Collective drifts in vibrated granular packings: the interplay of friction and structure.
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We simulate vertically shaken dense granular packings with horizontal periodic boundary conditions. A coordinated translating motion of the whole medium emerges when the horizontal symmetry is broken by disorder or defects in the packing and the shaking is weak enough to conserve the structure. We argue that such a drift originates in the interplay between structural symmetry breaking and frictional forces transmitted by the vibrating plate. A non-linear ratchet model with stick-slip reproduces many faces of the phenomenon. The collective motion discussed here underlies phenomena observed recently with vibrofluidized granular materials, such as persistent rotations and anomalous diffusion.

\textbf{Introduction.}— Vibrofluidized granular systems are ubiquitous in industrial applications and geophysical problems \textsuperscript{14}, and provide a test-ground for theories of nonequilibrium fluctuations \textsuperscript{5}. As in many out-of-equilibrium steady states, shaken granular media display correlations which would disappear at equilibrium, for instance between velocities of different particles \textsuperscript{6}. When spatial symmetries are broken, currents may emerge, a classical example being the ratchet effect \textsuperscript{7}\textsuperscript{12}, seen also in active matter \textsuperscript{13}. A further aspect of asymmetric energy conversion recently studied in vibrofluidized granular materials is superdiffusion \textsuperscript{14}, which has been connected to transient drifts with very long persistence times \textsuperscript{15}\textsuperscript{16}. More recently, the appearance of steady rotations of disks in dense vibrated packings \textsuperscript{17} and the emergence of collective motions under swirling excitations \textsuperscript{18}\textsuperscript{19} have been observed. Such previous studies pose a general question, that is how friction and structural asymmetries (e.g. in the disorder of packing) can be exploited to convert random energy into a steady flow.

Here we answer to this general question focusing on few simplified setups where the conversion of vertical vibration into steady motion is understood in its essential ingredients, demonstrated also by a conceptual model of frictional ratchet, before the conclusions. The mechanism investigated here is related to the phenomena mentioned above as it represents their translational (instead of rotational) \textsuperscript{14}\textsuperscript{16}, collective (instead of individual) \textsuperscript{17} and spontaneous (instead of externally stimulated) \textsuperscript{18}\textsuperscript{19} counterpart.

\textbf{Numerical setup.}— We simulate, through an established Discrete Elements Method (DEM), a system of \(N\) spherical grains confined by hard walls and/or periodic boundary conditions (PBC) with different geometries. Each grain has radius \(R_i\), mass \(m_i\), position \(r_{i\alpha}\), velocity \(v_{i\alpha}\) and angular velocity \(\omega_{i\alpha}\), where \(\alpha = \{x, y, z\}\) and \(i = \{1, \ldots, N\}\). The grain-grain and grain-boundary contact forces follow the Hertz Mindlin (HM) model (see details in the SM). Three kinds of geometries are considered, see Fig. 1: i) quasi 2D-geometries (cases a-g, \(N = 60\)) with two parallel vertical walls of width \(L = 32\) mm separated by a distance \(d = 2\max R_i\). The boundaries in the \(x\) direction can be hard or periodic (we mostly concentrate on the latter case) while the \(z\) direction is confined by an upper and lower hard wall; ii) a full 3D geometry with base area \(A = 98 \times 98\) mm\(^2\) and PBC along both \(x\) and \(y\) directions (Fig. 1h, \(N = 2600\)); and iii) a conical base shaped cylinder, previously used in experiments and simulations \textsuperscript{14}\textsuperscript{16} (Fig. 1i). In all cases energy is injected by vertical vibrations \(z(t) = A \cos(2\pi f t)\) of confining walls, defining a shaking intensity \(\Gamma = A(2\pi f)^2/g\), where \(g\) accounts for gravity. Here we always vary \(\Gamma\) by \(A\) keeping \(f\) constant.

Regarding the quasi-2D setups, we prepare the initial state of our packings in two main ways: the first one consists of randomly pouring a polydisperse assembly of grains in the container, initial velocities are zero...
but during the pouring dynamics they acquire energy and rapidly reach a stationary statistics; the second one is obtained by placing a monodisperse assembly on an hexagonal lattice with the possibility to have vacancies in determined sites, while the particles initial velocities are drawn from a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and a variance small enough to keep the crystal stable. For the 3D cases we simply pour monodisperse grains in the containers. Since the latter do not satisfy the right proportions for crystallization the resulting packings are fairly disordered. The monodisperse packings with quasi-2D geometry (b-g in Fig. 1) can be without defects (g), or with four symmetric defects (f), or with three defects placed to break in different ways the symmetry of the crystal with respect to z and x (b-e). The polydisperse quasi-2D packing (a) is inspired by a recent experimental and numerical study \[17\] with shaken disks without PBC: for \( \Gamma \) low enough a persistent net angular velocity is observed in all the geometries explored here, with both hard walls and PBC. However, in this Letter, we focus on simulations with horizontal PBC only, where a different phenomenon, namely the collective horizontal persistent drift, superimposed on the previously observed rotational modes, appears.

**Drifting disordered packings** - We start from polydisperse packings in the quasi-2D geometry. At low values of \( \Gamma \) all the system moves coherently with the center of mass (CM) along the x-axis i.e. the free direction allowed by PBC, whose motion in time \( X_{\text{CM}}(t) = M_{\text{tot}}^{-1} \sum_i m_i x_i(t) \) is shown in Fig. 2a. We note that, even with the same \( \Gamma \), a different random packing can lead to a stable drift with very different magnitudes or to an intermittent drift. In panel b, considering the x component of the CM’s velocity \( V_{\text{CM}}(t) \), we also verify that short time properties as the time variance \( \sigma^2 \langle X_{\text{CM}}^2(t) \rangle \) are fully determined by the driving parameters (large errorbars), while the slow cooperative dynamics (characterized by its time average \( \langle V_{\text{CM}}(t) \rangle \)) sensibly depends on the packing configuration (large errorbars). Nevertheless, raising \( \Gamma \) up to values for which the system fluidizes (typically \( \Gamma > 10 \)), the CM performs Brownian-like trajectories and \( \langle V_{\text{CM}}(t) \rangle \) vanishes (SM-Video1). We recall that a dense vibrofluidized granular system has several time-scales, the smallest associated to fast vibrational motion, the largest associated to slow rearrangements of the global contact network. What we observe here is a rapid divergence of the largest time-scale when \( \Gamma \) is reduced below \( \Gamma \sim 3 \). The value of \( \langle V_{\text{CM}}(t) \rangle \), therefore, does not depend crucially on the trajectory’s duration, provided it is longer than the small time-scales, e.g. \( \gg 10^{-1} \) s.

**Effect of symmetries** - The observation that average motion is erased by fast particle rearrangements (fluidization) is a hint of its correlation with the system’s spatial configuration. For this reason we study \( \langle V_{\text{CM}} \rangle \) for ordered packings with defects (Fig. 2c). Net drifts are never observed in the FC and SD cases, whereas for all asymmetric configurations they are. Moreover, when the defect configuration is mirrored with respect to the z-axis, e.g. BL \( \rightarrow \) BR or TR \( \rightarrow \) TL etc., at a given value of \( \Gamma \), \( \langle V_{\text{CM}} \rangle \) changes its sign remaining with comparable magnitude. A reflection of the configuration with respect to x always changes the magnitude of the drift but the sign reverses just for a few values of \( \Gamma \). Remarkably, a variation of \( \Gamma \) with keeping the same layout of defects brings to multiple inversions of \( \text{sgn} \langle V_{\text{CM}} \rangle \) in the region \( 0.8 \leq \Gamma \leq 1.6 \) zoomed in Fig. 2d. In summary: i) defect asymmetry is needed to observe non-zero mean velocity of the CM, ii) reflection w.r.t. y inverts the direction of motion, iii) the asymmetry does not define the verse of the motion, the driving determines it too (SM-Video2).

**Sensitivity to small configuration changes** - Given the observed complicate entanglement of external parameters and drifts, we now focus on fluctuations of the drift during the same trajectory. Such fluctuations are more evident and frequent in random packings: Fig. 3-a compares the coordination number of the contact net:
work $Z(t)$ with the instantaneous drift $V^{CM}_{x}(t)$: they often change together. Such changes do not correspond to a significant rearrangement of particles (changes of $Z(t)$ can be smaller than 1%), confirming that the collective motion is sensible to small deformations of the contact network. Similar correlations are present also in the realistic 3D setups, see Fig. [3]a-d. c) 3D cubic setup, here $V^{CM}_{xy}$ is two dimensional so we plot both the modulus and the orientation $\theta^{CM}_{xy}$. d) 3D cylindrical geometry, the collective motion is a rotation around the central axis so $\Omega_{z}$ $N^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} |r_{ij}|^{-2} (r_{ij} \times \mathbf{v}_{j})$. e) Time averaged modulus of the normal force and compenetration between the plate and the bottom particles for two $z$-reflected configurations of defects. Site 4 is under the double defect (see Fig. 1b-c). f-h) Scatter plots with bins of the total $x$-tangential force modulus exerted by the plate VS the total velocity of the bottom particles for ordered packings with defects (f) and random packings (g-h) shaken at $\Gamma = 0.7$. In the latter, $\gamma^{\pm} = |\langle F_{x}^{T} | v_{x} \geq 0 \rangle|/\langle v_{x} \rangle$ linearly interpolate the data clouds.

The bulk structure influence on the external force -

We now look for observables that mediate between bulk structure and dynamics. The profiles, along $x$ of the mean normal pressure and the mean compenetration between the plate and the bottom particles are shown in Fig. [3]a, for an ordered packing with defects. Each profile is asymmetric and properly inverted under a $z$-reflection: defects in the bulk actually influence the way in which the boundaries interact with the source of energy. This may be expected, since both normal pressure and compenetration affect the tangential component of the force exerted by the vibrating plate (see SM): what is remarkable in our opinion is its global dynamical consequence. Recent analytical results [27] for an idealized model of vibrofluidized dense granular material suggest long-range spatial correlations that may justify the global influence of defects. A deeper insight is provided by the external tangential force provided by the plate $F_{x}^{T}$ (x-component), which directly affects the CM: $V^{CM}_{x} = M_{tot} F_{x}^{T} (r_{xj}, r_{xj}', v_{xj}, \omega_{yj})$ where the index $j$ refers to the bottom particles (for ordered packings $j \in [1,9]$). We characterise the dependence of $F_{x}^{T}$ upon $v_{x} = \sum_{j} v_{xj}$ by the scatter plot in Fig. [3] for two $z$-reflected ordered configurations with defects. Such dependence is typical of a frictional force but, quite surprisingly, its intensity depends on the sign of the velocity. Moreover, also this frictional asymmetry is inverted under $z$-reflection of packing. Comparing with Fig. [2] clarifies that the drift occurs towards lower friction. Panels g-h show that the same kind of bias is more pronounced for random packings, indeed $|\langle V^{CM}_{x} \rangle|$ is far larger. All this makes clear that structural disorder and defects introduce an asymmetric interaction with the plate. A discussion on the role of the $\omega_{yj}$s is provided in the SM.
FIG. 4. a) Stick-slip in the difference of the mean $x$-coordinates of two adjacent horizontal layers in a granular simulation (BL, $\Gamma = 1.25$) and in the relative motion $\Delta x$ in the model. b) Typical trajectory of the model with drift and oscillations. c) Signal to noise ratio of $\dot{x}_0$ as a function of $A$. For $D = 10^{-2}$ the typical shape of the curve is close to the deterministic case. Values of the fixed parameters: $k = 1$ s$^{-2}$, $\gamma = 1$ s$^{-1}$, $f = 2$ s$^{-1}$, $\gamma_{\text{slip}}^{-1} = 500$ s, $M = 10$, $F^* = 1$.

A stick-slip ratchet model - Given our observations, we wish to interpret our results within the framework of Brownian ratchets, proposing a variation of the well known periodically rocked ratchet [28]. As it happens for intrinsic ratchets [29, 30], in our case the CM does not move in an external periodic potential. Nevertheless, it can be trapped, for small displacements, because of local deformations of the grains in contact with the plate: we then replace the asymmetric periodic potential with a confining but slipping one. The presence of a stick-slip dynamics between the layers of the granular packing is clearly present in our simulations, see Fig. 4. Our model describes the horizontal dynamics of the granular medium by reducing it to two coarse-grained variables $x$ and $x_0$, identifying the average position of the upper (more mobile) and the lower (more trapped) region respectively: the coupling between the two regions is non-linear and asymmetric. The mobility of the lower region is zero below a given tangential stress threshold, but jumps to a finite value above the threshold. For simplicity vibration and noise act only on the mobile region, i.e. on $x$. In summary we have:

$$\dot{x} = -\frac{K(\Delta x)}{\gamma} \Delta x + A \cos(2\pi ft) + \sqrt{2D}\eta(t) \quad (1a)$$

$$\dot{x}_0 = s \frac{K(\Delta x)}{\gamma_0} \Delta x \quad (1b)$$

where $\Delta x = x - x_0$, $\eta(t)$ is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean and unitary variance: $\langle \eta(t)\eta(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t')$, $\gamma_{\text{slip}}^{-1}$ is the slipping mobility, and $s = 0, 1$ denotes the state of the system (stick $s = 0$, slip $s = 1$). Regarding $K(\Delta x) = [1 + \text{sign}(\Delta x)]c$, it represents a non-isotropic stiffness whose degree of asymmetry is tuned by the adimensional parameter $-1 < \epsilon < 1$. We define the high-strain region (HS) to be $\Delta x > \frac{\gamma F^*}{k(1+\epsilon)} \cup \Delta x < -\frac{\gamma F^*}{k(1-\epsilon)}$ and the low-strain (LS) region to be $|\Delta x| < \frac{\gamma F^*}{Mk}$ with $M > \max(1, \epsilon, 1 - \epsilon)$, while the rest of possible values of $\Delta x$ are in the medium-strain region (MS). The state variable $s$ goes into $s = 1$ when $\Delta x$ goes into HS, while it goes into $s = 0$ when $\Delta x$ enters LS. In MS, $s$ does not change, it depends upon its past (all simulations start in MS with $s = 0$). Eqs. (1) have been numerically integrated [31] and the stick-slip behavior of $|\Delta x|$ is shown in Fig. 4, while Fig. 4 shows a trajectory of $x, x_0$ in the deterministic case ($D = 0$), where it is more evident the drift+oscillation dynamics of both variables. In Fig. 4 we show the signal to noise ratio of $\dot{x}_0$ as a function of $A$. We note that after an amplitude threshold (related to $F^*$), the system exhibits a net motion: by setting $F^* \gg A$ the system is always trapped with a zero mean velocity. Also in the opposite limit $F^* = 0$ (no stick-slip) there is no drift. The behavior of $\dot{x}_0$ VS $A$ reveals a rich phenomenology, resembling granular simulation (Fig. 2c-d): we have drift’s sign changes as $A$ changes with the same $\epsilon$ and reflection of the curves as $\epsilon \rightarrow -\epsilon$. For $\epsilon = 0$ there is no mean drift, as for symmetric packings. We stress that the essential phenomenology of the model in the regime where $\gamma^{-1} \ll \gamma_{\text{slip}}^{-1}$, $f \ll \gamma_{\text{slip}}^{-1}$, $A/f \gg \sqrt{D/f}$ is contained in the deterministic dynamics, in contrast with the ancestor model [28]. A detailed study of the model, however, goes beyond the scope of this Letter.

Conclusion.— We have presented a numerical study of a realistic granular contact model with several ideal experiments to put in evidence the existence of a random-to-direct energy conversion based upon the concurrent breakings of time and space symmetries. As in many ratchet-like phenomena, the same geometry may lead to opposite drifts, depending on energy injection. Inspired by the observation that the plate exerts an asymmetric tangential force on the granular medium, we introduce a novel ratchet model with asymmetric potential and stick-slip friction. The drifts discovered and explained underlie several phenomena observed recently in shaken granular media [13, 17]. Future perspective concern the effects of the system’s size on the drifts’ magnitude, a problem which is non-trivial since the origin of the drift and the resistance against it both can depend both on bulk volume (through the concentration of defects) and external surfaces (through friction). Also the study of Thermodynamic Uncertainty Relations (TUR) related to these drifts is a promising direction [32, 33].
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MODEL FOR CONTACT DYNAMICS

We develop our DEM simulations through the LAMMPS package \[34, 35\] using the Hertz-Mindlin model \[36–38\] to solve the dynamics during the particle-particle and particle-container contact. This visco-elastic model takes into account both the elastic and the dissipative response to the mutual compression between the grains. In addition, it includes in the dynamics not only the relative translational motion but also the rotational one. The contact forces are described by Eqs. \[2\] below.

\[
F_{ij}^N = \sqrt{R_{ij}^{\text{eff}}} \sqrt{\xi_{ij}(t)} \left[ (k_n \xi_{ij}(t) - m_{ij}^{\text{eff}} \gamma_n \dot{\xi}_{ij}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{ij}(t) \right] \quad (2a)
\]

\[
F_{ij}^T = \begin{cases} 
F_{ij}^{\text{hist}} & \text{if } |F_{ij}^{\text{hist}}| \leq |\mu F_{ij}^N| \\
-\frac{|\mu F_{ij}^N|}{g_{ij}^T(t)} \cdot g_{ij}^T(t) & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \quad (2b)
\]

\[
F_{ij}^{\text{hist}} = -\sqrt{R_{ij}^{\text{eff}}} \left[ k_t \int \sqrt{\xi_{ij}(t')} ds_{ij}(t') + m_{ij}^{\text{eff}} \gamma_t \sqrt{g_{ij}^T(t')} \right] \quad (2c)
\]

These equations are written for two spherical particles with radius \(R_i, R_j\), mass \(m_i, m_j\) position \(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j\), translational velocity \(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j\) and rotational velocity \(\omega_i, \omega_j\). The relative velocity is defined as \(g_{ij} = (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) / \left| \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j \right|\) defines the normal unitary vector of the contact surface; we call \(g_{ij}^N\) and \(g_{ij}^T\) the two components of \(g_{ij}\) respectively normal and parallel to \(\mathbf{n}\). The instantaneous overlap between the two grains is represented by \(\xi_{ij}(t) = R_i + R_j - \left| \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j \right|\) and its derivative is \(\dot{\xi}_{ij}(t) = |g_{ij}^T|\). Regarding the effective parameters we have \(R_{ij}^{\text{eff}} = R_i R_j / (R_i + R_j)\) and \(m_{ij}^{\text{eff}} = m_i m_j / (m_i + m_j)\); here it is important to mention that the wall surfaces are treated as spheres with infinite mass and parallel to \(\mathbf{n}\). During the contact, the particles are subjected to a normal force \(F_{ij}^N\) and a tangential one \(F_{ij}^T\); both these components have an elastic and a dissipative contribution multiplied by the couples of parameters \(k_n, k_t\) and \(\gamma_n, \gamma_t\), respectively. In the normal force \(F_{ij}^N\) we can see an elastic term that descends from the Hertzian theory of contact mechanics \[39\] characterized by a non-linear dependence on the displacement. The elastic part of the tangential force consists on a memory term that takes into account the past history of the tangential displacement whose infinitesimal contribute is given by \(ds_{ij}(t)\). Regarding the cases in Eq. \[2\], they implement the Coulomb friction condition with a coefficient \(\mu\). For more details and possible extensions of the Hertz-Mindlin contact forces implemented in LAMMPS see \[40\].

For the purpose of the main text it is important to note that the tangential force is proportional to the normal overlap \(\xi_{ij}\) below the Coulomb threshold and to the normal force modulus \(|F_{ij}^N|\) above it. This motivate the analysis of Fig. 4e of the main text.

DETAILS AND CALIBRATION OF THE SIMULATION

Here we discuss how the parameters of Eqs. \[2\] and the simulation time step has been set in relation to the properties of the materials in play. For all the simulated geometries we consider steel grains in a container made of plexiglass (PMMA). The elastic coefficients for two different materials in contact can be directly derived as

\[
k_n^{ij} = \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{1 - \nu_i^2}{Y_i} + \frac{1 - \nu_j^2}{Y_j} \right)^{-1} \\
k_t^{ij} = 8 \left( \frac{2 - \nu_i}{G_i} + \frac{2 - \nu_j}{G_j} \right)^{-1}
\]

(3)

where \(Y\) is the Young modulus, \(G = \frac{Y}{2(1+\nu)}\) the shear modulus and \(\nu\) is the Poisson ratio \[36, 39, 41\]. When dealing with just one species we define \(k_n^{ij} = k_n^{ii}\). Direct formulas for \(\gamma_n\) and \(\gamma_t\) are lacking but it is a common strategy to choose them verifying a posteriori the good agreement with experimental data \[42\]. A widely accepted criterion to fix the simulation time step is to choose it as a fraction of the Rayleigh time namely the time that a superficial acoustic wave takes to cross a single grain. It is related to the characteristics of the material in this way:

\[
t_{\text{ray}} = \frac{\pi R_{\text{min}} \sqrt{2(1+\nu)} \rho / Y}{0.163\nu + 0.8766},
\]

(4)
where \( \rho \) is the grain density and \( R_{\text{min}} \) the radius of the littlest grain in the system \([13]\). With this choice the contact dynamics is properly resolved because \( t_{\text{ray}} \) is usually much smaller than the typical collision times. In our simulation the simulation time step is \( dt = 0.2t_{\text{ray}} \).

In Tab. 1 we report the numerical values of the parameters where apex \( s \) is referred to steel and \( p \) to plexiglass. In order to reduce obstructions of the collective drift in the \( x \) direction, we removed the tangential interaction between the vertical walls and the grains of the 2D setup by setting the related \( k_\|^{sp}, \gamma_\|^P \) and \( \mu_\|^P \) to zero (the values reported in the table refer to the interaction with the bottom plate). It is worth noting that we reduce the Young modulus of steel and PMMA of three and two order of magnitude respectively (their real values are \( Y^s \approx 200 \text{ Gpa} \) and \( Y^P \approx 3 \text{ Gpa} \)). The reason is the need to have a bigger \( dt \) in order to decrease the simulation time (note that \( t_{\text{ray}} \propto Y^{-1/2} \)). This is a general strategy in DEM simulation where it is possible to have a comparison with experimental data and verify that the softening of the grains doesn’t affect the phenomena under interest. We successfully applied this procedure in the numerical study of the 3D cylindrical system \([13,16,44]\) where an experimental reference was present so we find reasonable to use this method also in the more ideal setups analyzed in the main text (i.e. the 2D and the cubic 3D ones). Also the numerical values used for \( \gamma_n \) and \( \gamma_t \), that cannot be fixed from the material properties, are justified \textit{a posteriori} with this same criterion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( Y^s )</th>
<th>210 Mpa</th>
<th>( \nu^s )</th>
<th>0.293</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( k_|^s )</td>
<td>153x10^6 Pa</td>
<td>( k_|^P )</td>
<td>617x10^5 Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_t^s )</td>
<td>190x10^6 Pa</td>
<td>( k_t^P )</td>
<td>725x10^5 Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_|^n )</td>
<td>3.1x10^4 (sm)^{-1}</td>
<td>( \gamma_|^n )</td>
<td>1.2x10^7 (sm)^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_|^t )</td>
<td>9.3x10^3 (sm)^{-1}</td>
<td>( \gamma_|^t )</td>
<td>1.0x10^5 (sm)^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y^P )</td>
<td>50 Mpa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| \( \nu^P \) | 0.37          |
|\( \mu^P \) | 0.5           |
| \( k_\|^P \) | 617x10^5 Pa   |
| \( k_t^P \) | 725x10^5 Pa   |
| \( \gamma_\|^P \) | 1.2x10^7 (sm)^{-1} |
| \( \gamma_\|^P \) | 1.0x10^5 (sm)^{-1} |
| \( \gamma_\|^P \) | 6.75x10^{-5} s |
| \( dt \) | 1.35x10^{-5} s |

**TABLE I.** Numerical values for the material properties and the coefficients of the visco-elastic interaction. We also report the time step \( dt \) used for the simulations. This numerical values specifically refers to the 2D and the 3D cubic setups. The vertical walls of the 2D setup have \( k_\|^s, \gamma_\|^P, \mu_\|^P \) = 0 so the don’t exert any tangential force on the grains. This is the reason why in the Newton equation of the CM reported in the main text only the bottom particles are considered. For the cylindrical system we refer to the Supplemental Materials of \([15]\).

**PERSISTENT MOTION OF SINGLE PARTICLES’ ANGULAR VELOCITY**

In this section we show that the phenomenon discovered in \([17]\) (i.e. persistent rotation of vertically shaken disks around their own axis) is observed also in all the setups considered in our study.

We concentrate just on the main observable related to this behavior namely the trajectories of the absolute angle \( \theta_{\alpha i}(t) = \int_0^t \omega_{\alpha i}(t') dt' \) (Fig. 5). Unlike disks, spherical grains can rotate around the three axis \( \alpha = \{x,y,z\} \). For a direct comparison between the single particle dynamics and the collective one, we suggest to compare Fig. 5 with Fig. 2 of the main text. We start by the most similar condition with respect to \([17]\) considering a 2D polydispersed vertical layer confined with hard walls both in the \( x \) and the \( y \) direction. In Fig. 5 we plot \( \theta_{\mu i}(t) \) for all the 60 grains in the system. We can see that the single particle rotation around the \( y \) axis (i.e. the equivalent that we would have had with disks) shows a persistent motion for almost all the grains in the system. Here, the presence of hard walls in the \( x \) direction prevents the occurrence of the collective drift. Nevertheless, in Fig. 5, we report the same analysis performed in the equivalent setup with PBC on \( x \) that allow the formation of the global translation: here is clear that the single particle persistent motion and the collective one can be observed at the same time. Panel c of the same figure shows the results obtained for the ordered monodispersed system with no defects. We see that there is not any evident long memory effect in the single particle rotational dynamics consistently with the lack of a collective translational drift. Figs. 5-e refer to two ordered packings with specular defects and panel f to the system with a configuration of defects symmetric w.r.t. \( z \). Also in all these cases the persistent motion of the grains rotation is observed but we note two additional remarkable facts: i) the distribution of the trajectory verses for TR and TL is approximately specular ii) the SD case shows long memory effects just in the single particle dynamics (we recall that no net collective drift is observed for SD). This last behavior is consistent with the claim of \([17]\) that the rotational transport is triggered by local symmetry breakings of the granular packing. The SD configuration is indeed globally symmetric but creates an asymmetric cage of nearest neighbours for many bulk particles. Regarding the mirroring
FIG. 5. Trajectories of the single grains absolute angles around the y-axis for the following cases: polydispersed packing with hard (a) and periodic (b) boundary conditions on x, monodispersed ordered packing with no defects (c), ordered packings with the TR (d) and RL (e) specular configurations of defects, ordered packing with the symmetric SD configuration of defects (f). All the data refers to simulations with \( f = 100 \) and \( \Gamma = 0.7 \) except the first panel with \( \Gamma = 0.85 \). To see the correspondent behavior of the collective motion see Fig. 2 of the main text.

of the trajectories going from TR \( \rightarrow \) TL, it suggests an intriguing relation between the distribution of the \( \omega_{yi} \)'s, the global asymmetry of the packing and consequently the persistent translational motion. In the section below we sketch some ideas on that.

The trajectories of \( \theta_{yi}(t) \) for a subset of grains in the 3D setups are reported in Fig. 6. We note that persistent single grains rotations are present in all the cases shown. In the cylindrical setup (panel a and b) we concentrate on \( \theta_{zi}(t) \) instead of \( \theta_{yi}(t) \) because, in this geometry, despite the vertical vibrations, the equivalent hard wall that is parallel to the free direction is the lateral one. Regarding the 3D cubic setup where bot the x and the y directions are free we observe persistent dynamics for both \( \theta_{yi}(t) \) and \( \theta_{xi}(t) \).

Connection with global translation

The mirroring of the \( \omega_{yi} \) distribution going from TR to TL hinted by Fig. 5d-e suggests to study the single particle angular velocity of the bottom particles. Indeed, the total tangential force exerted by the bottom plate to the system depends on these degrees of freedom too; so they can be very important in order to understand the origin of the global dynamical asymmetry discussed in the paper (see Fig. 3e-h of the main text). In Fig. 7a we show the \( \theta_{yi} \)'s of the bottom particles at \( \Gamma = 0.7 \) for the two mirrored configurations. We see that, referring to the orientation of the y-axis of Fig. 1 in the main text, all the bottom particles of TR and TL rotate respectively in the negative (anti-clockwise) and positive (clockwise) verse. Remarkably, these verses correspond to the ones that, in case of pure rolling, would involve a translation with the same sign of the collective motion observed for the two configurations (i.e. negative for TR and positive for TL). From this analysis one could be tempted to conclude that the collective translation is simply the consequence of the coordinated rolling of the bottom particles acting as the "wheels" of the packing. Nevertheless, the real situation is quite more complex. First of all we can see from Fig. 7b, where the ratio between \( R \omega_{yi} \) and \( v_{xi} \) of the bottom particles is reported, that the condition of pure rolling \( (\langle R \omega_{yi} \rangle / \langle v_{xi} \rangle \simeq 1) \) is only partially
FIG. 6. Trajectories of the single grains absolute angles for the cylindrical setup with $\Gamma = 19.5$ (a) and the cubic one with $\Gamma = 10.5$ (b-c). In both cases $f = 200$.

FIG. 7. Trajectories of the single grains absolute angle in the bottom layer for TR/TL configuration with PBC at $\Gamma = 0.7$ (a), $\Gamma = 1.25$ (c) and $\Gamma = 0.7$ without PBC (d). In this last panel, the inset contains all the trajectories in order to show that the absence of persistent rotations regards mainly the bottom layer. In panel b, in order to check the pure rolling condition, we show the ratio of the averaged velocity at the contact point and the averaged translational one of the grains center for both TL and TR. All the data refers to simulations with $f = 100$

satisfied signaling that also sliding is occurring. Moreover, going toward higher $\Gamma$ we don’t observe anymore such a correspondence between the rolling of the bottom particles and the collective translation. Indeed, in Fig. 7 we can
see that the bottom \(\theta_1\)'s for \(\Gamma = 1.25\) rotate in both the verses within the same configuration. We verified that in this case the ratio \(\langle R\omega_1 \rangle / \langle v_x \rangle\) is even more different from the unity (not shown). It is worth noting that also in \[14\] two different regimes of rotations at low and high \(\Gamma\) were found. As a final analysis we verified that, in simulations of TR and TL without periodic boundary conditions along the x-axis, the coordinated rolling of the bottom particles disappears and their motion is not persistent (Fig. 7d). However, the long memory effect of the rotations is very strong on the upper layers (see the inset).

After all these remarks, we can say that the slow collective translations and the persistent single particle rotations influence each other. But neither of these phenomena can be explained as the simple consequence of the other. In all the cases in which the collective motion is observed (i.e. when a free direction of motion is present) the persistent rotations are observed too. When the global translation is hindered by hard boundaries we still have steady rotations but with different properties with respect to the ones observed in presence PBC. As an example, we have seen that the rotational dynamics of the bottom particles for TR and TL qualitatively changes according to the presence or absence of PBC (compare panel a and d of Fig. 7).

To conclude, we point out that our numerical study of long memory effects in the single particles rotational degrees of freedom for spherical grains in both 2D and 3D geometries represents a considerable extension of the experimental work reported in \[17\]. We have provided many evidences about the robustness of this phenomenon and suggested some interesting connections with the collective motion on which the main text is focused on. Deepening the mechanisms underlying this interplay of memory effects, its origin rooted in the structure and the resulting asymmetric interaction with the bottom plate represents a promising perspective that we reserve for future works.

**DIRECT VISUALIZATION OF THE SIMULATIONS**

In addition to this supplemental materials, we also provide two videos of the simulations. Video1.mp4, shows a subset of a trajectory for a random packing with PBC at high and low \(\Gamma\). In the first case the system is completely fluidized, in the second one it performs a global translation on the x-direction. Particles rapidly vibrate in their cage and follow the collective motion on a slow time scale. Video2.mp4 contains videos for ordered packing with defects. Two configurations for two values of \(\Gamma\) are considered in order to see that a sign change of the drift verse occurs reflecting the defects configuration on the z-axis but also varying \(\Gamma\) with the same defects configuration. Both the videos and the snapshots in Fig. 1 of the main text has been realized with OVITO \[45\].