_\kappa^{\frac{1}{p}}\|v\|_{L^{p,1}(\Omega^\sharp)} \quad \text{for } \kappa = 0, 1 \text{ and } 0 < p \leq \frac{n}{2n-2}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\|u\|_{L^{2p,2}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha_\kappa^{\frac{1}{2p}}\|v\|_{L^{2p,2}(\Omega^\sharp)} \quad \text{for } \kappa = 0 \text{ and } 0 < p \leq \frac{n}{3n-4}, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\|u\|_{L^{2p,2}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha_\kappa^{\frac{1}{2p}}\|v\|_{L^{2p,2}(\Omega^\sharp)} \quad \text{for } \kappa = 1 \text{ and } 0 < p \leq \frac{n}{3n-3}. \tag{1.6}$$

In particular, when $n = 2$, $\kappa = 1$ and $0 < p \leq 1$,

$$\|u\|_{L^{2p,2}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha_\kappa^{\frac{1}{2p}}\|v\|_{L^{2p,2}(\Omega^\sharp)}. \tag{1.7}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 1.1, letting u and v be solutions to (1.1) and (1.3) for $f \equiv 1$, respectively, we have*

$$\|u\|_{L^{p,1}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha_\kappa^{\frac{1}{p}}\|v\|_{L^{p,1}(\Omega^\sharp)} \quad \text{for } \kappa = 0, 1 \text{ and } p \leq \frac{n}{n-2}, \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L^{2p,2}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha_\kappa^{\frac{1}{2p}}\|v\|_{L^{2p,2}(\Omega^\sharp)} \quad \text{for } \kappa = 0 \text{ and } 0 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-2}. \tag{1.9}$$

In particular, for $n = 2$ and $\kappa = 0$, we have a pointwise comparison result

$$u^\sharp(x) \leq v(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega^\sharp. \tag{1.10}$$

Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold and let $\Omega \subset M$ be a smoothly bounded domain in M. Assume that u is a positive solution to the following torsion problem with Robin boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g u = 1, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial N} + \beta u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

The torsional rigidity $T_\beta(\Omega)$ with Robin boundary condition of the domain Ω is defined by

$$T_\beta(\Omega) = \int_\Omega u \, dV_g.$$

In 2015, by using free discontinuity techniques, Bucur et al. in [10] proved the Saint-Venant inequality for Robin Laplacian in Euclidean spaces. In 2019, Alvino et al. in [2] obtained the same Saint-Venant inequality for Robin Laplacian via a Talenti type comparison result in Euclidean spaces.

Choosing $p = 1$ in (1.8), we can deduce the Saint-Venant inequality for Robin Laplacian on given manifolds.

Corollary 1.3. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 1.1, for the torsion problem* (1.11)*, we obtain*

$$T_\beta(\Omega) \le \alpha_\kappa T_\beta(\Omega^\sharp). \tag{1.12}$$

Remark 1.4. *For the torsion problem with Dirichlet boundary condition on Riemannian manifold* (M, g) *satisfying* $\mathrm{Ric}(g) \ge (n-1)$*, the inequality* (1.12) *is due to* [15] *and* [19]*. In* [13]*, the first two authors obtained sharp estimates for the* L^1*-moment spectrum and* L^∞*-moment spectrum with Dirichlet boundary conditions on bounded domains in complete Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature.*

Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary on M and $\Omega^\sharp$ be a geodesic ball on $\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa)$ satisfying $|\Omega|_g = \alpha_\kappa |\Omega^\sharp|_{g_\kappa}$. Let $\lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega^\sharp)$ be the first eigenvalues to (1.13) and (1.14) respectively, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g u = \lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega) u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial N} + \beta u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{g_\kappa} v = \lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega^\sharp) v, & \text{in } \Omega^\sharp, \\ \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial N} + \beta v = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega^\sharp. \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

As another application to Theorem 1.1, we use Talenti comparisons to deal with Bossel-Daners inequality for $n = 2$. When $n > 2$, however, $p = 1$ no longer satisfies the conditions of (1.5) and (1.7), hence we fail to use Theorem 1.1 to give a direct proof.

Theorem 1.5. *Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with* $\mathrm{Ric}(g) \geq (n-1)\kappa$, *where $\kappa = 0$ or 1. For $\kappa = 0$, we further assume that M is noncompact with* $\mathrm{AVR}(g) > 0$. *Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary on M and $\Omega^\sharp$ be geodesic ball on $\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa)$ satisfying $|\Omega|_g = \alpha_\kappa |\Omega^\sharp|_{g_\kappa}$. Then*

$$\lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega) \geq \lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega^\sharp). \tag{1.15}$$

Moreover, the inequality holds in (1.15) if and only if (M, g) is isometric to $(\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa), g_\kappa)$ and Ω is isometric to a geodesic ball in $(\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa), g_\kappa)$.

Remark 1.6. *The inequality (1.15) is also called Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin Laplacian. When (M, g) is Euclidean space, the result is due to Bossel in [7] for $n = 2$ and Daners in [17] for all dimensions (See also [16, 9, 10]). For bounded domains in Riemannian manifolds with* $\mathrm{Ric}(g) \geq n-1$, *the inequality (1.15) is due to Chen-Cheng-Li in [12].*

The paper is organized as following. In Section 2, we recall the isoperimetric inequality of complete Riemannian manifolds with $Ric \geq (n-1)\kappa$, where $\kappa \geq 0$, Schwarz rearrangement, Lorentz space and Gronwall's inequality; In Section 3, we establish some integral inequalities for the solutions to (1.1) and (1.3) by using the isoperimetric inequality; In Section 4, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2; In Section 5, we give the proof of Theorem 1.5.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Isoperimetric inequalities.** Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}(g) \geq (n-1)\kappa$, where $\kappa = 0$ or 1. For $\kappa = 0$, we further assume that M is non-compact with $\mathrm{AVR}(g) > 0$. Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary on M and $\Omega^\sharp$ be a geodesic ball on $\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa)$ satisfying $|\Omega|_g = \alpha_\kappa |\Omega^\sharp|_{g_\kappa}$. According to Brendle [8] (See also [1, 6]) and Lévy-Gromov [20], we have the isoperimetric inequality

$$|\partial\Omega|_g \geq \alpha_\kappa \left|\partial\Omega^\sharp\right|_{g_\kappa}. \tag{2.1}$$

The equality holds in (2.1) if and only if (M, g) is isometric to $(\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa), g_\kappa)$ and Ω is isometric to a geodesic ball in $\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa)$.

For a complete noncompact n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) with nonnegative Ricci curvature and positive asymptotic volume growth, we also notice that the inequality (2.1) is proved by Agostiniani et al. in [1, Theorem 1.8] for $n = 3$ and then extended to $3 \leq n \leq 7$ by Fogagnolo and Mazzieri in [18]. Furthermore, (2.1) and its equality case still hold in $\mathsf{CD}(0, N)$ metric measure spaces based on the method of optimal mass transport by Balogh and Kristály in [6].

2.2. **Schwarz rearrangement.** Let u and v be solutions to (1.1) and (1.3) respectively. For $t \geq 0$ we denote by

$$\begin{aligned} &U_t = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > t\}, \quad \partial U_t^i = \partial U_t \cap \Omega, \quad \partial U_t^e = \partial U_t \cap \partial\Omega, \quad \mu(t) = |U_t|_g, \\ &V_t = \{x \in \Omega^\sharp : v(x) > t\}, \quad \phi(t) = |V_t|_{g_\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting by u_m and v_m the minimum of u and v respectively, thanks to the positiveness of β and Robin boundary conditions, we have $u_m \geq 0$ and $v_m \geq 0$. Since v is radial, positive and decreasing along the radius, we have V_t coincides with $\Omega^\sharp$ when $0 \leq t < v_m$.

Definition 2.1. *Letting $h : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function, the distribution function of h is the function $\mu_h : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ defined by*

$$\mu_h(t) = |\{x \in \Omega \,:\, |h(x)| > t\}|_g.$$

Definition 2.2. *The decreasing rearrangement $h^* : [0, |\Omega|_g] \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined based on the distribution function of h, that is*

$$h^*(s) = \begin{cases} \operatorname*{esssup}_{\Omega} h, & s = 0, \\ \inf\{t : \mu_h(t) \leq s\}, & s > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Definition 2.3. *The Schwarz rearrangement $h^\sharp : \Omega^\sharp \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined based on the decreasing rearrangement of h, that is*

$$h^\sharp(x) = h^*(\alpha_\kappa |B^\kappa_R|_{g_\kappa}), \tag{2.3}$$

where B^κ_R is the geodesic ball in $\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa)$ with radius R.

The distribution function of h and $h^\sharp$ satisfies

$$\mu_h(t) = \alpha_\kappa \mu_{h^\sharp}(t). \tag{2.4}$$

By definition, h, h^* and $h^\sharp$ are equi-distributed in the sense that

$$\|h\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \|h^*\|_{L^p(0,|\Omega|_g)} = \alpha_\kappa^{\frac{1}{p}} \|h^\sharp\|_{L^p(\Omega^\sharp)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Given measurable functions h_1, h_2 on Ω, the Hardy-Littlewood inequality holds,

$$\int_\Omega |h_1(x) h_2(x)| dV_g \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|_g} h_1^*(s) h_2^*(s) ds. \tag{2.6}$$

Choosing $h_2 = \chi_{\{|u|>t\}}$ in (2.6), one has

$$\int_{|u|>t} |h_1(x)| dV_g \leq \int_0^{\mu(t)} h_1^*(s) ds. \tag{2.7}$$

By strong maximum principle, both solutions u and v to (1.1) and (1.3) achieve their minimum on boundaries. Hence u and v are strictly positive in the interior of domains. Moreover, by isoperimetric inequality (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\kappa v_m |\partial\Omega^\sharp|_{g_\kappa} &= \alpha_\kappa \int_{\partial\Omega^\sharp} v(x)\, d\mu_{g_\kappa} = \frac{\alpha_\kappa}{\beta} \int_{\Omega^\sharp} f^\sharp\, dV_{g_\kappa} = \frac{1}{\beta} \int_\Omega f\, dV_g \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} u(x)\, d\mu_g \\ &\geq u_m |\partial\Omega|_g \\ &\geq u_m \alpha_\kappa |\partial\Omega^\sharp|_{g_\kappa}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

which implies that

$$\min_{\Omega} u = u_m \leq v_m = \min_{\Omega^\sharp} v. \tag{2.9}$$

An important consequence of (2.9) is that

$$\mu(t) \leq |\Omega|_g = \alpha_\kappa \phi(t) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq t < v_m. \tag{2.10}$$

2.3. **Lorentz space.**

Definition 2.4. *Let $0 < p < +\infty$ and $0 < q \leq +\infty$. The Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(\Omega)$ is the space of functions such that the quantity*

$$\|h\|_{L^{p,q}} = \begin{cases} p^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\displaystyle\int_0^\infty t^q \mu_h(t)^{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{if } 0 < q < \infty, \\ \displaystyle\sup_{t>0} (t^p \mu_h(t)), & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

is finite.

It's well known that Lorentz space coincides with L^p space when $p = q$ (See [27] for more details).

2.4. **Gronwall's inequality.**

Let ξ be a continuously differentiable function satisfying

$$\tau \xi'(\tau) \leq \xi(\tau) + C$$

for all $\tau \geq \tau_0 > 0$, where C is a non-negative constant. For all $\tau \geq \tau_0$, we have

$$\xi'(\tau) \leq \frac{\xi(\tau_0) + C}{\tau_0}. \tag{2.11}$$

3. Some lemmas

Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}(g) \geq (n-1)\kappa$, where $\kappa = 0$ or 1. Moreover, for $\kappa = 0$, assume M is non-compact with $\mathrm{AVR}(g) > 0$. Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary on M and $\Omega^\sharp$ be a geodesic ball on $\mathbb{R}^n(\kappa)$ satisfying $|\Omega|_g = \alpha_\kappa |\Omega^\sharp|_{g_\kappa}$. Define a function $G_\kappa(l)$,

$$G_\kappa(l) = \frac{dI_\kappa}{dr} \circ I_\kappa^{-1}(l), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$I_\kappa(r) = n\omega_n \alpha_\kappa \int_0^r \mathrm{sn}_\kappa{}^{n-1}(s) ds,$$

and

$$\mathrm{sn}_\kappa(s) = \begin{cases} s, & \kappa = 0, \\ \sin s, & \kappa = 1. \end{cases}$$

We then have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let u and v be solutions to (1.1) and (1.3) respectively. For almost every $t > 0$, we have*

$$G_\kappa(\mu(t))^2 \le \int_0^{\mu(t)} f^*(s)ds \cdot \left(-\mu'(t) + \frac{1}{\beta}\int_{\partial U_t^e} \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g\right), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\tilde{G}_\kappa(\phi(t))^2 = \alpha_\kappa^{-1} \int_0^{\alpha_\kappa \phi(t)} f^*(s)ds \cdot \left(-\phi'(t) + \frac{1}{\beta}\int_{\partial V_t \cap \partial \Omega^\sharp} \frac{1}{v} d\mu_{g_\kappa}\right), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\tilde{G}_\kappa(r) = \alpha_\kappa^{-1} G_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa r)$.

Proof. Multiplying (1.1) by $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega)$ and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega \nabla_g u \cdot \nabla_g \varphi dV_g + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} u\varphi d\mu_g &= \int_\Omega \nabla_g u \cdot \nabla_g \varphi dV_g + \int_{\partial\Omega} (-\varphi)\frac{\partial u}{\partial N} d\mu_g \\
&= \int_\Omega \left[\nabla_g u \cdot \nabla_g \varphi - div_g(\varphi \nabla_g u)\right] dV_g \\
&= \int_\Omega -\varphi \Delta_g u dV_g \\
&= \int_\Omega f\varphi dV_g.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Define a test function, for $h > 0$,

$$\varphi_h = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < u \le t, \\ h, & u > t + h, \\ u - t, & t < u \le t + h. \end{cases}$$

Choosing $\varphi = \varphi_h$ in (3.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{U_t \setminus U_{t+h}} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dV_g + \beta \int_{\partial U_{t+h}^e} uh d\mu_g + \beta \int_{\partial U_t^e \setminus \partial U_{t+h}^e} u(u-t) d\mu_g \\
&= \int_{U_t \setminus U_{t+h}} f(u-t) dV_g + \int_{U_{t+h}} fh dV_g.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Dividing by h and letting $h \to 0+$, we have

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{U_t} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 dV_g\right) + \beta \int_{\partial U_t^e} u d\mu_g = \int_{U_t} f dV_g. \tag{3.6}$$

Applying co-area formula, we then obtain

$$\int_{\partial U_t^i} |\nabla_g u|_g d\mu_g + \int_{\partial U_t^e} \beta u d\mu_g = \int_{U_t} f dV_g. \tag{3.7}$$

Define

$$A = \begin{cases} |\nabla_g u|_g, & \partial U_t^i, \\ \beta u, & \partial U_t^e. \end{cases}$$

Then (3.7) becomes

$$\int_{\partial U_t} A d\mu_g = \int_{U_t} f dV_g. \tag{3.8}$$

By (2.1), (2.7), (3.1), (3.8) and co-area formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_\kappa(\mu(t))^2 &\le |\partial U_t|_g^2 \\
&\le \int_{\partial U_t} A d\mu_g \cdot \int_{\partial U_t} A^{-1} d\mu_g \\
&= \int_{U_t} f dV_g \cdot \left(\int_{\partial U_t^i} \frac{1}{|\nabla_g u|_g} d\mu_g + \int_{\partial U_t^e} \frac{1}{\beta u} d\mu_g \right) \\
&= \int_{U_t} f dV_g \cdot \left(-\mu'(t) + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\partial U_t^e} \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g \right) \\
&\le \int_0^{\mu(t)} f^*(s) ds \cdot \left(-\mu'(t) + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\partial U_t^e} \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}
$$

Similarly, by $\tilde{G}_\kappa(\phi(t)) = |\partial V_t|_{g_\kappa}$ and $\int_{V_t} f^\sharp dV_{g_\kappa} = \alpha_\kappa^{-1} \int_0^{\alpha_\kappa \phi(t)} f^*(s) ds$, we prove (3.3). □

Lemma 3.2. *Let u and v be solutions to (1.1) and (1.3) respectively. For all $t > v_m$, we have*

$$
\int_0^t \tau \left(\int_{\partial U_\tau^e} \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g \right) d\tau \le \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s) ds, \tag{3.10}
$$

$$
\int_0^t \tau \left(\int_{\partial V_\tau \cap \partial \Omega^\sharp} \frac{1}{v} d\mu_{g_\kappa} \right) d\tau = \frac{\alpha_\kappa^{-1}}{2\beta} \int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s) ds. \tag{3.11}
$$

Proof. By Fubini's theorem, (1.1) and (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{+\infty} \tau \left(\int_{\partial U_\tau^e} \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g \right) d\tau &= \int_0^{+\infty} d\tau \left(\int_{\partial \Omega \cap \{u \ge \tau\}} \frac{\tau}{u} d\mu_g \right) \\
&= \int_0^{+\infty} d\tau \left(\int_{\partial \Omega} \chi_{\{u \ge \tau\}} \frac{\tau}{u} d\mu_g \right) \\
&= \int_{\partial \Omega} \left(\int_0^u \tau d\tau \right) \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} u d\mu_g \\
&= \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_\Omega f dV_g \\
&= \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}
$$

Thus, for all $t > v_m$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \tau \left(\int_{\partial U_\tau^e} \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g \right) d\tau &\le \int_0^{+\infty} \tau \left(\int_{\partial U_\tau^e} \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g \right) d\tau \\
&= \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}
$$

With the fact that $\int_{\Omega^\sharp} f^\sharp dV_{g_\kappa} = \alpha_\kappa^{-1} \int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds$ and $\partial V_t \cap \partial\Omega^\sharp = \emptyset$ when $t > v_m$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \tau\left(\int_{\partial V_\tau \cap \partial\Omega^\sharp} \frac{1}{v} d\mu_{g_\kappa}\right) d\tau &= \int_0^{+\infty} \tau\left(\int_{\partial V_\tau \cap \partial\Omega^\sharp} \frac{1}{v} d\mu_{g_\kappa}\right) d\tau \\ &= \frac{\alpha_\kappa^{-1}}{2\beta} \int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

□

4. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

In this section, we give the proof of main Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 by using the lemmas in previous section.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Multiplying (3.2) by $t\mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}} G_\kappa(\mu(t))^{-2}$ and integrating from 0 to $\tau > v_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau t\mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \le &\int_0^\tau -t\mu'(t)\mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}} G_\kappa(\mu(t))^{-2} \int_0^{\mu(t)} f^*(s)dsdt \\ &+ \int_0^\tau \left[\frac{t}{\beta}\int_{\partial U_t^e} \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g\right] \mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}} G_\kappa(\mu(t))^{-2} \int_0^{\mu(t)} f^*(s)dsdt.\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

From (3.1), it's obvious that $l^{\frac{1}{p}} G_0(l)^{-2} = n^{-2}(\omega_n \alpha_0)^{-\frac{2}{n}} l^{\frac{1}{p}-2+\frac{2}{n}}$ is non-decreasing when $0 < p \le \frac{n}{2n-2}$. Besides, $l^{\frac{1}{p}} G_1(l)^{-2}$ is also non-decreasing when $0 < p \le \frac{n}{2n-2}$. By definition of G_1 in (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dl}\left(l^{\frac{1}{p}} G_1(l)^{-2}\right) &= \frac{1}{p} l^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \cdot \frac{G_1(l) - 2plG_1'(l)}{G_1(l)^3} \\ &= \frac{p^{-1} l^{\frac{1}{p}-1}}{(I_1' \circ I_1^{-1}(l))^4} \cdot (I_1'^2 - 2pI_1 I_1'') \circ I_1^{-1}(l).\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

It is sufficient to show $k(r) := I_1'(r)^2 - 2pI_1(r)I_1''(r) \ge 0$ on $[0, \pi)$. Noticing that

$$\cos r \cdot \int_0^r \sin^{n-1} s\, ds \cdot \sin^{-n} r \le \frac{1}{n}$$

on $[0, \pi)$, one has

$$k(r) = \left(n\omega_n \alpha_1 \sin^{n-1} r\right)^2 \left[1 - 2p(n-1)\frac{\cos r \cdot \int_0^r \sin^{n-1} s\, ds}{\sin^n r}\right] \ge 0 \tag{4.3}$$

when $0 < p \le \frac{n}{2n-2}$. By monotonicity of $l^{\frac{1}{p}} G_\kappa(l)^{-2}$ when $0 < p \le \frac{n}{2n-2}$ and (3.10), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\tau \left[\frac{t}{\beta}\int_{\partial U_t^e} \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g\right] \mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}} G_\kappa(\mu(t))^{-2} \int_0^{\mu(t)} f^*(s)dsdt \\ &\le |\Omega|_g^{\frac{1}{p}} G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2} \int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds \cdot \int_0^\tau \frac{t}{\beta}\int_{\partial U_t^e} \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g dt \\ &\le \frac{|\Omega|_g^{\frac{1}{p}} G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2} \left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

By (4.1) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau t\mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}dt \le &-\int_0^\tau t\left(\mu'(t)\mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}G_\kappa(\mu(t))^{-2}\int_0^{\mu(t)} f^*(s)ds\right)dt\\ &+\frac{|\Omega_g|^{\frac{1}{p}}G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}\left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2\\ =&-\int_0^\tau tdF_\kappa(\mu(t))+\frac{|\Omega|_g^{\frac{1}{p}}G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}\left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2,\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

where $F_\kappa(l)=\int_0^l w^{\frac{1}{p}}G_\kappa(w)^{-2}\int_0^w f^*(s)dsdw$. Integrating by parts and applying (2.11) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau \mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}dt+F_\kappa(\mu(\tau))\le\frac{1}{v_m}\Bigg[&\int_0^{v_m}dt\int_0^t\mu(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}dr+\int_0^{v_m}F_\kappa(\mu(t))dt\\ &+\frac{|\Omega|_g^{\frac{1}{p}}G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}\left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2\Bigg].\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Making similar computations and using (3.3) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau t\phi(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}dt=&-\int_0^\tau t\left(\alpha_\kappa{}^{-1}\phi'(t)\phi(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}\tilde{G}_\kappa(\phi(t))^{-2}\int_0^{\alpha_\kappa\phi(t)} f^*(s)ds\right)dt\\ &+\alpha_\kappa{}^{-1}\int_0^\tau\left[\frac{t}{\beta}\int_{\partial V_t\cap\partial\Omega^\sharp}\frac{1}{v}d\mu_{g_\kappa}\right]\phi(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}\tilde{G}_\kappa(\phi(t))^{-2}\int_0^{\alpha_\kappa\phi(t)} f^*(s)dsdt\\ =&-\int_0^\tau td\left(\alpha_\kappa{}^{-\frac{1}{p}}F_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))\right)+\alpha_\kappa{}^{-\frac{1}{p}}\frac{|\Omega|_g^{\frac{1}{p}}G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}\left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))^{\frac{1}{p}}dt+F_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(\tau))=\frac{1}{v_m}\Bigg[&\int_0^{v_m}dt\int_0^t(\alpha_\kappa\phi(r))^{\frac{1}{p}}dr+\int_0^{v_m}F_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))dt\\ &+\frac{|\Omega|_g^{\frac{1}{p}}G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}\left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2\Bigg].\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

Since (2.10) holds, by (4.6) and (4.8), we conclude

$$\int_0^\tau\mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}dt+F_\kappa(\mu(\tau))\le\int_0^\tau(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))^{\frac{1}{p}}dt+F_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(\tau)).\tag{4.9}$$

Letting $\tau\to+\infty$, we prove (1.4).

Next, we come to prove (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7). Letting $\tau\to+\infty$ in (4.5) and (4.7), we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty}t\mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}dt\le\int_0^{+\infty}F_\kappa(\mu(t))dt+\frac{|\Omega|_g^{\frac{1}{p}}G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}\left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2,\tag{4.10}$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty}t(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))^{\frac{1}{p}}dt=\int_0^{+\infty}F_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))dt+\frac{|\Omega|_g^{\frac{1}{p}}G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}\left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2.\tag{4.11}$$

We then need to prove

$$\int_0^{+\infty} F_\kappa(\mu(t))dt \le \int_0^{+\infty} F_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa \phi(t))dt.$$

Multiplying (3.2) by $tF_\kappa(\mu(t))G_\kappa(\mu(t))^{-2}$ and integrating from 0 to $\tau > v_m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau tF_\kappa(\mu(t))dt \le &-\int_0^\tau t\left(\mu'(t)F_\kappa(\mu(t))G_\kappa(\mu(t))^{-2}\int_0^{\mu(t)} f^*(s)ds\right)dt\\ &+\int_0^\tau\left[\frac{t}{\beta}\int_{\partial U_t^e}\frac{1}{u}d\mu_g\right]F_\kappa(\mu(t))G_\kappa(\mu(t))^{-2}\int_0^{\mu(t)} f^*(s)dsdt.\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

It's not hard to verify that $F_0(l)G_0(l)^{-2}$ is non-decreasing when $0 < p \le \frac{n}{3n-4}$. By definitions of G_1 and F_1, when $0 < p \le \frac{n}{3n-3}$, one has

$$G_1''(l) = \frac{d}{dl}\frac{n-1}{\tan(I_1^{-1}(l))} \le 0, \quad G_1(l) - 3plG_1'(l) \ge 0.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dl}\left(F_1'(l)G_1(l) - 2F_1(l)G_1'(l)\right) &= F_1''(l)G_1(l) - F_1'(l)G_1'(l) - 2F_1(l)G_1''(l)\\ &\ge F_1''(l)G_1(l) - F_1'(l)G_1'(l)\\ &= \frac{1}{p}l^{\frac{1}{p}-1}G_1(l)^{-2}\left(G_1(l) - 3plG_1'(l)\right)\int_0^l f^*(t)dt + l^{\frac{1}{p}}G_1(l)^{-1}f^*(l)\\ &\ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $F_1'(0)G_1(0) - 2F_1(0)G_1'(0) = 0$, we have

$$F_1'(l)G_1(l) - 2F_1(l)G_1'(l) \ge 0.$$

Thus, $F_1(l)G_1(l)^{-2}$ is non-decreasing since

$$(F_1G_1^{-2})'(l) = G_1(l)^{-3}(F_1'(l)G_1(l) - 2F_1(l)G_1'(l)) \ge 0.$$

When $n = 2$, $0 < p \le 1$, we have

$$(G_1G_1')'(l) = 2\pi\alpha_1\frac{d\cos(I_1^{-1}(l))}{dl} \le 0, \quad G_1(l) - 2plG_1'(l) \ge 0.$$

Hence we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dl}\left(F_1'(l)G_1(l)^2 - 2F_1(l)G_1(l)G_1'(l)\right) &= F_1''(l)G_1(l)^2 - 2F_1(l)(G_1G_1')'(l)\\ &\ge F_1''(l)G_1(l)^2\\ &= \frac{1}{p}l^{\frac{1}{p}-1}G_1(l)^{-1}\left(G_1(l) - 2plG_1'(l)\right)\int_0^l f^*(t)dt + l^{\frac{1}{p}}f^*(l)\\ &\ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

Together with $F_1'(0)G_1(0)^2 - 2F_1(0)G_1(0)G_1'(0) = 0$, we have

$$F_1'(l)G_1(l)^2 - 2F_1(l)G_1(l)G_1'(l) \ge 0.$$

Then $F_1(l)G_1(l)^{-2}$ is non-decreasing by

$$(F_1G_1^{-2})'(l) = G_1(l)^{-4}(F_1'(l)G_1(l)^2 - 2F_1(l)G_1(l)G_1'(l)) \ge 0.$$

Since $F_\kappa(l)G_\kappa(l)^{-2}$ is non-decreasing, by (3.10) we have

$$\int_0^\tau tF_\kappa(\mu(t))dt \le -\int_0^\tau tdH_\kappa(\mu(t)) + \frac{F_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}\left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2, \tag{4.13}$$

where $H_\kappa(l) = \int_0^l F_\kappa(w)G_\kappa(w)^{-2}\int_0^w f^*(s)dsdw$. Integrating by parts and applying (2.11), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau F_\kappa(\mu(t))dt + H_\kappa(\mu(\tau)) \le \frac{1}{v_m}\Bigg[&\int_0^{v_m} dt\int_0^t F_\kappa(\mu(r))dr + \int_0^{v_m} H_\kappa(\mu(t))dt\\ &+ \frac{F_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}\left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Analogously, by (3.3) and (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau tF_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))dt &= -\int_0^\tau t\left(\alpha_\kappa{}^{-1}\phi'(t)F_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))\tilde{G}_\kappa(\phi(t))^{-2}\int_0^{\alpha_\kappa\phi(t)} f^*(s)ds\right)dt\\ &\quad+\alpha_\kappa{}^{-1}\int_0^\tau\left[\frac{t}{\beta}\int_{\partial V_t\cap\partial\Omega^\sharp}\frac{1}{v}d\mu_{g_\kappa}\right]F_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))\tilde{G}_\kappa(\phi(t))^{-2}\int_0^{\alpha_\kappa\phi(t)} f^*(s)dsdt\\ &= -\int_0^\tau tdH_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t)) + \frac{F_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}\left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau F_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))dt + H_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(\tau)) = \frac{1}{v_m}\Bigg[&\int_0^{v_m} dt\int_0^t F_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(r))dr + \int_0^{v_m} H_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))dt\\ &+ \frac{F_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}\left(\int_0^{|\Omega|_g} f^*(s)ds\right)^2\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

By (2.10), (4.14) and (4.16), we come up with

$$\int_0^\tau F_\kappa(\mu(t))dt + H_\kappa(\mu(\tau)) \le \int_0^\tau F_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(t))dt + H_\kappa(\alpha_\kappa\phi(\tau)). \tag{4.17}$$

Letting $\tau\to+\infty$ and using (4.10), (4.11), we prove (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7). □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If $f\equiv 1$, then (4.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau t\mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}dt \le &\int_0^\tau -t\mu'(t)\mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}+1}G_\kappa(\mu(t))^{-2}dt\\ &+\int_0^\tau\left[\frac{t}{\beta}\int_{\partial U_t^e}\frac{1}{u}d\mu_g\right]\mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}+1}G_\kappa(\mu(t))^{-2}dt.\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

It's easy to show that $l^{\frac{1}{p}+1}G_\kappa(l)^{-2}$ is non-decreasing when $\kappa=0,1$ and $0<p\le\frac{n}{n-2}$, hence

$$\int_0^\tau t\mu(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}dt \le -\int_0^\tau tdF_\kappa(\mu(t)) + \frac{|\Omega|_g^{\frac{1}{p}+2}G_\kappa(|\Omega|_g)^{-2}}{2\beta^2}. \tag{4.19}$$

Following the similar arguments in proof of (1.4), we are able to prove (1.8).

Noticing that $lF_0(l)G_0(l)^{-2}$ is still non-decreasing when $0<p\le\frac{n}{n-2}$, we adopt same as the proof of (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7) and finish the proof of (1.9).

Finally, we give the proof of the pointwise comparison result (1.10). For $n = 2$, $\kappa = 0$ and $f \equiv 1$, (3.2) becomes

$$4\pi\alpha_0 \leq -\mu'(t) + \frac{1}{\beta}\int_{\partial U_t^e} \frac{1}{u} d\mu_g, \tag{4.20}$$

while (3.3) yields

$$4\pi = -\phi'(t) + \frac{1}{\beta}\int_{\partial V_t \cap \partial\Omega^\sharp} \frac{1}{v} d\mu_{g_\kappa}. \tag{4.21}$$

Multiplying (4.20) by t, integrating form 0 to $\tau > v_m$ and applying (3.10), we obtain

$$2\pi\alpha_0\tau^2 \leq \int_0^\tau -t\mu' dt + \frac{|\Omega|_g}{2\beta^2}. \tag{4.22}$$

Meanwhile, by (4.21), one has

$$2\pi\alpha_0\tau^2 = \int_0^\tau -t(\alpha_0\phi(t))' dt + \frac{|\Omega|_g}{2\beta^2}. \tag{4.23}$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^\tau -t\mu' dt \geq \int_0^\tau -t(\alpha_0\phi(t))' dt. \tag{4.24}$$

Integrating by parts and using (2.11), for all $\tau > v_m$, we have

$$\mu(\tau) - \alpha_0\phi(\tau) \leq \frac{1}{v_m}\int_0^{v_m} \mu(t) - \alpha_0\phi(t) dt. \tag{4.25}$$

Together with (2.10), we find out that for all $\tau \geq 0$,

$$\mu(\tau) \leq \alpha_0\phi(\tau). \tag{4.26}$$

By definition of Schwarz rearrangement, we complete the proof of (1.10). □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.5

For $n = 2$, it is independently interesting to prove Bossel-Daners inequality by using our Theorem 1.1. For $n \geq 2$, the methods in [7] and [17] can be adopted to prove the Bossel-Daners inequality. For bounded domains in Riemannian manifolds with $\mathrm{Ric}(g) \geq (n-1)$, the inequality (1.15) has already been proven in [12]. Here we only give the proof of (1.15) for complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and positive asymptotic volume growth.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Following the idea in [22], we can prove the Bossel-Daners inequality for the first eigenvalue of Robin Laplacian in dimension 2. Let u and z be solutions to (1.13) and (1.14) respectively. When $n = 2$, by choosing $p = 1$ in (1.5) and (1.7), we have

$$\int_{\Omega^\sharp} (u^\sharp)^2 dV_{g_\kappa} = \alpha_\kappa^{-1}\int_\Omega u^2 dV_g \leq \int_{\Omega^\sharp} z^2 dV_{g_\kappa}. \tag{5.1}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one has

$$\int_{\Omega^\sharp} u^\sharp z dV_{g_\kappa} \leq \int_{\Omega^\sharp} z^2 dV_{g_\kappa}. \tag{5.2}$$

By Rayleigh quotient for eigenvalues, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega) &= \frac{\int_{\Omega^\sharp} |\nabla_{g_\kappa} z|^2_{g_\kappa} dV_{g_\kappa} + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega^\sharp} z^2 d\mu_{g_\kappa}}{\int_{\Omega^\sharp} u^\sharp z dV_{g_\kappa}} \\ &\geq \frac{\int_{\Omega^\sharp} |\nabla_{g_\kappa} z|^2_{g_\kappa} dV_{g_\kappa} + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega^\sharp} z^2 d\mu_{g_\kappa}}{\int_{\Omega^\sharp} z^2 dV_{g_\kappa}} \geq \lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega^\sharp).\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Now we are in position to give the Bossel-Daners inequality for $n \geq 2$ and $\kappa = 0$. Let B_R^0 be the geodesic ball of radius R in Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n(0), g_0)$ such that $|\Omega|_g = \alpha_0 \left|B_R^0\right|_{g_0}$. Let u_0 be the eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_{1,\beta}(B_R^0)$ on geodesic ball B_R^0, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} u_0'' + \frac{n-1}{r} u_0' + \lambda_{1,\beta}(B_R^0) u_0 = 0, & \text{on } B_R^0, \\ u_0'(0) = 0, \quad u_0'(R) + \beta u_0(R) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.4}$$

It is known that the function $u_0(r)$ is positive for $r \in [0, R]$. Define $v(r) = (\ln u_0)'(r)$. According to Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 in [12] (See also [17, 9]), the function $v(r) = (\ln u_0)'(r)$ is strictly decreasing and then $0 \leq -v(r) < \beta$ for $r \in [0, R)$ and the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,\beta}(B_R^0)$ of Robin Laplacian is strictly decreasing with respect to radius R. Let u be the first eigenfunction of Laplacian equation on Ω. We can choose $u > 0$ and normalize it such that

$$\max_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} u(x) = 1, \quad \min_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} u(x) = m.$$

For $t \in (m, 1)$, set

$$U_t = \{x \in \Omega : \ u(x) > t\}, \quad \partial U_t^i = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) = t\}, \quad \partial U_t^e = \partial U_t \cap \partial\Omega = \{x \in \partial\Omega : u(x) \geq t\}. \tag{5.5}$$

Define the admissible subset $M_\beta(\Omega)$ of $C(\Omega)$ by

$$M_\beta(\Omega) = \left\{\varphi \in C(\Omega) : \ \varphi(x) \geq 0, \limsup_{x\to z} \varphi(x) \leq \beta, \quad \text{for all } z \in \partial\Omega\right\}. \tag{5.6}$$

For $\varphi \in M_\beta(\Omega)$, we can define the functional $H_\Omega(U_t, \varphi)$ as in [7] and [17]

$$H_\Omega(U_t, \varphi) = \frac{1}{|U_t|_g}\left(\beta \left|\partial U_t^e\right|_g + \int_{\partial U_t^i} \varphi d\mu_g - \int_{U_t} \varphi^2 dV_g\right). \tag{5.7}$$

For the first eigenfunction u, one can infer that $\frac{|\nabla_g u|_g}{u} \in M_\beta(\Omega)$ and

$$\lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega) = H_\Omega\left(U_t, \frac{\left|\nabla_g u\right|_g}{u}\right), \qquad \text{for almost all } t \in (m, 1). \tag{5.8}$$

For $\varphi \in M_\beta(\Omega)$, set

$$w = \varphi - \frac{\left|\nabla_g u\right|_g}{u}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad F(t) = \int_{U_t} w \frac{\left|\nabla_g u\right|_g}{u} dV_g.$$

From Lemma 3.1 in [12] (See also [9, 17]), the functional H_Ω satisfies

$$H_\Omega(U_t, \varphi) = \lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega) - \frac{1}{|U_t|_g}\left(\frac{1}{t}\frac{d}{dt}\left(t^2 F(t)\right) + \int_{U_t} w^2 dV_g\right) \tag{5.9}$$

for almost all $t \in (m,1)$. Furthermore, suppose that $\varphi \neq \frac{|\nabla_g u|_g}{u}$, then there exits a set $S \subset (m,1)$ with $|S| > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega) > H_\Omega(U_t, \varphi), \qquad \text{for all} \quad t \in S. \tag{5.10}$$

For $t \in (m,1]$, we define the ball $B^0_{r(t)}$ with radius $r(t)$ such that $|U_t|_g = \alpha_0 \left|B^0_{r(t)}\right|_{g_0}$. For $x \in \partial U_t^i$ and $t \in (m,1]$, we define

$$\varphi(x) = -v(r(t)) = \frac{-u_0'(r(t))}{u_0(r(t))}. \tag{5.11}$$

It is easy to check that $\varphi : \Omega \to (0,\infty)$ is a measurable function and

$$U_t = \{x \in \Omega : \ u(x) > t\} = \{x \in \Omega : \ \varphi(x) < -v(r(t))\} \tag{5.12}$$

is open in Ω for $t \in (m,1)$. From the construction of φ in (5.11) and the monotonic decreasing property of $v(r) = (\ln u_0)'(r)$, we notice that

$$0 \le \varphi(x) < \beta, \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega,$$

which implies that $\varphi \in M_\beta(\Omega)$. Since $|U_t|_g = \alpha_0 \left|B^0_{r(t)}\right|_{g_0}$, we have

$$\int_{U_t} \varphi^2 dV_g = \alpha_0 \int_{B^0_{r(t)}} (-v(r(t))^2 dV_{g_0}, \qquad \text{for } t \in (m,1]. \tag{5.13}$$

Moreover, by isoperimetric inequality (2.1) and $\varphi = -v(r(t)) \le \beta$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 \left|\partial B^0_{r(t)}\right|_{g_0} (-v(r(t))) \le & (-v(r(t))) \, |\partial U_t|_g \\ & \le \int_{\partial U_t^i} \varphi(x) d\mu_g + \beta \left|\partial U_t^e\right|_g, \qquad \text{for} \quad t \in (m,1), \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

From (5.11) and (5.14), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega^\sharp) &= H_{B^0_R}(B^0_{r(t)}, -v(r(t))) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \left|B^0_{r(t)}\right|_{g_0}} \left(\alpha_0 \int_{\partial B^0_{r(t)}} (-v(r(t))) d\mu_{g_0} - \alpha_0 \int_{B^0_{r(t)}} (-v(r(t)))^2 dV_{g_0} \right) \\ &\le \frac{1}{|U_t|_g} \left(\beta \left|\partial U_t^e\right|_g + \int_{\partial U_t^i} \varphi(x) d\mu_g - \int_{U_t} \varphi^2 dV_g \right) \\ &\le \lambda_{1,\beta}(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the inequality (1.15). When equality occurs in (1.15), the above inequalities become equalities. Therefore, according to [8], the equality holds in (1.15) if and only if (M,g) is isometric to $(\mathbb{R}^n(0), g_0)$ and Ω is isometric to a ball B^0_R, where g_0 is the canonical metric of Euclidean space.

□

References

[1] V. Agostiniani, M. Fogagnolo, L. Mazzieri, *Sharp geometric inequalities for closed hypersurfaces in manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Invent. Math. 222 (2020), no. 3, 1033–1101.

[2] A.Alvino, C. Nitsch, C. Trombetti, *A Talenti comparison result for solutions to elliptic problems with Robin boundary condition*. Preprint, arXiv:1909.11950.

[3] A. Alvino, P.-L. Lions, G. Trombetti, *Comparison results for elliptic and parabolic equations via Schwarz symmetrization*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire, 7(1990), p37-65.

[4] V. Amato, A. Gentile, A.L. Masiello, *Comparison results for solutions to p-Laplace equations with Robin boundary conditions*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.(2021), doi.org/10.1007/s10231-021-01153-y.

[5] A. Baernstein, Symmetrization in analysis. With David Drasin and Richard S. Laugesen. With a foreword by Walter Hayman. New Mathematical Monographs, 36. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2019).

[6] Z. M. Balogh, A. Kristály, *Sharp geometric inequalities in spaces with nonnegative Ricci curvature and Euclidean volume growth*, arXiv:2012.11862.

[7] M.H. Bossel, *Membranes Ĺastiquement liés: extension du thórème de Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn et de l'inǵalité de Cheeger*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 302(1), 47–50 (1986).

[8] S. Brendle, *Sobolev inequalities in manifolds with nonnegative curvature*, arXiv: 2009.13717.

[9] D. Bucur, D. Daners, *An alternative approach to the Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problems*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 37 (2010), 75–86.

[10] D. Bucur, A. Giacomini. *The Saint-Venant inequality for the Laplace operator with Robin boundary conditions*, Milan J. Math., 83 , no. 2, 327-343, 2015.

[11] I. Chavel, Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry, Academic Press, Orlando, 1984.

[12] D.G. Chen, Q.-M, Cheng, H. Li, *Faber-Krahn inequalities for Robin Laplacian on bounded domain in Riemannian manifolds*, Preprint.

[13] D.G. Chen, H. Li, *Talenti's comparison theorem for Poisson equation and applications on Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, arXiv:2104.05568

[14] F. Chiacchio, N. Gavitone, C. Nitsch, C.Trombetti, *Sharp estimates for the Gaussian torsional rigidity with Robin boundary conditions*, arXiv:2109.10117.

[15] D. Colladay, J. Langford, and P. McDonald, *Comparison Results, Exit Time Moments, and Eigenvalues on Riemannian Manifolds with a Lower Ricci Curvature Bound*, J. Geom. Anal. 28 (2018), 3906–3927

[16] Q.Y. Dai, Y. X. Fu, *Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problems involving p-Laplacian*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser. 27 (2011), no. 1, 13-28.

[17] D. Daners, *A Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problems in any space dimension*, Math. Ann. 333 (2006), 767–785.

[18] M. Fogagnolo and L. Mazzieri, *Minimising hulls, p-capacity and isoperimetric inequality on complete Riemannian manifolds*, arXiv: 2012.09490.

[19] N. Gamara, A. Hasnaoui, and A. Makni, *Torsional rigidity on compact Riemannian manifolds with lower Ricci curvature bounds*, Open Mathematics 13 (2015), 557–570.

[20] M. Gromov, Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces. Based on the 1981 French original. With appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes. Translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates. Reprint of the 2001 English edition. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2007.

[21] B. Kawohl. Rearrangements and convexity of level sets in PDE. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1150. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

[22] S. Kesavan, *Some remarks on a result of Talenti*, Annali Sc. Norm Sup. Pisa. Cl. Sci. (4) 15 (1988), 453–465.

[23] S. Kesavan. Symmetrization & applications. Series in Analysis, 3, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.

[24] A. Mondino, M. Vedovato, *A Talenti-type comparison theorem for* RCD(K, N) *spaces and applications*, arXiv:2009.03189.

[25] G. Talenti, *Elliptic equations and rearrangements*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci (4) 3 (1976).

[26] G. Talenti, *Nonlinear elliptic equations, rearrangements of functions and Orlicz spaces*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 120 (1979).

[27] G. Talenti, *Inequalities in rearrangement invariant function spaces*. Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications (1994).

Daguang Chen, E-mail: dgchen@tsinghua.edu.cn
Haizhong Li, E-mail: lihz@tsinghua.edu.cn
Yilun Wei, E-mail: weiyl19@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, P.R. China