arXiv:2110.06358v3 [math.AT] 27 Apr 2022

THE STIEFEL-WHITNEY CLASSES OF MOMENT-ANGLE MANIFOLDS ARE TRIVIAL

SHO HASUI, DAISUKE KISHIMOTO, AND AKATSUKI KIZU

Abstract. We prove that the Stiefel-Whitney classes of a moment-angle manifold, not necessarily smooth, are trivial. We also consider Stiefel-Whitney classes of the partial quotient of a moment-angle manifold.

1. Introduction

Davis and Januszkiewicz [6] introduced a space which is now called a *moment-angle complex* as a topological generalization of the homogeneous coordinate for a toric variety associated to a simplicial fan [5]. We recall its definition. Let K be a simplicial complex with vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. The moment-angle complex for K is defined by

$$Z_K = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} Z_\sigma \tag{1.1}$$

where $Z_\sigma = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$ such that $X_i = D^2$ for $i \in \sigma$ and $X_i = S^1$ for $i \notin \sigma$. Note that the definition of Z_K in the above form is due to Buchstaber and Panov [2]. The moment-angle complex Z_K has been a central object of study in toric topology. In particular, when Z_K is a topological manifold, not necessarily smooth, it has been studied in many contexts [1, 4, 9, 11, 12, 16, 18]. Some conditions on K which guarantee that Z_K is a topological manifold are known. Buchstaber and Panov [2] proved that Z_K is a topological manifold whenever K is a simplicial sphere, and later, Cai [3] generalized this result to a generalized homology sphere which is a homology manifold having the same homology as a sphere.

Suppose that Z_K is a topological manifold. By definition, a torus $T^m = (S^1)^m$ acts naturally on Z_K, which restricts to a free action of the diagonal subgroup $S^1 \cong \Delta \subset T^m$. Then Z_K is the boundary of a topological manifold $Z_K \times_\Delta D^2$. So if Z_K is smooth, then it is null-cobordant, or equivalently, all of its Stiefel-Whitney numbers are trivial. Hence it is natural to ask whether or not the Stiefel-Whitney classes of Z_K themselves are trivial whenever Z_K is a smooth manifold.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57N65, 55N91.

Key words and phrases. moment-angle manifold, Stiefel-Whitney class, equivariant Stiefel-Whitney class, cobordism.

On the other hand, for a connected topological manifold M, not necessarily smooth, the Wu classes $\nu_i \in H^i(M;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ can be defined, so that the i-the Stiefel-Whitney class of M can be defined by

$$w_i(M) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \mathrm{Sq}^j \nu_{i-j}$$

too. Then the Stiefel-Whitney classes of any moment-angle manifold, not necessarily smooth, can be defined. So we can generalize the above question as:

Question 1.1. Are the Stiefel-Whitney classes of a moment-angle manifold, not necessarily smooth, trivial?

The aim of this paper is to answer the above question.

Theorem 1.2. *The Stiefel-Whitney classes of every moment-angle manifold, not necessarily smooth, are trivial.*

To prove Theorem 1.2, we will construct in Section 2 equivariant Stiefel-Whitney classes for a topological manifold, not necessarily smooth. We will see in Section 3 that Theorem 1.2 can be extended to a real moment-angle manifold, and in Section 4, we will also consider the triviality of the Stiefel-Whitney numbers of the quotient manifold Z_K/T, where T is a subtorus of T^m acting freely on Z_K.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to the referee for useful advice and comments. The first author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP18K13414, and the second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP17K05248 and JP19K03473.

2. Equivariant Stiefel-Whitney class

This section recalls the definition of the Stiefel-Whitney classes of a topological manifold due to Fadell [7], and generalizes them to an equivariant context. Throughout this section, let M denote an R-oriented connected n-dimensional topological manifold, where R is a commutative ring.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a subspace of the space of paths in M consisting of constant paths and paths $\ell\colon [0,1] \to M$ such that $\ell(t) = \ell(0)$ implies $t = 0$. Let $\mathcal{T}_0$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of non-constant paths. Then as in [7, Proposition 3.8], the evaluation map

$$p\colon \mathcal{T} \to M, \quad \ell \mapsto \ell(0)$$

yields a locally trivial fibration pair

$$(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0) \to M$$

with fiber homotopy equivalent to $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - 0)$. This is called the *tangent fiber space*. As in [7, Proposition 3.12], this fibration pair is equivalent to the fibration pair

$$(M, M - x) \to (M \times M, M \times M - \Delta) \to M \tag{2.1}$$

induced from the first projection $M \times M \to M$, where $\Delta \subset M \times M$ is the diagonal set. Since (2.1) is essentially the micro tangent bundle of M in the sense of Milnor, the tangent fiber space is essentially equivalent to the micro tangent bundle of M.

Clearly, $H^n(p^{-1}(U); R)$ for open sets U of M define a sheaf on M, implying we can define an orientation of M over R in the obvious way. So if M is simply-connected or $R = \mathbb{Z}/2$, M is orientable over R.

We have the following Thom isomorphism [7, Theorem 5.2].

Theorem 2.1. *If M is orientable over R in the sense above, there is a cohomology class $\Phi \in H^n(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R)$ such that the map*

$$\phi\colon H^*(M; R) \to H^{*+n}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R), \quad x \mapsto p^*(x) \smile \Phi$$

is an isomorphism.

The cohomology class Φ is called the *Thom class* of M. Note that the Thom class Φ is unique for $R = \mathbb{Z}/2$. Now we are ready to define the Stiefel-Whitney classes of M.

Definition 2.2. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}/2$. The i-th *Stiefel-Whitney class* of M is defined by

$$w_i(M) = \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sq}^i \phi(1)).$$

If M is smooth, then by [7, Proposition 3.17], the tangent fiber space $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0) \to M$ is equivalent to the tangent bundle pair $(TM, TM - M) \to M$. So the above definition of the Stiefel-Whitney classes is consistent with the usual smooth case. Moreover, consistency with the definition using the Wu classes is also proved in [7, Theorem 6.17].

We generalize the above definition of the Stiefel-Whitney classes to an equivariant context. So we let a topological group G act on M from the right. Note that G acts on the space of paths M^I by

$$(\ell \cdot g)(t) = \ell(t) \cdot g$$

for $\ell \in M^I$ and $g \in G$. Clearly, this action restricts to $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}_0$ such that the map $p\colon \mathcal{T} \to M$ is G-equivariant. For the rest of this section, we will make the following assumption.

Assumption 2.3. The cohomology local coefficient systems over R of fibrations

$$M \xrightarrow{j} M \times_G EG \to BG \tag{2.2}$$

$$(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0) \xrightarrow{j} (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0) \times_G EG \to BG \tag{2.3}$$

are trivial.

We prove the existence of the equivariant Thom class of M. For a G-space X, let $j\colon X \to X \times_G EG$ denote the natural inclusion. For a pair of G-spaces (X, A), let $H^*_G(X, A; R)$ denote its equivariant cohomology, that is,

$$H^*_G(X, A; R) = H^*((X, A) \times_G EG; R).$$

Proposition 2.4. *There is a unique cohomology class $\Phi_G \in H^n_G(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R)$ such that*

$$j^*(\Phi_G) = \Phi.$$

Proof. Let E_r denote the Serre spectral sequence for a fibration (2.3). By Assumption 2.3, there is an isomorphism

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(BG; H^q(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R)).$$

Then by Theorem 2.1, $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for $q < n$, so $\bigoplus_{p+q=n} E_2^{p,q} = E_2^{0,n}$ and $E_\infty^{0,n} = E_2^{0,n} \cong H^n(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R)$. Thus we obtain that the map $j^*\colon H^n_G(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R) \to H^n(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R)$ is an isomorphism. In particular, we get a unique cohomology class $\Phi_G \in H^n_G(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R)$ satisfying $j^*(\Phi_G) = \Phi$, completing the proof. □

We call the cohomology class Φ_G the *equivariant Thom class* of M. Now we prove the equivariant Thom isomorphism. As mentioned above, the map $p\colon \mathcal{T} \to M$ is G-equivariant, and so it induces a map $p_G\colon \mathcal{T} \times_G EG \to M \times_G EG$.

Theorem 2.5. *The map*

$$\phi_G\colon H^*_G(M; R) \to H^{*+n}_G(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R), \quad x \mapsto p_G^*(x) \smile \Phi_G$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let B^k denote the k-skeleton of BG. Let M^k and $(\mathcal{T}^k, \mathcal{T}_0^k)$ denote the pullbacks of $M \times_G EG \to BG$ and $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0) \times_G EG \to BG$ over B_k. Let Ψ be a cocycle representing Φ_G, and let $\Psi_k = \Psi|_{(\mathcal{T}^k, \mathcal{T}_0^k)}$. We define a map

$$\phi_k\colon C^*_G(M^k; R) \to C^{*+n}_G(\mathcal{T}^k, \mathcal{T}_0^k; R), \quad x \mapsto p_G^*(x) \smile \Psi_k$$

of cochain complexes. By definition, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*_G(M^k; R) & \xrightarrow{\phi_k} & C^{*+n}_G(\mathcal{T}^k, \mathcal{T}_0^k; R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^*_G(M^{k-1}; R) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{k-1}} & C^{*+n}_G(\mathcal{T}^{k-1}, \mathcal{T}_0^{k-1}; R). \end{array}$$

Let E_r and $\widehat{E}_r$ denote the Serre spectral sequences for the fibrations $M \times_G EG \to BG$ and $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0) \times_G EG \to BG$, respectively. Then the map

$$\bar{\phi}_G\colon C^*_G(M; R) \to C^*_G(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R), \quad x \mapsto p_G^*(x) \smile \Psi$$

induces a map of spectral sequences $f_r\colon E_r^{p,q} \to \widehat{E}_r^{p,q+n}$ (with degree shift).

On the other hand, by assumption, there are isomorphisms

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(BG; H^q(M; R)) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{E}_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(BG; H^q(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R)).$$

By the construction of these isomorphisms, the map $f_2 \colon E_2^{p,q} \to \widehat{E}_2^{p,q+n}$ is identified with the map

$$H^p(BG; H^q(M; R)) \to H^p(BG; H^{q+n}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R)).$$

induced from $\phi \colon H^q(M; R) \to H^{q+n}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R)$. Then by Theorem 2.1, the map $f_\infty \colon E_\infty \to \widehat{E}_\infty$ is an isomorphism, implying the map $\phi_G \colon H_G^*(M; R) \to H_G^{*+n}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R)$ is an isomorphism too. Thus the proof is finished. □

We are ready to define the equivariant Stiefel-Whitney classes of M.

Definition 2.6. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}/2$. The i-th *equivariant Stiefel-Whitney class* of M is defined by

$$w_i^G(M) = \phi_G^{-1}(\mathrm{Sq}^i \phi_G(1)).$$

We will use the following property.

Proposition 2.7. $j^*(w_i^G(M)) = w_i(M)$.

Proof. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_G^*(M; R) & \xrightarrow{\phi_G} & H_G^{*+n}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle j^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j^*} \\ H^*(M; R) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^{*+n}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; R). \end{array}$$

Indeed, for each $x \in H_G^*(M; R)$, we have

$$j^*(\phi_G(x)) = j^*(p_G^*(x) \smile \Phi_G) = j^*(p_G^*(x)) \smile j^*(\Phi_G) = p(j^*(x)) \smile \Phi = \phi(j^*(x)).$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} j^*(w_i^G(M)) &= j^*(\phi_G^{-1}(\mathrm{Sq}^i \phi_G(1))) = \phi^{-1}(j^*(\mathrm{Sq}^i \phi_G(1))) = \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sq}^i j^*(\phi_G(1))) \\ &= \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sq}^i \phi(j^*(1))) = \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sq}^i \phi(1)) = w_i(M). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is done. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and its extension

This section proves Theorem 1.2 and its extension to a real moment-angle manifold. Let K be a simplicial complex with m vertices, and let $T^m = (S^1)^m$. Then T^m acts naturally on Z_K. Suppose that Z_K is a topological manifold. Then Z_K is connected. Since BT^m is simply-connected, the moment-angle manifold Z_K with an action of T^m satisfies Assumption 2.3. Then in particular, the equivariant Stiefel-Whitney classes $w_i^{T^m}(Z_K)$ can be defined.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2. Let DJ_K denote the Borel construction $Z_K \times_{T^m} ET^m$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Consider a fibration $Z_K \xrightarrow{j} DJ_K \to BT^m$. As in [6, Theorem 4.8], the map $DJ_K \to BT^m$ is surjective in cohomology, so by the standard spectral sequence argument, we can see that the map $j\colon Z_K \to DJ_K$ is trivial in cohomology. Thus the proof is complete by Proposition 2.7. □

We extend Theorem 1.2 to a real moment-angle manifold. Let K be a simplicial complex with vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. The real momend-angle complex for K is defined by

$$\mathbb{R}Z_K = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} \mathbb{R}Z_\sigma$$

where $\mathbb{R}Z_\sigma = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$ such that $X_i = D^1$ for $i \in \sigma$ and $X_i = S^0$ for $i \notin \sigma$. As well as a moment-angle manifold, we call $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ a real moment-angle manifold if it is a topological manifold. Cai [3, Theorem 2.3] proved that $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ is a topological manifold whenever K is a simplicial sphere. Note that there is a natural action of a 2-torus $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$ on $\mathbb{R}Z_K$.

Lemma 3.1. *The following group actions are trivial in mod 2 cohomology:*

(1) *the $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$-action on $\mathbb{R}Z_K$;*
(2) *the $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$-action on $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0)$ over $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ whenever $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ is a topological manifold.*

Proof. (1) By [3, Proposition 3.3], we can see that any mod 2 homology class of $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ admits a representative which is fixed by the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$. So the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$ on $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ is trivial in mod 2 homology, so it is trivial in mod 2 cohomology too.

(2) By Theorem 2.1, $H^n(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. Then the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$ on $H^n(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is trivial, so in particular, the Thom class Φ is fixed by the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$. By Theorem 2.1, each element of $H^*(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is of the form $p^*(x) \smile \Phi$ for some $x \in H^*(\mathbb{R}Z_K; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Then since $p\colon (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0) \to \mathbb{R}Z_K$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$-equivariant and the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$ on $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ is trivial in mod 2 cohomology, for any $g \in (\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$, we have

$$(p^*(x) \smile \Phi) \cdot g = (p^*(x) \cdot g) \smile (\Phi \cdot g) = p^*(x \cdot g) \smile \Phi = p^*(x) \smile \Phi.$$

Thus the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$ on $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_0)$ is trivial in mod 2 cohomology, completing the proof. □

By Lemma 3.1, the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$ on $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ satisfies Assumption 2.3 whenever $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ is a topological manifold. Then we can define its equivariant Stiefel-Whitney classes. Now we are ready to prove an extension of Theorem 1.2 to a real-moment-angle manifold.

Theorem 3.2. *The Stiefel-Whitney classes of a real moment-angle manifold, not necessarily smooth, are trivial.*

Proof. By [6, Theorem 4.8], the map $\mathbb{R}Z_K \times_{(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m} E(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m \to B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$ is surjective in mod 2 cohomology, so the map $\mathbb{R}Z_K \to \mathbb{R}Z_K \times_{(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m} E(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m$ is trivial in mod 2 cohomology as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Thus the proof is complete by Proposition 2.7. □

4. Quotient manifold

There are important manifolds given by the quotient of a moment-angle manifold Z_K by a free action of a subtorus $T \subset T^m$, where m is the number of vertices of a simplicial complex K. A typical example is a quasitoric manifold [2], and there are other classes of such manifolds [2, 8, 15]. Recall that our starting point of study is the fact that every moment-angle manifold Z_K is null-cobordant. So it is natural to ask whether or not the quotient manifold Z_K/T is null-cobordant. This section gives an answer to this question by sorting with the dimension of T.

Throughout this section, let K be a simplicial complex of dimension $n-1$ having the vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and let T be a subtorus of T^m acting freely on Z_K. If K has no simplex, we set $n = 0$. Clearly, we must have $\dim T \leq m-n$. First, we examine the case $\dim T = m-n$, which includes quasitoric manifolds.

Example 4.1. Consider the case that K is the boundary of an n-simplex, where $m = n+1$ in this case. The complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is the quotient of Z_K by a free action of a subtorus T of T^n with $\dim T = 1$. By [17, Theorem 1.1], $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is null-cobordant if only if n is odd. Then $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is not always null-cobordant. It is well known that the total Stiefel-Whitney class of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is given by

$$(1+u)^{n+1}$$

where u is a generator of $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. So the Stiefel-Whitney classes of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ are trivial if and only if $n = 2^k - 1$ for some k. Then the Stiefel-Whitney classes of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ are not trivial, even if it is null-cobordant.

Thus for $\dim T = m-n$, Z_K/T being null-cobordant and the triviality of its Stiefel-Whitney classes depend on each Z_K/T. Next, we consider the case $\dim T < m-n$ and prove:

Theorem 4.2. *If $\dim T < m-n$ and Z_K/T is a topological manifold, then all Stiefel-Whitney numbers of Z_K/T vanish.*

The following corollary is immediate from Theorem 4.2.

Corollary 4.3. *If $\dim T < m-n$ and Z_K/T is a smooth manifold, then Z_K/T is null-cobordant.*

If T is not maximal among subtori of T^m acting freely on a moment-angle manifold Z_K, then S^1 acts freely on the quotient manifold Z_K/T. So as well as Z_K, we can see that Z_K/T is

null-cobordant. Then Corollary 4.3 makes sense only when T is a maximal subtorus of T^m acting freely on Z_K. We will give below such an example.

We will take three steps to prove Theorem 4.2. The first step is to extend the free action of T on Z_K to an almost free action of $(m-n)$-dimensional subtorus of T^m containing T. Recall that a simplicial complex K is said to be *pure* if all of its maximal simplices have the same dimension.

Lemma 4.4. *If Z_K/T is a topological manifold, then K is pure.*

Proof. By [14, Theorem 1.7.19], there is a principal fiber bundle $T \to Z_K \to Z_K/T$. So if Z_K/T is a topological manifold, then Z_K is a topological manifold too. Let σ be a maximal simplex of K, and let $U_i = \mathrm{Int}(D^2)$ for $i \in \sigma$ and $U_i = S^1 - \{x_0\}$ for $i \notin \sigma$, where $x_0 \in S^1$. Then $U_1 \times \cdots \times U_m$ is an open set of Z_K, which is homeomorphic with $\mathbb{R}^{m+|\sigma|}$. Thus if Z_K is a topological manifold, then K must be pure, completing the proof. □

We introduce a rational characteristic matrix of K. An integer matrix $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ for $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is called a *rational characteristic matrix* of K if the column vectors $\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_k}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ for each simplex $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \in K$. For an $n \times m$ matrix $A = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and a subset $\sigma = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$, let

$$A(\sigma) = (a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}).$$

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that K is pure and integer vectors $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{m-n} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ satisfy the following conditions:*

1. *$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{m-n}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$;*
2. *λ_i and θ_j are orthogonal for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m-n$.*

Then $\Lambda = {}^t(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a rational characteristic matrix of K if and only if $\det \Theta(\bar{\sigma}) \neq 0$ for each maximal simplex $\sigma \in K$, where $\Theta = {}^t(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{m-n})$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ denotes the complement of σ in $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

Proof. Since K is pure, Λ is a rational characteristic matrix of K if and only if $\det \Lambda(\sigma) \neq 0$ for each maximal simplex $\sigma \in K$. Now we suppose that $\det \Lambda(\sigma) \neq 0$ and $\det \Theta(\bar{\sigma}) = 0$. We may assume $\sigma = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let

$$\lambda_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i(1) \\ \lambda_i(2) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_j = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_j(1) \\ \theta_j(2) \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\lambda_i(1), \theta_j(1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\lambda_i(2), \theta_j(2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m-n}$. Then

$$\Lambda(\sigma) = (\lambda_1(1), \dots, \lambda_n(1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta(\bar{\sigma}) = (\theta_1(2), \dots, \theta_{m-n}(2)).$$

So since $\det \Theta(\bar{\sigma}) = 0$, vectors $\theta_1(2), \dots, \theta_{m-n}(2)$ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{Q}$, and so there are $c_1, \dots, c_{m-n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $c_1\theta_1(2) + \cdots + c_{m-n}\theta_{m-n}(2) = 0$ and $(c_1, \dots, c_{m-n}) \neq 0$. Let $x = c_1\theta_1(1) + \cdots + c_{m-n}\theta_{m-n}(1)$. Then by the first condition, we have $x \neq 0$. Since

$\det \Lambda(\sigma) \neq 0$, $\lambda_1(1), \dots, \lambda_n(1)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, so there are $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x = d_1\lambda_1(1) + \cdots + d_n\lambda_n(1)$. Let $y = d_1\lambda_1(2) + \cdots + d_n\lambda_n(2)$. Then we get

$$d_1\lambda_1 + \cdots + d_n\lambda_n = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad c_1\theta_1 + \cdots + c_{m-n}\theta_{m-n} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $x \neq 0$, these two vectors are not orthogonal, which contradicts to the second condition, and thus it cannot occur that $\det \Lambda(\sigma) \neq 0$ and $\det \Theta(\bar{\sigma}) = 0$ simultaneously. Quite similarly, we can show that it cannot occur that $\det \Lambda(\sigma) = 0$ and $\det \Theta(\bar{\sigma}) \neq 0$ simultaneously too. Thus the proof is complete. □

Every $n \times m$ integer matrix Λ defines a homomorphism $T^m \to T^n$, and we denote the identity component of its kernel by $T(\Lambda)$. Then $T(\Lambda)$ is an $(m-n)$-dimensional torus.

Lemma 4.6. *If K is pure, then there is a rational characteristic matrix Λ of K such that $T \subset T(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Let Λ_1 be a $k \times m$ integer matrix whose row vectors generate T, where $k = \dim T$. By Lemma 4.5, it is sufficient to find an $(m-n-k) \times m$ matrix Λ_2 with entries in $\mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1 \\ \Lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} (\bar{\sigma}) \neq 0$$

for each maximal simplex $\sigma \in K$. For a maximal simplex $\sigma \in K$, let $U(\sigma)$ be the subspace of $M(m-n-k, m; \mathbb{Q})$, the space of $(m-n-k) \times m$ rational matrices, consisting of matrices Λ_2 satisfying the above condition. Then $U(\sigma)$ is non-empty and Zariski open. Thus the intersection of $U(\sigma)$ for all maximal simplices $\sigma \in K$ is non-empty, completing the proof. □

Let $S(\Lambda) = T^m/T(\Lambda)$, so that $S(\Lambda)$ acts on $Z_K/T(\Lambda)$. The second step is to compute the $S(\Lambda)$-equivariant rational cohomology of $Z_K/T(\Lambda)$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let G be a topological group acting on a space X. If the restriction of the G-action to a normal subgroup H is free, then the natural map*

$$X \times_G EG \to (X/H) \times_{G/H} E(G/H)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Proof. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & X \times_G EG \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G/H & \longrightarrow & X/H & \longrightarrow & (X/H) \times_{G/H} E(G/H) \end{array}$$

in which each row is a homotopy fibration. Let F be the homotopy fiber of the right vertical map. Then by taking the homotopy fibers of all vertical maps, we get a homotopy fibration

$H \to H \to F$, where the first map is the identity map. Thus F is weakly contractible, completing the proof. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let Λ be a rational characteristic matrix of K as in Lemma 4.6. Then the natural map*

$$H^*_{S(\Lambda)}(Z_K/T(\Lambda);\mathbb{Q}) \to H^*_{T^m}(Z_K;\mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. First, we prove that the map $Z_\sigma \times_{T^m} ET^m \to (Z_\sigma/T(\Lambda)) \times_{S(\Lambda)} ES(\Lambda)$ is an isomorphism in rational cohomology, where Z_σ is as in (1.1). Let $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$ such that $X_i = 0$ for $i \in \sigma$ and $X_i = S^1$ for $i \notin \sigma$, where we regard S^1 is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}$. Then X is a T^m-equivariant deformation retract of Z_σ, so that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{T^m} ET^m & \longrightarrow & (X/T(\Lambda)) \times_{S(\Lambda)} ES(\Lambda) \\ \simeq\downarrow & & \downarrow\simeq \\ Z_\sigma \times_{T^m} ET^m & \longrightarrow & (Z_\sigma/T(\Lambda)) \times_{S(\Lambda)} ES(\Lambda). \end{array}$$

Hence we aim to prove that the top map is an isomorphism in rational cohomology. Since Λ is a rational characteristic matrix of K, $T(\Lambda)$ acts uniformly on X such that the isotropy subgroup G is finite. Then $T(\Lambda)/G$ acts freely on X, and so by Lemma 4.7, the natural map

$$X \times_{T^m/G} E(T^m/G) \to (X/T(\Lambda)) \times_{S(\Lambda)} ES(\Lambda)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. On the other hand, the homotopy fiber of the map $X \times_{T^m} ET^m \to X \times_{T^m/G} E(T^m/G)$ is homotopy equivalent to BG which is rationally contractible. Then we obtain that the map $Z_\sigma \times_{T^m} ET^m \to (Z_\sigma/T(\Lambda)) \times_{S(\Lambda)} ES(\Lambda)$ is an isomorphism in rational cohomology.

Next, we induct on the number of simplices of K, fixing Λ, where K may have ghost vertices. If K has no simplex and consists only of ghost vertices, then $Z_K = T^m$, and so by Lemma 4.7, the statement holds. Let $\tau < \sigma$ be simplices of K. Since (Z_σ, Z_τ) is a T^m-equivariant NDR pair, $(Z_\sigma \times_{T^m} ET^m, Z_\tau \times_{T^m} ET^m)$ is an NDR pair. Quite similarly, we see that $((Z_\sigma/T(\Lambda)) \times_{S(\Lambda)} ES(\Lambda), (Z_\tau/T(\Lambda)) \times_{S(\Lambda)} ES(\Lambda))$ is an NDR pair too. Then for each maximal simplex σ of K, we can apply the Mayer-Vietotris sequene to get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \longrightarrow & H^*_{S(\Lambda)}(Z_K/T(\Lambda)) & \longrightarrow & H^*_{S(\Lambda)}(Z_L/T(\Lambda)) \oplus H^*_{S(\Lambda)}(Z_\sigma/T(\Lambda)) & \longrightarrow & H^*_{S(\Lambda)}(Z_{\partial\sigma}/T(\Lambda)) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \cdots \longrightarrow & H^*_{T^m}(Z_K) & \longrightarrow & H^*_{T^m}(Z_L) \oplus H^*_{T^m}(Z_\sigma) & \longrightarrow & H^*_{T^m}(Z_{\partial\sigma}) & \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where $L = K - \sigma$, we consider ghost vertices for Z_σ and $Z_{\partial\sigma}$, and we omit the coefficient $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus by the five lemma, the induction proceeds, and the proof is complete. □

The final step is to prove the vanishing in the equivariant cohomology.

Lemma 4.9. *Let U be any subtorus of T^m. Then for $* > m + n - \dim U$,*

$$H^*(Z_K/U; \mathbb{Q}) = 0.$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on the number of simplices of K, where K may have ghost vertices. First, if K has no simplex, then $n = 0$ and $Z_K/U = (S^1)^m/U$ is homeomorphic with $T^{m-\dim U}$, so the statement holds. Next, suppose that K has a simplex, and let $\sigma \in K$ be a maximal simplex. Let $L = K \setminus \{\sigma\}$. Consider the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^*(Z_K/U, Z_L/U; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(Z_K/U; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(Z_L/U; \mathbb{Q}) \to \cdots.$$

By the induction hypothesis, $H^*(Z_L/U; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $* > m+n-\dim U$. Then we aim to show that $H^*(Z_K/U, Z_L/U; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $* > m+n-\dim U$. Since (Z_K, Z_L) is a T^m-equivariant NDR pair, $(Z_K/U, Z_L/U)$ is an NDR pair. Then, by the excision property, there is an isomorphism

$$H^*(Z_K/U, Z_L/U; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(Z_\sigma/U, Z_{\partial\sigma}/U; \mathbb{Q}),$$

where Z_σ is as in (1.1). Then we show $H^*(Z_\sigma/U, Z_{\partial\sigma}/U; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $* > m + n - \dim U$. Let X be as in the proof of Lemma 4.8. Then $Z_\sigma/U \simeq X/U$. Since T^m acts on $X \cong (S^1)^{m-|\sigma|}$ through the projection $\rho\colon T^m \to T_\sigma$, where $T_\sigma = \{(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in T^m \mid z_i = 1 \text{ for } i \notin \sigma\}$. Then there is a homeomorphism

$$X/U \cong \operatorname{Coker} \rho|_U.$$

Since $\operatorname{Ker} \rho|_U \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \rho$, we have $\dim \operatorname{Ker} \rho|_U \leq \dim \operatorname{Ker} \rho = |\sigma|$, implying $\dim \rho(U) \geq \dim U - |\sigma|$. Thus we see that X/U is homeomorphic with a torus of dimension $\leq (m-|\sigma|)-(\dim U - |\sigma|) = m - \dim U$. In particular, we see that $H^*(Z_\sigma/U; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $* > m - \dim U$. Now we consider the exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^*(Z_\sigma/U, Z_{\partial\sigma}/U; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(Z_\sigma/U; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(Z_{\partial\sigma}/U; \mathbb{Q}) \to \cdots.$$

By the induction hypothesis, $H^*(Z_{\partial\sigma}/U; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $* > m + n - 1 - \dim U$. Thus we obtain $H^*(Z_\sigma/U, Z_{\partial\sigma}/U; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $* > m + n - \dim U$, completing the proof. □

Let $d = m + n - \dim T$ and $S = T^m/T$. Then S acts on Z_K/T.

Proposition 4.10. *If $\dim T < m-n$ and Z_K is a topological manifold, then the natural map*

$$H^d_S(Z_K/T) \to H^d(Z_K/T)$$

is trivial.

Proof. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^d_{S(\Lambda)}(Z_K/T(\Lambda);\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^d(Z_K/T(\Lambda);\mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^d_S(Z_K/T;\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^d(Z_K/T;\mathbb{Q}). \end{array}$$

By Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8, the left vertical map is an isomorphism. By Lemma 4.9, we also have $H^d(Z_K/T(\Lambda);\mathbb{Q}) = 0$. Thus we obtain that the bottom map is trivial, completing the proof. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By assumption, Z_K/T is a smooth manifold, so that we need to show all of its Stiefel numbers vanish. Let $j\colon Z_K/T \to ES \times_S (Z_K/T)$ denote the inclusion. By Proposition 2.7, $j^*(w_i^S(Z_K/T)) = w_i(Z_K/T)$. Then by Proposition 4.10,

$$w_{i_1}(Z_K/T)\cdots w_{i_k}(Z_K/T) = j^*(w^S_{i_1}(Z_K/T)\cdots w^S_{i_k}(Z_K/T)) = 0$$

for $i_1 + \cdots + i_k = d$, completing the proof. □

As mentioned above, Corollary 4.3 makes sense only when T is a maximal subtorus of T^m acting freely on Z_K. We give an example of such a maximal subtorus T. For $t_1 < \cdots < t_m$, let $P_i = (t_i, t_i^2, \ldots, t_i^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Recall that the *cyclic polytope* $C_n(m)$ is defined by the convex hull of points $P_1, \ldots, P_m$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is well known that the combinatorial type of $C_n(m)$ is independent from the choice of $t_1 < \cdots < t_m$. The cyclic polytope $C_n(m)$ is a simplicial n-dimensional polytope with m vertices [19, Theorem 0.7], and so $Z_{\partial C_n(m)}$ is a smooth manifold such that the action of T^m is smooth [2, Lemma 3.1.2]. Hence if a subtorus T of T^m acts freely on $Z_{\partial C_n(m)}$, then $Z_{\partial C_n(m)}/T$ is a smooth manifold.

Proposition 4.11. *There is a 2-dimensional subtorus T of T^9 which is maximal among subtori acting freely on $Z_{\partial C_6(9)}$.*

Proof. It is shown in [10] that there is no 3-dimensional subtorus of T^9 acting freely on $Z_{\partial C_6(9)}$. So we only need to give a 2-dimensional subtorus of T^9 acting freely on $Z_{\partial C_6(9)}$.

Let T be the image of a map $T^2 \to T^9$ defined by a matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.1}$$

To see that T acts freely on $Z_{\partial C_6(9)}$, it is sufficient to show that T acts freely on

$$D(\sigma) = \{(z_1, \ldots, z_9) \in Z_{\partial C_6(9)} \mid z_i = 0 \text{ for } P_i \in \sigma\}$$

for each facet σ of $\partial C_6(9)$. Let $\{P_a, P_b, P_c\} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_9\} - \sigma$ for a facet σ of $\partial C_6(9)$, where $a < b < c$. By Gale's evenness condition [19, Theorem 0.7], $b - a$ must be odd, so that we can

easily verify $\det(\rho_a, \rho_b) = \pm 1$, where ρ_i denotes the i-th column of the matrix (4.1). Then if we remove column vectors corresponding to vertices of a facet σ from the matrix (4.1), then we get a 2×3 matrix of rank 2. This implies that T acts freely on $D(\sigma)$, completing the proof. □

By Proposition 4.11, we see that Corollary 4.3 is not trivial. Now we can further ask whether or not the Stiefel-Whitney classes of Z_K/T are trivial, as well as Question 1.1. Here is the answer to this question.

Proposition 4.12. *Let T be the 2-dimensional subtorus of T^9 in Proposition 4.11. Then*

$$w_2(Z_{\partial C_6(9)}/T) \neq 0.$$

Proof. By definition, the 2-dimensional subtorus T of T^9 is the kernel of a map $T^9 \to T^7$ defined by a matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.2}$$

By [13, Theorem 3.7], $T^9 \cong T \times T^9/T$, and therefore we can regard $ET^9 = ET \times E(T^9/T)$. Thus we see the following immediately.

$$Z_{\partial C_6(9)}/T \times_{T^9/T} E(T^9/T) \simeq Z_{\partial C_6(9)} \times_{T^9} ET^9 = DJ_{\partial C_6(9)}.$$

Then there is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T & \longrightarrow & * & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z_{\partial C_6(9)} & \longrightarrow & DJ_{\partial C_6(9)} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^9 \\ \downarrow & & \| & & \downarrow \lambda \\ Z_{\partial C_6(9)}/T & \longrightarrow & DJ_{\partial C_6(9)} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^7 \end{array}$$

where all columns and rows are homotopy fibrations and the map λ is defined by a matrix (4.2). Consider the Serre spectral sequence for the middle row homotopy fibration. Then since $Z_{\partial C_6(9)}$ is simply-connected [2, Corollary 3.3.4], the map α is an isomorphism in H^2. By the left fibration, we can also see that $Z_{\partial C_6(9)}/T$ is simply-connected. Then by applying the Serre exact sequence to the bottom fibration, we get

$$H^2(Z_{\partial C_6(9)}/T; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}\langle v_1, \dots, v_9 \rangle / \mathbb{Z}\langle \theta_1, \dots, \theta_7 \rangle, \quad \theta_i = \lambda_{i1} v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{i9} v_9$$

where λ_{ij} denotes the (i,j)-entry of a matrix (4.2) and $\mathbb{Z}\langle a_1,\dots,a_n\rangle$ means a free abelian group spanned by $a_1,\dots,a_n$. On the other hand, quite similarly to [6, Corollary 6.7], we can see that

$$w_2(Z_{\partial C_6(9)}/T) = [v_1+\cdots+v_9] \in H^2(Z_{\partial C_6(9)}/T;\mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Thus we obtain that $H^2(Z_{\partial C_6(9)}/T;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ has a basis $[v_1]$, $[v_2]$ and $w_2(Z_{\partial C_6(9)}/T) = [v_1]+[v_2]$, implying $w_2(Z_{\partial C_6(9)}/T) \neq 0$. Therefore the proof is complete. □

References

[1] Y. Barreto, S. López de Medrano, and A. Verjovsky, Some open book and contact structures on moment-angle manifolds. Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. (3) **23** (2017), no. 1, 423-437. 1

[2] V.M. Buchstaber and T.E. Panov, Torus Actions and their Applications in Topology and Combinatorics, University Lecture Series **24**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. 1, 7, 12, 13

[3] L. Cai, On products in a real moment-angle manifold, J. Math. Soc. Japan **69** (2017), no. 2, 503-528. 1, 6

[4] M. Chaperon and S. López de Medrano, Birth of attracting compact invariant submanifolds diffeomorphic to moment-angle manifolds in generic families of dynamics, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **346** (2008), no. 19-20, 1099-1102. 1

[5] D.A. Cox, The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety, J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), no. 1, 17-50. 1

[6] M.W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), 417-452. 1, 6, 7, 14

[7] E. Fadell, Generalized normal bundles for locally-flat imbeddings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), 488-513. 2, 3

[8] M. Franz, The cohomology rings of smooth toric varieties and quotients of moment-angle complexes, Geom. Topol. **25** (2021), 2109-2144. 7

[9] S. Gitler and S. López de Medrano, Intersections of quadrics, moment-angle manifolds and connected sums, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), no. 3, 1497-1534. 1

[10] S. Hasui, On the classification of quasitoric manifolds over dual cyclic polytopes, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **15** (2015), 1387-1437. 12

[11] I.Y. Limonchenko, Massey products in the cohomology of moment-angle manifolds of 2-truncated cubes, (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **71** (2016), no. 2 (428), 207-208; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **71** (2016), no. 2, 376-378. 1

[12] A.E. Mironov and T.E. Panov, Intersections of quadrics, moment-angle manifolds, and Hamiltonian-minimal Lagrangian embeddings, (Russian) Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen **47** (2013), no. 1, 47-61; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **47** (2013), no. 1, 38-49. 1

[13] M. Mimura and H. Toda, Topology of Lie groups I, II, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **91**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991. 13

[14] R. Palais, The classification of G-spaces, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **36**, 1960. 8

[15] T.E. Panov, On the cohomology of quotient spaces of moment-angle complexes, (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **70** (2015), no. 4 (424), 209-210; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **70** (2015), no. 4, 779-781. 7

[16] T. Panov, Y. Ustinovskiy, and M. Verbitsky, Complex geometry of moment-angle manifolds, Math. Z. **284** (2016), 309-333. 1

[17] P. Sankaran, Determination of Grassmann manifolds which are boundaries, Canad. Math. Bull. **34** (1) (1991), 1-4. 7

[18] S. Theriault, Moment-angle manifolds and Panov's problem, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2015**, no. 20, 10154-10175. 1

[19] G.M. Ziegler, Lectures on Polytopes, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **152**, Springer, New York, 1995.

12

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Osaka Prefecture University, Sakai, 599-8531, Japan
Email address: s.hasui@ms.osakafu-u.ac.jp

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan
Email address: kishimoto@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan
Email address: kizu.akatsuki.85e@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp