

A Simple, Strong and Robust Baseline for Distantly Supervised Relation Extraction

Vipul Rathore * Kartikeya Badola * Mausam Parag Singla

Indian Institute of Technology

New Delhi, India

rathorevipul28@gmail.com, kartikeya.badola@gmail.com

mausam@cse.iitd.ac.in, parags@cse.iitd.ac.in

Abstract

Distantly supervised relation extraction (DS-RE) is generally framed as a multi-instance multi-label (MI-ML) task, where the optimal aggregation of information from multiple instances is of key importance. Intra-bag attention (Lin et al., 2016) is an example of a popularly used aggregation scheme for this framework. Apart from this scheme, however, there is not much to choose from in the DS-RE literature as most of the advances in this field are focused on improving the instance-encoding step rather than the instance-aggregation step. With recent works leveraging large pre-trained language models as encoders, the increased capacity of models might allow for more flexibility in the instance-aggregation step. In this work, we explore this hypothesis and come up with a novel aggregation scheme which we call *Passage-Att*. Under this aggregation scheme, we combine all instances mentioning an entity pair into a “passage of instances”, which is summarized independently for each relation class. These summaries are used to predict the validity of a potential triple. We show that our *Passage-Att* with BERT as passage encoder achieves state-of-the-art performance in three different settings (monolingual DS, monolingual DS with manually-annotated test set, multilingual DS).

1 Introduction

Given a piece of text t mentioning an entity pair (e_1, e_2) , the goal of relation extraction (RE) is to predict the relationship between e_1 and e_2 which can be inferred from t . Creating datasets for RE is difficult, requiring the need of human annotators to label each instance. One way to mitigate this bottleneck is to employ distant supervision (DS) (Mintz et al., 2009). Let $B(e_1, e_2)$ denote the set of all instances (pieces of text) in the corpus mentioning entity pair (e_1, e_2) and let $R(e_1, e_2)$ denote

all the directed relation edges from node e_1 to node e_2 in the KB of the corpus. Distantly supervised relation extraction (DS-RE), under the “at-least one” framework (Hoffmann et al., 2011) assumes that $\forall r \in R(e_1, e_2)$, there exists at-least one instance in $B(e_1, e_2)$ that expresses the relation r between e_1 and e_2 .

Under the MI-ML framework (Surdeanu et al., 2012), models trained on DS-RE are trained and evaluated on the task of predicting all possible relation classes for the ordered pair (e_1, e_2) using multiple instances from $B(e_1, e_2)$ (often called as “bag” in the literature).

Early advances in MI-ML DS-RE revealed that aggregation of instance representations followed by multi-label classification leads to an improvement in performance (as opposed to classifying each instance separately and then pooling the results for the bag). A notable paper in this direction introduced intra-bag attention (Lin et al., 2016) for the instance-aggregation step.

The intra-bag attention formulation has been used in many state-of-the-art models for DS-RE in the past, although often treated as a black box. In all such models, there is a clear distinction between the instance-encoding and instance-aggregation step and the innovation is often limited to the instance-encoding part. Let t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n denote n instances sampled from $B(e_1, e_2)$. In all models using intra-bag attention for instance-aggregation, each t_i is independently encoded to form the instance representation, $E(t_i)$, following which the relation triple representation B_r for the triple (e_1, e_2, r) is given by $B_r = \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} \alpha_i^r E(t_i)$. Here r is any one of the relation classes present in the dataset and α_i^r is the normalized attention score allotted to instance representation $E(t_i)$ by relation query vector \vec{r} for relation r . The model then predicts whether the relation triple is a valid one by sending each B_r through a feed-forward neural network. In some variants, \vec{r} is replaced with a

* Equal Contribution

shared query vector for all relation-classes, \vec{q} , resulting in a bag-representation B corresponding to (e_1, e_2) as opposed to triple-representation.

The best performing models in DS-RE include RESIDE (Vashishth et al., 2018), DISTRE (Alt et al., 2019), REDSandT (Christou and Tsoumakas, 2021) and the current state-of-the-art for the task, CIL (Chen et al., 2021). All of these models use intra-bag attention for instance aggregation, with differences in the choice of encoders, pre-training, use of side-information and loss function.

The last three models mentioned above use pre-trained language models for encoding instances. Consider BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), a popularly used pre-trained language model. BERT has enough capacity to generate contextualized embeddings of every token in a multi-sentence paragraph (of 512 tokens or less). Further, its pre-training objective includes the “next sentence prediction” (NSP) task, where 50% of the time, two sentences from different contexts are encoded together. These two properties suggest that encoders like BERT should have enough capacity to encode multiple instances from a bag in one-go.

We test this hypothesis by formulating a new instance-aggregation scheme called *Passage-Att*. Under this scheme, we first construct a “passage of facts”, say $P(e_1, e_2)$ by concatenating all the sentences mentioning a particular entity-pair (e_1, e_2) . The passage is sent through a transformer encoder to generate contextualized embeddings of each token. Using a relation query vector \vec{r} for a particular relation class r , we summarize the passage using dot-product attention and generate the triple representation B_r for the triple (e_1, e_2, r) . We then use a binary classifier (shared across all relation classes) to predict whether (e_1, e_2, r) is a valid triple or not. Such a formulation will have the following additional properties as opposed to the intra-bag attention based aggregation:

- Tokens present in one sentence will be able to exchange information with tokens present in some other sentence in the bag during self-attention step in every layer of the BERT encoder
- Under our framework, the “atleast-one” assumption is slightly modified: $\forall r \in R(e_1, e_2)$, there exists a sub-section in $P(e_1, e_2)$ that expresses the relation r between (e_1, e_2) . The tokens present in such

a sub-section need not come from the same sentence. This is a softer assumption than the previous variant.

We train and test a BERT+*Passage-Att* model on three datasets, NYT-10d (Riedel et al., 2010), NYT-10m (Gao et al., 2021) and DiS-ReX (Bhartiya et al., 2021). The three datasets represent three different settings: (1) training and testing on a DS dataset (2) training on a DS train set but testing on a manually annotated test set (3) training and testing on a multilingual DS dataset. Our model achieves the state-of-the-art performance in each of the three settings. To encourage replicability of results, we publicly release our code and model ¹.

2 Related Works

Mintz et al. (2009) proposed distant supervision as an alternative to manually annotating relation extraction datasets. The original paper also proposed a multi-class logistic regression model to train and test on distantly-supervised dataset. NYT-10d Riedel et al. (2010) is the premier dataset for this task, still used to this day as a widely popular benchmarking dataset for DS-RE models. One of the first neural network approaches to DS-RE, under the MI-ML framework, was PCNN (piece-wise convolutional neural network) (Zeng et al., 2015). Here the multi-label classification scores of each instance were calculated independently and then pooled together using max-pooling. Lin et al. (2016) showed that by aggregating instance representations using intra-bag attention, one can obtain significant improvement in performance while using the same instance encoder. RESIDE, DISTRE, REDSandT and CIL belong to the category of models using intra-bag attention for instance aggregation. Each model has previously obtained the then state-of-the-art performance on the NYT-10d dataset. RESIDE and REDSandT use side-information in-addition to the sentences present in the dataset. Some examples of side-information include entity-type information, sub-tree parse, relation aliases etc. DISTRE, on the other hand, pre-trains its instance encoder (OpenAI GPT Radford et al. (2018)) under the language modeling task on the sentences present in the DS-RE dataset before fine-tuning for the downstream task. The current state-of-the-art on mono-lingual DS-RE is CIL which uses masked-language modeling and contrastive learning losses

¹<https://github.com/dair-iitd/DSRE>

as auxiliary losses during training. We compare these models with BERT+*Passage-Att*. We note that our model doesn’t use additional pre-training, side-information and any auxiliary losses during training.

For multilingual DS-RE, DiS-ReX (Bhartiya et al., 2021) is the premier benchmarking dataset for the task. Current baselines on the dataset again use intra-bag attention for instance aggregation. The state-of-the-art on DiS-ReX uses the instance aggregation scheme first formulated in MNRE (Lin et al., 2017)². MNRE slightly modifies the intra-bag attention scheme by separately attending over sentences of the same language inside a bag. Each $(relation, language)$ tuple is assigned a query vector for the attention aggregation step. A bag is divided into sub-bags where each sub-bag contains the instances of the same language. In essence, a bag has L sub-bags and each relation class corresponds to L query vectors, where L denotes the number of languages present in the dataset. These are then used to construct L^2 triple representations (using attention aggregation) and are scored independently. The final confidence score for a triple is the average of L^2 triple scores. Since our aggregation scheme doesn’t contain any language specific machinery, we also train a mBERT+*Passage-Att* model on DiS-ReX and compare the results with the previously reported benchmarks on the dataset.

Evaluating DS-RE models poses yet another difficulty since the test-sets of DS-RE datasets are also automatically annotated, resulting in significant amount of noise in the gold labels. Recent efforts in improving the evaluation protocol of DS-RE models include the work of Gao et al. (2021), who released the NYT-10m dataset which has a manually annotated test set. In this setting, the models are trained on a distantly-supervised train set and tested on a manually annotated test set. We compare our model with the already established baselines on the dataset and CIL (the current state-of-the-art on NYT-10d).

3 Model Architecture

Our instance aggregation scheme is divided into three steps: Passage Construction, Passage Encoding and Passage Summarization. We describe each of the steps below. Figure 1 shows the sequence of steps as a flow diagram.

3.1 Passage construction

In this step, we construct a “passage of instances”, $P(e_1, e_2)$, for entity pair (e_1, e_2) by selecting sentences from the bag $B(e_1, e_2)$. We initiate the passage construction process by randomly sampling instances from the bag without replacement. We terminate the sampling process if a) adding a new instance would exceed the maximum number of tokens allowed by the encoder (eg. for BERT the limit is 512 tokens) b) all of the instances from the bag have been added. We show that truncation of passage doesn’t affect the model performance in section 4. In Figure 1, the left most arrow denotes the passage construction, where the bag contains three instances t_1, t_2, t_3 . Here each instance is a list of tokens ($t_i = [w_1^i, w_2^i, \dots, w_{n_i}^i]$). We add [CLS] token at the start of the passage and [SEP] tokens to separate the tokens of two adjacent instances. Entity mentions in an instance t_i are surrounded by special tokens which denote head and tail entity markers. Finally the entire passage is appended with [PAD] tokens (to ensure each data point has equal number of tokens).

3.2 Passage encoding


The constructed passage is then sent through the encoder to generate contextualized embeddings of each token in the passage. [PAD] tokens are ignored during the self-attention update in each layer of the encoder. This step is corresponds to the middle section of Figure 1.

3.3 Passage summarization

We initialize relation query vectors for the passage-summarization step. Each relation-class in the dataset has a query vector associated with it. These vectors are trained along with the remaining model. Let the set of all relation vectors be denoted by r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N where N denotes the number of classes in the dataset. Let for a given bag, the contextualized embeddings of each token in the passage $P(e_1, e_2)$ be z_1, \dots, z_L where L denotes the maximum length of sequence. Passage summary for relation class r_i is given by $z_{(e_1, e_2, r_i)} = \sum_{j=1}^{j=L} \alpha_j^i z_j$. Here α_j^i is the normalized attention weight allotted to token z_j by relation query vector \vec{r}_i (for relation class r_i) using dot-product attention (\vec{r}_i as the query and z_j as the key). We note that z_1, \dots, z_L also includes embeddings of the [PAD] token (i.e. they are also considered during passage summarization). We justify the choice of including

²Taken from <https://github.com/dair-iitd/DiS-ReX>

Figure 1: Model diagram



[PAD] tokens in the summarization step in section 4. This passage summarization step corresponds to the rightmost section of Figure 1.


After the three steps, $z(e_1, e_2, r_i)$ is obtained for each relation class in the dataset. These vectors are independently passed through a binary classifier followed by a sigmoid activation function to return the confidence for the triple (e_1, e_2, r_i) given by $c(e_1, e_2, r_i)$. The binary classifier is shared across all relation classes.

4 Experiments

We ask the following question with regards to our proposed aggregation scheme for DS-RE:

- How does our model compare against existing baselines for DS-RE?
- How does our model (trained on distantly supervised data) perform when evaluated on humanly annotated test data?
- How does our model perform on Multilingual DS-RE task?


Figure 2: PR Curve for Models on NYT-10d



4.1 Results on popular benchmarking datasets

We train and test our model on two NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080 Ti with a batch size of 16.

Figure 3: PR Curve for Models on NYT-10m



We use learning rate of $2e-5$ and weight decay of $1e-5$ with AdamW (Loshchilov and Hutter, 2017; Kingma and Ba, 2014) as the optimizer. Our implementation uses PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2019), the Transformers library (Wolf et al., 2019) and OpenNRE³ (Han et al., 2019). We use `bert-base-uncased` checkpoint for BERT initialization in the mono-lingual setting. For multi-lingual setting, we use `bert-base-multilingual-uncased`.

The results presented in tables 1, 2, 3 suggest that our model establishes the new state-of-the-art performances on all three datasets. On NYT-10d, our model closely beats CIL in AUC, although showing significant improvement in P100, P200 and P300. This fact is also reflected in the PR Curves (figure 2) where ours is the only model which is able to achieve close to 100% precision for some threshold values. Our model beats REDSandT by 9pts in AUC, even though a) both are BERT based models b) REDSandT uses side-information in addition to sentences present in the dataset (eg. entity-type information, dependency parses etc).

On NYT-10m, we compare our model with BERT+Att, BERT+Avg and BERT+One schemes, presented in Gao et al. (2021) as the best performing models on NYT-10m. Each of the three mod-

³<https://github.com/thunlp/OpenNRE>

Table 1: Results on NYT-10d

Model	Auc	P@100	P@200	P@300	P@m
Mintz	10.7	52.3	50.2	45.0	49.2
PCNN-Att	34.1	73.0	68.0	67.3	69.4
RESIDE	41.5	81.8	75.4	74.3	77.2
DISTRE	42.2	68.0	67.0	65.3	66.8
REDSandT	42.4	78.0	75.0	73.0	75.3
CIL	<u>50.8</u>	<u>90.1</u>	<u>86.1</u>	<u>81.8</u>	<u>86.0</u>
BERT+Passage-Att	51.8	94.0	89.0	85.0	89.0

Table 2: Results on NYT-10m

Model	Auc	μ F1	M-F1
BERT+Att	51.2	54.1	25.8
BERT+Avg	56.7	60.4	<u>35.7</u>
BERT+One	58.1	61.9	33.9
CIL	<u>59.4</u>	57.3	33.1
BERT+Passage-Att	62.9	61.5	40.3

Table 3: Results on DiS-ReX

Model	Auc	μ F1	M-F1
PCNN+Att	67.8	63.4	43.7
mBERT+Att	80.6	74.1	67.6
mBERT+MNRE	<u>81.7</u>	<u>75.9</u>	<u>70.6</u>
mBERT+Passage-Att	89.3	82.2	79.2

Table 4: Dataset statistics

Dataset	#Rels	#Total	#Test	Test set
NYT-10d	58	694k	172k	DS
NYT-10m	25	474k	9.74k	MA
DiS-ReX	37	1.84M	334k	DS

els use BERT to construct instance-representations. BERT+Att aggregates the instance representations by using the standard intra-bag attention formulation. On the other hand, BERT+Avg weighs each instance representation uniformly, hence denoting bag-representation as the average of instance-representations. Finally, BERT+One independently performs multi-label classification on each instance present in the bag and then aggregates the classification results by performing class-wise max-pooling (over sentence scores). In essence, BERT+One ends up picking one instance for each class (the one which denotes the highest confidence for that particular class), hence the name. In addition to these

models, we also run CIL on the NYT-10m dataset. We again observe that our model significantly outperforms CIL and the three models (close to 4pt improvement in AUC compared to the second best). Improvements in Macro F1 are even more significant, suggesting that our model is better at dealing class-imbalance in this setting. PR Curves again reveal that our model is the only model achieving precision values close to 100% for some threshold values. (figure 3)

In the multi-lingual domain, we again notice that our model achieves the state-of-the-art performance, this time on the DiS-ReX dataset. Our model beats mBERT+MNRE by around 7 AUC points, despite MNRE being specifically geared towards the multi-lingual setting. We stress here that we made no changes in our model architecture as well as hyperparameters to achieve this result, except for replacing BERT with mBERT.

4.1.1 OOD-Generalization: Entity permutation test

Table 5: AUC comparison of models on original test set v/s new test set of NYT-10m

Model	Original	New	Drop %
BERT-Att	51.2	41.0	19.9
CIL	59.4	42.7	28.1
BERT+Passage-Att	62.9	52.2	17.0

To understand how robust our trained model would be to changes in the KB, we design the entity permutation test (inspired by Ribeiro et al. (2020)). An ideal DS-RE model should be able to correctly predict the relationship between an entity pair by understanding the semantics of the text mentioning them. Since DS-RE models under the MI-ML setting are evaluated on bag-level, it might be the case that such models are simply memorizing the KB

on which they are being trained on.

To test this hypothesis, we construct a new test set using NYT-10m by augmenting the KB. Let $B(e_1, e_2)$ denote a non-NA bag already existing in the test set of NYT-10m. We augment this bag to correspond to a new entity-pair (which is not present in the combined KB of all three splits of this dataset). The augmentation can be of two different types: replacing e_1 with e'_1 or replacing e_2 with e'_2 . We restrict such augmentations to the same type (i.e the type of e_i and e'_i is same for $i = 1, 2$). For each non-NA entity pair in the test set of NYT-10m, we select one such augmentation and appropriately modify each instance in $B(e_1, e_2)$ to have the new entity mentions. We note that since each instance in NYT-10m is manually annotated and our augmentation ensures that the type signature is preserved, this transformation is label preserving. For the NA bags, we use the ones already present in the original split. This entire transformation leaves us with an augmented test set, having same number of NA and non-NA bags as the original split. The non-NA entity pairs are not present in the KB on which the model is trained on.

We compare the drop in performance of our model with BERT-Att and CIL in table 5. We observe that our model still achieves the highest performance along with the lowest drop (percentage wise). We believe that our robustness may be attributed to the fact that our aggregation-scheme doesn't pay any special focus to the entity mentions (apart from the entity markings). In intra-bag attention models, however, the instance representations are generated by concatenating the hidden states corresponding to the entity mentions in the sentence.

4.1.2 Attention on [PAD] tokens


In the passage summarization step (described in section 3), we allow the relation query vector \vec{r} to also attend over the encodings of the [PAD] tokens present in the passage. We make this architectural choice in-order to provide some structure to the relation-specific summaries created by our model. If a particular relation class r is not a valid relation for entity pair (e_1, e_2) , then ideally, we would want the attended-summary of the passage $P(e_1, e_2)$ created by the relation vector \vec{r} to represent some sort of a null state (since information specific to that relation class is not present in the passage). Allowing [PAD] tokens to be a part of the attention would provide enough flexibility to the model to represent

such a state. We test our hypothesis by considering 1000 non-NA bags correctly labelled by our trained model in the test set of NYT-10d. Let $R(e_1, e_2)$ denote the set of valid relation-classes for entity pair (e_1, e_2) and let R denote all of the relation-classes present in the dataset. We first calculate the percentage of attention given to [PAD] tokens for a given passage $P(e_1, e_2)$ for all relation-classes in R . The results are condensed into two scores, sum of scores for $R(e_1, e_2)$ and sum of scores for $R \setminus R(e_1, e_2)$. The results are aggregated for all 1000 bags, and then averaged out by dividing with the total number of positive triples and negative triples respectively. We obtain that on an average, only 0.07% of attention weight is given to [PAD] tokens by relation vectors corresponding to $R(e_1, e_2)$, compared to 88.35% attention weight given by relation vectors corresponding to $R \setminus R(e_1, e_2)$. We obtain similar statistics on NYT-10m as well. This suggests that for invalid triples, passage summaries generated by the model resemble the embeddings of the [PAD] token. Furthermore, since we don't allow [PAD] tokens to be a part of self-attention update inside BERT, the [PAD] embeddings at the output of the BERT encoder are not dependent on the passage, allowing for uniformity across all bags.

Finally, we train a model where we don't allow the relation query vectors to attend on the [PAD] token embeddings and notice a 3.5pt drop in AUC on NYT-10d. We also note that the performance is still significantly higher than models such as RED-SandT and DISTRE, suggesting that our instance aggregation scheme still performs better than the baselines, even when not optimized fully.

4.1.3 Performance vs length of passage

Figure 4: Auc on test set with different bin sizes



Our instance aggregation scheme truncates the passage if the number of tokens exceed the maximum number of tokens allowed by the encoder. In such cases, one would assume that the our model is not suited for cases where the number of instances present in a bag is very large. To test this hypothesis, we divide the non-NA bags, (e_1, e_2) , present in the NYT-10m data into 7 bins based on the number of tokens present in $P(e_1, e_2)$. We then compare the performance with CIL on examples present in each bin. The results in figure 4 indicate that a) our model beats CIL in each bin-size b) the variation among different bins is the same for both models. This trend is continued even for passages where the number of tokens present exceed the maximum number of tokens allowed for BERT (i.e. 512). This results indicate that 512 tokens provide sufficient information for correct classification of a triple. Moreover, models using intra-bag attention aggregation scheme fix the number of instances sampled from the bag in practice. For CIL, the best performing configuration uses a bag-size of 3. This analysis therefore indicates that our aggregation scheme doesn't suffer a drop in performance on large bags.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we introduced a novel aggregation scheme, Passage-Att, for MI-ML formulation of DS-RE. Our aggregation scheme combined with BERT establishes the new state-of-the-art on NYT10-d, NYT10-m and DiS-ReX, each being the standard benchmarking datasets for DS-RE in three distinct settings. We support our architectural choices with further analysis. We believe that our model would serve as a backbone for new research in the field of DS-RE. We encourage future researchers to implement their ideas using our proposed paradigm as aggregation scheme.

References

Christoph Alt, Marc Hübner, and Leonhard Hennig. 2019. [Fine-tuning pre-trained transformer language models to distantly supervised relation extraction](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 1388–1398, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Abhyuday Bhartiya, Kartikeya Badola, and Mausam. 2021. [Dis-rex: A multilingual dataset for distantly supervised relation extraction](#).

Tao Chen, Haizhou Shi, Siliang Tang, Zhigang Chen, Fei Wu, and Yueting Zhuang. 2021. [CIL: Contrastive instance learning framework for distantly supervised relation extraction](#). In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 6191–6200, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Despina Christou and Grigorios Tsoumakas. 2021. Improving distantly-supervised relation extraction through bert-based label and instance embeddings. *IEEE Access*, 9:62574–62582.

Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Tianyu Gao, Xu Han, Keyue Qiu, Yuzhuo Bai, Zhiyu Xie, Yankai Lin, Zhiyuan Liu, Peng Li, Maosong Sun, and Jie Zhou. 2021. Manual evaluation matters: Reviewing test protocols of distantly supervised relation extraction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.09543*.

Xu Han, Tianyu Gao, Yuan Yao, Deming Ye, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2019. [OpenNRE: An open and extensible toolkit for neural relation extraction](#). In *Proceedings of EMNLP-IJCNLP: System Demonstrations*, pages 169–174.

Raphael Hoffmann, Congle Zhang, Xiao Ling, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Daniel S. Weld. 2011. [Knowledge-based weak supervision for information extraction of overlapping relations](#). In *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 541–550, Portland, Oregon, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*.

Yankai Lin, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2017. Neural relation extraction with multi-lingual attention. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 34–43.

Yankai Lin, Shiqi Shen, Zhiyuan Liu, Huanbo Luan, and Maosong Sun. 2016. [Neural relation extraction with selective attention over instances](#). In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 2124–2133, Berlin, Germany. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. 2017. Decoupled weight decay regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*.
- Mike Mintz, Steven Bills, Rion Snow, and Daniel Jurafsky. 2009. Distant supervision for relation extraction without labeled data. In *Proceedings of the Joint Conference of the 47th Annual Meeting of the ACL and the 4th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing of the AFNLP*, pages 1003–1011, Suntec, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, Alban Desmaison, Andreas Kopf, Edward Yang, Zachary DeVito, Martin Raison, Alykhan Tejani, Sasank Chilamkurthy, Benoit Steiner, Lu Fang, Junjie Bai, and Soumith Chintala. 2019. [Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library](#). In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32*, pages 8024–8035. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Alec Radford, Karthik Narasimhan, Tim Salimans, and Ilya Sutskever. 2018. Improving language understanding by generative pre-training.
- Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Tongshuang Wu, Carlos Guestrin, and Sameer Singh. 2020. Beyond accuracy: Behavioral testing of nlp models with checklist. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4902–4912.
- Sebastian Riedel, Limin Yao, and Andrew McCallum. 2010. Modeling relations and their mentions without labeled text. In *Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, pages 148–163. Springer.
- Mihai Surdeanu, Julie Tibshirani, Ramesh Nallapati, and Christopher D. Manning. 2012. [Multi-instance multi-label learning for relation extraction](#). In *Proceedings of the 2012 Joint Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and Computational Natural Language Learning*, pages 455–465, Jeju Island, Korea. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shikhar Vashishth, Rishabh Joshi, Sai Suman Prayaga, Chiranjib Bhattacharyya, and Partha Talukdar. 2018. [RESIDE: Improving distantly-supervised neural relation extraction using side information](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1257–1266, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, et al. 2019. Huggingface’s transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03771*.
- Daojian Zeng, Kang Liu, Yubo Chen, and Jun Zhao. 2015. Distant supervision for relation extraction via piecewise convolutional neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 2015 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, pages 1753–1762.