

# Global well-posedness, stability and instability for the non-viscous Oldroyd-B model

Weikui Ye<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics,  
P.O. Box 8009, Beijing 100088, P. R. China

**Abstract.** In this paper we consider the 3-dimensional incompressible Oldroyd-B model. First, we establish two results of the global existence for different kinds of the coupling coefficient  $k$ . Then, we prove that the solutions  $(u, \tau)$  are globally steady when  $k^m \rightarrow k > 0$ , though  $(u, \tau)$  corresponds to different decays for different kinds of  $k > 0$ . Finally, we show that the energy of  $u(t, x)$  will have a jump when  $k \rightarrow 0$  in large time, which implies a non-steady phenomenon. In a word, we find an interesting physical phenomenon of (1.2) such that smaller coupling coefficient  $k$  will have a better impact for the energy dissipation of  $(u, \tau)$ , but  $k$  can't be too small to zero, or the dissipation will vanish instantly. While the damping term  $\tau$  and  $\mathbb{D}u$  always bring the well impact for the energy dissipation.

**Keywords:** Oldroyd-B model; global existence; stability; instability; decay; energy dissipation.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 35Q35; 35A01; 35A02; 35B45; 76D05

## 1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we study the incompressible Oldroyd-B model of the non-Newtonian fluid in  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = k \operatorname{div}(\tau), \\ \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla)\tau - \eta \Delta \tau + \mu \tau + \mathbb{Q}(\nabla u, \tau) = \alpha \mathbb{D}u, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \tau(0, x) = \tau_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $u$  denotes the velocity,  $\tau = \tau_{i,j}$  is the non-Newtonian part of the stress tensor ( $\tau$  is a  $d \times d$  symmetric matrix here) and  $p$  is a scalar pressure of fluid.  $\mathbb{D}(u)$  is the symmetric part of the velocity gradient,

$$\mathbb{D}(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T).$$

The  $\mathbb{Q}$  above is a given bilinear form:

$$\mathbb{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) = \tau \Omega(u) - \Omega(u) \tau + b(\mathbb{D}(u) \tau + \tau \mathbb{D}(u)),$$

---

\*email: 904817751@qq.com

where  $b$  is a parameter in  $[-1, 1]$ ,  $\Omega(u)$  is the skew-symmetric part of  $\nabla u$ , i.e.

$$\Omega(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u - (\nabla u)^T).$$

The parameters  $\nu, \eta, \mu, \alpha$  are non-negative and they are specific to the characteristic of the considered material,  $\nu$  is the viscous coefficient, while  $\eta$  is the stress coefficient. In [19],  $\mu$  and  $\alpha$  correspond respectively to  $1/We$  and  $2(1 - \theta)/(WeRe)$ , where  $Re$  is the Reynolds number,  $\theta$  is the ratio between the relaxation and retardation times and  $We$  is the Weissenberg number.  $k$  is the coupling coefficient connecting the velocity  $u$  (kinetic energy) and the stress tensor  $\tau$  (elastic potential energy).

The Oldroyd-B model describes the motion of some viscoelastic flows. Formulations about viscoelastic flows of Oldroyd-B type are first established by Oldroyd in [21]. For more detailed physical background and derivations about this model, we refer the readers to [2, 8, 18, 21].

When  $\nu > 0$  and  $\eta = 0$ , Chemin and Masmoudi [5] first obtained the local solutions and global small solutions in the critical Besov spaces when  $\nu > 0, \mu_1 > 0, \alpha > 0$ , and  $\eta = 0$ . They get the global small solutions when the initial and coupling parametra is small, i.e.  $(\mu_1 \alpha \leq c\mu_2\nu)$ . The condition  $\mu_1 \alpha \leq c\mu_2\nu$  means that coupling effect between the two equation is less important than the viscosity. Inspired by the work [3, 7], Zi, Fang and Zhang improved their results in the critical  $L^p$  framework for the case of non-small coupling parameters in [31]. Zhu [30] got small global smooth solutions of the 3D Oldroyd-B model with  $\eta = 0, \mu = 0$  by observing the linearization of the system satisfies the damped wave equation. Inspired by the work of Zhu [30] and Danchin in [10], Chen and Hao [6] extended this small data global solution in Sobolev spaces to the critical Besov spaces. Moreover, Zhai [27] constructs global solutions for a class of highly oscillating initial velocities by observing the special structure of the system. In the corotational case, i.e.  $b = 0$ , Lions and Masmoudi established the existence of global weak solution in [19].

When  $\nu = 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , Elgindi and Rousset [14] established a global large solution in a certain sense by building a new quantity to avoid singular operators. Later, Liu and Elgindi [13] extend these results in  $3d$  for totally small initial data  $\|u_0, \tau_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ ,  $s > \frac{5}{2}$ . Recently, Constantin, Wu, Zhao and Zhu [9, 26] established these small data global solutions in the case of no damping mechanism and general tensor dissipation.

In this paper, we consider the global well-posedness, stability and instability for the Oldroyd-B model (1.1) with  $\nu = 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ . Without lose of generality, we let  $\nu = 0, a = 1, \mu = 1$  and  $\eta = 1$ . Since the coupling coefficient  $k$  is finite, we set  $0 \leq k \leq 10$  in this paper, then (1.1) becomes:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = k \operatorname{div}(\tau), \\ \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla)\tau - \Delta \tau + \tau + Q(\nabla u, \tau) = \mathbb{D}u, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \tau(0, x) = \tau_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

When  $k > 0$ , since  $\tau$  and  $\mathbb{D}u$  are the damping terma, some dissipations will appear on  $\|\tau\|_{H^s}$  and  $\|\mathbb{D}u\|_{H^{s-1}}$ . However, when  $k = 0$ , since the system (1.2) decouples, all the dissipations will vanish. This implies that the coupling coefficient  $k$  plays a key role in energy dissipation, which is what we study on this paper.

Firstly, we introduce the global existence of (1.2). Recall that, for  $d = 2$ , by building a new quantity  $\Gamma = w - \frac{\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{div}}{\Delta} \tau$  Elgindi and Rousset [14] established a class of global solutions for

(1.2), which need the following initial conditions:

$$\|u_0, \tau_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\operatorname{curl} u_0, \tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad (u_0, \tau_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad s > 2.$$

Since  $H^s \hookrightarrow B_{2,1}^{s+1} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,1}^0$  with  $s > 1$ , their result means some large initial data for the global existence. However, when  $d = 3$ , it seems to be a challenge for the same conditions of initial data. Because a new term  $w \nabla u$  appears in the equation of  $w(t, x)$  in dimensional three, so as the equation of  $\Gamma(t, x)$ . This cause the main difficulty to obtain the global existence for (1.2).

To overcome this difficulty, we observe that the damping term  $\mathbb{D}u$  and  $\tau$  can bring more damping effect for  $w(t, x)$  (so as  $\Gamma(t, x)$ ) when the coupling term  $k \operatorname{div}(\tau)$  is small enough. This will help us prove the global existence for a more general class of initial data such that:

$$\|u_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq k^4 \epsilon_0, \quad \forall k \in (0, \frac{1}{C^2 + 1}]. \quad (1.3)$$

By (1.3) we obtain the global existence of (1.2) without  $(u_0, \tau_0) \in H^s$ . Indeed, [14] used the following estimation ( $\tilde{R} := -(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{div}(\cdot))$ ,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\tilde{R}, u \cdot \nabla] \tau\|_{L^2(B_{\infty,1}^0)} &\leq C \|w\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty \cap L^2)} \|\tau\|_{L_t^2(B_{\infty,1}^\epsilon \cap L^2)} \\ &\leq C \|w\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty \cap L^2)} \|\tau\|_{L_t^2(H^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,1}^\epsilon(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . With the help the convective term  $u \nabla \Gamma$ , we find that the  $H^s$  norms for  $w, \tau$  are not required in (1.4). So our condition (1.3) implies a more general class of large initial data for global existence (see Remark 1.1). Moreover, for sufficient small  $k$ , we obtain the exponential decay in the critical Besov spaces. Here are two results of global existence.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(u_0, \tau_0) \in B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{3}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . If there exists a  $\epsilon_0$  small enough such that*

$$\|u_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} + \|\tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} \leq k^4 \epsilon_0 := \frac{k^4}{4(C^6 + 1)}, \quad \forall k \in (0, \frac{1}{C^2 + 1}],$$

*then the solution  $(u, \tau)$  of (1.2) exists globally in  $C([0, \infty); B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{d}{p}}(\mathbb{R})) \times C([0, \infty); B_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^1([0, \infty); B^{2+\frac{d}{p}}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Moreover, one have*

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + k \|\tau(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} \leq C \|\nabla u_0, \tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} e^{-\frac{k}{4}t}. \quad (1.5)$$

**Remark 1.1.** *Since  $B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,1}^0$ ,  $p < \infty$ . By Theorem 1.1 we claim that our result includes some large initial data. For example, choose  $\varphi$  be a smooth, radial and non-negative function in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that*

$$\phi = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |\xi| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } |\xi| \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

*Let  $(u_0, \tau_0) := \frac{1}{N}(\psi, \varphi)$ , where  $\psi, \varphi \in \mathbb{S}^3$ ,  $\operatorname{div} \psi = 0$  and  $F(\varphi) = (\phi(\xi - 2^N e), \phi(\xi - 2^N e), \phi(\xi - 2^N e))$  with  $e = (1, 1)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Then, one can easily deduce that*

$$\Delta_j \varphi = \varphi \quad \text{when } j = N; \quad \Delta_j \varphi = 0 \quad \text{when } j \neq N.$$

*So for sufficient large  $N$  and  $p < \infty$ , we have*

$$\|\tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} \approx \frac{2^{\frac{3}{p}N}}{N}, \quad \text{but } \|\tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} \leq \frac{C}{N}.$$

*This implies the global existence for some large initial data, which is different from the result in [14, 9].*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(u_0, \tau_0) \in (H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), H^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$  with  $s > \frac{5}{2}$ . If there exists a  $\epsilon_0$  small enough such that*

$$\|\nabla u_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{H^s} \leq k^6 \epsilon_0 = \frac{k^6}{4(C^6 + 1)}, \quad \forall k \in (0, 10] \quad (1.7)$$

*then the solution  $(u, \tau)$  of (1.2) exists globally in  $C([0, \infty); H^s(\mathbb{R})) \times C([0, \infty); H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . Moreover, one have*

$$\|\tau(t)\|_{H^s} + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq C\epsilon_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.8)$$

**Remark 1.2.** *[13] proved the global existence when  $\|u_0, \tau_0\|_{H^s} \leq \epsilon_0$  ( $s > \frac{5}{2}$ ), and the polynomial decay of  $\|\tau(t)\|_{H^s} + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}$ . Theorem 1.2 just attenuates the condition such that  $\|u_0\|_{L^2}$  could be large, a small improvement.*

*Combining Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1, we find an interesting phenomenon. When the coupling coefficient  $k$  is large ( $k \in (0, 10]$ ), by Theorem 1.2, we obtain the polynomial decay of  $\|\nabla u, \tau\|_{L^2}$ . However, when  $k$  is small ( $k \in (0, \frac{1}{C^2+1}]$ ), by Theorem 1.1, we obtain the exponential decay of  $\|\nabla u, \tau\|_{L^2}$ . This implies that the size of the coupling coefficient  $k$  determines the extent of the decay of the velocity field  $u$  and the stress tensor  $\tau$ . There will be a better decay for sufficient small  $k$ , since small  $k$  means small distraction for the equation of  $u(t, x)$ , while the damping term  $\mathbb{D}u$  can develop a larger impact.*

Next, by Remark 1.2,  $\bar{k} = \frac{1}{C^2+1}$  seems to be a boundary between these two kinds of attenuation. Furthermore, one will ask whether the solutions are close to each other when  $k \rightarrow \bar{k}$ ? The answer is true. Now we give a more general theorem to verify that all the solutions in above theorem will be close to each other when  $k^m \rightarrow k$  for any fixed  $k > 0$  and  $t > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(u_0, \tau_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $s > \frac{5}{2}$ . Assume*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |k^m - k| = 0 \quad \text{for any fixed } k, k^m \in (0, 10]. \quad (1.9)$$

*If the initial data satisfies*

$$\|\nabla u_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{H^s} \leq k^6 \epsilon_0,$$

*then we have*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u^m - u\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} + \|\tau^m - \tau\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty); H^s) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^{s+1})} = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

*where  $(u^m, \tau^m)$  are the global solutions of (1.2) with the coefficient  $k^m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N} \cap \infty$ ) and  $(u^\infty, \tau^\infty) := (u, \tau)$ .*

However, the damping effect can not be better when the coupling coefficient  $k$  is too small that  $k \rightarrow 0$ . Because (1.2) will decouple as  $k = 0$ , which means the damping effect will vanish! As a result, (1.10) is no longer valid. Indeed, we will prove that the energy of  $u^k$  will have a jump when  $k \rightarrow 0$  for large time. This implies the system (1.2) is not globally steady for  $k \rightarrow 0$ , while for local time (1.2) is steady in [20].

Set  $\mathbb{A} := \{(u_0, \tau_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), s > \frac{5}{2} \mid (1.2) \text{ has a unique solution for any fixed } k\}$ . Here is the unsteady result.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $(u^k, \tau^k)$  be the corresponding solutions for (1.2) with every  $k \in [0, \epsilon_0]$ . Then there exists a large time  $T(k)$  and a sequence  $(u_0, \tau_0)(k) \in \mathbb{A}$  as initial data such that when  $t \geq T(k)$ , we have*

$$\|u - u^k\|_{L^2} \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2},$$

where  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{4(C^6+1)}$ , a fixed constant.

**Remark 1.3.** *When  $k \in (0, \frac{1}{C^2+1}]$ , by Theorem 1.1, we obtain the exponential decay of  $\|u\|_{L^2}$ . However, when  $k = 0$ , by the classical Euler equation we deduce that  $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}$  is conservative, while  $\|\tau\|_{H^s}$  doesn't decay anymore. This implies that the sign of the coupling coefficient  $k$  determines whether the norm of the velocity field  $u(t, x)$  has decay. In fact, when  $k > 0$ , since  $\tau$  is a heat type equation with damping mechanisms  $\tau$  and  $\mathbb{D}u$ , the coupling term  $k \operatorname{div} \tau$  passes the decay of  $\tau$  to  $u$ , but this process of transformation is transient for  $k \rightarrow 0$  in large time (see Theorem 1.4).*

*All in all, combining Theorem 1.1-Theorem 1.4 we conclude that larger coupling coefficient  $k$  will have a worse impact to the extent of the decay of  $(u, \tau)$ , but it is necessary for the appearance of decay ( $k$  must be positive, or the decay will vanish instantly), while the damping term  $\tau$  and  $\mathbb{D}u$  always bring the well impact for the decay.*

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will give the tools (Littlewood-Paley decomposition and paradifferential calculus) and Besov spaces. In section 3, we prove the global existence of (1.2) for different kinds of  $k$ . In section 4, we prove the stability of (1.2) when  $k^m \rightarrow k > 0$ . In section 5, we show that the energy of  $u^k(t, x)$  will have a jump when  $k \rightarrow 0$  for large time, which implies the (1.2) is not globally steady for  $k \rightarrow 0$ .

**Notation** Throughout the paper, we denote the norms of usual Lebesgue space  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$  by  $\|u\|_{L^p}^p = \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .  $C_i$  and  $C$  denote different positive constants in different places.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will recall some properties about the Littlewood-Paley decomposition and Besov spaces.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the annulus  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ . There exist radial functions  $\chi$  and  $\varphi$ , valued in the interval  $[0, 1]$ , belonging respectively to  $\mathcal{D}(B(0, \frac{4}{3}))$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ , and such that*

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \chi(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1,$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1,$$

$$|j - j'| \geq 2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Supp} \varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) \cap \operatorname{Supp} \varphi(2^{-j'}\cdot) = \emptyset,$$

$$j \geq 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Supp} \chi(\cdot) \cap \operatorname{Supp} \varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) = \emptyset.$$

The set  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = B(0, \frac{2}{3}) + \mathcal{C}$  is an annulus, and we have

$$|j - j'| \geq 5 \Rightarrow 2^j \mathcal{C} \cap 2^{j'} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \emptyset.$$

Further, we have

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \chi^2(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi^2(2^{-j}\xi) \leq 1,$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^2(2^{-j}\xi) \leq 1.$$

**Definition 2.1.** [1] Let  $u$  be a tempered distribution in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Fourier transform and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  be its inverse. For all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$\Delta_j u = 0 \text{ if } j \leq -2, \quad \Delta_{-1} u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi \mathcal{F} u), \quad \Delta_j u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) \mathcal{F} u) \text{ if } j \geq 0, \quad S_j u = \sum_{j' < j} \Delta_{j'} u.$$

Then the Littlewood-Paley decomposition is given as follows:

$$u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ . The nonhomogeneous Besov space  $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is defined by

$$B_{p,r}^s = B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \left\| \left( 2^{js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^d)} \right)_j \right\|_{l^r(\mathbb{Z})} < \infty\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** [1] The homogeneous dyadic blocks  $\dot{\Delta}_j$  are defined on the tempered distributions by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Delta}_j u &= \varphi(2^{-j} D) u := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) \hat{u}). \\ \dot{S}_j u &= \sum_{j' \leq j-1} \dot{\Delta}_{j'} u. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.3.** We denote by  $S'_h$  the space of tempered distributions  $u$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow -\infty} \dot{S}_j u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'.$$

The homogeneous Littlewood-Paley decomposition is defined as

$$u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{\Delta}_j u, \quad \text{for } u \in S'_h.$$

**Definition 2.4.** For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the homogeneous Besov space  $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s$  is defined as

$$\dot{B}_{p,r}^s := \{u \in S'_h, \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} < \infty\},$$

where the homogeneous Besov norm is given by

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} := \left\| \{2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_j u\|_{L^p}\}_j \right\|_{l^r}.$$

In this paper, we use the "time-space" Besov spaces or Chemin-Lerner space first introduced by Chemin and Lerner in [4].

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < T \leq +\infty$ . We define

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(B_{p,1}^s)} := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{js} \left( \int_0^T \|\Delta_j u(t)\|_{L^p}^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

for  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$  and with the standard modification for  $p, q = \infty$ .

By the Minkowski's inequality, it is easy to verify that

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\lambda(B_{p,r}^s)} \leq \|u\|_{L_T^\lambda(B_{p,r}^s)} \quad \text{if } \lambda \leq r,$$

and

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\lambda(B_{p,r}^s)} \geq \|u\|_{L_T^\lambda(B_{p,r}^s)} \quad \text{if } \lambda \geq r.$$

The following Bernstein's lemma will be repeatedly used in this paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** [1] *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  is a ball and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a ring of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . There exists constant  $C$  such that for any positive  $\lambda$ , any non-negative integer  $k$ , any smooth homogeneous function  $\sigma$  of degree  $m$ , any couple  $(p, q) \in [1, \infty]^2$  with  $q \geq p \geq 1$ , and any function  $u \in L^p$ , there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \hat{u} \subset \lambda \mathcal{B} &\Rightarrow \sup_{|\alpha=k|} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^q} \leq C^{k+1} \lambda^{k+d(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \|u\|_{L^p}, \\ \text{supp } \hat{u} \subset \lambda \mathcal{C} &\Rightarrow C^{-k-1} \lambda^k \|u\|_{L^p} \leq \sup_{|\alpha=k|} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^p} \leq C^{k+1} \lambda^k \|u\|_{L^p}, \\ \text{supp } \hat{u} \subset \lambda \mathcal{C} &\Rightarrow \sup_{|\alpha=k|} \|\sigma(D)u\|_{L^p} \leq C_{\sigma,m} \lambda^{m+d(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \|u\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will give the paraproducts and product estimates in Besov spaces. Recalling the paraproduct decomposition

$$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u, v),$$

where

$$T_u v := \sum_q S_{q-1} u \Delta_q v, \quad R(u, v) := \sum_q \Delta_q u \tilde{\Delta}_q v, \quad \text{and } \tilde{\Delta}_q = \Delta_{q-1} + \Delta_q + \Delta_{q+1}.$$

The paraproduct  $T$  and the remainder  $R$  operators satisfy the following continuous properties.

**Proposition 2.2.** [1] *For all  $s \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0$ , and  $1 \leq p, p_1, p_2, r, r_1, r_2 \leq \infty$ , the paraproduct  $T$  is a bilinear, continuous operator from  $L^\infty \times B_{p,r}^s$  to  $B_{p,r}^s$  and from  $B_{p_1,r_1}^{-\sigma} \times \dot{B}_{p_2,r_2}^s$  to  $B_{p,r}^{s-\sigma}$  with  $\frac{1}{r} = \min\{1, \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}\}$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ . The remainder  $R$  is bilinear continuous from  $B_{p_1,r_1}^{s_1} \times B_{p_2,r_2}^{s_2}$  to  $B_{p,r}^{s_1+s_2}$  with  $s_1 + s_2 > 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \leq 1$ , and  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} \leq 1$ . In particular, if  $r = \infty$ , the continuous property for the remainder  $R$  also holds for the case  $s_1 + s_2 = 0, r = \infty, \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} = 1$ .*

Combining the above proposition with Lemma 2.1 yields the following product estimates:

**Corollary 2.1.** [1] *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be in  $L^\infty \cap B_{p,r}^s$  for some  $s > 0$  and  $(p, r) \in [1, \infty]^2$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$  depending only on  $d, p$  and such that*

$$\|ab\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \leq C(\|a\|_{L^\infty} \|b\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|b\|_{L^\infty} \|a\|_{B_{p,r}^s}).$$

Finally, we intruduce some useful results about the following heat conductive equation and the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u + \beta u = G, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \beta \geq 0, t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} f_t + v \cdot \nabla f + \beta f = g, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \beta \geq 0, t > 0, \\ f(0, x) = f_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

which are crucial to the proof of our main theorem later.

**Lemma 2.2.** [1] Let  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $k \geq 0$ , it holds that

$$\|\nabla^k e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^q} \leq C t^{-\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq q, q_1, p, r \leq \infty$  with  $q_1 \leq q$ . Assume  $u_0$  in  $B_{p,r}^s$ , and  $G$  in  $\tilde{L}_T^{q_1}(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q}})$ . Then (2.1) has a unique solution  $u$  in  $\tilde{L}_T^q(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q}})$  and satisfies

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q}})} \leq C_1 \left( \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + (1 + T^{1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{q_1}}) \|G\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_1}(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q_1}-2})} \right). \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, if  $\beta > 0$ , without loss of generality we set  $\beta = 1$ , one have

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q}})} \leq C_1 \left( \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|G\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_1}(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q_1}-2})} \right), \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\|ue^{\theta t}\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q}})} \leq \frac{C_1}{1-\theta} \left( \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|e^{\theta t} G\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_1}(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q_1}-2})} \right), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $0 \leq \theta < 1$ .

*Proof.* (2.3) can be founded in [1], we should only prove (2.4). Indeed, since

$$\Delta_j u = e^{-t} e^{t\Delta} \Delta_j u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Delta_j G ds,$$

when  $j \geq 0$ , by  $\|e^{t\Delta} \Delta_j u\|_{L^p} \leq C e^{-2^j t} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p}$  one can easily get

$$\|2^{s+\frac{2}{q}} \|u\|_{L_T^q L^p} \|1_{j \geq 0} l^r \leq C_1 \left( \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|G\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_1}(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q_1}-2})} \right).$$

When  $j = -1$ , by  $\|e^{t\Delta} \Delta_{-1} u\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Delta_{-1} u\|_{L^p}$  we have

$$\|\Delta_{-1} u\|_{L_T^q L^p} \leq C_1 \left( \|\Delta_{-1} u_0\|_{L^p} + \|\Delta_{-1} G\|_{L_T^{q_1}(L^p)} \right).$$

Combining the above two inequality, we obtain (2.4). To prove (2.5), since

$$(e^{\theta t} \Delta_j u) = e^{-(1-\theta)t} e^{t\Delta} \Delta_j u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(1-\theta)(t-s)} e^{(t-s)\Delta} (e^{\theta s} \Delta_j G) ds,$$

one can take the similar operators to obtain (2.5).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** [1] Let  $s \in [\max\{-\frac{d}{p}, -\frac{d}{p'}\}, \frac{d}{p} + 1]$  ( $s = 1 + \frac{d}{p}, r = 1; s = \max\{-\frac{d}{p}, -\frac{d}{p'}\}, r = \infty$ ). There exists a constant  $C$  such that for all solutions  $f \in L^\infty([0, T]; B_{p,r}^s)$  of (2.2) with initial data  $f_0$  in  $B_{p,r}^s$ , and  $g$  in  $L^1([0, T]; B_{p,r}^s)$ , we have, for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t)\|_{B_{p,r}^s} &\leq C \left( \|f_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \int_0^t V'(t') \|f(t')\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|g(t')\|_{B_{p,r}^s} dt' \right) \\ &\leq e^{C_2 V(t)} \left( \|f_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \int_0^t e^{-C_2 V(t')} \|g(t')\|_{B_{p,r}^s} dt' \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $V(t) = \int_0^t \|\nabla v\|_{B_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}} \cap L^\infty} ds$  (if  $s = 1 + \frac{1}{p}, r = 1$ ,  $V'(t) = \int_0^t \|\nabla v\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}} ds$ ).

**Remark 2.1.** [1] If  $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ , we can get the same result with a better indicator:  $\max\{-\frac{d}{p}, -\frac{d}{p'}\} - 1 < s < \frac{d}{p} + 1$  (or  $s = \max\{-\frac{d}{p}, -\frac{d}{p'}\} - 1, r = \infty$ ).



**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\beta > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C$  such that for all smooth solutions of (2.2) with initial data  $f_0$  in  $L^p$ , and  $\nabla v, g$  in  $L^1([0, T]; L^p)$ , we have, for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$\|f(t)\|_{L_t^1 \cap L_t^p(L^p)} \leq C e^{V(t)} (\|f_0\|_{L^p} + \int_0^t \|g(t')\|_{L^p} dt'), \quad (2.7)$$

where  $V(t) = \int_0^t \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} ds$ .

*Proof.* With loss of generality, we set  $\beta = 1$ . (2.2) can be rewrite as

$$dt(e^t f) + u \nabla(e^t f) = (e^t g).$$

Then one can easily deduce that

$$\|f(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C e^{V(t)} (\|f_0\|_{L^p} + \int_0^t \|e^{-(t-t')} g(t')\|_{L^p} dt'), \quad (2.8)$$

which implies (2.7) by Young inequality.  $\square$

### 3 Global existence

#### The proof of Theorem 1.1:

*Proof.* Generally speaking, the bootstrap argument starts with an assumption. Let  $T^*$  be the maximal existence time of the solution, for any  $0 \leq t < T^*$ ,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} \leq k^2 \epsilon_0, \quad \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)} \leq k \epsilon_0, \quad \epsilon_0 := \frac{1}{4^6(C^6 + 1)}. \quad (3.1)$$

where  $C$  is a fixed positive constant, and  $0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{4(C^2+1)}$ . Let the initial data  $(u_0, \tau_0)$  be small enough such that

$$\|u_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} + \|\tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} \leq k^4 \epsilon_0. \quad (3.2)$$

We will divide the proof into 4 sections.

(1). First, we give the estimation of  $\|\nabla u\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0)}$ .

Applying Lemma 2.4 and (3.1) to the first equation of (1.2), we have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^1)} \leq C e^{Ck^2\delta} (\|u_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} + k \|\tau\|_{L^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)}) \leq C(k^4 \epsilon_0 + k^2 \epsilon_0) \leq Ck^2 \epsilon_0, \quad (3.3)$$

(2). Then, we estimate  $\|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)}$ .

Applying the Lemma 2.3 to the second equation of (??), it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)} &\leq \|\tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \int_0^t \|Q(\nabla u, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \|u \cdot \nabla \tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} ds \\ &\leq C(\|\tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^1)} \|\tau\|_{L_t^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)}) \\ &\leq C(k^4 \epsilon_0 + k^2 \epsilon_0 + k^2 \epsilon_0 \|\tau\|_{L_t^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)}), \\ &\leq C(k^4 \epsilon_0 + k^2 \epsilon_0) \leq Ck^2 \epsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where the last inequality holds by (3.1) and (3.2).

(3). Next, we estimate  $\|\nabla u\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)}$  and complete the bootstrap argument. We establish a new quantity[]:

$$\Gamma = w - k\tilde{R}\tau, \quad \tilde{R} = -(-\Delta)^{-1}\text{curl}(\text{div}(\cdot)), \quad (3.5)$$

and get the following equation of  $\Gamma$  :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Gamma + k\Gamma + u \cdot \nabla \Gamma - k[\tilde{R}, u \cdot \nabla]\tau = w\nabla u - k\tilde{R}(Q(\nabla u, \tau)), \\ \Gamma(0, x) = w_0 - k\tilde{R}\tau_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Applying the  $\Delta_j$  to the (3.6), note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j(u \cdot \nabla \Gamma - k[\tilde{R}, u \cdot \nabla]\tau) &= \Delta_j(u \cdot \nabla w - k\tilde{R}(u \cdot \nabla \tau)) \\ &= \Delta_j T_u \nabla w - k\Delta_j \tilde{R} T_u \nabla \tau + f_j \\ &= S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w + (\Delta_j T_u \nabla w - S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w) - (k T_u \nabla \Delta_j \tilde{R} \tau + k[\Delta_j \tilde{R}, T_u \nabla]\tau) + f_j \\ &= S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j \Gamma + (\Delta_j T_u \nabla w - S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w) - k[\Delta_j \tilde{R}, T_u \nabla]\tau + f_j \\ &= S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j \Gamma + (\Delta_j T_u \nabla w - S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w) - k[\Delta_j \tilde{R}, T_u \nabla]\tau + f_j, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$f_j = \Delta_j T_{\nabla w} u + \Delta_j R(\nabla w, u) - k\Delta_j \tilde{R} T_{\nabla \tau} u - k\tilde{R} \Delta_j R(u, \nabla \tau).$$

So we have

$$\partial_t \Delta_j \Gamma + K \Delta_j \Gamma + S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j \Gamma + (\Delta_j T_u \nabla w - S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w) - K[\Delta_j \tilde{R}, T_u \nabla]\tau + f_j = G_j, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $G_j := \Delta_j(w\nabla u) - k\tilde{R}\Delta_j(Q(\nabla u, \tau))$ .

Firstly, we estimate the nonlinear terms of (3.8).

By Lemma 10.25 in [1], we get the commutator estimations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_j \|(\Delta_j T_u \nabla w - S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w)\|_{L^\infty} + k\|[\Delta_j \tilde{R}, T_u \nabla]\tau\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(k+1)\|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} (\|w\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \|\tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^2}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By Bony decomposition  $f_j$  and  $G_j$  can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \|f_j\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \sum_j \|\Delta_j T_{\nabla w} u\|_{L^\infty} + k \sum_j \|\Delta_j \tilde{R} T_{\nabla \tau} u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Delta_j R(u, \nabla \tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Delta_j R(u, \nabla \tau)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(1+k)\|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} (\|\tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^2} + \|u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\sum_j \|G_j\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+k)\|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} (\|\tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^2} + \|u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1}), \quad (3.11)$$

where we use the fact that  $w\nabla u = \text{div}(w \otimes u)$  with  $\text{div} w = \text{div} \text{curl} u = 0$  in three dimension.

Then, applying Lemma 2.5 with  $p = \infty$  to (3.8) and taking  $\sum_{j \geq -1}$ , by (3.9)-(3.11) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0)} + k\|\Gamma\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} &\leq C(\|\Gamma_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \int_0^t C(1+k)(\|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \|\tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^2})\|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} ds \\ &\leq C(k^4 \epsilon_0 + (1+k)(k^2 \epsilon_0)^2) \leq Ck^4 \epsilon_0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

So we have

$$\|\Gamma\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} \leq Ck^3\epsilon_0.$$

Combining (3.5), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} &= C(k\|\tau\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} + \|\Gamma\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)}) \\ &\leq C(k^3\epsilon_0 + k^3\epsilon_0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}k^2\epsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where  $\epsilon_0$  and  $k$  satisfies (3.1) and (3.2). Using the bootstrap argument for (3.5) and (3.13), we obtain that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} \leq k^2\delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)} \leq k\delta, \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*). \quad (3.14)$$

Now, one can obtain the global existence of  $(u, \tau)$  in  $C([0, \infty); B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}) \times \left(C([0, \infty); B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}}) \cap L^1([0, \infty); B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}+2})\right)$  easily, since (3.14) can be the blow-up criteria for (1.2). Indeed, applying Lemma 2.3–2.4 to (1.2), we have

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}})} \leq \|u_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} + C \int_0^t \|u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} \|u\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} + k\|\tau\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} ds, \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\|\tau\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}}) \cap L_t^1(B_{p,1}^{2+\frac{2}{p}})} \leq \|\tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}}} + C \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2} \|\tau\|_{B_{p,1}^{2+\frac{2}{p}}} + \|\tau\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} + \|u\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} dt \quad (3.16)$$

Combining (3.15)–(3.16) with Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}})} + \|\tau\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}}) \cap L_t^1(B_{p,1}^{2+\frac{2}{p}})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} + \|\tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}}}) e^{Ct} \leq C e^t, \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*). \quad (3.17)$$

This implies  $T^* = \infty$ .

(4) Finally, to complete the proof of Theorem ??, we now prove the exponential decay. Rewrite (3.6) :

$$\partial_t(e^{kt}\Gamma) + u \cdot \nabla(e^{kt}\Gamma) - k[\tilde{R}, u \cdot \nabla](e^{kt}\tau) = w\nabla(e^{kt}u) - k\tilde{R}(Q(\nabla u, (e^{kt}\tau))). \quad (3.18)$$

Since  $w = \Gamma + k\tilde{R}\tau$ , applying (2.4) in Lemma 2.3 one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{kt}\Gamma(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} &\leq C(\|\Gamma_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} (\|e^{ks}\nabla u\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \|e^{ks}\tau\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+2}}) ds) \\ &\leq C(k^4\epsilon_0 + k^2\epsilon_0\|e^{kt}\tau\|_{L_t^1(B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+2})} + \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} \|e^{ks}\Gamma\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} ds) \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where  $0 < k \leq \frac{1}{C^2+1} \leq \frac{1}{16}$  and  $\|\nabla u\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} \leq k^4\epsilon_0$ .

Recall the equation of  $\tau$  in (1.2), one have

$$\partial_t(e^t\tau) - \Delta(e^t\tau) + u \cdot \nabla(e^t\tau) + Q(\nabla u, (e^{kt}\tau)) = e^t\mathbb{D}u. \quad (3.20)$$

That is

$$e^{kt}\tau = e^{t\Delta}e^{-(1-k)t}\tau_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}e^{-(1-k)(t-s)}(e^{ks}F),$$

where  $F := -u \cdot \nabla(\tau) - Q(\nabla u, (\tau)) + \mathbb{D}u$ . Applying (2.5) with  $k = \theta$  in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{kt}\tau(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \|e^{ks}\tau\|_{L_t^1(B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+2})} &\leq C(\|\tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \int_0^t C\|u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} \|e^{ks}\tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+2}} + \|e^{ks}\nabla u\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} ds) \\
&\leq C(\|\tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + Ck\|e^{ks}\tau\|_{L_T^1(B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+2})} + \int_0^t \|e^{ks}\Gamma\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} ds) \\
&\leq C(k^4\epsilon_0 + \int_0^t \|e^{ks}\Gamma\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} ds),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Combining (3.20) with  $\frac{k}{4(C+1)} \times (3.21)$ , and applying Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$e^{kt}(k\|\tau(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \|\Gamma\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}}) \leq C(\|\tau_0 + \nabla u_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}})e^{\frac{k}{4}t} \leq Ck^3e^{\frac{k}{4}t}, \tag{3.22}$$

where we use  $\epsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{4(C^6+1)}$  and  $k \leq \frac{1}{C^2+1}$  by (3.1). Since  $w = \Gamma + k\tilde{R}\tau$ , we obtain

$$k\|\tau(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \|w(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} \leq C(\|\tau_0 + \nabla u_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}})e^{-\frac{k}{2}t}.$$

This complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

### The proof of Theorem 1.2:

The proof is similar to [13], we give the proof briefly.

*Proof.* For  $0 < k \leq 10$ , assume that for any  $0 \leq t < T < T^*$  we have

$$\|\nabla u, \nabla \tau\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|\nabla \tau\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 \leq k^4\delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 \leq k^4\delta^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

where  $\delta := 16(C^2 + 1)\epsilon_0$  and  $\epsilon_0 := \frac{1}{4(C^6+1)}$  for a fixed large constant  $C$ . Set the initial data such that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + \|\tau_0\|_{H^s}^2 \leq k^6\epsilon_0^2,$$

Firstly, taking the  $L^2$  and  $\dot{H}^1$  inner product of (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\|\tau\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \|\tau\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 &\leq \|\tau_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\tau\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
&\leq Ck^6(\epsilon_0 + \delta^{\frac{5}{2}}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\tau\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\tau\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 &\leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + k\|\tau_0\|_{L^2}^2 + k\int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
&\leq (\|u_0\|_{L^2} + k\|\tau_0\|_{L^2} + k\delta\|\tau\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2) \\
&\leq Ck^2(\|u_0\|_{L^2} + k\|\tau_0\|_{L^2}) \leq Ck^4\epsilon_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 ds &\leq C\int_0^t (\|\tau\|_{H^2}^2 + \|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 \|\tau\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla \tau\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + k\|\nabla \tau\|_{L^2}^2) ds + \|\tau\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq Ck^4\delta^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\nabla \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\nabla \tau\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 \\
&\leq \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + k\|\nabla \tau_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t a\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + k\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\tau\|_{H^2}^2 ds \\
&\leq Ck^6(\epsilon_0 + \delta^{\frac{5}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Then, taking the  $\dot{H}^s$  inner product of (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^s \cap \dot{H}^{s+1})}^2 \\
& \leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + k\|\tau_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \int_0^t a\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + k\|\nabla u\|_{H^{s-1}}\|\tau\|_{\dot{H}^{s+1}}^2 ds \\
& \leq Ck^6(\epsilon_0^2 + \delta^{\frac{5}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 ds & \leq C \int_0^t (\|\tau\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 \|\nabla \tau\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2) + \|\nabla u\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \|\nabla \tau\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
& \quad + k\|\nabla \tau\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \|\tau\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}} \\
& \leq Ck^4 \delta^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Combining (3.25)-(3.28) with the bootstrap argument, we finally obtain for any  $0 \leq t < T^*$

$$\|\nabla u, \nabla \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|\nabla \tau\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}k^4 \delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}k^4 \delta^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

Finally, since the proof of (1.8) can refer to [13], this complete the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Global stability for $0 < k \leq 10$

In this section, we will give the prove of Theorem 1.3. Firstly, we give the global stability for (1.2) in a weaker space  $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $(u_1, \tau_1), (u_2, \tau_2)$  be two global strong solutions of (1.2) in Theorem 1.2 with fixed  $0 < k \leq 10$ , then for any  $t > 0$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u^1 - u^2, \tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 + \|\nabla(u^1 - u^2)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-2})}^2 \\
& \leq \frac{C}{k} \|u_0^1 - u_0^2, \tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{H^{s-1}}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

*Proof.* Give the equation of  $(u^1 - u^2, \tau_1 - \tau_2)$ :

$$\begin{cases}
(u^1 - u^2)_t + u^1 \nabla(u^1 - u^2) + (u^1 - u^2) \nabla u^2 + \nabla(P^1 - P^2) = k \operatorname{div}(\tau^1 - \tau^2), \\
(\tau^1 - \tau^2)_t + (\tau^1 - \tau^2) - \Delta(\tau^1 - \tau^2) + u^1 \nabla(\tau^1 - \tau^1) + (u^1 - u^2) \nabla \tau^1 \\
+ Q(\nabla(u^1 - u^2), \tau^1) + Q(\nabla u^1, (\tau^1 - \tau^2)) = \mathbb{D}(u^1 - u^2)
\end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

By Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\|\nabla u_i, \nabla \tau_i\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|\nabla \tau_i\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 \leq C\delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u_i\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 \leq C\delta^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where  $\delta := \frac{\epsilon_0}{16(C^2+1)}$  and  $\epsilon_0 := \frac{1}{4(C^6+1)}$ .

Similarly to (3.24),(3.25),(3.27) and (3.28) in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we also use the energy

method and have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 \\
& \leq \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + Ck\|\tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \|u^2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^3} + k(\|\tau_2\|_{H^s} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^2} \|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{H^1} + \|u_2\|_{H^s} \|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{H^1}^2) ds \\
& \leq \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\|_{L^2}^2 + k\|\tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \|u^2\|_{L^6}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|u^1 - u^2\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 + \|u^2\|_{L^6}^2 \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L^2}^2 ds + k\delta(\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 + \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2) ds \\
& \leq C(\|u_0^1 - u_0^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{2}{3}} \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^1)}^2), \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \|\nabla(u^1 - u^2)\|_{L^2}^2 ds & \leq C \int_0^t (\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{H^{s-1}}^2) (\|\tau_2, \tau_1\|_{H^s} + \|\nabla u_2, \nabla u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}) \\
& \quad + \|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{H^2} ds + C\|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{L^2} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\dot{H}^1} \\
& \leq C[\delta(\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2) + \|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^1)}^2]. \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{s-1})}^2 + k\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{s-1})}^2 + k\|\tau\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{s-1} \cap \dot{H}^s)}^2 \\
& \leq C[\|u_0^1 - u_0^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \delta^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-2})}^2]. \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla(u^1 - u^2)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-2}}^2 ds \leq C(\|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1}) \cap L_t^2(H^s)}^2 + \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2) \tag{4.6}$$

Then, let  $[(5.8)+(4.5)] + \frac{k}{16(C+1)(k+1)}[(4.4)+(4.6)]$ , since  $0 < k \leq 10$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + k\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + k\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 + \|\nabla(u^1 - u^2)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-2})}^2 \\
& \leq C(\|\tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\|_{H^{s-1}}^2). \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies (4.1).  $\square$

Secondly, we give the global stability in the original space  $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(u_0, \tau_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $s > \frac{5}{2}$ . Assume that  $(u_0, \tau_0)$  satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.2 such that*

$$\|\nabla u_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{H^s} \leq k^6 \epsilon_0, \quad \text{for fixed } k \in (0, 10].$$

*If there exists a sequence  $(u_0^n, \tau_0^n) \in (H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), H^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_0^n - u_0, \tau_0^n - \tau_0\|_{H^s} = 0,$$

*then for any  $t > 0$  we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u^n - u\|_{L_t^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} + \|u^n - u\|_{L_t^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

*Proof.* Since the smallness of  $(u_0, \tau_0)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_0^n - u_0, \tau_0^n - \tau_0\|_{H^s} = 0$ , let  $(u_j^n, \tau_j^n)$  be the solutions of (1.2) with the initial data  $(S_j u_0^n, S_j \tau_j^n)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ ), then by Theorem 1.2 (also use the bootstrap argument),  $(u_j^n, \tau_j^n)$  are global solutions. Moreover, one can deduce that

$$\|\nabla u_j^n, k \nabla \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + k \|\nabla \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 \leq C \delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 \leq C \delta^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (4.9)$$

Since (4.9) is the blow-up criterion of (1.2), we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_j^n, k \nabla \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 + k \|\nabla \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^2(H^{s+1})}^2 + \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 &\leq C \|S_j u_0, S_j \tau_0\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s+1})}^2 \\ &\leq C (2^j \|u_0, \tau_0\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Now, by Lemma 4.1, for fixed  $0 < k \leq 10$  we already have

$$\|u^n - u, \tau^n - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty([0, \infty); H^{s-1})} \leq \frac{C}{k} \|u_0^n - u_0, \tau_0^n - \tau_0\|_{L_t^\infty([0, \infty); H^{s-1})} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.11)$$

In order to verify (4.8), we should only prove the high frequency estimation  $\|u^n - u, \tau^n - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)} \rightarrow 0$ . Our main idea is to estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^n - u, \tau^n - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 &\leq \|u^n - u_j^n, \tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|u_j^\infty - u^\infty, \tau_j^\infty - \tau^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where  $u^\infty := u, \tau^\infty := \tau$ . The proof will be divided into three parts.

**(1) estimate  $\|u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2$  for fixed  $j$**

Firstly, we give the equation of  $(u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty)$ :

$$\begin{cases} (u_j^n - u_j^\infty)_t + u_j^n \nabla (u_j^n - u_j^\infty) + (u_j^n - u_j^\infty) \nabla u_j^\infty + \nabla (P_j^n - P_j^\infty) = k \operatorname{div}(\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty), \\ (\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty)_t + (\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty) - \Delta(\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty) + u_j^n \nabla (\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty) + (u_j^n - u_j^\infty) \nabla \tau_j^\infty \\ + Q(\nabla(u_j^n - u_j^\infty), \tau_j^\infty) + Q(\nabla u_j^n, (\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty)) = \mathbb{D}(u_j^n - u_j^\infty) \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

By Lemma 4.1 we easily get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 + \|\nabla(u_j^n - u_j^\infty)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-2})}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{k} \|S_j u_0^n - S_j u_0^\infty, S_j \tau_0^n - S_j \tau_0^\infty\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{k} \|u_0^n - u_0^\infty, \tau_0^n - \tau_0^\infty\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Then, taking the  $\dot{H}^s$  inner product of (1.2) (similar to (4.5) and (4.6)), by (4.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_j^n - u_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k \|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k \|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^s \cap \dot{H}^{s+1})}^2 \\ &\leq C [\|u_0^n - u_0^\infty\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\tau_0^n - \tau_0^\infty\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \delta^{\frac{2}{3}} (\|\nabla(u_j^n - u_j^\infty)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 + 2^j \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty\|_{L_t^2(L^\infty)}^2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla(u_j^n - u_j^\infty)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 ds \leq C (\|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s) \cap L_t^2(H^{s+1})}^2 + \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2) \quad (4.16)$$

Combing (4.15) with  $\frac{k}{16(C+1)(k+1)}$ (4.16), we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 + k\|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 &\leq C(2^j + 1)(\|u_0^n - u_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + \|\tau_0^n - \tau_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 \\ &\quad + k\|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^s \cap \dot{H}^{s+1})}^2) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for fixed } j. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

**(2) estimate**  $\|u^n - u_j^n, \tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2$  **for any**  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$

We give the equation of  $(u_j^n - u^n, \tau_j^n - \tau^n)$

$$\begin{cases} (u_j^n - u^n)_t + u_j^n \nabla(u_j^n - u^n) + (u_j^n - u^n) \nabla u_n + \nabla(P_j^n - P_n) = k \operatorname{div}(\tau_j^n - \tau^n), \\ (\tau_j^n - \tau^n)_t + (\tau_j^n - \tau^n) - \Delta(\tau_j^n - \tau^n) + u_j^n \nabla(\tau_j^n - \tau^n) + (u_j^n - u^n) \nabla \tau^n \\ + Q(\nabla(u_j^n - u^n), \tau^n) + Q(\nabla u_j^n, (\tau_j^n - \tau^n)) = \mathbb{D}(u_j^n - u^n) \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

The operators are similar to Lemma 4.1. The only difference is the high order term:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \langle \nabla^s[(u^n - u_j^n) \nabla u_j^n], \nabla^s(u^n - u_j^n) \rangle ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{L^\infty} \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + C \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L^\infty} \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \delta \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L^\infty}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \delta \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \|\nabla(u^n - u_j^n)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-2}}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \delta \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} (2^j \delta)^2 \|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 \\ &\leq C \delta \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \delta \|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where the fourth inequality holds by Lemma 4.1 and (4.10), and we use the fact that  $\|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 \leq C 2^{-j} \|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2$ .

Then, similar to (4.5) and (4.6) in Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^s \cap \dot{H}^{s+1})}^2 \\ &\leq C(\|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\tau_0^n - S_j \tau_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \delta^{\frac{3}{4}}(\|\nabla(u^n - u_j^n)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla(u^n - u_j^n)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 ds \leq C(\|\tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s) \cap L_t^2(H^{s+1})}^2 + \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2) \quad (4.21)$$

Combing(4.20) with  $\frac{k}{16(C+1)(k+1)} \times (4.21)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^s \cap \dot{H}^{s+1})}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla(u^n - u_j^n)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 ds \\ &\leq C(\|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n, \tau_0^n - S_j \tau_0^n\|_{H^s} + \delta \|\nabla(u^n - u_j^n)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

**(3) Complete the proof**

Combing (4.22),(4.17) with (4.12), one obtain that

$$\|u^n - u^\infty, \tau^n - \tau^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$



In fact, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , by (4.22), there exists a  $M(\epsilon)$  such that, when  $j \geq M$ , we have

$$\|u^n - u_j^n, \tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \infty.$$

Then, for this  $j$ , by (4.17), there exists a  $\bar{M}(j, \epsilon)$  such that, when  $n \geq \bar{M}$ , we have

$$\|u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

where  $\bar{M}$  is dependent on  $j, \epsilon$ , since  $j$  is dependent on  $M(\epsilon)$ , this implies that  $\bar{M}$  is dependent on  $\epsilon$ . Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^n - u, \tau^n - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 &\leq \|u^n - u_j^n, \tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|u_j^\infty - u^\infty, \tau_j^\infty - \tau^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Combining with (4.11), that is

$$\|u^n - u^\infty, \tau^n - \tau^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Thanks to the globally steady result in Theorem 4.1, now we can prove Theorem 1.3 easily.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3:**

*Proof.* To prove

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u^m - u, \tau^m - \tau\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} = 0$$

for  $k^m \rightarrow k, m \rightarrow \infty$ . Our main idea is to estimate

$$\|u^m - u, \tau^m - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 \leq \|u^m - u_j^m, \tau^m - \tau_j^m\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 + \|u_j^m - u_j, \tau_j^m - \tau_j\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 + \|u_j - u, \tau_j - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2, \quad (4.24)$$

where  $(u^m, \tau^m)$  are the solutions of (1.2) with the coefficient  $k^m$  and the same initial data  $(u_0, \tau_0)$ ;  $(u_j^m, \tau_j^m)$  are the solutions of (1.2) with the coefficient  $k^m$  and the same initial data  $(S_j u_0, S_j \tau)$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty, k^\infty = k, u_j^\infty := u_j, \tau_j^\infty := \tau_j$ ).

Firstly, we estimate the term  $\|u_j^m - u_j, \tau_j^m - \tau_j\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2$  with fix  $j$ .

We have

$$\begin{cases} (u_j^m - u_j)_t + u_j^m \nabla(u_j^m - u_j) + (u_j^m - u_j) \nabla u_j + \nabla(P_j^m - P_j) = k \operatorname{div}(\tau_j^m - \tau_j) + (k^m - k) \operatorname{div} \tau_j^m, \\ (\tau_j^m - \tau_j)_t + (\tau_j^m - \tau_j) - \Delta(\tau_j^m - \tau_j) + u_j^m \nabla(\tau_j^m - \tau_j) + (u_j^m - u_j) \nabla \tau_j \\ + Q(\nabla(u_j^m - u_j), \tau_j) + Q(\nabla u_j^m, (\tau_j^m - \tau_j)) = \mathbb{D}(u_j^m - u_j) \end{cases} \quad (4.25)$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, by the energy estimations we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_j^m - u_j, \tau_j^m - \tau_j\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 &\leq C(2^j + 1)(\|u_0^m - u_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + \|\tau_0^m - \tau_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + (k^m - k) \|\operatorname{div} \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^2 H^s}^2) \\ &\leq C(2^j + 1)(\|u_0^m - u_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + \|\tau_0^m - \tau_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + (k^m - k)) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for fixed } j. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Then, by Theorem 4.1, we see that system (1.2) is globally steady for small initial data. Since  $\|u_0 - S_j u_0, \tau_0 - S_j \tau_0\|_{\dot{H}^s} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , so we have

$$\|u^m - u_j^m, \tau^m - \tau_j^m\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for any } m \in \mathbb{N} \cap \infty. \quad (4.27)$$

Finally, combining (4.26), (4.27) with (4.24), we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u^m - u\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} + \|u^m - u\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} = 0.$$

□

## 5 Instability for $k \rightarrow 0$ .

Indeed, by (4.1) in Lemma 4.1, one can see that  $\|u^1 - u^2, \tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2$  can not be controlled by their initial data as  $k \rightarrow 0$ . In this section, we will prove that the system (1.2) is really unsteady as  $k \rightarrow 0$  by showing that the  $L^2$  norm of  $u^k(t, x)$  will have a jump for large time. **Proof of Theorem 1.4:** Let  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{64(C^4+1)}$  be the fixed small constant in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Recall the system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = k \operatorname{div}(\tau), \\ \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla)\tau - \Delta \tau + \tau + Q(\nabla u, \tau) = \mathbb{D}u, \quad k \in (0, \epsilon_0], \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \tau(0, x) = \tau_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla)\tau - \Delta \tau + \tau + Q(\nabla u, \tau) = \mathbb{D}u, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \tau(0, x) = \tau_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

To prove the instability when  $k \rightarrow 0$ , we first give the definition of the global stability:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \|u^0 - u^k\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}})} + \|\tau^0 - \tau^k\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})} = 0, \quad \forall (u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } \forall t \in [0, \infty),$$

where  $\mathbb{A} := \{(u_0, \tau_0) \in (B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3), B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \mid (1.2) \text{ has a unique solution for any fixed } k\}$ . In order to prove the instability in large time, we should prove that for any  $k > 0$  small enough, there exists a common initial sequence  $(u_0, \tau_0)(k)$  and a  $T(k)$  such that, when  $t \geq T$ , we have

$$\|(u^0 - u^a)(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}} \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}, \quad (5.3)$$

Now, let an axisymmetric vector field  $\phi \in \mathbb{S}^3$  with  $\operatorname{div} \phi = 0$ . Set the initial data

$$(u_0, \tau_0)(a) = k^6 \epsilon_0 \left( \frac{\phi(k^4 x)}{\|\phi\|_{L^2}}, 0 \right).$$

For any  $0 < k \leq \epsilon_0$ , we have

$$\|u_0\|_{L^2} = \epsilon_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^3} \leq Ck^6 \epsilon_0, \quad w_0 = \operatorname{curl} u_0.$$

These satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1, which means  $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathbb{A}$ .

On one hand, by Theorem 1.1, (5.1) has a unique global strong solution  $(u^k, \tau^k)$  with the initial data  $(u_0, \tau_0)(k)$  ( $\tau_0 = 0 \not\Rightarrow \tau = 0$ ). We also obtain the  $L^2$  decay such that ( $p = 2$ ):

$$\|\nabla u^k(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|w^k(t)\|_{L^2} \leq Ce^{-\frac{k}{4}t}. \quad (5.4)$$

Moreover, since  $u_0 \in \mathbb{S}^3 \in \dot{H}^{-1}$ , combining Lemma 2.4 with (3.14), one can easily get that

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{-1})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}} + \epsilon_0) \leq C. \quad (5.5)$$

By interpolation inequality, we obtain that

$$\|u^k(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|u^k(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}\|w^k(t)\|_{L^2} \leq Ce^{-\frac{k}{4}t}. \quad (5.6)$$

On the other hand, in (5.2), since  $u_0$  is axisymmetric, by [22] one can easily obtain a unique global solution  $(u^0, \tau^0)$  with the same initial data  $(u_0, \tau_0)(k)$ . Although the coefficients of (5.2) are independent of  $k$ , one can still look for the initial data which is dependent on  $k$ . Then, using the first equation (the classical Euler equation) of (5.1), we have

$$\|u^0(t)\|_{L^2} = \|u_0\|_{L^2} = \epsilon_0. \quad (5.7)$$

Therefore, there exists a  $T = \frac{1}{k^3}$  such that when  $t \geq T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^0(t) - u^k(t)\|_{L^2} &\geq \|u^0(t)\|_{L^2} - \|u^k(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\geq \epsilon_0 - Ce^{-\frac{k}{8}t} \\ &\geq \epsilon_0 - Ck^2 \\ &\geq \epsilon_0 - C\epsilon_0^2 \\ &= \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

where the second inequality is based on (5.6). This implies (5.3) ( $B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}} \hookrightarrow L^2$ ) and completes the proof Theorem 1.4.

## Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11801574, 11971485), Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (No. 2019JJ50788), Central South University Innovation-Driven Project for Young Scholars (No. 2019CX022) and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of Central South University, China (Nos. 2020zzts038, 2021zzts0041).

## References

- [1] H. BAHOURI, J.-Y. CHEMIN, AND R. DANCHIN, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, vol. 343 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

- [2] R. B. BIRD, R. C. ARMSTRONG, AND O. HASSAGER, *Dynamics of polymeric liquids: v. 1 fluid mechanics*, (1987).
- [3] F. CHARVE AND R. DANCHIN, *A global existence result for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the critical  $L^p$  framework*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 198 (2010), pp. 233–271.
- [4] J.-Y. CHEMIN AND N. LERNER, *Flot de champs de vecteurs non lipschitziens et équations de Navier-Stokes*, J. Differential Equations, 121 (1995), pp. 314–328.
- [5] J.-Y. CHEMIN AND N. MASMOUDI, *About lifespan of regular solutions of equations related to viscoelastic fluids*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 33 (2001), pp. 84–112.
- [6] Q. CHEN AND X. HAO, *Global well-posedness in the critical Besov spaces for the incompressible Oldroyd-B model without damping mechanism*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., 21 (2019), pp. 23–42.
- [7] Q. CHEN, C. MIAO, AND Z. ZHANG, *Global well-posedness for compressible Navier-Stokes equations with highly oscillating initial velocity*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 63 (2010), pp. 1173–1224.
- [8] P. CONSTANTIN AND M. KLIEGL, *Note on global regularity for two-dimensional Oldroyd-B fluids with diffusive stress*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 206 (2012), pp. 725–740.
- [9] J. WU, J. Z. CONSTANTIN, PETER AND Z. YI, *High reynolds number and high weissenberg number oldroyd-b model with dissipation*, J. Evol. Equ., 21 (2021).
- [10] R. DANCHIN, *Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations*, Invent. Math., 141 (2000), pp. 579–614.
- [11] R. DANCHIN, *Global existence in critical spaces for flows of compressible viscous and heat-conductive gases*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 160 (2001), pp. 1–39.
- [12] R. DANCHIN AND L. HE, *The incompressible limit in  $L^p$  type critical spaces*, Math. Ann., 366 (2016), pp. 1365–1402.
- [13] T. M. ELGINDI AND J. LIU, *Global wellposedness to the generalized Oldroyd type models in  $\mathbb{R}^3$* , J. Differential Equations, 259 (2015), pp. 1958–1966.
- [14] T. M. ELGINDI AND F. ROUSSET, *Global regularity for some Oldroyd-B type models*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 68 (2015), pp. 2005–2021.
- [15] C. GUILLOPÉ AND J.-C. SAUT, *Existence results for the flow of viscoelastic fluids with a differential constitutive law*, Nonlinear Anal., 15 (1990), pp. 849–869.
- [16] C. GUILLOPÉ AND J.-C. SAUT, *Global existence and one-dimensional nonlinear stability of shearing motions of viscoelastic fluids of Oldroyd type*, RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér., 24 (1990), pp. 369–401.
- [17] T. HMIDI, *Régularité höldérienne des poches de tourbillon visqueuses*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 84 (2005), pp. 1455–1495.
- [18] D. HU AND T. LELIÈVRE, *New entropy estimates for Oldroyd-B and related models*, Commun. Math. Sci., 5 (2007), pp. 909–916.

- [19] P. L. LIONS AND N. MASMOUDI, *Global solutions for some Oldroyd models of non-Newtonian flows*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B, 21 (2000), pp. 131–146.
- [20] Z. L. W. L AND Z. Y, *Vanishing viscosity limit to the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows*, arXiv-prints, (2020), arXiv:2010.15409.
- [21] J. G. OLDROYD, *Non-Newtonian effects in steady motion of some idealized elastico-viscous liquids*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 245 (1958), pp. 278–297.
- [22] S. X. RAYMOND, *Remarks on axisymmetric solutions of the incompressible euler system*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ., 19(1-2) (1994), pp. 321-334.
- [23] T. TAO, *Nonlinear dispersive equations*, vol. 106 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. Local and global analysis.
- [24] M. VISHIK, *Hydrodynamics in Besov spaces*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 145 (1998), pp. 197–214.
- [25] J. WU, *Global regularity for a class of generalized magnetohydrodynamic equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., 13 (2011), pp. 295–305.
- [26] Z. ZHAO AND J. WU, *Global regularity for the generalized incompressible Oldroyd-B model with only stress tensor dissipation in critical besov spaces*, preprint, (2021).
- [27] X. ZHAI, *Global solutions to the n-dimensional incompressible Oldroyd-B model without damping mechanism*, J. Math. Phys., 62 (2021), pp. 021503, 17.
- [28] X. ZHAI, Y. DAN AND Y. LI, *Global well-posedness and inviscid limits of the generalized Oldroyd type models*, arXiv e-prints, (2021), arXiv:2106.14785.
- [29] X. ZHAI, Y. LI, AND F. ZHOU, *Global large solutions to the three dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 52 (2020), pp. 1806–1843.
- [30] Y. ZHU, *Global small solutions of 3D incompressible Oldroyd-B model without damping mechanism*, J. Funct. Anal., 274 (2018), pp. 2039–2060.
- [31] R. ZI, D. FANG, AND T. ZHANG, *Global solution to the incompressible Oldroyd-B model in the critical  $L^p$  framework: the case of the non-small coupling parameter*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 213 (2014), pp. 651–687.