

Inside an Asymptotically Flat Hairy Black Hole

Óscar J.C. Dias,^a Gary T. Horowitz,^b Jorge E. Santos^c

^a*STAG research centre and Mathematical Sciences, University of Southampton, UK*

^b*Department of Physics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, U.S.A.*

^c*Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, UK*

E-mail: O.J.Campos-Dias@soton.ac.uk, horowitz@ucsb.edu,
jss55@cam.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: We study the interior of a recently constructed family of asymptotically flat, charged black holes that develop (charged) scalar hair as one increases their charge at fixed mass. Inside the horizon, these black holes resemble the interior of a holographic superconductor. There are analogs of the Josephson oscillations of the scalar field, and the final Kasner singularity depends very sensitively on the black hole parameters near the onset of the instability. In an Appendix, we give a general argument that Cauchy horizons cannot exist in a large class of stationary black holes with scalar hair.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Equations of motion	3
3	No smooth inner horizon for charged fields	5
4	Dynamical epochs inside the horizon	6
4.1	Simplified interior equations of motion	6
4.2	Collapse of the Einstein-Rosen bridge	7
4.3	Josephson oscillations	9
4.4	Kasner epochs and transitions	12
5	Discussion	20
A	No smooth Cauchy horizon for rotating black holes with scalar hair	25

1 Introduction

Static black holes in Einstein-Maxwell theory are very simple, and given by the Reissner-Nordström (RN) solutions. It has recently been shown that under certain conditions these black holes can become unstable to forming scalar hair, i.e., static scalar fields outside the horizon. One class of examples involves theories with higher curvature terms, such as scalar couplings to the Gauss-Bonnet action [1–3]. In these examples, the Maxwell field plays no role and even Schwarzschild black holes can become unstable to forming neutral scalar hair due to the coupling of the scalar to the curvature near the horizon. Another class of examples without higher curvature terms involves coupling a massless scalar field directly to $F_{ab}F^{ab}$ [4, 5]. In this case, when the electric charge on the black hole becomes large enough, RN becomes unstable. This is because $F_{ab}F^{ab} < 0$ for an electrically charged black hole, and acts like a negative potential for the scalar near the horizon. There is growing literature on these “scalarized” black holes (see references in [6] for a rather recent list of papers) but it almost always is focussed on neutral scalar fields.

In a recent paper [7], we added a massive, charged scalar field ψ to Einstein-Maxwell with a simple $|\psi|^2 F_{ab}F^{ab}$ coupling. As above, when the electric charge is large enough,

RN becomes unstable and develops charged scalar hair. We found that the hairy black holes in this theory can have unusual extremal limits. Previously studied hairy black holes either become singular in the extremal limit, or have a zero temperature degenerate horizon like RN. In the theory with $|\psi|^2 F_{ab} F^{ab}$ coupling, we found that for some range of parameters, the solution with maximum charge is a nonsingular black hole with nonzero temperature. We called such objects “maximal warm holes”.

In this paper, we look inside the horizon of these hairy black holes. These solutions are asymptotically flat analogs of the asymptotically anti-de Sitter (AdS) solutions known as holographic superconductors [8–10], which have been extensively studied. In AdS, the charged scalar condenses at low temperature without any explicit coupling between the scalar and Maxwell field. Without the cosmological constant, however, this does not happen [11] and one needs to add an interaction like $|\psi|^2 F_{ab} F^{ab}$.¹

It was recently shown [15] that there is interesting dynamics inside the horizon of a holographic superconductor. Just below the critical temperature T_c when the scalar field is very small outside, the interior evolves through several distinct epochs, including a collapse of the Einstein-Rosen bridge, and Josephson oscillations of the scalar field. There is no Cauchy horizon and the solution ends in a Kasner singularity, which is characterized by one parameter p_t . Most importantly, it was shown that p_t is extremely sensitive to the black hole temperature near T_c : for any $\epsilon > 0$, p_t cycles through a finite range of values an infinite number of times as T increases from $T_c - \epsilon$ to T_c .

To see whether this sensitive dependence of the black hole singularity on its temperature is just a feature of AdS black holes, we study the interior of the solutions constructed in [7].² We find almost exactly the same behavior as seen in the holographic superconductors. In particular, near the onset of the instability, one again sees the same dynamical epochs and extreme sensitivity of the singularity on the black hole parameters. The main difference between the AdS and asymptotically flat black holes is in the transition from one Kasner regime to another that can occur inside the horizon. In our asymptotically case, these depend on the new coupling between the scalar and Maxwell field, and are more complicated than for AdS black holes.

The fact that most hairy black holes do not have Cauchy horizons has been es-

¹ Even without a direct coupling between the scalar and Maxwell field, there are black holes with charged scalar hair if the scalar is self-interacting [12, 13]. However those black holes do not branch off from RN, so the RN solution never becomes unstable. In higher dimensions, there are examples of hairy black holes in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity with a minimally coupled charged scalar field with no self interactions [14].

² For other recent discussions of the interior of hairy black holes, see [16, 17].

tablished in a series of papers [15, 18–22]. We give a proof applicable to our theory in Sec. 4. In addition, we give a more general argument in an Appendix which applies to all stationary solutions of any theory of gravity coupled to a complex scalar with positive potential. In particular, it applies to the rotating black holes with scalar hair constructed in [23–26]. To our knowledge this is the first argument that applies to solutions that are not static and either spherically symmetric or planar, *i.e.*, with cohomogeneity greater than one.

2 Equations of motion

We are interested in asymptotically flat charged black hole solutions that can develop scalar hair due to a particular coupling of a massive charged scalar field to the Maxwell field. So we consider the action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [R - F^2 - 4(\mathcal{D}_a \psi)(\mathcal{D}^a \psi)^\dagger - 4m^2|\psi|^2 - 4\alpha F^2|\psi|^2] , \quad (2.1)$$

where m and q are the mass and charge of the scalar field and $\mathcal{D} = \nabla - i q A$ and $F = dA$. This theory satisfies all the usual energy conditions if the Maxwell-scalar coupling constant α is positive, which we will assume is the case.

The equations of motion for this action read

$$R_{ab} - \frac{R}{2} g_{ab} = 2(1 + 4\alpha|\psi|^2) \left(F_{ac} F_b{}^c - \frac{g_{ab}}{4} F^{cd} F_{cd} \right) + 2[(\mathcal{D}_a \psi)(\mathcal{D}_b \psi)^\dagger + (\mathcal{D}_a \psi)^\dagger(\mathcal{D}_b \psi) - g_{ab}(\mathcal{D}_c \psi)(\mathcal{D}^c \psi)^\dagger - g_{ab} m^2 |\psi|^2] , \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\nabla_a [(1 + 4\alpha|\psi|^2) F^{ab}] = i q [(\mathcal{D}^b \psi) \psi^\dagger - (\mathcal{D}^b \psi)^\dagger \psi] , \quad (2.2b)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{D}^a \psi - \alpha F^{cd} F_{cd} \psi - m^2 \psi = 0 . \quad (2.2c)$$

Besides the Reissner-Nordström solution with vanishing scalar field, this theory also has hairy black hole solutions with nonzero ψ . In fact, as we increase the charge on a RN black hole, it becomes unstable at a critical charge Q_c . This instability and the resulting hairy black holes were studied (outside the horizon) in [7]. Here, we are interested in diving into the event horizon of these hairy black holes and studying the properties of their interior.

To study the interior of the asymptotically flat charged black holes of (2.1) it is convenient to use the ansatz³

$$ds^2 = \frac{r_+^2}{z^2} \left[-f(z)e^{-\chi(z)} \frac{dt^2}{r_+^2} + \frac{dz^2}{f(z)} + \frac{dx^2}{1 - \kappa x^2} + (1 - \kappa x^2) d\phi^2 \right] \quad (2.3a)$$

$$A = \Phi(z) dt, \quad (2.3b)$$

$$\psi = \psi^\dagger = \psi(z), \quad (2.3c)$$

where $\kappa = 1$,⁴ and $f(z)$, $\chi(z)$, $\Phi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ are function of z only. The parameter r_+ controls the temperature of the event horizon and the area of this bifurcating Killing horizon, as displayed below in (2.6).

We will require that $f(1) = 0$, so non-extremal charged black hole solutions described by (2.3) have an event horizon at $z = z_H \equiv 1$. We will show in the next section that there is no Cauchy horizon when ψ is nonzero. The asymptotic region is at $z = 0$ and thus the exterior of the black hole is the region $z \in (0, 1)$ while the interior region between the event horizon and singularity is $z \in (1, \infty)$. The coordinate z is a spacelike radial coordinate in the exterior region but it becomes timelike in the interior region.

Inserting (2.3) into (2.2), the equations of motion boil down to

$$z^2 e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}} \left[(1 + 4\alpha\psi^2) e^{\frac{\chi}{2}} \Phi' \right] - \frac{2\tilde{q}^2 y_+^2 \psi^2 \Phi}{f} = 0, \quad (2.4a)$$

$$z^2 e^{\frac{\chi}{2}} \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}} f \psi'}{z^2} \right)' - \left(\frac{y_+^2}{z^2} - \frac{\tilde{q}^2 y_+^2 e^{\chi} \Phi^2}{f} - 2e^{\chi} z^2 \alpha \Phi'^2 \right) \psi = 0, \quad (2.4b)$$

$$\chi' - 4z \left(\frac{\tilde{q}^2 y_+^2 e^{\chi}}{f^2} \psi^2 \Phi^2 + \psi'^2 \right) = 0, \quad (2.4c)$$

$$e^{\frac{\chi}{2}} z^4 \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}} f}{z^3} \right)' + z^2 \kappa - 2y_+^2 \psi^2 - (1 + 4\alpha\psi^2) e^{\chi} z^4 \Phi'^2 = 0, \quad (2.4d)$$

where $\tilde{q} \equiv q/m$, $y_+ \equiv r_+ m$, and $'$ denotes a derivative with respect to z . The term proportional to $\kappa = 1$ in the last equation comes from the curvature of the two-spheres.

To solve numerically the equations of motion, we will find convenient to do a field redefinition that explicitly indicates that the solution has an event horizon at $z = 1$

³ To make contact with the line element that we used in the companion paper [7], (2.3) reduces to it when we redefine the radial coordinate $z = r_+/r$ and set $f = z^2 p$ and $\chi = -2 \ln g$. Moreover, setting $\kappa = 1$, the change of variable $x = \cos \theta$ rewrites the 2-sphere line element in the familiar spherical coordinates.

⁴ Introducing κ will help us to later identify terms coming from the curvature of the two-spheres in the equations of motion. Unlike in AdS space where solutions exist with $\kappa = \pm 1, 0$, only spherical spatial cross sections yield solutions when $\Lambda = 0$.

and we are working in a gauge where A_t vanishes on the horizon (i.e. q_1 and q_2 are finite at $z = 1$):

$$f(z) = z^2(1-z)q_1(z), \quad \Phi(z) = (1-z)q_2(z), \quad \psi(z) = q_3(z), \quad \chi(z) = -\ln q_4(z). \quad (2.5)$$

Let $\mu \equiv A_t(0) = q_2(0)$ denote the electrostatic potential at infinity. The dimensionless mass M , charge Q , entropy $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ and temperature $T_{\mathcal{H}}$ of the black holes are then given by:

$$Mm = \frac{y_+}{2}[1 - q_1'(0)], \quad Qm = y_+[\mu - q_2'(0)],$$

$$S_{\mathcal{H}} m^2 = \pi y_+^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{T_{\mathcal{H}}}{m} = \frac{q_1(1)\sqrt{q_4(1)}}{4\pi y_+}. \quad (2.6)$$

We work with dimensionless quantities since there is a scaling symmetry which relates different solutions. Inequivalent solutions can be labeled by the four dimensionless quantities $\tilde{q}, \alpha, Mm, Qm$. However, to find the solutions numerically, it is more convenient to use a slightly different set of dimensionless quantities: $(\tilde{q}, \alpha, y_+, \mu)$.

When the scalar field vanishes, *i.e.* $\psi = 0$, the only charged black hole of the theory is given by the familiar Reissner-Nordström (RN) solution with event horizon at $z = z_{\mathcal{H}} \equiv 1$ and Cauchy horizon at $z = z_{\mathcal{I}} = 1/\mu^2$. In our coordinates, this solution is:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z_{\mathcal{I}}} z^2(z-1)(z-z_{\mathcal{I}}), \quad \chi(z) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(z) = \mu(1-z). \quad (2.7)$$

3 No smooth inner horizon for charged fields

Before discussing the interior dynamics, we first show that these black holes cannot have a smooth inner horizon (similar proofs were first presented in [15, 19]). This follows from the existence of a conserved quantity in our theory. By virtue of the equations of motion (2.4) the following quantity is a constant

$$C_1 = \frac{e^{\frac{\chi}{2}}}{z^2} (e^{-\chi} f)' - 4e^{\frac{\chi}{2}} \Phi' \Phi (1 + 4\alpha \psi^2) + 2\kappa \int_{z_{\mathcal{H}}}^z \frac{e^{-\frac{\chi(x)}{2}}}{x^2} dx. \quad (3.1)$$

Let us assume an inner horizon exists at $z = z_{\mathcal{I}} > z_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then, since $f(z) < 0$ when $z \in (z_{\mathcal{H}}, z_{\mathcal{I}})$ and must vanish at a horizon, we need to have $f'(z_{\mathcal{I}}) > 0$ at $z = z_{\mathcal{I}}$. From the form of the equations of motion, it is clear that a smooth horizon must have either $\Phi = 0$ or $\psi = 0$. But if $\psi = 0$ on the horizon, it must vanish everywhere near the horizon (here we implicitly assume that ψ is analytic in a neighborhood of the horizon). So we must have $\Phi(z_{\mathcal{I}}) = 0$.

Evaluating C_1 at the event horizon gives

$$C_1 = \frac{e^{\frac{\chi(z_{\mathcal{H}})}{2}}}{z_{\mathcal{H}}^2} f'(z_{\mathcal{H}}) < 0 \quad (3.2)$$

where we used that $f'(z_{\mathcal{H}}) < 0$ since $z = z_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a smooth black hole horizon. At the inner horizon, we find

$$C_1 = \frac{e^{\frac{\chi(z_{\mathcal{I}})}{2}}}{z_{\mathcal{I}}^2} f'(z_{\mathcal{I}}) + 2\kappa \int_{z_{\mathcal{H}}}^{z_{\mathcal{I}}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\chi(x)}{2}}}{x^2} dx > 0, \quad (3.3)$$

but since C_1 is conserved, this is a contradiction.

4 Dynamical epochs inside the horizon

4.1 Simplified interior equations of motion

Just like in recent AdS studies [15, 18, 22], we find that the dynamics inside the horizon of our asymptotically flat hairy black holes separates into distinct epochs near the critical charge, Q_c where the scalar field first turns on. These epochs are: the collapse of the Einstein-Rosen bridge, the Josephson oscillations and the Kasner epochs. We describe these in the next subsections. Since we cannot solve the full equations exactly, we adopt the following strategy. We use the numerical solutions of the full equations to identify terms in the equations of motion which are negligible during the epoch of interest. We then drop those terms and find analytic solutions to the resulting equations. Finally, we compare the analytic solutions to the full numerical one.

During the ER collapse and Josephson epochs (and in some circumstances during the Kasner period), the strategy outlined in the previous paragraph indicates that we can neglect all the scalar field mass terms⁵ in (2.4) and the scalar charge term in (2.4a). In these conditions, the equations of motion in the interior of the black hole are well

⁵ Working with dimensionless quantities measured in scalar mass units, as we do, these are the two terms proportional to $y_+^2 = r_+^2 m^2$ in (2.4) that do not depend on any other parameters.

approximated by

$$z^2 e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}} \left[(1 + 4\alpha\psi^2) e^{\frac{\chi}{2}} \Phi' \right]' \simeq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Phi' \simeq \frac{E_0 e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}}}{1 + 4\alpha\psi^2}, \quad (4.1a)$$

$$z^2 e^{\frac{\chi}{2}} \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}} f \psi'}{z^2} \right)' \simeq - \left(\frac{\tilde{q}^2 y_+^2 e^{\chi} \Phi^2}{f} + \frac{2z^2 \alpha E_0^2}{(1 + 4\alpha\psi^2)^2} \right) \psi, \quad (4.1b)$$

$$\chi' = 4z \left(\frac{\tilde{q}^2 y_+^2 e^{\chi}}{f^2} \psi^2 \Phi^2 + \psi'^2 \right), \quad (4.1c)$$

$$\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}} f}{z^3} \right)' \simeq \left(\frac{E_0^2}{1 + 4\alpha\psi^2} - \frac{\kappa}{z^2} \right) e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}}, \quad (4.1d)$$

where E_0 is the constant electric field in the regimes where the approximations hold⁶.

4.2 Collapse of the Einstein-Rosen bridge

As we increase the black hole charge slightly above the critical charge Q_c for the scalar instability, the solution resembles RN until we approach the inner Cauchy horizon. At this point, the scalar field triggers an instability very much like in recent AdS studies [15, 18]. This instability is stronger for small values of the scalar field, which highlights the nonlinear nature of the dynamics in this regime. The fundamental phenomenon, already observed in the AdS studies [15, 18], is that as g_{tt} approaches its would-be zero value at the Cauchy horizon, it suddenly suffers a very rapid collapse and becomes exponentially small. For this reason, in [18] this phenomenon was dubbed the collapse of the Einstein-Rosen (ER) bridge. This phenomenon has been recently established in the mathematical literature [22].

As in [15, 18], for very small scalar field the instability is so fast that we can keep the z coordinate essentially fixed. This means that we can take $z = z_\star + \delta z$, so that all explicit factors of z in the equations of motion are set to the constant z_\star and f, χ, ψ, Φ are now functions of δz . z_\star is close to the inner horizon of RN. Moreover, as the numerical solution of the full equations confirms, in this limit the Maxwell potential Φ is large compared to its derivative $\Phi' \propto E_0$. It follows that in the right hand side of (4.1b) we can neglect the second term ($\alpha \Phi'^2 \propto \alpha E_0^2$) when compared to the first term ($\propto \Phi^2$) and in (4.1b) and (4.1c) we can set $\Phi \simeq \Phi_o$. However, comparison with the

⁶ As in [15], the electric field is in the spacelike t direction, while Φ is a component of the vector potential inside the horizon, with the z coordinate being ‘time’. In the language of superconductors, the last term of the Maxwell equation (2.4a) is a Josephson electric current in the interior, generated by the condensate ψ and vector potential Φ . We drop the Josephson current in (4.1a) since we observe (when comparing the approximate solution with the full numerical solution) that it does not backreact significantly on the electric field in any of the three epochs we will describe.

numerical full solution indicates that it is fundamental to keep the term proportional to $\Phi' \propto E_0$ in (4.1d), although we can do the approximation $1 + 4\alpha\psi^2 \simeq 1$ in this term since the scalar field ψ is very small. Altogether, the equations of motion read,

$$\Phi \simeq \Phi_o, \quad (4.2a)$$

$$\left(e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}} f \psi'\right)' + \frac{\tilde{q}^2 y_+^2 e^{\frac{\chi}{2}} \Phi_o^2}{f} \psi \simeq 0, \quad (4.2b)$$

$$\chi' \simeq 4 z_\star \left(\frac{\tilde{q}^2 y_+^2 e^{\chi} \Phi_o^2}{f^2} \psi^2 + \psi'^2 \right), \quad (4.2c)$$

$$\left(e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}} f\right)' \simeq z_\star (E_0^2 z_\star^2 - \kappa) e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}}. \quad (4.2d)$$

With these approximations (validated à posteriori) the solution in the collapse of the ER bridge is very similar to the one found in the AdS system of [15] with the cosmological constant term replaced by the S^2 curvature contribution proportional to $\kappa = 1$ in (4.2d). In particular, note that Maxwell-scalar coupling terms proportional to α do not appear in (4.2).

Equation (4.2b) can be solved explicitly yielding

$$\psi \simeq \psi_o \cos \left(y_+ \tilde{q} \Phi_o \int_{z_\star}^z \frac{e^{\chi/2} dz}{f} + \varphi_o \right), \quad (4.3)$$

where ψ_o and φ_o are two integration constants. To get the gravitational field, one first observes that, interestingly, the scalar field oscillations (4.3) drop out of the equation (4.2c) for χ . This is because inserting (4.3) on the right hand side of (4.2c) one finds that it reduces to a constant, $f^{-2} \tilde{q}^2 y_+^2 e^{\chi} \Phi_o^2 \psi_o^2$. Now, it is useful to recall that, from (2.3), one has $g_{tt} = \frac{1}{z_\star^2} f e^{-\chi}$. Taking the derivative of (4.2d), and after some algebra that uses the definition of g_{tt} , its derivative and (4.2d) itself, we find that g_{tt} must obey the second order nonlinear ODE

$$\frac{g_{tt}''}{g_{tt}'} - \frac{c_1^2 g_{tt}'}{g_{tt}(c_1^2 + g_{tt})} \simeq 0, \quad \text{with} \quad c_1^2 = \frac{2y_+^2 \tilde{q}^2 \Phi_o^2 \psi_o^2}{z_\star^2 (E_o^2 z_\star^2 - \kappa)}. \quad (4.4)$$

Apart from the particular value of the constant c_1 , this is the same ODE found in the collapse of the ER bridge of the AdS studies [15, 18] and thus it has a similar solution:

$$c_1^2 \ln(g_{tt}) + g_{tt} = -c_2^2 (z - z_o) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad g_{tt} = c_1^2 W \left(c_1^{-2} e^{-(c_2/c_1)^2 (z - z_o)} \right), \quad (4.5)$$

where $c_2 > 0$ and z_o are integration constants and $W(x) \equiv \text{ProductLog}(x)$ gives the principal solution for w in $x = w e^w$. For $z < z_o$, $g_{tt} \propto (z_o - z)$ is linearly vanishing, as in the approach to an inner horizon, but for $z > z_o$, $g_{tt} \propto e^{-(c_2/c_1)^2 (z - z_o)}$ is nonzero but

exponentially small, instead of vanishing or changing sign. This collapse occurs over a coordinate range $\Delta z = (c_1/c_2)^2$. Since c_1 is proportional to ψ_0 which vanishes as $Q \rightarrow Q_c$, this justifies our assumption that the collapse happens very quickly after the scalar field turns on. In particular, the radius of the transverse spheres barely changes. These solutions agree well with the full numerical evolution as shown in Fig. 1. Having g_{tt} we can now get the solution for χ and f :

$$e^{-\chi} = \frac{c_2^2 g_{tt}^2}{(c_1^2 + g_{tt})^2} \frac{z_\star}{E_0^2 z_\star^2 - \kappa}, \quad f = -z_\star^2 e^\chi g_{tt}, \quad (4.6)$$

where we used the fact that $g'_{tt} = -\frac{c_2^2 g_{tt}}{c_1^2 + g_{tt}}$ which follows from (4.5).




Figure 1: A comparison of the numerical solutions (solid grey lines) and fits to the analytic expressions (4.3) and (4.5) (black dotted curves) describing the collapse of the Einstein-Rosen bridge. This is for $mM = 0.941632$, $Q/Q_c = 1.001833$, $q = m$ and $\alpha = 1$.

4.3 Josephson oscillations

Although we have neglected the Josephson current term (i.e. the last term in (2.4a)) in the interior equation (4.1a), the scalar field solution (4.3) encodes information about Josephson oscillations. Indeed, inside the horizon z is a timelike coordinate while t is spacelike. Moreover, the argument of the cosine in (4.3) can be written as $y_+ \tilde{q} \int A_t d\tau$, where $d\tau = \sqrt{g_{zz}} dz$ is the proper time and $A_t = A_t / \sqrt{g_{tt}}$ is the vector potential in locally

flat coordinates. A nonzero A_t indicates a phase winding in the t direction. The scalar condensate ψ determines the superfluid stiffness. Thus, (4.3) describes oscillations in time of the superfluid stiffness sourced by a background phase winding, a phenomenon that is known as the Josephson effect. In the aftermath of the collapse of the ER bridge, these Josephson oscillations become (for $Q \approx Q_c$) the dominant feature in the solution over a regime that is naturally denoted as the Josephson oscillations epoch and that we now describe.

By the end of the collapse of the ER bridge epoch, the derivative of the Maxwell field Φ' is still very small. This is essentially because $\Phi' \propto e^{-\chi/2}$ – see (4.1a) – and $e^{-\chi/2}$ is very small. It follows that in the Josephson oscillation epoch we can still neglect the $E_0 e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}}$ contribution in (4.1a) but we can also take $\left(\frac{E_0^2}{1+4\alpha\psi^2} - \frac{\kappa}{z^2}\right) e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}} \simeq 0$ in the interior equation (4.1d). Thus, the Maxwell field Φ and gravitational field f are given by

$$\Phi \simeq \Phi_o, \quad \frac{f e^{-\chi/2}}{z^3} \simeq -\frac{1}{c_3}, \quad (4.7)$$

with c_3 constant. To determine the latter, we match the Josephson oscillation solution (4.7) with the $z > z_o$ solution (4.6) of the collapse of the ER bridge in the region where they overlap. This yields

$$c_3 \simeq \frac{c_2}{c_1^2} \sqrt{\frac{z_\star^3}{E_0^2 z_\star^2 - \kappa}}, \quad (4.8)$$

where we have approximated $c_1^2 + g_{tt}^2(z_\star) \simeq c_1^2$ since g_{tt} is very small near the inner horizon. It follows that c_3 becomes large as $Q \rightarrow Q_c$.

Inserting (4.7) into (4.1b) the scalar field must obey the Bessel equation $(z\psi')' - c_3^2 y_+^2 \tilde{q}^2 \Phi_o^2 z^{-5} \psi \simeq 0$ whose solution is

$$\psi \simeq c_4 J_0 \left(\frac{y_+ |\tilde{q} \Phi_o| c_3}{2z^2} \right) + c_5 Y_0 \left(\frac{y_+ |\tilde{q} \Phi_o| c_3}{2z^2} \right), \quad (4.9)$$

where c_4 and c_5 are integration constants. To find these constants we need to match the small z behaviour of the Josephson solution (4.9) and its derivative ψ' with the large z behaviour of the ER bridge collapse solution (4.3) and its derivative in the overlapping region around z_\star . This yields

$$c_4 \simeq \left(\frac{z_\star \pi^2 c_2^2}{32} \frac{\psi_o^2}{c_1^2} \right)^{1/4} \sin \left(\frac{c_2 \sqrt{z_\star}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\psi_o c_1} - \varphi_o + \frac{\pi}{4} \right), \quad (4.10a)$$

$$c_5 \simeq \left(\frac{z_\star \pi^2 c_2^2}{32} \frac{\psi_o^2}{c_1^2} \right)^{1/4} \sin \left(\frac{c_2 \sqrt{z_\star}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\psi_o c_1} - \varphi_o - \frac{\pi}{4} \right), \quad (4.10b)$$

So the oscillations of the scalar field start in the collapse of the ER bridge regime – see (4.3) – and they propagate continuously onto the Josephson oscillation epoch where they are described by the Bessel oscillation (4.9). As c_3 is large these oscillations are very fast. These oscillations will propagate further into the interior so it is important to study the large z behavior of the scalar field (4.9):

$$\psi|_{\text{large } z} \simeq \frac{2c_5}{\pi} \ln \left(c_3 \frac{y_+ \tilde{q} e^{\gamma_E} \Phi_o}{4z^2} \right) + c_4 + \dots, \quad (4.11)$$

with γ_E being the Euler-Mascheroni constant. The logarithmic behavior indicates the onset of a Kasner regime, that we will describe in the following section.

To complete our discussion of the scalar field during the Josephson epoch, in the left panel of Fig. 2 we compare the analytical approximation (4.9) (black dotted line) with the numerical data for the scalar field (continuous line) for $\alpha = 1, q = m, mM = 0.941632$ and $Q/Q_c = 1.001833$. Again, we confirm that the assumptions made to find the analytical description of the system during the intermediate Josephson epoch is in excellent agreement (as $Q \rightarrow Q_c$ where ψ is small) to the numerical solution of the full equations of motion (2.4).




Figure 2: A comparison of the numerical solutions (solid grey lines) and fits to the analytic expressions (4.9) and (4.12) (black dotted curves) describing Josephson oscillations. This is for $mM = 0.941632$, $Q/Q_c = 1.001833$, $q = m$ and $\alpha = 1$.

Finally, we can also find the metric solution. One first notes that inserting (4.7) into (4.1c) yields the equation $\chi' = 4 \left(z \psi'^2 + z^{-5} c_3^2 y_+^2 \tilde{q}^2 \Phi_o^2 \psi^2 \right)$ that we can solve for χ .

We can insert this back into (4.7) to get the solution for f . Altogether we find that

$$\chi \simeq 2 \ln(f_o c_3) + 4 \int_{z_*}^z \left[\tilde{z} \psi'^2 + \frac{y_+^2 \tilde{q}^2 \Phi_o^2 c_3^2 \psi^2}{\tilde{z}^5} \right] d\tilde{z}, \quad (4.12a)$$

$$f \simeq -f_o z^3 \exp \left\{ 2 \int_{z_*}^z \left[\tilde{z} \psi'^2 + \frac{y_+^2 \tilde{q}^2 \Phi_o^2 c_3^2 \psi^2}{\tilde{z}^5} \right] d\tilde{z} \right\}, \quad (4.12b)$$

where f_o is a constant of integration, and $g_{tt} = z^{-2} f e^{-\chi}$. The Bessel solution (4.9) should be inserted into these integrals. The integrals can be done analytically in terms of Bessel functions. These describe the small oscillations seen in $z f'/f$ in the right panel of Fig. 2. Altogether, the two plots of Fig. 2 verify that, at small $Q - Q_c$, the functional forms (4.9) and (4.12) fit the numerical solutions to the full differential equations (2.4) all the way from the end of the ER collapse, through the Josephson oscillation epoch, and till the beginning of the subsequent Kasner regime.

Note that, like the collapse of the ER bridge epoch, the solution in the Josephson oscillations region is similar to the one found in the AdS system of [15] (with the cosmological constant term now replaced by the S^2 curvature contribution). In particular, the Maxwell-scalar coupling terms proportional to α are again not relevant. This will no longer be the case for the Kasner transitions that we discuss next.

4.4 Kasner epochs and transitions

As shown in (4.11), at the end of the Josephson oscillations, ψ grows logarithmically. This marks the entrance to a new era described by a Kasner cosmology as we now explain. If we write $\psi = \beta \ln z$ with

$$\beta = -\frac{4}{\pi} c_5, \quad (4.13)$$

and plug it into (4.12) we find that the large z behavior of χ and f is

$$f \simeq -f_o z^{3+2\beta^2}, \quad \chi \simeq 4\beta^2 \ln z. \quad (4.14)$$

This corresponds to a metric in which all components are powers of z . Furthermore, from (4.1a), the Maxwell potential is

$$\Phi \simeq \Phi_K + \frac{E_K z^{1-2\beta^2}}{1 + 4\alpha\beta^2 \ln^2 z}. \quad (4.15)$$

So, as long as $\beta^2 > 1/2$, the Maxwell field will remain unimportant at large z . (We will consider the consequences of $\beta^2 < 1/2$ later.) Introducing a proper time $\tau = \int \sqrt{g_{zz}} dz \propto z^{-(3/2+\beta^2)}$ (so $z = \infty$ corresponds to $\tau = 0$) and setting $\kappa = 0$ (since the

curvature of the sphere is negligible in this regime), the solution takes the standard Kasner form [27, 28]

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + \tau^{2p_t} dt^2 + \tau^{2p_x} (dx^2 + d\phi^2), \quad \psi = p_\psi \ln \tau \quad (4.16)$$

with

$$p_t = \frac{2\beta^2 - 1}{2\beta^2 + 3}, \quad p_x = \frac{2}{2\beta^2 + 3}, \quad p_\psi = \frac{2\beta}{2\beta^2 + 3}. \quad (4.17)$$

These exponents satisfy the usual Kasner relations⁷

$$p_t + 2p_x = 1, \quad p_t^2 + 2p_x^2 + 4p_\psi^2 = 1. \quad (4.18)$$

The metric (4.16) has a spacelike curvature singularity at $\tau = 0$ ($z = \infty$) in all cases except $p_t = 1$, which corresponds to $\beta = \infty$.

From (4.13), the parameter β controlling the Kasner exponents is proportional to c_5 , which from (4.10) is an oscillating function of the parameters. Numerically, we find that near Q_c , β is very well fit by

$$\beta = A \sin \left[\frac{B}{Q/Q_c - 1} + C \right] \quad (4.19)$$

over many oscillations. This is demonstrated in Fig. 3 where we see that the black dotted line describing (4.19) with $A \simeq 1.32104(2)$, $B \simeq 7.90056(3) \times 10^{-4}$ and $C \simeq -0.73961(2)$ (for $\alpha = 1, q = m, mM = 0.5$) is in excellent agreement with the numerical data (black continuous line) over many oscillations for small $Q - Q_c$. This clearly shows the extreme sensitivity of the Kasner exponents on the charge near the critical charge Q_c .

We can also find a good analytical approximation for the amplitude of the β oscillations near the critical charge Q_c . Indeed, as $Q \rightarrow Q_c$ the following approximations should be excellent: 1) $z_0 \sim z_\star \sim z_{\mathcal{I}} = 1/\mu^2$, 2) c_1 , being proportional to the scalar condensate as described by (4.4), approaches $c_1 \propto \psi_0 \rightarrow 0$ which allows us to find $c_2 \simeq y_+ \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}$ via (4.5) evaluated at $z = z_{\mathcal{I}}$ (i.e. c_2 attains its RN value), and 3) $\Phi_0 = \Phi(z_{\mathcal{I}}) \simeq -1/\mu$ and $E_0 = \Phi'(z_{\mathcal{I}}) \simeq -\mu$ are effectively also given by their RN values as read from (2.7). We can now insert these quantities in (4.10) and (4.13) to obtain that as $Q \rightarrow Q_c$, the amplitude in (4.19) should be well approximated by

$$A^4 \simeq \frac{4}{\pi^2 y_+^2 \tilde{q}^2 \mu^6}. \quad (4.20)$$

⁷ The factor of 4 in front of p_ψ^2 comes from our normalization of ψ in the action.




Figure 3: The parameter β determining the Kasner exponents as a function of the black hole charge Q for $\alpha = 1, q = m, mM = 0.5$. The solid grey line is the numerical solution and the dotted black curve is a fit to (4.19). The scalar starts to condense at the critical charge $m Q_c = .4942545$.

For $\alpha = 1, q = m, mM = 0.5$, this gives $A \simeq 1.32166(3)$ which is in very good agreement with the numerical value of $A \simeq 1.32104(2)$.

So far we have described the system at the end of the Josephson regime when it moves to the Kasner epoch. Next, we would like to understand what happens when the system evolves further inside the Kasner epoch. From (4.15), one sees that when $\beta^2 > 1/2$ at the beginning of the Kasner epoch, the Maxwell field remains bounded for large z . We then expect (and confirm it to be so) that in such cases, as we enter deeper into the Kasner regime all the way to the singularity at $z \rightarrow \infty$, the system remains described by the Kasner cosmology (4.16)-(4.17) with the same exponents.

On the other hand, when $\beta^2 < 1/2$ at the beginning of the Kasner epoch, there are new effects. Indeed, the growing Maxwell field (4.15) causes a transition to a different Kasner solution with new exponents.⁸ This transition can be described as follows.

⁸ Similar transitions were found inside Einstein-Yang-Mills black holes [29, 30].

Numerically, it is found that once the solution enters the Kasner epoch, the curvature, mass and charge terms all become negligible. Thus the interior equations of motion (4.1) further simplify to

$$\left[(1 + 4\alpha\psi^2) e^{\frac{\chi}{2}} \Phi' \right]' \simeq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Phi' \simeq \frac{E_0 e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}}}{1 + 4\alpha\psi^2}, \quad (4.21a)$$

$$z^2 e^{\frac{\chi}{2}} \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}} f \psi'}{z^2} \right)' + 2e^\chi z^2 \alpha \Phi'^2 \psi \simeq 0, \quad (4.21b)$$

$$\chi' - 4z\psi'^2 \simeq 0, \quad (4.21c)$$

$$e^{\frac{\chi}{2}} z^4 \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}} f}{z^3} \right)' - (1 + 4\alpha\psi^2) e^\chi z^4 \Phi'^2 \simeq 0. \quad (4.21d)$$

Quite importantly, unlike in the previous two epochs, this time we cannot neglect the terms proportional to the Maxwell-scalar coupling α in (4.21). Moreover, unlike the Josephson epoch where the Maxwell field was approximately constant, now the Maxwell field variation will have an important contribution to the evolution of the system.

The first and last equations of (4.21) can be readily integrated if we note that they are the homogeneous Josephson equations, with solution (4.7), but this time with a small source added. It follows that the solution of the non-homogeneous (4.21a) and (4.21d) is given by the homogeneous solution (4.7) plus the particular integral of the source:

$$\Phi \simeq \Phi_o + E_0 \int \frac{e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}}}{1 + 4\alpha\psi^2} dz, \quad (4.22a)$$

$$f \simeq e^{\frac{\chi}{2}} z^3 E_0^2 \left(\int \frac{e^{-\frac{\chi}{2}}}{1 + 4\alpha\psi^2} dz - \frac{1}{c_3} \right), \quad (4.22b)$$

where c_3 is given by (4.8).

The remaining two equations (4.21b)-(4.21c) can now be combined to form a third order differential equation for ψ . For that, we take the derivative of (4.21b) and, after some algebra that uses (4.21a), (4.21c), (4.21d) and (4.22b) to eliminate Φ' , χ' , f' and f , we find that $\psi(z)$ obeys the third order ODE:

$$1 + (1 + 4\alpha\psi^2) \left[\frac{1}{1 + 4\alpha\psi^2} \frac{1}{\psi' + z\psi''} \left(\psi' z + \frac{2\alpha\psi}{1 + 4\alpha\psi^2} \right) \right]' - \frac{2z\psi'^2}{\psi' + z\psi''} \left(\psi' z + \frac{2\alpha\psi}{1 + 4\alpha\psi^2} \right) \simeq 0. \quad (4.23)$$

We can convert this to a second order equation using the following change in variables

$$\psi'(z) = \frac{S(\psi(z))}{z}. \quad (4.24)$$

After some lengthy algebra we find

$$S \frac{d}{d\psi} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + 4\alpha\psi^2} \left[1 + \frac{2\alpha\psi}{(1 + 4\alpha\psi^2)S} \right] \frac{1}{\dot{S}} \right\} + \frac{1}{1 + 4\alpha\psi^2} + \frac{1 - 2S^2}{\dot{S}(1 + 4\alpha\psi^2)} \left[1 + \frac{2\alpha\psi}{(1 + 4\alpha\psi^2)S} \right] \simeq 0, \quad (4.25)$$

where $\dot{}$ means derivative with respect to ψ . Finding $\psi(z)$ now amounts to first solving this second order ODE for $S(\psi)$ and then doing the simple integration (4.24).

As a warm-up exercise to understand the solutions of (4.25), consider first the limit $\alpha = 0$. In this case we find that (4.25) admits an analytic solution of the form

$$S^{\alpha=0}(\psi) = \frac{1 + 2\beta_o^2}{4\beta_o} + \frac{(1 - 2\beta_o^2)}{4\beta_o} \tanh \left[\frac{(1 - 2\beta_o^2)(\psi - \psi_o)}{2\beta_o} \right]. \quad (4.26)$$

This solution has the same functional form as its AdS counterpart of [15]. In particular, for $\psi \rightarrow -\infty$, $S^{\alpha=0}$ is a constant. Indeed, assuming $|\beta_o| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$, we have set our integration constants in a such a way that

$$\lim_{\psi \rightarrow -\infty} S^{\alpha=0}(\psi) = \beta_o, \quad (4.27)$$

which defines β_o . Taking the opposite limit of large ψ , yields

$$\lim_{\psi \rightarrow +\infty} S^{\alpha=0}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2\beta_o}, \quad (4.28)$$

which is exactly the Kasner inversion found previously in AdS [15]. That is to say, if the Kasner transition occurs near z_{tr} , and one has $|\beta| < 1/\sqrt{2}$ and thus growing g_{tt} for $z \ll z_{\text{tr}}$, the Maxwell field growth (4.15) triggers a transition to a new Kasner regime with exponent $\beta_{\text{new}} = 1/(2\beta)$ with $|\beta_{\text{new}}| > 1/\sqrt{2}$ for $z \gg z_{\text{tr}}$, and thus with decreasing g_{tt} .

The α -dependent terms will modify this behavior. However, it seems difficult, if not impossible, to solve (4.25) analytically for generic values of α . If α is small, we can do perturbation theory by setting

$$S = S^{\alpha=0} + \alpha \tilde{S}, \quad (4.29)$$

and expanding around $\alpha = 0$. This exercise turns out to be doable, though the expression for \tilde{S} involves PolyLog functions and is rather cumbersome. Yet, we can obtain the limits $\psi \rightarrow \pm\infty$ which allow us to extract the relevant information for our purposes. We find that if

$$\lim_{\psi \rightarrow -\infty} S(\psi) = \beta_o, \quad (4.30)$$

then

$$\lim_{\psi \rightarrow +\infty} S(\psi) = \frac{1}{2\beta_o} - \left[\psi_o + \frac{\beta_o \log(2\beta_o^2)}{1 - 2\beta_o^2} \right] \frac{1 + 2\beta_o^2}{\beta_o^2} \alpha. \quad (4.31)$$

This results shows two things: 1) unlike the $\alpha = 0$ case, the behaviour at large ψ now depends not only on β_o but also on ψ_o , and 2) perturbation theory will break down when $\beta_o \rightarrow 0$.

Having exhausted our attempts to solve analytically, for generic and finite α , the approximate ODE for the scalar field (4.25) or (4.23) describing a Kasner transition, we must resort to numerical solutions of (4.23). Even though we have numerical solutions of the full set of equations (2.4), it is still of interest to verify whether the single equation (4.23) captures all the important effects. For that, we first choose a value $z = z_c$ in the middle of the first Kasner epoch and compute ψ, ψ', ψ'' at $z = z_c$. Equation (4.23) can then be integrated numerically to larger values of z to obtain $S(z) = z\psi'(z)$. Once this integration is completed, we can check whether the profile $S(z)$ obtained from solving (4.23) matches the numerical solution of the full equation of motion (2.4). Fig. 4 which plots $S = z\psi'$ as a function of z demonstrates that this is indeed the case. When S is constant in each of the curves, it describes a Kasner epoch with $S = \beta$ on the left side and $S = \beta_{\text{new}}$ on the right side. Different curves correspond to different starting values of $0 < \beta < 1/\sqrt{2}$, as identified in figure's caption, and after the transition all curves have $\beta_{\text{new}} > 1/\sqrt{2}$. In each of these curves, we see that (4.23) indeed describes the Kasner transitions very well, since the numerical integration of (4.23) (dashed black line) is essentially on top of the gray solid line that solves the full coupled ODE system (2.4). For this figure, we have set $\alpha = 1$, and the α dependent terms are important to describe this transition. In Fig. 4 we also observe an interesting property. For the two upper curves, the curve starting with higher β has lower β_{new} , very much like the Kasner inversion observed in the AdS case of [15]. However, in the four lowest curves we find that increasing the initial β also increases the final β_{new} . This is why we are calling this a Kasner transition, rather than an inversion.

To recap, after the Josephson oscillations the solution enters a Kasner epoch described by (4.16)-(4.17). If the parameters of our solution are such that $\beta^2 > 1/2$, (corresponding to decreasing g_{tt}) the system will remain in that Kasner epoch all the way to the final singularity at $z \rightarrow \infty$. However, if $\beta^2 < 1/2$ (increasing g_{tt}) at the beginning of the Kasner epoch, the evolution of the system is more elaborate. In this case, the growth of the Maxwell field (4.15) triggers a transition to a new Kasner epoch with $\beta \rightarrow \beta_{\text{new}}$. When $\beta_{\text{new}}^2 > 1/2$, the Kasner transition is often well described by (4.23) (as demonstrated in Fig. 4). In such cases, the Kasner exponent changes sign and the system then remains in this Kasner epoch with g_{tt} decreasing toward zero at




Figure 4: Kasner transitions between $S|_{z \ll z_{\text{tr}}} = \beta$ and $S|_{z \gg z_{\text{tr}}} = \beta_{\text{new}}$ where z_{tr} is the location of the transition. This is for $\alpha = 1, q = m, mM = 0.5$ and the different curves have different β , namely (from bottom to top on the left side): $\beta = \{0.82056, 1.0004, 1.1804, 1.3606, 1.5409, 1.7212\} \times 10^{-1}$ and $Q/Q_c - 1 = \{5.8918, 5.8857, 5.8796, 5.8735, 5.8674, 5.8613\} \times 10^{-5}$. For each curve, the gray solid line is the numerical solution of (2.4) and the dashed black line is the solution of the approximated ODE (4.23): they are superposed.

the $z \rightarrow \infty$ singularity.

An example of the complete dynamics including a Kasner transition is shown in Fig. 5. The yellow shaded region shows the Josephson oscillations of the scalar field (solid black line) and its effect on g_{tt} (blue dot-dashed curve). The series of short steps in $z g'_{tt}/g_{tt}$, similar to the right plot of Fig. 2, is also visible (red dashed curve). The grey shaded region shows the intermediate Kasner regime with $\beta^2 < 1/2$. Since g_{tt} is a power law, $z g'_{tt}/g_{tt}$ is constant, and since $\beta^2 < 1/2$, g_{tt} grows during this period. But eventually there is a transition to a new Kasner epoch with $\beta^2 > 1/2$ (blue shaded region) which continues until the singularity at $z = \infty$. Despite the fact that the intermediate Kasner region lasts for an exponentially large range of z , it corresponds to a short proper time.

The above discussion of Kasner transitions breaks down when $z\psi'$ becomes very




Figure 5: Typical example of the full dynamical evolution of a system with a Kasner transition like the ones observed in Fig. 4. The system evolves from the event horizon at $z = 1$ towards the final singularity at $z \rightarrow \infty$. In $1 < z < 10$ (yellow shaded area) the system goes quickly through the ER bridge collapse and Josephson oscillations epoch, then it stays relatively steady for a long time z (but short proper time) in the intermediate Kasner regime with $\beta \sim .1721212$, and finally (blue shaded area) there is a Kasner transition to $\beta_{\text{new}} \sim 1.848235$. This is for $\alpha = 1, q = m, mM = 0.5, Q/Q_c - 1 = 5.861297 \times 10^{-5}$.

large. This is because some of the terms we dropped in deriving (4.23) are no longer negligible. This can be seen very easily in the AdS case with $\alpha = 0$, since the inversion formula $\beta_{\text{new}} = 1/(2\beta)$ predicts $\beta_{\text{new}} \rightarrow \infty$ when $\beta \rightarrow 0$. But an infinite β_{new} would correspond to a solution with a smooth Cauchy horizon, which is forbidden. In that case the terms in the interior equations of motion (4.1) involving the charge \tilde{q} of the scalar field can no longer be neglected [15]. They modify the $\beta \rightarrow \beta_{\text{new}}$ transition formula so that β_{new} remains finite. We find a similar breakdown to (4.23) when $\alpha > 0$. The main difference is that since the Kasner transition is no longer given by a simple inversion $\beta_{\text{new}} = 1/(2\beta)$, the values of β initially that lead to large β_{new} must be found numerically.

Examples of these more general Kasner transitions are presented in Fig. 6 for $\alpha = 1, q = 0.5m, mM = 0.5$ and slightly different values of Q/Q_c . Rather than having $z\psi'$ grow monotonically as in Fig. 4, we see that it now reaches a maximum before settling into β_{new} . Remarkably, the new value of β changes by multiples of ten when $Q/Q_c - 1$ changes by 10^{-10} ! This highlights the extreme sensitivity of the interior dynamics on the black hole charge.

When the Kasner transition becomes sharp enough, it can trigger a new round of Josephson oscillations, as shown in Fig. 7. Note that this figure has the same parameters




Figure 6: Examples of Kasner transitions that are not described by (4.24). This is for $\alpha = 1, q = 0.5m, mM = 1.0$ and several values of Q/Q_c (see legend caption). In all cases, the initial constant value of $\beta = z\psi'$ before the transition is close to -0.42 . Note the high sensitivity of the curves to a small change of Q/Q_c .

as Fig. 6, with only a tiny change in the charge. The presence of these oscillations clearly shows the importance of the charge terms that were dropped in (4.23). Unlike the original Josephson oscillations, these later oscillations can also depend on α .

Fig. 6 shows that β_{new} can be both positive and negative depending on the charge. Since we can vary Q continuously, there are clearly cases with $\beta_{\text{new}}^2 < 1/2$. The subsequent evolution will then lead to a second Kasner transition similar to the first. This is illustrated in Fig. 8 where we show a case where a second Kasner transition indeed occurs at an incredibly large z . For certain finely tuned values of Q , this will repeat an arbitrarily large number of times.

5 Discussion

We have studied the dynamics inside a class of asymptotically flat hairy black holes. The evolution turns out to resemble the intricate structure recently found inside a holographic superconductor [15]. Just above the critical charge Q_c where the Reissner-Nordström solution becomes unstable to forming scalar hair, the interior dynamics passes through three epochs. There is a collapse of the Einstein-Rosen bridge, Joseph-




Figure 7: Details of a Kasner transition that is not described by (4.23) and where a fine tuned choice of parameters ($\alpha = 1, q = 0.5m, mM = 1.0$ and $Q/Q_c - 1 = 2.361775783 \times 10^{-3}$) shows that we can have Kasner transitions that are immediately followed by a ‘second’ Josephson oscillations phase. One has $\beta = -0.427266$ and $\beta_{\text{new}} = 1.01622$.

son oscillations of the scalar field, and then a Kasner epoch sometimes followed by transitions to different Kasner epochs. The Cauchy horizon is gone, and the spacetime ends in a Kasner singularity. But the parameter β governing the Kasner singularity (4.17) depends very sensitively on the black hole charge, as shown in Fig. 3. As $Q \rightarrow Q_c$ from above, and the scalar field outside the horizon smoothly goes to zero, the Kasner epoch inside cycles through a finite range of parameters an infinite number of times.

In addition, whenever $\beta^2 < 1/2$, the evolution for fixed Q involves a transition to a new Kasner epoch. This transition can trigger very fine oscillations resulting in the new epoch again having $\beta^2 < 1/2$, leading to further transitions. The number of such transitions depends very sensitively on Q and can be arbitrarily large. The net result is a chaotic pattern of singularities inside black holes with charge near Q_c . This is reminiscent of the mixmaster behavior expected near a generic singularity [31], but the mechanism is different. In the present case, the charged scalar field plays a crucial role.

We have focussed on the case where the charge is slightly above Q_c since that is where the dynamics cleanly separates into these different epochs, and the singularity




Figure 8: Example of multiple Kasner transitions which occur when the first transition yields $|\beta_{\text{new}}| < 1/\sqrt{2}$. For $\alpha = 1, q = 0.5m, mM = 0.5$ and $Q/Q_c - 1 = 2.361775850 \times 10^{-3}$, the system starts with $\beta = -0.426086$, and it has $\beta_{\text{new}} = -0.126666$ after the first transition which triggers a second transition at a very large z of $z_{\text{tr}(2)} \sim 3.3017 \times 10^{3507}$ (note the double logarithmic scale) that produces $\beta_{\text{new}(2)} = -3.93114$.

exhibits chaotic behavior. A more global picture of the singularity inside these hairy black holes is given in Fig. 9, which shows the Kasner exponent p_t over the entire range from $Q = Q_c$ to the maximum charge that the black hole can carry.

As mentioned in the introduction, it was shown in [7] that these hairy black holes can have unusual extremal limits. For a certain range of parameters, the maximum charge black hole for given mass is a nonsingular black hole with $Q^2 > M^2$ and nonzero temperature. This is possible since the scalar field is only bound to the black hole if μ (the electrostatic potential difference between the horizon and infinity) satisfies $\mu^2 q^2 \leq m^2$. This bound can be saturated when the black hole still has $T > 0$. The resulting objects were called “maximal warm holes”.

We have examined the singularity inside these maximal warm holes. The Kasner exponents are shown in Fig. 10 for the maximal warm hole family described by the red curve in the phase diagram of Fig. 1 of [7]. As Mm increases, the difference between the




Figure 9: The Kasner exponent p_t as a function of Q/Q_c from the onset of the scalar instability to the maximum charge this black hole can carry. This is for $\alpha = 1$, $q = .5m$ and $mM = 1$. The solution with maximum Q/Q_c is singular.

maximum charge, *i.e.* maximal warm hole charge, and critical charge for the instability of a RN black hole of that mass decreases to zero. So the wiggles seen on the right side of this figure can be understood as a result of the maximal warm hole approaching the onset of the RN instability. In both this figure and the previous one, $p_t \rightarrow 1$ as the solution approaches a singular extremal solution. This is because the Kasner singularity approaches the event horizon. In other words, the proper time from the bifurcation surface to the singularity goes to zero as one approaches the singular extremal solution.

Although we have not studied fully nonlinear dynamical solutions in our theory, it is very plausible that if we start with generic initial data for RN in its unstable regime, it will evolve to one of our static hairy black holes. The fact that these black holes do not have a Cauchy horizon is some evidence that strong cosmic censorship holds in this theory.

Our discussion has been entirely classical, and we expect quantum effects to become important near the singularity. They will also modify the Josephson oscillations when $Q \rightarrow Q_c$, and the frequency of oscillations diverge. However, staying away from the




Figure 10: The Kasner exponents inside a family of maximal warm holes (the red curve family of Fig. 1 in [7]). These are maximally charged black holes with $\alpha = 1$, $q = m$. The wiggles on the right are a result of the solution having a charge that approaches Q_c . At the lower limit of the mass, the solution becomes singular.

Planck regimes, we find it remarkable that adding a small amount of scalar hair can change a black hole interior in such profound and sensitive ways.

We have focused on static charged black holes, but Kerr black holes can also support massive scalar hair [23–26]. In fact, these are solutions which are not stationary and axisymmetric, and have only a single Killing field [23–26, 32, 33]. A complete investigation of their properties inside the horizon is beyond the scope of this paper, but in the Appendix we show that they cannot have a smooth Cauchy horizon. The proof is quite general and applies to black holes in more than four dimensions also.

Acknowledgments

O. J. C. D. acknowledges financial support from the STFC Grants ST/P000711/1 and ST/T000775/1. The work of G. T. H. was supported in part by NSF Grant PHY-2107939. J. E. S has been partially supported by STFC consolidated grants ST/P000681/1, ST/T000694/1. The numerical component of this study was partially carried out using the computational facilities of the Fawcett High Performance Comput-

ing system at the Faculty of Mathematics, University of Cambridge, funded by STFC consolidated grants ST/P000681/1, ST/T000694/1 and ST/P000673/1. The authors further acknowledge the use of the IRIDIS High Performance Computing Facility, and associated support services at the University of Southampton, in the completion of this work.

A No smooth Cauchy horizon for rotating black holes with scalar hair

We consider gravity in d -dimensions coupled to a complex scalar field with action

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} [R - \nabla_a \phi \nabla^a \phi^* - V(\phi \phi^*)] , \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $*$ denotes complex conjugation. The equations of motion are

$$R_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_a \phi \nabla_b \phi^* + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_b \phi \nabla_a \phi^* + \frac{V}{d-2} g_{ab} \quad (\text{A.2a})$$

$$\square \phi - V'(\phi \phi^*) \phi = 0 . \quad (\text{A.2b})$$

We are interested in solutions where the metric is stationary and axisymmetric. As such, the metric admits two commuting Killing fields k and m . We introduce two coordinates $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$, so that $k = \partial/\partial t$ and $m = \partial/\partial \varphi$. We assume the scalar field is nonzero and not invariant under k nor m , but only a linear combination. We also assume the solution has a smooth Cauchy horizon and will derive a contradiction.

Since we are interested in asymptotically flat black holes, the intersection of a partial Cauchy surface of constant t and the horizon (either the inner horizon or outer) must be compact. Under these circumstances, we expect that the horizons are Killing horizons with horizon generators ξ^\pm .

We can use the symmetries of the metric to write

$$\phi = e^{-i\omega t + i m_\varphi \varphi} \hat{\phi}$$

where $k(\hat{\phi}) = m(\hat{\phi}) = 0$, and $m_\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}$. The rigidity theorem tells us that if a Killing vector field exists, and is not the horizon generator, then a second Killing field must exist. Since we want to evade this theorem, we take the scalar to be invariant under the black hole horizon generator $\xi^+ = k + \Omega^+ m$ only (Ω^+ is the angular velocity of the outer horizon). This implies we must take

$$\omega = m_\varphi \Omega^+ .$$

Applying the same reasoning to the Cauchy horizon requires (Ω^- is the associated angular velocity)

$$\omega = m_\varphi \Omega^- ,$$

from which we conclude that the scalar ϕ will only be invariant and regular at the Cauchy and black hole event horizons if $\Omega_+ = \Omega_-$, which implies $\xi^+ = \xi^- \equiv \xi$.

Since ξ is Killing we have

$$\nabla_a \xi_b + \nabla_b \xi_a = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^a \nabla_a \phi = 0 . \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Using the Ricci identity for co-vectors X

$$\nabla_a \nabla_b X_c - \nabla_b \nabla_a X_c = R_{abcd} X^d , \quad (\text{A.4})$$

we have

$$\nabla^b \nabla_b \xi_a = -\nabla^b \nabla_a \xi_b = -R^b_{abc} \xi^c - \nabla_a \nabla_b \xi^b = -R_{ab} \xi^b \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where in the first equality we used (A.3) which also implies that $\nabla_b \xi^b = 0$ for Killing fields. We use the latter to obtain the last equality.

In differential form notation this can be written⁹

$$(\star d \star d\xi)_a = 2R_{ab} \xi^b \equiv K_a \quad (\text{A.6})$$

or

$$d \star d\xi = \star K . \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Let Σ^\pm be a timelike surface of constant t that extends from the Cauchy horizon to the black hole event horizon. Note that $\star K$ is a $(d-1)$ -form so it makes sense to integrate it over Σ^\pm . It then follows that

$$\int_{\Sigma^\pm} \star K = \int_{\Sigma^\pm} d \star d\xi = \int_{\partial \Sigma^\pm} \star d\xi . \quad (\text{A.8})$$

By standard arguments (see *e.g.* section 12.5 of [35]), the last term in (A.8) is simply $-16\pi G (T_+ S_+ + T_- S_-)$, and is manifestly negative. The first term can be simplified using the field equation (A.2a) and the last relation in (A.3) to obtain

$$K_a = 2R_{ab} \xi^b = \frac{2V}{d-2} \xi_a . \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Altogether, (A.8) finally reads

$$T_+ S_+ + T_- S_- = -\frac{1}{8\pi G (d-2)} \int_{\Sigma^\pm} V \xi^a n_a \sqrt{-h} d^{d-1}x, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

⁹ We use the differential forms' conventions of [34].

where h is the induced metric on Σ_-^+ and n^a is its spacelike unit normal (directed toward increasing t). However, if we note that $\xi^a n_a = n_t = 1/\sqrt{g^{tt}} > 0$, we see that for any positive potential such as a simple mass term $V = m^2|\phi|^2$, the right hand side is negative definite. So we have reached a contradiction. This establishes that stationary hairy black holes of Einstein's gravity coupled to a complex scalar with positive potential do not have smooth Cauchy horizons.

References

- [1] D. D. Doneva and S. S. Yazadjiev, *New Gauss-Bonnet Black Holes with Curvature-Induced Scalarization in Extended Scalar-Tensor Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 131103, [[1711.01187](#)].
- [2] H. O. Silva, J. Sakstein, L. Gualtieri, T. P. Sotiriou and E. Berti, *Spontaneous scalarization of black holes and compact stars from a Gauss-Bonnet coupling*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 131104, [[1711.02080](#)].
- [3] G. Antoniou, A. Bakopoulos and P. Kanti, *Evasion of No-Hair Theorems and Novel Black-Hole Solutions in Gauss-Bonnet Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 131102, [[1711.03390](#)].
- [4] C. A. R. Herdeiro, E. Radu, N. Sanchis-Gual and J. A. Font, *Spontaneous Scalarization of Charged Black Holes*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 101102, [[1806.05190](#)].
- [5] P. G. S. Fernandes, C. A. R. Herdeiro, A. M. Pombo, E. Radu and N. Sanchis-Gual, *Spontaneous Scalarisation of Charged Black Holes: Coupling Dependence and Dynamical Features*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36** (2019) 134002, [[1902.05079](#)].
- [6] J. Luis Blázquez-Salcedo, C. A. R. Herdeiro, S. Kahlen, J. Kunz, A. M. Pombo and E. Radu, *Quasinormal modes of hot, cold and bald Einstein–Maxwell-scalar black holes*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 155, [[2008.11744](#)].
- [7] O. J. C. Dias, G. T. Horowitz and J. E. Santos, *Extremal black holes that are not extremal: maximal warm holes*, [2109.14633](#).
- [8] S. S. Gubser, *Breaking an Abelian gauge symmetry near a black hole horizon*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 065034, [[0801.2977](#)].
- [9] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog and G. T. Horowitz, *Building a Holographic Superconductor*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 031601, [[0803.3295](#)].
- [10] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog and G. T. Horowitz, *Holographic Superconductors*, *JHEP* **12** (2008) 015, [[0810.1563](#)].
- [11] S. Hod, *Stability of highly-charged Reissner-Nordström black holes to charged scalar perturbations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 044047, [[1504.00009](#)].
- [12] C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, *Spherical electro-vacuum black holes with resonant, scalar Q-hair*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 390, [[2004.00336](#)].
- [13] J.-P. Hong, M. Suzuki and M. Yamada, *Spherically Symmetric Scalar Hair for Charged Black Holes*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** (2020) 111104, [[2004.03148](#)].
- [14] N. Grandi and I. Salazar Landea, *Scalar Hair Around Charged Black Holes in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 044042, [[1711.01643](#)].

- [15] S. A. Hartnoll, G. T. Horowitz, J. Kruthoff and J. E. Santos, *Diving into a holographic superconductor*, *SciPost Phys.* **10** (2021) 009, [[2008.12786](#)].
- [16] N. Grandi and I. Salazar Landea, *Diving inside a hairy black hole*, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 152, [[2102.02707](#)].
- [17] S. A. H. Mansoori, L. Li, M. Rafiee and M. Baggioli, *What’s inside a hairy black hole in massive gravity?*, *JHEP* **10** (2021) 098, [[2108.01471](#)].
- [18] S. A. Hartnoll, G. T. Horowitz, J. Kruthoff and J. E. Santos, *Gravitational duals to the grand canonical ensemble abhor Cauchy horizons*, *JHEP* **10** (2020) 102, [[2006.10056](#)].
- [19] R.-G. Cai, L. Li and R.-Q. Yang, *No Inner-Horizon Theorem for Black Holes with Charged Scalar Hairs*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 263, [[2009.05520](#)].
- [20] D. O. Devecioglu and M.-I. Park, *No Scalar-Haired Cauchy Horizon Theorem in Einstein-Maxwell-Horndeski Theories*, [2101.10116](#).
- [21] Y.-S. An, L. Li and F.-G. Yang, *No Cauchy horizon theorem for nonlinear electrodynamics black holes with charged scalar hairs*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 024040, [[2106.01069](#)].
- [22] M. Van de Moortel, *Violent nonlinear collapse in the interior of charged hairy black holes*, [2109.10932](#).
- [23] C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, *Kerr black holes with scalar hair*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 221101, [[1403.2757](#)].
- [24] C. Herdeiro and E. Radu, *Construction and physical properties of Kerr black holes with scalar hair*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32** (2015) 144001, [[1501.04319](#)].
- [25] O. Chodosh and Y. Shlapentokh-Rothman, *Stationary axisymmetric black holes with matter*, *Commun. Anal. Geom.* **29** (2021) 19–76, [[1510.08024](#)].
- [26] O. Chodosh and Y. Shlapentokh-Rothman, *Time-Periodic Einstein–Klein–Gordon Bifurcations of Kerr*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **356** (2017) 1155–1250, [[1510.08025](#)].
- [27] E. Kasner, *Geometrical theorems on einstein’s cosmological equations*, *American Journal of Mathematics* **43** (1921) 217–221.
- [28] V. A. Belinski and I. M. Khalatnikov, *Effect of Scalar and Vector Fields on the Nature of the Cosmological Singularity*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **36** (1973) 591.
- [29] E. E. Donets, D. V. Galtsov and M. Y. Zotov, *Internal structure of Einstein Yang-Mills black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **56** (1997) 3459–3465, [[gr-qc/9612067](#)].
- [30] P. Breitenlohner, G. V. Lavrelashvili and D. Maison, *Mass inflation and chaotic behavior inside hairy black holes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **524** (1998) 427–443, [[gr-qc/9703047](#)].
- [31] T. Damour, M. Henneaux and H. Nicolai, *Cosmological billiards*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) R145–R200, [[hep-th/0212256](#)].

- [32] O. J. C. Dias, G. T. Horowitz and J. E. Santos, *Black holes with only one Killing field*, *JHEP* **07** (2011) 115, [[1105.4167](#)].
- [33] O. J. C. Dias, J. E. Santos and B. Way, *Black holes with a single Killing vector field: black resonators*, *JHEP* **12** (2015) 171, [[1505.04793](#)].
- [34] O. J. C. Dias, G. S. Hartnett and J. E. Santos, *Covariant Noether charges for type IIB and 11-dimensional supergravities*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **38** (2021) 015003, [[1912.01030](#)].
- [35] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*. Chicago Univ. Pr., Chicago, USA, 1984, [10.7208/chicago/9780226870373.001.0001](#).