

# Post-Newtonian parameters of ghost-free parity-violating gravities

Jin Qiao<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup> Tao Zhu<sup>d,e,†</sup> Guoliang Li<sup>a,b,‡</sup> and Wen Zhao<sup>b,c,§</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Purple Mountain Observatory,  
Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
Nanjing, 210023, P.R.China*

<sup>b</sup> *School of Astronomy and Space Sciences,  
University of Science and Technology of China,  
Hefei, 230026, P.R.China*

<sup>c</sup> *Department of Astronomy,  
University of Science and Technology of China,  
Hefei 230026, P.R.China*

<sup>d</sup> *Institute for theoretical physics and Cosmology,  
Zhejiang University of Technology,  
Hangzhou, 310032, P.R.China*

<sup>e</sup> *United center for gravitational wave physics (UCGWP),  
Zhejiang University of Technology,  
Hangzhou, 310032, P.R.China;*

(Dated: October 19, 2021)

We investigate the slow-motion and weak-field approximation of the general ghost-free parity-violating (PV) theory of gravity in the parametrized post-Newtonian (PPN) framework, and derive the perturbative field equations, which are modified by the PV terms of this theory. The complete PPN parameters are obtained by solving the perturbative field equations. We find that all the PPN parameters are exactly same with those in general relativity, except for an extra parameter  $\kappa$ , which is caused by the a new curl-type term in the gravitomagnetic sector of the metric in this theory. We calculate the precession effects of gyroscopes in this theory and constrain the model parameters by the observations of Gravity Probe B experiment.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Tests of gravity have been widely concerned since Einstein first formulated general relativity (GR) [1–4]. At present, the results of almost all gravity testing experiments show that GR is the most successful theory of gravity among many gravitational theories. Nevertheless, there are other theories of gravity which also satisfy the various high precision experimental constraints and remain candidates for the alternative theory of gravity [5]. Moreover, GR still faces the difficulties in both theoretical side (e.g. singularity, quantization, etc) and in observational side (e.g. dark matter, dark energy, etc). Modified gravity is considered to be one of the effective ways to solve these anomalies [6–9]. Therefore, the tests of the modified gravities are essential to confirm the final theory of gravity.

In this article, we focus on the parity-violating (PV) gravities, which are a class of alternative theories of gravity that the gravitational action is modified by including the PV terms. Parity symmetry implies that a directional flipping to the left and right does not change the laws of physics. It is well known that nature is parity violating, since the first discovery of parity violation in weak interactions [10]. Although in GR, the parity symmetry is conserved, many PV gravities with different action forms have been proposed for different motivations [11–21]. PV theories of gravity have been studied in the cosmology, as well as in gravitational waves [21–34]. Gravitational parity violation can produce the birefringence effect of (primordial) gravitational waves, where the modified dispersion relation can lead to velocity birefringence [35] and the altered friction can cause amplitude birefringence of gravitational waves [11, 36]. The imprints of these birefringence effects can be detected by the laser interference gravitational wave detectors [37–41] and/or by the polarization of cosmic microwave background radiations [22, 36, 42, 43].

In addition, the external environment of compact bodies such as binary pulsars or solar system objects [44–48], also provides the most accessible testbed to test the PV theories of gravity. The parameterized post-Newtonian

---

\*Electronic address: [qiaojin@zjut.edu.cn](mailto:qiaojin@zjut.edu.cn)

†Electronic address: [zhut05@zjut.edu.cn](mailto:zhut05@zjut.edu.cn)

‡Electronic address: [guoliang@pmo.ac.cn](mailto:guoliang@pmo.ac.cn)

§Electronic address: [wzhao7@ustc.edu.cn](mailto:wzhao7@ustc.edu.cn)

(PPN) approximation [49, 50] is successful and extensively used to test the slow-motion and weak-field limit of the gravity theories [51–56], including PV theories of gravity. For a specific Chern-Simons (CS) gravity, Refs.[56, 57] have calculated the PPN parameters and shown that the vectorial sector of the metric is modified by a new curl-type term, leading to a correction to Lense-Thirring effect. Other PV theories of gravity, for example Hořava-Lifshitz gravity [53], teleparallel gravity [55], etc, also have been examined in the slow-motion and weak-field limit of system by using the PPN approximation.

Recently, based on the specific parity-violating CS modified gravity, a ghost-free parity-violating theory of gravity have been explored in Ref. [58] by including higher derivatives of the coupling scalar field. In this theory of gravity we have studied the propagation of gravitational waves and found that both amplitude and velocity birefringence exist [59, 60]. We also investigated circular polarization of the primordial gravitational waves in this gravity and obtained a nonzero degree of circular polarization [43].

As an extension of these works, in this paper, we will calculate PPN parameters of the ghost-free PV theory of gravity to test whether it is compatible with the solar system experiments. We use the PPN approximation to expand the modified field equations. By solving the perturbative field equations, we get the full PPN metric and the PPN parameters of this theory. We find that this metric contains an extra curl-type term, which is similar with in CS theory [56, 57] except for the coupling parameter. In order to constrain this theory, we calculate the modification to the rate of angular precession in a specific experiment frame, and find that the modification contributes in two directions of the geodetic effect of gyroscopes in a complete circular satellite orbital motion period. However, in the time period of a complete Earth orbital period, the contributions in these two directions include the oscillation terms produced by the Earth's orbital motion. We ignore these oscillation terms in a complete Earth's orbital cycle, the modification to the rate of angular precession only contributes in one direction, which exactly correspond to the changes of GR's frame-dragging precession. This allows us to apply the observation of Gravity Probe B (GPB) experiment to constrain the model parameters.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II, we briefly introduce the theory of ghost-free PV gravity and the modified field equations. In Sec.III, we describe the basics of the PPN framework and expand the modified field equations to required order in the metric perturbation. In Sec.IV, we solve the equations in the PN approximation to obtain the PPN parameters. In Sec.V, we constrain this theory with frame-dragging effect. Summary and discussions are given in Sec.VI.

Throughout this paper, the metric convention is chosen as  $(-, +, +, +)$ , and greek indices  $(\mu, \nu, \dots)$  run over 0, 1, 2, 3 and the latin indices  $(i, j, k, \dots)$  run over 1, 2, 3. We set the units to  $c = \hbar = 1$ .

## II. GHOST-FREE PARITY-VIOLATING GRAVITIES

The action of general PV gravity can be written in the following form

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (R + \mathcal{L}_{\text{PV}}) + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (\mathcal{L}_\phi + \mathcal{L}_{\text{other}}), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $R$  is the Ricci scalar,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{PV}}$  is a parity-violating Lagrangian,  $\mathcal{L}_\phi$  is the Lagrangian for a scalar field, which may be coupled non-minimally to gravity, and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{other}}$  denotes other matter fields. As one of the simplest examples, we consider the action of the scalar field

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + V(\phi). \quad (2.2)$$

Here  $V(\phi)$  denotes the potential of the scalar field. The parity-violating Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{PV}}$  has different expressions for different theories. CS modified gravity with Pontryagin term coupled with a scalar field is a widely studied PV gravity in the previous works. The Lagrangian of CS reads [61]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}} = \frac{1}{4} \vartheta(\phi) *RR, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$*RR = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.4)$$

is the Pontryagin density with  $\varepsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}$  the Levi-Civita tensor defined in terms of the the antisymmetric symbol  $\epsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}$  as  $\varepsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} / \sqrt{-g}$  and the CS coupling coefficient  $\vartheta(\phi)$  being an arbitrary function of  $\phi$ . CS modified gravity is an effective extension of GR that captures leading-order, gravitational parity-violating term. The similar versions of

this theory were suggested in the context of string theory [62, 63], and three-dimensional topological massive gravity [64]. However, this theory has higher-derivative field equation, which induces the dangerous Ostrogradsky ghosts. For this reason, CS modified gravity can only be treated as a low-energy truncation of a fundamental theory. To cure this problem, the extension of CS gravity by considering the terms which involve the derivatives of a scalar field is recently proposed in [58].  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{PV1}}$  is the Lagrangian containing the first derivative of the scalar field, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{PV1}} &= \sum_{\text{A}=1}^4 a_{\text{A}}(\phi, \phi^\mu \phi_\mu) L_{\text{A}}, \\ L_1 &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho{}_\lambda \phi^\sigma \phi^\lambda, \\ L_2 &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\nu \phi^\lambda, \\ L_3 &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} R^\sigma{}_\nu \phi^\rho \phi_\mu, \\ L_4 &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\mu\nu} \phi^\lambda \phi_\lambda,\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

with  $\phi^\mu \equiv \nabla^\mu \phi$ , and  $a_{\text{A}}$  are a priori arbitrary functions of  $\phi$  and  $\phi^\mu \phi_\mu$ . In order to avoid the Ostrogradsky modes in the unitary gauge (where the scalar field depends on time only), it is required that  $4a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + 8a_4 = 0$ . With this condition, the Lagrangian in Eq.(2.5) does not have any higher order time derivative of the metric, but only higher order space derivatives.

One can also consider the terms which contain second derivatives of the scalar field. Focusing on only these that are linear in Riemann tensor and linear/quadratically in the second derivative of  $\phi$ , the most general Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{PV2}}$  is given by [58]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{PV2}} &= \sum_{\text{A}=1}^7 b_{\text{A}}(\phi, \phi^\lambda \phi_\lambda) M_{\text{A}}, \\ M_1 &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi^\rho \phi_\mu \phi_\nu^\sigma, \\ M_2 &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\mu^\rho \phi_\nu^\sigma, \\ M_3 &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi^\sigma \phi_\mu^\rho \phi_\nu^\lambda \phi_\lambda, \\ M_4 &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\nu \phi_\mu^\rho \phi_\lambda^\sigma \phi^\lambda, \\ M_5 &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\rho\sigma\lambda} \phi^\rho \phi_\beta \phi_\mu^\sigma \phi_\nu^\lambda, \\ M_6 &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\beta\gamma} \phi_\alpha \phi_\mu^\gamma \phi_\nu^\lambda \phi_\lambda, \\ M_7 &= (\nabla^2 \phi) M_1,\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

with  $\phi_\nu^\sigma \equiv \nabla^\sigma \nabla_\nu \phi$ . Similarly, in order to avoid the Ostrogradsky modes in the unitary gauge, the following conditions should be imposed:  $b_7 = 0$ ,  $b_6 = 2(b_4 + b_5)$  and  $b_2 = -A_*^2(b_3 - b_4)/2$ , where  $A_* \equiv \dot{\phi}(t)/N$  and  $N$  is the lapse function. In this paper, we consider a general scalar-tensor theory with parity violation, which contains all the terms mentioned above. So, the parity-violating term in Eq.(2.1) is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{PV}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{PV1}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{PV2}}.\tag{2.7}$$

Therefore, the CS modified gravity in [61], and the ghost-free parity-violating gravities discussed in [58] are all the specific cases of this Lagrangian. The coefficients  $\vartheta$ ,  $a_{\text{A}}$  and  $b_{\text{A}}$  depend on the scalar field  $\phi$  and its evolution.

Variation of the action with respect to the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , one obtains the field equation of the theory, which is,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + C_{\mu\nu} + A_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G(T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{m}} + T_{\mu\nu}^{\phi}),\tag{2.8}$$

where

$$C_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}})}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = {}^*R^\beta{}_{\mu\nu}{}^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \theta + (\nabla_\alpha \theta) \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \nabla_\gamma R_{\nu\beta},\tag{2.9}$$

$$A_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_{\text{PV1}})}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}, \quad B_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_{\text{PV2}})}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}},\tag{2.10}$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{m}} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_{\text{m}})}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}, \quad T_{\mu\nu}^{\phi} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_{\phi})}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}},\tag{2.11}$$

The expressions of  $A_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $B_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $T_{\mu\nu}^\phi$  are given in Appendix A. The equation of the scalar field can be obtained by varying the action (2.1) with respect to the scalar field  $\phi$ , which gives

$$-16\pi G\nabla^2\phi + 16\pi GV_{,\phi}(\phi) + \vartheta_{,\phi} * RR + F_{,\phi} = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $F_{,\phi}$  is given in the Appendix A.

For the field equation (2.8), it is convenient to write it into a more suitable form. Taking the trace of (2.8) one obtains

$$R = C + A + B - 8\pi G(T^m + T^\phi), \quad (2.13)$$

where  $C \equiv g^{\mu\nu}C_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $A \equiv g^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $B \equiv g^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $T^m \equiv g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}^m$ , and  $T^\phi \equiv g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}^\phi$ . Replacing Eq. (2.13) back in eq. (2.8), the latter becomes,

$$R_{\mu\nu} + C_{\mu\nu} + A_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G(C + A + B)g_{\mu\nu} - 4\pi G(T^m + T^\phi)g_{\mu\nu} + 8\pi G(T_{\mu\nu}^m + T_{\mu\nu}^\phi), \quad (2.14)$$

where the trace of these tensor  $C = g^{\mu\nu}C_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $A = g^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu\nu}$  and  $B = g^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu}$  vanishes identically. The field equation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} + C_{\mu\nu} + A_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu} &= 8\pi G \left[ T_{\mu\nu}^m - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T^m + \left( T_{\mu\nu}^\phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T^\phi \right) \right] \\ &= 8\pi G (S_{\mu\nu}^m + S_{\mu\nu}^\phi), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where  $S_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T$ . In order to validate these field equations, in Appendix B, we expand them in the weak gravitational fields, and derive the propagation field of gravitational wave in this theory, which is consistent with the corresponding results in the previous works [43, 59].

### III. PARAMETRIZED POST-NEWTONIAN EXPANSION

In this section, we start to consider the PPN formalism of the ghost-free PV gravities. One of important assumption of the PPN formalism is that the matter which acts as the source of the gravitational field is given by a perfect fluid. The velocity of the matter in a particular, fixed frame of reference is small, measured in units of the speed of light, and that all physical quantities relevant for the solution of the gravitational field equations can be expanded in orders of this velocity. In this section we discuss how this expansion in velocity orders proceeds for the quantities we need in our calculation in the following sections, in particular for parity violating terms.

The energy-momentum tensor of the matter field thus can be expressed in the perfect fluid form, which is

$$T_m^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + \rho\Pi + p)u^\mu u^\nu + pg^{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\rho$ ,  $\Pi$ ,  $p$ , and  $u^\mu$  are the rest energy density, specific internal energy, pressure, and the four velocity of the matter field respectively. The four velocity satisfies the normalization condition  $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$ . In the PPN formalism [49], we focus on slow motion. So, the last three components of the velocity  $v^i = dx^i/dt = u^i/u^t$  are essentially small. To compare the size of the different matter variables appearing in the PPN formalism, we say that the velocity  $v$  is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  and in general  $v^n$  is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .

In the PPN approximation [49], the metric can be perturbatively expanded around Minkowski spacetime, i.e.

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ . In the standard PPN formalism, the metric is required to the PN orders as [49]

$$h_{00} \sim \mathcal{O}(2) + \mathcal{O}(4), \quad (3.3)$$

$$h_{0i} \sim \mathcal{O}(3), \quad (3.4)$$

$$h_{ij} \sim \mathcal{O}(2). \quad (3.5)$$

Following the standard PPN formalism, the metric can be related to a series super-potentials,

$$h_{00}[\mathcal{O}(2)] : U, \quad (3.6)$$

$$h_{00}[\mathcal{O}(4)] : U^2, \Phi_W, \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$h_{0i}[\mathcal{O}(3)] : V_i, W_i, \quad (3.8)$$

$$h_{ij}[\mathcal{O}(2)] : U\delta_{ij}, \chi_{,ij}, \quad (3.9)$$

where the super-potentials  $U$ ,  $\Phi_W$ ,  $\Phi_1$ ,  $\Phi_2$ ,  $\Phi_3$ ,  $\Phi_4$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $V_i$ ,  $W_i$ , and  $\chi$  are given by Eqs. (C.1 - C.12) in Appendix C.

Similar to the previous works [43, 58, 59], we consider the unity gauge  $\phi = \phi(t)$ , i.e., the scalar field  $\phi$  is time varying but spatially homogeneous. This scalar field can be either a quintessence field or some other field, which should be determined by the cosmological solution. One of remarkable feature of the this scalar field is that it provides a preferred time direction associated with the cosmic expansion. This implies this theory is a Lorentz-breaking theory with a parity-violating sector. In this sense, the ghost-free PV gravity does not admit the full diffeomorphism invariance of the four-dimensional spacetime. In other word, the theory only contains the time reparametrization symmetry and the three-dimensional spatial diffeomorphism,

$$\tilde{t} \rightarrow \tilde{t} = t - f(t), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\tilde{x}^i \rightarrow \tilde{x}^i = x^i - \xi^i(t, x^i). \quad (3.11)$$

Under this gauge transformation, we find that the metric transforms as

$$\tilde{h}_{\tilde{0}\tilde{0}} = h_{00} - 2\lambda_2(U^2 + \Phi_W - \Phi_2) + 2\dot{f}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\tilde{h}_{\tilde{0}\tilde{j}} = h_{0j} - \lambda_2\chi_{,0j}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\tilde{h}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} = h_{ij} - 2\lambda_2\chi_{,ij}, \quad (3.14)$$

where in writing the above expressions, we had chosen  $\xi_j = \lambda_2\chi_{,j}$  [49] with  $\lambda_2$  being an arbitrary constant. Clearly, by properly choosing  $\lambda_2$  we can eliminate the anisotropic term  $\chi_{,ij}$  as it was done in the standard post-Newtonian gauge [49]. However, since now  $f(t)$  is a function of  $t$  only, we cannot eliminate the  $\mathfrak{B}$  term in  $h_{00}$ . Therefore, the general metric coefficients up to  $\mathcal{O}(4)$  order in the ghost-free PV theory are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{00} &= -1 + 2U - 2\beta U^2 - 2\xi\Phi_W + (2 + 2\gamma + \alpha_3 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)\Phi_1 + 2(1 + 3\gamma - 2\beta + \zeta_2 + \xi)\Phi_2 \\ &\quad + 2(1 + \zeta_3)\Phi_3 + 2(3\gamma + 3\zeta_4 - 2\xi)\Phi_4 - (\zeta_1 - 2\xi)\mathfrak{A} + \zeta_B\mathfrak{B}, \\ g_{0i} &= -\frac{1}{2}(3 + 4\gamma + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)V_i - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_2 - \zeta_1 + 2\xi)W_i + \kappa X_i, \\ g_{ij} &= (1 + 2\gamma U)\delta_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where  $(\beta, \gamma, \xi, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  are 10 PPN parameters in the standard PPN formalism. Besides these 10 PPN parameters, we also introduce additional contributions to the post-Newtonian metric,  $\zeta_B$  and  $\kappa$ . These are different from the standard PPN formalism in which full diffeomorphism in 4-dimensional spacetime is used to fix the gauge and the parity symmetry is conserved. The introduction of  $\zeta_B$  is due to the breaking of the Lorentz symmetry of the theory. This is very similar to the case in the Horava-Lifshitz gravity, in which the theory is only spatial covariant and a preferred time direction is chosen [53]. The term  $\kappa$  represents the contributions from the parity violation of the theory, whose explicit form will be determined later in this paper.

Now, we would like to perform a PN expansion of the field equations and obtain a solution in the form of a PN series. From this solution we are able to read off the PPN parameters by comparing it to the standard PPN super-metric. Before doing so, let us first consider expanding the the Ricci, Cotton,  $A$ , and  $B$  tensors to second order. Based on the second-order expansion of these tensors, each component of them can be obtained and the field equation can be solved. Meanwhile, in order to simplify calculation, we impose the following gauge conditions

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ik}{}^{,k} - \frac{1}{2}h_{,i} &= \mathcal{O}(4), \\ h_{0k}{}^{,k} - \frac{1}{2}h_{,0} &= \mathcal{O}(5). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

In the PPN gauge, combined with the above gauge conditions, the components of Ricci tensor and Cotton tensor read [56]

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} &= -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{00} - \frac{1}{2}h_{00,i}h_{00}{}^{,i} + \frac{1}{2}h^{ij}h_{00,i,j} + \mathcal{O}(6), \\ R_{0i} &= -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{0i} - \frac{1}{4}h_{00,0,i} + \mathcal{O}(5), \\ R_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(4); \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{00} &= \mathcal{O}(6), \\
C_{0i} &= -\frac{1}{4}\vartheta'\epsilon^{0kl}{}_i\nabla^2 h_{0l,k} + \mathcal{O}(5), \\
C_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}\vartheta'\epsilon^{0kl}{}_{(i}\nabla^2 h_{j)l,k} + \mathcal{O}(4);
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

while the  $A_{\mu\nu}$  tensor and  $B_{\mu\nu}$  tensor reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{00} &= \mathcal{O}(6), \\
A_{0i} &= -\frac{1}{4}\Theta'\epsilon^{0kl}{}_i\nabla^2 h_{0l,k} + \mathcal{O}(5), \\
A_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}\Theta'\epsilon^{0kl}{}_{(i}\nabla^2 h_{j)l,k} + \mathcal{O}(4); \\
B_{00} &= \mathcal{O}(6), \\
B_{0i} &= \mathcal{O}(5), \\
B_{ij} &= \mathcal{O}(4);
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where  $\nabla^2 = \eta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j$  is the Laplacian of flat space, and

$$\Theta = (-2a_2 + a_3 - 8a_4)\phi'^2. \tag{3.20}$$

From Eq.(3.19) and Eq.(3.20) we observe that the first term  $A_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$  of  $A_{\mu\nu}$  and  $B_{\mu\nu}$  tensor have no contributions to the PN expansions or their contributions are higher than the required order. This implies that the perturbative field equations are modified by the Cotton tensor and the rest terms of  $A_{\mu\nu}$  tensor. The more intuitive explanation for this is because we use the unitary gauge, when the indicators of the scalar field and the Riemann tensor are contracted, a time derivative must appear in the Riemann tensor. It can be seen from Eq.(2.5) that the two scalars in the first formula are mutually contracted with the two Riemann tensors, that is, there are two-order time derivatives, and only one scalar and Riemann tensor are contracted to each other in the last three formulas, which is naturally lower order than the previous formula. Eq.(2.6) is similar to Eq.(2.5) except that the sixth formula is a Ricci tensor that its PPN expansion in perturbative field equations also has higher order. Therefore, the contribution of the perturbative field equation only comes from tensor  $C_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $A_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$ ,  $A_{\mu\nu}^{(3)}$  and  $A_{\mu\nu}^{(4)}$ .

The stress-energy tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}^m$  of matter field is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{00}^m &= \rho(1 + \Pi + v^2 - h_{00}) + \mathcal{O}(6), \\
T_{0i}^m &= -\rho v_i + \mathcal{O}(5), \\
T_{ij}^m &= \rho v_i v_j + p\delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

What we need for the subsequent calculations of the metric equation is the expansion of the trace-reversed energy-momentum tensor, which is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{00}^m &= \frac{1}{2}\rho + \frac{1}{2}\rho\Pi + \rho v^2 + \frac{3}{2}p - \frac{1}{2}\rho h_{00} + \mathcal{O}(6), \\
S_{0i}^m &= -\rho v_i + \mathcal{O}(5), \\
S_{ij}^m &= \frac{1}{2}\rho\delta_{ij} + \rho v_i v_j + \frac{1}{2}\rho\Pi\delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\rho h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}p\delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

We also know the tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}^\phi$  of scalar field by Eq.(A.5), which is expanded in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{00}^\phi &= \frac{1}{2}\phi'^2(1 - 2h_{00}) + (-1 + h_{00})V(\phi) + \mathcal{O}(6), \\
T_{0i}^\phi &= -\frac{1}{2}\phi'^2 h_{0i} + V(\phi)h_{0i} + \mathcal{O}(5), \\
T_{ij}^\phi &= \frac{1}{2}(-\delta_{ij} - h_{ij} + h_{00}\delta_{ij} + h_{00}h_{ij} + h_{00}h_{00}\delta_{ij})\phi'^2 + V(\phi)\delta_{ij} + V(\phi)h_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

so we can obtain

$$S_{00}^\phi = V(\phi) - h_{00}V(\phi) + \mathcal{O}(6),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{0i}^\phi &= -h_{0i}V(\phi) + \mathcal{O}(5), \\
S_{ij}^\phi &= -\delta_{ij}V(\phi) - h_{ij}V(\phi) + \mathcal{O}(4).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Now, we have the expanded components of all tensors contained in the metric field equation (2.15). By substituting these expansions into the metric field equations, we can present a similar expansion of the metric field equations, and decompose them into different velocity orders. In the following section, we shall study these equations in detail in different orders.

#### IV. PARAMETRIZED POST-NEWTONIAN SOLUTION

##### A. Zeroth order metric and scalar equations

To the zeroth-order, from metric field equation (2.15) we obtain

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}V(\phi) = 0, \Rightarrow V(\phi) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Combining the Eq.(3.24), this solution indicates that each expanded component of the tensor  $S_{\mu\nu}^\phi$  does not contribute to the metric equation.

##### B. $h_{00}$ and $h_{ij}$ to $\mathcal{O}(2)$

At the second velocity order we obtain the 00-metric equation

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{00} = 4\pi G\rho, \tag{4.2}$$

this is a Poisson equation whose solution is

$$h_{00} = 2U + \mathcal{O}(4). \tag{4.3}$$

The  $ij$ -equations to same order is given by

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}f'\epsilon^{0kl}({}_i\nabla^2 h_{j})_{l,k} = 4\pi G\rho\delta_{ij}, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$f = \vartheta + \Theta = \vartheta + (-2a_2 + a_3 - 8a_4)\phi'^2 \tag{4.5}$$

is only time-dependent. Note that, as mentioned above, the second tensor contribution on the left hand comes from the tensor  $C_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $A_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$ ,  $A_{\mu\nu}^{(3)}$  and  $A_{\mu\nu}^{(4)}$ . It is clear that Eq.(4.5) just contains the coupling parameters  $\vartheta$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$  and  $a_4$ , corresponding to the contributions of the tensor  $C_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $A_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$ ,  $A_{\mu\nu}^{(3)}$  and  $A_{\mu\nu}^{(4)}$ , respectively. In order to solve the above equation, we can do similar processing according to Ref. [56]. We introduce a effective metric  $\mathcal{H}_{ij}$  to rewrite Eq.(4.4) as

$$\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}_{ij} = -8\pi G\rho\delta_{ij}, \tag{4.6}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{ij} = h_{ij} + f'\epsilon^{0kl}({}_i h_{j})_{l,k}$ . We can easily get the solution

$$\mathcal{H}_{ij} = 2U\delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(4). \tag{4.7}$$

With the form of effective metric, then we need to obtain the actual metric. Combining the Eq.(4.6) with Eq.(4.7), we have the following differential equation

$$h_{ij} + f'\epsilon^{0kl}({}_i h_{j})_{l,k} = 2U\delta_{ij}. \tag{4.8}$$

Obviously, the above equation has a solution whose zeroth-order term is that predicted by GR and the PV term is a perturbative correction. So we make the ansatz

$$h_{ij} = 2U\delta_{ij} + f'\zeta_{ij}, \tag{4.9}$$

where we assume that  $\zeta \sim \mathcal{O}(f')^0$ . Substituting this ansatz to Eq.(4.8) we get

$$\zeta_{ij} + f' \epsilon^{0kl} {}_{(i} \zeta_{j)l,k} = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Note that, the second term on the left-hand side generates a second-order correction. Ignoring the second-order term, one can find that  $\zeta_{ij}$  vanishes to this order. Thus, the special metric perturbation to  $\mathcal{O}(2)$  is directly given by the GR prediction without any parity violation correction, namely

$$h_{ij} = 2U\delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(4). \quad (4.11)$$

### C. $h_{0i}$ to $\mathcal{O}(3)$

The  $0i$ -equation to  $\mathcal{O}(3)$  is given by

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{0i} - \frac{1}{4}h_{00,0,i} - \frac{1}{4}f' \epsilon^{0kl} {}_i \nabla^2 h_{0l,k} = -8\pi G\rho v_i. \quad (4.12)$$

Using the above solution of  $h_{00}$  to  $\mathcal{O}(2)$  and the effective metric we have

$$\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}_{0i} + U_{,0,i} = 16\pi G\rho v_i, \quad (4.13)$$

where the form of this effective metric is  $\mathcal{H}_{0i} = h_{0i} + \frac{1}{2}f' \epsilon^{0kl} {}_i h_{0l,k}$ . Comparing this equation with standard GR field equation to  $\mathcal{O}(3)$ , the solution is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{0i} = -\frac{7}{2}V_i - \frac{1}{2}W_i. \quad (4.14)$$

Inserting this solution into the form of the effective metric, we have

$$h_{0i} + \frac{1}{2}f' \epsilon^{0kl} {}_i h_{0l,k} = -\frac{7}{2}V_i - \frac{1}{2}W_i. \quad (4.15)$$

Likewise, the solution is consist of the GR prediction and perturbative correction, namely

$$h_{0i} = -\frac{7}{2}V_i - \frac{1}{2}W_i + f' \zeta_i, \quad (4.16)$$

where we assume that  $\zeta$  is of  $\mathcal{O}(f')^0$ . The Eq.(4.15) reduces to

$$\zeta_i + \frac{1}{2}f' (\nabla \times \zeta)_i = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{7}{2} (\nabla \times V)_i + \frac{7}{2} (\nabla \times W)_i \right], \quad (4.17)$$

where  $(\nabla \times \zeta)_i = \epsilon^{kl} {}_i \zeta_{l,k}$ . Note that, we have neglected the second term on the left-hand side, which is also a second-order correction. Meanwhile, since the curl of the  $V_i$  potential is equal to the curl of the  $W_i$  potential, we can obtain the actual perturbative metric

$$h_{0i} = -\frac{7}{2}V_i - \frac{1}{2}W_i + 2f' (\nabla \times V)_i + \mathcal{O}(5). \quad (4.18)$$

### D. $h_{00}$ to $\mathcal{O}(4)$

The fourth order metric field equation reads

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{00} - \frac{1}{2}h_{00,i}h_{00}{}^{,i} + \frac{1}{2}h^{ij}h_{00,i,j} = 8\pi G \left( \frac{1}{2}\rho\Pi + \rho v^2 + \frac{3}{2}p - \frac{1}{2}\rho h_{00} \right), \quad (4.19)$$

where the  $00$ -component of the field equation to  $\mathcal{O}(4)$  is only GR prediction without any other correction. The solution of this modified gravity is same to GR, which is given by

$$h_{00} = -2U^2 + 4\Phi_1 + 4\Phi_2 + 2\Phi_3 + 6\Phi_4 + \mathcal{O}(6). \quad (4.20)$$



Having obtain all the necessary perturbative solutions of the metric field equations as given by Eq.(4.3), Eq.(4.11), Eq.(4.18) and Eq.(4.20), let us write the full metric of this modified gravity:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{00} &= -1 + 2U - 2U^2 + 4\Phi_1 + 4\Phi_2 + 2\Phi_3 + 6\Phi_4 + \mathcal{O}(6), \\ g_{0i} &= -\frac{7}{2}V_i - \frac{1}{2}W_i + 2f'(\nabla \times V)_i + \mathcal{O}(5), \\ g_{ij} &= (1 + 2U)\delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(4). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where  $f = \vartheta + (-2a_2 + a_3 - 8a_4)\phi'^2$ .

We can read off the PPN parameters of this model by comparing Eq.(3.15) to Eq.(4.21), the values of the PPN parameters are given as following

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \beta = 1, \\ \xi &= \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = \zeta_B = 0, \\ \kappa &= 2f'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

It can be observed that all the 11 PPN parameters have the same values as GR. However, Eq.(4.21) contains an extra term  $\kappa X_i \equiv 2f'(\nabla \times V)_i$  that cannot be modeled by the standard PPN metric of Eq.(3.15), namely a crul-type term contribute to  $g_{0i}$ . Therefore, the parity-violating terms of this ghost-free PV gravity only contribute to  $0i$ -components of the PPN metric in the PPN approximation. As expected, when  $a_i = 0$ , this crul-type term reduces to the same form as that in Chern-Simons gravity [56, 57].

## V. PRECESSION OF ORBITING GYROSCOPES

In previous discussions, we find that in comparison with GR, the modification of the ghost-free PV gravity only affects the gravitomagnetic sector of the metric in PPN approximation. Obviously, the relevant experiment of the frame-dragging effect can be used to test this kind of gravitational theory. In order to achieve the constraint of the new correction, we investigate the spin precession of a gyroscope loaded on satellite which orbits a weakly-gravitating and slowly-rotating object.

Considering an orbiting, rotating, nearly spherical gravitational object in the standard PPN point-particle approximation, and here we take the Earth as an example, the PPN vector potentials can be written as [50]

$$V^i = \frac{M_\oplus}{r} v_\oplus^i + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{J_\oplus}{r^3} \times x \right)^i, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $M_\oplus$ ,  $v_\oplus$ ,  $J_\oplus^i$  are the mass, the orbital velocity, the spin-angular momentum of the Earth respectively,  $x^i$  and  $|x^i| = r$  denote the satellite-Earth vector and distance. Using the vector potential  $V_i$ , we can calculate the specific expression of the metric correction term. In order to facilitate understanding and distinction, we redefine the correction of the metric to GR as  $\delta g_{0i} \equiv g_{0i} - g_{0i}^{\text{GR}} = \kappa X_i$ , and  $g_{0i}^{\text{GR}}$  is the standard GR metric. Substituting the above vector potential  $V_i$  to the correction  $\delta g_{0i}$ , we can obtain

$$\delta g_{0i} = 2\frac{f'}{r} \left[ \frac{M_\oplus}{r} (v_\oplus \times n)^i - \frac{J_\oplus^i}{2r^2} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{(J_\oplus \cdot n)}{r^2} n^i \right], \quad (5.2)$$

where  $n^i = x^i/r$  is a unit vector, the  $\cdot$  and  $\times$  operators are the flat-space inner and cross products.

For a free gyroscope with a spin three-vector  $\mathbf{S}$  in the presence of the gravitational field, the precession of a spin three-vector  $\mathbf{S}$  is expressed as

$$\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}, \quad (5.3)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\Omega} \equiv -\frac{1}{2}\nabla \times \mathbf{g}$  is the precession rate and  $\mathbf{g} = g_{0i}$ . Therefore, the precession rate caused by the term of  $g_{0i}$  is given by  $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{GR}} + \delta\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{GR}}$  and  $\delta\boldsymbol{\Omega}$  denote the contributions in GR and the modification respectively. The expressions of two terms are given by

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{GR}} \equiv \frac{1}{r^3} [3(\mathbf{J}_\oplus \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{J}_\oplus], \quad (5.4)$$

$$\delta\boldsymbol{\Omega} \equiv -\frac{1}{2}\nabla \times \delta\mathbf{g} = \frac{f'M_{\oplus}}{r^3} [\mathbf{v}_{\oplus} - 3(\mathbf{v}_{\oplus} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}], \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\delta\mathbf{g} \equiv \delta g_{0i}$ . Note that, this is a significant difference between GR and the ghost-free PV gravity. GR's prediction is associated with spin  $\mathbf{J}_{\oplus}$  of the Earth, which means that the term  $g_{0i}$  in GR contributes only the frame-dragging precession. While in the ghost-free PV gravity, the term  $g_{0i}$  contributes both the frame-dragging precession and the geodetic precession. The former is exactly same with that in GR, since the effects of spin  $\mathbf{J}_{\oplus}$  to the modification of precession rate cancel each other out in Eq.(5.5). The latter is the modification caused by PV term in this theory, which is related to the mass  $M_{\oplus}$  and orbital velocity  $\mathbf{v}_{\oplus}$  of the Earth.

In the classical GR's prediction [65], in order to maximize the geodesic precession, it is necessary to select the spin  $\mathbf{S}$  on the orbital plane. Meanwhile, in order to distinguish the spin-spin precession and the spin-orbit precession, the optimal satellite orbit choice is a polar orbit (that is, the tilt angle of the satellite orbit is  $\iota = \pi/2$ ), which is adopted in GPB experiment. Similar to previous work [65], we use a Cartesian coordinate system  $(\mathbf{e}_p, \mathbf{e}_q, \mathbf{e}_z)$  to describe the gyroscope's orbital plane, where  $\mathbf{e}_z$  is the unit normal direction of the plane,  $\mathbf{e}_p$  unit vector lies in the plane and points toward the ascending node, and  $\mathbf{e}_q$  unit vector is in the same direction as the spin  $\mathbf{J}_{\oplus}$  of the Earth and orthogonal to the first two. The direction of correction is determined by the spin three-vector  $\mathbf{S}$  of gyroscope and the orbital velocity vector  $\mathbf{v}_{\oplus}$  of the Earth, so we need to define a parameter  $\gamma$ , which denotes the angle between the polar orbital plane of the satellite and the direction of the Earth's translational (or orbital) speed  $\mathbf{v}_{\oplus}$ . Meanwhile, since the Earth's axial has an angle of inclination on the ecliptic surface, there is an angle  $\theta = 66.5^\circ$  between the spin  $\mathbf{J}_{\oplus}(\mathbf{e}_q)$  and velocity vectors  $\mathbf{v}_{\oplus}$  of the Earth. In this satellite orbital coordinate system, there are expressions for correction:  $\mathbf{n} = \cos\mathcal{F}\mathbf{e}_p + \sin\mathcal{F}\mathbf{e}_q$ ;  $\mathbf{v}_{\oplus} = v_{\oplus}(\sin\theta\cos\gamma\mathbf{e}_p + \cos\theta\mathbf{e}_q + \sin\theta\sin\gamma\mathbf{e}_z)$ , in which  $\mathcal{F} = 2\pi t/P$  is the satellite orbital phase,  $P = 2\pi r^{3/2}(GM_{\oplus})^{-1/2}$  is the orbital period. Substituting the foregoing relations into the modification precession rate (5.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \frac{f'M_{\oplus}v_{\oplus}}{r^3} & \left[ -\frac{1}{2}(\sin\theta\cos\gamma + 3\cos 2\mathcal{F}\sin\theta\sin\gamma + \sin 2\mathcal{F}\cos\theta)\mathbf{e}_p \right. \\ & \left. -\frac{1}{2}(\cos\theta + 3\sin 2\mathcal{F}\sin\theta\sin\gamma - 3\cos 2\mathcal{F}\cos\theta)\mathbf{e}_q + \sin\theta\sin\gamma\mathbf{e}_z \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Since this precession is very small, the initial value  $\mathbf{S}_0$  of the gyroscope spin can be used instead of  $\mathbf{S}$ . We only focus on the cumulative effect, which is the non-oscillating terms in the formula (5.6). Taking the average of the precession equation for a complete circular orbital motion period, we can get

$$\left\langle \delta \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \right\rangle = \frac{f'M_{\oplus}v_{\oplus}}{r^3} \left[ -\frac{1}{2}\sin\theta\cos\gamma\mathbf{e}_p - \frac{1}{2}\cos\theta\mathbf{e}_q + \sin\theta\sin\gamma\mathbf{e}_z \right] \times \mathbf{S}_0. \quad (5.7)$$

Since the spin of the gyroscope lies in the orbital plane, we have

$$\mathbf{S}_0 = \cos\psi\mathbf{e}_p + \sin\psi\mathbf{e}_q, \quad (5.8)$$

where  $\psi$  is the angle between  $\mathbf{S}_0$  and the line of node. Combining the formulas (5.7) and (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \delta \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \right\rangle & = \frac{f'M_{\oplus}v_{\oplus}}{r^3} \left[ -\sin\theta\sin\gamma\sin\psi\mathbf{e}_p + \sin\theta\sin\gamma\cos\psi\mathbf{e}_q + \frac{1}{2}(\cos\theta\cos\psi - \sin\theta\cos\gamma\sin\psi)\mathbf{e}_z \right] \\ & \equiv \left\langle \delta \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \right\rangle_{\text{NS}} + \left\langle \delta \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \right\rangle_{\text{WE}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where

$$\left\langle \delta \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \right\rangle_{\text{NS}} = \frac{f'M_{\oplus}v_{\oplus}}{r^3} (-\sin\theta\sin\gamma\sin\psi\mathbf{e}_p + \sin\theta\sin\gamma\cos\psi\mathbf{e}_q), \quad (5.10)$$

$$\left\langle \delta \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \right\rangle_{\text{WE}} = \frac{f'M_{\oplus}v_{\oplus}}{2r^3} (\cos\theta\cos\psi - \sin\theta\cos\gamma\sin\psi)\mathbf{e}_z. \quad (5.11)$$

Eq.(5.10) and Eq.(5.11) represent the corrections in the same direction as the geodetic effect and the Lense-Thirring effect respectively, which are also known as the North-South(NS) and West-East (WE) direction in GPB experiment. Thus, we can obtain the rate of the correction angular precession in two directions are given by

$$\left| \left\langle \delta \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \right\rangle_{\text{NS}} \right| = \frac{f'M_{\oplus}v_{\oplus}}{r^3} |\sin\theta\sin\gamma|, \quad (5.12)$$

$$\left\langle \delta \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \right\rangle_{\text{WE}} = \frac{f' M_{\oplus} v_{\oplus}}{2r^3} |\cos \theta \cos \psi - \sin \theta \sin \psi \cos \gamma|. \quad (5.13)$$

From the above two results, we can see that except for the parameter  $\gamma$  which is not determined, the other parameters are all known constants. In order to analyze a more specifically, we decompose the vector  $\mathbf{v}_{\oplus}$  into vector  $\mathbf{v}_T = v_{\oplus} \sin \theta (\cos \gamma \mathbf{e}_p + \sin \gamma \mathbf{e}_z)$  and vector  $\mathbf{v}_S = v_{\oplus} \cos \theta \mathbf{e}_q$ . The vector  $\mathbf{v}_S$  is a constant vector with a fixed direction. The magnitude and direction of vector  $\mathbf{v}_T$  change with the movement of the Earth, resulting in a cyclical change in the angle  $\gamma$  that the period is the period of the Earth's orbit. We define  $\delta\gamma$  as the change of the angle  $\gamma$  with the movement of the Earth, that is,  $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \delta\gamma$ ,  $\delta\gamma = 2\pi t/T$  and  $T = 1$  yr. We have  $\delta\gamma = 2\pi P/T \simeq 0.068^\circ$  in a complete circular satellite orbital motion period of the GPB experiment, which is a negligible variation in Eq.(5.12) and Eq.(5.13). However, in the case of complete Earth's orbital cycle, the terms containing  $\gamma$  are oscillating terms whose contributions can be ignored in Eq.(5.12) and Eq.(5.13). Thus, within a complete Earth's orbital cycle, the rate of the correction angular precession in only one direction is given by

$$\left\langle \delta \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \right\rangle_{\text{WE}} = \frac{f' M_{\oplus} v_{\oplus}}{2r^3} \cos \theta \cos \psi. \quad (5.14)$$

GPB experiment has successfully tested the rate of the angular precession of GR's prediction and the GPB team announced the observation results that the geodetic drift rate is  $-6601.8 \pm 18.3$  mas/yr and the frame-dragging drift rate is  $-37.2 \pm 7.2$  mas/yr [66]. The GPB gyroscope is at a radius of  $r \sim 7000$  km,  $\psi = 16.8^\circ$  [50]. Therefore, combined with the experimental parameters and results, we can estimate the bound of parameter in orders of magnitude. The corresponding constraint is given as

$$\left\langle \delta \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \right\rangle_{\text{WE}} \lesssim 7.2 \text{ mas/yr} \Rightarrow f' \lesssim 10^4 \text{ m}, \quad (5.15)$$

and its associated *energy scale* of parity violation in gravity is  $M_{\text{PV}} := 1/f' \gtrsim 10^{-11} \text{eV}$ . Note that, by GPB observations, the constraint on non-dynamical CS modified gravity is  $M_{\text{CS}} := 1/\vartheta' \gtrsim 10^{-13} \text{eV}$  [44, 56, 57], which is two order of magnitude worse than our result. This difference is caused by the different accuracy<sup>1</sup> and the inappropriate rough approximation<sup>2</sup> in calculations. Taking into account these factors, we find the constraints of the ghost-free PV gravity we obtain is consistent with those in previous works.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we investigate the slow-motion and weak-field approximation of the general ghost-free PV theory of gravity in the PPN framework. We derive the perturbative field equations, which uses the PPN approximation to expand all tensors in the modified field equations to the second order. By solving the perturbative field equations, we obtain the complete PPN metric and PPN parameters of this theory. Similar to the CS modified gravity, we find that the ghost-free PV theory of gravity produces a new curl-type term to the gravitomagnetic sector of the metric. This extra term is the same as in CS gravity except for the coupling parameters. We calculate the modification of the frame-dragging effect, which caused by the extra term, to the precession of gyroscopes. We find that this modification contributes in only one directions of the geodetic precession within a complete Earth's orbital cycle, which exactly corresponds to the changes of GR's frame-dragging precession. Using the precision of precession effects from GPB experiment, we obtain the constraints the coupling parameters.

At the end of this paper, we should mention that in addition to the modification on precession effects of gyroscopes, the PV terms in this theory also leads to the modification on orbital procession of the point particle orbiting a rotating gravitational field [67]. Therefore, the measurement of LAGEOS satellites can also follow a constraint on the model parameters. Since this potential constraint is much weaker than that derived from GPB experiment [44], we do not consider this effect in this article. Another interesting testbed for this theory is the binary pulsar systems, the PV terms might induce several effects, including the modification on the rate of periastron precession, the modification on the Schiff procession and/or the frame-dragging procession, as well as the modification on Lense-Thirring procession. We leave the detailed study on this topic as a future work.

<sup>1</sup> At that time, the results of the GPB have yet been announced.

<sup>2</sup> The authors assumed the Newtonian limit  $\mathcal{O}(J_{\oplus}) \sim \mathcal{O}(M_{\oplus} v_{\oplus} r)$  in previous works. However, the fact is  $\mathcal{O}(M_{\oplus} v_{\oplus} r / J_{\oplus}) \sim 10^2$ .

**Appendix A: The expressions of  $A_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $B_{\mu\nu}$  and  $F_{,\phi}$**

In this Appendix, we list the explicit expression of  $A_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $B_{\mu\nu}$  and  $F_{,\phi}$  in Eqs.(2.8) and (2.12). The expression of  $A_{\mu\nu}$  in Eq.(2.8) contains four terms,

$$A_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{A=1}^4 A_{\mu\nu}^{(A)}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} &= \varepsilon^{\kappa\gamma\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} R_{\kappa\gamma}{}^\rho{}_\lambda \phi^\sigma \phi^\lambda a_{1,X} \phi_{(\nu} \phi_{\mu)} - a_1 \varepsilon^{\lambda\gamma\alpha\beta} \phi_\sigma \phi_{(\mu} R^\sigma{}_{\rho\alpha\beta} R^\rho{}_{\nu)\lambda\gamma} \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon^{\sigma\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\alpha (a_1 \phi_\mu) \phi_\lambda) R_{\sigma\beta}{}^{\rho\lambda} + 2\varepsilon^{\sigma\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\alpha (a_1 \phi_\mu) \phi_\lambda) \nabla_\rho R_{\sigma\beta}{}^{\rho\lambda} \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon^{\sigma\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\alpha (a_1 \phi_\lambda \phi^\rho) R^\lambda{}_{\mu)\sigma\beta} + 2\varepsilon^{\sigma\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\alpha (a_1 \phi_\lambda \phi^\rho) \nabla_\rho R^\lambda{}_{\mu)\sigma\beta}, \\ A_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} &= -\varepsilon^{\delta\tau\alpha\beta} a_{2,X} \phi_{(\mu} \phi_{\nu)} \phi_\tau \phi_\kappa R^\sigma{}_{\rho\alpha\beta} R^\rho{}_{\sigma\delta\lambda} g^{\lambda\kappa} - \varepsilon^{\lambda\kappa\alpha\beta} \phi_\kappa \phi_{(\nu} a_2 R^\sigma{}_{\rho\alpha\beta} R^\rho{}_{\sigma\lambda\mu)} \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon^{\sigma\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} g^{\lambda\kappa} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\alpha (\phi_\beta \phi_\kappa a_2 R^\rho{}_{\mu)\sigma\lambda}) + \varepsilon^{\rho\lambda\alpha\beta} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\rho (\phi_\lambda \phi_{(\nu} a_2 R^\sigma{}_{\mu)\alpha\beta}) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_{(\mu}{}^{\rho\alpha\beta} g^{\lambda\kappa} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\lambda (\phi_\rho \phi_\kappa a_2 R^\sigma{}_{\nu)\alpha\beta}), \\ A_{\mu\nu}^{(3)} &= a_{3,X} \varepsilon^{\delta\tau\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} R^\sigma{}_\tau \phi^\rho \phi^\delta \phi_{(\nu} \phi_{\mu)} \\ &\quad + a_3 \varepsilon^{\sigma\omega\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma R^\rho{}_{(\mu\alpha\beta} R_{\nu)\omega} - \varepsilon^{\rho\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\alpha (a_3 \phi_\mu) \phi_\rho R^\sigma{}_\beta) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^{\sigma\rho\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha (a_3 \phi_{(\nu} \phi_\sigma R_{\mu)\rho}) + \varepsilon^{\sigma\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\alpha (a_3 \phi^\rho \phi_\sigma R_{\mu)\beta}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^\lambda{}_{(\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\mu) (a_3 \phi_\rho \phi_\lambda R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta}) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\sigma\lambda\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda \nabla_{(\nu} (a_3 \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma R^\rho{}_{\mu)\alpha\beta}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^\sigma{}_{(\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda \nabla^\lambda (a_3 \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma R^\rho{}_{\mu)\alpha\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\tau\lambda\alpha\beta} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\lambda (a_3 \phi_\rho \phi_\tau R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} g_{(\nu\mu)}), \\ A_{\mu\nu}^{(4)} &= a_{4,X} \varepsilon^{\delta\tau\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\delta\tau} \phi^\lambda \phi_\lambda \phi_{(\nu} \phi_{\mu)} + a_4 \phi_{(\mu} \phi_{\nu)} \varepsilon^{\lambda\kappa\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\lambda\kappa} \\ &\quad + 4\varepsilon^{\alpha\rho\sigma}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta (a_4 \phi_\lambda \phi^\lambda) R^\beta{}_{\mu)\rho\sigma} - 8\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\alpha (a_4 \phi_\lambda \phi^\lambda) \nabla_\rho R_{\beta\mu)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The expression of  $B_{\mu\nu}$  in Eq.(2.8) contains seven terms,

$$B_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{A=1}^7 B_{\mu\nu}^{(A)}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} &= \varepsilon^{\tau\lambda\alpha\beta} b_{1,X} \phi_{(\nu} \phi_{\mu)} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi^\rho \phi_\tau \phi_\lambda^\sigma + \varepsilon^{\sigma\kappa\alpha\beta} b_1 R^\rho{}_{(\nu\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma \phi_{\mu)\kappa} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^{\rho\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\alpha (b_1 \phi_\mu) \phi_\rho \phi_\beta^\sigma) + \varepsilon^{\sigma\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\alpha (b_1 \phi^\rho \phi_\sigma \phi_{\mu)\beta}) - \varepsilon^{\sigma\rho\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha (b_1 \phi_{(\nu} \phi_\sigma \phi_{\mu)\rho}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varepsilon^\lambda{}_{(\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\sigma (b_1 R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\lambda \phi_\mu) \phi_\rho + \varepsilon^{\sigma\lambda\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda (b_1 R^\rho{}_{(\mu\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\nu) \phi_\sigma - \varepsilon^\sigma{}_{(\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda (b_1 R^\rho{}_{\mu)\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma \phi^\lambda) \right], \\ B_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} &= \varepsilon^{\kappa\lambda\alpha\beta} b_{2,X} \phi_{(\nu} \phi_{\mu)} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\kappa^\rho \phi_\lambda^\sigma + \varepsilon^{\sigma\kappa\alpha\beta} b_2 R^\rho{}_{(\nu\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma \phi_{\mu)\kappa} \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon^{\rho\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\alpha (b_2 \phi_\mu) \phi_\rho \phi_\beta^\sigma) - \varepsilon^{\sigma\rho\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha (b_2 \phi_{(\nu} \phi_\sigma \phi_{\mu)\rho}) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{\lambda\alpha\beta}{}_{(\mu} \nabla_\rho (b_2 R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\sigma \phi_\lambda \phi_\nu) + \varepsilon^{\rho\lambda\alpha\beta} \nabla_\rho (b_2 R^\sigma{}_{(\mu\alpha\beta} \phi_\sigma \phi_\lambda \phi_\nu) + \varepsilon^{\rho\alpha\beta}{}_{(\mu} \nabla_\lambda (b_2 R^\sigma{}_{\nu)\alpha\beta} \phi_\sigma \phi_\rho \phi^\lambda), \\ B_{\mu\nu}^{(3)} &= \varepsilon^{\kappa\gamma\alpha\beta} b_{3,X} \phi_{(\mu} \phi_{\nu)} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi^\sigma \phi_\kappa^\rho \phi_\gamma^\lambda \phi_\lambda + \varepsilon^{\sigma\kappa\alpha\beta} b_3 R^\rho{}_{(\nu\alpha\beta} \phi_\mu) \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma \phi_\kappa^\lambda \phi_\lambda + \varepsilon^{\gamma\lambda\alpha\beta} b_3 R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\sigma \phi_\rho \phi_\gamma \phi_{(\mu\lambda} \phi_{\nu)} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^{\rho\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\alpha (b_3 \phi^\sigma \phi_\mu) \phi_\rho \phi_\beta^\lambda \phi_\lambda) - \varepsilon^{\sigma\rho\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha (b_3 \phi_{(\mu} \phi_\nu) \phi_\sigma \phi_\rho^\lambda \phi_\lambda) + \varepsilon^{\sigma\beta\alpha}{}_{(\nu} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\alpha (b_3 \phi_\mu) \phi_\sigma^\rho \phi_\beta^\lambda \phi_\lambda) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varepsilon_{(\mu}{}^{\kappa\alpha\beta} \nabla_\rho (b_3 R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\sigma \phi_\lambda \phi_\kappa \phi^\lambda \phi_\nu) + \varepsilon^{\rho\kappa\alpha\beta} \nabla_\rho (b_3 R_{(\mu}{}^\sigma{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\sigma \phi_\lambda \phi_\kappa \phi^\lambda \phi_\nu) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varepsilon_{(\mu}{}^{\kappa\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda (b_3 R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\sigma \phi_\rho \phi_\kappa \phi^\lambda \phi_\mu) + \varepsilon^{\lambda\kappa\alpha\beta} \nabla_\kappa (b_3 R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\sigma \phi_\rho \phi_\lambda \phi_{(\mu} \phi_{\nu)}) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varepsilon_{(\mu}{}^{\rho\alpha\beta} \nabla_\kappa (b_3 R_{\nu)\alpha\beta} \phi_\sigma \phi_\lambda \phi_\rho \phi^\lambda \phi^\kappa) + \varepsilon^\lambda{}_{(\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\kappa (b_3 R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\sigma \phi_\rho \phi_\lambda \phi_{\mu)} \phi^\kappa) \right], \\ B_{\mu\nu}^{(4)} &= \varepsilon^{\kappa\gamma\alpha\beta} b_{4,X} \phi_{(\mu} \phi_{\nu)} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\gamma \phi_\kappa^\rho \phi_\lambda^\sigma \phi^\lambda + \varepsilon^{\sigma\kappa\alpha\beta} b_4 R^\rho{}_{(\nu\alpha\beta} \phi_\kappa \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma \phi_{\mu)\lambda} \phi^\lambda + \varepsilon^{\gamma\lambda\alpha\beta} b_4 R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\lambda \phi_\rho \phi_\gamma \phi_{(\nu} \phi_{\mu)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left[ \varepsilon^{\rho\beta\alpha} (\nu \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\alpha (b_4 \phi_\beta \phi_\mu)_\rho \phi_\lambda^\sigma \phi^\lambda) + \varepsilon^{\sigma\rho\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha (b_4 \phi_\rho \phi_{(\nu\sigma\phi_\mu)\lambda} \phi^\lambda) - \varepsilon^{\sigma\beta\alpha} (\nu \nabla_\rho \nabla_\alpha (b_4 \phi_\beta \phi_\sigma^\rho \phi_\mu)_\lambda \phi^\lambda) \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varepsilon_{(\mu}{}^{\kappa\alpha\beta} \nabla_\rho (b_4 R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\kappa \phi_{\sigma\lambda} \phi^\lambda \phi_\nu) + \varepsilon^{\rho\kappa\alpha\beta} \nabla_\rho (b_4 R_{(\mu}{}^\sigma{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\kappa \phi_{\sigma\lambda} \phi^\lambda \phi_\nu) \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varepsilon^{\kappa\lambda\alpha\beta} \nabla_\sigma (b_4 R^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\lambda \phi_{\rho\kappa} \phi_{(\nu\phi_\mu)} + \varepsilon^{\sigma\kappa\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda (b_4 R^\rho{}_{(\mu\alpha\beta} \phi_\kappa \phi_{\rho\sigma} \phi^\lambda \phi_\nu) \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varepsilon_{(\mu}{}^{\rho\alpha\beta} \nabla_\kappa (b_4 R_{\nu)}{}^\sigma{}_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_{\sigma\lambda} \phi^\lambda \phi^\kappa) + \varepsilon^{\sigma\lambda\alpha\beta} \nabla_\kappa (b_4 R^\rho{}_{(\mu\alpha\beta} \phi_\lambda \phi_{\rho\sigma} \phi_\nu) \phi^\kappa) \right], \\
B_{\mu\nu}^{(5)} &= \varepsilon^{\kappa\gamma\alpha\beta} b_{5,X} \phi_{(\mu} \phi_{\nu)} R_{\alpha\rho\sigma\lambda} \phi^\rho \phi_\beta \phi_\kappa^\sigma \phi_\gamma^\lambda - 2\varepsilon^{\tau\sigma\alpha\beta} b_5 R^\rho{}_{\alpha(\nu\lambda} \phi_\rho \phi_\beta \phi_\mu)_\tau \phi_\sigma^\lambda \\
& + \varepsilon^{\rho\lambda\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\sigma (b_5 \phi_{(\mu} \phi_\beta \phi_\rho^\sigma \phi_\nu)_\lambda) + \varepsilon^{\alpha\rho} (\mu^\beta \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\sigma (b_5 \phi_\nu)_\beta \phi_\alpha^\sigma \phi_\rho^\lambda) \\
& - \varepsilon^{\alpha\lambda} (\mu^\beta \nabla_\rho \nabla_\sigma (b_5 \phi^\rho \phi_\beta \phi_\alpha^\sigma \phi_\nu)_\lambda) + \varepsilon_{(\mu}{}^{\kappa\alpha\beta} \nabla_\sigma (b_5 R^\rho{}_{\alpha}{}^{\sigma\lambda} \phi_\rho \phi_\beta \phi_{\lambda\kappa} \phi_\nu) \\
& + \varepsilon^{\sigma\kappa\alpha\beta} \nabla_\sigma (b_5 R^\rho{}_{\alpha(\mu}{}^\lambda \phi_\rho \phi_\beta \phi_{\lambda\kappa} \phi_\nu) - \varepsilon_{(\mu}{}^{\sigma\alpha\beta} \nabla_\kappa (b_5 R^\rho{}_{\alpha\nu)}{}^\lambda \phi_\rho \phi_\beta \phi_{\lambda\sigma} \phi^\kappa), \\
B_{\mu\nu}^{(6)} &= \varepsilon^{\kappa\tau\alpha\beta} b_{6,X} \phi_{(\mu} \phi_{\nu)} R_{\beta\gamma} \phi_\alpha \phi_\tau^\gamma \phi_\kappa^\lambda \phi_\lambda + \varepsilon^{\gamma\sigma\alpha\beta} b_6 R_{\beta(\mu} \phi_\alpha \phi_{\gamma\nu)} \phi_\sigma^\lambda \phi_\lambda + \varepsilon^{\lambda\rho\alpha\beta} b_6 R_{\beta\gamma} \phi_\alpha \phi_\lambda^\gamma \phi_{\rho(\nu} \phi_\mu) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\tau\gamma\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \nabla_{(\mu} (b_6 g^{\lambda\rho} \phi_\alpha \phi_\nu)_\tau \phi_{\rho\gamma} \phi_\lambda) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\beta\tau\alpha} (\mu \nabla_\gamma \nabla_\nu) (b_6 g^{\gamma\sigma} g^{\lambda\rho} \phi_\alpha \phi_{\sigma\beta} \phi_{\rho\tau} \phi_\lambda) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\alpha} (\mu \nabla_\tau \nabla_\kappa (b_6 g^{\lambda\rho} \phi_\alpha \phi_\nu)_\beta \phi_{\rho\gamma} \phi_\lambda g^{\kappa\tau}) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\tau\kappa\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \nabla_\gamma (b_6 g^{\gamma\sigma} g^{\lambda\rho} \phi_\alpha \phi_{\sigma\tau} \phi_{\rho\kappa} \phi_\lambda g_{(\nu\mu)}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\beta\tau\alpha} (\mu \nabla_\nu) \nabla_\gamma (b_6 g^{\gamma\sigma} g^{\lambda\rho} \phi_\alpha \phi_{\sigma\beta} \phi_{\rho\tau} \phi_\lambda) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\beta\kappa\alpha} (\mu \nabla_\nu) \nabla_\gamma (b_6 g^{\gamma\sigma} g^{\lambda\rho} \phi_\alpha \phi_{\sigma\beta} \phi_{\rho\kappa} \phi_\lambda) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\xi\sigma\alpha\beta} \nabla_\xi (b_6 R_{\beta(\nu} \phi_\alpha g^{\lambda\rho} \phi_{\sigma\rho} \phi_\lambda \phi_\mu) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{(\mu}{}^{\xi\alpha\beta} \nabla_\sigma (b_6 R_{\beta\gamma} \phi_\alpha g^{\gamma\sigma} g^{\lambda\rho} \phi_{\xi\rho} \phi_\lambda \phi_\nu) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{(\mu}{}^{\sigma\alpha\beta} \nabla_\xi (b_6 R_{\beta\nu)} \phi_\alpha g^{\lambda\rho} \phi_{\sigma\rho} \phi_\lambda \phi_\tau g^{\tau\xi}) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\xi\rho\alpha\beta} \nabla_\rho (b_6 R_{\beta\gamma} \phi_\alpha g^{\gamma\sigma} \phi_{\xi\sigma} \phi_{(\nu} \phi_\mu) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{(\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\rho (b_6 R_{\beta\gamma} \phi_\alpha g^{\gamma\sigma} g^{\lambda\rho} \phi_{\xi\sigma} \phi_\lambda \phi_\mu) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{(\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\xi (b_6 R_{\beta\gamma} \phi_\alpha g^{\gamma\sigma} \phi_{\rho\sigma} \phi_\mu) \phi_\tau g^{\tau\xi}) \\
B_{\mu\nu}^{(7)} &= \varepsilon^{\tau\gamma\alpha\beta} b_{7,X} \phi_{(\mu} \phi_{\nu)} R^\rho{}_{\sigma\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\tau \phi_\kappa^\gamma g^{\sigma\kappa} g^{\lambda\delta} \phi_{\lambda\delta} - \varepsilon^{\xi\beta\alpha} (\nu \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\alpha (b_7 \phi_\mu)_\xi \phi_\kappa \beta g^{\sigma\kappa} g^{\lambda\delta} \phi_{\lambda\delta}) \\
& - \varepsilon^{\sigma\xi\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha (b_7 \phi_{(\nu} \phi_\sigma \phi_\mu)_\xi g^{\lambda\delta} \phi_{\lambda\delta}) + \varepsilon^{\sigma\beta\alpha} (\nu \nabla_\xi \nabla_\alpha (b_7 \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma \phi_\mu)_\beta g^{\lambda\delta} \phi_{\lambda\delta} g^{\rho\xi}) \\
& + \varepsilon^{\sigma\kappa\alpha\beta} b_7 R^\rho{}_{(\mu\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma \phi_\nu)_\kappa g^{\lambda\delta} \phi_{\lambda\delta} + \varepsilon^{\lambda\tau\alpha\beta} b_7 R^\rho{}_{\sigma\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\lambda \phi_{\kappa\tau} g^{\sigma\kappa} \phi_{(\mu\nu)} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{(\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\kappa (b_7 R^\rho{}_{\sigma\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\xi g^{\sigma\kappa} g^{\lambda\delta} \phi_{\lambda\delta} \phi_\mu) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\kappa\xi\alpha\beta} \nabla_\xi (b_7 R^\rho{}_{(\mu\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\kappa g^{\lambda\delta} \phi_{\lambda\delta} \phi_\nu) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{(\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\xi (b_7 R^\rho{}_{\mu)\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\kappa g^{\lambda\delta} \phi_{\lambda\delta} \phi_\gamma g^{\gamma\xi}) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\xi\delta\alpha\beta} \nabla_{(\nu} (b_7 R^\rho{}_{\sigma\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\xi \phi_{\kappa\delta} g^{\sigma\kappa} \phi_\mu) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\lambda\xi\alpha\beta} \nabla_{(\mu} (b_7 R^\rho{}_{\sigma\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\lambda \phi_{\kappa\xi} g^{\sigma\kappa} \phi_\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\lambda\delta\alpha\beta} \nabla_\xi (b_7 R^\rho{}_{\sigma\alpha\beta} \phi_\rho \phi_\lambda \phi_{\kappa\delta} g^{\sigma\kappa} g_{(\mu\nu)} \phi_\gamma g^{\gamma\xi}). \tag{A.4}
\end{aligned}$$

The energy-momentum tensor of scalar field  $\phi$  in Eq.(2.8) is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu}^\phi = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \phi \partial_\sigma \phi + g_{\mu\nu} V(\phi) - \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi. \tag{A.5}$$

The expression of  $F_{,\phi}$  in Eq.(2.12) is given by

$$F_{,\phi} = \sum_{A=1}^4 F_{a_A} + \sum_{B=1}^4 F_{b_B}, \tag{A.6}$$

where  $F_{a_A}$  and  $F_{b_B}$  are

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{a_1} &= a_{1,\phi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho}{}^\sigma R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\lambda} \phi_\sigma \phi_\lambda - 2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\gamma (a_{1,X} R_{\alpha\beta\rho}{}^\sigma R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\lambda} \phi_\sigma \phi_\lambda \phi^\gamma) \\
& - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\sigma (a_1 R_{\alpha\beta\rho}{}^\sigma R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\lambda} \phi_\lambda) - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda (a_1 R_{\alpha\beta\rho}{}^\sigma R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\lambda} \phi_\sigma), \\
F_{a_2} &= a_{2,\phi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} R_\mu{}^{\lambda\rho\sigma} \phi_\sigma \phi_\lambda - 2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\gamma (a_{2,X} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} R_\mu{}^{\lambda\rho\sigma} \phi_\nu \phi_\lambda \phi^\gamma) \\
& - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\nu (a_2 R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} R_\mu{}^{\lambda\rho\sigma} \phi_\lambda) - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda (a_2 R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} R_\mu{}^{\lambda\rho\sigma} \phi_\nu), \\
F_{a_3} &= a_{3,\phi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^\rho{}_\sigma R^\sigma{}_\nu \phi_\rho \phi_\mu - 2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\gamma (a_{3,X} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^\rho{}_\sigma R^\sigma{}_\nu \phi_\rho \phi_\mu \phi^\gamma) \\
& - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\rho (a_3 R_{\alpha\beta}{}^\rho{}_\sigma R^\sigma{}_\nu \phi_\mu) - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu (a_3 R_{\alpha\beta}{}^\rho{}_\sigma R^\sigma{}_\nu \phi_\rho),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{a_4} &= a_{4,\phi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\mu\nu} \phi^\lambda \phi_\lambda - 2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\gamma (a_{4,X} R_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\mu\nu} \phi^\lambda \phi_\lambda \phi^\gamma) \\
&\quad - 2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda (a_4 R_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\mu\nu} \phi^\lambda), \\
F_{b_1} &= b_{1,\phi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\rho \phi_\mu \phi_\sigma \nu - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda (2b_{1,X} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi^\lambda \phi_\rho \phi_\mu \phi_\sigma \nu) \\
&\quad - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\rho (b_1 R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\mu \phi_\sigma \nu) - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu (b_1 R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma \nu) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\nu \nabla_\sigma (b_1 R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\rho \phi_\mu), \\
F_{b_2} &= b_{2,\phi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\rho \mu \phi_\sigma \nu - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\gamma (2b_{2,X} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi^\gamma \phi_\rho \mu \phi_\sigma \nu) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\rho (b_2 R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\sigma \nu) + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\nu \nabla_\sigma (b_2 R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\rho \mu), \\
F_{b_3} &= b_{3,\phi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\sigma \phi_\rho \mu \phi_\lambda \nu \phi^\lambda - 2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\gamma (b_{3,\phi} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi^\gamma \phi_\sigma \phi_\rho \mu \phi_\lambda \nu \phi^\lambda) \\
&\quad - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\sigma (b_3 R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\rho \mu \phi_\lambda \nu \phi^\lambda) - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla^\lambda (b_3 R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\sigma \phi_\rho \mu \phi_\lambda \nu) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\rho (b_3 R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\sigma \phi^\lambda \phi_\lambda \nu) + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\nu \nabla_\lambda (b_3 R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\sigma \phi^\lambda \phi_\rho \mu), \\
F_{b_4} &= b_{4,\phi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\nu \phi_\rho \mu \phi_\sigma \lambda \phi^\lambda - 2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\gamma (b_{4,\phi} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi^\gamma \phi_\nu \phi_\rho \mu \phi_\sigma \lambda \phi^\lambda) \\
&\quad - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\nu (b_4 R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\rho \mu \phi_\sigma \lambda \phi^\lambda) - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda (b_4 R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\nu \phi_\rho \mu \phi_\sigma \lambda) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\rho (b_4 R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\nu \phi^\lambda \phi_\sigma \lambda) + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\sigma (b_4 R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \phi_\nu \phi^\lambda \phi_\rho \mu), \\
F_{b_5} &= b_{5,\phi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha}{}^{\rho\sigma\lambda} \phi_\rho \phi_\beta \phi_\sigma \mu \phi_\lambda \nu - 2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\gamma (b_{5,\phi} R_{\alpha}{}^{\rho\sigma\lambda} \phi^\gamma \phi_\rho \phi_\beta \phi_\sigma \mu \phi_\lambda \nu) \\
&\quad - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\rho (b_5 R_{\alpha}{}^{\rho\sigma\lambda} \phi_\beta \phi_\sigma \mu \phi_\lambda \nu) - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta (b_5 R_{\alpha}{}^{\rho\sigma\lambda} \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma \mu \phi_\lambda \nu) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\sigma (b_5 R_{\alpha}{}^{\rho\sigma\lambda} \phi_\rho \phi_\beta \phi_\lambda \nu) + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\nu \nabla_\lambda (b_5 R_{\alpha}{}^{\rho\sigma\lambda} \phi_\rho \phi_\beta \phi_\sigma \mu), \\
F_{b_6} &= b_{6,\phi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\beta}{}^\gamma \phi_\alpha \phi_\gamma \mu \phi_\lambda \nu \phi^\lambda - 2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\tau (b_{6,\phi} R_{\beta}{}^\gamma \phi^\tau \phi_\alpha \phi_\gamma \mu \phi_\lambda \nu \phi^\lambda) \\
&\quad - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha (b_6 R_{\beta}{}^\gamma \phi_\gamma \mu \phi_\lambda \nu \phi^\lambda) - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda (b_6 R_{\beta}{}^\gamma \phi_\alpha \phi_\gamma \mu \phi_\nu \phi^\lambda) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\gamma (b_6 R_{\beta}{}^\gamma \phi_\alpha \phi_\lambda \nu \phi^\lambda) + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\nu \nabla_\lambda (b_6 R_{\beta}{}^\gamma \phi_\alpha \phi_\gamma \mu \phi^\lambda), \\
F_{b_7} &= b_{7,\phi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\rho \phi_\mu \phi_\sigma \nu \nabla^2 \phi - 2\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda (b_{7,X} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi^\lambda \phi_\rho \phi_\mu \phi_\sigma \nu \nabla^2 \phi) \\
&\quad - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\rho (b_7 R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\mu \phi_\sigma \nu \nabla^2 \phi) - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu (b_7 R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\rho \phi_\sigma \nu \nabla^2 \phi) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\nu \nabla_\sigma (b_7 R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\rho \phi_\mu \nabla^2 \phi) + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla^2 (b_7 R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} \phi_\rho \phi_\mu \phi_\sigma \nu). \tag{A.7}
\end{aligned}$$

## Appendix B: The propagation equation of gravitational wave in ghost-free parity-violating gravities

In Sec. II, we obtain the metric field equation of motion and the scalar field equation of motion from the variation of action with respect to the metric field and the scalar field. In order to cross-check of these results, in this Appendix, we expand the field equation in weak fields and derive the propagation equation of gravitational wave in the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe. Note that, in the previous works [43, 59], we first carried out the cosmological perturbation expansion of the action about the spatial metric, and then obtained the propagation equation of gravitational wave by the variation of the action to the spatial perturbation metric  $h_{ij}$ . The comparison of these two results is helpful to validate the metric field equation of motion derived in this article.

Let us consider the spatial metric in the flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe, which is written as

$$g_{ij} = a^2(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}), \tag{B.1}$$

where  $a$  is the scale factor of the universe. We substitute this expansion of metric into all tensors in Appendix A, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{ij}^{(1)} &= \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( 2a_1' \phi'^2 \frac{1}{a^2} + 4a_1 \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 8a_1 \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} \right) h''_{jk,l} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( 2a_1'' \phi'^2 \frac{1}{a^2} + 8a_1' \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 12a_1' \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} + 4a_1 \phi' \phi''' \frac{1}{a^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4a_1 \phi''^2 \frac{1}{a^2} - 24a_1 \phi' \phi'' \frac{a'}{a^3} - 8a_1 \phi'^2 \frac{a''}{a^3} + 24a_1 \phi'^2 \frac{a'^2}{a^4} \right) h'_{jk,l}, \\
A_{ij}^{(2)} &= \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( a_2' \phi'^2 \frac{1}{a^2} + 2a_2 \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 2a_2 \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} \right) h''_{jk,l}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( a_2' \phi'^2 \frac{1}{a^2} + 2a_2 \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 2a_2 \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} \right) h_{jk,l,m}{}^m \\
& + \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( a_2'' \phi'^2 \frac{1}{a^2} + 4a_2' \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 4a_2' \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} + 2a_2 \phi' \phi''' \frac{1}{a^2} \right. \\
& \left. + 2a_2 \phi''^2 \frac{1}{a^2} - 8a_2 \phi' \phi'' \frac{a'}{a^3} - 2a_2 \phi'^2 \frac{a''}{a^3} + 6a_2 \phi'^2 \frac{a'^2}{a^4} \right) h'_{jk,l}, \\
A_{ij}^{(3)} &= \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( \frac{1}{2} a_3' \phi'^2 \frac{1}{a^2} + a_3 \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 3a_3 \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} \right) h''_{jk,l} \\
& + \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( \frac{1}{2} a_3' \phi'^2 \frac{1}{a^2} + a_3 \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - a_3 \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} \right) h_{jk,l,m}{}^m \\
& + \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( \frac{1}{2} a_3'' \phi'^2 \frac{1}{a^2} + 2a_3' \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 4a_3' \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} + a_3 \phi' \phi''' \frac{1}{a^2} \right. \\
& \left. + a_3 \phi''^2 \frac{1}{a^2} - 8a_3 \phi' \phi'' \frac{a'}{a^3} - 3a_3 \phi'^2 \frac{a''}{a^3} + 9a_3 \phi'^2 \frac{a'^2}{a^4} \right) h'_{jk,l}, \\
A_{ij}^{(4)} &= \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( 4a_4' \phi'^2 \frac{1}{a^2} + 8a_4 \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 8a_4 \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} \right) h''_{jk,l} \\
& - \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( 4a_4' \phi'^2 \frac{1}{a^2} + 8a_4 \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 8a_4 \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} \right) h_{jk,l,m}{}^m \\
& + \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( 4a_4'' \phi'^2 \frac{1}{a^2} + 16a_4' \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 16a_4' \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} + 8a_4 \phi' \phi''' \frac{1}{a^2} \right. \\
& \left. + 8a_4 \phi''^2 \frac{1}{a^2} - 32a_4 \phi' \phi'' \frac{a'}{a^3} - 8a_4 \phi'^2 \frac{a''}{a^3} + 24a_4 \phi'^2 \frac{a'^2}{a^4} \right) h'_{jk,l}, \\
B_{ij}^{(1)} &= \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( b_1 \phi'^3 \frac{1}{a^2} \right) h''_{jk,l} \\
& + \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( b_1' \phi'^3 \frac{1}{a^2} + 3b_1 \phi'^2 \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 2b_1 \phi'^3 \frac{a'}{a^3} \right) h'_{jk,l}, \\
B_{ij}^{(2)} &= \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( 2b_2 \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 4b_2 \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} \right) h''_{jk,l} \\
& + \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( 2b_2' \phi' \phi'' \frac{1}{a^2} - 4b_2' \phi'^2 \frac{a'}{a^3} + 2b_2 \phi' \phi''' \frac{1}{a^2} + 2b_2 \phi''^2 \frac{1}{a^2} \right. \\
& \left. + 12b_2 \phi'^2 \frac{a'^2}{a^4} - 4b_2 \phi'^2 \frac{a''}{a^3} - 12b_2 \phi' \phi'' \frac{a'}{a^3} \right) h'_{jk,l}, \\
B_{ij}^{(3)} &= \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( -b_3 \phi'^3 \phi'' \frac{1}{a^4} + b_3 \phi'^4 \frac{a'}{a^5} \right) h''_{jk,l} \\
& + \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( -b_3' \phi'^3 \phi'' \frac{1}{a^4} + b_3' \phi'^4 \frac{a'}{a^5} - 3\phi'^2 \phi''^2 \frac{1}{a^4} - b_3 \phi'^3 \phi''' \frac{1}{a^4} \right. \\
& \left. - 5b_3 \phi'^4 \frac{a'^2}{a^6} + 8b_3 \phi'^3 \phi'' \frac{a'}{a^5} + b_3 \phi'^4 \frac{a''}{a^5} \right) h'_{jk,l}, \\
B_{ij}^{(4)} &= \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( b_4 \phi'^4 \frac{a'}{a^5} - b_4 \phi'^3 \phi'' \frac{1}{a^4} \right) h''_{jk,l} \\
& + \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( -b_4' \phi'^3 \phi'' \frac{1}{a^4} + b_4' \phi'^4 \frac{a'}{a^5} - 3b_4 \phi'^2 \phi''^2 \frac{1}{a^4} - b_4 \phi'^3 \phi''' \frac{1}{a^4} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -5b_4\phi'^4\frac{a'^2}{a^6} + 8b_4\phi'^3\phi''\frac{a'}{a^5} + b_4\phi'^4\frac{a''}{a^5}\Big)h'_{jk,l}, \\
B_{ij}^{(5)} &= \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( b_5\phi'^4\frac{a'}{a^5} \right) h''_{jk,l} \\
& + \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( b'_5\phi'^4\frac{a'}{a^5} + 4b_5\phi'^3\phi''\frac{a'}{a^5} + b_5\phi'^4\frac{a''}{a^5} - \frac{5}{2}b_5\phi'^4\frac{a'^2}{a^6} \right) h'_{jk,l}, \\
B_{ij}^{(7)} &= \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( -b_7\phi'^3\phi''\frac{1}{a^4} - 2b_7\phi'^4\frac{a'}{a^5} \right) h''_{jk,l} \\
& + \varepsilon^{0kl}{}_i \left( -b'_7\phi'^3\phi''\frac{1}{a^4} - 2b'_7\phi'^4\frac{a'}{a^5} - 3b_7\phi'^2\phi''^2\frac{1}{a^4} - b_7\phi'^3\phi''' \frac{1}{a^4} \right. \\
& \left. - 4b_7\phi'^3\phi''\frac{a'}{a^5} + 10b_7\phi'^4\frac{a'^2}{a^6} - 2b_7\phi'^4\frac{a''}{a^5} \right) h'_{jk,l}. \tag{B.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these expressions into field equation in Eq.(2.15), we can obtain the field equation for  $h_{ij}$  as [59],

$$h''_{ij} + 2\mathcal{H}h'_{ij} - \partial^2 h_{ij} + \frac{\epsilon^{ilk}}{aM_{\text{PV}}} \partial_l \left[ c_1 h''_{jk} + (\mathcal{H}c_1 + c'_1) h'_{jk} - c_2 \partial^2 h_{jk} \right] = 0. \tag{B.3}$$

We find this equation is exactly same with Eq.(3.9) in [59] and Eq.(3.13) in [43], which indicates that the field equation in Eq.(2.15) derived in this article is consistent with the previous works.

### Appendix C: PPN potentials

In this Appendix, we present the explicit expressions for the PPN potentials used to parameterize the metric in Eqs. (3.6)-(3.9). These potentials are given as follows [50]:

$$U \equiv \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x', \tag{C.1}$$

$$\Phi_{ij} \equiv \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}', t) (x - x')_i (x - x')_j}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^3} d^3 x', \tag{C.2}$$

$$\Phi_W \equiv \int \rho' \rho'' \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^3} \left( \frac{\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}''}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}''|} - \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}''}{|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}''|} \right) d^3 x' d^3 x'', \tag{C.3}$$

$$\Phi_1 \equiv \int \frac{\rho' v'^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x', \tag{C.4}$$

$$\Phi_2 \equiv \int \frac{\rho' U'}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x', \tag{C.5}$$

$$\Phi_3 \equiv \int \frac{\rho' \Pi'}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x', \tag{C.6}$$

$$\Phi_4 \equiv \int \frac{p'}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x' \tag{C.7}$$

$$\mathfrak{A} \equiv \int \frac{\rho' [\mathbf{v}' \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')]^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^3} d^3 x', \tag{C.8}$$

$$\mathfrak{B} \equiv \int \frac{\rho'}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{v}'}{dt} d^3 x', \tag{C.9}$$

$$V_j \equiv \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}', t) v'_j}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x', \tag{C.10}$$

$$W_j \equiv \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}', t) \mathbf{v}' \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') (x - x')_j}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^3} d^3 x', \tag{C.11}$$



$$\chi \equiv - \int \rho(\mathbf{x}', t) |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| d^3 x'. \quad (\text{C.12})$$

These potentials satisfy the following relations [50],

$$\partial^2 U = -4\pi G\rho, \quad (\text{C.13})$$

$$\nabla^2 \chi = -2U, \quad (\text{C.14})$$

$$\chi_{,0j} = V_j - W_j, \quad (\text{C.15})$$

$$\partial^2 V_j = -4\pi G\rho v_j, \quad (\text{C.16})$$

$$V_{j,j} = -U_{,0} \quad (\text{C.17})$$

$$\partial^2 \Phi_1 = -4\pi G\rho v^2, \quad (\text{C.18})$$

$$\partial^2 \Phi_2 = -4\pi G\rho U = U\partial^2 U \quad (\text{C.19})$$

$$\partial^2 \Phi_3 = -4\pi G\rho\Pi, \quad (\text{C.20})$$

$$\partial^2 \Phi_4 = -4\pi Gp, \quad (\text{C.21})$$

$$2\chi_{,ij}U_{,ij} = \partial^2 (\Phi_W + 2U^2 - 3\Phi_2), \quad (\text{C.22})$$

$$\chi_{,00} = \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B} - \Phi_1. \quad (\text{C.23})$$

### Acknowledgements

We appreciate the helpful discussion with Mingzhe Li and Dehao Zhao. This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China Grant under Grant No.2020YFC2201503, the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. LR21A050001, NSFC No.11773028, 11633001, 11653002, 11603020, 11903030, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant No: WK2030000036 and WK3440000004, the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences Grant No. XDB23010200, Key Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant No. XDPB15, and the China Manned Space Program through its Space Application System.

- 
- [1] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 451-497 (2010).
  - [2] S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, *Phys. Rept.* **509**, 167-321 (2011).
  - [3] T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla and C. Skordis, *Phys. Rept.* **513**, 1-189 (2012).
  - [4] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, *Phys. Rept.* **692**, 1-104 (2017).
  - [5] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rept.* **505**, 59-144 (2011).
  - [6] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 084007 (2006).
  - [7] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **15**, 1753-1936 (2006).
  - [8] J. Frieman, M. Turner and D. Huterer, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **46**, 385-432 (2008).
  - [9] M. Li, X. D. Li, S. Wang and Y. Wang, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **56**, 525-604 (2011).
  - [10] T.D. Lee, C.N. Yang, *Phys. Rev.* **104**, 254 (1956).
  - [11] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 104012 (2003).
  - [12] N. Yunes, R. O'Shaughnessy, B. J. Owen, and S. Alexander, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 064017 (2010).
  - [13] K. Yagi, N. Yunes, and T. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 251105 (2012).
  - [14] S. H. Alexander and N. Yunes, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 064033 (2018).
  - [15] X. Gao and X.Y. Hong, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 064057 (2020).
  - [16] P. Horava, *J. High Energy Phys.* **0903**, 020 (2009).
  - [17] P. Horava, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 084008 (2009).
  - [18] P. Horava, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 161301 (2009).
  - [19] A. Z. Wang, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **26**, 1730014 (2017).
  - [20] A. Conroy and T. Koivisto, *JCAP* **12**, 016 (2019).
  - [21] M. Li and D. Zhao, arXiv:2108.01337 [gr-qc].
  - [22] A. Wang, Q. Wu, W. Zhao and T. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 103512 (2013).
  - [23] T. Takahashi and J. Soda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 231301 (2009).
  - [24] T. Zhu, W. Zhao, Y. Huang, A. Wang and Q. Wu, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 063508 (2013).
  - [25] J. M. Maldacena and G. L. Pimentel, *JHEP* **09**, 045 (2011).
  - [26] S. H. Alexander and N. Yunes, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 064033 (2018).
  - [27] N. Yunes, R. O'Shaughnessy, B. J. Owen and S. Alexander, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 064017 (2010).

- [28] K. Yagi, N. Yunes and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 044037 (2012).
- [29] K. Yagi and H. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 104018 (2018).
- [30] A. Nishizawa and T. Kobayashi, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 124018 (2018).
- [31] X. Gao and X. Y. Hong, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 064057 (2020).
- [32] W. Zhao, T. Liu, L. Wen, T. Zhu, A. Wang, Q. Hu and C. Zhou, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 630 (2020).
- [33] M. Li, H. Rao and Y. Tong, [arXiv:2104.05917 [gr-qc]].
- [34] M. Li, H. Rao and D. Zhao, JCAP **11**, 023 (2020).
- [35] P. Horava, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084008 (2009).
- [36] A. Lue, L. M. Wang and M. Kamionkowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1506-1509 (1999).
- [37] K. Yagi and H. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 104018 (2018).
- [38] Y. F. Wang, R. Niu, T. Zhu and W. Zhao, Astrophys. J., **908**, 58 (2021).
- [39] Y. F. Wang, S. M. Brown, L. Shao and W. Zhao, arXiv:2109.09718.
- [40] Q. Hu, M. Z. Li, R. Niu and W. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 064057 (2021).
- [41] L. Shao, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 104019 (2020).
- [42] S. Saito, K. Ichiki and A. Taruya, JCAP **09**, 002 (2007).
- [43] J. Qiao, T. Zhu, W. Zhao and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 043528 (2020).
- [44] T. L. Smith, A. L. Erickcek, R. R. Caldwell and M. Kamionkowski, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024015 (2008).
- [45] N. Yunes and D. N. Spergel, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 042004 (2009).
- [46] R. N. Manchester, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **24**, 1530018 (2015).
- [47] M. Kramer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **25**, 1630029 (2016).
- [48] I. H. Stairs, Living Rev. Rel. **6**, 5 (2003).
- [49] C. M. Will, Living Rev. Relativ. **17**, 4 (2014).
- [50] C. M. Will, *Theory and experiment in gravitational physics*, (Cambridge University Press, 2018).
- [51] K. Flathmann and M. Hohmann, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 044030 (2021).
- [52] X. Zhang, W. Zhao, H. Huang and Y. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 124003 (2016).
- [53] K. Lin, S. Mukohyama, A. Wang and T. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 084022 (2014).
- [54] B. Z. Foster and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 064015 (2006).
- [55] H. Rao, arXiv:2107.08597 [gr-qc].
- [56] S. Alexander and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 124022 (2007).
- [57] S. Alexander and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 241101 (2007).
- [58] M. Crisostomi, K. Noui, C. Charmousis and D. Langlois, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 044034 (2018).
- [59] J. Qiao, T. Zhu, W. Zhao and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 124058 (2019).
- [60] W. Zhao, T. Zhu, J. Qiao and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 024002 (2020).
- [61] S. Alexander and N. Yunes, Phys. Rept. **480**, 1 (2009).
- [62] B. A. Campbell, M. J. Duncan, N. Kalopar and K. A. Olive, Nucl. Phys. B **351**, 778 (1991).
- [63] B. A. Campbell, N. Kaloper, R. Madden and K. A. Olive, Nucl. Phys. B **399**, 137 (1993).
- [64] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 975 (1982); Ann. Phys. **140**, 372 (1982).
- [65] E. Poisson and C. M. Will, *Gravity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2014).
- [66] C. W. F. Everitt, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 221101 (2011).
- [67] I. Ciufolini and E. C. Pavlis, Nature (London) **431**, 958 (2004).