

Global well-posedness, stability and instability for the non-viscous Oldroyd-B model

Weikui Ye^{1*}

¹Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics,
P.O. Box 8009, Beijing 100088, P. R. China

Abstract. In this paper we consider the 3-dimensional incompressible Oldroyd-B model. First, we establish two results of the global existence for different kinds of the coupling coefficient k . Then, we prove that the solutions (u, τ) are globally steady when $k^m \rightarrow k > 0$, though (u, τ) corresponds to different decays for different kinds of $k > 0$. Finally, we show that the energy of $u(t, x)$ will have a jump when $k \rightarrow 0$ in large time, which implies a non-steady phenomenon. In a word, we find an interesting physical phenomenon of (1.2) such that smaller coupling coefficient k will have a better impact for the energy dissipation of (u, τ) , but k can't be too small to zero, or the dissipation will vanish instantly. While the damping term τ and $\mathbb{D}u$ always bring the well impact for the energy dissipation.

Keywords: Oldroyd-B model; global existence; stability; instability; decay; energy dissipation.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q35; 35A01; 35A02; 35B45; 76D05

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we study the incompressible Oldroyd-B model of the non-Newtonian fluid in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = k \operatorname{div}(\tau), \\ \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla)\tau - \eta \Delta \tau + \mu \tau + \mathbb{Q}(\nabla u, \tau) = \alpha \mathbb{D}u, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \tau(0, x) = \tau_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where u denotes the velocity, $\tau = \tau_{i,j}$ is the non-Newtonian part of the stress tensor (τ is a $d \times d$ symmetric matrix here) and p is a scalar pressure of fluid. $\mathbb{D}(u)$ is the symmetric part of the velocity gradient,

$$\mathbb{D}(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T).$$

The \mathbb{Q} above is a given bilinear form:

$$\mathbb{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) = \tau \Omega(u) - \Omega(u) \tau + b(\mathbb{D}(u) \tau + \tau \mathbb{D}(u)),$$

*email: 904817751@qq.com

where b is a parameter in $[-1, 1]$, $\Omega(u)$ is the skew-symmetric part of ∇u , i.e.

$$\Omega(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u - (\nabla u)^T).$$

The parameters ν, η, μ, α are non-negative and they are specific to the characteristic of the considered material, ν is the viscous coefficient, while η is the stress coefficient. In [19], μ and α correspond respectively to $1/We$ and $2(1 - \theta)/(WeRe)$, where Re is the Reynolds number, θ is the ratio between the relaxation and retardation times and We is the Weissenberg number. k is the coupling coefficient connecting the velocity u (kinetic energy) and the stress tensor τ (elastic potential energy).

The Oldroyd-B model describes the motion of some viscoelastic flows. Formulations about viscoelastic flows of Oldroyd-B type are first established by Oldroyd in [21]. For more detailed physical background and derivations about this model, we refer the readers to [2, 8, 18, 21].

When $\nu > 0$ and $\eta = 0$, Chemin and Masmoudi [5] first obtained the local solutions and global small solutions in the critical Besov spaces when $\nu > 0, \mu_1 > 0, \alpha > 0$, and $\eta = 0$. They get the global small solutions when the initial and coupling parametra is small, i.e. $(\mu_1 \alpha \leq c\mu_2\nu)$. The condition $\mu_1 \alpha \leq c\mu_2\nu$ means that coupling effect between the two equation is less important than the viscosity. Inspired by the work [3, 7], Zi, Fang and Zhang improved their results in the critical L^p framework for the case of non-small coupling parameters in [31]. Zhu [30] got small global smooth solutions of the 3D Oldroyd-B model with $\eta = 0, \mu = 0$ by observing the linearization of the system satisfies the damped wave equation. Inspired by the work of Zhu [30] and Danchin in [10], Chen and Hao [6] extended this small data global solution in Sobolev spaces to the critical Besov spaces. Moreover, Zhai [27] constructs global solutions for a class of highly oscillating initial velocities by observing the special structure of the system. In the corotational case, i.e. $b = 0$, Lions and Masmoudi established the existence of global weak solution in [19].

When $\nu = 0$ and $\eta > 0$, Elgindi and Rousset [14] established a global large solution in a certain sense by building a new quantity to avoid singular operators. Later, Liu and Elgindi [13] extend these results in $3d$ for totally small initial data $\|u_0, \tau_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}$, $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Recently, Constantin, Wu, Zhao and Zhu [9, 26] established these small data global solutions in the case of no damping mechanism and general tensor dissipation.

In this paper, we consider the global well-posedness, stability and instability for the Oldroyd-B model (1.1) with $\nu = 0$ and $\eta > 0$. Without lose of generality, we let $\nu = 0, a = 1, \mu = 1$ and $\eta = 1$. Since the coupling coefficient k is finite, we set $0 \leq k \leq 10$ in this paper, then (1.1) becomes:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = k \operatorname{div}(\tau), \\ \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla)\tau - \Delta \tau + \tau + Q(\nabla u, \tau) = \mathbb{D}u, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \tau(0, x) = \tau_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

When $k > 0$, since τ and $\mathbb{D}u$ are the damping term, some dissipations will appear on $\|\tau\|_{H^s}$ and $\|\mathbb{D}u\|_{H^{s-1}}$. However, when $k = 0$, since the system (1.2) decouples, all the dissipations will vanish. This implies that the coupling coefficient k plays a key role in energy dissipation, which is what we study on this paper.

Firstly, we introduce the global existence of (1.2). Recall that, for $d = 2$, by building a new quantity $\Gamma = w - \frac{\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{div}}{\Delta} \tau$ Elgindi and Rousset [14] established a class of global solutions for

(1.2), which need the following initial conditions:

$$\|u_0, \tau_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\operatorname{curl} u_0, \tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad (u_0, \tau_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad s > 2.$$

Since $H^s \hookrightarrow B_{2,1}^{s+1} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,1}^0$ with $s > 1$, their result means some large initial data for the global existence. However, when $d = 3$, it seems to be a challenge for the same conditions of initial data. Because a new term $w \nabla u$ appears in the equation of $w(t, x)$ in dimensional three, so as the equation of $\Gamma(t, x)$. This cause the main difficulty to obtain the global existence for (1.2).

To overcome this difficulty, we observe that the damping term $\mathbb{D}u$ and τ can bring more damping effect for $w(t, x)$ (so as $\Gamma(t, x)$) when the coupling term $k \operatorname{div}(\tau)$ is small enough. This will help us prove the global existence for a more general class of initial data such that:

$$\|u_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq k^4 \epsilon_0, \quad \forall k \in (0, \frac{1}{C^2 + 1}]. \quad (1.3)$$

By (1.3) we obtain the global existence of (1.2) without $(u_0, \tau_0) \in H^s$. Indeed, [14] used the following estimation ($\tilde{R} := -(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{div}(\cdot))$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\tilde{R}, u \cdot \nabla] \tau\|_{L^2(B_{\infty,1}^0)} &\leq C \|w\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty \cap L^2)} \|\tau\|_{L_t^2(B_{\infty,1}^\epsilon \cap L^2)} \\ &\leq C \|w\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty \cap L^2)} \|\tau\|_{L_t^2(H^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,1}^\epsilon(\mathbb{R}^2)$. With the help the convective term $u \nabla \Gamma$, we find that the H^s norms for w, τ are not required in (1.4). So our condition (1.3) implies a more general class of large initial data for global existence (see Remark 1.1). Moreover, for sufficient small k , we obtain the exponential decay in the critical Besov spaces. Here are two results of global existence.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(u_0, \tau_0) \in B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{3}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $p \in [1, \infty]$. If there exists a ϵ_0 small enough such that*

$$\|u_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} + \|\tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} \leq k^4 \epsilon_0 := \frac{k^4}{4(C^6 + 1)}, \quad \forall k \in (0, \frac{1}{C^2 + 1}],$$

then the solution (u, τ) of (1.2) exists globally in $C([0, \infty); B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{d}{p}}(\mathbb{R})) \times C([0, \infty); B_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^1([0, \infty); B^{2+\frac{d}{p}}(\mathbb{R}))$. Moreover, one have

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + k \|\tau(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} \leq C \|\nabla u_0, \tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} e^{-\frac{k}{4}t}. \quad (1.5)$$

Remark 1.1. *Since $B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,1}^0$, $p < \infty$. By Theorem 1.1 we claim that our result includes some large initial data. For example, choose φ be a smooth, radial and non-negative function in \mathbb{R}^2 such that*

$$\phi = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |\xi| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } |\xi| \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Let $(u_0, \tau_0) := \frac{1}{N}(\psi, \varphi)$, where $\psi, \varphi \in \mathbb{S}^3$, $\operatorname{div} \psi = 0$ and $F(\varphi) = (\phi(\xi - 2^N e), \phi(\xi - 2^N e), \phi(\xi - 2^N e))$ with $e = (1, 1)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Then, one can easily deduce that

$$\Delta_j \varphi = \varphi \quad \text{when } j = N; \quad \Delta_j \varphi = 0 \quad \text{when } j \neq N.$$

So for sufficient large N and $p < \infty$, we have

$$\|\tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} \approx \frac{2^{\frac{3}{p}N}}{N}, \quad \text{but } \|\tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} \leq \frac{C}{N}.$$

This implies the global existence for some large initial data, which is different from the result in [14, 9].

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(u_0, \tau_0) \in (H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), H^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $s > \frac{5}{2}$. If there exists a ϵ_0 small enough such that*

$$\|\nabla u_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{H^s} \leq k^6 \epsilon_0 = \frac{k^6}{4(C^6 + 1)}, \quad \forall k \in (0, 10] \quad (1.7)$$

then the solution (u, τ) of (1.2) exists globally in $C([0, \infty); H^s(\mathbb{R})) \times C([0, \infty); H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Moreover, one have

$$\|\tau(t)\|_{H^s} + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq C\epsilon_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.8)$$

Remark 1.2. [13] proved the global existence when $\|u_0, \tau_0\|_{H^s} \leq \epsilon_0$ ($s > \frac{5}{2}$), and the polynomial decay of $\|\tau(t)\|_{H^s} + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}$. Theorem 1.2 just attenuates the condition such that $\|u_0\|_{L^2}$ could be large, a small improvement.

Combining Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1, we find an interesting phenomenon. When the coupling coefficient k is large ($k \in (0, 10]$), by Theorem 1.2, we obtain the polynomial decay of $\|\nabla u, \tau\|_{L^2}$. However, when k is small ($k \in (0, \frac{1}{C^2+1}]$), by Theorem 1.1, we obtain the exponential decay of $\|\nabla u, \tau\|_{L^2}$. This implies that the size of the coupling coefficient k determines the extent of the decay of the velocity field u and the stress tensor τ . There will be a better decay for sufficient small k , since small k means small distraction for the equation of $u(t, x)$, while the damping term $\mathbb{D}u$ can develop a larger impact.

Next, by Remark 1.2, $\bar{k} = \frac{1}{C^2+1}$ seems to be a boundary between these two kinds of attenuation. Furthermore, one will ask whether the solutions are close to each other when $k \rightarrow \bar{k}$? The answer is true. Now we give a more general theorem to verify that all the solutions in above theorem will be close to each other when $k^m \rightarrow k$ for any fixed $k > 0$ and $t > 0$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(u_0, \tau_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Assume*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |k^m - k| = 0 \quad \text{for any fixed } k, k^m \in (0, 10]. \quad (1.9)$$

If the initial data satisfies

$$\|\nabla u_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{H^s} \leq k^6 \epsilon_0,$$

then we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u^m - u\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} + \|\tau^m - \tau\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty); H^s) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^{s+1})} = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

where (u^m, τ^m) are the global solutions of (1.2) with the coefficient k^m ($m \in \mathbb{N} \cap \infty$) and $(u^\infty, \tau^\infty) := (u, \tau)$.

However, the damping effect can not be better when the coupling coefficient k is too small that $k \rightarrow 0$. Because (1.2) will decouple as $k = 0$, which means the damping effect will vanish! As a result, (1.10) is no longer valid. Indeed, we will prove that the energy of u^k will have a jump when $k \rightarrow 0$ for large time. This implies the system (1.2) is not globally steady for $k \rightarrow 0$, while for local time (1.2) is steady in [20].

Set $\mathbb{A} := \{(u_0, \tau_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), s > \frac{5}{2} \mid (1.2) \text{ has a unique solution for any fixed } k\}$. Here is the unsteady result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (u^k, τ^k) be the corresponding solutions for (1.2) with every $k \in [0, \epsilon_0]$. Then there exists a large time $T(k)$ and a sequence $(u_0, \tau_0)(k) \in \mathbb{A}$ as initial data such that when $t \geq T(k)$, we have*

$$\|u - u^k\|_{L^2} \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2},$$

where $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{4(C^6+1)}$, a fixed constant.

Remark 1.3. *When $k \in (0, \frac{1}{C^2+1}]$, by Theorem 1.1, we obtain the exponential decay of $\|u\|_{L^2}$. However, when $k = 0$, by the classical Euler equation we deduce that $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}$ is conservative, while $\|\tau\|_{H^s}$ doesn't decay anymore. This implies that the sign of the coupling coefficient k determines whether the norm of the velocity field $u(t, x)$ has decay. In fact, when $k > 0$, since τ is a heat type equation with damping mechanisms τ and $\mathbb{D}u$, the coupling term $k \operatorname{div} \tau$ passes the decay of τ to u , but this process of transformation is transient for $k \rightarrow 0$ in large time (see Theorem 1.4).*

All in all, combining Theorem 1.1-Theorem 1.4 we conclude that larger coupling coefficient k will have a worse impact to the extent of the decay of (u, τ) , but it is necessary for the appearance of decay (k must be positive, or the decay will vanish instantly), while the damping term τ and $\mathbb{D}u$ always bring the well impact for the decay.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will give the tools (Littlewood-Paley decomposition and paradifferential calculus) and Besov spaces. In section 3, we prove the global existence of (1.2) for different kinds of k . In section 4, we prove the stability of (1.2) when $k^m \rightarrow k > 0$. In section 5, we show that the energy of $u^k(t, x)$ will have a jump when $k \rightarrow 0$ for large time, which implies the (1.2) is not globally steady for $k \rightarrow 0$.

Notation Throughout the paper, we denote the norms of usual Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by $\|u\|_{L^p}^p = \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. C_i and C denote different positive constants in different places.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will recall some properties about the Littlewood-Paley decomposition and Besov spaces.

Proposition 2.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be the annulus $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$. There exist radial functions χ and φ , valued in the interval $[0, 1]$, belonging respectively to $\mathcal{D}(B(0, \frac{4}{3}))$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$, and such that*

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \chi(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1,$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1,$$

$$|j - j'| \geq 2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Supp} \varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) \cap \operatorname{Supp} \varphi(2^{-j'}\cdot) = \emptyset,$$

$$j \geq 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Supp} \chi(\cdot) \cap \operatorname{Supp} \varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) = \emptyset.$$

The set $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = B(0, \frac{2}{3}) + \mathcal{C}$ is an annulus, and we have

$$|j - j'| \geq 5 \Rightarrow 2^j \mathcal{C} \cap 2^{j'} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \emptyset.$$

Further, we have

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \chi^2(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi^2(2^{-j}\xi) \leq 1,$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^2(2^{-j}\xi) \leq 1.$$

Definition 2.1. [1] Let u be a tempered distribution in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and \mathcal{F} be the Fourier transform and \mathcal{F}^{-1} be its inverse. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\Delta_j u = 0 \text{ if } j \leq -2, \quad \Delta_{-1} u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi \mathcal{F} u), \quad \Delta_j u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) \mathcal{F} u) \text{ if } j \geq 0, \quad S_j u = \sum_{j' < j} \Delta_{j'} u.$$

Then the Littlewood-Paley decomposition is given as follows:

$$u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. The nonhomogeneous Besov space $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by

$$B_{p,r}^s = B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \left\| (2^{js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^d)})_j \right\|_{l^r(\mathbb{Z})} < \infty\}.$$

Definition 2.2. [1] The homogeneous dyadic blocks $\dot{\Delta}_j$ are defined on the tempered distributions by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Delta}_j u &= \varphi(2^{-j} D) u := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) \hat{u}). \\ \dot{S}_j u &= \sum_{j' \leq j-1} \dot{\Delta}_{j'} u. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.3. We denote by S'_h the space of tempered distributions u such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow -\infty} \dot{S}_j u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'.$$

The homogeneous Littlewood-Paley decomposition is defined as

$$u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{\Delta}_j u, \quad \text{for } u \in S'_h.$$

Definition 2.4. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s$ is defined as

$$\dot{B}_{p,r}^s := \{u \in S'_h, \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} < \infty\},$$

where the homogeneous Besov norm is given by

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} := \left\| \{2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_j u\|_{L^p}\}_j \right\|_{l^r}.$$

In this paper, we use the "time-space" Besov spaces or Chemin-Lerner space first introduced by Chemin and Lerner in [4].

Definition 2.3. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < T \leq +\infty$. We define

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(B_{p,1}^s)} := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{js} \left(\int_0^T \|\Delta_j u(t)\|_{L^p}^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

for $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ and with the standard modification for $p, q = \infty$.

By the Minkowski's inequality, it is easy to verify that

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\lambda(B_{p,r}^s)} \leq \|u\|_{L_T^\lambda(B_{p,r}^s)} \quad \text{if } \lambda \leq r,$$

and

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\lambda(B_{p,r}^s)} \geq \|u\|_{L_T^\lambda(B_{p,r}^s)} \quad \text{if } \lambda \geq r.$$

The following Bernstein's lemma will be repeatedly used in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. [1] *Let \mathcal{B} is a ball and \mathcal{C} is a ring of \mathbb{R}^d . There exists constant C such that for any positive λ , any non-negative integer k , any smooth homogeneous function σ of degree m , any couple $(p, q) \in [1, \infty]^2$ with $q \geq p \geq 1$, and any function $u \in L^p$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \hat{u} \subset \lambda \mathcal{B} &\Rightarrow \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^q} \leq C^{k+1} \lambda^{k+d(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \|u\|_{L^p}, \\ \text{supp } \hat{u} \subset \lambda \mathcal{C} &\Rightarrow C^{-k-1} \lambda^k \|u\|_{L^p} \leq \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^p} \leq C^{k+1} \lambda^k \|u\|_{L^p}, \\ \text{supp } \hat{u} \subset \lambda \mathcal{C} &\Rightarrow \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\sigma(D)u\|_{L^p} \leq C_{\sigma,m} \lambda^{m+d(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \|u\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will give the paraproducts and product estimates in Besov spaces. Recalling the paraproduct decomposition

$$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u, v),$$

where

$$T_u v := \sum_q S_{q-1} u \Delta_q v, \quad R(u, v) := \sum_q \Delta_q u \tilde{\Delta}_q v, \quad \text{and } \tilde{\Delta}_q = \Delta_{q-1} + \Delta_q + \Delta_{q+1}.$$

The paraproduct T and the remainder R operators satisfy the following continuous properties.

Proposition 2.2. [1] *For all $s \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0$, and $1 \leq p, p_1, p_2, r, r_1, r_2 \leq \infty$, the paraproduct T is a bilinear, continuous operator from $L^\infty \times B_{p,r}^s$ to $B_{p,r}^s$ and from $B_{p_1,r_1}^{-\sigma} \times \dot{B}_{p_2,r_2}^s$ to $B_{p,r}^{s-\sigma}$ with $\frac{1}{r} = \min\{1, \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}\}$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. The remainder R is bilinear continuous from $B_{p_1,r_1}^{s_1} \times B_{p_2,r_2}^{s_2}$ to $B_{p,r}^{s_1+s_2}$ with $s_1 + s_2 > 0$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \leq 1$, and $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} \leq 1$. In particular, if $r = \infty$, the continuous property for the remainder R also holds for the case $s_1 + s_2 = 0, r = \infty, \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} = 1$.*

Combining the above proposition with Lemma 2.1 yields the following product estimates:

Corollary 2.1. [1] *Let a and b be in $L^\infty \cap B_{p,r}^s$ for some $s > 0$ and $(p, r) \in [1, \infty]^2$. Then there exists a constant C depending only on d, p and such that*

$$\|ab\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \leq C(\|a\|_{L^\infty} \|b\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|b\|_{L^\infty} \|a\|_{B_{p,r}^s}).$$

Finally, we intruduce some useful results about the following heat conductive equation and the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u + \beta u = G, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \beta \geq 0, t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} f_t + v \cdot \nabla f + \beta f = g, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \beta \geq 0, t > 0, \\ f(0, x) = f_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

which are crucial to the proof of our main theorem later.

Lemma 2.2. [1] Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ and $k \geq 0$, it holds that

$$\|\nabla^k e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^q} \leq C t^{-\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $1 \leq q, q_1, p, r \leq \infty$ with $q_1 \leq q$. Assume u_0 in $B_{p,r}^s$, and G in $\tilde{L}_T^{q_1}(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q}})$. Then (2.1) has a unique solution u in $\tilde{L}_T^q(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q}})$ and satisfies

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q}})} \leq C_1 \left(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + (1 + T^{1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{q_1}}) \|G\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_1}(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q_1}-2})} \right). \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, if $\beta > 0$, without loss of generality we set $\beta = 1$, one have

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q}})} \leq C_1 \left(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|G\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_1}(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q_1}-2})} \right), \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\|ue^{\theta t}\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q}})} \leq \frac{C_1}{1-\theta} \left(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|e^{\theta t} G\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_1}(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q_1}-2})} \right), \quad (2.5)$$

where $0 \leq \theta < 1$.

Proof. (2.3) can be founded in [1], we should only prove (2.4). Indeed, since

$$\Delta_j u = e^{-t} e^{t\Delta} \Delta_j u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Delta_j G ds,$$

when $j \geq 0$, by $\|e^{t\Delta} \Delta_j u\|_{L^p} \leq C e^{-2^{2j}t} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p}$ one can easily get

$$\|2^{s+\frac{2}{q}} \|u\|_{L_T^q L^p} \|1_{j \geq 0} l^r \leq C_1 \left(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|G\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_1}(B_{p,r}^{s+\frac{2}{q_1}-2})} \right).$$

When $j = -1$, by $\|e^{t\Delta} \Delta_{-1} u\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Delta_{-1} u\|_{L^p}$ we have

$$\|\Delta_{-1} u\|_{L_T^q L^p} \leq C_1 \left(\|\Delta_{-1} u_0\|_{L^p} + \|\Delta_{-1} G\|_{L_T^{q_1}(L^p)} \right).$$

Combining the above two inequality, we obtain (2.4). To prove (2.5), since

$$(e^{\theta t} \Delta_j u) = e^{-(1-\theta)t} e^{t\Delta} \Delta_j u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(1-\theta)(t-s)} e^{(t-s)\Delta} (e^{\theta s} \Delta_j G) ds,$$

one can take the similar operators to obtain (2.5). \square

Lemma 2.4. [1] Let $s \in [\max\{-\frac{d}{p}, -\frac{d}{p'}\}, \frac{d}{p} + 1](s = 1 + \frac{d}{p}, r = 1; s = \max\{-\frac{d}{p}, -\frac{d}{p'}\}, r = \infty)$. There exists a constant C such that for all solutions $f \in L^\infty([0, T]; B_{p,r}^s)$ of (2.2) with initial data f_0 in $B_{p,r}^s$, and g in $L^1([0, T]; B_{p,r}^s)$, we have, for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t)\|_{B_{p,r}^s} &\leq C \left(\|f_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \int_0^t V'(t') \|f(t')\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|g(t')\|_{B_{p,r}^s} dt' \right) \\ &\leq e^{C_2 V(t)} \left(\|f_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \int_0^t e^{-C_2 V(t')} \|g(t')\|_{B_{p,r}^s} dt' \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $V(t) = \int_0^t \|\nabla v\|_{B_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}} \cap L^\infty} ds$ (if $s = 1 + \frac{1}{p}, r = 1$, $V'(t) = \int_0^t \|\nabla v\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}} ds$).

Remark 2.1. [1] If $\operatorname{div} v = 0$, we can get the same result with a better indicator: $\max\{-\frac{d}{p}, -\frac{d}{p'}\} - 1 < s < \frac{d}{p} + 1$ (or $s = \max\{-\frac{d}{p}, -\frac{d}{p'}\} - 1, r = \infty$).

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\beta > 0$. There exists a constant C such that for all smooth solutions of (2.2) with initial data f_0 in L^p , and $\nabla v, g$ in $L^1([0, T]; L^p)$, we have, for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\|f(t)\|_{L_t^1 \cap L_t^p(L^p)} \leq C e^{V(t)} (\|f_0\|_{L^p} + \int_0^t \|g(t')\|_{L^p} dt'), \quad (2.7)$$

where $V(t) = \int_0^t \|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^\infty} ds$.

Proof. With loss of generality, we set $\beta = 1$. (2.2) can be rewrite as

$$dt(e^t f) + u \nabla(e^t f) = (e^t g).$$

Then one can easily deduce that

$$\|f(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C e^{V(t)} (\|f_0\|_{L^p} + \int_0^t \|e^{-(t-t')} g(t')\|_{L^p} dt'), \quad (2.8)$$

which implies (2.7) by Young inequality. \square

3 Global existence

The proof of Theorem 1.1:

Proof. Generally speaking, the bootstrap argument starts with an assumption. Let T^* be the maximal existence time of the solution, for any $0 \leq t < T^*$,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} \leq k^2 \epsilon_0, \quad \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)} \leq k \epsilon_0, \quad \epsilon_0 := \frac{1}{4^6(C^6 + 1)}. \quad (3.1)$$

where C is a fixed positive constant, and $0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{4(C^2 + 1)}$. Let the initial data (u_0, τ_0) be small enough such that

$$\|u_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} + \|b_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} \leq k^4 \epsilon_0. \quad (3.2)$$

We will divide the proof into 4 sections.

(1). First, we give the estimation of $\|\nabla u\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0)}$.

Applying Lemma 2.4 and (3.1) to the first equation of (1.2), we have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^1)} \leq C e^{Ck^2\delta} (\|u_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} + k \|\tau\|_{L^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)}) \leq C(k^4 \epsilon_0 + k^2 \epsilon_0) \leq Ck^2 \epsilon_0, \quad (3.3)$$

(2). Then, we estimate $\|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)}$.

Applying the Lemma 2.3 to the second equation of (??), it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)} &\leq \|\tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \int_0^t \|Q(\nabla u, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \|u \cdot \nabla \tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} ds \\ &\leq C(\|\tau_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^1)} \|\tau\|_{L_t^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)}) \\ &\leq C(k^4 \epsilon_0 + k^2 \epsilon_0 + k^2 \epsilon_0 \|\tau\|_{L_t^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)}), \\ &\leq C(k^4 \epsilon_0 + k^2 \epsilon_0) \leq Ck^2 \epsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where the last inequality holds by (3.1) and (3.2).

(3). Next, we estimate $\|\nabla u\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)}$ and complete the bootstrap argument. We establish a new quantity[]:

$$\Gamma = w - k\tilde{R}\tau, \quad \tilde{R} = -(-\Delta)^{-1}\text{curl}(\text{div}(\cdot)), \quad (3.5)$$

and get the following equation of Γ :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Gamma + k\Gamma + u \cdot \nabla \Gamma - k[\tilde{R}, u \cdot \nabla]\tau = w\nabla u - k\tilde{R}(Q(\nabla u, \tau)), \\ \Gamma(0, x) = w_0 - k\tilde{R}\tau_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Applying the Δ_j to the (3.6), note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j(u \cdot \nabla \Gamma - k[\tilde{R}, u \cdot \nabla]\tau) &= \Delta_j(u \cdot \nabla w - k\tilde{R}(u \cdot \nabla \tau)) \\ &= \Delta_j T_u \nabla w - k\Delta_j \tilde{R} T_u \nabla \tau + f_j \\ &= S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w + (\Delta_j T_u \nabla w - S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w) - (k T_u \nabla \Delta_j \tilde{R} \tau + k[\Delta_j \tilde{R}, T_u \nabla]\tau) + f_j \\ &= S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j \Gamma + (\Delta_j T_u \nabla w - S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w) - k[\Delta_j \tilde{R}, T_u \nabla]\tau + f_j \\ &= S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j \Gamma + (\Delta_j T_u \nabla w - S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w) - k[\Delta_j \tilde{R}, T_u \nabla]\tau + f_j, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$f_j = \Delta_j T_{\nabla w} u + \Delta_j R(\nabla w, u) - k\Delta_j \tilde{R} T_{\nabla \tau} u - k\tilde{R} \Delta_j R(u, \nabla \tau).$$

So we have

$$\partial_t \Delta_j \Gamma + K \Delta_j \Gamma + S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j \Gamma + (\Delta_j T_u \nabla w - S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w) - K[\Delta_j \tilde{R}, T_u \nabla]\tau + f_j = G_j, \quad (3.8)$$

where $G_j := \Delta_j(w \nabla u) - k\tilde{R} \Delta_j(Q(\nabla u, \tau))$.

Firstly, we estimate the nonlinear terms of (3.8).

By Lemma 10.25 in [1], we get the commutator estimations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_j \|(\Delta_j T_u \nabla w - S_{j-1} u \nabla \Delta_j w)\|_{L^\infty} + k\|[\Delta_j \tilde{R}, T_u \nabla]\tau\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(k+1)\|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0}(\|w\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \|\tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^2}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By Bony decomposition f_j and G_j can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \|f_j\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \sum_j \|\Delta_j T_{\nabla w} u\|_{L^\infty} + k \sum_j \|\Delta_j \tilde{R} T_{\nabla \tau} u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Delta_j R(u, \nabla \tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Delta_j R(u, \nabla \tau)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(1+k)\|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0}(\|\tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^2} + \|u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\sum_j \|G_j\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+k)\|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0}(\|\tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^2} + \|u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1}), \quad (3.11)$$

where we use the fact that $w \nabla u = \text{div}(w \otimes u)$ with $\text{div} w = \text{div} \text{curl} u = 0$ in three dimension.

Then, applying Lemma 2.5 with $p = \infty$ to (3.8) and taking $\sum_{j \geq -1}$, by (3.9)-(3.11) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0)} + k\|\Gamma\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} &\leq C(\|\Gamma_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \int_0^t C(1+k)(\|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} + \|\tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^2})\|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} ds \\ &\leq C(k^4 \epsilon_0 + (1+k)(k^2 \epsilon_0)^2) \leq Ck^4 \epsilon_0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

So we have

$$\|\Gamma\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} \leq Ck^3\epsilon_0.$$

Combining (3.5), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} &= C(k\|\tau\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} + \|\Gamma\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)}) \\ &\leq C(k^3\epsilon_0 + k^3\epsilon_0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}k^2\epsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where ϵ_0 and k satisfies (3.1) and (3.2). Using the bootstrap argument for (3.5) and (3.13), we obtain that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} \leq k^2\delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^2)} \leq k\delta, \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*). \quad (3.14)$$

Now, one can obtain the global existence of (u, τ) in $C([0, \infty); B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}) \times \left(C([0, \infty); B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}}) \cap L^1([0, \infty); B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}+2}) \right)$ easily, since (3.14) can be the blow-up criteria for (1.2). Indeed, applying Lemma 2.3–2.4 to (1.2), we have

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}})} \leq \|u_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} + C \int_0^t \|u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} \|u\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} + k\|\tau\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} ds, \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\|\tau\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}}) \cap L_t^1(B_{p,1}^{2+\frac{2}{p}})} \leq \|\tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}}} + C \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2} \|\tau\|_{B_{p,1}^{2+\frac{2}{p}}} + \|\tau\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} + \|u\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} dt \quad (3.16)$$

Combining (3.15)–(3.16) with Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}})} + \|\tau\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}}) \cap L_t^1(B_{p,1}^{2+\frac{2}{p}})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} + \|\tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}}}) e^{Ct} \leq Ce^t, \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*). \quad (3.17)$$

This implies $T^* = \infty$.

(4) Finally, to complete the proof of Theorem ??, we now prove the exponential decay. Rewrite (3.6) :

$$\partial_t(e^{kt}\Gamma) + u \cdot \nabla(e^{kt}\Gamma) - k[\tilde{R}, u \cdot \nabla](e^{kt}\tau) = w\nabla(e^{kt}u) - k\tilde{R}(Q(\nabla u, (e^{kt}\tau))). \quad (3.18)$$

Since $w = \Gamma + k\tilde{R}\tau$, applying (2.4) in Lemma 2.3 one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{kt}\Gamma(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} &\leq C(\|\Gamma_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} (\|e^{ks}\nabla u\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \|e^{ks}\tau\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+2}}) ds) \\ &\leq C(k^4\epsilon_0 + k^2\epsilon_0\|e^{kt}\tau\|_{L_t^1(B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+2})} + \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} \|e^{ks}\Gamma\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} ds) \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where $0 < k \leq \frac{1}{C^2+1} \leq \frac{1}{16}$ and $\|\nabla u\|_{L_T^1(B_{\infty,1}^0)} \leq k^4\epsilon_0$.

Recall the equation of τ in (1.2), one have

$$\partial_t(e^t\tau) - \Delta(e^t\tau) + u \cdot \nabla(e^t\tau) + Q(\nabla u, (e^{kt}\tau)) = e^t\mathbb{D}u. \quad (3.20)$$

That is

$$e^{kt}\tau = e^{t\Delta}e^{-(1-k)t}\tau_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}e^{-(1-k)(t-s)}(e^{ks}F),$$

where $F := -u \cdot \nabla(\tau) - Q(\nabla u, (\tau)) + \mathbb{D}u$. Applying (2.5) with $k = \theta$ in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{kt}\tau(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \|e^{ks}\tau\|_{L_t^1(B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+2})} &\leq C(\|\tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \int_0^t C\|u\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} \|e^{kt}\tau\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+2}} + \|e^{kt}\nabla u\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} ds \\ &\leq C(\|\tau_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + Ck\|e^{ks}\tau\|_{L_T^1(B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+2})} + \int_0^t \|e^{kt}\Gamma\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} ds) \\ &\leq C(k^4\epsilon_0 + \int_0^t \|e^{kt}\Gamma\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} ds), \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Combining (3.20) with $\frac{k}{4(C+1)} \times (3.21)$, and applying Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$e^{kt}(k\|\tau(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \|\Gamma\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}}) \leq C(\|\tau_0 + \nabla u_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}})e^{\frac{k}{4}t} \leq Ck^3e^{\frac{k}{4}t}, \quad (3.22)$$

where we use $\epsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{4(C^6+1)}$ and $k \leq \frac{1}{C^2+1}$ by (3.1). Since $w = \Gamma + k\tilde{R}\tau$, we obtain

$$k\|\tau(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} + \|w(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}} \leq C(\|\tau_0 + \nabla u_0\|_{B_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}})e^{-\frac{k}{2}t}.$$

This complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.2:

The proof is similar to [13], we give the proof briefly.

Proof. For $0 < k \leq 10$, assume that for any $0 \leq t < T < T^*$ we have

$$\|\nabla u, \nabla \tau\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|\nabla \tau\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 \leq k^4\delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 \leq k^4\delta^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

where $\delta := 16(C^2 + 1)\epsilon_0$ and $\epsilon_0 := \frac{1}{4(C^6+1)}$ for a fixed large constant C . Set the initial data such that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + \|\tau_0\|_{H^s}^2 \leq k^6\epsilon_0^2,$$

Firstly, taking the L^2 and \dot{H}^1 inner product of (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\|\tau\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \|\tau\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 &\leq \|\tau_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\tau\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq Ck^6(\epsilon_0 + \delta^{\frac{5}{2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\tau\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\tau\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 &\leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + k\|\tau_0\|_{L^2}^2 + k\int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq (\|u_0\|_{L^2} + k\|\tau_0\|_{L^2} + k\delta\|\tau\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2) \\ &\leq Ck^2(\|u_0\|_{L^2} + k\|\tau_0\|_{L^2}) \leq Ck^4\epsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 ds &\leq C\int_0^t (\|\tau\|_{H^2}^2 + \|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 \|\tau\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla \tau\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + k\|\nabla \tau\|_{L^2}^2) ds + \|\tau\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq Ck^4\delta^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\nabla \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\nabla \tau\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 \\ &\leq \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + k\|\nabla \tau_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t a\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + k\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\tau\|_{H^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq Ck^6(\epsilon_0 + \delta^{\frac{5}{2}}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Then, taking the \dot{H}^s inner product of (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^s \cap \dot{H}^{s+1})}^2 \\
& \leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + k\|\tau_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \int_0^t a\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + k\|\nabla u\|_{H^{s-1}}\|\tau\|_{\dot{H}^{s+1}}^2 ds \\
& \leq Ck^6(\epsilon_0^2 + \delta^{\frac{5}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 ds & \leq C \int_0^t (\|\tau\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 \|\nabla \tau\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2) + \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 \|\nabla \tau\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
& \quad + k\|\nabla \tau\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \|\tau\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}} \\
& \leq Ck^4 \delta^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Combining (3.25)-(3.28) with the bootstrap argument, we finally obtain for any $0 \leq t < T^*$

$$\|\nabla u, \nabla \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|\nabla \tau\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}k^4 \delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}k^4 \delta^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

Finally, since the proof of (1.8) can refer to [13], this complete the proof. \square

4 Global stability for $0 < k \leq 10$

In this section, we will give the prove of Theorem 1.3. Firstly, we give the global stability for (1.2) in a weaker space $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(u_1, \tau_1), (u_2, \tau_2)$ be two global strong solutions of (1.2) in Theorem 1.2 with fixed $0 < k \leq 10$, then for any $t > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u^1 - u^2, \tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 + \|\nabla(u^1 - u^2)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-2})}^2 \\
& \leq \frac{C}{k} \|u_0^1 - u_0^2, \tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{H^{s-1}}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Give the equation of $(u^1 - u^2, \tau^1 - \tau^2)$:

$$\begin{cases}
(u^1 - u^2)_t + u^1 \nabla(u^1 - u^2) + (u^1 - u^2) \nabla u^2 + \nabla(P^1 - P^2) = k \operatorname{div}(\tau^1 - \tau^2), \\
(\tau^1 - \tau^2)_t + (\tau^1 - \tau^2) - \Delta(\tau^1 - \tau^2) + u^1 \nabla(\tau^1 - \tau^1) + (u^1 - u^2) \nabla \tau^1 \\
+ Q(\nabla(u^1 - u^2), \tau^1) + Q(\nabla u^1, (\tau^1 - \tau^2)) = \mathbb{D}(u^1 - u^2)
\end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

By Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\|\nabla u_i, \nabla \tau_i\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|\nabla \tau_i\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 \leq C\delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u_i\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 \leq C\delta^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where $\delta := \frac{\epsilon_0}{16(C^2+1)}$ and $\epsilon_0 := \frac{1}{4(C^6+1)}$.

Similarly to (3.24),(3.25),(3.27) and (3.28) in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we also use the energy

method and have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + k\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 \\
& \leq \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + Ck\|\tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \|u^2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^3} + k(\|\tau_2\|_{H^s} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^2} \|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{H^1} + \|u_2\|_{H^s} \|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{H^1}^2) ds \\
& \leq \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\|_{L^2}^2 + k\|\tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \|u^2\|_{L^6}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|u^1 - u^2\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 + \|u^2\|_{L^6}^2 \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L^2}^2 ds + k\delta(\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 + \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2) ds \\
& \leq C(\|u_0^1 - u_0^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^{\frac{2}{3}} \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^1)}^2), \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \|\nabla(u^1 - u^2)\|_{L^2}^2 ds & \leq C \int_0^t (\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{H^{s-1}}^2) (\|\tau_2, \tau_1\|_{H^s} + \|\nabla u_2, \nabla u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}) \\
& \quad + \|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{H^2} ds + C\|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{L^2} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\dot{H}^1} \\
& \leq C[\delta(\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2) + \|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^1)}^2]. \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{s-1})}^2 + k\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{s-1})}^2 + k\|\tau\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{s-1} \cap \dot{H}^s)}^2 \\
& \leq C[\|u_0^1 - u_0^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \delta^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-2})}^2]. \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla(u^1 - u^2)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-2}}^2 ds \leq C(\|\tau_1 - \tau_2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1}) \cap L_t^2(H^s)}^2 + \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2) \tag{4.6}$$

Then, let $[(5.8)+(4.5)] + \frac{k}{16(C+1)(k+1)}[(4.4)+(4.6)]$, since $0 < k \leq 10$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + k\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + k\|\tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 + \|\nabla(u^1 - u^2)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-2})}^2 \\
& \leq C(\|\tau_0^1 - \tau_0^2\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\|_{H^{s-1}}^2). \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies (4.1). \square

Secondly, we give the global stability in the original space $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(u_0, \tau_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Assume that (u_0, τ_0) satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.2 such that*

$$\|\nabla u_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{H^s} \leq k^6 \epsilon_0, \quad \text{for fixed } k \in (0, 10].$$

If there exists a sequence $(u_0^n, \tau_0^n) \in (H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), H^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_0^n - u_0, \tau_0^n - \tau_0\|_{H^s} = 0,$$

then for any $t > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u^n - u\|_{L_t^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} + \|u^n - u\|_{L_t^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. Since the smallness of (u_0, τ_0) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_0^n - u_0, \tau_0^n - \tau_0\|_{H^s} = 0$, let (u_j^n, τ_j^n) be the solutions of (1.2) with the initial data $(S_j u_0^n, S_j \tau_j^n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$), then by Theorem 1.2 (also use the bootstrap argument), (u_j^n, τ_j^n) are global solutions. Moreover, one can deduce that

$$\|\nabla u_j^n, k \nabla \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + k \|\nabla \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 \leq C \delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 \leq C \delta^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (4.9)$$

Since (4.9) is the blow-up criterion of (1.2), we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_j^n, k \nabla \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 + k \|\nabla \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^2(H^{s+1})}^2 + \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 &\leq C \|S_j u_0, S_j \tau_0\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s+1})}^2 \\ &\leq C (2^j \|u_0, \tau_0\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Now, by Lemma 4.1, for fixed $0 < k \leq 10$ we already have

$$\|u^n - u, \tau^n - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty([0, \infty); H^{s-1})} \leq \frac{C}{k} \|u_0^n - u_0, \tau_0^n - \tau_0\|_{L_t^\infty([0, \infty); H^{s-1})} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.11)$$

In order to verify (4.8), we should only prove the high frequency estimation $\|u^n - u, \tau^n - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)} \rightarrow 0$. Our main idea is to estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^n - u, \tau^n - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 &\leq \|u^n - u_j^n, \tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|u_j^\infty - u^\infty, \tau_j^\infty - \tau^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where $u^\infty := u, \tau^\infty := \tau$. The proof will be divided into three parts.

(1) estimate $\|u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2$ for fixed j

Firstly, we give the equation of $(u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty)$:

$$\begin{cases} (u_j^n - u_j^\infty)_t + u_j^n \nabla(u_j^n - u_j^\infty) + (u_j^n - u_j^\infty) \nabla u_j^\infty + \nabla(P_j^n - P_j^\infty) = k \operatorname{div}(\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty), \\ (\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty)_t + (\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty) - \Delta(\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty) + u_j^n \nabla(\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty) + (u_j^n - u_j^\infty) \nabla \tau_j^\infty \\ \quad + Q(\nabla(u_j^n - u_j^\infty), \tau_j^\infty) + Q(\nabla u_j^n, (\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty)) = \mathbb{D}(u_j^n - u_j^\infty) \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

By Lemma 4.1 we easily get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^2(H^s)}^2 + \|\nabla(u_j^n - u_j^\infty)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-2})}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{k} \|S_j u_0^n - S_j u_0^\infty, S_j \tau_0^n - S_j \tau_0^\infty\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{k} \|u_0^n - u_0^\infty, \tau_0^n - \tau_0^\infty\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Then, taking the \dot{H}^s inner product of (1.2) (similar to (4.5) and (4.6)), by (4.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_j^n - u_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k \|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k \|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^s \cap \dot{H}^{s+1})}^2 \\ &\leq C [\|u_0^n - u_0^\infty\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\tau_0^n - \tau_0^\infty\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \delta^{\frac{2}{3}} (\|\nabla(u_j^n - u_j^\infty)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 + 2^j \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty\|_{L_t^2(L^\infty)}^2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla(u_j^n - u_j^\infty)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 ds \leq C (\|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s) \cap L_t^2(H^{s+1})}^2 + \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2) \quad (4.16)$$

Combing (4.15) with $\frac{k}{16(C+1)(k+1)}$ (4.16), we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 + k\|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 &\leq C(2^j + 1)(\|u_0^n - u_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + \|\tau_0^n - \tau_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 \\ &\quad + k\|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^s \cap \dot{H}^{s+1})}^2) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for fixed } j. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

(2) estimate $\|u^n - u_j^n, \tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2$ **for any** $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$

We give the equation of $(u_j^n - u^n, \tau_j^n - \tau^n)$

$$\begin{cases} (u_j^n - u^n)_t + u_j^n \nabla(u_j^n - u^n) + (u_j^n - u^n) \nabla u_n + \nabla(P_j^n - P_n) = k \operatorname{div}(\tau_j^n - \tau^n), \\ (\tau_j^n - \tau^n)_t + (\tau_j^n - \tau^n) - \Delta(\tau_j^n - \tau^n) + u_j^n \nabla(\tau_j^n - \tau^n) + (u_j^n - u^n) \nabla \tau^n \\ + Q(\nabla(u_j^n - u^n), \tau^n) + Q(\nabla u_j^n, (\tau_j^n - \tau^n)) = \mathbb{D}(u_j^n - u^n) \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

The operators are similar to Lemma 4.1. The only difference is the high order term:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \langle \nabla^s[(u^n - u_j^n) \nabla u_j^n], \nabla^s(u^n - u_j^n) \rangle ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{L^\infty} \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + C \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L^\infty} \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \delta \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L^\infty}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \delta \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} \|\nabla u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \|\nabla(u^n - u_j^n)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-2}}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \delta \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} (2^j \delta)^2 \|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 \\ &\leq C \delta \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \delta \|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where the fourth inequality holds by Lemma 4.1 and (4.10), and we use the fact that $\|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 \leq C 2^{-j} \|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2$.

Then, similar to (4.5) and (4.6) in Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^s \cap \dot{H}^{s+1})}^2 \\ &\leq C(\|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\tau_0^n - S_j \tau_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \delta^{\frac{3}{4}}(\|\nabla(u^n - u_j^n)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2 + \|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla(u^n - u_j^n)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 ds \leq C(\|\tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s) \cap L_t^2(H^{s+1})}^2 + \|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2) \quad (4.21)$$

Combing(4.20) with $\frac{k}{16(C+1)(k+1)} \times (4.21)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u^n - u_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + k\|\tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^s \cap \dot{H}^{s+1})}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla(u^n - u_j^n)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}^2 ds \\ &\leq C(\|u_0^n - S_j u_0^n, \tau_0^n - S_j \tau_0^n\|_{H^s} + \delta \|\nabla(u^n - u_j^n)\|_{L_t^2(H^{s-1})}^2) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

(3) Complete the proof

Combing (4.22),(4.17) with (4.12), one obtain that

$$\|u^n - u^\infty, \tau^n - \tau^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In fact, for any $\epsilon > 0$, by (4.22), there exists a $M(\epsilon)$ such that, when $j \geq M$, we have

$$\|u^n - u_j^n, \tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \infty.$$

Then, for this j , by (4.17), there exists a $\bar{M}(j, \epsilon)$ such that, when $n \geq \bar{M}$, we have

$$\|u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

where \bar{M} is dependent on j, ϵ , since j is dependent on $M(\epsilon)$, this implies that \bar{M} is dependent on ϵ . Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^n - u, \tau^n - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 &\leq \|u^n - u_j^n, \tau^n - \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 + \|u_j^n - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^n - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|u_j^\infty - u^\infty, \tau_j^\infty - \tau^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Combining with (4.11), that is

$$\|u^n - u^\infty, \tau^n - \tau^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Thanks to the globally steady result in Theorem 4.1, now we can prove Theorem 1.3 easily.

Proof of Theorem 1.3:

Proof. To prove

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u^m - u, \tau^m - \tau\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} = 0$$

for $k^m \rightarrow k$, $m \rightarrow \infty$. Our main idea is to estimate

$$\|u^m - u, \tau^m - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 \leq \|u^m - u_j^m, \tau^m - \tau_j^m\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 + \|u_j^m - u_j, \tau_j^m - \tau_j\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 + \|u_j - u, \tau_j - \tau\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2, \quad (4.24)$$

where (u^m, τ^m) are the solutions of (1.2) with the coefficient k^m and the same initial data (u_0, τ_0) ; (u_j^m, τ_j^m) are the solutions of (1.2) with the coefficient k^m and the same initial data $(S_j u_0, S_j \tau)$ ($m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$, $k^\infty = k$, $u_j^\infty := u_j$, $\tau_j^\infty := \tau_j$).

Firstly, we estimate the term $\|u_j^m - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^m - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2$ with fix j .

We have

$$\begin{cases} (u_j^m - u_j)_t + u_j^m \nabla(u_j^m - u_j) + (u_j^m - u_j) \nabla u_j + \nabla(P_j^m - P_j) = k \operatorname{div}(\tau_j^m - \tau_j) + (k^m - k) \operatorname{div} \tau_j^m, \\ (\tau_j^m - \tau_j)_t + (\tau_j^m - \tau_j) - \Delta(\tau_j^m - \tau_j) + u_j^m \nabla(\tau_j^m - \tau_j) + (u_j^m - u_j) \nabla \tau_j \\ + Q(\nabla(u_j^m - u_j), \tau_j) + Q(\nabla u_j^m, (\tau_j^m - \tau_j)) = \mathbb{D}(u_j^m - u_j) \end{cases} \quad (4.25)$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, by the energy estimations we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_j^m - u_j^\infty, \tau_j^m - \tau_j^\infty\|_{L_t^\infty(H^s)}^2 &\leq C(2^j + 1)(\|u_0^m - u_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + \|\tau_0^m - \tau_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + (k^m - k) \|\operatorname{div} \tau_j^n\|_{L_t^2 H^s}^2) \\ &\leq C(2^j + 1)(\|u_0^m - u_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + \|\tau_0^m - \tau_0^\infty\|_{H^s} + (k^m - k)) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for fixed } j. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Then, by Theorem 4.1, we see that system (1.2) is globally steady for small initial data. Since $\|u_0 - S_j u_0, \tau_0 - S_j \tau_0\|_{\dot{H}^s} \rightarrow 0$, $j \rightarrow \infty$, so we have

$$\|u^m - u_j^m, \tau^m - \tau_j^m\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^s)}^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for any } m \in \mathbb{N} \cap \infty. \quad (4.27)$$

Finally, combining (4.26), (4.27) with (4.24), we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u^m - u\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} + \|u^m - u\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty); H^s)} = 0.$$

□

5 Instability for $k \rightarrow 0$.

Indeed, by (4.1) in Lemma 4.1, one can see that $\|u^1 - u^2, \tau^1 - \tau^2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s-1})}^2$ can not be controlled by their initial data as $k \rightarrow 0$. In this section, we will prove that the system (1.2) is really unsteady as $k \rightarrow 0$ by showing that the L^2 norm of $u^k(t, x)$ will have a jump for large time. **Proof of Theorem 1.4:** Let $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{64(C^4+1)}$ be the fixed small constant in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Recall the system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = k \operatorname{div}(\tau), \\ \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla)\tau - \Delta \tau + \tau + Q(\nabla u, \tau) = \mathbb{D}u, \quad k \in (0, \epsilon_0], \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \tau(0, x) = \tau_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla)\tau - \Delta \tau + \tau + Q(\nabla u, \tau) = \mathbb{D}u, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \tau(0, x) = \tau_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

To prove the instability when $k \rightarrow 0$, we first give the definition of the global stability:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \|u^0 - u^k\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}})} + \|\tau^0 - \tau^k\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})} = 0, \quad \forall (u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } \forall t \in [0, \infty),$$

where $\mathbb{A} := \{(u_0, \tau_0) \in (B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3), B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \mid (1.2) \text{ has a unique solution for any fixed } k\}$. In order to prove the instability in large time, we should prove that for any $k > 0$ small enough, there exists a common initial sequence $(u_0, \tau_0)(k)$ and a $T(k)$ such that, when $t \geq T$, we have

$$\|(u^0 - u^a)(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}} \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}, \quad (5.3)$$

Now, let an axisymmetric vector field $\phi \in \mathbb{S}^3$ with $\operatorname{div} \phi = 0$. Set the initial data

$$(u_0, \tau_0)(a) = k^6 \epsilon_0 \left(\frac{\phi(k^4 x)}{\|\phi\|_{L^2}}, 0 \right).$$

For any $0 < k \leq \epsilon_0$, we have

$$\|u_0\|_{L^2} = \epsilon_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^3} \leq Ck^6 \epsilon_0, \quad w_0 = \operatorname{curl} u_0.$$

These satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1, which means $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathbb{A}$.

On one hand, by Theorem 1.1, (5.1) has a unique global strong solution (u^k, τ^k) with the initial data $(u_0, \tau_0)(k)$ ($\tau_0 = 0 \nRightarrow \tau = 0$). We also obtain the L^2 decay such that ($p = 2$):

$$\|\nabla u^k(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|w^k(t)\|_{L^2} \leq Ce^{-\frac{k}{4}t}. \quad (5.4)$$

Moreover, since $u_0 \in \mathbb{S}^3 \in \dot{H}^{-1}$, combining Lemma 2.4 with (3.14), one can easily get that

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{-1})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}} + \epsilon_0) \leq C. \quad (5.5)$$

By interpolation inequality, we obtain that

$$\|u^k(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|u^k(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}\|w^k(t)\|_{L^2} \leq Ce^{-\frac{k}{4}t}. \quad (5.6)$$

On the other hand, in (5.2), since u_0 is axisymmetric, by [22] one can easily obtain a unique global solution (u^0, τ^0) with the same initial data $(u_0, \tau_0)(k)$. Although the coefficients of (5.2) are independent of k , one can still look for the initial data which is dependent on k . Then, using the first equation (the classical Euler equation) of (5.1), we have

$$\|u^0(t)\|_{L^2} = \|u_0\|_{L^2} = \epsilon_0. \quad (5.7)$$

Therefore, there exists a $T = \frac{1}{k^3}$ such that when $t \geq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^0(t) - u^k(t)\|_{L^2} &\geq \|u^0(t)\|_{L^2} - \|u^k(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\geq \epsilon_0 - Ce^{-\frac{k}{8}t} \\ &\geq \epsilon_0 - Ck^2 \\ &\geq \epsilon_0 - C\epsilon_0^2 \\ &= \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

where the second inequality is based on (5.6). This implies (5.3) ($B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}} \hookrightarrow L^2$) and completes the proof Theorem 1.4.

Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11801574, 11971485), Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (No. 2019JJ50788), Central South University Innovation-Driven Project for Young Scholars (No. 2019CX022) and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of Central South University, China (Nos. 2020zzts038, 2021zzts0041).

References

- [1] H. BAHOURI, J.-Y. CHEMIN, AND R. DANCHIN, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, vol. 343 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

- [2] R. B. BIRD, R. C. ARMSTRONG, AND O. HASSAGER, *Dynamics of polymeric liquids: v. 1 fluid mechanics*, (1987).
- [3] F. CHARVE AND R. DANCHIN, *A global existence result for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the critical L^p framework*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 198 (2010), pp. 233–271.
- [4] J.-Y. CHEMIN AND N. LERNER, *Flot de champs de vecteurs non lipschitziens et équations de Navier-Stokes*, J. Differential Equations, 121 (1995), pp. 314–328.
- [5] J.-Y. CHEMIN AND N. MASMOUDI, *About lifespan of regular solutions of equations related to viscoelastic fluids*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 33 (2001), pp. 84–112.
- [6] Q. CHEN AND X. HAO, *Global well-posedness in the critical Besov spaces for the incompressible Oldroyd-B model without damping mechanism*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., 21 (2019), pp. 23–42.
- [7] Q. CHEN, C. MIAO, AND Z. ZHANG, *Global well-posedness for compressible Navier-Stokes equations with highly oscillating initial velocity*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 63 (2010), pp. 1173–1224.
- [8] P. CONSTANTIN AND M. KLIEGL, *Note on global regularity for two-dimensional Oldroyd-B fluids with diffusive stress*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 206 (2012), pp. 725–740.
- [9] J. WU, J. Z. CONSTANTIN, PETER AND Z. YI, *High reynolds number and high weissenberg number oldroyd-b model with dissipation*, J. Evol. Equ., 21 (2021).
- [10] R. DANCHIN, *Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations*, Invent. Math., 141 (2000), pp. 579–614.
- [11] R. DANCHIN, *Global existence in critical spaces for flows of compressible viscous and heat-conductive gases*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 160 (2001), pp. 1–39.
- [12] R. DANCHIN AND L. HE, *The incompressible limit in L^p type critical spaces*, Math. Ann., 366 (2016), pp. 1365–1402.
- [13] T. M. ELGINDI AND J. LIU, *Global wellposedness to the generalized Oldroyd type models in \mathbb{R}^3* , J. Differential Equations, 259 (2015), pp. 1958–1966.
- [14] T. M. ELGINDI AND F. ROUSSET, *Global regularity for some Oldroyd-B type models*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 68 (2015), pp. 2005–2021.
- [15] C. GUILLOPÉ AND J.-C. SAUT, *Existence results for the flow of viscoelastic fluids with a differential constitutive law*, Nonlinear Anal., 15 (1990), pp. 849–869.
- [16] C. GUILLOPÉ AND J.-C. SAUT, *Global existence and one-dimensional nonlinear stability of shearing motions of viscoelastic fluids of Oldroyd type*, RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér., 24 (1990), pp. 369–401.
- [17] T. HMIDI, *Régularité höldérienne des poches de tourbillon visqueuses*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 84 (2005), pp. 1455–1495.
- [18] D. HU AND T. LELIÈVRE, *New entropy estimates for Oldroyd-B and related models*, Commun. Math. Sci., 5 (2007), pp. 909–916.

- [19] P. L. LIONS AND N. MASMOUDI, *Global solutions for some Oldroyd models of non-Newtonian flows*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B, 21 (2000), pp. 131–146.
- [20] Z. L, W. L AND Z. Y, *Vanishing viscosity limit to the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows*, arXiv-prints, (2020), arXiv:2010.15409.
- [21] J. G. OLDROYD, *Non-Newtonian effects in steady motion of some idealized elastico-viscous liquids*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 245 (1958), pp. 278–297.
- [22] S. X. RAYMOND, *Remarks on axisymmetric solutions of the incompressible euler system*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ., 19(1-2) (1994), pp. 321–334.
- [23] T. TAO, *Nonlinear dispersive equations*, vol. 106 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. Local and global analysis.
- [24] M. VISHIK, *Hydrodynamics in Besov spaces*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 145 (1998), pp. 197–214.
- [25] J. WU, *Global regularity for a class of generalized magnetohydrodynamic equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., 13 (2011), pp. 295–305.
- [26] Z. ZHAO AND J. WU, *Global regularity for the generalized incompressible Oldroyd-B model with only stress tensor dissipation in critical besov spaces*, preprint, (2021).
- [27] X. ZHAI, *Global solutions to the n -dimensional incompressible Oldroyd-B model without damping mechanism*, J. Math. Phys., 62 (2021), pp. 021503, 17.
- [28] X. ZHAI, Y. DAN AND Y. LI, *Global well-posedness and inviscid limits of the generalized Oldroyd type models*, arXiv e-prints, (2021), arXiv:2106.14785.
- [29] X. ZHAI, Y. LI, AND F. ZHOU, *Global large solutions to the three dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 52 (2020), pp. 1806–1843.
- [30] Y. ZHU, *Global small solutions of 3D incompressible Oldroyd-B model without damping mechanism*, J. Funct. Anal., 274 (2018), pp. 2039–2060.
- [31] R. ZI, D. FANG, AND T. ZHANG, *Global solution to the incompressible Oldroyd-B model in the critical L^p framework: the case of the non-small coupling parameter*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 213 (2014), pp. 651–687.