Universal finite-size amplitude and anomalous entanglement entropy of $z = 2$ quantum Lifshitz criticalities in topological chains
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We consider Lifshitz criticalities with dynamical exponent $z = 2$ that emerge in a class of topological chains. There, such a criticality plays a fundamental role in describing transitions between symmetry-enriched conformal field theories (CFTs). We report that, at such critical points in one spatial dimension, the finite-size correction to the energy scales with system size, $L$, as $\sim L^{-2}$, with universal and anomalously large coefficient. The behavior originates from the specific dispersion around the Fermi surface, $\epsilon \propto \pm k^2$. We also show that the entanglement entropy exhibits at the criticality a non-logarithmic dependence on $l/L$, where $l$ is the length of the sub-system. In the limit of $l \ll L$, the maximally-entangled ground state has the entropy, $S(l/L) = S_0 + (l/L) \log(l/L)$. Here $S_0$ is some non-universal entropy originating from short-range correlations. We show that the novel entanglement originates from the long-range correlation mediated by a zero mode in the low energy sector. The work paves the way to study finite-size effects and entanglement entropy around Lifshitz criticalities and offers an insight into transitions between symmetry-enriched criticalities.

Introduction. A class of criticalities separate gapped symmetry protected phases$^{1-3}$ (SPTs) and topologically trivial ones. At these criticalities usually the system disperses linearly, $\epsilon = \pm v_F k$, around the Fermi surface, and the low-energy effective physics is described by conformal field theories$^{4-6}$ (CFTs). Several universal features characterize conformal critical points. One notable feature for quantum one-dimensional (1D) systems is the universal finite-size amplitude$^7$ together with the emergence of the universal characteristic of CFTs, the central charge, $c$. Namely, the finite-size correction to the ground state energy $E(L)$, e.g., in case of open boundary condition (b.c), always contains a universal term $c\pi/24L$. The other universal feature is the logarithmic entanglement entropy$^8$, e.g., $S \sim c \ln(L)/6$ in the case of periodic b.c.

Topologically distinct and gapped phases are reached by adding the mass to the CFT criticalities$^9$. A simple example is the hamiltonian $h(\partial_x) = vF\sigma_y\partial_x + m\sigma_x$, and $\text{sign}(\sigma) = \text{sign}(\sigma_\text{F})$, is still lacking. In this letter, we aim to understand the universal properties of CFT criticalities from two aspects: the study of the entanglement entropy, referred to as II throughout this paper. With appropriate boundary conditions, one can find the eigenstate, $\psi(x)$, of the Hamiltonian Eq. 1, exhibiting boundary modes at $\text{sign}(v) > 0$ which however disappear at $\text{sign}(v) < 0$. Thus, adding velocity perturbations to the $z = 2$ criticality generates two gapless phases: one topologically trivial and another non-trivial.

In spite of its fundamental role of describing transitions between symmetry-enriched CFTs, the understanding of universal features of $z = 2$ critical points (with the dispersion $\epsilon \sim \pm k^2$) is still lacking. In this letter, we consider two concrete lattice models and criticality, referred to as II throughout this paper. With appropriate boundary conditions, one can find the eigenstate, $\psi(x)$, of the Hamiltonian Eq. 1, exhibiting boundary modes at $\text{sign}(v) > 0$ which however disappear at $\text{sign}(v) < 0$. Thus, adding velocity perturbations to the $z = 2$ criticality generates two gapless phases: one topologically trivial and another non-trivial.
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develop the low energy field theoretical description of the criticality. Lattice models considered below are Majorana/Kitaev chains with next-nearest neighbor terms from BDI symmetry class and the generalized Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model with next-next-nearest neighbor terms belonging to the AIII symmetry class.

The first result of the present letter corresponds to the ground state energy $E(L)$ as a function of the system size, $L$. At open boundary condition, the finite-size corrections to $E(L)$ exhibit a universal behavior and read

$$E(L) = L\epsilon + b - n_L A \frac{L}{L^2} + O(L^{-3}),$$

(2)

Here $\epsilon$ is average bulk energy, $b$ is the boundary energy, and $n = L^2/2$ depends on degrees of freedom of the underlying field theory: $n = 1/2$ for the Majorana chain and $n = 1$ for the SSH model under consideration. The amplitude $A$ is $A \approx 1.087984$, which is universal for two lattice models and the low-energy field theory giving the same value. This indicates a possible set of rich phenomena of finite-size scaling functions around this criticality.

For example, velocity perturbations may modify $A$ to a universal scaling function of $\omega = L\epsilon$, and the function may be sensitive to the topological nature of CFTs.

We also find that the entanglement entropy exhibits an interesting dependence on $l/L$. At periodic b.c., the von Neumann entropy of the maximally-entangled ground state is given by

$$S \approx S_0 + 2n \cdot \left[ \frac{l}{L} \ln \left( \frac{L}{l} \right) - 1 \right] \ln \left( 1 - \frac{l}{L} \right).$$

(3)

Here $l$ is the length of the subsystem, and $S_0$ is a non-universal constant. At the limit $l/L \ll 1$, $S$ has a simple asymptote $\sim (l/L) \log(l/L)$, which is non-logarithmic. The $l/L$-dependent term is found to be universal, plotted in Fig. 1. Below we start with a definition of lattice models and observe the emergence of II criticality.

**Lattice models and Criticality.** We consider two concrete lattice models. One is the Majorana chain, containing both nearest site and next-nearest site hoppings and pairings. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{\text{Majorana}} = \sum_n t_0 \tilde{\gamma}_n \gamma_n + t_1 \tilde{\gamma}_n \gamma_{n+1} + t_2 \tilde{\gamma}_n \gamma_{n+2}.$$  

(4)

Here $\{\gamma_n, \tilde{\gamma}_n\}$ are two Majorana fermions at the same physical site, and constants $t_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, 3$. The model is schematically shown in Fig. 2a. Note that the model belongs to the BDI class of Cartan’s classification of symmetric spaces. A critical line of the model, where the gap closes, corresponds to the case $t_2 + t_0 = t_1$. One can observe three distinct critical behaviors in this situation: (1) when $0 < t_2/t_1 < 1/2$, the low-energy sector is described by Majorana CFT and two localized Majorana modes. (2) When $1 > t_2/t_1 > 1/2$, the low-energy description is a single Majorana CFT. (3) At $t_2 = t_0 = t_1/2$, the II criticality emerges around $k = \pi$ in the Brillouin zone. The Hamiltonian around the Fermi surface, in Bogoliubov-de-Gennes (BdG) formalism, can be written as

$$H_{\text{FS}} = u \int dx \psi^\dagger(x) \sigma_x \partial_x^2 \psi(x).$$

(5)

Here $\psi(x) = (\psi(x), \psi^\dagger(x))^T$ and $\psi(x)$ is the spinless fermion operator in the continuous space.

The second model under consideration is the generalized SSH model from AIII class. The model is schematically shown in Fig. 2b. The Hamiltonian includes nearest-neighbor and next-next-nearest neighbor hoppings of fermions $c(\dagger)$ and is given by

$$H_{\text{ssh}} = \sum_n u_0 c_{n,A}^\dagger c_{n,B} + \sum_{i=1,2} u_i c_{n,B}^\dagger c_{n+1+i,A} + h.c.$$  

(6)

The model is defined on a bipartite lattice with $A$ and $B$ sublattices and real hopping parameters. It has a similar phase diagram with Majorana chains. Here the criticality II emerges around $k = \pi$ when $u_0 = u_2 = u_1/2$. Now the Hamiltonian around the Fermi surface is described by Eq. 5 but with $\Psi(x) = (\psi_A(x), \psi_B(x))$.

**Universal finite-size amplitude.** This section starts with studying the finite-size correction to the ground state energy $E(L)$ at open boundary condition at criticality II.

In the lattice models under consideration, the computation of the finite-size amplitude of the ground state is similar to the method used in Refs. 10 and 31, that was applied to CFT criticalities. The method has an error bar, $\sim L^{-1}$. Here we report the results for the SSH model and Majorana chain: we pick $L = 500$ and $A$ is found to be 0.88441 for the SSH model and 0.88440 for the Majorana chain. Compared to the value of $A$ below Eq. 2, errors are at the expected order, $\sim 10^{-3}$.
The amplitude $A$ is universal because it originates from the long-wavelength degrees of freedom around the Fermi surface. Below, we will confirm this point by deriving the amplitude $A$ from the low energy theory.

Consider the Hamiltonian Eq. 1 at $v = 0$. One special property of the operator $\sigma_x \partial_x^2$ is that the free wave and the bound states can belong to the same subspace. Namely, $\psi_k(x) = \exp(ikx) \cdot \chi_-$ and $\psi_{ik}(x) = \exp(-kx) \cdot \chi_+$ lie in the same energy level $\epsilon_k = uk^2$. Here $\chi_\pm$ satisfy $\sigma_x \chi_\pm = \pm \chi_\pm$ and $k \in (-\pi, \pi)$.

Now assume $\hbar (-i \partial_x)$ acts on coordinate dependent wavefunctions with $x \in (0, L)$ and we impose open boundary conditions on wavefunctions, $\psi_1(0) = \partial_x \psi_1(0) = 0$, and $\psi_2(L) = \partial_x \psi_2(L) = 0$. Note that the wavefunction with the energy $\epsilon_k$ can be generally written as $\varphi_k(x) = \sum_{s = \pm} a_s \psi_{sk}(x) + b_s \psi_{ís(k)}(x)$. Upon searching for solutions $\varphi_k(x)$, which obey the open b. c., one arrives at the quantization condition (QC) of the momentum,

$$\cos kL + 1/\cosh kL = 0, \quad 0 < k < \pi,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

different from conventional QC of Ising CFTs ($\cos kL = 0$). When $kL \gg 1$, Eq. 7, the difference between the abovementioned QCs is exponentially small. However, when $kL \sim 1$, the difference is not negligible anymore. This difference indicates that there could be non-trivial finite-size effects. Solutions to Eq. 7 are shown in Fig. 3.

To compute the ground state energy, one must sum all the quasi-particle energies below the Fermi surface. Namely, $E(L) = -v \sum_{k \in QC} k^2$. Note that all quantizations of $k$ in Eq. 7 are involved in the ground state energy. Summation in $E(L)$ can be written as a contour integral.

![Quantization condition of $k$](image)

**FIG. 3.** (Color online) Plot of the quantization condition in Eq. 7. The green curve plots the function $f(x) = \cos x + 1/\cosh x$ with $x = kL$. Intersections between $f(x)$ and $x$-axis determine quantized values of $k$. The first quantized value, located around $x_0 \simeq 1.875$, is marked by a red cross. This value deviates from the first quantized value in Ising CFT, $\pi/2$. Quantitatively, the order of the amplitude $A$ can be argued from this deviation: the deviation in the spectrum level is given by $x_0 - (\pi/2)^2 \sim 1$, which is the order of $A$.

Defining $z = kL$, $f(z) = \cos z + 1/\cosh z$ and taking the analytical continuation of $f(z)$, one finds

$$E(L) = -\frac{1}{2L^2} \int_C \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^2 \partial_x \ln f(z).$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Here $C$ is the contour in complex plane $z = x + iy$, shown in Fig. 4. One can decompose $\ln f(z) = \ln \exp(iz) + \ln \exp(-iz)f(z)$. The first term of this decomposition plugged into Eq. (8) gives the bulk energy $L\epsilon$ of Eq. 2 while the second term gives the leading finite-size correction, $\propto A/L^2$. Further, one can deform the contour to obtain a regular integral over a single real variable. Namely, the $C$ is deformed to be contour $D$ at the cost of exponentially small error, shown in Fig. 4. We find,

$$A(x) = -\text{Re} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{x^2 dx}{2\pi} \partial_x \ln \left( \frac{e^{(i-1)x} + 1}{2} + \frac{2}{e^x + e^{-ix}} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The above integral is evaluated numerically, yielding $A = 0.887984$. This analytically found constant matches the value of $A$ presented below Eq. 2. The value of $n$ can also be argued from the low-energy sector: $n = 1/2$ for BDI class is due to the property that the operator $\Psi(x) = (\psi(x), \psi^+(x))^T$ is counted as 1/2 degree of freedom, while $n = 1$ for AII class is due to the fact that $\Psi(x) = (\psi_A(x), \psi_B(x))^T$ can be counted as 1 degree of freedom. In this way, we proved the Eq. 2.

**Entanglement entropy.** The other universal data, which can be extracted from the Hamiltonian, is the entanglement entropy $S$. Below we take the Majorana chain as an example to illustrate the emergence of the anomalous entanglement. The consideration for the SSH model is similar.

At first glance, one may observe the eigenstates of Eq. 5 are not different from ones of the gapped Hamiltonian ($k^2 \rightarrow m$ and $m \neq 0$). Thus one expects short-ranged correlations and constant (non-universal) entanglement...
entropy, known as features of a gapped 1D quantum system. However, the presence of zero-modes at the Fermi surface changes the scenario. With periodic boundary conditions, the \( k = 0 \) eigenstate leads to the double degeneracy of the ground state. Tracing the maximally-entangled ground state, we find that the asymptotic correlation function is given by

\[
\langle \gamma_x \gamma_y \rangle \simeq \frac{2i}{L} e^{ikF(x-y)}, \quad \text{when } |x-y| \gg 1 \tag{10}
\]

Here \( L \) is the size of the system, \( k_F = \pi \) is the Fermi momentum, and \( a \) is the lattice space. So this \( L \)-dependent long-range correlation originates from \( k = 0 \) zero modes at the Fermi surface.

For free fermions, the correlation function encodes the information of entanglement spectrum\textsuperscript{35,36}. It is reflected by a simple fact, \( \langle \gamma_x \gamma_y \rangle = \text{tr}(\gamma_x \gamma_y \rho A) \). Here \( A \) is a subsystem and \( x,y \in A \). Thus from the long-range correlation in Eq. 10, we find that entanglement spectrum contains a non-trivial value, \( \epsilon_0 = \log(L/l-1) \). Subsequently, the \( \epsilon_0 \) results in the non-trivial entropy in Eq. 3. At the limit \( l/L \ll 1 \), the asymptotic expression of \( S \) is \( \sim \log(l/L) \). The form is highly-nontrivial, as it is a non-logarithmic function. But its magnitude is weaker than a pure logarithmic function\textsuperscript{37}.

Zero-modes are present and influencing entanglement entropy in other contexts\textsuperscript{38–40}, including CFTs\textsuperscript{41}. But the effects of zero-modes are negligible in CFTs. On one hand, Eq. 10 is subleading relative to the \( 1/|x-y| \) decaying correlations in CFTs. On the other hand, the entanglement entropy in Eq. 3 is weaker than the logarithmic entropy. Thus the criticality II is a better platform to observe the effect of zero-modes in field theory rather than CFTs.

Conclusions. For the criticality II with quadratic dispersion, \( \epsilon \sim \pm k^2 \), we find a universal finite-size amplitude \( A \) as the coefficient in front of \( L^{-2} \) term in the ground state energy of the system. The magnitude of \( A \) is anomalously large as it is of the order of one. There exists rich phenomena in finite-size scaling functions around this criticality\textsuperscript{10,31–33}. For example, with Eq. 1 at \( v \neq 0 \), a universal finite-size scaling may emerge as a function of the scale \( L \), and the function has a peak at the topological side. Provided with boundary modes around Fermi surface and symmetry breaking field, one may also expect a non-monotonic universal function of some scaling variable.

The entanglement of the ground state is also found to be non-trivial, carrying a non-logarithmic entropy. This originates from the existence of zero modes at the Fermi surface. Compared to CFTs, zero modes play a much more critical role in the criticality II. This offers an opportunity to observe the effects of zero modes in the fermionic field theory\textsuperscript{38–40}. Similarly, one can also explore the behaviors of entanglement entropy and boundary entropy\textsuperscript{31,42–45} around II.

Effects of interactions are not explored in the present work. The exciting question is establishing the interacting theory of the low energy sector of II criticality. This question is beyond the scope of the Luttinger liquid\textsuperscript{46,47}, where mostly the linear dispersion is considered.
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34. The description of the method: the boundary energy is estimated at a large system size $10L$, $b \approx E(10L) - 10\epsilon$ and subsequently finite-size terms can be estimated by $A \approx L^2(E(L) - L\epsilon - b)/(1 - 10^{-\epsilon})$. The $L^{-3}$ term in $E(L)$ contributes the error to $A$, which is proportional $L^{-1}$.