

Commutativity of quantization with conic reduction for torus actions on compact CR manifolds

Andrea Galasso*

Abstract

We define conic reduction X_ν^{red} for torus actions on the boundary X of a strictly pseudo-convex domain and for a given weight ν labeling a unitary irreducible representation. There is a natural residual circle action on X_ν^{red} . We have two natural decompositions of the corresponding Hardy spaces $H(X)$ and $H(X_\nu^{\text{red}})$. The first one is given by the ladder of isotypes $H(X)_{k\nu}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the second one is given by the k -th Fourier components $H(X_\nu^{\text{red}})_k$ induced by the residual circle action. The aim of this paper is to prove that they are isomorphic for k sufficiently large. The result is given for spaces of $(0, q)$ -forms with L^2 -coefficient when X is a CR manifold with non-degenerate Levi-curvature.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries	4
2.1	Geometric setting	4
2.2	Hardy spaces	7
3	Proof of Theorem 1.1	11

Keywords: Hardy space, torus action, CR conic reduction

Mathematics Subject Classification: 32A2

Data Availability Statements: Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

1 Introduction

Let X be the boundary of a strictly pseudo-convex domain D in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Then $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ is a contact manifold of dimension $2n + 1$, $n \geq 1$, where $T^{1,0}X$ is the sub-bundle of $TX \otimes \mathbb{C}$ defining the CR structure. We denote by $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, T^*X)$ the contact 1-form whose kernel is the horizontal bundle $HX \subset TX$, we refer to Section 2.1 for definitions. Associated with this data we can define the Hardy space $H(X)$, it is the space of boundary values of holomorphic functions in D which lie in $L^2(X)$, the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on X . Suppose a contact and CR action of a t -dimensional torus \mathbb{T}

***Address:** Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Via R. Cozzi 55, 20125 Milano, Italy; **ORCID iD:** 0000-0002-5792-1674; **e-mail:** andrea.galasso@unimib.it; andrea.galasso.91@gmail.com

is given; we denote by $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ the associated CR moment map. Fix a weight $i\nu$ in the lattice $i\mathbb{Z}^t \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$, if $0 \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ does not lie in the image of the moment map the isotypes

$$H(X)_{k\nu} = \{f \in H(X) : (e^{i\theta} \cdot f)(x) = e^{ik\langle \nu, \theta \rangle} f(x), \theta \in \mathbb{R}^t\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

are finite dimensional.

Suppose that the ray $i\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ is transversal to μ , then $X_\nu := \mu^{-1}(i\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \nu)$ is a sub-manifold of X of codimension $t - 1$. There is a well-defined locally free action of $\mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1} := \exp_{\mathbb{T}}(i \ker \nu)$ on X_ν , the resulting orbifold X_ν^{red} is called *conic reduction* of X with respect to the weight ν . Let φ be an Euclidean product on \mathfrak{t} , we shall also use the symbol $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and denote by $\lambda^\varphi \in \mathfrak{t}$ be uniquely determined by $\lambda = \varphi(\lambda^\varphi, \cdot)$ and $\|\lambda\|$ the corresponding norm. By abuse of notation we write λ for λ^φ and we identify $\mathfrak{t} \cong i\mathbb{R}^t$ with its dual. We set

$$\ker \nu = \nu^\perp := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t} : \langle \nu, \lambda \rangle = 0\}.$$

The locus X_ν is \mathbb{T} -invariant, we will always assume that the action of \mathbb{T} on X_ν is locally free. After replacing \mathbb{T} with its quotient by a finite subgroup, we may and will assume without loss of generality that the action is generically free. In Section 2.1, we show that X_ν^{red} is CR manifold with positive definite Levi form of dimension $2n - 2t + 3$.

Let us define $\mathbb{T}_\nu^1 := \exp_{\mathbb{T}}(i\nu)$, if ν is coprime, we have a Lie group isomorphism

$$\kappa_\nu : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_\nu^1, \quad e^{i\theta} \mapsto e^{i\theta\nu}$$

between $\mathbb{T}_\nu^1 := \exp_{\mathbb{T}}(i\nu)$ and the circle S^1 . Let us denote by

$$\overline{\mathbb{T}_\nu^1} := \mathbb{T} / \mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1} \cong \mathbb{T}_\nu^1 / (\mathbb{T}_\nu^1 \cap \mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1}),$$

then the character $\chi_\nu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow S^1$, $\chi_\nu(e^{i\theta}) := e^{ik\langle \nu, \theta \rangle}$, being trivial on \mathbb{T}_ν^{t-1} , descends to a character $\chi'_\nu : \overline{\mathbb{T}_\nu^1} \rightarrow S^1$ which is a Lie group isomorphism, see [P3, Lemma 10]. Thus, we have a locally free circle action of $\overline{\mathbb{T}_\nu^1}$ on X_ν^{red} , which induces an action on the Hardy space $H(X_\nu^{\text{red}})$. Suppose that the action of $\overline{\mathbb{T}_\nu^1}$ on X is transversal to the CR structure. We denote by $H(X_\nu^{\text{red}})_k$ the corresponding k -th Fourier component and we call the action of $\overline{\mathbb{T}_\nu^1}$ on X_ν^{red} *residual circle action*. The aim of this paper is to prove that $H(X)_{k\nu}$ and $H(X_\nu^{\text{red}})_k$ are isomorphic for k sufficiently large.

We prove the aforementioned result in the more general setting of CR manifolds for spaces of $(0, q)$ -forms when k is large, more precisely we consider $(0, q)$ forms with L^2 coefficients and the corresponding projector $S^{(q)}$ onto the kernel of the Kohn Laplacian $H^q(X)$. Now, we make more precise the assumptions on the CR manifold X and on the group action.

Assumption 1.1. *Let $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ be a compact connected orientable CR manifold of dimension $2n + 1$, $n \geq 1$, and let ω be the associated contact 1-form. The Levi form is non-degenerate of constant signature (n_-, n_+) on X . That is, the Levi form has exactly n_- negative and n_+ positive eigenvalues at each point of X , where $n_- + n_+ = n$.*

Concerning the group action, we always assume

Assumption 1.2. *The action of \mathbb{T} preserves the contact form ω_0 and the complex structure J . That is, $g^*\omega_0 = \omega_0$ on X and $g_*J = Jg_*$ on the horizontal bundle HX for every $g \in \mathbb{T}$ where g^* and g_* denote the pull-back map and push-forward map of \mathbb{T} , respectively.*

Let $X_\nu^{\text{red}} := X_\nu / \mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1}$, defined in the same way as before, more precisely we shall assume

Assumption 1.3. *The moment map μ is transverse to the ray $i\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, the action of \mathbb{T} on X_ν is locally free and for every $x \in X_\nu$*

$$\mathrm{val}_x(\nu^\perp) \cap \mathrm{val}_x(\nu^\perp)^{\perp_b} = \{0\}$$

where the bilinear form b is defined in Section 2.1 and it is non-degenerate on X_ν .

By Assumptions above, we will show that X_ν^{red} is a CR manifold with natural CR structure induced by $T^{1,0}X$ of dimension $2n - 2(t - 1) + 1$. Let $L_{X_\nu^{\mathrm{red}}}$ be the Levi form on X_ν^{red} induced naturally from the Levi form L on X . For a given subspace \mathfrak{s} of \mathfrak{t} , we denote \mathfrak{s}_X the subspace of infinitesimal vector fields on X . Hence, on

$$\ker \nu_X \times \ker \nu_X \subseteq TX_\nu \times TX_\nu,$$

b has constant signature, suppose b has r negative and $t - 1 - r$ positive eigenvalues on X_ν . Hence $L_{X_\nu^{\mathrm{red}}}$ has $q - r$ negative and $n - t + 1 - q + r$ positive eigenvalues at each point of X_ν^{red} . We refer to Section 2.1 for definitions, we have:

Theorem 1.1. *Fix a maximal coprime weight $\nu \neq 0$ in the lattice inside \mathfrak{t}^* and assume that the circle action $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$ is a transversal CR action. Under the assumptions above, X_ν^{red} is a compact CR manifold with non-degenerate Levi form having $q - r$ -negative eigenvalues. There is a natural isomorphism of vector spaces $\sigma_k : H^q(X)_{k\nu} \rightarrow H^{q-r}(X_\nu^{\mathrm{red}})_k$ for k sufficiently large.*

We give a proof in Section 3, which is inspired from [HH] and it is a consequence of the microlocal properties of the projector $S_{k\nu}^{(q)}$ described in Section 2.2 and calculus of Fourier integral operators of complex type, see [MS].

The conic reductions defined above appear naturally in geometric quantization. In fact, given a Hamiltonian and holomorphic action with moment map Φ of a compact Lie Group G on a Hodge manifold (M, ω) with quantizing circle bundle $\pi : X \rightarrow M$, one can always define an infinitesimal action of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on X . If it can be integrated to an action of the whole group G , then one has a representation of G on $H(X)$. In [GS2] it was observed that associated to group actions one can define reductions M_{red}^Θ by pulling back a G -invariant and proper sub-manifold Θ of \mathfrak{g}^* via the moment map Φ . When Θ is chosen to be a cone through a co-adjoint orbit $C(\mathcal{O}_\nu)$ one has associated reduction whose Hardy space of its quantization is

$$H(X_{\mathrm{red}}^{C(\mathcal{O}_\nu)}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H(X_{\mathrm{red}}^{C(\mathcal{O}_\nu)})_k,$$

where k labels an irreducible representation of the residual circle action described above. Now, it is natural to ask if these spaces are related with the decomposition induced by G on $H(X)$. When $G = \mathbb{T}$ Theorem 1.1 states that they are isomorphic to $H_{k\nu}(X)$; the canonical decomposition of the Hardy space $H(X)$ of the quantization by the built-in circle action does not play any role. The semi-classical parameter k is the one induced by the ladder $k\nu$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, labeling unitary irreducible representations.

Further geometrical motivations for this theorem are explained in paper [P3], where it is proved that δ_k is an isomorphism for k large enough in the setting when X is the circle bundle of a polarized Hodge manifold whose Grauert tube is D . Thus, Theorem 1.1 generalizes the main theorem in [P3] to compact “quantizable” pseudo-Kähler manifolds. We also refer to [P1] for examples and the explicit expression of the leading term of the asymptotic expansion of $\dim H(X)_{k\nu}$ as k goes to infinity. Along this line of research in [P2] Toeplitz operators were studied for circle action, in [G] the study of asymptotics of compositions of Toeplitz operators with quantomorphism is addressed for torus actions.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Geometric setting

We recall some notations concerning CR and contact geometry. Let $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ be a compact, connected and orientable CR manifold of dimension $2n + 1$, $n \geq 1$, where $T^{1,0}X$ is a CR structure of X . There is a unique sub-bundle HX of TX such that $HX \otimes \mathbb{C} = T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X$. Let $J : HX \rightarrow HX$ be the complex structure map given by $J(u + \bar{u}) = iu - i\bar{u}$, for every $u \in T^{1,0}X$. By complex linear extension of J to $TX \otimes \mathbb{C}$, the i -eigenspace of J is $T^{1,0}X$. We shall also write (X, HX, J) to denote a CR manifold.

Since X is orientable, there always exists a real non-vanishing 1-form $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, T^*X)$ so that $\langle \omega_0(x), u \rangle = 0$, for every $u \in H_xX$, for every $x \in X$; ω_0 is called contact form and it naturally defines a volume form on X . For each $x \in X$, we define a quadratic form on HX by

$$L_x(U, V) = \frac{1}{2} d\omega_0(JU, V), \quad \forall U, V \in H_xX.$$

Then, we extend L to $HX \otimes \mathbb{C}$ by complex linear extension; for $U, V \in T_x^{1,0}X$,

$$L_x(U, \bar{V}) = \frac{1}{2} d\omega_0(JU, \bar{V}) = -\frac{1}{2i} d\omega_0(U, \bar{V}).$$

The Hermitian quadratic form L_x on $T_x^{1,0}X$ is called Levi form at x . In the case when X is the circle bundle of an Hodge manifold (M, ω) , the positivity of ω implies that the number of negative eigenvalues of the Levi form is equal to n . The Reeb vector field $R \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, TX)$ is defined to be the non-vanishing vector field determined by

$$\omega_0(R) = -1, \quad d\omega_0(R, \cdot) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } TX.$$

Fix a smooth Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ so that $T^{1,0}X$ is orthogonal to $T^{0,1}X$, $\langle u | v \rangle$ is real if u, v are real tangent vectors, $\langle R | R \rangle = 1$ and R is orthogonal to $T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X$. For $u \in \mathbb{C}TX$, we write $|u|^2 := \langle u | u \rangle$. Denote by $T^{*,1,0}X$ and $T^{*,0,1}X$ the dual bundles of $T^{1,0}X$ and $T^{0,1}X$, respectively. They can be identified with sub-bundles of the complexified cotangent bundle $\mathbb{C}T^*X$.

Assume that X admits an action of t -dimensional torus \mathbb{T} . In this work, we assume that the \mathbb{T} -action preserves ω_0 and J ; that is, $t^*\omega_0 = \omega_0$ on X and $t_*J = Jt_*$ on HX . Let \mathfrak{t} denote the Lie algebra of T , we identify \mathfrak{t} with its dual \mathfrak{t}^* by means of the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For any $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, we write ξ_X to denote the vector field on X induced by ξ . The moment map associated to the form ω_0 is the map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that, for all $x \in X$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$\langle \mu(x), \xi \rangle = \omega_0(\xi_X(x)). \quad (1)$$

We can also define a non-degenerate bilinear form b on HX such that

$$b(\cdot, \cdot) = d\omega_0(\cdot, J\cdot). \quad (2)$$

Fix a maximal weight $\nu \neq 0$ in the lattice inside \mathfrak{t}^* . Suppose that $i\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \nu$ is transversal to μ , so we have

$$\mathfrak{t}^* = i\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \nu \oplus d_p\mu(T_pX) \quad (3)$$

and X_ν is a sub-manifold of X of codimension $2n + 2 - t$. We claim that the action of \mathbb{T}_ν^{t-1} on X_ν is locally free. In fact, by the contrary suppose that there exists $\xi \in \ker \nu$ such that $\xi_X(x) = 0$ on T_xX_ν , $x \in X_\nu$. For each $v \in T_xX$, we have

$$(d_x\mu(v))(\xi) = d_x\omega_0(\xi_X, v) = 0$$

which contradicts (3).

The action of \mathbb{T} restricts to an action of \mathbb{T}^{t-1} whose moment map is given by

$$\mu|_{\mathbb{T}^{t-1}} = p_\nu \circ \mu, \quad \text{where } p_\nu : \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_\nu^*$$

is the canonical projection onto the $t-1$ -dimensional subspace ν^\perp in \mathfrak{t}^* . The transversality condition in 1.2 implies that $0 \in \mathfrak{t}_\nu^{t-1}$ is a regular value for the moment $\mu|_{\mathbb{T}^{t-1}}$. Thus, we have

$$X_\nu / \mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1} = \mu|_{\mathbb{T}^{t-1}}^{-1}(0) / \mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1}$$

and by assumptions 1.2, 1.3 and [HH, Section 2.5] (we shall also refer to [GH2] for definitions concerning CR structures on orbifolds) we have

Lemma 2.1. *The space of orbits $X_\nu / \mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1}$ is a CR orbifold. Let us denote by $\pi : X_\nu \rightarrow X_\nu^{\text{red}}$ and $\iota : X_\nu \hookrightarrow X$ the natural projection and inclusion, respectively, then there is a unique induced contact form ω_0^{red} on $X_\nu / \mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1}$ such that*

$$\pi^* \omega_0^{\text{red}} = \iota^* \omega_0.$$

In particular, set $HX_\nu = TX_\nu \cap HX$, we have

$$HX = HX_\nu \oplus J i \nu_X^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad HX_\nu = i \nu_X^\perp \oplus d\pi^* HX_\nu^{\text{red}}.$$

We will also assume that $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$ -action is transversal CR, that is, the infinitesimal vector field

$$(\nu_X u)(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u(\exp(it\nu) \circ x))|_{t=0}, \quad \text{for any } u \in C^\infty(X),$$

preserves the CR structure $T^{1,0}X$, so that ν_X and $T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X$ generate the complex tangent bundle to X ,

$$\mathbb{C}T_x X = \mathbb{C}\nu_X(x) \oplus \mathbb{C}T_x^{1,0}X \oplus \mathbb{C}T_x^{0,1}X \quad (x \in X).$$

We define local coordinates that will be useful later. Recall that X admits a CR and transversal $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$ -action which is locally free on X_ν , $T \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, TX)$ denotes the global real vector field given by this infinitesimal circle action. We will take T to be our Reeb vector field R . In a similar way as in Theorem 3.6 in [HH], there exist local coordinates $v = (v_1, \dots, v_{t-1})$ of \mathbb{T}_ν^{t-1} in a small neighborhood V_0 of the identity e with $v(e) = (0, \dots, 0)$, local coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1})$ defined in a neighborhood $U_1 \times U_2$ of $p \in X_\nu$, where $U_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{t-1}$ (resp. $U_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n+2-t}$) is an open set of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{t-1}$ (resp. $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2-t}$) and $p \equiv 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, and a smooth function $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_2, U_1)$ with $\gamma(0) = 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_1, \dots, v_{t-1}) \circ (\gamma(x_t, \dots, x_{2n+1}), x_t, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \\ &= (v_1 + \gamma_1(x_t, \dots, x_{2n+1}), \dots, v_{t-1} + \gamma_{t-1}(x_t, \dots, x_{2n+1}), x_t, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for each $(v_1, \dots, v_{t-1}) \in V_0$ and $(x_t, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \in U_2$. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathfrak{t} = \text{span} \{ \partial_{x_j} \}_{j=1, \dots, t-1}, \quad \mu^{-1}(i \mathbb{R}_\nu \cdot \nu) \cap U = \{x_{2d-t+1} = \dots = x_{2d} = 0\},$$

on $\mu^{-1}(i \mathbb{R}_\nu \cdot \nu) \cap U$ there exist smooth functions a_j 's with $a_j(0) = 0$ for every $0 \leq j \leq t-1$ and independent on $x_1, \dots, x_{2(t-1)}, x_{2n+1}$ such that

$$J(\partial_{x_j}) = \partial_{x_{t-1+j}} + a_j(x) \partial_{x_{2n+1}} \quad j = 1, \dots, t-1,$$

the Levi form L_p , the Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ and the 1-form ω_0 can be written

$$L_p(Z_j, \overline{Z}_k) = \mu_j \delta_{j,k}, \quad \langle Z_j | \overline{Z}_k \rangle = \delta_{j,k} \quad (1 \leq j, k \leq n),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0(x) = & (1 + O(|x|)) dx_{2n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} 4\mu_j x_{t-1+j} dx_j + \sum_{j=t}^n 2\mu_j x_{2j} dx_{2j-1} \\ & - \sum_{j=t}^n 2\mu_j x_{2j-1} dx_{2j} + \sum_{j=r}^{2n} b_j x_{2n+1} dx_j + O(|x|^2) \end{aligned}$$

where $b_r, \dots, b_{2n} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$T_p^{1,0} X = \text{span}\{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_j &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{x_j} - i \partial_{x_{t-1+j}})(p) \quad (j = 1, \dots, t-1), \\ Z_j &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{x_{2j-1}} - i \partial_{x_{2j}})(p) \quad (j = t, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

We need to define in local coordinates we just introduced the phase function of the \mathbb{T}_ν^{t-1} -invariant Szegő kernel $\Phi_-(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U \times U)$ which is independent of (x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}) and (y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) . Hence, we write $\Phi_-(x, y) = \Phi_-((0, x''), (0, y'')) := \Phi_-(x'', y'')$. Moreover, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\text{Im } \Phi_-(x'', y'') \geq c (|\hat{x}''|^2 + |\hat{y}''|^2 + |\hat{x}'' - \hat{y}''|^2), \quad \text{for all } ((0, x''), (0, y'')) \in U \times U. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_-(x'', y'') = & -x_{2n+1} + y_{2n+1} + 2i \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} |\mu_j| y_{t-1+j}^2 + 2i \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} |\mu_j| x_{t-1+j}^2 + i \sum_{j=t}^n |\mu_j| |z_j - w_j|^2 \\ & + \sum_{j=t}^n i \mu_j (\overline{z}_j w_j - z_j \overline{w}_j) + \sum_{j=1}^d (-b_{t-1+j} x_{d+j} x_{2n+1} + b_{t-1+j} y_{t-1+j} y_{2n+1}) \\ & + \sum_{j=t}^n \frac{1}{2} (b_{2j-1} - i b_{2j}) (-z_j x_{2n+1} + w_j y_{2n+1}) + \sum_{j=t}^n \frac{1}{2} (b_{2j-1} + i b_{2j}) (-\overline{z}_j x_{2n+1} + \overline{w}_j y_{2n+1}) \\ & + (x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1}) f(x, y) + O(|(x, y)|^3), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $z_j = x_{2j-1} + i x_{2j}$, $w_j = y_{2j-1} + i y_{2j}$, $j = t, \dots, n$, μ_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, f is smooth and satisfies $f(0, 0) = 0$, $\underline{f}(x, y) = \overline{f}(y, x)$.

We now consider \mathbb{T}_ν^1 circle action on X . Let $p \in \mu^{-1}(i\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \nu)$, there exist local coordinates $v = (v_1, \dots, v_{t-1})$ of \mathbb{T}^{t-1} in a small neighborhood V_0 of e with $v(e) = (0, \dots, 0)$, local coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1})$ defined in a neighborhood $U_1 \times U_2$ of p , where $U_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{t-1}$ (resp. $U_{t-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n+t}$) is an open set of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{t-1}$ (resp. $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+t}$) and $p \equiv 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, and a smooth function $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_2, U_1)$ with $\gamma(0) = 0$ such that $T = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n+1}}$ and all the properties for the local coordinates defined before hold. The phase function Ψ satisfies $\Psi(x, y) = -x_{2n+1} + y_{2n+1} + \hat{\Psi}(\hat{x}'', \hat{y}'')$, where $\hat{\Psi}(\hat{x}'', \hat{y}'') \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U \times U)$, $\hat{x}'' = (x_t, \dots, x_{2n})$, $\hat{y}'' = (y_t, \dots, y_{2n})$, and Ψ satisfies (5).

2.2 Hardy spaces

We denote by $L^2_{(0,q)}(X)$ the completion of $\Omega^{0,q}(X)$ with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)$. We extend $(\cdot | \cdot)$ to $L^2_{(0,q)}(X)$ in the standard way. We extend $\bar{\partial}_b$ to $L^2_{(0,r)}(X)$, $r = 0, 1, \dots, n$, by

$$\bar{\partial}_b : \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_b \subset L^2_{(0,r)}(X) \rightarrow L^2_{(0,r+1)}(X),$$

where $\text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_b := \{u \in L^2_{(0,r)}(X); \bar{\partial}_b u \in L^2_{(0,r+1)}(X)\}$ and, for any $u \in L^2_{(0,r)}(X)$, $\bar{\partial}_b u$ is defined in the sense of distributions. We also write

$$\bar{\partial}_b^* : \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_b^* \subset L^2_{(0,r+1)}(X) \rightarrow L^2_{(0,r)}(X)$$

to denote the Hilbert space adjoint of $\bar{\partial}_b$ in the L^2 space with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)$. There is a well-defined orthogonal projection

$$S^{(q)} : L^2_{(0,q)}(X) \rightarrow \text{Ker } \square_b^q \quad (6)$$

with respect to the L^2 inner product $(\cdot | \cdot)$ and let

$$S^{(q)}(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}'(X \times X, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*)$$

denote the distribution kernel of $S^{(q)}$. We will write $H^q(X)$ for $\text{ker } \square_b^q$ and for functions we simply write $H(X)$ to mean $H^0(X)$. The distributional kernel $S^{(q)}(x, y)$ was studied in [Hs], before recalling an explicit description describing the oscillatory integral defining the distribution $S^{(q)}(x, y)$ we need to fix some notation. Firstly, we recall Hörmander symbol space. Let $D \subset X$ be a local coordinate patch with local coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1})$.

Definition 2.1. For every $m \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote with

$$S^m_{1,0}(D \times D \times \mathbb{R}_+, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(D \times D \times \mathbb{R}_+, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*) \quad (7)$$

the space of all a such that, for all compact $K \Subset D \times D$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there is a constant $C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_t^\gamma a(x, y, t)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} (1 + |t|)^{m-\gamma}, \quad \text{for every } (x, y, t) \in K \times \mathbb{R}_+, t \geq 1.$$

For simplicity we denote with $S^m_{1,0}$ the spaces defined in (7); furthermore, we write

$$S^{-\infty}(D \times D \times \mathbb{R}_+, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*) := \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} S^m_{1,0}(D \times D \times \mathbb{R}_+, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*).$$

Let $a_j \in S^{m_j}_{1,0}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ with $m_j \rightarrow -\infty$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists $a \in S^{m_0}_{1,0}$ unique modulo $S^{-\infty}$, such that

$$a - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j \in S^{m_k}_{1,0}(D \times D \times \mathbb{R}_+, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*)$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If a and a_j have the properties above, we write $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$ in $S^{m_0}_{1,0}$.

It is known that the characteristic set of \square_b^q is given by

$$\Sigma = \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+, \quad \Sigma^- = \{(x, \lambda \omega_0(x)) \in T^*X; \lambda < 0\},$$

and Σ^+ is defined similarly for $\lambda > 0$. We recall the following theorem (see [Hs, Theorem 1.2]).

Theorem 2.1. *Since the Levi form is non-degenerate, \square_b^q has L^2 closed range. Then, there exist continuous operators $S_-, S_+ : L_{(0,q)}^2(X) \rightarrow \text{Ker } \square_b^q$ such that*

$$S^{(q)} = S_- + S_+, \quad S_+ \equiv 0 \quad \text{if } q \neq n_+$$

and

$$\text{WF}'(S_-) = \text{diag}(\Sigma^- \times \Sigma^-), \quad \text{WF}'(S_+) = \text{diag}(\Sigma^+ \times \Sigma^+) \quad \text{if } q = n_- = n_+,$$

where $\text{WF}'(S_-) = \{(x, \xi, y, \eta) \in T^*X \times T^*X; (x, \xi, y, -\eta) \in \text{WF}(S_-)\}$, $\text{WF}(S_-)$ is the wave front set of S_- in the sense of Hörmander.

Moreover, consider any small local coordinate patch $D \subset X$ with local coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1})$, then $S_-(x, y)$, $S_+(x, y)$ satisfy

$$S_{\mp}(x, y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\varphi_{\mp}(x, y)t} s_{\mp}(x, y, t) dt \quad \text{on } D,$$

with

$$s_{\mp}(x, y, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty s_{\mp}^j(x, y) t^{n-j} \quad \text{in } S_{1,0}^n(D \times D \times \mathbb{R}_+, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*),$$

$s_+(x, y, t) = 0$ if $q \neq n_+$ and $s_-^0(x, x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in D$. The phase functions φ_-, φ_+ satisfy

$$\varphi_+, \varphi_- \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D \times D), \quad \text{Im } \varphi_{\mp}(x, y) \geq 0, \quad \varphi_-(x, x) = 0, \quad \varphi_-(x, y) \neq 0 \quad \text{if } x \neq y,$$

and

$$d_x \varphi_-(x, y)|_{x=y} = -\omega_0(x), \quad d_y \varphi_-(x, y)|_{x=y} = \omega_0(x), \quad -\overline{\varphi}_+(x, y) = \varphi_-(x, y).$$

Remark 2.1. Kohn [Kohn] proved that if $q = n_- = n_+$ or $|n_- - n_+| > 1$ then \square_b^q has L^2 closed range. For a description of the phase function in local coordinates see chapter 8 of part I in [Hs].

Now we focus on the decomposition induced by the group action on $H^q(X)$. Fix $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and let $t^* : \Lambda_x^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X) \rightarrow \Lambda_{t^{-1} \circ x}^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X)$ be the pull-back map. Since \mathbb{T} preserves J , we have $t^* : T_x^{*0,q}X \rightarrow T_{t^{-1} \circ x}^{*0,q}X$, for all $x \in X$. Thus, for $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$, we have $t^*u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$. Put

$$\Omega^{0,q}(X)_{k\nu} := \{u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X); (e^{i\theta})^*u = e^{ik\langle \nu, \theta \rangle}u, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^r\}.$$

Since the Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ is \mathbb{T} -invariant, the L^2 inner product $(\cdot | \cdot)$ on $\Omega^{0,q}(X)$ induced by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is \mathbb{T} -invariant. Let $u \in L_{(0,q)}^2(X)$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$, we can also define t^*u in the standard way. We introduce the following notation

$$L_{(0,q)}^2(X)_{k\nu} := \{u \in L_{(0,q)}^2(X); (e^{i\theta})^*u = e^{ik\langle \nu, \theta \rangle}u, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^r\},$$

and put

$$(\text{Ker } \square_b^q)_{k\nu} := \text{Ker } \square_b^q \cap L_{(0,q)}^2(X)_{k\nu}.$$

The equivariant Szegő projection is the orthogonal projection

$$S_{k\nu}^{(q)} : L_{(0,q)}^2(X) \rightarrow (\text{Ker } \square_b^q)_{k\nu}$$

with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)$. Let $S_{k\nu}^{(q)}(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}'(X \times X, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*)$ be the distribution kernel of $S_{k\nu}^{(q)}$. The asymptotic expansion for the distributional kernel of the projector $S_{k\nu}^{(0)}$ was studied in [P1] when X is the quantizing circle bundle of a given Hodge manifold in Heisenberg local coordinates. Using similar ideas as in [HH] one can generalize the results for $(0, q)$ -forms in the following theorem, we give a sketch of the proof, which is similar to the proof of [HH, Theorem 1.8], here the group G in [HH] is \mathbb{T}_ν^{t-1} and the circle action in [HH] is given by the action of $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$.

Since the action is locally free we need to recall some notations from [GH1]. Since the action is locally free $\mu^{-1}(0)/\overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$ is an orbifold, let us denote with $\pi : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)/\overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$ the projection. Furthermore the action of $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$ commutes with the one of \mathbb{T}_ν^{t-1} , then we have a smooth locally free action of \mathbb{T}_ν^{t-1} on $\mu^{-1}(0)/\overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$. Given $y \in X$ and g in the stabilizer $(\mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1})_{\pi(y)}$, there exist $|\overline{(\mathbb{T}_\nu^1)_y}|$ elements $e^{i\theta_{g,j}} \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$ ($j = 1, \dots, |\overline{(\mathbb{T}_\nu^1)_y}|$) such that

$$g \circ y = e^{-i\theta_{g,j}} \circ y.$$

Thus, all the elements $(e^{i\theta}, g) \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1 \times \mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1} = \mathbb{T}$ satisfying

$$e^{i\theta} \cdot g \circ y = y.$$

are of the form $(e^{i\theta_{g,j}}, g)$ for each $g \in (\mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1})_{\pi(y)}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that \square_b^q has L^2 closed range. Then, there exist continuous operators $S_{k\nu}^-, S_{k\nu}^+ : L_{(0,q)}^2(X) \rightarrow (\text{Ker } \square_b^q)_{k\nu}$ such that*

$$S_{k\nu}^{(q)} = S_{k\nu}^- + S_{k\nu}^+, \quad S_+ \equiv 0 \quad \text{if } q \neq n_+$$

Suppose for simplicity that $q \neq n_+$. If $q \neq n_-$, then $S_{k\nu}^{(q)} \equiv O(k^{-\infty})$ on X .

Suppose $q = n_-$ and let D be an open set in X such that the intersection $\mu^{-1}(i\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \nu) \cap D = \emptyset$. Then $S_{k\nu}^{(q)} \equiv O(k^{-\infty})$ on D .

Let $p \in \mu^{-1}(i\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \nu)$ and let U a local neighborhood of p with local coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}) . Then, if $q = n_-$, for every fix $y \in U$, we consider $S_{k\nu}^{(q)}(x, y)$ as a k -dependent smooth function in x , then

$$S_{k\nu}^{(q)}(x, y) = \sum_{h \in G_{\pi(y)}} \sum_{j=1}^{|\overline{S_x^1}|} e^{ik\theta_{h,j}} e^{ik\|\nu\| \Psi(x,y)} b(x, y, k\|\nu\|) + O(k^{-\infty}).$$

for every $x \in U_y$, where U_y is a small open neighborhood of y . The phase function $\Psi(x, y)$ is defined in local coordinates in the end of Section 2.1, the symbol satisfies

$$b(x, y, k\|\nu\|) \in S_{\text{loc}}^{n+(1-t)/2}(1, U \times U, T^{*(0,q)}X \boxtimes (T^{*(0,q)}X)^*)$$

and the leading term of $b(x, x, \|\nu\|)$ is non-zero.

Proof. Suppose $q = n_-$, on small local neighborhood D of a point $p \in X_\nu$ we have

$$S_{k\nu}^{(q)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^t} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \dots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\langle \nu, \theta \rangle} S^{(q)}(x, e^{i\theta} \cdot y) d\theta$$

where $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_t)$. It is easy to prove that the oscillatory integral has a rapidly decreasing asymptotic as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ far away from a local neighborhood of those elements $(e^{i\theta}, g) \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1 \times \mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1} = \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$e^{i\theta} \cdot g \circ y = y.$$

We shall consider the case of a local neighborhood of the identity in \mathbb{T} , we set θ_t the variable for circle action of $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$ and $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{t-1})$ the variables for \mathbb{T}_ν^{t-1} . We can then use local coordinates defined in 2.1, we have

$$S_{k\nu}^{(q)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\|\nu\|\theta_t - i k x_{2n+1} + i k y_{2n+1}} S_{\mathbb{T}^{t-1}}^{(q)}(\dot{x}, e^{i\theta_t} \cdot \dot{y}) d\theta_t$$

where

$$S_{\mathbb{T}^{t-1}}^{(q)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{t-1}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \dots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^{(q)}(x, e^{i \text{diag}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{t-1})} \cdot y) d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{t-1}, \quad (8)$$

and $\dot{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$ and $\dot{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n, 0)$. The action of \mathbb{T} restricts to an action of \mathbb{T}^{t-1} whose moment map is given by

$$\mu|_{\mathbb{T}^{t-1}} = p_\nu \circ \mu, \quad \text{where } p_\nu : \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_\nu^*$$

is the canonical projection onto the $t-1$ -dimensional subspace ν^\perp in \mathfrak{t}^* . Since we are assuming $X_\nu \neq \emptyset$ we have that $0 \in \mathfrak{t}_{t-1}^*$ does lie in the image of the moment map $\mu|_{\mathbb{T}^{t-1}}$. The proof follow in a similar way as in Theorem 1.8 in [HH] where here we have the action of $G = \mathbb{T}^{t-1}$ with moment map $\mu|_{\mathbb{T}^{t-1}}$ and the $k\nu$ Fourier components are the ones induced by the transversal $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$ -action; notice that [HH, Assumption 1.7] is satisfied. We shall also refer to [GH1, Theorem 5.4] for the locally free action case. \square

For ease of notation we introduce a definition to say that an operator behaves micro-locally as equivariant Szegő projector we just studied. For simplicity we assume $q = n_-$.

Definition 2.2 (Equivariant Szegő type operator). Suppose that $q = n_-$ and consider $H : \Omega^{0,q}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,q}(X)$ be a continuous operator with distribution kernel

$$H(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}'(X \times X, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*).$$

We say that H is a complex Fourier integral operator of equivariant Szegő type of order $n + (1-t)/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ if H is smoothing away $\mu^{-1}(i\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \nu)$ and for given $p \in \mu^{-1}(i\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \nu)$ let D a local neighborhood of p with local coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}) . Then, the distributional kernel of H satisfies

$$H_k(x, y) = e^{ik\Psi(x, y)} a(x, y, k) + O(k^{-\infty}) \quad \text{on } D$$

where $a \in S_{1,0}^{n+(1-t)/2}(D \times D \times \mathbb{R}_+, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*)$, and Ψ is as in the end of Section 2.1.

For $k = 0$, $H_0^{(q)}(X)$ is the space of \mathbb{T} -fixed vectors, by Theorem 1.5 in [HH] we have $\dim H_0^{(q)}(X) < +\infty$ since when $0 \notin \mu(X)$ the projector $S_{\mathbb{T}}^{(q)}$ is smoothing. Now, given $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k_1, k_2 \neq 0$, consider $f_1 \in H_{k_1\nu}^{(q)}(X)$ and $f_2 \in H_{k_2\nu}^{(q)}(X)$, we have $f_1 \cdot f_2 \in H_{(k_1+k_2)\nu}^{(q)}(X)$; we can study $\dim H_{k\nu}^{(q)}(X)$ for k large, we have

$$\dim H_{k\nu}^{(q)}(X) = \int_X S_{k\nu}^{(q)}(x, x) dV_X(x).$$

These dimensions can be studied as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ by using the microlocal properties of the Szegő kernel and Stationary Phase Lemma in a similar way as in Corollary 1.3 in [P1] we have $\dim H_{k\nu}^{(q)}(X) = O(k^{d+1-t})$. So, we have the following generalization of Theorem A.3 in [GS1], where it is proved for spaces of functions $H(X)_{k\nu}$.

Lemma 2.2. *If $0 \notin \mu(X)$, then $H_{k\nu}^q(X)$ are finite dimensional.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we shall explain how to prove asymptotic commutativity for quantization and reduction for spaces of $(0, q)$ -forms. We recall that X_ν^{red} is a CR orbifold whose Levi form is non-degenerate, with r negative eigenvalues; let us denote with $S^{(q)}$ the corresponding Szegő kernel $S_{\text{red}}^{(q)}$ for $(0, q)$ -forms whose k -th Fourier components are the one induced by the $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_\nu^1$ -action on X_ν^{red} . We shall recall briefly its microlocal expression by [GH2, Theorem 1.2]. Now, let us denote by $e^{i\theta} \cdot$ the transversal and CR locally free \mathbb{T}_ν^1 -action and we take the Reeb vector field R to be the vector field on X induced by it. For every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$X_\ell := \{x \in X : e^{i\theta}x \neq x, \theta \in [0, 2\pi/\ell[, e^{i2\pi/\ell}x = x\}.$$

Let $q = r$, fix $p \in X$ and assume that $p \in X_\ell$, for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider an open set $U \subset X$, $p \in U$, and an orbifold chart $(\tilde{U}, G_U) \rightarrow U$, we denote by \tilde{x} the coordinates on \tilde{U} . We have as $k \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\Pi_k^{(q)}(x, y) = \sum_{g \in G_U} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} e^{\frac{2\pi k j}{\ell}} e^{ik \Psi(\tilde{x}, e^{i\frac{2\pi j}{\ell}} \cdot g \cdot \tilde{y})} b(\tilde{x}, e^{i\frac{2\pi j}{\ell}} \cdot g \cdot \tilde{y}, k) + O(k^{-\infty}) \quad (9)$$

where the phase function

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &\in C^\infty(\tilde{U} \times \tilde{U}), \quad \Psi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \tilde{x} \in \tilde{U}, \\ \inf_{e^{i\theta} \in S^1} \{\text{dist}^2(\tilde{x}, e^{i\theta} \tilde{y})\} / C &\leq \text{Im } \Psi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \leq C \inf_{e^{i\theta} \in S^1} \{\text{dist}^2(\tilde{x}, e^{i\theta} \tilde{y})\} \end{aligned}$$

for each $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \tilde{U} \times \tilde{U}$, $C > 1$ is a constant; and the symbol satisfies

$$b(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, k) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} b_j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) k^{n-j} \quad \text{in } S^n(1; \tilde{U} \times \tilde{U}, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*)$$

and $b_0(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x})$ is non zero.

Let us recall briefly why we have a local chart $(\tilde{U}, G_U) \rightarrow U$, for ease of notation let us put $G = \mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1}$. We recall that, for every $x \in X_\nu$, by the slice Theorem a neighborhood of any orbit $\mathbb{T}_\nu^{t-1} \cdot x = x_0$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a neighborhood of the zero section of the associated principal bundle

$$G \times_{G_x} N_x,$$

where N_x is the normal space to $G \cdot x$ in X_ν and G_x is the stabilizer of x for the action of G , which is finite. Therefore, for some $\epsilon > 0$ and for an open ball $B_{2\epsilon}(\epsilon) \subseteq N_x$, one has a homeomorphism $B_{2\epsilon}(\epsilon)/G_x \cong \overline{U}$ onto some neighborhood of x_0 in X_ν^{red} .

Since $\nu_X^\perp := \text{val}(\nu^\perp)$ is orthogonal to

$$H_x X_\nu \cap J_x H_x X_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad H_x X_\nu \cap J_x H_x X_\nu \subset (\nu_X^\perp)^{\perp_b}$$

for every $x \in X_\nu$, we can find a \mathbb{T} -invariant orthonormal basis $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$ of $T^{*0,1}X$ on X_ν such that for each j , $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$L_x(Z_j(x), \overline{Z_j}(x)) = \delta_{jk} \lambda_j(x),$$

where

$$Z_j(x) \in (\nu_{X,x}^\perp + iJ\nu_{X,x}^\perp) \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, r-1,$$

$$Z_j(x) \in H_x X_\nu \cap J_x(H_x X_\nu) \text{ for each } j = r, \dots, n.$$

Let $\{Z_1^*, \dots, Z_n^*\}$ denote the orthonormal basis of $T^{*0,1}X$ on X_ν , dual to Z_1, \dots, Z_n . Fix $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - r + 1$. For $x \in X_\nu$, put

$$B_x^{*0,s}X = \left\{ \sum_{r \leq j_1 < \dots < j_s \leq n} a_{j_1, \dots, j_s} Z_{j_1}^*, \dots, Z_{j_s}^*; a_{j_1, \dots, j_s} \in \mathbb{C}, \right\}$$

and let $B^{*0,s}X$ be the vector bundle of X_ν with fibre $B_x^{*0,s}$, $x \in X_\nu$. Let $C^\infty(X_\nu, B^{*0,s}X)^\mathbb{T}$ denote the set of all \mathbb{T} -invariant sections of X_ν with values in $B_x^{*0,s}X$; let

$$\iota_{\text{red}} : C^\infty(X_\nu, B^{*0,s}X)^\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \Omega^{0,s}(X_\nu^{\text{red}})$$

be the natural identification. Before defining the map between $H^q(X)_{k\nu}$ and $H^{q-r}(X_\nu^{\text{red}})_k$ we need one more piece of notation. We can assume that $\lambda_1 < 0, \dots, \lambda_r < 0$ and also $\lambda_t < 0, \dots, \lambda_{n-r+t-1} < 0$. For $x \in X_\nu$, set

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}(x, n_-) = \{c Z_t^* \wedge \dots \wedge Z_{n_- - r + t - 1}^*, c \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

and let $\hat{p} : \mathcal{N}(x, n_-) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{N}}(x, n_-)$ to be

$$\hat{p}(c Z_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge Z_r^* \wedge Z_t^* \wedge \dots \wedge Z_{n_- - r + t - 1}^*) := c Z_t^* \wedge \dots \wedge Z_{n_- - r + t - 1}^*$$

where c is a complex number. Put $\iota_\nu : X_\nu \rightarrow X$ be the natural inclusion, let $\iota_\nu^* : \Omega^{0,q}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,q}(X_\nu)$ be the pull-back of ι_ν .

Let $q = n_-$; now, inspired by [HH], we define the map

$$\sigma_{k\nu} : H_{k\nu}^{(q)}(X) \rightarrow H(X_\nu^{\text{red}})_k$$

given by

$$\sigma_{k\nu}(u) := k^{(t-1)/4} S_{\text{red},k}^{(q-r)} \circ \iota_{\text{red}} \circ \hat{p} \circ \tau_{x,n_-} \circ e \circ \iota_\nu^* \circ S_{k\nu}^{(q)}(u), \quad (10)$$

here, $e(x)$ is a \mathbb{T} -invariant smooth function on X_ν that can be found explicitly. We recall the idea of the proof which then follows from calculation of complex Fourier integral operators. Notice that operators $S_{\text{red},k}^{(q-r)}$ and $S_{k\nu}^{(q)}$ appearing in (10) are known explicitly. Micro-locally $S_{\text{red},k}^{(q-r)}$ is the k -th Fourier component of the standard Szegő kernel for the CR manifold X_ν^{red} , on the other hand $S_{k\nu}^{(q)}$ is described in Section 2.2. In fact, $\sigma_{k\nu}$ is an isomorphism for k large if we can prove that

$$\sigma_{k\nu} : H^q(X)_{k\nu} \rightarrow H^{q-r}(X_\nu)_k \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{k\nu}^* : H^{q-r}(X_\nu^{\text{red}})_k \rightarrow H^q(X)_{k\nu}$$

are injective for k large.

The injectivity of the map $\sigma_{k\nu}$ is a consequence of the following equality of operators

$$\sigma_{k\nu}^* \sigma_{k\nu} \equiv c_0 (1 + R_k) S_{k\nu}^{(q)}$$

where c_0 is a positive constant and $1 + R_k : \Omega^{0,q}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,q}(X)$ is an injective integral operator of *equivariant Szegő type*, see Definition 2.2. The injectivity of operator $1 + R_k$ follows by direct computations of the leading symbol of R_k which vanishes at $\text{diag}(X_\nu \times X_\nu)$. In fact, as a consequence of this, by Lemma 6.8 in [HH] we have that $\|R_k u\| \leq \epsilon_k \|u\|$ for all $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ where ϵ_k is a sequence with $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon_k = 0$; this in turn implies, if k is large enough, that the map $1 + R_k$ is injective.

Analogously, the injectivity of the map $\sigma_{k\nu}^*$ follows by studying $\sigma_{k\nu} \sigma_{k\nu}^*$. We don't repeat the proof here since it is an application of the stationary phase formula for Fourier integral operators of complex type, see [MS], and it follows in a similar way as in [HH].

Acknowledgments: This project was started during the author's postdoctoral fellowship at the National Center for Theoretical Sciences in Taiwan; the author thanks the Center for the support. I am indebted to Roberto Paoletti for a remark on the previous version of this paper.

References

- [G] A. Galasso, *Equivariant fixed point formulae and Toeplitz operators under Hamiltonian torus actions and remarks on equivariant asymptotic expansions* Int. J. of Mathematics **33**, No. 2 (2022)
- [GH1] A. Galasso, C.-Y. Hsiao, *Toeplitz Operators on CR Manifolds and Group Actions*, The Journal of Geometric Analysis (2023)
- [GH2] A. Galasso, C.-Y. Hsiao, *On the singularities of the Szegő kernels on CR orbifolds*, preprint on arXiv (2022)
- [GS1] V. Guillemin, S. Sterneberg *Geometric Quantization and Multiplicities of Group Representations*, Invent. math. 67, 515-538 (1982)
- [GS2] V. Guillemin, S. Sterneberg *Homogeneous Quantization and Multiplicities of Group Representations*, Journal of functional analysis 41, 344-380 (1982)
- [Hs] C.-Y. Hsiao, *Projections in several complex variables*, Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France **123**, 1-136 (2010)
- [HH] C.-Y. Hsiao, R.-T. Huang, *G-invariant Szegő kernel asymptotics and CR reduction*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 60, no. 1 (2021)
- [Kohn] J. J. Kohn, *The range of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator*, Duke Math. J. **53**, No. 2, 307–562 (1986)
- [MS] A. Melin and J. Sjöstrand, *Fourier integral operators with complex valued phase functions*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math **459** (1074), pp. 120-223, (1975)
- [P1] R. Paoletti, *Asymptotics of Szegő kernels under Hamiltonian torus actions*, Isr. J. Math. 191 (1) 363–403, (2012)
- [P2] R. Paoletti, *Lower-order asymptotics for Szegő and Toeplitz kernels under Hamiltonian circle actions*, Recent Advances in Algebraic Geometry **417**, 321 (2015)
- [P3] R. Paoletti, *Polarized orbifolds associated to quantized Hamiltonian torus actions*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, (170), (2021)