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#### Abstract

We consider the discrete Schrödinger operator $H=-\Delta+V$ with a sparse potential $V$ and find conditions guaranteeing either existence of wave operators for the pair $H$ and $H_{0}=-\Delta$, or presence of dense purely point spectrum of the operator $H$ on some interval $\left[\lambda_{0}, 0\right]$ with $\lambda_{0}<0$.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

The class of sparse potentials was introduced in the spectral theory of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators by D. Pearson [10]. Such potentials are intermediate between compactly supported (or fast decaying) potentials associated to the scattering theory, and the generic bounded potentials ( such as periodic, almost periodic, random ergodic potentials) which are studied in the solid state physics.

Typical sparse potentials have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_{n} \phi\left(x-x_{n}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ is a fixed bump function and $x_{n}$ is a sequence for which the quantity $d(n)=$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n}, \bigcup_{j \neq n}\left\{x_{j}\right\}\right)$ grows as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The amplitudes $a_{n}$ either slowly tend to zero, or are of order $O(1)$ (as in the case where they are independent identically distributed random variables).

The paper by Kiselev, Last and Simon [4] as well as the paper by Molchanov [5] extend the results of [10] in different directions:

Let $H=-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+V$ be the operator with a potential of the form (1.1) acting in the space $L^{2}[0, \infty)$. Assume that the boundary condition at the origin is the Dirichlet condition. Suppose also that $\phi \geq 0$, the sequence $x_{n}$ is monotone and $a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1) If $\sum_{n} a_{n}^{2}<\infty$ and $x_{n} / x_{n+1}=o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the spectrum is pure absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$.
2) If $\sum_{n} a_{n}^{2}=\infty$ and $x_{n} / x_{n+1}=o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the spectrum is purely singular continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

These statements could be compared with the results of the paper by Kotani and Ushiroya [8] where the authors study the transition from the absolutely continuous spectrum to the pure point spectrum for the operator with a random potential.

Technically, the analysis of the absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator $H$ under the condition $\sum_{n} a_{n}^{2}<\infty$ resembles the theory of lacunary Fourier series and is based on the idea of the stochastization of the phase of the solution of the equation $H \psi=k^{2} \psi, k \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, as one passes from one bump to another.

One should also notice that the spectral properties of the Hamiltonian $H$ depend on the nature of the elementary bump $\phi$. For instance, if all $a_{n}=1$ and $\phi$ is a reflectionless potential, then the spectrum of
$H$ is pure absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$ provided the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is exponentially sparse (see [5]). At the same time, if the reflection coefficient $r(k)$ constructed for the bump $\phi$ is not identically zero, then the spectrum of $H$ is purely singular continuous on $(0, \infty)$ provided some additional mild technical assumptions are fulfilled (see [5]).

One expects the multi-dimensional spectral theory of Schrödinger operators with sparse potentials to be different from the one-dimensional theory, because the waves "reflected" from one individual bump decay at infinity, if $d \geq 2$. More precisely, the solution of the equation $-\Delta \psi+a_{n} \phi\left(x-x_{n}\right) \psi=$ $k^{2} \psi$ constructed for one bump decays as $O\left(|x|^{-(d-1) / 2}\right)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. The latter leads to the fact that the absolutely continuous spectrum of the milti-dimensional Schrödinger operator with a sparse potential can cover the positive half-line even in the case where the coefficients $a_{n}$ do not decay.

Indeed, one of the results of the paper by Safronov [11] says that if $V_{\xi}$ is a function of the form

$$
V_{\xi}(x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} a_{n} \xi_{n} \chi(x-n),
$$

where $\xi_{n}$ are independent identically distributed bounded random variables such that $\mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{n}\right)=0$ and $\chi$ is the characteristic function of the cube $[0,1)^{d}$, then the absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator $-\Delta+t V_{\xi}$ almost surely covers the positive half-line for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{|n|^{d-1}}<\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This statement should be compared with the results of Bourgain [1] and Denisov [3] in which the authors assume that $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq C(1+|n|)^{-1 / 2-\varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon>0$ and prove the corresponding claim for every $t$. The main difference between [1] and [3] is that the first paper deals with the discrete operator on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ while the second one handles the continuous operator on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The potentials considered in the paper [7] are also decaying at infinity.

One should mention that the corresponding statement about the absolutely continuous spectrum of the discrete Schrödinger operator on $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ under the condition similar to (1.2) has not been proved. Neither has been proved a discrete analogue the Laptev-Naboko-Safronov (see [9]) theorem saying that if $V \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{V(x)}{(1+|x|)^{d-1}} d x<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator $-\Delta+V$ covers the half-line $[0, \infty)$. Note that both conditions (1.2) and (1.3) are fulfilled for some sparse potentials that do not decay at infinity.

The operator $H$ with a sparse potential that does not decay at infinity can have pure point spectrum outside of the spectrum of the free Laplace operator. As a result, one can expect the discrete operator $H$ with a negative random sparse potential to have absolutely continuous spectrum on $[0,4 d]$ and dense pure point spectrum on some interval $[-a, 0)$ with $a>0$. This phenomenon was proved in the paper [6] by Molchanov but without the specification of the physical nature of the absolutely continuous component of the spectrum. In the present paper, we develop the scattering theory for a class of discrete operators on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ with sparse potentials $V$ and prove existence of wave operators.

## 2. Statement of the main results

Let $H_{0}=-\Delta$ be the "free" operator on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ whose action is defined by the formula

$$
\left[H_{0} u\right](n)=\sum_{|n-j|=1}(u(n)-u(j))
$$

Let $H=-\Delta+V$, where $V$ is the operator of multiplication by a bounded real-valued function $V: \mathbb{Z}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We are interested in the question of existence of the wave operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}-\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} e^{-i t H} e^{i t H_{0}}=: W_{ \pm} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Assume that

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{|V(n)|}{|n|^{(d-1) / 2}}<\infty
$$

Then the wave operators (2.1) exist.
Note that Theorem 2.1 is applicable to operators with sparse potentials, for which the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(n)=\operatorname{dist}(n, \operatorname{supp}(V) \backslash\{n\}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

tends to infinity sufficiently fast as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$. Another theorem that deals with sparse potentials is the followings statement, in which $\chi_{n}$ is the characteristic function of the one point set $\{n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$.

Theorem 2.2. For an arbitrary number $\lambda_{0}<0$, let

$$
a=\frac{1}{\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{-1} \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right)} .
$$

Let $\Omega$ be a fixed subset of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, such that

$$
V_{\xi}(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\xi_{n}, & \text { if } \\
0, & \quad \text { if }
\end{array} \quad n \notin \Omega,\right.
$$

where $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ are independent random variables uniformly distributed on the interval $[-a, 0]$. Assume that that the quantity $d(n)$ defined by (2.2) obeys the condition

$$
\lim _{|n| \rightarrow \infty, n \in \Omega} \frac{d(n)}{|n|^{\delta}}=\infty
$$

for some $\delta>0$. Then the operator $H_{\xi}=-\Delta+V_{\xi}$ almost surely has a dense pure point spectrum in the interval $\left[\lambda_{0}, 0\right)$.

According to Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, there are discrete Schrödinger operators whose absolutely continuous spectrum "fills" the interval $[0,4 d]$ while their pure point spectrum is dense in $\left[\lambda_{0}, 0\right)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1.

Standard notations. For a closed linear operator $T$, the symbols $\mathcal{D}(T), \sigma(T)$ and $\sigma_{p}(T)$ denote its domain, its spectrum and the set of eigenvalues (which does not have to be closed). If $T$ is self-adjoint, then $E_{T}(\cdot)$ denotes the operator-valued spectral measure of the operator $T$.

Besides the standard wave operators $W_{ \pm}$, we will consider the modified operators $W_{ \pm}(J)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{ \pm}(J)=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} e^{-i t H} J e^{i t H_{0}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J: \ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right) \rightarrow \ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ is assumed to be bounded. We will employ one of the statements of the scattering theory, using the notion of a trace class operator. One says that an operator $T$ on a separable Hilbert space belongs to the class $\mathfrak{S}_{p}$, if the sequence $\left\{s_{j}(T)\right\}$ of singular values of this operator is an $\ell^{p}$-sequence. In this case, the norm of $T$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{p}$ is defined as the norm of the sequence $\left\{s_{j}(T)\right\}$ in $\ell^{p}$. The classes $\mathfrak{S}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{2}$ are called the trace class and the Hilbert-Schmidt class, correspondingly.

One of theorems by Pearson (see [14]) leads to the following result:
Theorem 3.1. Let $E_{0}(\cdot)$ and $E(\cdot)$ be the operator-valued spectral measures of $H_{0}$ and $H$ correspondingly. Assume that the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(a, b)\left(H J-J H_{0}\right) E_{0}(a, b) \in \mathfrak{S}_{1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a trace class operator for any bounded interval $(a, b)$. Then the limits $W_{ \pm}(J)$ in (3.1) exist.
We will apply this theorem in the case where $J$ commutes with $H_{0}$. In this case, the condition (3.2) turns into the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(a, b) V J E_{0}(a, b) \in \mathfrak{S}_{1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the limit (3.1) coincides with the limit

$$
\text { s - } \lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} e^{-i t H} e^{i t H_{0}} J
$$

The latter observation implies the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\left\{J_{l}\right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of bounded operators commuting with $H_{0}$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s-\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} J_{l}=I \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that

$$
V J_{l} E_{0}(a, b) \in \mathfrak{S}_{1}
$$

for any bounded interval $(a, b)$ and for any $l$. Then the limits $W_{ \pm}$in (2.1) exist.

Remark. Replacement of (3.4) by the assumption

$$
\text { s- } \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} J_{l}=J .
$$

leads to the existence of the limits (3.1).
In order to construct operators $J$ needed for our purposes, one has to introduce the operator $\Phi$ : $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right) \rightarrow \ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ setting

$$
[\Phi u](n)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} e^{-i \xi n} u(\xi) d \xi, \quad \mathbb{T}^{d}=[0,2 \pi)^{d}
$$

It is very well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=\Phi[a] \Phi^{-1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[a]$ denotes the operator of multiplication by the function

$$
a(\xi)=\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left(2-2 \cos \left(\xi_{j}\right)\right), \quad \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{d}\right)
$$

called the symbol of the operator $H_{0}$.
Definition of a regular set. An open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{T}^{d}$ is called regular, if
(1) $\nabla a(\xi) \neq 0, \quad \forall \xi \in \Omega$.
(2) The curvatures of the level surfaces $a(\xi)=$ const are different from zero at all points $\xi \in \Omega$.
(3) $\Omega$ is diffeomorphic to a set $[\alpha, \beta] \times U$, where $U$ is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Moreover, the corresponding diffeomorphism

$$
\tau: \Omega \rightarrow[\alpha, \beta] \times U
$$

is a function $\tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{d}\right)$ having the property $\tau_{1}(\xi)=a(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \Omega$. Without loss of generality, we will assume that the diffeomorphism $\tau$ can be extended into a larger domain $\tilde{\Omega}$ containing $\Omega$, so that the corresponding Jacobian is a bounded separated from zero function on $\Omega$.

Note that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is a disjoint collection of sets $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ having the properties (1)-(3) such that the Lebesgue measure of the set

$$
\mathbb{T}^{d} \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \Omega_{j}
$$

is smaller than $\varepsilon$. Therefore, the collection of orthogonal projections $P_{\Omega_{j}}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ onto the space of functions vanishing outside of $\Omega_{j}$ is a family of operators with the property

$$
\sum_{j} P_{\Omega_{j}} f \rightarrow f, \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)
$$

Consequently, we obtain the following statement:
Corollary 3.1. Let $J_{\Omega}: \ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right) \mapsto \ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ be the operator

$$
J_{\Omega}=\Phi \chi_{\Omega} \Phi^{-1}
$$

where $\chi_{\Omega}$ is the characteristic function of a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{T}^{d}$. If the limits $W_{ \pm}\left(J_{\Omega}\right)$ defined by (3.1) with $J=J_{\Omega}$ exist for all sets $\Omega$ having the properties (1)-(3), then the wave operators $W_{ \pm}$defined in (2.1) also exist.

The next step in our arguments will be an approximation of the operators $J_{\Omega}$ by operators $J_{\Omega, n}$ commuting with $H_{0}$ and having the property that

$$
J_{\Omega, n} f \rightarrow J_{\Omega} f, \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall f \in \ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)
$$

Obviously, existence of the limits (3.1) with $J=J_{\Omega, n}$ would imply existence of operators $W_{ \pm}\left(J_{\Omega}\right)$. Therefore, according to Corollary 3.1, the wave operators $W_{ \pm}$would also exist.

Note that, for any $f \in \ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$, the element $J_{\Omega} f \in \ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ is the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J_{\Omega} f\right](j)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d / 2}} \int_{[\alpha, \beta] \times U} e^{i j \cdot \xi(\tau)} \omega(\tau)\left[\Phi^{-1} f\right](\xi(\tau)) d \tau, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi(\cdot)$ is the inverse of the mapping $\tau(\cdot)$ and $\omega(\tau)=\left|\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \tau}\right|$ is its Jacobian.

Let $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis in $L^{2}(U)$. Without loss of generality, one can assume that $\phi_{k} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(U)$. We define the orthogonal projections $P_{n}: L^{2}([\alpha, \beta] \times U) \rightarrow L^{2}([\alpha, \beta] \times U)$ setting

$$
\left[P_{n} u\right](\tau)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_{k}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \int_{U} u\left(\tau_{1}, \eta\right) \bar{\phi}_{k}(\eta) d \eta, \quad \tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \tau^{\prime}\right) \in[\alpha, \beta] \times U
$$

After that, we define the operators $J_{\Omega, n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J_{\Omega, n} f\right](j)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d / 2}} \int_{[\alpha, \beta] \times U} e^{i j \cdot \xi(\tau)} \omega(\tau)\left[P_{n}\left[\left[\Phi^{-1} f\right](\xi(\cdot))\right]\right](\tau) d \tau, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the fact that $a(\xi(\tau))=\tau_{1}$ for all $\tau \in[\alpha, \beta] \times U$, that is, the symbol of $H_{0}$ coincides with the first of the $\tau$-coordinates, we obtain from (3.5) and (3.7) that $\left[P_{n}\left(\left[\Phi^{-1} H_{0} f\right] \circ \xi\right)\right](\tau)=$ $\tau_{1}\left[P_{n}\left(\left[\Phi^{-1} f\right] \circ \xi\right)\right](\tau)$. Hence, the operators $J_{\Omega, n}$ commute with $H_{0}$. Furthermore, since the sequence $P_{n}$ converges to $I$ strongly in $L^{2}([\alpha, \beta] \times U)$, it converges to the same limit in the weighted space $L^{2}([\alpha, \beta] \times U, \omega)$, because the norms in these two spaces are equivalent. Comparing (3.6) and (3.7), we conclude that $J_{\Omega, n} \rightarrow J_{\Omega}$ strongly as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus, it remains to establish existence of limits (3.1) for $J=J_{\Omega, n}$. The latter follows from the statement below.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\chi_{j}$ be the characteristic function of the one-point set $\{j\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. Let $J_{\Omega, n}$ be the operators defined above. Then there is a positive constant $C=C(d, \Omega, n)$ depending only on $d, \Omega$ and the choice of the collection $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V \chi_{j} J_{\Omega, n}\right\| \leq C \frac{|V(j)|}{1+|j|^{(d-1) / 2}}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The relation (3.8) follows from the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}\left(\tau_{1}, j\right):=\left|\int_{U} e^{i j \cdot \xi\left(\tau_{1}, \tau^{\prime}\right)} \phi_{k}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \omega\left(\tau_{1}, \tau^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{C_{\tau_{1}}}{1+|j|^{(d-1) / 2}}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for each fixed $\tau_{1} \in[\alpha, \beta]$. Such inequalities were studied systematically in the paper [12] by Shaban and Vainberg. In particular, it was shown that $C_{\tau_{1}}$ is a bounded function of $\tau_{1}$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$. To prove (3.8), one needs to observe that, for any $u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[V J_{\Omega, n} \Phi u\right](j)\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{-d / 2}|V(j)| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} Q_{k}\left(\tau_{1}, j\right) g_{k}\left(\tau_{1}\right) d \tau_{1} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k}\left(\tau_{1}\right)=\left|\int_{U} u\left(\xi\left(\tau_{1}, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right) \bar{\phi}_{k}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime}\right| \leq\left(\int_{U}\left|u\left(\xi\left(\tau_{1}, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d \tau^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (3.11) implies that $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g_{k}\left(\tau_{1}\right) d \tau_{1} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)}$. Combining this estimate with the relation (3.9), we infer (3.8) from (3.10).

Since $V \chi_{j} J_{\Omega, n}$ is a rank one operator, its trace class norm coincides with the usual norm. Consequently, we obtain:

Corollary 3.2. Assume that

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{|V(j)|}{|j|^{(d-1) / 2}}<\infty
$$

Then the operator $V J_{\Omega, n}$ is a trace class operator.
Theorem 2.1 follows from Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.2

Our study of the pure point spectrum is based on the following celebrated result of Simon and Wolff [13]:

Theorem 4.1. Let A be a self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ such that $\phi$ is a cyclic vector for $A$. Let $\mu_{\phi}$ be the spectral measure of A corresponding to the vector $\phi$. Let $P$ be the orthogonal projection onto the space of scalar multiples of $\phi$. The spectrum of $A+t P$ is pure point on a Borel set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d \mu_{\phi}(t)}{(t-\lambda)^{2}}<\infty, \quad \text { for almost every } \quad \lambda \in \Omega \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In applications to discrete Schrödinger operators, it is convenient to interpret (4.1) as the condition that $(A-\lambda)^{-1} \phi$ is also an element of the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. Indeed, let us define $U: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mu_{\phi}\right)$ as a bounded operator mapping an element of the form $f(A) \phi$ to the function $f(t)$ (Here, one needs to consider only such functions that $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(f(A))$ ). This operator $U$ is a unitary operator from $\mathfrak{H}$ onto $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mu_{\phi}\right)$. Moreover, $U$ diagonalizes the operator $A$ in the sense that $U A U^{-1}$ is the operator of multiplication by the independent variable $t$. Note now that $U \phi$ is the function that is identically equal to 1 . Consequently, using the fact that the operator $(A-\lambda)^{-1}$ is well defined for any $\lambda$ that is not an eigenvalue of $A$, we obtain:

$$
\frac{1}{t-\lambda} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mu_{\phi}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \lambda \notin \sigma_{p}(A) \quad \text { and } \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}\left((A-\lambda)^{-1}\right)
$$

Thus, the Simon-Wolff theorem can be reformulated as follows.
Theorem 4.2. Let A be a self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ such that $\phi$ is a cyclic vector for $A$. Let $P$ be the orthogonal projection onto the space of scalar multiples of $\phi$. The spectrum of $A+t P$ is pure point on a Borel set $\Omega$ for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if for almost every $\lambda \in \Omega \backslash \sigma_{p}(A)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \in \mathcal{D}\left((A-\lambda)^{-1}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

One is tempted to say that this theorem could be applied to Schrödinger operators on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, because one can decompose $H=-\Delta+V$ into the orthogonal sum of operators whose spectra have multiplicities equal to 1 . However, the arguments that allow us to use Theorem 4.2 are more complicated.
Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathfrak{H}_{0}$ be an invariant subspace for the operator $H=-\Delta+V$ that is orthogonal to vectors $\chi_{j}$ for all $j \in \operatorname{supp} V$. Then the spectrum of the restriction of $H$ to the subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{0}$ is contained in $[0,4 d]$.

Proof. The statement is obvious and its proof is left as an exercise.

Proposition 4.2. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ be a fixed point of the lattice. Assume that

$$
\chi_{j} \in \mathcal{D}\left((H-\lambda)^{-1}\right)
$$

for almost every $\lambda \in(a, b) \backslash \sigma_{p}(H)$. Let $\mathfrak{H}_{0}(t)$ be an invariant subspace for the operator $H+t \chi_{j}\left(\cdot, \chi_{j}\right)$ on which the restriction of this operator has coninuous spectrum that is contained in $[a, b]$. Then $\chi_{j}$ is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{H}_{0}(t)$ for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{H}_{1}$ be the span of vectors $\left\{\chi_{j}, H \chi_{j}, H^{2} \chi_{j}, \ldots\right\}$. The subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{1}$ is invariant for the operator $H+t \chi_{j}\left(\cdot, \chi_{j}\right)$ whose restriction to $\mathfrak{H}_{1}$ is an operator having pure point spectrum in $[a, b]$ for almost every $t$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{H}_{0}(t)$ is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{H}_{1}$.

Corollary 4.1. Let $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ be independent random variables uniformly distributed on some interval $[-\alpha, 0]$ where $\alpha>0$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ be a fixed subset of the lattice, such that

$$
V_{\xi}(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{lcr}
\xi_{n}, & \text { if } & n \in \Omega \\
0, & \text { if } & n \notin \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $H_{\xi}=-\Delta+V_{\xi}$. Let also $a<b<0$. Assume that, for all $\xi$ and all $j \in \Omega$,

$$
\chi_{j} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\left(H_{\xi}-\lambda\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

for almost every $\lambda \in(a, b) \backslash \sigma_{p}\left(H_{\xi}\right)$. Then the operator $H_{\xi}$ almost surely has pure point spectrum in $(a, b)$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{H}_{c}(\xi)$ be the invariant subspace on which the restriction of $H_{\xi}$ has continuous spectrum contained in $[a, b]$. By Proposition 4.2, $\chi_{j}$ is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{H}_{c}(\xi)$ for almost every $\xi_{j} \in[-\alpha, 0]$ provided the values of all other random variables are fixed. By Fubini's theorem, $\chi_{j}$ is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{H}_{c}(\xi)$ almost surely. By Proposition 4.1, the spectrum of the restriction of $H_{\xi}$ to $\mathfrak{H}_{c}(\xi)$ does not intersect the interval $[a, b]$, because $b<0$. The obtained contradiction implies that a nontrivial subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{c}(\xi)$ does not exist.

Let $H=-\Delta+V$ be a Schrödinger operator on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$. Our goal is to find conditions guaranteeing that the sequence

$$
\psi(n)=\left[(H-\lambda)^{-1} \chi_{j}\right](n)
$$

is square summable for any fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. This litteraly means that $\chi_{j} \in \mathcal{D}\left((H-\lambda)^{-1}\right)$. We remind the reader that, for $\lambda \notin[0,4 d]$, the sequence $\psi$ is a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\psi_{0}-\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} V \psi, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{0}$ is the sequence

$$
\psi_{0}(n)=\left[\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{j}\right](n)
$$

One the other hand, once we know the values $\psi(n)$ for $n \in \operatorname{supp}(V)$, we immediately obtain $\psi$ for all $n$, from (4.3).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(n)=\psi_{0}(n)-\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{n}, \chi_{n}\right) V(n) \psi(n)-\sum_{l \neq n}\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{l}, \chi_{n}\right) V(l) \psi(l) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, observe that, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there are constants $\gamma_{\varepsilon}>0$ and $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ depending on $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{l}, \chi_{n}\right)\right| \leq C_{\varepsilon} e^{-\gamma_{\varepsilon}|n-l|}, \quad \forall n, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash[-\varepsilon, 4 d+\varepsilon] . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.3. Let $V$ be a bounded potential and let $\varepsilon>0$. Then for almost every $\lambda \notin[-\varepsilon, 4 d+\varepsilon]$, there is a number $k(\lambda)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1+\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{n}, \chi_{n}\right) V(n)\right| \geq \frac{1}{|n|^{-d-\varepsilon}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|n|>k(\lambda)$.
Proof. Observe first, that if $\left|\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{n}, \chi_{n}\right) V(n)\right|<1 / 2$ and $|n|>2$, then (4.6) holds. Threfore, we only need to consider the case $\left|\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{n}, \chi_{n}\right) V(n)\right| \geq 1 / 2$. The latter implies that we only need to consider the points $\lambda \in\left[-2\|V\|_{\infty},-\varepsilon\right] \cup\left[4 d+\varepsilon, 4 d+2\|V\|_{\infty}\right]$ and points $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ for which $|V(n)| \geq \varepsilon / 2$. On that set of $\lambda$-s, the absolute value of the derivative of the monotone function

$$
f(\lambda)=1+\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{n}, \chi_{n}\right) V(n)
$$

is bounded below by $\varepsilon /\|V\|_{\infty}^{2}$. Therefore, the Lebesgue measure of the set

$$
S_{\varepsilon}(n):=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash[-\varepsilon, 4 d+\varepsilon]: \quad\left|1+\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{n}, \chi_{n}\right) V(n)\right|<\frac{1}{|n|^{d+\varepsilon}}\right\}
$$

is bounded by

$$
\left|S_{\varepsilon}(n)\right| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\|V\|_{\infty}^{2}|n|^{-d-\varepsilon} .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}\left|S_{\varepsilon}(n)\right|<\infty
$$

Using the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we conclude that, for almost every $\lambda \in\left[-\|V\|_{\infty},-\varepsilon\right] \cup[4 d+\varepsilon, 4 d+$ $\left.\|V\|_{\infty}\right]$, there is a number $k(\lambda)$ such that

$$
\left|1+\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{n}, \chi_{n}\right) V(n)\right| \geq \frac{1}{|n|^{-d-\varepsilon}}
$$

for $|n|>k(\lambda)$.
The equation (4.4) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(n)=\alpha(n) \psi_{0}(n)-\alpha(n) \sum_{l \neq n}\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{l}, \chi_{n}\right) V(l) \psi(l) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sequence

$$
\alpha(n)=\left(1+\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{n}, \chi_{n}\right) V(n)\right)^{-1}
$$

obeys the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha(n)| \leq|n|^{d+\varepsilon} \quad \text { for } \quad|n|>k(\lambda) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, (4.7) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\tilde{\psi}_{0}-T \psi \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\psi}(n)=\alpha(n) \psi(n)$ and $T$ is the operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[T u](n)=\alpha(n) \sum_{l \neq n}\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{l}, \chi_{n}\right) V(l) u(l) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.5) with (4.8), we conclude that $T$ is a compact operator on $\ell^{2}(\operatorname{supp}(V))$ provided the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(n)=\operatorname{dist}(n, \operatorname{supp}(V) \backslash\{n\}) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

obeys the sparseness condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|n| \rightarrow \infty, n \in \operatorname{supp}(V)} \frac{d(n)}{|n|^{\delta}}=\infty, \quad \text { for some } \delta>0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.4. Let $V$ be a bounded potential such that (4.12) holds. Let $\chi_{\operatorname{supp}(V)}$ be the characteristic function of the support of $V$. Let $T$ be defined by (4.10). Then the operator $\chi_{\operatorname{supp}(V)} T$ is compact.

Proof. For any $R>0$, let $\chi_{B_{R}}$ be the characteristic function of the set $B_{R}=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}:|n| \leq R\right\}$. Consider the decomposition

$$
T=\chi_{B_{R}} T+\left(1-\chi_{B_{R}}\right) T
$$

Since $\chi_{B_{R}} T$ is a finite rank operator, it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\left\|\left(1-\chi_{B_{R}}\right) \chi_{\operatorname{supp}(V)} T\right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \quad R \rightarrow \infty
$$

The latter property follows from the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1-\chi_{B_{R}}\right) \chi_{\operatorname{supp}(V)} T\right\| \leq C_{\varepsilon} \tilde{C} \sup _{n \in \operatorname{supp}(V):|n|>R}\left(|n|^{d+\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{l:|l-n| \geq d(n)} e^{-\gamma_{\varepsilon}|n-l|}\right)^{1 / 2}\right), \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from the Schur estimate for the norm of an operator $K$ whose matrix consists of the elements $k(n, m)=\rho_{1}(n, m) \rho_{2}(n, m)$. This estimate says that

$$
\|K\| \leq \sup _{n}\left(\sum_{m}\left|\rho_{1}(n, m)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \sup _{m}\left(\sum_{n}\left|\rho_{2}(n, m)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The constant $\tilde{C}$ in (4.13) is equal to the quantity $\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} e^{-\gamma_{\varepsilon}|n|}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
Proposition 4.4 implies that either (4.9) is uniquely solvable, or the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=-T \psi \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a non-trivial solution. On the other hand, since (4.14) is equivalent to the equation

$$
\psi=-\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} V \psi
$$

which can be written in the form $H \psi=\lambda \psi$, the equation (4.14) has a non-zero solution if and only if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the operator $H$. Consequently, (4.9) has a unique square summable solution $\psi$ for almost every $\lambda \notin[0,4 d]$. Thus, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 4.3. Let $V$ be bounded. Assume that the quantity (4.11) obeys

$$
\lim _{|n| \rightarrow \infty, n \in \operatorname{supp}(V)} \frac{d(n)}{|n|^{\delta}}=\infty
$$

for some $\delta>0$. Then the sequence

$$
\psi(n)=\left[(H-\lambda)^{-1} \chi_{j}\right](n)
$$

is square summable for almost every $\lambda \notin[0,4 d]$.

As a consequence, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 4.4. Let the conditions of Theorem [2.2] be fulfilled. Then the operator $H_{\xi}$ almost surely has pure point spectrum on $\mathbb{R} \backslash[0,4 d]$.

Let us now explain why the spectrum of the operator $H_{\xi}$ in Theorem 2.2 fills the interval $\left[\lambda_{0}, 0\right]$.
Theorem 4.5. Let $V$ be a bounded potential for which the quantity (4.11) obeys the condition

$$
d(n) \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { as } \quad|n| \rightarrow \infty
$$

Assume that there is a sequence of ponts $n_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ such that

$$
V\left(n_{j}\right) \rightarrow \beta<0, \quad \text { as } \quad j \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Let $\lambda<0$ satisfy the equation

$$
1+\beta\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right)=0
$$

Then $\lambda \in \sigma(-\Delta+V)$.
Proof. To prove Theorem4.5, we use the three statements below.
Proposition 4.5. Let $H=-\Delta+V$ and $H_{n}=-\Delta+V_{n}$ be Schrodinger operators on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ with bounded potentials $V$ and $V_{n}$ correspondingly. Assume that the sequence $V_{n}$ converges to $V$ pointwise so that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|V_{n}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty
$$

Then the sequence of measures

$$
\mu_{n}(\cdot)=\left(\left(E_{H_{n}}(\cdot) \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right)\right)
$$

converges weakly to the measure

$$
\mu(\cdot)=\left(\left(E_{H}(\cdot) \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right)\right), \quad \text { as } \quad|n| \rightarrow \infty
$$

Proof. Observe that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d \mu(t)}{t-z}=\left((H-z)^{-1} \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \quad \operatorname{Im} z>0\}
$$

and a similar representation can be written for the measure $\mu_{n}$. Since the span of functions of the form

$$
f(t)=\operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{t-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}
$$

is dense in the space of continuous decaying at infinity functions $C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\left(\left(H_{n}-z\right)^{-1} \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left((H-z)^{-1} \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right), \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}_{+}$. The latter follows from the Hilbert identity:

$$
\left(\left(H_{n}-z\right)^{-1} \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right)-\left((H-z)^{-1} \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right)=\left(\left(H_{n}-z\right)^{-1}\left(V-V_{n}\right)(H-z)^{-1} \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right)
$$

Corollary 4.2. Let $H=-\Delta+V$ be the Schrödinger operator with a bounded potential $V$ for which the quantity (4.11) obeys the condition

$$
d(n) \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { as } \quad|n| \rightarrow \infty
$$

Let $n_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ be a sequence of distinct points such that

$$
V\left(n_{j}\right) \rightarrow \beta<0, \quad \text { as } \quad j \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Then the sequence of measures

$$
\mu_{j}(\cdot)=\left(E_{H}(\cdot) \chi_{n_{j}}, \chi_{n_{j}}\right)
$$

converges weakly to the measure

$$
\mu(\cdot)=\left(E_{-\Delta+\beta P_{0}}(\cdot) \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right),
$$

where $P_{0}=\left(\cdot, \chi_{0}\right) \chi_{0}$ is the operator of multiplication by the function $\chi_{0}$.
Proof. It is sufficient to note that

$$
\left(E_{H}(\cdot) \chi_{n}, \chi_{n}\right)=\left(E_{H_{n}}(\cdot) \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right)
$$

where $H_{n}=-\Delta+V_{n}$ with $V_{n}(x)=V(x-n)$.

Corollary 4.3. Let the conditions of Corollary 4.2 be fulfilled. Let $\lambda<0$ satisfy the equation

$$
1+\beta\left(\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right)=0
$$

Then $\lambda \in \sigma(H)$.
Proof. Indeed, since the spectrum of $H$ contains the support of the measure $\mu_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, we conclude that

$$
\sigma(H) \supset \operatorname{supp}\left(E_{-\Delta+\beta P_{0}}(\cdot) \chi_{0}, \chi_{0}\right) \ni \lambda .
$$

Now Theorem 4.5 follows from Corollary 4.3 .

Finally, we use the following obvious assertion.
Proposition 4.6. Let $\Omega$ be an unbounded subset of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$. Let $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}_{n \in \Omega}$ be independent random variables uniformly distributed on $[-a, 0]$ with some $a>0$. Then, for any $\beta \in[-a, 0]$ and almost surely, there is a sequence of distinct points $n_{j} \in \Omega$ such that

$$
\xi_{n_{j}} \rightarrow \beta, \quad \text { as } \quad j \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Proof. Let $B_{R}=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}:|n| \leq R\right\}$, where $R>0$. It is enough to observe that for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every $R>0$, the probability of the event

$$
\left\{\xi_{n} \notin(\beta-\varepsilon, \beta+\varepsilon), \quad \forall n \in \Omega \backslash B_{R}\right\}
$$

is zero. Consequently, there is at least one $n_{1} \in \Omega \backslash B_{R}$ for which $\xi_{n_{1}} \in(\beta-\varepsilon, \beta+\varepsilon)$. Continuing inductively, we construct a sequence $n_{j}$ such that $\xi_{n_{j}} \in\left(\beta-2^{-j+1} \varepsilon, \beta+2^{-j+1} \varepsilon\right)$.

Theorem4.4, Theorem 4.5 and Proposition 4.6 imply Theorem 2.2.
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