arXiv:2110.07695v2 [math.AT] 22 Oct 2021

Universal Spaces and Splittings of Equivariant Spectra

Yutao Liu
University of Chicago
Email: yutao492@math.uchicago.edu

October 26, 2021

Abstract: Let G be a finite group. We re-analyze the splitting of rational G-spectra, which is discussed in Barne's thesis and traces back to Greenlees and May. We will study how the splitting behaves under a localization which is weaker than rationalization and discuss its application in computing equivariant cohomology of G-spectra. In particular, we will explicitly compute $\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\pi_\star(HA_G)$ for the dihedral group $G = D_{2p}$. The additive structures and partial multiplicative structures are already computed by Kriz, Lu, and Zou. Our new method will provide a systematic way to compute them as $RO(D_{2p})$-graded rings.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Universal spaces **3**

3 Splittings of rational G-spectra **10**

4 Splittings for dihedral groups **13**

5 Computation of $\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ **15**
5.1 Computation of $(E\mathscr{F}_1)_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ 16
5.2 Computation of $(E\mathscr{F}_2)_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ 17
5.3 Computation of $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_1} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_2} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ 20
5.4 Final result 21

6 Applications to general D_{2p}-spectra **21**

7 Splittings for more general finite groups **24**

8 Proof of Proposition 7.3 **26**

1 Introduction

For any finite group G, the category of rational G-spectra admits a splitting, which is first discussed by Greenlees and May:

Theorem 1.1. ***[GM95]*** *There is an orthogonal basis $\{e_H : H \subset G\}$ of the rational Burnside ring, which only contains idempotent elements. For any rational G-spectrum X, define $e_H X$ as*

$$e_H X := colim(X \xrightarrow{e_H} X \xrightarrow{e_H} X \xrightarrow{e_H} ...)$$

Then we have

$$X \simeq \bigvee_H e_H X$$

and

$$[X, Y]^G \cong \prod_H [e_H X, e_H Y]^G$$

with one H chosen from each conjugacy class of subgroups.

This theorem is further studied by Barnes in [**Bar08**], which reproves the splitting above by some topological constructions and sets up an algebraic model which completely describes the behavior of rational G-spectra.

One important idea in Barnes' proof is to use the universal G-space $E\lambda_H$, which is characterized by the fixed point subspaces:

$$(E\lambda_H)^K \simeq \begin{cases} S^0, \text{ if } K \text{ is conjugate to } H, \\ *, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2. ***[Bar08]*** *For rational G-spectra X, Y, we have an isomorphism*

$$[X,Y]^G \cong \prod_H [E\lambda_H \wedge X, E\lambda_H \wedge Y]^G$$

with one H chosen from each conjugacy class. Moreover, the functor

$$X \mapsto \bigvee_H E\lambda_H \wedge X$$

is symmetric monoidal.

However, the rational assumption is too strong. In this paper, we will reprove this theorem by further studying the construction and properties of universal spaces. We will show:

Theorem 1.3. *The splitting in Theorem 1.2 happens when we only invert all prime factors of $|G|$, instead of applying a full rationalization.*

Moreover, if we only invert some, but not all prime factors of $|G|$, we may still get a partial splitting

$$[X,Y]^G \cong [E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge X, E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge Y]^G \oplus [\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge X, \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge Y]^G$$

where $E\mathscr{F}$ is the universal space corresponding to the family $\mathscr{F}$.

The corresponding functor

$$X \mapsto (E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge X) \vee (\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge X)$$

still turns out to be symmetric monoidal.

The summands $E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge X$ and $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge X$ are usually more simple than X since the product with either $E\mathscr{F}_+$ or $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$ reduces the types of cells in X. When we want to compute the $RO(G)$-graded homotopy $\pi_\star(X)$ of X, we can break it into more computable parts:

$$\pi_\star(X) \cong \pi_\star(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge X) \oplus \pi_\star(\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge X)$$

after inverting proper prime factors of $|G|$. Inverting different prime factors in $|G|$ gives us different partial splittings. We can glue these data back to recover the unlocalized $\pi_\star(X)$.

One explicit example given in this paper is the computation of $\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ when $G = D_{2p}$ is a dihedral group. The additive structure is already computed in [**KL20**] and [**Zou18**], while the latter also provides some partial multiplicative structure. With our new method, the complete multiplicative structure follows naturally since all functors in the splittings are symmetric monoidal.

The main idea is, we get two different partial splittings when 2 and p are inverted respectively. Both splittings break $\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ into two more computable parts, whose computations can be decomposed into some $RO(C_2)$ or $RO(C_p)$-graded cohomology theories. We can compute $\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})[1/2]$ and $\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})[1/p]$ from these two splittings, and hence recover $\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$.

Structure of the paper: In section 2, we will introduce the universal space $E\mathscr{F}$. We are especially interested in the equivariant homology of $E\mathscr{F}$ with coefficients in the Burnside ring Mackey functor A_G. The most important homological information is given in Proposition 2.10 and Theorem 2.13.

Section 3 contains a reproof of Theorem 1.2 and a proof for Theorem 1.3. We will show that the splitting in Theorem 1.2 can be obtained by repeatedly applying the partial splitting

$$X \simeq (E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge X) \vee (\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge X)$$

for all choices of $\mathscr{F}$. Since each partial splitting only requires some prime factors of $|G|$ to be inverted, Theorem 1.2 works when we invert $|G|$. We will also give a criterion about which prime factors should be inverted in order to make the partial splitting happen. This criterion will be quite useful for our later computations.

In sections 4 and 5, we consider the dihedral group $G = D_{2p}$ and compute the $RO(G)$-graded homotopy of the Eilenberg-Maclane spectra $H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$. We will discuss how this method can be applied to more general D_{2p}-spectra in section 6. In particular, we compute the $RO(G)$-graded homotopy of HA_G.

Finally, in section 7 and 8, we will explain how this idea can be applied for more general finite groups. We will focus on the case that $G = G_1 \ltimes G_2$, and prove two partial splittings when either $|G_1|$ or $|G_2|$ is inverted.

Here is a list of main results of this paper:
The homotopy of $H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ as a $RO(D_{2p})$-graded ring: Theorem 5.9.
The homotopy computation of more general D_{2p}-spectra: Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 6.2.
The splitting theorem for more general finite groups: Theorem 7.6.

Notations: In this paper, we use $*$ when the homotopy or homology is graded over $\mathbb{Z}$, and use $\bigstar$ when graded over $RO(G)$. We add an underline to express the Mackey functor valued homotopy or homology: $\underline{H}$, $\underline{\pi}$.

To be more precise, let X be a G-space and M be a Mackey functor. Define the Mackey functor valued equivariant homology of X with coefficients in M as

$$\underline{H}^G_*(X;M) := \underline{\pi}^G_*(X \wedge HM).$$

So we have

$$\underline{H}^G_*(X;M)(G/H) = [\Sigma^* G/H_+, HM \wedge X]^G,$$
$$H^G_*(X;M) = \underline{H}^G_*(X;M)(G/G).$$

Here HM is the equivariant Eilenberg-Maclane spectrum corresponding to M.

We discuss the properties of the universal spaces by computing their $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, Mackey functor valued homology $\underline{H}_*$ in section 2 and 3. But we compute the $RO(G)$-graded, abelian group (to be more precise, graded ring) valued homotopy $\pi_\bigstar$ in the remaining sections. Theoretically, our computational methods also work for $\underline{\pi}_\bigstar$, although the multiplicative structures expressed as box products may become quite complicated.

2 Universal spaces

We will introduce the universal space $E\mathscr{F}$ and study its equivariant homology in this section. The most important properties are given in Lemma 2.11 and Theorem 2.13.

Definition 2.1. *A **family** $\mathscr{F}$ is a collection of subgroups of G which is closed under conjugation and taking subgroups. The corresponding **universal space** $E\mathscr{F}$ is an unbased G-space such that $(E\mathscr{F})^H$ is contractible for all $H \in \mathscr{F}$ and empty otherwise.*

Remark 2.2. *When $\mathscr{F}$ only contains the trivial subgroup, $E\mathscr{F}$ becomes EG, which is a contractible space with free G-action.*

One construction of $E\mathscr{F}$ is given in [**Dieck72**] as a join of spaces. We will explain this idea later and use it to prove some important properties. An alternative construction is given by [**Elm83**] as a categorical bar construction.

We can fully characterize $E\mathscr{F}$ by fixed point subspaces:

Lemma 2.3. *The universal space $E\mathscr{F}$ is unique up to weak equivalence.*

Proof: Assume that X, Y are G-spaces with the same conditions on fixed point subspaces as $E\mathscr{F}$.

If we have a G-map $X \to Y$, its restriction on each fixed point subspace is a weak equivalence since X, Y have the same types of fixed point subspaces as either $*$ or $\emptyset$. Thus this map is a G-weak equivalence.

If we do not have a map between X, Y, consider $X \times Y$, which is another G-space with the same conditions on fixed point subspaces. The above argument shows that the projections $X \times Y \to X$ and $X \times Y \to Y$ are G-weak equivalences. Therefore, $E\mathscr{F}$ is unique up to weak equivalence. □

We assume $E\mathscr{F}$ to be a G-CW complex by applying the G-CW approximation.

Lemma 2.4. *Any G-self map of $E\mathscr{F}$ is G-homotopic to the identity.*

This lemma is implied by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{O}_G$ be the category of G-orbits. Define an $\mathcal{O}_G$-space to be a contravariant functor from $\mathcal{O}_G$ to topological spaces.*

The following pair of functors

$$\Phi : G - spaces \rightleftarrows \mathcal{O}_G - spaces : \Psi$$

defined by $\Phi(X)(G/H) := X^H$ and $\Psi(T) := T(G/\{e\})$ form a Quillen equivalence.

We refer to [**May96**, VI.6] for more details.

Proof of Lemma 2.4: Construct an $\mathcal{O}_G$-space T by setting

$$T(G/H) = \begin{cases} *, \text{ if } H \in \mathscr{F} \\ \emptyset, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let CT be a cofibrant approximation of T. Then

$$\Psi(CT)^H = \Phi\Psi(CT)(G/H) \cong CT(G/H) \cong \begin{cases} *, \text{ if } H \in \mathscr{F} \\ \emptyset, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Recall that

$$\Phi E\mathscr{F}(G/H) = (E\mathscr{F})^H \cong \begin{cases} *, \text{ if } H \in \mathscr{F} \\ \emptyset, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The unique map $\Phi E\mathscr{F} \to T$ induces $\Phi E\mathscr{F} \to CT$, whose adjuction $E\mathscr{F} \to \Psi(CT)$ becomes a G-equivalence.

So we have

$$[E\mathscr{F}, E\mathscr{F}]^G \cong [E\mathscr{F}, \Psi(CT)]^G \cong [\Phi E\mathscr{F}, CT]^{\mathcal{O}_G} \cong [\Phi E\mathscr{F}, T]^{\mathcal{O}_G},$$

which contains a single element.

Thus the identity map is the only self-map of $E\mathscr{F}$ up to G-homotopy. □

Notice that $E\mathscr{F} \times E\mathscr{F}$ also appears as a universal space for $\mathscr{F}$. Thus the above two lemmas imply:

Lemma 2.6. *The universal space $E\mathscr{F}$ is a G-topological semigroup up to homotopy.*

Usually $E\mathscr{F}$ is not a Hopf space since there is no unit. But with extra conditions, $\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+$ will appear as a homotopy ring spectrum. We will explain this idea in section 3.

Now we discuss the homological properties for $E\mathscr{F}$. First we recall the definition of the Burnside ring and the corresponding Mackey functor:

Definition 2.7. *For any finite group G, the collection of isomorphism classes of finite G-sets forms a commutative monoid, with addition induced by disjoint unions. The **Burnside ring** $A(G)$ is defined to be the group completion of this monoid. We can express $A(G)$ as a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, whose basis corresponds to G-orbits. We use $\{G/H\}$ to denote the basis element of the orbit G/H.*

*The **Burnside ring Mackey functor** A_G is defined by $A_G(G/H) := A(H)$. The Mackey functor structure is given by the following maps:*

For any $L \subset H$, the transfer map

$$T_L^H : A(L) \to A(H)$$

sends $\{L/K\}$ to $\{H/K\}$ for any $K \subset L$.

The restriction map

$$R_L^H : A(H) \to A(L)$$

sends $\{H/N\}$ to itself, but viewed as an L-space.

When $L = g^{-1}Hg$, we also have an isomorphism

$$C_L^H : A(L) \to A(H)$$

sending $\{L/K\}$ to $\{H/gKg^{-1}\}$ for any $K \subset L$.

The Cartesian product of finite G-sets makes $A(G)$ into a ring. This multiplicative structure also makes A_G into a Green functor, which is a monoid under the box product.

Proposition 2.8. *For a G-CW complex X, the integer graded homology of X with coefficients in A_G can be computed as*

$$H_*^G(X; A_G) \cong \bigoplus_H H_*(X^H/W_GH; \mathbb{Z})$$

with one H chosen from each conjugacy class of subgroups of G. Here W_GH is the Weyl group of H in G.

As a Mackey functor,

$$\underline{H}_*^G(X; A_G)(G/L) \cong H_*^L(X; A_L) \cong \bigoplus_K H_*(X^K/W_LK; \mathbb{Z})$$

with one K chosen from each conjugacy class of subgroups of L.

Proof: It suffices to prove the first equation. The second one can be proved by the same argument.

When we view A_G as a covariant coefficient system, the restriction maps are removed. For any G-map $G/L_1 \to G/L_2$ induced by the multiplication of $g \in G$ (where we require $g^{-1}L_1g \subset L_2$), we have an induced map

$$A(L_1) \to A(L_2)$$

sending each $\{L_1/H\}$ to $\{L_2/g^{-1}Hg\}$. Therefore, as a coefficient system, A_G can be decomposed as

$$A_G = \bigoplus_H A_G^H$$

with one $H \subset G$ chosen from each conjugacy class. Here A_G^H is the sub-coefficient system of A_G such that each $A_G^H(G/L) \subset A(L)$ is generated by all $\{L/g^{-1}Hg\}$ with $g^{-1}Hg \subset L$.

Now we have

$$H_*^G(X; A_G) \cong \bigoplus_H H_*^G(X; A_G^H).$$

It suffices to prove

$$H_*^G(X; A_G^H) \cong H_*(X^H/W_GH; \mathbb{Z})$$

for any $H \subset G$.

As described in **[Wil75]**, the equivariant homology can be computed in a cellular way:

Let $\underline{C}_*(X)$ be the chain complex of contravariant coefficient systems such that

$$\underline{C}_*(X)(G/H) := C_*(X^H),$$

where $C_*(X^H)$ is the cellular chain complex of X^H and the orbit maps are sent to conjugacies and inclusions of subcomplexes. For any covariant coefficient system M, $H_*^G(X; M)$ is the homology of the chain complex:

$$C_*^G(X; M) := \underline{C}_*(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_G} M,$$

where the tensor product is taken over the category $\mathcal{O}_G$ of G-orbits. To be more precise, we have

$$C_*^G(X;M) := \left(\bigoplus_{L\subset G} C_*(X^L)\otimes M(G/L)\right)/\sim .$$

For any G-map $f : G/L_1 \to G/L_2$, the equivalence relation identifies $f^*a\otimes b$ and $a\otimes f_*b$ for all $a\in C_*(X^{L_2})$ and $b\in M(G/L_1)$.

Now we choose $M = A_G^H$. Since each $M(G/L)$ is freely generated by $\{L/g^{-1}Hg\}$ and the structure maps send these basis elements to each other, $C_*^G(X;M)$ is the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by the equivalence classes of $e\otimes\{L/g^{-1}Hg\}$, for all cells e in X^L and $g^{-1}Hg\subset L$.

We can eliminate the equivalence relation by the following four facts:
(1) The free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $A_G^H(G/H)$ is generated by a single element $\{H/H\}$.
(2) For any $e\otimes\{L/g^{-1}Hg\}$, it is identified with $ge\otimes\{H/H\}$ by the equivalence relation.
(3) If there exists another $g'\in G$ such that

$$ge\otimes\{H/H\}\sim e\otimes\{L/g^{-1}Hg\}\sim g'e\otimes\{H/H\},$$

then $\{L/g^{-1}Hg\} = \{L/(g')^{-1}Hg'\}$. Thus

$$g^{-1}Hg = l^{-1}((g')^{-1}Hg')l$$

for some $l\in L$. So $g'lg^{-1}\in W_GH$. Since e is L-fixed, we have $(g'lg^{-1})(ge) = g'e$. Thus ge and $g'e$ are in the same W_GH-orbit.
(4) The converse of **(3)** is also true: $e_1\otimes\{H/H\}$ and $e_2\otimes\{H/H\}$ are identified if e_1, e_2 are in the same W_GH-orbit.

Now we have a 1-1 correspondence between the basis of $C_*^G(X;A_G^H)$ and the cells in X^H/W_GH. Therefore, we get

$$C_*^G(X;A_G^H)\cong C_*(X^H/W_GH).$$

Taking the homology on both sides gives us the required equation. □

Remark 2.9. *Proposition 2.8 follows from the fact that the underlying coefficient system of A_G splits into A_G^H. However, such splitting cannot be lifted to the Mackey functor level. Thus the decomposition does not work for the cohomology with coefficients in A_G.*
In fact, since we cannot define orbit spectra on the complete G-universe,

$$X\mapsto H_*(X^H/W_GH)$$

is a homology theory only for G-spaces, and hence cannot be represented by any G-spectrum.

Since $E\mathscr{F}$ only has empty and contractible fixed point subspaces, Proposition 2.8 helps us to compute the 0th degree equivariant homology of $E\mathscr{F}$ explicitly:

Proposition 2.10. *The trivial map $E\mathscr{F}\to\{*\}$ implies an inclusion*

$$\underline{H}_0^G(E\mathscr{F},A_G)\hookrightarrow\underline{H}_0^G(*,A_G)\cong A_G.$$

The image of $\underline{H}_0^G(E\mathscr{F},A_G)(G/L)$ in $A(L)$ is generated by all $\{L/K\}\in A(L)$ with $K\in\mathscr{F}$.

We already have a small splitting here:

Lemma 2.11. *When $|G|$ is inverted, $M_{\mathscr{F}} := \underline{H}_0^G(E\mathscr{F};A_G)$ is a direct summand of A_G as Mackey functors.*

Proof: Assume that $|G|$ is inverted everywhere. For any $H \subset G$, define a linear map

$$\varphi_H : A(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

which sends each G-set S to $|S^H|$.

Let $s_{(K,H)}$ denote $\varphi_K(\{G/H\}) = |G/H|^K$, which can be computed as the product between $|W_G H|$ and the number of subgroups of G containing K and in the conjugacy class of H. Thus $s_{(K,H)} \neq 0$ if and only if K is sub-conjugate to H.

When $|G|$ is inverted, all $|W_G H|$ and non-zero $s_{(K,H)}$ become invertible. We choose elements $e_H \in A(G)$ inductively by defining

$$e_H = |W_G H|^{-1} \left(\{G/H\} - \sum_K s_{(K,H)}^{-1} e_K \right)$$

with one K chosen from each conjugacy class that contains a proper subgroup of H.

By induction, we have

$$\varphi_K(e_H) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } K \text{ is conjugate to } H \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since the dimension of $A(G)$ agrees with the number of conjugacy classes, the collection of e_H, with one H chosen from each conjugacy class, forms a basis of $A(G)$.

In general, for any $H \subset L \subset G$, define a linear map

$$\varphi_H^L : A(L) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

which sends each L-set to the size of its H-fixed subset. We can get a similar basis $\{e_H^L\}$, with one H chosen from each conjugacy class of subgroups of L, such that

$$\varphi_K^L(e_H^L) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } K \text{ is conjugate to } H \text{ in } L \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now we discuss how these maps interact with transfer and restriction maps:

For any $K \subset L_1 \subset L_2 \subset G$, the restriction map $R_{L_1}^{L_2}$ keeps each L_2-set but views it as an L_1-set, hence does not change its K-fixed subset. So we have

$$\varphi_K^{L_2} = \varphi_K^{L_1} \circ R_{L_2}^{L_1}.$$

On the other hand, for any $K \subset L_1$, the elements in $\{L_1/K\}$ have isotropy groups conjugate to K inside L_1. The elements in $T_{L_1}^{L_2}(\{L_1/K\}) = \{L_2/K\}$ have isotropy groups conjugate to K inside L_2. There may be more isotropy groups. But these additional isotropy groups are still chosen from the conjugacy class of K inside G. According to the construction of the basis element e_H^L, we have

$$\varphi_H^{L_2}(T_{L_1}^{L_2} e_K^{L_1}) \neq 0 \text{ only if } H \text{ is conjugate to a subgroup of } K \text{ in } G$$

Let

$$N(L) := \bigcap_{H \in \mathscr{F}, H \subset L} \ker \varphi_H^L$$

In other words, $N(L)$ is generated by e_H^L for all $H \subset L$ and $H \notin \mathscr{F}$. The above discussion tells us that $N(L)$ is closed under transfer and restriction maps. Thus we get a sub-Mackey functor whose value at G/L agrees with $N(L)$. Denote that as $N_{\mathscr{F}}$.

Notice that for each $L \subset G$, $e_H^L \in N(L) = N_{\mathscr{F}}(G/L)$ if $H \notin \mathscr{F}$, $e_H^L \in M_{\mathscr{F}}(G/L)$ if $H \in \mathscr{F}$, according to Proposition 2.10. Moreover, consider any nontrivial element

$$a_1\{L/K_1\} + a_2\{L/K_2\} + ... + a_n\{L/K_n\} \in M_{\mathscr{F}}(G/L)$$

with $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \neq 0$, $K_1, K_2, ..., K_n$ in different conjugacy classes in $\mathscr{F}$, and $|K_1| \leq |K_2| \leq ... \leq |K_n|$. The map $\varphi_{K_n}^L$ sends $\{L/K_1\}, ..., \{L/K_{n-1}\}$ to zero but $\{L/K_n\}$ to a positive value. Thus this element is not in $\ker \varphi_{K_n}^L$, and hence $M_{\mathscr{F}}(G/L) \cap N(L) = \emptyset$.

In conclusion, we have $A_G = M_{\mathscr{F}} \oplus N_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $M_{\mathscr{F}}$ appears as a direct summand. $\square$

Remark 2.12. *When the multiplicative structure is added into consideration, the maps φ_H^L appear as the components of the ring isomorphism from $A(L)$ to several copies of $\mathbb{Z}[|G|^{-1}]$, with one H chosen from each conjugacy class inside L. The generators e_H^L become idempotent elements. So we can view $M_{\mathscr{F}}$ as a direct summand of A_G as Green functors. This also explains why $e_H X$ in Theorem 1.1 can be expressed as a product with universal spaces. More details can be found in* ***[Bar08]*** *and* ***[LMS86****, Chapter V].*

For positive degrees, we have

Theorem 2.13. *For any family $\mathscr{F}$, the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, Mackey functor valued homology*

$$\underline{H}_*^G(E\mathscr{F}; A_G)$$

contains only torsion when the degree is positive. Moreover, the torsion only has prime factors which divide $|G|$.

This is the most important property we want about $E\mathscr{F}$. We will use the rest of this section to prove it.

The main idea is an induction on the size of $\mathscr{F}$. For the base case, we have

Lemma 2.14. *Let $BG = EG/G$ be the classifying space of principal G-bundles. Then $H_*(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ contains only torsion when the degree is positive. Moreover, the torsion only has prime factors which divide $|G|$.*

This is a standard result about EG. We give one possible proof below. The same idea will be generalized to other universal spaces $E\mathscr{F}$ in Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 7.11.

Proof: Give EG the standard G-CW structure which only contains G-free cells. Consider the map between cellular chain complexes induced by the projection $EG \to EG/G = BG$:

$$C_*(EG) \to C_*(BG).$$

Define another map between chain complexes

$$C_*(BG) \to C_*(EG)$$

which sends each cell e in BG to the sum of all cells in EG which are sent to e under $EG \to BG$. Then the composition

$$C_*(BG) \to C_*(EG) \to C_*(BG)$$

is the multiplication by $|G|$ since all cells in EG are G-free. The same $|G|$-multiplication passes to homology:

$$H_*(BG) \to H_*(EG) \to H_*(BG).$$

Since EG is contractible, $H_*(EG) = \mathbb{Z}$, which is concentrated in degree 0. Thus when the degree is positive, $H_*(BG)$ contains only torsion which divides $|G|$. $\square$

In the case when $\mathscr{F}$ only contains the trivial subgroup, $E\mathscr{F}$ becomes EG and only has orbit spaces homotopic to BH for $H \subset G$. Lemma 2.14 and Proposition 2.8 imply that it satisfies Theorem 2.13.

For general $\mathscr{F}$, we first give a construction of $E\mathscr{F}$:

Definition 2.15. *The* ***join*** *$X * Y$ of two spaces X, Y is defined as*

$$X * Y := (X \times Y \times [0,1] \sqcup X \sqcup Y)/\sim,$$

with equivalence relation given by projections

$$X \times Y \times \{0\} \to X,\ X \times Y \times \{1\} \to Y.$$

It's not hard to check:

Lemma 2.16. *For G-CW complexes X, Y, $X * Y$ has a natural G-CW structure. For any subgroup H, $(X * Y)^H$ is*

(a) *contractible if and only if at least one of X^H, Y^H is contractible.*

(b) *empty if and only if both X^H, Y^H are empty.*

Now in order to construct $E\mathscr{F}$, it suffices to find G-spaces $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$, such that:

(a) If $H \in \mathscr{F}$, $(X_i)^H$ is contractible for some i.

(b) If $H \notin \mathscr{F}$, $(X_i)^H$ is empty for all i.

Then $X_1 * X_2 * ... * X_n$ will be a construction for $E\mathscr{F}$ by the above lemma.

For any subgroup H, consider the G-space $G \times_{N_G H} EW_G H$. Here $N_G H$ is the normalizer of H in G. We view $EW_G H$ as a $N_G H$-space. Define the product with $N_G H$ left-acting on $EW_G H$ and right-acting on G.

The fixed point subspaces of $G \times_{N_G H} EW_G H$ have the desired properties: For any $a, g \in G$ and $x \in EW_G H$, if the action of g fixes the point $a \times x$:

$$g(a \times x) = ga \times x = a \times x,$$

then there is $h \in N_G H$, such that $hx = x$, $gah^{-1} = a$. Since all points in $EW_G H$ have isotropy group H, we have $h \in H$. Then $g = aha^{-1} \in aHa^{-1}$.

Therefore, the isotropy group of $a \times x$ is aHa^{-1}, and we have

Lemma 2.17. *The fixed point subspace $(G \times_{N_G H} EW_G H)^K$ is non-empty if and only if K is sub-conjugate to H. Moreover, when $K = gHg^{-1}$, we have*

$$(G \times_{N_G H} EW_G H)^K = \{g\} \times EW_G H,$$

which is contractible.

Therefore, $E\mathscr{F}$ can be constructed as the join of $G \times_{N_G H} EW_G H$, with one H chosen from each conjugacy class in $\mathscr{F}$.

Remark 2.18. *Notice that all points in $G \times_{N_G H} EW_G H$ have isotropy groups conjugate to H. The collection of all possible isotropy groups of points in $E\mathscr{F}$ agrees with $\mathscr{F}$.*

The homology of a join can be computed by the Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$X * Y = (X \times Y \times [0,1] \sqcup X \sqcup Y)/\sim = (X \times Y \times \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \sqcup X/\sim) \cup (X \times Y \times \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \sqcup Y/\sim).$$

We write $X * Y$ as the union of two mapping cylinders, which are homotopic to X, Y respectively. The intersection of these two cylinders is $X \times Y \times \{1/2\} \simeq X \times Y$.

Thus we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to C_*(X \times Y) \to C_*(X) \oplus C_*(Y) \to C_*(X * Y) \to 0$$

which passes to fixed point subspaces. So we get a long exact sequence on equivariant homology with coefficients in A_G:

$$... \to \underline{H}^G_{n+1}(X * Y; A_G) \to \underline{H}^G_n(X \times Y; A_G) \to \underline{H}^G_n(X; A_G) \oplus \underline{H}^G_n(Y; A_G) \to \underline{H}^G_n(X * Y; A_G) \to ...$$

On degree 0, the map

$$\underline{H}^G_0(X \times Y; A_G) \to \underline{H}^G_0(X; A_G) \oplus \underline{H}^G_0(Y; A_G)$$

is always an inclusion since the 0th homology is determined by the number of connected components for each fixed point subspace. So we have

Lemma 2.19. *If $X, Y, X \times Y$ satisfy Theorem 2.13, then so does $X * Y$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.13: Assume that Theorem 2.13 is true for all families smaller than $\mathscr{F}$. Let $\mathscr{F}'$ be a smaller family which is obtained by removing the conjugacy class of one largest subgroup $H \in \mathscr{F}$. Then $E\mathscr{F}$ can be constructed as

$$E\mathscr{F} = E\mathscr{F}' * (G \times_{N_GH} EW_GH).$$

For any $K \lhd L \subset G$, the discussion before Lemma 2.17 shows that the space $(G \times_{N_GH} EW_GH)^K$ is either empty or several copies of EW_GH. Since all points in each copy of EW_GH have the same isotropy group, $(G \times_{N_GH} W_GH)^K/L$ is either empty or the disjoint union of BN for some subgroups $N \subset N_GH \subset G$. Lemma 2.14 shows that its positive degree homology only contains torsion dividing $|G|$. Thus Proposition 2.8 shows that $G \times_{N_GH} EW_GH$ satisfies Theorem 2.13.

Since $E\mathscr{F}'$ satisfies Theorem 2.13, according to Lemma 2.19, now it suffices to prove the theorem for $E\mathscr{F}' \times (G \times_{N_GH} EW_GH)$. Using $X(H)$ to denote $G \times_{N_GH} EW_GH$, the homology of $E\mathscr{F}' \times X(H)$ can be computed by the equivariant Künneth spectral sequence **[LM04]**:

$$E^2_{p,q} = \underline{Tor}^{A_G}_{p,q}(\underline{H}^G_*(E\mathscr{F}'; A_G), \underline{H}^G_*(X(H); A_G)) \Rightarrow \underline{H}^G_*(E\mathscr{F}' \times X(H); A_G).$$

When we invert $|G|$, the homology of both $E\mathscr{F}'$ and $X(H)$ is concentrated in degree 0. Moreover, $\underline{H}^G_0(E\mathscr{F}'; A_G) = M_{\mathscr{F}'}$ appears as a direct summand of A_G by Lemma 2.11. Thus the E_2-page collapses into a single box product:

$$E^2 = E^2_{0,0} = M_{\mathscr{F}} \square \underline{H}^G_*(X(H); A_G).$$

Therefore, $E\mathscr{F}' \times X(H)$ has trivial homology in positive degrees when $|G|$ is inverted. $\square$

For special choices of $\mathscr{F}$, the torsion in $\underline{H}_*(E\mathscr{F}; A_G)$ may not cover all prime factors of $|G|$. We emphasize the criterion which follows Proposition 2.8:

Proposition 2.20. *The torsion types in $\underline{H}^G_*(E\mathscr{F}, A_G)$ come from $H_*(E\mathscr{F}^K/L)$ for all $K \lhd L \subset G$.*

3 Splittings of rational G-spectra

In this section, we will reprove Theorem 1.2 and prove Theorem 1.3. The main idea is to consider the partial splitting induced by a cofiber sequence involving the universal space $E\mathscr{F}$:

$$E\mathscr{F}_+ \to S^0 \to \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$$

where the map $E\mathscr{F}_+ \to S^0$ sends $E\mathscr{F}$ into the non-basepoint of S^0. The cofiber $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$ can be viewed as the non-equivariant unbased suspension of $E\mathscr{F}$ but with a natural G-action.

Theorem 3.1. *When certain prime factors of $|G|$ are inverted, this cofiber sequence splits the category of G-spectra, in the sense that*

$$X \simeq (E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge X) \vee (\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge X),$$

$$[E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge X, \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge Y]^G = [\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge Y, E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge X]^G = 0$$

for any G-spectra X, Y. Moreover, the functors

$$X \mapsto E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge X, \ X \mapsto \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge X$$

are symmetric monoidal.

We will give a criterion about which prime factors we need to invert in Remark 3.3.

The complete splitting in Theorem 1.2 can be obtained by iterating Theorem 3.1 for all choices of $\mathscr{F}$. Instead of full rationalization, we will show that it suffices to invert all prime factors of $|G|$ in our proof.

As based G-spaces, both $E\mathscr{F}_+$ and $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$ can be characterized by their fixed point subspaces:

$$E\mathscr{F}_+^H \simeq S^0 \text{ if } H \in \mathscr{F}, \ E\mathscr{F}_+^H \simeq * \text{ if } H \notin \mathscr{F},$$

$$(\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}})^H \simeq S^0 \text{ if } H \notin \mathscr{F},\ (\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}})^H \simeq * \text{ if } H \in \mathscr{F}.$$

Lemma 2.6 also implies a multiplicative structure on $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$. But unlike $E\mathscr{F}$, the map $S^0 \to \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$ also provides a unit, which makes $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$ into a based G-Hopf space.

Consider the suspension of the cofiber sequence about $E\mathscr{F}$:

$$\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+ \to S \to \Sigma^\infty \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *When $|G|$ is inverted, there exists a left inverse of $\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+ \to S$.*

Proof: According to Proposition 2.10, the map $\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+ \to S$ induces an inclusion in homology:

$$\underline{HA_{G_0}}(\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+) =: M_{\mathscr{F}} \hookrightarrow \underline{HA_{G_0}}S = A_G.$$

When $|G|$ is inverted, Theorem 2.13 shows that the HA_G-homology is concentrated in degree 0. Thus it suffices to find a map $S \to \Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+$ which induces a projection from A_G to $M_{\mathscr{F}}$ on their HA_G-homology. After composition with $\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+ \to S$, we get a self-map of $\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+$ that induces an isomorphism on HA_G-homology. Since $\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+$ is a connective spectrum, this self map must be a weak equivalence.

For each $H \in \mathscr{F}$, the transfer and restriction maps in Mackey functors between images of G/G and G/H are induced by stable maps

$$T : S = \Sigma^\infty S^0 = \Sigma^\infty G/G_+ \to \Sigma^\infty G/H_+$$
$$R : \Sigma^\infty G/H_+ \to \Sigma^\infty G/G_+ = S.$$

R is induced by the space-level map $G/H_+ \to S^0$, which sends G/H into the non-basepoint of S^0. According to Remark 2.18, G/H can be mapped into $E\mathscr{F}$. Thus R is factorized as

$$\Sigma^\infty G/H_+ \to \Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+ \to S.$$

Let ι_H be the composition of the first map above and T:

$$\iota_H : S \xrightarrow{T} \Sigma^\infty G/H_+ \to \Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+.$$

The composition

$$S \xrightarrow{\iota_H} \Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+ \to S$$

agrees with $R \circ T$, which is the equivariant Euler characteristic of G/H. We can fully describe the self-map on

$$\underline{HA_{G_0}}S \cong A_G \cong \underline{\pi}_0 S$$

induced by the Euler characteristic as follows:

For each $L \subset G$, the induced self-map on $A_G(G/L) = A(L)$ is the multiplication by $\{G/H\}$ (which is viewed as an L-set). We refer to [**LMS86**, V.1 and V.2] for more details.

Now we choose one H from each conjugacy class in $\mathscr{F}$. Assign a number $c_H \in \mathbb{Z}[|G|^{-1}]$ for each such H. Consider the map

$$\sum_H c_H \iota_H : S \to \Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+.$$

The composition

$$S \xrightarrow{\sum_H c_H \iota_H} \Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+ \to S$$

induces a self-map on $A_G(G/L) = A(L)$ as the multiplication by $\sum_H c_H\{G/H\}$.

Recall that $\underline{HA_{G_0}}(\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+)(G/L) = M_{\mathscr{F}}(G/L) \subset A(L)$ is generated by all $\{L/J\}$ with $J \in \mathscr{F}$. The statement that $\overline{\sum_H c_H \iota_H}$ induces the projection $A_G \to M_{\mathscr{F}}$ is equivalent to

$$\{L/J\} \cdot \sum_H c_H\{G/H\} = \{L/J\}$$

for any $J \in \mathscr{F}$, $J \subset L$.

According to Remark 2.12, $\prod_{K\subset L}\varphi_K^L$ is an injective ring homomorphism from $A(L)$ to copies of $\mathbb{Z}$. Thus it suffices to check the equation above on the images under each φ_K^L. Recall that φ_K^L is defined by sending each L-set to the number of K-fixed points. Thus the equation above under φ_K^L becomes

$$|(L/J)^K|\cdot\sum_H c_H|(G/H)^K| = |(L/J)^K|.$$

Notice that $|(L/J)^K| = 0$ for any $K\notin\mathscr{F}$ (since K is not sub-conjugate to $J\in\mathscr{F}$). Thus it suffices to choose c_H such that

$$\sum_H c_H|(G/H)^K| = \sum_H c_H s_{(K,H)} = 1,\ \forall K\in\mathscr{F}$$

with one H chosen from each conjugacy class in $\mathscr{F}$.

Recall that $s_{(K,H)}$ is non-zero if and only if K is sub-congujate to H. Denote this relation by $[K]\leq[H]$. We have

$$\sum_{[K]\leq[H]\subset\mathscr{F}} c_H s_{(K,H)} = 1.$$

Thus

$$c_K = s_{(K,K)}^{-1}\left(1-\sum_{[K]\lneq[H]\subset\mathscr{F}} c_H s_{(K,H)}\right).$$

Since $s_{(K,K)} = |W_GK|$ is invertible when $|G|$ is inverted, c_K can be chosen inductively from larger subgroups to smaller ones. Moreover, it's clear that the denominator of any c_K only contains prime factors dividing $|G|$.

Now the map $\sum_H c_H\iota_H$ gives us the required projection on the 0th homology, hence becomes the left inverse of $\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+\to S$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1: Assume that $|G|$ is inverted. The left inverse in Theorem 3.2 and the multiplicative structure on space $E\mathscr{F}$ make $\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+$ into a ring spectrum. So we have a splitting cofiber sequence of ring spectra:

$$\Sigma^\infty E\mathscr{F}_+\to S\to\Sigma^\infty\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}.$$

Thus we have

$$X\simeq(E\mathscr{F}_+\wedge X)\vee(\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}\wedge X).$$

Since

$$E\mathscr{F}_+\wedge E\mathscr{F}_+\simeq E\mathscr{F}_+ \text{ and } \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}\wedge\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}\simeq\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}},$$

the functors

$$X\mapsto E\mathscr{F}_+\wedge X,\ X\mapsto\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}\wedge X$$

are symmetric monoidal.

Moreover, $E\mathscr{F}_+\wedge\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}\simeq *$ since all its fixed point subspaces are contractible. Use A, B to denote the suspensions of $E\mathscr{F}_+$ and $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$ (in either order). The universal coefficient spectral sequence **[LM04]** tells us that for any G-spectra X, Y, we have

$$\underline{Ext}^{*,*}_{\underline{B}_*}(\underline{B}_*(A\wedge X),\underline{(B\wedge Y)}_*)\Rightarrow\underline{(B\wedge Y)}^*(A\wedge X) = [A\wedge X,\Sigma^*B\wedge Y]^G.$$

Since

$$\underline{B}_*(A\wedge X) = \underline{\pi}_*(B\wedge A\wedge X) = 0,$$

the spectral sequence has trivial E_2-page. Thus we have

$$[E\mathscr{F}_+\wedge X,\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}\wedge Y]^G = [\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}\wedge Y,E\mathscr{F}_+\wedge X]^G = 0.$$

□

For special choices of $\mathscr{F}$, here is a criterion about which prime factors of $|G|$ we need to invert:

Remark 3.3. *It suffices to invert the prime factors of the denominators of all c_H, and the torsion in $\underline{H}^G_*(E\mathscr{F}; A_G)$.*

According to Proposition 2.20, the torsion in $\underline{H}^G_*(E\mathscr{F}; A_G)$ comes from the torsion in $H_*(E\mathscr{F}^K/L)$ for all $K \lhd L \subset G$.

Now we list all different families $\mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2, ..., \mathscr{F}_n$ of G. Use Theorem 3.1 repeatedly:

$$X \simeq ((E\mathscr{F}_1)_+ \wedge X) \vee (\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_1} \wedge X)$$

$$\simeq ((E\mathscr{F}_1)_+ \wedge (E\mathscr{F}_2)_+ \wedge X) \vee ((E\mathscr{F}_1)_+ \wedge \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_2} \wedge X)$$
$$\vee(\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_1} \wedge (E\mathscr{F}_2)_+ \wedge X) \vee (\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_1} \wedge \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_2} \wedge X) \simeq ...$$

Finally we have

$$X \simeq \bigvee_{\lambda} E\lambda \wedge X$$

where the wedge sum is computed over all functions

$$\lambda : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \to \{\text{based G-spaces}\}$$

sending each i to either $(E\mathscr{F}_i)_+$ or $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_i}$. We define $E\lambda$ as the smash product of all elements in the image of λ.

Since the fixed point subspaces of each $E\mathscr{F}_+$ and $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$ are homotopic to either S^0 or $*$, the same thing is true for $E\lambda$.

Lemma 3.4. *For any λ, there is at most one conjugacy class $[H]$ such that $(E\lambda)^H \simeq S^0$. Moreover, for each $[H]$, there is a unique λ with $(E\lambda)^H \simeq S^0$. We call it λ_H.*

Proof: For any two subgroups K, H which are not in the same conjugacy class, assume that $|K| \leq |H|$. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be the class of all subgroups which are sub-conjugate to K. Then

$$(E\mathscr{F}_+)^K \simeq (\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}})^H \simeq S^0,$$

$$(E\mathscr{F}_+)^H \simeq (\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}})^K \simeq *.$$

No matter which of $E\mathscr{F}_+$, $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$ is chosen in $E\lambda$, we have to make one of $(E\lambda)^K, (E\lambda)^H$ contractible.

On the other hand, if $(E\lambda)^H \simeq S^0$, we have to choose $E\mathscr{F}_+$ if $H \in \mathscr{F}$, and $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$ if $H \notin \mathscr{F}$. Thus λ is unique. □

We can view $E\lambda_H$ as another kind of universal space and characterize $E\lambda_H$ by fixed point subspaces:

Proposition 3.5. *The K-fixed subspace of $E\lambda_H$ is homotopic to S^0 if K is conjugate to H, and contractible otherwise.*

Any based G-CW complex with the same fixed point spaces is homotopic to $E\lambda_H$.

Now we get the splitting in Theorem 1.2 with just inverting $|G|$. Thus Theorem 1.3 is proved.

4 Splittings for dihedral groups

The partial splitting in Theorem 3.1, together with Remark 3.3, is quite powerful in the study of G-spectra. When we just invert some of the prime factors of $|G|$, the splitting in Theorem 3.1 may still happen for special choices of $\mathscr{F}$. We can consider different splittings with different primes inverted in order to recover information about given spectra.

The following three sections are devoted to explicit computations for the dihedral group $G = D_{2p}$ with p an odd prime. We will first compute the $RO(G)$-graded homotopy group $\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$, which expresses the equivariant cohomology of a point with coefficients in $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$. We will improve the computations in **[KL20]** and **[Zou18]** and compute $\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ as an $RO(G)$-graded ring with the idea explained above.

Use ζ, τ to express the generators in D_{2p} such that $\zeta^p = \tau^2 = 1$, $\zeta\tau = \tau\zeta^{-1}$.

The $RO(G)$ grading is generated by three different kinds of irreducible representations: The constant representation (denoted by 1), the sign representation (denoted by σ), and $p-1$ different 2-dimensional dihedral representations (where τ acts as a reflection and ζ acts as a rotation).

The Periodicity Theorem in **[KL20]** shows that the cellular structures of the representation spheres for different dihedral representations are isomorphic. Let γ be any single dihedral representation. It suffices to consider all degrees with form $k + m\sigma + n\gamma$, $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The additive and multiplicative structures of homotopy groups on these degrees already reveal all information about $\pi_\bigstar$.

Main Strategy: Consider the families

$$\mathscr{F}_1 = \{\{1\}, \{1, \zeta^i\tau\} : i = 1, 2, ..., p\},$$
$$\mathscr{F}_2 = \{\{1\}, \{1, \zeta, \zeta^2, ..., \zeta^{p-1}\}\}.$$

We will prove:

Proposition 4.1. *The splitting in Theorem 3.1 happens when*
(a) *$\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$ and the prime p is inverted, or*
(b) *$\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$ and the prime 2 is inverted.*

Let A be any G-ring spectrum. If we can compute the $RO(G)$-graded homotopy and corresponding ring structures, with either 2 or p inverted, for

$$(E\mathscr{F}_1)_+ \wedge A,\ \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_1} \wedge A,\ (E\mathscr{F}_2)_+ \wedge A \text{ and } \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_2} \wedge A,$$

then we can recover $\pi_\bigstar(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ and $\pi_\bigstar(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$, hence also $\pi_\bigstar(A)$ itself.

Intuitively, we decompose the computation into C_2-spectra when p is inverted, and into C_p-spectra when 2 is inverted. Since $\pi_\bigstar(H\underline{Z})$ is already fully computed when $G = C_2$ or C_p, we can use these computations as building blocks to recover $\pi_\bigstar(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ for $G = D_{2p}$.

In order to prove Proposition 4.1, we need an equivariant generalization of Lemma 2.14:

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a D_{2p}-CW complex which only has C_p-free cells. Consider the projection $X \to X/C_p$, which is a C_2-map for any choice of $C_2 \subset D_{2p}$ acting on X.*
(a) *The cokernel of*

$$H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_*(X/C_p; \mathbb{Z})$$

only contains p-torsion;
(b) *We have an isomorphism*

$$H_*(X^{C_2}; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*((X/C_p)^{C_2}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

If p is inverted and the map in part (a) is an injection, then $X \to X/C_p$ is a C_2-equivalence.

Proof: Part (a) follows by the same argument as in Lemma 2.14.

For part (b), any D_{2p}-cell in X has the form of either $(D_{2p})_+ \wedge e$ or $(D_{2p}/C_2)_+ \wedge e$. In the first case, its image in X/C_p is not C_2-fixed. In the second case, its image is C_2-fixed. But no matter which $C_2 \subset D_{2p}$ is chosen, the action of C_2 fixes exactly one copy of e and exchanges the rest in pairs. Thus we have an isomorphism $C_*(X^{C_2}) \cong C_*((X/C_p)^{C_2})$, hence an isomorphism on homology.

If p is inverted, the cokernel of the map in part (a) disappeared. If that map is also an injection, it becomes an isomorphism. Thus the map $X \to X/C_p$ induces equivalences on both the underlying spaces and C_2-fixed subspaces, hence becomes a C_2-equivalence. □

Remark 4.3. *Lemma 4.2 also works for D_{2p}-CW spectra when we replace the fixed point subspaces by geometric fixed point spectra.*

Moreover, since the map $X \wedge Y \to (X/C_p) \wedge (Y/C_p)$ can be factorized into

$$X \wedge Y \to (X \wedge Y)/C_p \to (X/C_p) \wedge (Y/C_p)$$

as C_2-maps, the C_2-equivalence in Lemma 4.2 also preserves multiplicative structures.

Proof of Proposition 4.1: According to Remark 3.3 and Proposition 2.20, it suffices to show that the denominators of all c_H and all torsion in $H_*(E\mathscr{F}^K/L)$ (with any $K \lhd L \subset G$) are inverted.

As shown in the proof of Theorem 3.2, c_H is computed by

$$\sum_H c_H s_{(K,H)} = 1, \ \forall K \in \mathscr{F}$$

with one H chosen from each conjugacy class in $\mathscr{F}$. Recall that $s_{(K,H)} = |(G/H)^K|$, which is non-zero if and only if K is sub-conjugate to H.

When $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$, we have

$$2pc_{\{1\}} + pc_{\{1,\tau\}} = c_{\{1,\tau\}} = 1.$$

Thus $c_{\{1\}} = (1-p)/2p$ and $c_{\{1,\tau\}} = 1$. Since $1-p$ is even, the denominators only contain prime p.

When $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$, we have

$$2pc_{\{1\}} + 2c_{\{1,\zeta,\dots\}} = 2c_{\{1,\zeta,\dots\}} = 1.$$

Thus $c_{\{1\}} = 0$ and $c_{\{1,\zeta,\dots\}} = 1/2$, which only have 2 in denominators.

Up to homotopy, $E\mathscr{F}^K/L$ can be any of $E\mathscr{F}$, $E\mathscr{F}^{C_2}$, $E\mathscr{F}^{C_p}$, $E\mathscr{F}^{D_{2p}}$ $E\mathscr{F}^{C_p}/D_{2p}$, $E\mathscr{F}/C_2$, $E\mathscr{F}/C_p$, $E\mathscr{F}/D_{2p}$.

When $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$: As non-equivariant spaces, we have $E\mathscr{F} \simeq E\mathscr{F}^{C_2} \simeq *$, $E\mathscr{F}^{C_p} = E\mathscr{F}^{D_{2p}} = \emptyset$. Thus $E\mathscr{F}^{C_p}/D_{2p} = \emptyset$.

As a C_2-space, $E\mathscr{F} \simeq *$ since both its fixed point subspaces are contractible. Thus $E\mathscr{F}/C_2 \simeq *$.

For $E\mathscr{F}/C_p$ and $E\mathscr{F}/D_{2p}$, we can apply Lemma 4.2 with $X = E\mathscr{F}$. Notice that $E\mathscr{F} \simeq *$ as a non-equivariant space. Thus the map in Lemma 4.2(a) is an injection. When p is inverted, we have a C_2-equivalence $E\mathscr{F} \simeq E\mathscr{F}/C_p$. So we have $E\mathscr{F}/C_p \simeq E\mathscr{F} \simeq *$ and $E\mathscr{F}/D_{2p} \simeq E\mathscr{F}/C_2 \simeq *$.

Therefore, the homology groups of these spaces only have p-torsion when the degree is positive.

When $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$: We can give an explicit construction as $E\mathscr{F} \simeq EC_2$, with trivial C_p-action and the usual $C_2 \simeq D_{2p}/C_p$ action.

Thus $E\mathscr{F}^{D_{2p}} = E\mathscr{F}^{C_2} = \emptyset$, $E\mathscr{F} = E\mathscr{F}^{C_p} = E\mathscr{F}/C_p \simeq *$, and

$$E\mathscr{F}^{C_p}/D_{2p} \simeq E\mathscr{F}/C_2 \simeq E\mathscr{F}/D_{2p} \simeq BC_2.$$

According to Lemma 2.14, the homology groups of all these spaces only have 2-torsion when the degree is positive.

In conclusion, we have the splitting when $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$ and p inverted, or when $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$ and 2 inverted. □

Now it remains to compute the $RO(G)$-graded homotopy for

$$(E\mathscr{F}_1)_+ \wedge A, \ \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_1} \wedge A, \ (E\mathscr{F}_2)_+ \wedge A \text{ and } \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_2} \wedge A$$

with $A = H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$.

5 Computation of $\pi_\bigstar(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$

We will use the same way to define generators as in [**HHR17**] Definition 3.4:

Definition 5.1. *For any actual G-representation V with $V^G = 0$, let $a_V \in \pi_{-V}(S^0)$ be the map $S^0 \to S^V$ embedding S^0 to 0 and ∞. We also use a_V to denote its Hurewicz image in $\pi_{-V}(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$.*

For any actual orientable representation V of dimension n, let u_V be the generator of $\pi_{n-V}(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = H_n^G(S^V;\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ which restricts to the choice of orientation in

$$\underline{H}_n^G(S^V;\underline{\mathbb{Z}})(G/e) \cong H_n(S^n;\mathbb{Z}).$$

Some important relations on these generators are given below:

Proposition 5.2. ***(a)*** *For any V_1, V_2,*

$$a_{V_1+V_2} = a_{V_1}a_{V_2},\ u_{V_1+V_2} = u_{V_1}u_{V_2}.$$

(b) *Let G_V be the isotropy subgroup of V. Then $|G/G_V|a_V = 0$.*

(c) *For V, W both oriented with dimension 2, with $G_V \subset G_W$, we have*

$$a_W u_V = |G_W/G_V|a_V u_W.$$

We consider the cases $G = C_2$ and $G = C_p$ first:

For $G = C_2$, we have the trivial representation and the sign representation σ.

For $G = C_p$, we have the trivial representation and $p-1$ different 2-dimensional rotation representations. Again, the periodicity theorem in [**KL20**] works since any two such rotation representation spheres have isomorphic cellular structures. It suffices to consider only one 2-dimensional rotation, which we denote as λ.

The computations of $\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ for these two cases can be found in [**Zen18**] and are listed below:

Theorem 5.3. *For $G = C_2$,*

$$\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = \mathbb{Z}[u_{2\sigma}, a_\sigma]/(2a_\sigma) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i>0} 2\mathbb{Z}\langle u_{2\sigma}^{-i}\rangle\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j,k>0} \mathbb{Z}/2\langle \Sigma^{-1}u_{2\sigma}^{-j}a_\sigma^{-k}\rangle\right).$$

For $G = C_p$,

$$\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = \mathbb{Z}[u_\lambda, a_\lambda]/(pa_\lambda) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i>0} p\mathbb{Z}\langle u_\lambda^{-i}\rangle\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j,k>0} \mathbb{Z}/p\langle \Sigma^{-1}u_\lambda^{-j}a_\lambda^{-k}\rangle\right).$$

Now let $G = D_{2p}$.

5.1 Computation of $(E\mathscr{F}_1)_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$

We have $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$ and p inverted in this case.

For any virtual representation V, since $E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V$ is C_p-free and $H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ is split, Theorem 3.1 and the Adams isomorphism tell us

$$[S^V, E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G \cong [E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G \cong [(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V)/C_p, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_2}.$$

We refer to [**May96**, XVI.2] for an explanation of split equivariant spectra.

Write $V = k\gamma + V_0$, where V_0 has no copies of γ. Consider the following composition:

$$E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V = E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge (S^{sign(k)\gamma})^{\wedge|k|} \wedge S^{V_0} \to (E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge (S^{sign(k)\gamma})^{\wedge|k|} \wedge S^{V_0})/C_p = (E\mathscr{F} \wedge S^V)/C_p$$
$$\to (E\mathscr{F}_+/C_p) \wedge (S^{sign(k)\gamma}/C_p)^{\wedge|k|} \wedge (S^{V_0}/C_p).$$

Here $sign(k) = k/|k|$ if $k \neq 0$, and $sign(0) = 0$.

Since $E\mathscr{F}_+ \simeq (EC_p)_+$ as a C_p-space, when p is inverted, Lemma 2.14 gives us a non-equivariant equivalence $E\mathscr{F}_+ \simeq (E\mathscr{F})_+/C_p$.

The map $S^{sign(k)\gamma} \to S^{sign(k)\gamma}/C_p$ induces multiplication by $p^{sign(k)}$ on non-equivariant homology, hence is also a non-equivariant equivalence.

Moreover, since C_p acts trivially on S^{V_0}, we have $S^{V_0} = S^{V_0}/C_p$. So the composition above is a non-equivariant equivalence. We know that

$$H\mathbb{Z}_*(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V) \to H\mathbb{Z}_*((E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V)/C_p)$$

is an injection. According to Lemma 4.2 and Remark 4.3,

$$E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V \to (E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V)/C_p$$

is an equivalence of C_2-spectra. So we have

$$[S^V, E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G \cong [(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V)/C_p, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_2} \cong [E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_2} \cong [S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_2}$$

where the last isomorphism is induced by the fact that $E\mathscr{F} \simeq *$ as a C_2-space.

To be more precise:

Proposition 5.4. *For any integers k, m, n,*

$$[S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}, E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G \cong [S^{(k+n)+(m+n)\sigma}, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_2}.$$

Moreover, this isomorphism preserves the multiplicative structures.

The isomorphism above induces a ring map from the $RO(D_{2p})$-graded ring $\pi^G_\star(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ to the $RO(C_2)$-graded ring $\pi^{C_2}_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$. We can compute $\pi^G_\star(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ by tracing the generators in the first equation of Theorem 5.3. We have:

(1)

$$\pi_0^{C_2}(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong \pi^G_{c(1+\sigma-\gamma)}(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}),\ \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We use $u_{\gamma-\sigma}$ to denote the generator of $\pi^G_{1+\sigma-\gamma}(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$. Then $u_{\gamma-\sigma}$ is invertible.

(2)

$$\pi^{C_2}_{k+m\sigma}(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong \pi^G_{k+m\sigma+c(1+\sigma-\gamma)}(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}),\ \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus the pre-image of $u_{2\sigma}, a_\sigma \in \pi^{C_2}_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ are $u_{2\sigma}u^c_{\gamma-\sigma}, a_\sigma u^c_{\gamma-\sigma} \in \pi^G_\star(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$, for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, $\pi^G_\star(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ can be obtained by adding the invertible $u_{\gamma-\sigma}$ to $\pi^{C_2}_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$:

$$\pi_\star(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})[\frac{1}{p}] = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][u_{2\sigma}, a_\sigma, u^{\pm}_{\gamma-\sigma}]/(2a_\sigma)$$

$$\oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i>0} 2\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][u^{\pm}_{\gamma-\sigma}]\langle u^{-i}_{2\sigma}\rangle \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j,k>0} \mathbb{Z}/2[u^{\pm}_{\gamma-\sigma}]\langle \Sigma^{-1}u^{-j}_{2\sigma}a^{-k}_\sigma\rangle \right).$$

5.2 Computation of $(E\mathscr{F}_2)_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$

We have $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$ and 2 inverted in this case. We use the explicit construction of $E\mathscr{F}$ as $E(D_{2p}/C_p) = EC_2$.

The Adams isomorphism does not work since C_2 is not a normal subgroup of D_{2p}. Instead, we construct a collapsing spectral sequence.

Give $(EC_2)_+$ the standard cellular structure with one G-cell $(G/C_p)_+ \wedge e_n$ for each $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$, with boundary maps

$$e_0 \xleftarrow{1-\tau} e_1 \xleftarrow{1+\tau} e_2 \xleftarrow{1-\tau} ...$$

For any virtual representation V, give

$$[S^V, E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G_* \cong [E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V, E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G_* \cong [E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G_*$$

a filtration induced by the skeleton of $E\mathscr{F}$. This filtration implies a cohomological spectral sequence, whose E_1-page, together with the boundary map, can be expressed as the following chain complex:

$$[(G/C_p)_+ \wedge S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G_* \xrightarrow{1-\tau} [(G/C_p)_+ \wedge S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G_* \xrightarrow{1+\tau} [(G/C_p)_+ \wedge S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G_* \xrightarrow{1-\tau} ....$$

The action of τ on $[(G/C_p)_+ \wedge S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G_*$ sends each map $f : (G/C_p)_+ \wedge S^V \to H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ to the composition

$$(G/C_p)_+ \wedge S^V \xrightarrow{\tau\wedge id} (G/C_p)_+ \wedge S^V \xrightarrow{f} H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Since

$$[(G/C_p)_+ \wedge S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G_* \cong [S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p}_*,$$

the sequence above becomes

$$[S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p}_* \xrightarrow{1-\tau} [S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p}_* \xrightarrow{1+\tau} [S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p}_* \xrightarrow{1-\tau} ....$$

The action of τ on $[S^V, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p}_*$ is given by conjugation.

We will show that the action of τ is multiplication by either 1 or -1 on each $[S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p}$. First we consider the case that V has no copies of σ:

Proposition 5.5. *Let $V = k + n\gamma$ for some integers k, n. Then the action of τ on $[S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p}$ is multiplication by -1 if $|k - t| \equiv 2$ or 3 (mod 4). Otherwise, the action is multiplication by 1.*

Proof: Notice that $[S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p}$ can be viewed as the equivariant cohomology or homology of $S^{|n|\gamma}$ with coefficients in $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$. The computation can be done in a cellular way. We first give $S^{|n|\gamma}$ a C_p-CW structure.

We view S^γ as γ compactified at ∞. The action of ζ is the counter-clockwise rotation by $2\pi/p$ and the action of τ is the reflection by the x-axis. The standard CW structure of S^γ can be described as follows:

As a based non-equivariant space, $S^\gamma \cong S^2$ can be constructed by one single 2-cell and the base point at the origin. Denote that 2-cell by a.

As a based C_p-space, $S^\gamma \cong S^\lambda$ can be constructed by:
2-cells $b_1, b_2, ..., b_p$, such that each b_i has image

$$\left\{(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) : \ 0 \le r \le \infty, \frac{2\pi(i-1)}{p} \le \theta \le \frac{2\pi i}{p}\right\};$$

1-cells $c_1, c_2, ..., c_p$, such that each c_i as image

$$\left\{\left(r\cos\frac{2\pi i}{p}, r\sin\frac{2\pi i}{p}\right) : \ 0 \le r \le \infty\right\};$$

One 0-cell d at ∞ and the base point at 0.
Here the subscripts of b_i and c_i are defined modulo p.

For both CW structures, the action of τ on S^γ can be made into a cellular map:

The action of τ on the whole S^γ is a reflection. Thus $\tau a = -a$.
The action of τ gives a permutation among the images of $b_1, b_2, ..., b_p$ and reverses the orientations of these 2-cells. Thus $\tau b_i = -b_{p+1-i}$.
The action of τ gives a permutation among the images of $c_1, c_2, ..., c_p$ and keeps the orientations of these 1-cells. Thus $\tau c_i = c_{p+1-i}$.
Finally, $\tau d = d$ since the point ∞ is fixed.

Since $S^{|n|\gamma} = S^\gamma \wedge S^\gamma \wedge ... \wedge S^\gamma$, we can construct a CW structure as follows:
For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \le j \le |n| - 1$, let

$$e_{2j+2,i} := (\zeta^i a, ..., \zeta^i a, b_i, d, ..., d)$$

$$e_{2j+1,i} := (\zeta^i a, ..., \zeta^i a, c_i, d, ..., d)$$

with j copies of $\zeta^i a$ and $|n| - 1 - j$ copies of d.
We also have one 0-cell $e_0 := (d, ..., d)$ and the base point.
Notice that $\zeta b_i = b_{i+1}$, $\zeta c_i = c_{i+1}$. This construction makes $S^{|n|\gamma}$ into a C_p-CW complex with one C_p-cell (except the base point) in each degree between 0 and $2|n|$. The action of τ on $S^{|n|\gamma}$ is induced by the action on each copy of S^γ, hence is also made cellular:

$$\tau e_{2j+2,i} = (-1)^{j+1} e_{2j+2,p+1-i}, \ \tau e_{2j+1,i} = (-1)^j e_{2j+1,p+1-i}, \ \tau e_0 = e_0.$$

Recall that $H_*^{C_p}(S^{|n|\gamma}; \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $H^*_{C_p}(S^{|n|\gamma}; \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ can be computed by the following chain and cochain complexes:

$$C_*^{C_p}(S^{|n|\gamma}; \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) := \underline{C}_*(S^{|n|\gamma}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C_p}} \underline{\mathbb{Z}};$$

$$C^*_{C_p}(S^{|n|\gamma}; \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) := Hom_{\mathcal{O}_{C_p}}(\underline{C}_*(S^{|n|\gamma}); \underline{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

where we view $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ as a covariant coefficient system in the first equation and a contravariant one in the second equation.
The actions of τ on the homology and cohomology are obtained by applying τ on both $\underline{C}_*(S^{|n|\gamma})$ and $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Since $S^{|n|\gamma}$ has only C_p-free cells in positive degrees and only C_p-fixed cells in degree 0, and $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the constant coefficient system, we have

$$C_*^{C_p}(S^{|n|\gamma}; \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) := \underline{C}_*(S^{|n|\gamma}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C_p}} \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \cong C_*(S^{|n|\gamma})/C_p;$$

$$C^*_{C_p}(S^{|n|\gamma};\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) := Hom_{\mathcal{O}_{C_p}}(\underline{C}_*(S^{|n|\gamma});\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_*(S^{|n|\gamma})/C_p;\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let e_{2j} and e_{2j-1} be the orbits of cells $e_{2j,i}$ and $e_{2j-1,i}$. The induced τ-action on $C_*(S^{|n|\gamma})/C_p$ is expressed as

$$\tau e_{2j} = (-1)^j e_{2j},\ \tau e_{2j-1} = (-1)^{j-1} e_{2j-1}.$$

Therefore, the τ-action on the homology and cohomology is multiplication by -1 when the degree $\equiv 2$ or 3 (mod 4). Otherwise the τ-action is multiplication by 1. $\square$

Now we consider the case that V also contains copies of σ. For any D_{2p}-spectra X, Y, let τ act on $[X,Y]^{C_p}$ by conjugation. Since $S^\sigma \cong S^1$ as C_p-spaces, we have

$$[X,Y]^{C_p} \cong [X, S^{m(\sigma-1)} \wedge Y]^{C_p}.$$

Since τ acts on S^σ as a reflection, the composition

$$[X,Y]^{C_p} \cong [X, S^{m(\sigma-1)} \wedge Y]^{C_p} \xrightarrow{\tau} [X, S^{m(\sigma-1)} \wedge Y]^{C_p} \cong [X,Y]^{C_p}$$

agrees with the τ-action on $[X,Y]^{C_p}$ multiplied by $(-1)^m$.

Together with Proposition 5.5, we get

Proposition 5.6. *Let $V = k + m\sigma + n\gamma$. The action of τ on $[S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p}$ is multiplication by -1 if $[|k+m-t|/2] + m$ is odd. Otherwise, the action is multiplication by 1.*

Recall that in the spectral sequence computing $[S^V, E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G_*$, the E_1-page and the boundary maps are given by

$$[S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p} \xrightarrow{1-\tau} [S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p} \xrightarrow{1+\tau} [S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p} \xrightarrow{1-\tau} ...,$$

which can be written as either

$$[S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p} \xrightarrow{0} [S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p} \xrightarrow{2} [S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p} \xrightarrow{0} ....$$

or

$$[S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p} \xrightarrow{2} [S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p} \xrightarrow{0} [S^V, \Sigma^t H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p} \xrightarrow{2} ....$$

depending on whether the τ-action becomes the multiplication by 1 or -1.

Since 2 is inverted, the E_2-page vanishes except in the bottom line. Consider the case of $t = 0$. We can compute the homotopy of $E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ by this E_2-page:

Proposition 5.7. *When 2 is inverted, for any integers k, m, n,*

$$[S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}, E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G \cong \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } [|k+m|/2] + m \text{ is odd,} \\ [S^{(k+m)+n\lambda}, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p}, & \text{if } [|k+m|/2] + m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, this isomorphism preserves the multiplicative structures.

The isomorphism in the second case is obtained by sending each G-map

$$S^V \to E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$$

to the underlying C_p-map of

$$S^V \to E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \to S \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Thus the multiplicative structures are preserved.

Remark 5.8. *According to the second equation in Theorem 5.3, $\pi^{C_p}_{a+b\lambda}(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ is non-zero only if a is positive even, or negative odd. So we can rewrite Proposition 5.7 as*

$$[S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}, E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^G \cong \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } [(k+3m+1)/2] \text{ is odd,} \\ [S^{(k+m)+n\lambda}, H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}]^{C_p}, & \text{if } [(k+3m+1)/2] + m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The equation above induces a ring map from the $RO(D_{2p})$-graded ring $\pi^G_\star(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ to the $RO(C_p)$-graded ring $\pi^{C_p}_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$. Similarly, in order to compute $\pi^G_\star(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$, we only need to trace the generators in the second equation in Theorem 5.3:

(1)

$$\pi_0^{C_p}(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong \pi^G_{2c(1-\sigma)}(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}),\ \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus $u_{2\sigma}$, as the generator of $\pi^G_{1-\sigma}(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$, becomes invertible.

(2)

$$\pi^{C_p}_{2-\lambda}(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong \pi^G_{1+\sigma-\gamma+2c(1-\sigma)}(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}),\ \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus the pre-image of $u_\lambda \in \pi^{C_p}_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ is $u_{\gamma-\sigma}u^c_{2\sigma}$, for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(3)

$$\pi^{C_p}_{-\lambda}(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong \pi^G_{-\gamma+2c(1-\sigma)}(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}),\ \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus the pre-image of $a_\lambda \in \pi^{C_p}_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ is $a_\gamma u^c_{2\sigma}$, for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, we have

$$\pi_\star(E\mathscr{F}_+ \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})[\frac{1}{2}] = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][u_{\gamma-\sigma}, a_\gamma, u^{\pm}_{2\sigma}]/(pa_\gamma)$$

$$\oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i>0} p\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][u^{\pm}_{2\sigma}]\langle u^{-i}_{\gamma-\sigma}\rangle \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j,k>0} \mathbb{Z}/p[u^{\pm}_{2\sigma}]\langle \Sigma^{-1}u^{-j}_{\gamma-\sigma}a^{-k}_\gamma\rangle \right).$$

5.3 Computation of $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_1} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_2} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$

In these two cases, we can show that

$$\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_1} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_2} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq *.$$

We can compute $\underline{\pi}_*(\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ by the universal coefficient spectral sequence in [**LM04**]:

$$\underline{Tor}^{A_G}_{*,*}(\underline{HA_G}_*\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \Rightarrow \underline{H\mathbb{Z}}_*\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} = \underline{\pi}_*(\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

When either 2 or p is inverted and the splitting in Theorem 3.1 happens, $\underline{HA_G}_*\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$ is concentrated in degree 0, and becomes the complement Green functor of $\underline{HA_G}_*(E\mathscr{F}_+)$ in A_G. We use the same notations $M_\mathscr{F}$ and $N_\mathscr{F}$ as in section 2 to denote $\underline{HA_G}_*(E\mathscr{F}_+)$ and $\underline{HA_G}_*\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$.

Recall that, as a sub-Green functor of A_G, the value of $N_\mathscr{F}$ on G/L is generated by all $\{L/K\}$ with $K \notin \mathscr{F}$.

Since $N_\mathscr{F}$ is a direct summand of A_G,

$$\underline{Tor}^{A_G}_{s,t}(N_\mathscr{F}, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = \begin{cases} \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \,\square\, N_\mathscr{F}, \text{ if } s = t = 0, \\ 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\underline{\pi}_*(\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \,\square\, N_\mathscr{F},$$

which is concentrated in degree 0.

The box product can be computed as a coend, for which we refer to [**LM04**]. In the case when G is finite, we have

$$(M \,\square\, N)(G/H) = \left(\bigoplus_{K \subset H} M(G/K) \otimes N(G/K) \right) / \sim,$$

where the equivalence relation is generated by

$$a \otimes T^{K_2}_{K_1}(b) \sim R^{K_2}_{K_1}(a) \otimes b,\ T^{K_2}_{K_1}(b) \otimes a \sim b \otimes R^{K_2}_{K_1}(a)$$

for any $K_1 \subset K_2$, and

$$M(G/K_1) \otimes N(G/K_1) \cong M(G/K_2) \otimes N(G/K_2)$$

for all conjugacy pairs K_1, K_2.

When $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$ and p is inverted, $N_{\mathscr{F}}(G/G)$ is generated by $\{G/G\}$ and $\{G/C_p\}$, $N_{\mathscr{F}}(G/C_p)$ is generated by $\{C_p/C_p\}$, and we have

$$N_{\mathscr{F}}(G/e) = N_{\mathscr{F}}(G/C_2) = 0.$$

Thus

$$(\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \,\square\, N_{\mathscr{F}})(G/e) = (\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \,\square\, N_{\mathscr{F}})(G/C_2) = 0.$$

For any $a \in \underline{\mathbb{Z}}(G/e)$ and $b \in N_{\mathscr{F}}(G/C_p)$, we have

$$Tr_e^{C_p}(a) \otimes b \sim a \otimes R_e^{C_p}(b) = a \otimes 0 = 0.$$

However, $Tr_e^{C_p}$ in $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the multiplication by p, which is invertible. Thus this equivalence relation identifies the whole $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}(G/C_p) \otimes N_{\mathscr{F}}(G/C_p)$ to zero.

By a similar argument, the equivalence relation between G/G and G/C_2 identifies the whole $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}(G/G) \otimes N_{\mathscr{F}}(G/G)$ to zero. Thus we have $\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \,\square\, N_{\mathscr{F}} = 0$.

When $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$ and 2 is inverted, we just need to exchange 2 and p in the above argument. So we still have $\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \,\square\, N_{\mathscr{F}} = 0$.

Therefore,

$$\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_1} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_2} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq *.$$

5.4 Final result

We already computed $\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ with either 2 or p inverted. Now we just need to glue them together:

Theorem 5.9. *For $G = D_{2p}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\star(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = \mathbb{Z}[u_{\gamma-\sigma}, u_{2\sigma}, a_\sigma, a_\gamma]/(2a_\sigma, pa_\gamma)\\ \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i>0} 2\mathbb{Z}[u_{\gamma-\sigma}]\langle u_{2\sigma}^{-i}\rangle\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i>0} p\mathbb{Z}[u_{2\sigma}]\langle u_{\gamma-\sigma}^{-i}\rangle\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j,k>0} 2p\mathbb{Z}\langle u_{2\sigma}^{-j}u_{\gamma-\sigma}^{-k}\rangle\right)\\ \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j,k>0} \mathbb{Z}/p[u_{\gamma-\sigma}]\langle a_\gamma^j u_{2\sigma}^{-k}\rangle\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j,k>0} \mathbb{Z}/2[u_{2\sigma}]\langle a_\sigma^j u_{\gamma-\sigma}^{-k}\rangle\right)\\ \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j,k>0} \mathbb{Z}/2[u_{\gamma-\sigma}^{\pm}]\langle \Sigma^{-1}u_{2\sigma}^{-j}a_\sigma^{-k}\rangle\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j,k>0} \mathbb{Z}/p[u_{2\sigma}^{\pm}]\langle \Sigma^{-1}u_{\gamma-\sigma}^{-j}a_\gamma^{-k}\rangle\right).\end{aligned}$$

6 Applications to general D_{2p}-spectra

Let $G = D_{2p}$. In this section, we will generalize the computations in section 5 to other G-spectra. For any G-spectra A, Proposition 4.1 shows that the information of $\pi_\star(A)$ is covered by the homotopy of

$$(E\mathscr{F}_1)_+ \wedge A,\ \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_1} \wedge A,\ (E\mathscr{F}_2)_+ \wedge A \text{ and } \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_2} \wedge A$$

with either 2 or p inverted.

The main results of this section are given below:

Theorem 6.1. *Let k, m, n be arbitrary integers.*

(a) *Let A be a split G-spectra. When p is inverted, we have*

$$[S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}, (E\mathscr{F}_1)_+ \wedge A]^G \cong [S^{(k+n)+(m+n)\sigma}, A^{C_p}]^{C_2}.$$

(b) *Let $A = HM$ for some Mackey functor M such that $M(G/H)$ has a trivial W_GH-action for all $H \subset G$. When 2 is inverted, we have*

$$[S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}, (E\mathscr{F}_2)_+ \wedge A]^G \cong \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } [|k+m|/2] + m \text{ is odd,} \\ [S^{(k+m)+n\lambda}, A]^{C_p}, \text{ if } [|k+m|/2] + m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

(c) *When p is inverted, we have*

$$[S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}, \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}_1 \wedge A]^G \cong [S^{k+m\sigma}, \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}_1 \wedge A]^G \cong [S^{k+m\sigma}, \Phi^{C_p}A]^{C_2}.$$

(d) *When 2 is inverted, we have*

$$[S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}, \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}_2 \wedge A]^G \cong [S^{k+n}, \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}_2 \wedge A]^G \cong [S^{k+n}, \Phi^{C_2}A] \oplus [S^{k+n}, \Phi^G A].$$

Moreover, all isomorphisms above preserve the symmetric monoidal structure.

Corollary 6.2. *In particular, when $A = HA_G$, we have*

$$[S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}, HA_G]^G \cong [S^{(k+n)+(m+n)\sigma}, HA^2_{C_2}]^{C_2} \oplus [S^{k+m\sigma}, HA_{C_2}]^{C_2}$$

when p is inverted, and

$$[S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}, HA_G]^G \cong [S^{k+n}, H\mathbb{Z}^2] \oplus \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } [|k+m|/2] + m \text{ is odd,} \\ [S^{(k+m)+n\lambda}, HA_{C_p}]^{C_p}, \text{ if } [|k+m|/2] + m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

when 2 is inverted.

Parts (a) and (b) in Theorem 6.1 can be proved in the same way as Proposition 5.4 and Proposition 5.7.

In order to compute the homotopy of $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}} \wedge A$, for either $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$ or $\mathscr{F}_2$, we need to consider the equivariant homology with coefficients in $N_{\mathscr{F}}$. We can use the same proof as in Proposition 2.8 to show:

Proposition 6.3. *Let X be a based G-CW complex or a G-CW spectrum. Then*

$$(HN_{\mathscr{F}})_*X \cong \bigoplus_{H \notin \mathscr{F}} H\mathbb{Z}_*(\Phi^H X/W_GH)$$

where we only choose one H from each conjugacy class of subgroups in G.

As a Mackey functor,

$$\underline{HN_{\mathscr{F}}}_*X(G/L) \cong \bigoplus_{K \notin \mathscr{F}} H\mathbb{Z}_*(\Phi^K X/W_LK)$$

where we only choose one K from each conjugacy class of subgroups in L.

We will prove:

Proposition 6.4. ***(a)*** *When $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$ and p is inverted, S^γ is $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$-equivalent to S^0.*

(b) *When $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$ and 2 is inverted, S^γ, S^σ are $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$-equivalent to S^1, S^0, respectively.*

Proof: Notice that

$$HN_{\mathscr{F}} = HA_G \wedge \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}.$$

Since all bounded below G-spectra are HA_G-local, it suffices to prove Proposition 6.4 with $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}$ replaced by $HN_{\mathscr{F}}$.

Part (a): Assume that $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$ and p is inverted.
Proposition 6.3 shows that:

$$\underline{HN_{\mathscr{F}}}_* X(G/e) = \underline{HN_{\mathscr{F}}}_* X(G/C_2) = 0,$$

$$\underline{HN_{\mathscr{F}}}_* X(G/C_p) = H\mathbb{Z}_*(\Phi^{C_p} X),$$

$$\underline{HN_{\mathscr{F}}}_* X(G/G) = H\mathbb{Z}_*(\Phi^{G} X) \oplus H\mathbb{Z}_*(\Phi^{C_p} X/G).$$

Since these homology groups only depend on the C_p-fixed cells of X, $S^0 \hookrightarrow S^\gamma$ is an $HN_{\mathscr{F}}$-equivalence.

Part (b): Assume that $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$ and 2 is inverted.
Proposition 6.3 shows that:

$$\underline{HN_{\mathscr{F}}}_* X(G/e) = \underline{HN_{\mathscr{F}}}_* X(G/C_p) = 0,$$

$$\underline{HN_{\mathscr{F}}}_* X(G/C_2) = H\mathbb{Z}_*(\Phi^{C_2} X),$$

$$\underline{HN_{\mathscr{F}}}_* X(G/G) = H\mathbb{Z}_*(\Phi^{G} X) \oplus H\mathbb{Z}_*(\Phi^{C_2} X/G).$$

for any choice of $C_2 \subset G$.

These homology groups only depend on the C_2-fixed cells of X. So the following maps among D_{2p}-spaces become $HN_{\mathscr{F}}$-equivalences:

$$S^\gamma \to S^\gamma/C_p = S^{1+\sigma} \hookleftarrow S^1,$$

$$S^0 \hookrightarrow S^\sigma.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 6.1(c)(d): Part (c) follows after the fact that $S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}$ is $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_1}$-equivalent to $S^{k+m\sigma}$ according to Proposition 6.4.

For part (d), Proposition 6.4 shows that $S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}$ is $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_2}$-equivalent to S^{k+n}. Thus

$$[S^{k+m\sigma+n\gamma}, \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}_2 \wedge A]^G \cong [S^{k+n}, \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}_2 \wedge A]^G.$$

Let $\mathscr{F}'$ be the family of all proper subgroups of G. Notice that $\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}_2}$ and

$$\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}'} \vee (G/C_2)_+ \wedge \widetilde{E(G/C_p)}$$

have the same homotopy types on their fixed point subspaces. Theorem 2.5 provides a weak equivalence between these two spaces. Together with Theorem 3.1, we have

$$[S^{k+n}, \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}_2 \wedge A]^G \cong [\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}}_2 \wedge S^{k+n}, A]^G$$

$$\cong [\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}'} \wedge S^{k+n}, A]^G \oplus [(G/C_2)_+ \wedge \widetilde{E(G/C_p)} \wedge S^{k+n}, A]^G$$

$$\cong [\widetilde{E\mathscr{F}'} \wedge S^{k+n}, A]^G \oplus [\widetilde{E(G/C_p)} \wedge S^{k+n}, A]^{C_2}$$

$$\cong [S^{k+n}, \widetilde{E\mathscr{F}'} \wedge A]^G \oplus [S^{k+n}, \widetilde{E(G/C_p)} \wedge A]^{C_2} \cong [S^{k+n}, \Phi^G A] \oplus [S^{k+n}, \Phi^{C_2} A].$$

□

7 Splittings for more general finite groups

In this section, we will generalize Proposition 4.1 to more general finite groups G.

Let $G = G_2 \ltimes G_1$. In other words, $G_1 \lhd G$, $G_2 \subset G$, and $G_2 \cong G/G_1$.

Let $\mathscr{F}_1$ be the family which contains all subgroups which are sub-conjugate to G_2, $\mathscr{F}_2$ be the family which contains all subgroups of G_1.

Proposition 7.1. *Assume that $|G_1|, |G_2|$ are relatively prime.*
When $|G_1|$ is inverted, $\underline{H}_*^G(E\mathscr{F}_1; A_G)$ is concentrated in degree 0.

Proposition 7.2. *When $|G_2|$ is inverted, $\underline{H}_*^G(E\mathscr{F}_2; A_G)$ is concentrated in degree 0.*

Proposition 7.3. *When $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$, the denominators of all c_H only contain prime factors dividing $|G_1|$.*

Proposition 7.4. *When $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$, the denominators of all c_H only contain prime factors dividing $|G_2|$.*

Remark 7.5. *We do not require $|G_1|, |G_2|$ to be relatively prime for the last three propositions. We guess this requirement can also be removed for Proposition 7.1, but do not have a proof at current time.*

According to Remark 3.3, we can generalize Proposition 4.1:

Theorem 7.6. *Assume that $|G_1|, |G_2|$ are relatively prime. The splitting in Theorem 3.1 happens when*
(a) *$\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$ and $|G_1|$ is inverted, or*
(b) *$\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$ and $|G_2|$ is inverted.*

Remark 7.7. *When either $|G_1|$ or $|G_2|$ is inverted, it is possible to use the same techniques as in Sections 5 and 6 to decompose computations about G-spectra into computations about G_1 or G_2-spectra. Then we can glue back these two splittings and recover information of G-spectra.*

We will prove Proposition 7.1 to 7.4 in the rest of the paper from the easiest to the hardest:

$$7.2 \to 7.4 \to 7.1 \to 7.3.$$

The proof of Proposition 7.3 will be given in a separate section according to its length. The cases of $\mathscr{F}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2$ are quite different since only G_1 is a normal subgroup of G.

Proof of Proposition 7.2: Assume that $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$ and $|G_2|$ is inverted.

Recall that $E\mathscr{F}$ is characterized by its fixed point subspaces as $(E\mathscr{F})^H \simeq *$ if $H \subset G_1$, and $(E\mathscr{F})^H = \emptyset$ otherwise. Thus we can construct $E\mathscr{F}$ explicitly as $E(G/G_1)$.

According to Proposition 2.8, $\underline{H}_*(E\mathscr{F}; A_G)$ is determined by the homology of different orbit spaces of fixed point subspaces. However, the fixed point subspaces of $E(G/G_1)$ are either empty or the whole space. Thus the orbit spaces only have the form $E(G/G_1)/H \simeq BH$ for some $H \subset G/G_1$. According to Lemma 2.14, we know that the positive-degree homology groups of these orbit spaces vanish when $|G_2| = |G/G_1|$ is inverted. □

Proof of Proposition 7.4: Let $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_2$. The coefficients c_H are computed by

$$\sum_H c_H s_{(K,H)} = 1, \ \forall K \in \mathscr{F}$$

with one H chosen from each conjugacy class in $\mathscr{F}$. We can check that $c_{G_1} = |G_2|^{-1}$ and $c_H = 0$ for all other H. Recall that $s_{(K,H)}$ is the product of $|W_G H|$ with the number of subgroups conjugate to H and containing K. Thus for any $K \subset G_1$, $s_{(K,G_1)} = |G_2|$ since G_1 is normal and $|W_G G_1| = |G/G_1| = |G_2|$. We have

$$\sum_H c_H s_{(K,H)} = c_{G_1} s_{(K,G_1)} + \sum_{G_1 \neq H \in \mathscr{F}} c_H s_{(K,H)} = |G_2|^{-1} \cdot |G_2| + 0 = 1.$$

Proposition 7.4 is true since the only nontrivial denominator of any c_H is $|G_2|$. □

For the other two propositions, we will need the following definition:

Definition 7.8. *For any $K \subset G_2$, define $P_K \subset G_1$ to be*

$$P_K := \{g \in G_1 : \ gh = hg \ , \forall h \in K\}.$$

Lemma 7.9. *For any $K \subset G_2$ and $g \in G_1$, $gKg^{-1} \subset G_2$ if and only if $g \in P_K$.*

Proof: For any $h \in K$, if $ghg^{-1} \in G_2$, then $ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \in G_2h^{-1} = G_2$. However, $g(hg^{-1}h^{-1}) \in G_1$ since $G_1 \lhd G$. Since $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}$, we must have $ghg^{-1}h^{-1} = 1$ and hence $gh = hg$, $g \in P_K$. $\square$

Lemma 7.10. *The subgroup P_K is closed under the action of $N_{G_2}K$ by conjugation.*

Proof: For any $g \in P_K$, $h \in N_{G_2}K$, and $k \in K$, we have $h^{-1}kh \in K$. Thus

$$(h^{-1}gh)k = h^{-1}(g(hkh^{-1}))h = h^{-1}((hkh^{-1})g)h = k(h^{-1}gh)$$

and hence $h^{-1}gh \in P_K$. $\square$

In order to prove Proposition 7.1, we need a more general version of Lemma 4.2:

Lemma 7.11. *Let X be a G-CW complex whose cells only have isotropy groups sub-conjugate to G_2. Consider the orbit map $X \to X/G_1$, which is viewed as a map between G_2-spaces. Then for any $H \subset G_2$, the induced map*

$$H_*(X^H; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_*((X/G_1)^H; \mathbb{Z})$$

becomes a surjection when $|G_1|$ is inverted.

Moreover, if all such maps are also injective, then $X \to (X/G_1)$ is a G_2-equivalence.

Proof: First, for any non-equivariant cell e with isotropy group aKa^{-1} for some $K \subset G_2$ and $a \in G$, write $a = gh$ with $g \in G_1$ and $h \in G_2$. Then $aKa^{-1} = g(hKh^{-1})g^{-1}$ and hence hKh^{-1} is the isotropy group of $g^{-1}e$. Therefore, each G_1-cell in X contains at least one non-equivariant cell with isotropy group inside G_2.

Consider any cell e in X with isotropy group $K \subset G_2$. Fix $H \subset G_2$. If the image of e in X/G_1 is H-fixed, then the action of H is closed inside $\{ge : g \in G_1\}$.

This means that for any $h \in H$, $g_1 \in G_1$, there exists $g_2 \in G_1$ such that $hg_1e = g_2e$. So $g_2^{-1}hg_1 \in K$, and hence $g_2^{-1}(hg_1h^{-1}) \in Kh^{-1} \subset G_2$. Since hg_1h^{-1} is also an element in G_1,

$$g_2^{-1}(hg_1h^{-1}) \in G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}.$$

So $g_2 = hg_1h^{-1}$. Then $g_2^{-1}hg_1 \in K$ becomes $h \in K$. So the image of e in X/G_1 is H-fixed if and only if $H \subset K$.

Now we assume that $H \subset K$. For any $g \in G_1$, ge is H-fixed if and only if $hge = ge$ for all $h \in H$. Thus $g^{-1}hge = e$ and hence $g^{-1}hg \in K$, $g^{-1}Hg \subset K \subset G_2$. Lemma 7.9 shows that $g \in P_H$. In this case, $g^{-1}Hg = H \subset K$ is always satisfied. So we have

$$\{ge : g \in G_1\}^H = \{ge : g \in P_H\}.$$

Now we can play the same trick as in Lemma 4.2. Define a map between cellular chain complexes

$$C_*((X/G_1)^H; \mathbb{Z}) \to C_*(X^H; \mathbb{Z})$$

as follows: For any G_1-cell $G/K_+ \wedge e$ of X with $K \supset H$, define the map above by

$$(G/K_+ \wedge e)/G_1 \mapsto \sum_{g \in P_H} ge \in C_*(X^H; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then the composition

$$C_*((X/G_1)^H; \mathbb{Z}) \to C_*(X^H; \mathbb{Z}) \to C_*((X/G_1)^H; \mathbb{Z})$$

becomes the multiplication by $|P_H|$, which can be passed to homology. Thus when $|G_1|$ is inverted, since $P_H \subset G_1$, $|P_H|$ is also inverted. The above composition becomes an isomorphism, and

$$H_*(X^H; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_*((X/G_1)^H; \mathbb{Z})$$

becomes a surjection. $\square$

Remark 7.12. *The above argument still works when we replace G_1, G_2 by any subgroups $P \subset G_1$, $K \subset G_2$. Thus if X is a $(K \ltimes P)$-CW complex with certain conditions, $X \to X/P$ will also be a K-equivalence when $|P|$ is inverted.*

We also need the powerful Schur–Zassenhaus theorem:

Theorem 7.13. *([**Iss08**] 3B) Let K be a finite group and K_1 be a normal subgroup of K such that $|K_1|$ and $|K/K_1|$ are relative prime. Then there exists $K_2 \subset K$, such that $K = K_2 \ltimes K_1$. Moreover, all such choices of K_2 are conjugate to each other.*

Proof of Proposition 7.1: Assume that $|G_1|$ is inverted and $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$.

According to Proposition 2.8, it suffices to show that $H_*(E\mathscr{F}^H/L; \mathbb{Z})$ is concentrated in degree 0, for any $H \lhd L \subset G$. Notice that $E\mathscr{F}^H = \emptyset$ if $H \notin \mathscr{F}$. Moreover, for any $g \in G$, $E\mathscr{F}^H/L$ is homotopy equivalent to $E\mathscr{F}^{g^{-1}Hg}/g^{-1}Lg$. Since $\mathscr{F}$ is the family of all subgroups sub-conjugate to G_2, we can assume $H \subset G_2$.

List all elements in L as $L = \{a_1b_1, a_2b_2, ..., a_nb_n\}$ with each $a_i \in G_1$ and $b_i \in G_2$. Let $\overline{L}$ be the subset of G_2 consisting of all b_i. Then $\overline{L}$ is closed under multiplication and inverses since

$$(a_ib_i)(a_jb_j) = (a_i(b_ia_jb_i^{-1}))(b_ib_j),$$

$$(a_ib_i)^{-1} = b_i^{-1}a_i^{-1} = (b_i^{-1}a_i^{-1}b_i)b_i^{-1}.$$

Thus $\overline{L}$ is a subgroup of G_2. The equations above also show that the map $L \to \overline{L}$ sending each a_ib_i to b_i is a surjective group homomorphism. Its kernel L_0 is the collection of all a_ib_i with $b_i = 1$. Thus L_0 is a subgroup of G_1 and a normal subgroup of L. Moreover, for any i, since $H \lhd L$, we have $b_i^{-1}a_i^{-1}Ha_ib_i = H$ and hence $a_i^{-1}Ha_i = b_iHb_i^{-1} \subset G_2$. Lemma 7.9 tells us $a_i \in P_H$ and hence $b_i \in W_{G_2}H$.

Since $W_{G_2}H$ acts on P_H by conjugation according to Lemma 7.10, the group generated by P_H and $\overline{L} \subset W_{G_2}H$ is the semi-direct product $\overline{L} \ltimes P_H$.

Notice that $L_0 \lhd L$ and $|L_0|$ are relatively prime to $|L/L_0| = |\overline{L}|$ (since $L_0, \overline{L}$ are subgroups of G_1, G_2). Apply Theorem 7.13 on L and L_0. We have $L = L' \ltimes L_0$ for some L' isomorphic to $\overline{L}$. Notice that $L' \subset L \subset \overline{L} \ltimes P_H$. Since $|L'| = |\overline{L}|$ is relatively prime to P_H, the elements in L' are in different P_H-cosets. Thus $\overline{L} \ltimes P_H = L' \ltimes P_H$. Apply Theorem 7.13 again on $\overline{L} \ltimes P_H$, we know that $\overline{L}$ is conjugate to L'. So there exists $g \in P_H$ such that $g^{-1}L'g = \overline{L}$.

Now we have $g^{-1}Lg = \overline{L} \ltimes g^{-1}L_0g$ and

$$E\mathscr{F}^H/L \cong E\mathscr{F}^{g^{-1}Hg}/g^{-1}Lg = E\mathscr{F}^H/(\overline{L} \ltimes g^{-1}L_0g).$$

So we can assume $L = \overline{L} \ltimes L_0$ at the beginning. Otherwise we replace it by $\overline{L} \ltimes g^{-1}L_0g$.

Recall that all points in $E\mathscr{F}$ have isotropy groups sub-conjugate to G_2. Thus when we view $X = E\mathscr{F}^H$ as an L-CW complex, all points have isotropy groups sub-conjugate to $\overline{L}$. So all cells are L_0-free. Apply Lemma 7.11 with $G = G_2 \ltimes G_1$ replaced by $L = \overline{L} \ltimes L_0$. The maps between homology groups in Lemma 7.11 are always injective since all fixed point subspaces of $E\mathscr{F}^H$ are either contractible or empty. Thus the projection $E\mathscr{F}^H \to E\mathscr{F}^H/L_0$ is an $\overline{L}$-equivalence. So we have

$$E\mathscr{F}^H/L = (E\mathscr{F}^H/L_0)/\overline{L} \simeq E\mathscr{F}^H/\overline{L}$$

On the other hand, we have a G_2-equivalence $E\mathscr{F} \simeq *$ by checking all fixed point subspaces. Since both $H, \overline{L}$ are subgroups of G_2, $E\mathscr{F}^H/\overline{L} \simeq *$. Therefore, the homology of $E\mathscr{F}^H/L$ is concentrated in degree 0. □

8 Proof of Proposition 7.3

Assume that $|G_1|$ is inverted and $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1$ in this section.

Recall that c_H is defined for each $H \in \mathscr{F}$ inductively: For any $K \in \mathscr{F}$, we have

$$\sum_H c_H s_{(K,H)} = 1$$

where we choose one H from each conjugacy class inside $\mathscr{F}$, and $s_{(K,H)} = |(G/H)^K|$. We want to show that the denominators of c_H for all $H \subset G$ are invertible.

Since $\mathscr{F}$ is the family of subgroups sub-conjugate to G_2, and $c_H = c_{H'}$ when H is conjugate to H', we can consider K, H in the equation above as subgroups of G_2.

For any $abH \in G/H$ with $a \in G_1$, $b \in G_2$, if it is K-fixed, then $KabH = abH$ and hence

$$(a^{-1}Ka)(bHb^{-1}) = (bHb^{-1}) \Rightarrow a^{-1}Ka \subset bHb^{-1} \subset G_2.$$

Lemma 7.9 shows that $a \in P_K$. So $KabH = abH$ becomes $KbH = bH$ and hence $bH \in (G_2/H)^K$. So we have

$$s_{(K,H)} = |P_K| \cdot |(G_2/H)^K|$$

where $|(G_2/H)^K|$ can be expressed as the product between $|W_{G_2}H|$ and the number of subgroups of G_2 which are conjugate to H inside G_2 and contain K.

A direct consequence of Lemma 7.9 shows that if $H_1, H_2 \subset G_2$, then they are conjugate as subgroups of G if and only if they are conjugate as subgroups of G_2. So for each $K \subset G_2$, we have

$$1 = \sum_{\text{one } H \text{ from each conjugacy class in } G} c_H s_{(K,H)} = \sum_{\text{one } H \text{ from each conjugacy class in } G_2} c_H s_{(K,H)}$$

$$= \sum_{\text{one } H \text{ from each conjugacy class in } G_2} c_H \cdot |P_K| \cdot |W_{G_2}H| \cdot \#(\text{subgroups of } G_2 \text{ conjugate to } H \text{ containing } K)$$

$$= \sum_{K \subset H \subset G_2} c_H \cdot |P_K| \cdot |W_{G_2}H|.$$

In the last sum, we choose all H with $K \subset H \subset G_2$, not up to conjugacy. We highlight this new relation:

Proposition 8.1. *For any $K \subset G_2$, we have*

$$\sum_{K \subset H \subset G_2} c_H \cdot |P_K| \cdot |W_{G_2}H| = 1.$$

Moreover, when H, H' are conjugate subgroups of G_2, we have $c_H = c_{H'}$.

Before we start computing the value of each c_H, we need to study relations between subgroups of G_1 and subgroups of G_2.

Notation: We will use letters K, H, L to denote subgroups of G_2, and P, Q to denote subgroups of G_1 in the rest of this section.

First we extend Definition 7.8:

Definition 8.2. *For any $K \subset G_2$, let*

$$P_K := \{g \in G_1 : \ gh = hg, \forall h \in K\}.$$

For any $P \subset G_1$, let

$$K_P := \{h \in G_2 : \ gh = hg, \forall g \in P\}.$$

*For any subgroup K of G_2, it is called **G_1-good** if $K = K_P$ for some $P \subset G_1$.*
*For any subgroup P of G_1, it is called **G_2-good** if $P = P_K$ for some $K \subset G_2$.*

Some of their properties are listed below:

Proposition 8.3. *We have:*
(a) *$P \subset P_{K_P}$, with equality when P is G_2-good.*
(b) *$K \subset K_{P_K}$, with equality when K is G_1-good.*
(c) *For any $K_1, K_2 \subset G_2$, $K_1 \subset K_2$ implies $P_{K_2} \subset P_{K_1}$. The converse is true if K_1, K_2 are G_1-good.*
(d) *For any $P_1, P_2 \subset G_1$, $P_1 \subset P_2$ implies $K_{P_2} \subset K_{P_1}$. The converse is true if P_1, P_2 are G_2-good.*
(e) *For any $b \in G_2$, $K \subset G_2$, $P_{b^{-1}Kb} = b^{-1}P_K b$. Thus if K is G_1-good, so is $b^{-1}Kb$.*
(f) *If both $P_1, P_2 \subset G_1$ are G_2-good, so is $P_1 \cap P_2$.*

Proof: Parts (a) to (e) follow immediately after Definition 8.2.

For part (f), let $K_1 = K_{P_1}$ and $K_2 = K_{P_2}$. Parts (a) and (b) show that $P_1 = P_{K_1}$ and $P_2 = P_{K_2}$.

Let K be the smallest subgroup of G_2 which contains both K_1 and K_2. In other words, it is generated by elements in K_1 and K_2. We compare P_K and $P_1 \cap P_2$:

For any $g \in P_1 \cap P_2$, we have $gh = hg$ for all $h \in K_1$ and all $h \in K_2$. Since K is generated by K_1, K_2, $gh = hg$ for all $h \in K$. Thus $P_1 \cap P_2 \subset P_K$.

On the other hand, for any $g \in P_K$, since $K_1, K_2 \subset K$, we have $gh = hg$ for all $h \in K_1$ and all $h \in K_2$. Thus $g \in P_1$ and $g \in P_2$. So we have $P_K \subset P_1 \cap P_2$. Therefore, $P_1 \cap P_2 = P_K$ is also G_2-good. □

It suffices to consider G_1 and G_2-good subgroups since:

Proposition 8.4. *Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the collection of all G_1-good subgroups of G_2. Then $c_H = 0$ for any $H \notin \mathbb{D}$.*

Proof: We use induction. Clearly G_2 itself is G_1-good since $G_2 = K_{\{1\}}$.

Fix $K \subset G_2$ which is not G_1-good. Assume that the proposition is true for all $H \subset G_2$ and $H \supsetneqq K$. Let $K_0 = K_{P_K}$. Then $K \subsetneqq K_0$ and $|P_K| = |P_{K_0}|$. Proposition 8.1 gives us:

$$\sum_{K \subset H \subset G_2} c_H \cdot |W_{G_2} H| = |P_K|^{-1},$$

$$\sum_{K_0 \subset H \subset G_2} c_H \cdot |W_{G_2} H| = |P_{K_0}|^{-1} = |P_K|^{-1}.$$

Taking the difference gives

$$\sum_{K \subset H, K_0 \not\subseteq H, H \subset G_2} c_H \cdot |W_{G_2} H| = 0.$$

For any H which contains K but not K_0, Proposition 8.3(c) shows that $P_H \subset P_K = P_{K_0}$. Proposition 8.3(d) then shows that H cannot be G_1-good, since otherwise we must have $K_0 \subset H$. Thus all summands in

$$\sum_{K \subset H, K_0 \not\subseteq H, H \subset G_2} c_H \cdot |W_{G_2} H| = 0$$

have $H \notin \mathbb{D}$. We already know that $c_H = 0$ for all $K \subsetneqq H \notin \mathbb{D}$. Thus c_K must also be zero. □

Now we can refine Proposition 8.1:

Proposition 8.5. *For any $K \in \mathbb{D}$, we have*

$$\sum_{K \subset H \in \mathbb{D}} c_H \cdot |P_K| \cdot |W_{G_2} H| = 1.$$

Moreover, $c_H = c_{H'}$ if H is conjugate to H'. If $H \notin \mathbb{D}$, $c_H = 0$.

We have finished all preparations and can start proving Proposition 7.3.

We can still use induction. For $K = G_2$, Proposition 8.5 shows that

$$c_{G_2} \cdot |P_{G_2}| = 1.$$

Since $P_{G_2} \subset G_1$, $|P_{G_2}|$ becomes invertible after we invert $|G_1|$. Thus the denominator of c_{G_2} is invertible.

Now fix $K \subset G_2$. Assume that the denominators of all c_H with $K \subsetneqq H \subset G_2$ are invertible. We will prove the same thing for c_K. We can assume $K \in \mathbb{D}$ (otherwise $c_K = 0$ by Proposition 8.5).

Let $P = P_K$. List all maximal proper G_2-good subgroups of P as $P_1, P_2, ..., P_n$. For any $S \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Define

$$P_S := P \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in S} P_i \right).$$

Proposition 8.3(f) shows that P_S is G_2-good. Define $K_S := K_{P_S}$. Then we have

$$P_{\{i\}} = P_i, \ P_\emptyset = P, \ K_\emptyset = K.$$

We can also extend Lemma 7.10:

Lemma 8.6. *Consider the action of $N_{G_2}K$ on P_K by conjugation. The action of any $h \in N_{G_2}K$ permutes different P_S.*

Proof: For any P_i and $h \in N_{G_2}K$, notice that $h^{-1}P_ih$ is also a maximal proper G_2-good subgroup of $P = P_K$. Thus $h^{-1}P_ih = P_j$ for some j. So the action of h becomes a permutation among $P_1, P_2, ..., P_n$, and also among general P_S, since each P_S is defined as the intersection of some P_i. $\square$

Write $K_i := K_{\{i\}} = K_{P_i}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. A quick application of Proposition 8.3 shows:

Lemma 8.7. *For any $K \subsetneqq H \in \mathbb{D}$, $K_i \subset H$ for at least one i.*

Apply Proposition 8.5 for each $S \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$:

$$\sum_{K_S \subset H \in \mathbb{D}} c_H \cdot |P_S| \cdot |W_{G_2}H| = 1.$$

Add these equations together with additional signs:

$$\sum_S (-1)^{|S|} \left(\sum_{K_S \subset H \in \mathbb{D}} c_H \cdot |P_S| \cdot |W_{G_2}H| \right) = \sum_S (-1)^{|S|} = \binom{n}{0} - \binom{n}{1} + ... + (-1)^n \binom{n}{n} = 0.$$

Rewriting the sum in the left hand side:

$$\sum_{K \subset H \in \mathbb{D}} c_H \cdot |W_{G_2}H| \cdot \left(\sum_{K_S \subset H} (-1)^{|S|} |P_S| \right) = 0.$$

Separate the summand of K:

$$-c_K \cdot |W_{G_2}K| \cdot |P_K| = \sum_{K \subsetneqq H \in \mathbb{D}} c_H \cdot |W_{G_2}H| \cdot \left(\sum_{K_S \subset H} (-1)^{|S|} |P_S| \right).$$

We divide all H with $K \subsetneqq H \in \mathbb{D}$ into different classes: H_1, H_2 are in the same class if there exists $h \in N_{G_2}K$ such that $H_2 = h^{-1}H_1h$.

For $K \subsetneqq H \in \mathbb{D}$ and $h \in N_{G_2}K$, $h^{-1}Hh$ is also a G_1-good subgroup which has K as a proper subgroup. Moreover, for any $h_1, h_2 \in N_{G_2}K$, we have $h_1^{-1}Hh_1 = h_2^{-1}Hh_2$ if and only if h_1, h_2 are in the same $N_{G_2}H$-coset. Thus the class of H contains $|N_{G_2}K|/|N_{G_2}K \cap N_{G_2}H|$ elements.

For any H_1, H_2 in the same class, since H_1 is conjugate to H_2, we have

$$c_{H_1} = c_{H_2}, \ |W_{G_2}H_1| = |W_{G_2}H_2|.$$

Lemma 8.6 shows that

$$\sum_{K_S \subset H_1} (-1)^S |P_S| = \sum_{K_S \subset H_2} (-1)^S |P_S|.$$

Thus we have

$$-c_K \cdot |W_{G_2}K| \cdot |P_K| = \sum_{K \subsetneqq H \in \mathbb{D}} c_H \cdot |W_{G_2}H| \cdot \left(\sum_{K_S \subset H} (-1)^{|S|} |P_S| \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\text{one } H \text{ from each class}} c_H \cdot |W_{G_2}H| \cdot (\text{size of the class}) \cdot \left(\sum_{K_S \subset H} (-1)^{|S|} |P_S| \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\text{one } H \text{ from each class}} c_H \cdot \frac{|N_{G_2}H|}{|H|} \cdot \frac{|N_{G_2}K|}{|N_{G_2}K \cap N_{G_2}H|} \cdot \left(\sum_{K_S \subset H} (-1)^{|S|} |P_S| \right).$$

When $|G_1|$ is inverted, $|P_K|$ becomes invertible. We also know that c_H has invertible denominators for all $H \supsetneqq K$ by assumption. Now it suffices to prove:

Proposition 8.8. *For any $K \subsetneqq H \in \mathbb{D}$, $|W_{G_2}K|$ divides*

$$\frac{|N_{G_2}H|}{|H|} \cdot \frac{|N_{G_2}K|}{|N_{G_2}K \cap N_{G_2}H|} \cdot \left(\sum_{K_S \subset H} (-1)^{|S|} |P_S| \right).$$

Proof: Notice that H must contains at least one K_i according to Lemma 8.7. Without loss of generality, assume that H contains $K_1, K_2, ..., K_m$, but does not contain $K_{m+1}, K_{m+2}, ..., K_n$. Then Proposition 8.3 shows that P_H is contained in $P_1, P_2, ..., P_m$, but not in $P_{m+1}, ..., P_n$.

We have

$$\sum_{K_S \subset H} (-1)^{|S|}|P_S| = \sum_{S \subset \{1,2,...,m\}} (-1)^{|S|}|P_S| = |P| + \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subset \{1,2,...,m\}} (-1)^{|S|} \left| \bigcap_{i \in S} P_i \right|.$$

This is the number of elements in P which are not contained in any of $P_1, P_2, ..., P_m$.

Now we consider the group action on P_K. But instead of the whole $N_{G_2}K$, we only use the action of $L := N_{G_2}K \cap H$.

For any $g \in P - \bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i$ and $h \in L$, assume that $h^{-1}gh \in P_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$. Then $g \in hP_ih^{-1}$. However, since $h \in N_{G_2}K$, hP_ih^{-1} is another maximal proper G_2-good subgroup of P, denoted by P_j. Since $h \in H$ and $K_i \subset H$, $hK_ih^{-1} \subset H$ and hence $K_j \subset H$. This means that $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $g \in P_j$, which is a contradiction.

In other words, the subset $P - \bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i$ is closed under the action of L.

We can also describe the orbit types of this L-action.

For any $g \in P - \bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i$ and $h \in L$, assume that $h^{-1}gh = g$ and $h \notin K$. Then $g \in P_{K'} \subsetneqq P$, where K' is generated by K and h. Since $h \in H$ and $K \subset H$, we have $K' \subset H$ and hence $P_H \subset P_{K'} \subsetneqq P_K$. Since $P_1, P_2, ..., P_m$ are all the maximal G_2-good strict subgroups of $P = P_K$ which contain P_H, $P_{K'}$ must be contained in one of them. Thus g is contained in one of $P_1, ..., P_m$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, $h^{-1}gh = g$ if and only if $h \in K$. Thus $P - \bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i$ only have L-orbits as L/K. So we have

$$|L/K| = \frac{|N_{G_2}K \cap H|}{|K|} \text{ divides } \left| P - \bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i \right| = \sum_{K_S \subset H} (-1)^{|S|}|P_S|.$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$|W_{G_2}K| = \frac{|N_{G_2}K|}{|K|} \text{ divides } \frac{|N_{G_2}H|}{|H|} \cdot \frac{|N_{G_2}K|}{|N_{G_2}K \cap N_{G_2}H|} \cdot \frac{|N_{G_2}K \cap H|}{|K|},$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{|N_{G_2}K \cap N_{G_2}H|}{|N_{G_2}K \cap H|} \text{ divides } \frac{|N_{G_2}H|}{|H|} = |(N_{G_2}H)/H|.$$

This relation follows from the fact that $N_{G_2}K \cap H$ is the kernel of the group homomorphism

$$N_{G_2}K \cap N_{G_2}H \hookrightarrow N_{G_2}H \to (N_{G_2}H)/H.$$

□

References

[Bar08] D. J. Barnes, *Rational Equivariant Spectra*, PhD Thesis.

[Dieck72] T. tom Dieck, *Orbittypen und äquivariante Homologie*, I. Arch. Math. 23, pp 307-317, 1972.

[Elm83] A. D. Elmendorf, *Systems of fixed point sets*, Transactions of the AMS, Volume 277, No. 1, 1983.

[GM95] J. P. C. Greenlees, J. P. May, *Generalized Tate cohomology*, Memoirs of the AMS, 1995.

[HHR17] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, D. C. Ravenel, *The slice spectral sequence for the C_4 analog of real K-theory*, Forum Math., 29(2), pp 383-447, 2017.

[Iss08] I. M. Issac, *Finite group theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathmetics, Volume 92, 2008.

[KL20] I. Kriz, Y. Lu, *On the $RO(G)$-graded coefficients of dihedral equivariant cohomology*, arXiv:2005.01225v1.

[LMS86] L. G. Lewis, J. P. May, M. Steinberger, *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.

[LM04] L. G. Lewis, M. A. Mandell, *Equivariant universal coefficient and Künneth spectral sequences*, arXiv:math/0410162v1.

[May96] J. P. May, *Equivariant homotopy and cohomology theory*, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, No. 91, 1996.

[Wil75] S. J. Willson, *Equivariant homology theories on G-complexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212, 1975, pp 155-271.

[Zen18] M. Zeng, *Mackey functors, equivariant Eilenberg-Maclane spectra and their slices*, PhD thesis.

[Zou18] Y. Zou, *$RO(D_{2p})$-graded Slice Spectral Sequence of $H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$*, PhD Thesis.