
EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR SCHRÖDINGER-MAXWELL SYSTEM WITH SINGULARITY

Abdelaaziz Sbai, Youssef El hadfi and Mounim El Ouardy

Laboratory LIPIM
National School of Applied Sciences Khouribga
Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Morocco
sbaiabdlaziz@gmail.com
yelhadfi@gmail.com
monim.elrady@gmail.com

October 19, 2021

ABSTRACT

In this paper we are going to prove existence for positive solutions of the following Schrödinger–Maxwell system of singular elliptic equations:

$$\begin{cases} u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u) + \psi|u|^{r-2}u = \frac{f(x)}{u^\theta}, \\ \psi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}(M(x)\nabla\psi) = |u|^r \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N , $N > 2$, $r > 1$, $u > 0$, $\psi > 0$, $0 < \theta < 1$ and f belongs to a suitable Lebesgue space. In particular, we take advantage of the coupling between the two equations of the system by demonstrating how the structure of the system gives rise to a regularizing effect on the summability of the solutions.

Keywords Singular non linearity · Schrödinger–Maxwell equations · Sobolev spaces.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following Schrödinger–Maxwell system with singular term:

$$\begin{cases} u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), u > 0 : -\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u) + \psi|u|^{r-2}u = \frac{f(x)}{u^\theta}, \\ \psi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \psi > 0 : -\operatorname{div}(M(x)\nabla\psi) = |u|^r \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

We will suppose that Ω is a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N , $N > 2$, that $r > 1$ and that f belongs to $L^m(\Omega)$, for some $m > 1$, $0 < \theta < 1$. Furthermore, the function $a : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be a measurable function, such that there exist $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$ such that:

$$0 < \alpha \leq a(x) \leq \beta \quad \text{almost everywhere in } \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

while $M : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N^2}$ will be a measurable matrix, such that:

$$M(x)\xi \cdot \xi \geq \alpha|\xi|^2, \quad |M(x)| \leq \beta, \quad (1.3)$$

for almost every x in Ω , and for every ξ in \mathbb{R}^N . Let us briefly recall the mathematical framework concerning problem (1.1).

In the last few decades, the existence and regularity of positive solutions to the singular elliptic equation with singular term $s^{-\theta}$, ($\theta \in (0, 1)$) have been widely investigated by many researchers, and it seems almost impossible for us to give a complete list of references. We refer the readers to Refs.[8, 11, 16, 17].

In the case $\theta = 0$ many works have appeared concerning the existence and regularity of elliptic systems. Boccardo in [4] has been studied the existence and regularity results of of elliptic systems problem;

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + A\varphi|u|^{r-2}u = f, & u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \\ -\Delta\varphi = |u|^r, & \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where Ω is an open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N with $N > 2$, $A > 0$ and $r > 1$. As for the (1.4), the authors studied the existence of a weak solution (u, φ) in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is proved if f belongs to $L^m(\Omega)$, with $m \geq \frac{2N}{N+2} = (2^*)'$, where 2^* is the Sobolev exponent, using once again that (u, φ) is a critical point of a suitable functional. The author proves that if $(2^*)' \leq m < \frac{2Nr}{N+2+4r}$, with $r > 2^* - 1$, the second equation of (1.4) admits finite energy solutions even if the datum $|u|^r$ does not belong to the dual space $L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}}(\Omega)$.

As for system (1.4), the solutions u and φ given by [4] can be seen, if $m \geq \frac{2N}{N+2}$, as a critical point of saddle type for the indefinite functional:

$$J(u, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla u \nabla v - \frac{A}{2r} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \varphi \nabla \varphi + \frac{A}{r} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^+ |u|^r - \int_{\Omega} f u,$$

defined for those functions u and φ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, such that $\varphi^+ |u|^r$ belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$ (and $+\infty$ otherwise). On the other hand, the authors proved in [4] the existence of solutions for the following nonlinear elliptic system that generalizes (1.4)

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + A \varphi |u|^{r-2} u = f, & u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla \varphi|^{p-2} \nabla \varphi) = |u|^r, & \varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where Ω is an open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N with $N \geq 2$, $1 < p < N$, $A > 0$, $r > 1$.

Inspired by the above articles, the main novelty in the present work is to show that the term $\frac{1}{u^\theta}$ has a "regularizing effect" in the sense that the problem (1.1) has a distributional solution for all $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $m > 1$. This term provokes some mathematical difficulties, which make the study of system (1.1) particularly interesting. To our knowledge, the Schrödinger–Maxwell system with singular term has not been studied.

The plan of the paper is as follows: in the next section we will study a problem approximating (1.1), proving existence of solutions. Once again by using the approximation scheme we prove estimates that allow us to pass to the limit in the approximate equations and to prove the existence of a weak solution of (1.1).

In the last part of this Section, we prove the existence of a saddle point (u, φ) of the following functional

$$J(u, \varphi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2r} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \varphi \nabla \varphi \text{ if } \varphi^+ |u|^r \in L^1(\Omega), \\ + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^+ |u|^r - \frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{\Omega} f (u^+)^{1-\theta}, \\ +\infty, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

defined on $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Finally, in the Appendix, we give the proof of an existence result for the first equation of approximating system and some results allowing to prove the existence of system (1.1).

Notations. For a given function v we denote by $v^+ = \max(v, 0)$ and by $v^- = -\min(v, 0)$. For a fixed $k > 0$, we define the truncation functions $T_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T_k(s) &:= \max(-k, \min(s, k)) \\ G_k(s) &:= (|s| - k)^+ \operatorname{sign}(s) \end{aligned}$$

we will also make use of the notation

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} f$$

If no otherwise specified, we will denote by C several constants whose value may change from line to line and, sometimes, on the same line. These values will only depend on the data (for instance C can depend on $\Omega, \theta, N, k, \dots$) but the will never depend on the indexes of the sequences we will often introduce.

2 A priori estimates and main results

In this section we are interesting to prove regularity of u solution of (1.1) when the datum f belong to $L^m(\Omega)$, with $m > 1$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and let a and M be such that (1.2) and (1.3) hold. Let $r > 1$ and let f in $L^m(\Omega)$. We have the following:*

(i) *if $r \geq \frac{2N}{\theta(N-2)+N+2}$, and if $m \geq (\frac{r+1}{1-\theta})'$, there exist u and ψ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, solutions of (1.1); furthermore,*

(a) *if $m > \frac{N}{2}$, then u belongs to $L^\infty(\Omega)$;*

(b) *if $(\frac{r+1}{1-\theta})' \leq m < \frac{N}{2}$, then u belongs to $L^\sigma(\Omega)$, with $\sigma = \max\left((1+\theta)m^{**}, \frac{m(2r+1+\theta)}{m+1}\right)$, where $m^{**} = \frac{Nm}{N-2m}$.*

(ii) if $1 < r < \frac{2N}{\theta(N-2)+N+2}$, and if $m \geq \frac{2N}{\theta(N-2)+N+2}$, there exist u and ψ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, solutions of (1.1); furthermore:

(a) if $m > \frac{N}{2}$, then u belongs to $L^\infty(\Omega)$;

(b) if $\frac{2N}{\theta(N-2)+N+2} \leq m < \frac{N}{2}$, then u belongs to $L^{(1+\theta)m^{**}}(\Omega)$, where $m^{**} = \frac{Nm}{N-2m}$.

2.1 The Approximated Problem

Let n in \mathbb{N} , and let $f_n = T_n(f)$, so that $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ functions, which strongly converges to f in $L^m(\Omega)$, and satisfies the inequality $|f_n| \leq |f|$. Thanks to Theorem 4.1 (see the Appendix), for every n in \mathbb{N} , there exist weak solutions u_n and ψ_n in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, with $\psi_n \geq 0$, of the approximate system:

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u_n) + \psi_n |u_n|^{r-2} u_n = \frac{f_n}{(\frac{1}{n} + u_n)^\theta}, & (I) \\ \psi_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}(M(x)\nabla \psi_n) = |u_n|^r, & (II). \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

2.2 A Priori Estimates

We are now going to prove some a priori estimates on the sequence of approximated solutions u_n .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $k > 0$ be fixed. The sequence $\{T_k(u_n)\}$, where u_n is a solution to (I) of (2.1), is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to take $T_k(u_n)$ as a test function in (I) of problems (2.1). \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $0 \leq \theta < 1$, and let f belong to $L^m(\Omega)$, $m \geq \max\left(\left(\frac{r+1}{1-\theta}\right)', \frac{2N}{\theta(N-2)+N+2}\right)$ and $r > 1$, we have that:*

- the sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.
- the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^\sigma(\Omega)$, with $\sigma = \max\left((1+\theta)m^{**}, \frac{m(2r+1+\theta)}{m+1}\right)$ if $m < \frac{N}{2}$, and $\sigma = +\infty$ if $m > \frac{N}{2}$.

Proof. $L^\infty(\Omega)$ **estimate:** Suppose that $m > \frac{N}{2}$, let $k > 1$ and define $G_k(s) = (s-k)^+$. Choosing $G_k(u_n)$ as test function in (2.1), we obtain, recalling (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla G_k(u_n) \times \nabla G_k(u_n) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n G_k(u_n)}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} \leq \int_{\Omega} f G_k(u_n), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where in the last passage we have used that $u_n + \frac{1}{n} \geq k \geq 1$, on the set $\{u_n \geq k\}$ where $G_k(u_n) \neq 0$. Starting from inequality (2.2) and arguing as in [18], Théorème 4.2, we have that there exists a constant C (independent on n), such that

$$\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)}.$$

Since u_n is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, as well. \square

Estimates which use the lower order term in this step, we will suppose that $m \geq \left(\frac{r+1}{1-\theta}\right)'$. Taking u_n as test function in the first equation of (2.1), using (1.2) and dropping a positive term, we obtain

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \psi_n |u_n|^r \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n u_n^{1-\theta},$$

while using ψ_n as test function in (II) and (1.3), we can see that

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_n|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \psi_n |u_n|^r.$$

Thus we have, once again, that

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_n|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |f_n| |u_n|^{1-\theta}. \quad (2.3)$$

We now follow [12], let $\gamma \geq 1$ to be determined later, and choose $|u_n|^{2\gamma-2}u_n$ as test function in the first equation of (2.1); using (1.2), and dropping two positive terms, we obtain, since $|f_n| \leq |f|$

$$\alpha(2\gamma - 1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 |u_n|^{2\gamma-2} \leq \int_{\Omega} |f_n| |u_n|^{2\gamma-1-\theta} \leq \int_{\Omega} |f| |u_n|^{2\gamma-1-\theta}. \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, taking $|u_n|^\gamma$ as a test function in (II), by estimate (1.3) and using Young inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{r+\gamma} &= \gamma \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi_n \nabla u_n |u_n|^{\gamma-1} \\ &\leq \beta \gamma \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_n| |\nabla u_n| |u_n|^{\gamma-1} \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_n|^2 + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 |u_n|^{2\gamma-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3) and (2.4) with this inequality, we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{r+\gamma} \leq C \int_{\Omega} |f| |u_n|^{1-\theta} + C \int_{\Omega} |f| |u_n|^{2\gamma-1-\theta},$$

so that we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{r+\gamma} \leq C \int_{\Omega} |f| |u_n|^{1-\theta} + C \int_{\Omega} |f| |u_n|^{2\gamma-1-\theta}, \quad (2.5)$$

where in the last passage, we have used that $2\gamma - 1 - \theta \geq 1 - \theta$, since $\gamma \geq 1$. We now choose $\gamma = \frac{r(m-1)+m(\theta+1)}{m+1}$, so that $\gamma \geq 1$ since $m \geq \frac{r+1}{r+\theta} = (\frac{r+1}{1-\theta})'$. With this choice of γ , we obtain $r + \gamma = \frac{m(2r+1+\theta)}{m+1} = (2\gamma - 1 - \theta)m'$, so by Hölder inequality, we deduce from (2.5) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\frac{m(2r+1+\theta)}{m+1}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\frac{m(1-\theta)}{m-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\frac{m(2r+1+\theta)}{m+1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{m'}}.$$

Thanks to the fact that $m > 1$, we, therefore, obtain (after simplifying equal terms) that:

$$\left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\frac{m(2r+1+\theta)}{m+1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)},$$

that is, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^s(\Omega)$, with $s = \frac{m(2r+1+\theta)}{m+1}$. As a consequence of this estimate, and of the fact that $s \geq m'$, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f| |u_n|^{1-\theta} \leq C,$$

so that from (2.3), it follows that the sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. \square

Estimates not using the lower order term in this step, we will suppose that $m \geq \frac{2N}{\theta(N-2)+N+2}$. Let u_n and ψ_n be solutions of (2.1), let $\gamma \geq 1$, and take $|u_n|^{2\gamma-2}u_n$ as test function in (I) of (2.1), we have, dropping two positive terms, and using (1.2),

$$\alpha(2\gamma - 1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 |u_n|^{2\gamma-2} \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n |u_n|^{2\gamma-2} u_n^{1-\theta}.$$

By exploiting Sobolev and Hölder inequalities, and since $|f_n| \leq |f|$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha \mathcal{S}(2\gamma - 1)}{\gamma^2} \left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{2^* \gamma} \right]^{\frac{2}{2^*}} &\leq \alpha(2\gamma - 1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 u_n^{2\gamma-2} \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} f_n |u_n|^{2\gamma-2} u_n^{1-\theta} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{(2\gamma-1-\theta)m'} \right]^{\frac{1}{m'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Imposing $2^* \gamma = (1 + \theta)m^{**}$, we have $\gamma = \frac{(1+\theta)m^{**}}{2^*}$, so that $\gamma \geq 1$ (since $(1 + \theta)m^{**} \geq 2^*$) and $(2\gamma - 1 - \theta)m' = (1 + \theta)m^{**} =: s$, we have

$$\left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^s \right]^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^s \right]^{\frac{1}{m'}},$$

so that

$$\left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^s \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)}.$$

Thus, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^s(\Omega)$, being $m \geq \frac{2N}{N+2+\theta(N-2)}$, we have that the sequence $\{f_n u_n^{1-\theta}\}$ is bounded in $L^1(\Omega)$. Taking, u_n as test function in the equation (I) of (2.1), to obtain, after using (1.2) and dropping a positive term,

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \psi_n |u_n|^r \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n u_n^{1-\theta} \leq C,$$

so that, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and the sequence $\{\psi_n |u_n|^r\}$ is bounded in $L^1(\Omega)$. Choosing ψ_n as test function in (II) of (2.1), and using (1.3), we thus have:

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_n|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \psi_n |u_n|^r \leq C,$$

so that also the sequence $\{\psi_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. \square

2.3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

In virtue of the Lemma 2.2, the sequence of approximated solutions u_n is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\sigma(\Omega)$. Therefore, there exists a function u belongs to $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\sigma(\Omega)$ such that, up to subsequences, u_n converges, weakly in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, weakly in $L^\sigma(\Omega)$, and almost everywhere in Ω , to some function u , while ψ_n converges, weakly in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and almost everywhere in Ω , to some function ψ . Since the sequence $\{|u_n|^r\}$ is bounded in $L^\rho(\Omega)$, with $\rho = \frac{\sigma}{r} > 1$, it is weakly convergent in the same space to $|u|^r$. Therefore, one can pass to the limit in the identities

$$\int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi_n \nabla w = \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^r w, \quad \forall w \in C_c^1(\Omega),$$

to have that ψ and u are such that:

$$\int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi \nabla w = \int_{\Omega} |u|^r w, \quad \forall w \in C_c^1(\Omega).$$

Choosing $w = T_k(v)$, with $v \geq 0$ in $C_c^1(\Omega)$, we arrive at

$$\int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi \nabla T_k(v) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^r T_k(v), \quad \forall k > 0.$$

Letting k tend to infinity, using Lebesgue theorem in the left-hand side (recall that ψ belongs to $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$), and Beppo Levi theorem in the right-hand side, we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi \nabla v = \int_{\Omega} |u|^r v, \quad \forall v \in C_c^1(\Omega), v \geq 0.$$

If v belongs to $C_c^1(\Omega)$, writing $v = v^+ - v^-$, and subtracting the above identities written for v^+ and v^- (not that both terms are finite, because the left-hand side is finite), we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi \nabla v = \int_{\Omega} |u|^r v, \quad \forall v \in C_c^1(\Omega),$$

that is, ψ is a weak solution of the second equation. We study now the first equation: We want to prove that $\psi_n |u_n|^{r-1}$ strongly converges to $\psi |u|^{r-1}$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. First of all, let $\varepsilon > 0$, $k > 0$, and choose $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_n^+ T_\varepsilon(G_k(u_n))$ as test function in the first equation of the system. Dropping two positive terms (those coming from the differential part of the equation), and using that $|f_n| \leq |f|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\{u_n \geq k\}} \psi_n [u_n^+]^r T_\varepsilon(G_k(u_n)) &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\{u_n \geq k\}} |f_n| |u_n|^{1-\theta} T_\varepsilon(G_k(u_n)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\{u_n \geq k\}} |f| |u_n|^{1-\theta} T_\varepsilon(G_k(u_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting ε tend to zero, using Fatou lemma on the left-hand side, and Lebesgue theorem on the right-hand one (recall that every u_n is a function in $L^\infty(\Omega)$), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{u_n \geq k\}} \psi_n [u_n^+]^r &\leq \int_{\{u_n \geq k\}} |f| u_n \leq \left[\int_{\{u_n \geq k\}} |f|^m \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \|u_n^{1-\theta}\|_{L^{m'}(\Omega)}. \\ &\leq C \left[\int_{\{u_n \geq k\}} |f|^m \right]^{\frac{1}{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

since the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^{m'}(\Omega)$ being $\sigma \geq (1-\theta)m'$. Then

$$\int_{\{u_n \geq k\}} \psi_n |u_n|^r \leq C \left[\int_{\{u_n \geq k\}} |f|^m \right]^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Let now E be a measurable subset of Ω . So that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \psi_n u_n^r &= \int_{E \cap \{u_n \leq k\}} \psi_n |u_n|^r + \int_{E \cap \{u_n \geq k\}} \psi_n u_n^r \\ &\leq k^r \int_E \psi_n + C \left[\int_{\{u_n \geq k\}} |f|^m \right]^{\frac{1}{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose $\varepsilon > 0$, and let k large enough, we obtain

$$C \left[\int_{\{u_n \geq k\}} |f|^m \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Such a choice of k is possible, since the measure of $\{u_n \geq k\}$ tends to zero as k tends to infinity, uniformly in n , as a consequence of the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in (for example) $L^1(\Omega)$, and since $|f|^m$ belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$. Once k has been chosen, let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\text{meas}(E) \leq \delta$ implies that:

$$k^r \int_E \psi_n \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Such a choice of δ is possible thanks to Vitali theorem, since the sequence $\{\psi_n\}$ is strongly convergent in (at least) $L^1(\Omega)$ being bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Thus, the sequence $\{\psi_n |u_n|^r\}$ is uniformly equi-integrable. Since it is almost everywhere convergent, Vitali theorem implies that:

$$\psi_n |u_n|^r \text{ strongly converges to } \psi |u|^r \text{ in } L^1(\Omega).$$

With the same technique, one can prove that the sequence $\{\psi_n |u_n|^{r-1}\}$ is uniformly equi-integrable, so that $\psi_n |u_n|^{r-1}$ strongly converges to $\psi |u|^{r-1}$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. We want to pass to the limit in (I) of (2.1). For the limit of the right hand of (I) in (2.1). Let $w = \{\varphi \neq 0\}$ then by Lemma 4.2 (see the appendix), one has, for φ in $C_c^1(\Omega)$, we have that

$$0 \leq \left| \frac{f_n \varphi}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} \right| \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{c_\omega^\theta} f.$$

Therefore, by Lebesgue convergence Theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_\Omega \frac{f_n \varphi}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} = \int_\Omega \frac{f \varphi}{u^\theta}.$$

On other hand, by Lemma 2.1, we deduce $T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u)$ weakly in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Then by Proposition 4.1 in [6] and Theorem 2.3 in [10], we obtain ∇u_n converges to ∇u almost everywhere in Ω . Now, we can pass to the limit in the identities:

$$\int_\Omega a(x) \nabla u_n \nabla \eta + \int_\Omega \psi_n |u_n|^{r-2} u_n \eta = \int_\Omega \frac{f_n}{(\frac{1}{n} + u_n)^\theta} \eta, \quad \forall \eta \in C_c^1(\Omega),$$

to have that

$$\int_\Omega a(x) \nabla u \nabla \eta + \int_\Omega \psi |u|^{r-2} u \eta = \int_\Omega \frac{f}{u^\theta} \eta, \quad \forall \eta \in C_c^1(\Omega),$$

as desired.

3 Saddle points

In this section, we can prove that the solution (u, ψ) of system (1.1) given by Theorem 2.1 can be seen (under some assumptions on r and f) as a saddle point of a suitable functional.

Remark 3.1. *If $1 < r \leq \frac{N+2+(N-2)\theta}{N-2}$, and f belongs to $L^m(\Omega)$, with $m \geq (\frac{2^*}{1-\theta})'$, then not only ψ but also u is a weak solution of the first equation of (2.1). Indeed, since both u and ψ belong to $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ (being $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ functions), we have that:*

$$\psi|u|^{r-2}u \in L^\rho(\Omega), \quad \rho = \frac{2^*}{r}$$

since, by the assumptions on r

$$\frac{2^*}{r} \geq \frac{2N}{N-2} \frac{N-2}{N+2+(N-2)\theta} = \left(\frac{2^*}{1-\theta}\right)',$$

the function $\psi|u|^{r-2}u$ belongs to the dual of $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$; therefore, one has (by density of $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$)

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x) \nabla u \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \psi|u|^{r-2}u \varphi = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^\theta} \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega),$$

as desired.

Thanks to this remark, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that a and M satisfy (1.2) and (1.3), and that M is symmetric. Let $1 < r \leq \frac{2N}{N+2+(N-2)\theta}$ and let f in $L^m(\Omega)$, with $m \geq (\frac{2^*}{1-\theta})'$. Then, the solution (u, ψ) of system (1.1) given by Theorem 2.1 is a saddle point of the functional J defined in (1.6); that is*

$$J(u, \varphi) \leq J(u, \psi) \leq J(v, \psi), \quad \forall v, \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \text{ such that } \psi|v|^r \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. We begin with the second equation of (1.1); by Theorem 2.1, ψ is a weak solution of the second equation of (1.1). Choosing $\frac{\psi - \varphi^+}{r}$, with φ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, as test function, we get

$$\frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi \nabla (\psi - \varphi^+) = \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} |u|^r (\psi - \varphi^+).$$

Adding and subtracting the term

$$\frac{1}{2r} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \varphi^+ \nabla \varphi^+,$$

we have, after straightforward passages

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla (\psi - \varphi^+) \nabla (\psi - \varphi^+) + \frac{1}{2r} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi \nabla \psi - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \psi |u|^r \\ = \frac{1}{2r} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \varphi^+ \nabla \varphi^+ - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^+ |u|^r \end{aligned}$$

since the first term is positive, we, therefore, have that (recall that $\psi \geq 0$, so that $\psi = \psi^+$)

$$\frac{1}{2r} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi \nabla \psi - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \psi^+ |u|^r \leq \frac{1}{2r} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \varphi^+ \nabla \varphi^+ - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^+ |u|^r,$$

for every φ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Changing sign to this identity, and adding to both sides the (finite, thanks to the assumptions on f and to the fact that u belongs to $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$) term

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{\Omega} f u^{1-\theta},$$

we arrive

$$J(u, \varphi) \leq J(u, \psi), \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega),$$

which is the first half of (3.1). As for the second, by Remark 3.1, we obtain that u is a weak solution of the first equation of (1.1). Fix $\psi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and let I be the functional defined on $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ as $I(v) := J(v, \psi)$. If the matrix

$M(x)$ and $a(x)$ is symmetric, and if f belongs to $L^m(\Omega)$, with $m > \left(\frac{2^*}{1-\theta}\right)'$ the solution of (1.1) given by Theorem 2.1 is the minimum of the functional

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \nabla v \times \nabla v - \frac{1}{2r} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi \nabla \psi + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \psi^+ |v|^r - \frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{\Omega} f v^{1-\theta}, \quad v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

which is well defined since $\theta < 1$. Indeed, if we consider the functional

$$I_n(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \nabla v \times \nabla v - \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi \nabla \psi + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \psi^+ |v|^r - \frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{\Omega} f_n \left(v^+ + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-\theta}, \quad v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

with $f_n = \min(f(x), n)$, then there exists a minimum u_n of I_n . From the inequality $I_n(u_n) \leq I_n(u_n^+)$ one can prove that $u_n \geq 0$, so that u_n is a solution of the Euler equation for I_n , i.e., of (1.1). Therefore, by Lemma 4.2 and Remark 4.1, u_n is unique and increasing in n , satisfies (4.5) and, from the inequality $I(u_n) \leq I_n(0) \leq C$, it is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ (with the same proof of Lemma 2.2). If u is the limit of u_n , letting n tend to infinity in the inequalities $I_n(u_n) \leq I_n(v)$, one finds that $I(u) \leq I(v)$, so that u is a minimum of I , and u is a solution of (1.1) (by Theorem 2.1). Since u satisfies (4.5), Eq. (1.1) can be seen as the Euler equation for I ; note that I is not differentiable on $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. We obtain that:

$$J(u, \psi) \leq J(v, \psi), \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \text{ such that } \psi |v|^r \in L^1(\Omega),$$

which is the second part of (3.1).

4 Appendix: Basic Results and Existence for Bounded Data

In this Appendix, we will prove some results concerning the first equation of system (1.1), and the whole system in the case of bounded data.

Now we prove the existence of a solution to the following approximating problems:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)u_n) + g(x)|u_n|^{r-2}u_n = \frac{f_n(x)}{(|u_n| + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_n > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$, f is a positive (that is $f(x) \geq 0$ and not zero a.e.) function in $L^m(\Omega)$, with $m \geq 1$, $0 < \theta < 1$ and $g(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$, with

$$0 < \lambda \leq g(x). \quad (4.2)$$

Due to the nature of the approximation, the sequence u_n will be increasing with n , so that the (strict) positivity of the limit will be derived from the (strict) positivity of any of the u_n (which in turn will follow by the standard maximum principle for elliptic equations).

Lemma 4.1. *Problem (4.1) has a nonnegative solution u_n in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

In order to prove Lemma 4.1, we will work by approximation, namely by introducing the following

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)u_{n,k}) + g(x)T_k(|u_{n,k}|^{r-2}u_{n,k}) = \frac{f_n(x)}{(|u_{n,k}| + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_{n,k} = 0 & \text{on } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

where $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq f_n(x) := T_n(f(x)) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $0 < \theta < 1$ and $r \geq 1$. Thanks to [[14], Theorem 2], we know that there exists $u_{n,k} \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ weak solution to (4.3) for each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed. Moreover $u_{n,k} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ since, if $m \geq 1$ is fixed, taking $G_m(u_{n,k}) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ as test function in (4.3) and using that $G_m(u_{n,k})$ and $T_k(|u_{n,k}|^{r-2}u_{n,k})$ have the same sign of $u_{n,k}$, we immediately find that

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_m(u_{n,k})|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n G_m(u_{n,k})$$

and so we can proceed as in [19] to end up with $u_{n,k} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover the previous L^∞ estimate is independent from $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now taking $u_{n,k}$ as a test function in the weak formulation of (4.3), we find that $u_{n,k}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ with respect to k for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed. Since $u_{n,k}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ independently on k , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed we choose k_n large enough to obtain the following scheme of approximation

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)u_n) + g(x)|u_n|^{r-2}u_n = \frac{f_n(x)}{(|u_n| + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

where $u_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ is given by u_{n,k_n} . As concerns the sign of u_n , taking $u_n^- := \min(u_n, 0) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ as test function in (4.4), we find

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_n^-|^2 + \int_{\Omega} g(x) |u_n|^{r-2} (u_n^-)^2 = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(|u_n| + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} u_n^- \leq 0$$

and so that $u_n \geq 0$ almost everywhere in Ω .

Lemma 4.2. *The sequence u_n is increasing with respect to n , $u_n > 0$ in Ω , and for every $\omega \subset\subset \Omega$ there exists $c_\omega > 0$ (independent on n) such that*

$$u_n(x) \geq c_\omega > 0 \quad \text{for every } x \text{ in } \omega, \text{ for every } n \text{ in } \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover there exists the pointwise limit $u \geq c_\omega$ of the sequence u_n .

Proof. Since $0 \leq f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ and $\theta > 0$, one has (distributionally)

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u_n) + g(x)|u_n|^{r-2}u_n = \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} \leq \frac{f_{n+1}}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n+1})^\theta},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\operatorname{div}(a(x)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_{n+1})) + g(x)(|u_n|^{r-2}u_n - |u_{n+1}|^{r-2}u_{n+1}) \\ & \leq f_{n+1} \frac{(u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^\theta - (u_n + \frac{1}{n+1})^\theta}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n+1})^\theta (u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

We now choose $(u_n - u_{n+1})^+$ as test function and taking into account the monotonicity of the function $t \rightarrow |t|^{r-2}t$. For the right hand side we observe that

$$\left[\left(u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^\theta - \left(u_n + \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^\theta \right] (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ \leq 0,$$

recalling that $f_{n+1} \geq 0$, we obtain

$$0 \leq \alpha \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ \right|^2 \leq 0.$$

Therefore $(u_n - u_{n+1})^+ = 0$ almost everywhere in Ω , which implies $u_n \leq u_{n+1}$. Since u_1 belongs to $L^\infty(\Omega)$, and there exists a constant (only depending on Ω and N) such that

$$\|u_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \|f_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} & -\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u_1) + g(x)|u_1|^{r-2}u_1 \\ & = \frac{f_1}{(u_1+1)^\theta} \geq \frac{f_1}{(\|u_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}+1)^\theta} \geq \frac{f_1}{(C+1)^\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{f_1}{(C+1)^\theta}$ is not identically zero, the strong maximum principle implies that $u_1 > 0$ in Ω (see [15]; observe that u_1 is differentiable by Chapter 4 of [13]), and that (4.5) holds for u_1 (with c_ω only depending on ω, N, f_1 and θ). Since $u_n \geq u_1$ for every n in \mathbb{N} , (4.5) holds for u_n (with the same constant c_ω which is then independent on n).

Remark 4.1. *If u_n and v_n are two solutions of (4.4), repeating the argument of the first part of the proof of Lemma 4.2 shows that $u_n \leq v_n$. By symmetry, this implies that the solution of (4.4) is unique.*

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, f be a function in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, and let $r > 1$. Then, there exist u and φ , weak solutions of the system*

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u_n) + \varphi_n |u_n|^{r-2} u_n = \frac{f}{(\frac{1}{n} + u_n)^\theta}, \\ \varphi_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}(M(x)\nabla \varphi_n) = |u_n|^r \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Furthermore, u_n and φ_n belong to $L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\varphi_n > 0$, $u_n > 0$ and $0 < \theta < 1$.

Proof. Fix $\psi_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we define $S : W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ as the operator such that $v_n = S(\psi_n)$. By the maximum principle, $\psi_n > 0$, taking account Lemma 4.1 and Remark 4.1, there, exists a unique solution v_n of:

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla v_n) + \psi_n |v_n|^{r-2} v_n = \frac{f}{(\frac{1}{n} + v_n)^\theta}. \quad (4.7)$$

Since, by Lemma 4.1, one has

$$\|v_n\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad \|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (4.8)$$

Now we define $T : W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ as the operator such that $\zeta_n = T(v_n) = T(S(\psi_n))$. Thanks to the results in [9], ζ_n is the unique weak solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$-\operatorname{div}(M(x)|\nabla \zeta_n|) = |v_n|^r, \quad \zeta_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \quad (4.9)$$

Following [2], we thus have

$$\|\zeta_n\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} + \|\zeta_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_2 \|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^r,$$

using (4.8), we deduce that,

$$\|\zeta_n\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} + \|\zeta_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} =: R \quad (4.10)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants not depending on v_n .

We want to prove that $T \circ S$ has a fixed point by Schauder's fixed point theorem. By (4.10) we have that $\overline{B_R(0)} \subset W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is invariant for $T \circ S$. Let $\psi_k =: (\psi_{n,k})_k \subset W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be a sequence weakly convergent to some ψ and let $v_k =: (v_{n,k})_k = S(\psi_k)$. As a consequence of (4.8), there exists a subsequence indexed by v_k such that

$$v_k \rightarrow v \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega \quad (4.11)$$

$$v_k \rightarrow v \text{ weakly-}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Moreover, we have

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla v_k) = \frac{f}{(\frac{1}{n} + v_k)^\theta} - (\psi_k^+) |v_k|^{r-2} v_k =: g_k$$

and, using Hölder's inequality, the Poincaré inequality and (4.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_k\|_{L^1(\Omega)} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v_k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{r-1} \|\psi_k\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + C_1 \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{r-1} \|\psi_k\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Theorem 2.1 in [1], we obtain that ∇v_k converges to ∇v_n almost everywhere in Ω . Since

$$\|\nabla v_k\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^N} = \|v_k\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)},$$

thus, we conclude that

$$\nabla v_k \rightarrow \nabla v_n \text{ weakly in } (L^2(\Omega))^N. \quad (4.12)$$

We recall that v_k satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x)\nabla v_k \cdot \nabla w + \int_{\Omega} \psi_k |v_k|^{r-2} v_k w = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{(\frac{1}{n} + v_k)^\theta} w, \quad \forall w \in C_c^1(\Omega).$$

Letting k tend to infinity, by (4.11), (4.12) and Vitali's theorem, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n \cdot \nabla w + \int_{\Omega} \psi_n |v_n|^{r-2} v_n w = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{(\frac{1}{n} + v_n)^\theta} w, \quad \forall w \in C_c^1(\Omega),$$

so that v is the unique weak solution of (4.7) and it does not depend on the subsequence. Hence $v_k = S(\psi_k)$ converges to $v_n = S(\psi_n)$ weakly in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and weakly-* in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then

$$|v_k|^r \rightarrow |v_n|^r \text{ strongly in } L^q(\Omega) \quad \forall q < +\infty \text{ and } \| |v_k|^r \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C \quad (4.13)$$

Thanks to (4.10),(4.13) and proceeding in the same way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_k := \zeta_{n,k} = T(v_k) \rightarrow \zeta_n = T(v_n) \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \text{ and weakly-* in } L^\infty(\Omega) \\ |\nabla \zeta_k| \nabla \zeta_k \rightarrow |\nabla \zeta_n| \nabla \zeta_n \text{ weakly in } (L^2(\Omega))^N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

and ζ is the unique weak solution of (4.9). Now we want to prove that ζ_k converges to ζ strongly in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. In order to obtain this, by Lemma 5 in [3], it is sufficient to prove the following

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\zeta_k - \zeta_n)|^2 = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \zeta_k| - |\nabla \zeta_n|) \cdot \nabla(\zeta_k - \zeta_n) &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta_k|^2 - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta_n|^2 - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta_n| \cdot \nabla \zeta_k \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta_k| \cdot \nabla \zeta_n + \|\zeta_n\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

The second and the third term on the right hand side of (4.16) converge, by (4.14), to $\|\zeta_n\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2$. Then it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\zeta_k\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 = \|\zeta_n\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2. \quad (4.17)$$

Since ζ_k is equal to $T(v_k) \geq 0$, we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta_k|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |v_k|^r \zeta_k.$$

Using Vitali's Theorem and (4.13), we have that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |v_k|^r \zeta_k = \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^r \zeta = \|\zeta_n\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2,$$

so that (4.17) is true and (4.15) is proved. Hence we have proved that if ψ_k converges to ψ_n weakly in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ then $\zeta_k = T(S(\psi_k))$ converges to $\zeta_n = T(S(\psi_n))$ strongly in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. As a consequence we have that $T \circ S$ is a continuous operator and that $T\left(S\left(\overline{B_R(0)}\right)\right) \subset W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is a compact subset. Then there exists, by Schauder's fixed point Theorem, a function φ_n in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi_n = T(S(\varphi_n))$ and, since $T(v_n) \geq 0$ for every v_n in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, φ_n is nonnegative. Moreover let $u_n = S(\varphi_n)$, we have that u_n is a weak solution of (4.6).

References

- [1] L. Boccardo, F. Murat, Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 19 (1992) 581–597.
- [2] L. Boccardo, L. Orsina, Sublinear elliptic equations in L_s , *Houston Math. J.* 20 (1994) 99–114.
- [3] L. Boccardo F. Murat, J.P. Puel, Existence of bounded solutions for nonlinear unilateral problems, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 152 (1988) 183–196.
- [4] Boccardo, L., Orsina, L.: Regularizing effect for a system of Schrodinger–Maxwell equations. *Adv. Calc. Var.* 11, 75–87 (2018).
- [5] Boccardo, L. Elliptic systems of Schrodinger type in the spirit of BenciFortunato. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 15, 321–331 (2015).
- [6] Boccardo Lucio, Croce Gisella: The impact of a lower order term in a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity. *Port. Math.* 76 (2019), 407-415.
- [7] L. Boccardo and T. Gallouet, Nonlinear Elliptic Equations with Right Hand Side Measures, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 17 (1992), pp. 641-655.

- [8] L. Boccardo and L. Orsina, Semilinear elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 37 (2009), 363–380.
- [9] H. Brezis, L. Oswald, Remarks on sublinear elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 10 (1986) 55–64.
- [10] L. De Cave, Nonlinear elliptic equation with singular nonlinearities. *Asymptotic Analysis* 84 (2013), 181-195.
- [11] L. M. De Cave, Nonlinear elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities, *Asymptotic Analysis* 84 (2013) 181-195.
- [12] Durastanti, R. Regularizing effect for some p -Laplacian systems. *Nonlinear Anal.* 188, 425–438 (2019).
- [13] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, N. N. Uraltseva, *Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations*. Academic Press, New York, 1968 (transl. from the Russian edition, 1964).
- [14] J. Leray and J.-L. Lions, Quelques résultats de Visik sur les problèmes elliptiques non linéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 93 (1965), pp. 97-107.
- [15] J. L. Vazquez, A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations. *Appl. Math. Optim.* 12 (1984), 191–202.
- [16] A. Sbai and Y. El hadfi, Regularizing effect of absorption terms in singular and degenerate elliptic problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.03597 (2020).
- [17] A. Sbai and Y. El hadfi, Degenerate elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity. arXiv e-prints (2020): arXiv-2005.
- [18] G. Stampacchia, Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 15 (1965) 189–258.
- [19] G. Stampacchia, *Equations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus*, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal (1966).