

# A SHARP LI-YAU GRADIENT BOUND ON COMPACT MANIFOLDS

QI S. ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $(\mathbf{M}^n, g)$  be a  $n$  dimensional, complete (compact or noncompact) Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below by a constant  $-K \leq 0$ . Let  $u$  be a positive solution of the heat equation on  $\mathbf{M}^n \times (0, \infty)$ . The well known Li-Yau gradient bound states that

$$t \left( \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - \alpha \frac{\partial_t u}{u} \right) \leq \frac{n\alpha^2}{2} + t \frac{n\alpha^2 K}{2(\alpha - 1)}, \quad \forall \alpha > 1, t > 0.$$

The bound with  $\alpha = 1$  is sharp if  $K = 0$ . If  $-K < 0$ , the bound tends to infinity if  $\alpha = 1$ . In over 30 years, several sharpening of the bounds have been obtained with  $\alpha$  replaced by several functions  $\alpha = \alpha(t) > 1$  but not equal to 1. An open question ([CLN], [LX] etc) asks if a sharp bound can be reached. In this short note, we observe that for all complete compact manifolds one can take  $\alpha = 1$ . Thus a sharp bound, up to computable constants, is found in the compact case. In the noncompact case one can not take  $\alpha = 1$  even for the hyperbolic space.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [LY], Li and Yau proved the important Li-Yau gradient bound for positive solutions of the heat equation on  $\mathbf{M}$  when the Ricci curvature of  $\mathbf{M}$  is bounded from below. Here  $\mathbf{M}$  is a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , which may be compact or noncompact. It states that if  $Ric \geq -K$  for some constant  $K \geq 0$ , then for any positive solution  $u$  of the heat equation  $\partial_t u = \Delta u$ , one has

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - \alpha \frac{u_t}{u} \leq \frac{n\alpha^2 K}{2(\alpha - 1)} + \frac{n\alpha^2}{2t}, \quad \forall \alpha > 1, t > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

In particular, when  $Ric \geq 0$ , one obtains the optimal Li-Yau bound

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - \frac{u_t}{u} \leq \frac{n}{2t}. \quad (1.2)$$

The Li-Yau gradient bound is a versatile tool for studying topological and geometrical properties of manifolds. For instance, the classical parabolic Harnack inequality, optimal Gaussian estimates of the heat kernel, estimates of eigenvalues of the Laplace operator, estimates of the Green's function, and even Laplacian comparison theorem can be deduced from (1.2).

While the coefficient in (1.2) is sharp for the case where  $Ric \geq 0$ , the coefficients in (1.1) are not sharp if  $K > 0$ . In the past 30 years, many efforts have been made to improve (1.1). The readers may refer to [Ha], [Ya], [CTZ], [Dav], [GM], [LX], [QZZ], [Wan], [WanJ], [BBG], [YZ], [Qb] and the references therein for more information. In some of these improvements, the constant  $\alpha$  is replaced by functions in the form of  $\alpha(t, K)$  which is strictly greater than 1 but converges to 1 as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, generalizations of Li-Yau gradient bounds have also been studied by many mathematicians. Hamilton [Ha]

discovered a matrix Li-Yau type bound for the heat equation. See also [CH] and [CaNi]. Moreover, Li-Yau type bounds were also proved for weighted manifolds with Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature being bounded from below, or more generally for metric measure spaces  $(X, d, \mu)$  satisfying  $RCD^*(K, N)$  condition (see e.g. [BL] and [ZhZx]).

An open question mentioned in several a fore cited papers ( e.g. [CLN] Problem 10.5, p377; p4458 [LX] ) asks if a sharp bound can be reached. In this short note, we show that for all complete compact manifolds one can take  $\alpha = 1$ . Thus a sharp bound, up to computable constants, is found in the compact case. We point out that going from  $\alpha(t, K) > 1$  to  $\alpha = 1$  is a little more than a technical improvement since the latter gives rise to the second order Laplace estimate for log of solutions.

Our theorem is

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(\mathbf{M}, g_{ij})$  be a complete, compact  $n$  dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $u$  a positive solution of the heat equation on  $\mathbf{M} \times (0, \infty)$ , i.e.,*

$$(\Delta - \partial_t)u = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

*Let  $\text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}$  be the diameter of  $\mathbf{M}$  and suppose the Ricci curvature is bounded from below by a non-positive constant  $-K$ , i.e.  $R_{ij} \geq -Kg_{ij}$ . Then there exist dimensional constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} -t\Delta \ln u &= t \left( \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - \frac{\partial_t u}{u} \right) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{2nK(1+Kt)(1+t)} \text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}} + \sqrt{K(1+Kt)(C_1 + C_2K)t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

**Remark 1.2.** *The constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  arise from the standard volume comparison or/and heat kernel upper and lower bound which can be efficiently estimated. For compact manifolds, the main utility of the result is for small or finite time. For example, when we choose  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  to be independent of the volume comparison theorem, the bound actually gives rise to a Laplace and hence volume comparison theorem as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . For large time, a solution converges to a constant. As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , it is expected that the bound will be of order  $o(t)$  instead of  $O(t)$  as of now. But we will not pursue the improvement this time. It would still be interesting to find a sharp form of the RHS of (1.4).*

The idea of the proof is the following. First we prove the sharp bound for heat kernels  $G$  instead of general positive solutions. This involves an iterated integral estimate on  $-\Delta \ln G$  which satisfies a nonlinear heat type equation and Hamilton's estimate of  $|\nabla \ln G|$  by  $t \ln A/G$ , taking advantage of the finiteness of the diameter of  $\mathbf{M}$  and lower bound of  $G$ . Here  $A$  is a constant which dominates the heat kernel in a suitable time interval. Next we use crucially the result in [YZ] which states that a Li-Yau type bound on the heat kernel implies the same bound on all positive solutions.

For those who is curious about the noncompact case, we do not have any positive input on the sharp function in the Li-Yau bound. In the noncompact case one can not prove a bound like (1.4) with a finite right hand side for a fixed  $t$  even for the hyperbolic space. For example in the 3 dimensional hyperbolic space, the heat kernel (c.f. [Dav] e.g.) is:

$$G(x, t, y) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \frac{d(x, y)}{\sinh d(x, y)} e^{-t - \frac{d^2(x, y)}{4t}}.$$

It is easy to check  $-t\Delta \ln G(x, t, y)$  is of the order  $d(x, y)$  when  $d(x, y) \rightarrow \infty$ . See also [YZ2]. We will see at the end of the paper that Li-Yau type bounds involving  $d(x, y)$  is possible for the heat kernels.

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let  $(\mathbf{M}, g_{ij})$  be a complete, compact Riemannian manifold. In the following, we use  $B(x, r)$  and  $|B(x, r)|$  to denote the geodesic ball with radius  $r$  in  $\mathbf{M}$  centered at  $x$  and its volume, respectively. The distance between  $x, y \in \mathbf{M}$  is denoted by  $d(x, y)$ .

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* Let  $u = u(x, t)$  be a positive solution of the heat equation on  $\mathbf{M} \times (0, \infty)$ . Note that no assumption is made on  $u$  at  $t = 0$ . In particular  $u$  can be chosen as the heat kernel  $G = G(x, t, y)$ ,  $t > 0$ . Write

$$Y = Y(x, t) \equiv \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - \frac{\partial_t u}{u} = -\Delta \ln u.$$

According to the computation in Sec.1 of [LY], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta - \partial_t)Y + 2\frac{\nabla u}{u}\nabla Y &= 2|Hess \ln u|^2 + 2Ric(\nabla \ln u, \nabla \ln u) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{n}Y^2 - 2K|\nabla \ln u|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let  $Y^+ \equiv \max\{Y(x, t), 0\}$ . The inequality (2.1) implies that  $Y^+$  is a subsolution of the inequality in the weak sense: on  $\mathbf{M} \times (0, \infty)$ ,

$$(\Delta - \partial_t)Y^+ + 2\nabla \ln u \nabla Y^+ \geq \frac{2}{n}(Y^+)^2 - 2K|\nabla \ln u|^2. \tag{2.2}$$

For a positive integer  $j$  and a small positive number  $\epsilon$ , the function  $((t - \epsilon)^+)^{2j+2}(Y^+)^{2j}$  is a legal test function for (2.2) on  $\mathbf{M} \times (0, T]$  for any  $T > 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2}{n} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} [(t - \epsilon)^+ Y^+]^{2j+2} dx dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t - \epsilon)^+)^{2j+2} (Y^+)^{2j} (\Delta - \partial_t)Y^+ dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t - \epsilon)^+)^{2j+2} (Y^+)^{2j} 2\nabla \ln u \nabla Y^+ dx dt \\ &\quad + 2K \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} |\nabla \ln u|^2 ((t - \epsilon)^+)^{2j+2} (Y^+)^{2j} dx dt \\ &\equiv T_1 + T_2 + T_3. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Let us bound  $T_1, T_2$  and  $T_3$  respectively. Using integration by parts, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= -2j \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t - \epsilon)^+)^{2j+2} (Y^+)^{2j-1} |\nabla Y^+|^2 dx dt - \frac{1}{2j+1} \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((T - \epsilon)^+)^{2j+2} (Y^+)^{2j+1}(x, T) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{2j+2}{2j+1} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t - \epsilon)^+)^{2j+1} dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Writing  $(Y^+)^{2j}\nabla Y^+ = \frac{1}{2j+1}\nabla(Y^+)^{2j+1}$  and doing integration by parts, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= \frac{2}{2j+1} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} \nabla \ln u \nabla (Y^+)^{2j+1} (t-\epsilon)^+)^{2j+2} dx dt \\ &= -\frac{2}{2j+1} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} (\Delta \ln u) (Y^+)^{2j+1} (t-\epsilon)^+)^{2j+2} dx dt \\ &= \frac{2}{2j+1} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t-\epsilon)^+ Y^+)^{2j+2} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Substituting (2.4) and (2.5) into (2.3) and throwing away the non-positive terms on the right hand side, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{2j+1}\right) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t-\epsilon)^+ Y^+)^{2j+2} dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{2j+2}{2j+1} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t-\epsilon)^+ Y^+)^{2j+1} dx dt + \underbrace{2K \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} |\nabla \ln u|^2 ((t-\epsilon)^+)^{2j+2} (Y^+)^{2j} dx dt}_{T_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

This estimate holds for all positive solutions. Now we take, in particular  $u = G(x, t, y)$  the heat kernel with pole at a fixed  $y \in \mathbf{M}$ . We will find a bound for  $T_3$  next, which relies on the following estimate by Hamilton [Ha] Theorem 1.1. Let  $f$  be a positive solution of the heat equation on  $\mathbf{M} \times (0, \infty)$  with  $f \leq A$  for some constant  $A$ . Then

$$t|\nabla \ln f|^2 \leq (1 + 2Kt) \ln(A/f). \quad (2.7)$$

For a time  $t_0 > 0$  we take  $f = f(x, t) = G(x, t + t_0, y)$  with  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . According to [LY], the following upper and lower bound for  $f$  hold. See also [Lib] Chapter 13 for further refinement. For some positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  depending at worst on the dimension  $n$ ,

$$\frac{\exp(-C_2 K(t+t_0) - \frac{d^2(x,y)}{3(t+t_0)})}{C_1 |B(x, \sqrt{t+t_0})|} \leq f(x, t) \leq \frac{C_1 \exp(C_2 K(t+t_0) - \frac{d^2(x,y)}{5(t+t_0)})}{|B(x, \sqrt{t+t_0})|}. \quad (2.8)$$

Note the center of the ball  $x$  may be replaced by  $y$  in the above bounds by modifying the constants appropriately. This upper bound implies

$$A = \sup_{\mathbf{M} \times (0, t_0)} f \leq \frac{C_1 \exp(2C_2 K t_0)}{\inf_{z \in \mathbf{M}} |B(z, \sqrt{t_0})|},$$

which yields, by the lower bound of  $f$ , that

$$\frac{A}{f(x, t)} \leq \frac{C_1^2 \exp(4C_2 K t_0) \exp(\frac{d^2(x,y)}{3t_0})}{\inf_{z \in \mathbf{M}} |B(z, \sqrt{t_0})|} |B(x, \sqrt{2t_0})|. \quad (2.9)$$

We mention that  $\inf_{z \in \mathbf{M}} |B(z, \sqrt{t_0})|$  can also be replaced by  $|B(y, \sqrt{t_0})|$  after adjusting the constants. According to the standard volume comparison theorem, there exists a dimensional constant  $C_3$  such that

$$\frac{|B(x, \sqrt{2t_0})|}{\inf_{z \in \mathbf{M}} |B(z, \sqrt{t_0})|} \leq \exp(C_3 \sqrt{K} \frac{\text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}}{\sqrt{t_0}}),$$

where  $diam_{\mathbf{M}}$  is the diameter of  $\mathbf{M}$ . Note that one can also use the heat kernel bounds to prove a similar bound, avoiding the use of volume comparison. This and (2.9) infer that

$$\ln \frac{A}{f(x, t)} \leq 2 \ln C_1 + 4C_2 K t_0 + \frac{d^2(x, y)}{3t_0} + C_3 \sqrt{K} \frac{diam_{\mathbf{M}}}{\sqrt{t_0}},$$

which implies, via (2.7), that

$$t |\nabla \ln f(x, t)|^2 \leq (1 + 2Kt_0) \left( 4C_2 K t_0 + 4C_3^2 K + \frac{diam_{\mathbf{M}}^2}{2t_0} + 2 \ln C_1 \right), \quad t \in (0, t_0].$$

Recall that  $f(x, t) = G(x, t + t_0, y)$ . We can take  $t = t_0$  and use the arbitrariness of  $t_0$  to conclude

$$t |\nabla_x \ln G(x, t, y)|^2 \leq 2(1 + Kt) \left( 2C_2 K t + 4C_3^2 K + \frac{diam_{\mathbf{M}}^2}{t} + 2 \ln C_1 \right), \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (2.10)$$

The bound is adequate for us when the time is short, say  $t \leq 4$ . When  $t$  is large, the heat kernel converges to the positive constant  $1/|\mathbf{M}|$  where  $|\mathbf{M}|$  is the volume of  $\mathbf{M}$ . In this case the above bound becomes inaccurate. Instead we will use a better bound based on the Li-Yau Harnack inequality (Theorem 2.2 (ii)) in [LY]. Pick any time  $t \geq 4$ . Since  $\int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t + 1, y) dx = 1$ , there is a point  $x_1 \in \mathbf{M}$  such that  $G(x_1, t + 1, y) = 1/|\mathbf{M}|$ . According to the afore mentioned Theorem 2.2 (ii) with  $q = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $t_2 = t + 1$ ,  $t_1 = t$  therein, there exists a dimensional constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$G(x, t, y) \leq G(x_1, t + 1, y) ((t + 1)/t)^n \exp(C_0 K + \frac{d^2(x, x_1)}{2}). \quad (2.11)$$

Since  $t \geq 4$ , this implies

$$G(x, t, y) \leq 1.25^n |\mathbf{M}|^{-1} \exp(C_0 K + diam_{\mathbf{M}}^2/2).$$

Similarly, there is a point  $x_2$  such that  $G(x_2, t - 1, y) = 1/|\mathbf{M}|$  and that

$$G(x_2, t - 1, y) \leq G(x, t, y) (t/(t - 1))^n \exp(C_0 K + \frac{d^2(x, x_2)}{2}),$$

which infers

$$G(x, t, y) \geq (3/4)^n |\mathbf{M}|^{-1} \exp(-C_0 K - diam_{\mathbf{M}}^2/2), \quad t \geq 4. \quad (2.12)$$

Using (2.11) and (2.12), we find, for  $t_0 \geq 4$ , that

$$\ln \frac{A}{f(x, t)} \leq n \ln 2 + 2C_0 K + diam_{\mathbf{M}}^2, \quad t \in (0, t_0].$$

This and (2.7) yield

$$t |\nabla \ln f(x, t)|^2 \leq (1 + 2Kt_0) (n \ln 2 + 2C_0 K + diam_{\mathbf{M}}^2).$$

Therefore

$$t |\nabla_x \ln G(x, t, y)|^2 \leq 2(1 + Kt) (n \ln 2 + 2C_0 K + diam_{\mathbf{M}}^2), \quad t \geq 4. \quad (2.13)$$

Next we plug (2.10) for  $t < 4$  and (2.13) for  $t \geq 4$  into the term  $T_3$  in (2.6) with  $u = G(x, t, y)$ . After renaming some dimensional constants, we obtain

$$T_3 \leq 4K(1 + KT) (diam_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + T diam_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + C_4 T + C_5 KT) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t - \epsilon)^+ Y^+)^{2j} dx dt$$

Then (2.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{2j+1}\right) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t-\epsilon)^+ Y^+)^{2j+2} dxdt &\leq \frac{2j+2}{2j+1} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t-\epsilon)^+ Y^+)^{2j+1} dxdt \\ &+ 4K(1+KT) (\text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + T \text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + C_4 T + C_5 KT) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t-\epsilon)^+ Y^+)^{2j} dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Using the notation

$$A_{j,\epsilon} = \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} ((t-\epsilon)^+ Y^+)^j dxdt \right)^{1/j},$$

we can write (2.14) as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{2j+1}\right) A_{2j+2,\epsilon}^{2j+2} &\leq \frac{2j+2}{2j+1} A_{2j+1,\epsilon}^{2j+1} + 4K(1+KT) (\text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + T \text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + C_4 T + C_5 KT) A_{2j,\epsilon}^{2j}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

By Hölder inequality,

$$A_{2j+1,\epsilon}^{2j+1} \leq A_{2j+2,\epsilon}^{2j+1} \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} dxdt \right)^{1/(2j+2)}, \quad A_{2j,\epsilon}^{2j} \leq A_{2j+2,\epsilon}^{2j} \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} dxdt \right)^{2/(2j+2)},$$

which imply, together with (2.15), that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{2j+1}\right) A_{2j+2,\epsilon}^2 &\leq \frac{2j+2}{2j+1} A_{2j+1,\epsilon}^2 \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} dxdt \right)^{1/(2j+2)} \\ &+ 4K(1+KT) (\text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + T \text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + C_4 T + C_5 KT) \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{M}} dxdt \right)^{2/(2j+2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we arrive at

$$\frac{2}{n} A_{\infty,\epsilon}^2 \leq A_{\infty,\epsilon} + 4K(1+KT) (\text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + T \text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + C_4 T + C_5 KT),$$

where

$$A_{\infty,\epsilon} = \sup_{\mathbf{M} \times [0,T]} (t-\epsilon)^+ Y^+.$$

This shows

$$\sup_{\mathbf{M} \times [0,T]} (t-\epsilon)^+ Y^+ = A_{\infty,\epsilon} \leq \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{2nK(1+KT)(\text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + T \text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}}^2 + C_4 T + C_5 KT)}.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary and  $Y = -\Delta \ln u = -\Delta_x \ln G(x, t, y)$ , we conclude that

$$-t \Delta_x \ln G(x, t, y) \leq \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{2nK(1+Kt)(1+t) \text{diam}_{\mathbf{M}} + \sqrt{K(1+Kt)(C_4 t + C_5 Kt)}}, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (2.17)$$

From (2.17), a short but nice argument from [YZ] implies that the same bound actually holds if one replaces the heat kernel by any positive solutions of the heat equation. For completeness we present the proof. Let  $u$  be any positive solution of the heat equation on  $\mathbf{M} \times (0, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality we can assume  $u_0 = u(x, 0)$  is smooth because we

can consider  $u(x, t + \epsilon)$  and let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  otherwise. Then  $u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t, y) u_0(y) dy$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
u^2(-\Delta \ln u)(x, t) &= |\nabla u|^2 - u \partial_t u = |\nabla u|^2 - (1/2) \partial_t u^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{M}} \int_{\mathbf{M}} \left( \nabla_x G(x, t, y) \nabla_x G(x, t, z) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_t G(x, t, y) G(x, t, z) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} G(x, t, y) \partial_t G(x, t, z) \right) u_0(y) u_0(z) dy dz \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbf{M}} \int_{\mathbf{M}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\nabla_x G(x, t, y)|^2}{G(x, t, y)} G(x, t, z) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\nabla_x G(x, t, z)|^2}{G(x, t, z)} G(x, t, y) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \partial_t G(x, t, y) G(x, t, z) - \frac{1}{2} G(x, t, y) \partial_t G(x, t, z) \right) u_0(y) u_0(z) dy dz.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

In the above, we have used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Using (2.17) in the integrand, we conclude that

$$-tu^2 \Delta \ln u \leq \left( \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{2nK(1+Kt)(1+t)} \operatorname{diam}_{\mathbf{M}} + \sqrt{K(1+Kt)(C_4t + C_5Kt)} \right) u^2.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** *By modifying the proof in a standard way to treat the boundary terms, one can show that the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 with perhaps worse parameters still holds if  $\mathbf{M}$  is replaced by a domain  $D \subset \mathbf{M}$  with smooth convex boundary and the Neumann boundary condition  $\partial_n u = 0$  is imposed on  $u$ . Let us indicate the changes in the proof. Let  $n$  be the exterior normal of  $\partial D$ . It is well known that  $\partial_n |\nabla u|^2 = -2\Pi(\nabla u, \nabla u) \leq 0$  on the boundary, where  $\Pi$  is the 2nd fundamental form of  $\partial D$ . Together with the fact that  $\partial_n \ln u = 0$  on  $\partial D$ , we see that the integral estimate (2.16) is still true since the boundary terms in the steps before (2.16) all can be thrown out. Hamilton's estimate (2.7) is also valid for the same reason. The Neumann heat kernel upper and lower bound in the form of (2.8) in this case is already in [LY] essentially. The upper bound is Theorem 3.2 there. The lower bound is not explicitly stated except when  $K = 0$  (Theorem 4.2 [LY]). But a rough lower bound like the one in (2.8) can be proven similarly or by non-sharp Harnack inequality. Note one needs to use the geodesic balls on  $\mathbf{M}$  instead of on  $\mathbf{M} \cap D$ . The constants in the bound will also depend on  $\partial D$ .*

Let us comment on a modification of (1.4) which holds on noncompact manifolds  $\mathbf{M}$  with  $\operatorname{Ric} \geq -K$ . Hamilton's estimate (2.7) is still valid for globally bounded solutions  $u > 0$  (see [Ko]). Then assume any version of the Li-Yau bound for the heat kernel  $G = G(x, t, y)$  with  $\alpha(t, K) > 1$ ,  $\alpha(t, K) - 1 = KO(t)$  and positive function  $\beta = \beta(t, K)$ :

$$t \left( \frac{|\nabla_x G|^2}{G^2} - \alpha(t, K) \frac{\partial_t G}{G} \right) \leq \frac{n\alpha^2(t, K)}{2} + t \frac{n\beta(t, K)K}{2(\alpha(t, K) - 1)}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Using the Gaussian bounds (2.8) for  $G$  and (2.7), one can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
t \left( \frac{|\nabla_x G|^2}{G^2} - \frac{\partial_t G}{G} \right) &\leq \frac{n\alpha(t, K)}{2} + t \frac{n\beta(t, K)\alpha^{-1}(t, K)K}{2(\alpha(t, K) - 1)} + CKt^2 \frac{|\nabla G|^2}{G^2} \\
&\leq \frac{n\alpha(t, K)}{2} + t \frac{n\beta(t, K)\alpha^{-1}(t, K)K}{2(\alpha(t, K) - 1)} + C_1Kt + C_2K^2t^2 + C_3Kd^2(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

However due to the presence of the term  $d^2(x, y)$ , which is unbounded on noncompact manifolds, this bound can not be translated to all positive solutions. Instead, following (2.18), we obtain, for any positive solution of the heat equation  $u = u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t, y)u_0(y)dy$ , the weaker bound:

$$\begin{aligned} -t\Delta \ln u(x, t) \leq & \frac{n\alpha(t, K)}{2} + t \frac{n\beta(t, K)\alpha^{-1}(t, K)K}{2(\alpha(t, K) - 1)} + C_1Kt + C_2K^2t^2 \\ & + \frac{C_3K \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t, y)u_0(y)d^2(x, y)dy}{\int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t, y)u_0(y)dy}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

We end the note by remarking that all the dimensional constants can be estimated and improved and the  $C_2K^2t^2$  term in (2.19) can be improved to  $C_2K^2t$  by mimicking the proof of (2.13). If  $u_0$  is supported in a ball  $B(0, R)$  with  $0 \in \mathbf{M}$  and  $R > 0$ , (2.19) yields a workable bound, depending on  $R$  but not the particular  $u_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} -t\Delta \ln u(x, t) \leq & \frac{n\alpha(t, K)}{2} + t \frac{n\beta(t, K)\alpha^{-1}(t, K)K}{2(\alpha(t, K) - 1)} + C_1Kt + C_2K^2t^2 \\ & + 2C_3K(d^2(x, 0) + R^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

**Acknowledgements** The author gratefully acknowledges the support of Simons' Foundation grant 710364. Thanks also go to Professors Junfang Li and Meng Zhu for helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [BBG] Bakry, Dominique; Bolley, Francois; Gentil Ivan, *The Li-Yau inequality and applications under a curvature-dimension condition*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 67 (2017), no. 1, 397-421. 1
- [BL] Bakry, Dominique; Ledoux, Michel, *A logarithmic Sobolev form of the Li-Yau parabolic inequality*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, Volume 22, Number 2 (2006), 683-702. 2
- [CaNi] Cao, Huai-Dong; Ni, Lei, *Matrix Li-Yau-Hamilton estimates for the heat equation on Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. 331 (2005), no. 4, 795-807. 2
- [CH] Chow Bennett, Hamilton Richard S., *Constrained and linear Harnack inequalities for parabolic equations*. Invent. Math. 129 (1997), no. 2, 213-238. 2
- [CTZ] Cao, Huai-Dong; Tian, Gang; Zhu, Xiaohua, *Kähler-Ricci solitons on compact complex manifolds with  $C_1(M) > 0$* . Geom. Funct. Anal. 15 (2005), no. 3, 697-719. 1
- [CLN] Chow, Bennett; Lu, Peng; Ni, Lei. *Hamilton's Ricci flow*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 77. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Science Press Beijing, New York, 2006. ISBN: 978-0-8218-4231-7. 1, 2
- [Dav] Davies, E. B., *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, volume 92 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989 1, 2
- [GM] Garofalo, N.; Mondino, A., *Li-Yau and Harnack type inequalities in  $RCD^*(K; N)$  metric measure spaces*. Nonlinear Anal., 95, 721-734, 2014. 1
- [Ha] Hamilton, Richard S., *A matrix Harnack estimate for the heat equation*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 1 (1993), no. 1, 113-126. 1, 4
- [Ko] B. Kotschwar, *Hamilton's gradient estimate for the heat kernel on complete manifolds*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 135 (9), 3013-3019. 7
- [LX] Li, J. F.; Xu, X.J., *Differential Harnack inequalities on Riemannian manifolds I: linear heat equation*. Adv. Math., 226(5), 4456-4491, 2011. 1, 2
- [Lib] Li, Peter, *Geometric analysis*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 134. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. x+406 pp. 4
- [LY] Li, Peter; Yau, Shing-Tung, *On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator*. Acta Math. 156 (1986), no. 3-4, 153-201. 1, 3, 4, 5, 7



- [Qb] Bin Qian, *Revisiting Li-Yau type inequalities on Riemannian manifolds*, arXiv:2105.03609v1. 1
- [QZZ] Qian, Z.; Zhang, H.-C.; Zhu X.P., *Sharp spectral gap and Li-Yau's estimate on Alexandrov spaces*. Math. Z., 273(3-4), 1175-1195, 2013. 1
- [Wan] Wang, F.-Y., *Gradient and Harnack inequalities on noncompact manifolds with boundary*, Pacific J. Math., 245(1), 185-200, 2010. 1
- [WanJ] Wang, Jiaping, *Global heat kernel estimates*. Pacific J. Math. 178 (1997), no. 2, 377-398. 1
- [Ya] Yau S. T., *On the Harnack inequalities of partial differential equations*. Comm. Anal. Geom. 2 (1994), no. 3, 431-450. 1
- [YZ] Chengjie Yu, Feifei Zhao, *Li-Yau multiplier set and optimal Li-Yau gradient estimate on hyperbolic spaces*, arXiv:1807.05709. 1, 2, 6
- [YZ2] Chengjie Yu, Feifei Zhao, *Sharp Li Yau Type Gradient Estimates on Hyperbolic Spaces*, The Journal of Geometric Analysis volume 30, pages 54-68 (2020). 3
- [ZhZx] Zhang, Hui-Chun; Zhu, Xi-Ping, *Local Li-Yau's estimates on  $RCD^*(K, N)$  metric measure spaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 55 (2016), no. 4, Paper No. 93, 30 pp. 2

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CA 92521, USA  
Email address: qizhang@math.ucr.edu