

Cyclic Prefix (CP) Jamming Against Eavesdropping Relays in OFDM Systems

Muhammad Sohaib J. Solaija*, Haji M. Furqan*, Zekeriyya Esat Ankaralı^{†‡} and Hüseyin Arslan*[†]

*Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Istanbul Medipol University, Istanbul, 34810 Turkey

[†]Department of Electrical Engineering, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620 USA

[‡]Maxlinear, Inc., Carlsbad, CA 92008 USA

Emails: solaija@ieee.org, haji.madni@medipol.edu.tr, zekeriyya@mail.usf.edu, huseyinarslan@medipol.edu.tr

Abstract—Cooperative communication has been widely used to provide spatial diversity benefits for low-end user equipments, especially in ad hoc and wireless sensor networks. However, the lack of strong authentication mechanisms in these networks leaves them prone to eavesdropping relays. In this paper, we propose a secure orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) transmission scheme, where the destination node transmits a jamming signal over the cyclic prefix (CP) duration of the received signal. Simulation results verify that as long as at least a part of the jamming signal falls to the actual data portion of the eavesdropping relay, it spreads through all the data symbols due to the fast Fourier transformation (FFT) operation, resulting in degraded interception at the eavesdropper.

Index Terms—5G, 6G, cyclic prefix (CP), jamming, OFDM, physical layer security (PLS).

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless communication has become an increasingly important part of human existence, a reality re-emphasized by the recent pandemic [1]. The ubiquitous presence of wireless signals brings about significant advantages in terms of connectivity for communication and environmental awareness. However, this comes at the potential cost of users' data privacy and security [2]. In the current work, we focus on this problem in the context of cooperative communication in the presence of untrusted relays.

Multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) is considered to be an essential enabler for fifth generation (5G) (and even Wi-Fi) and beyond wireless networks [3]. However, services such as massive machine type communication (mMTC) and Internet of Things (IoT) cannot always ensure the availability of hardware capabilities that can support MIMO operation. This led to the emergence of *cooperative communication* paradigm around the turn of the century, where the aim is to improve the quality of service (QoS) of the users through cooperation. Cooperative communication, while generally applicable to different network realizations, is more suited to *ad hoc* and wireless sensor networks (WSNs) compared to the cellular systems [4]. Unlike the latter, the former two systems lack a sophisticated authentication mechanism. This may lead to violation of a user's data confidentiality by the very nodes

that are expected to improve the communication via spatial diversity. Accordingly, there exists a plethora of approaches for physical layer security (PLS) in cooperative communication, which can be broadly categorized into *cooperative relaying*, *cooperative jamming* and their hybrid combinations. Both these approaches usually comprise of two phases, where the first one is broadcast of information from the source. In the second step, the appropriate relay forwards the message to the destination. In the case of relaying, the selection of the helper (relay) is done to degrade the eavesdropper's interception capability. In the case of jamming, the source itself, the destination, or any other helper node transmits noise-like signals such that the eavesdropper cannot hear the message [5].

Despite the clear advantage in terms of degraded eavesdropping capability [6], the cooperative jamming techniques have various limitations. For instance, in the case of friendly jamming the presence of a trustable node is necessary [7]. Furthermore, the jamming signal from this helper can also degrade the destination's performance. Destination-based jamming techniques resolve this issue by exploiting their prior knowledge of the jamming signal. However, they require full-duplexing capabilities to achieve spatial diversity [8]. In both cases, jamming signals are to be transmitted throughout the first phase (where the source broadcasts the signal) of cooperative communication, which is unsuitable for power-limited devices. To this end, we propose the design of a jamming signal which is only transmitted for part of the broadcast phase, yet its effect is spread throughout the signal duration by exploiting the properties of orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) signals and fast Fourier transform (FFT) operation. Since the jamming signal is only transmitted during the cyclic prefix (CP) part at the destination, it also eliminates the need for full-duplexing capability.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. Section II describes the general system model for amplify-and-forward (AAF) cooperative relaying. The proposed approach for CP jamming is discussed in Section III. Simulation results and related discussions are covered in IV while Section V concludes the work and provides some future directions.

This work has been submitted to the IEEE for possible publication. Copyright may be transferred without notice, after which this version may no longer be accessible.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

Without loss of generality, we assume a dual-hop half-duplex relay-aided system with AAF protocol [9]. As shown in Fig. 1(a), it consists of a source that wants to communicate with a destination in the presence of an untrusted relay, where each node consists of a single antenna. Here, the goal is to use the advantages provided by the relay without letting it decode any information. The system is based on OFDM modulation with N subcarriers. The channels corresponding to source-destination (H_{sd}), source-relay (H_{sr}), and relay-destination (H_{rd}) links are assumed to be slowly varying Rayleigh fading with L exponentially decaying taps. A CP of length L is introduced to convert the multipath frequency channel into flat fading subchannels.

At the transmitter, the frequency domain OFDM symbols $\mathbf{S} = [S_0 \ S_1 \ \dots \ S_{N-1}]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{[N \times 1]}$ are passed through the inverse FFT (IFFT) process as $s[n] = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} S_k \exp \frac{j2\pi nk}{N}$ and a CP of length L is added. The transmitted signal with CP can be written as $\mathbf{s} = [s[N-L+1], \dots, s[N-1], s[0], s[1], \dots, s[N-L], s[N-L+1], \dots, s[N-1]]$. Finally, the source node broadcasts the information to relay and destination. The received signal at the destination in the frequency domain on k -th subcarrier can be represented by

$$Y_{sd}(k) = \sqrt{P_1} H_{sd}(k) S(k) + V_{sd}(k), \quad (1)$$

$$k = 1, \dots, K; \ n = 1, \dots, N,$$

where $H_{sd}(k)$ is the channel frequency response (CFR) of the k -th subcarrier between source and destination, the transmitted power by the source is represented by P_1 , $S(k)$ is the symbol transmitted by source node on k -th subcarrier, and $V_{sd}(k)$ represents additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) on the k -th subcarrier with variance $N_0/2$. Similarly, the received signal at relay is given by

$$Y_{sr}(k) = \sqrt{P_1} H_{sr}(k) S(k) + V_{sr}(k), \quad (2)$$

where $H_{sr}(k)$ is the CFR of the k -th subcarrier for source-relay and $V_{sr}(k)$ represents the AWGN. The relay node will normalize the received signal before retransmission by the factor

$$\beta(k) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{P_1 H_{sr}(k) + N_0}}. \quad (3)$$

Finally, the received signal at destination from the relay can be given as

$$Y_{rd}(k) = \sqrt{P_2} H_{rd}(k) (\beta(k) Y_{sr}(k)) + V_{rd}(k), \quad (4)$$

where $H_{rd}(k)$ is the frequency domain channel attenuation on the k -th subcarrier between relay and destination, the transmitted power is represented by P_2 , and $V_{rd}(k)$ shows AWGN on the k -th subcarrier. The receiver will finally combine $Y_{rd}(k)$ signal with $Y_{sd}(k)$ given in (1) by applying maximum-ratio combining (MRC) and decode the information [10].




Fig. 1. Illustration of the proposed approach in a relaying scenario. (a) A typical dual-hop relay aided system comprising of a single source, relay and destination each. (b) The user signal is transmitted from source (S) at time t_0 , reaching the relay (R) and destination (D) at times t_1 and t_2 , respectively, while the jamming signal is transmitted by D at t_2 and reaches R at t_3 .

III. PROPOSED APPROACH

This section presents the details of the proposed algorithm. The basic idea here is to devise a method that enables us to utilize the advantages of the untrusted relay while keeping the information secure from being eavesdropped on by the same node. Moreover, we want to achieve this goal without using an external helper or full-duplex receiver. It should be noted that in the case of half-duplex destination, diversity gain is nullified since the destination transmits jamming signal throughout the first phase rendering it incapable of receiving the broadcast copy of the signal. The proposed approach, on the other hand, provides the required spatial diversity obtained from the signals received in both phases while ensuring that the relay is unable to intercept the data signal. This is achieved by the transmission of jamming signal over the CP duration of the received OFDM signal in the first phase.

The proposed algorithm has two phases.

- **Phase-1:** Source transmits a communication signal while destination sends a jamming signal during a portion of

signal duration.

- **Phase-2:** The relay forwards a signal that it receives during phase-1 to the destination for final combining and decoding at the destination.

The following text provides details of the aforementioned phases of the proposed approach.

A. Phase-1

In phase-1, the source node broadcasts an OFDM signal to destination and untrusted relay over multi-path Rayleigh fading channel. The received signals at destination and relay are given in (1) and (2), respectively. The relay forwards the received signals using AAF protocol to the destination in phase-2 to enhance the reliability of communication. However, there is a possibility that the relay may try to intercept and decode the data signal for malicious purposes. To ensure reliable communication while ensuring security from the untrusted relay, we propose that the destination transmits the jamming signal over the CP duration of the received signal right before receiving the OFDM signal. Even though the jamming signal overlaps with only a portion of the time-domain transmitted signal, its effect spreads over all the data-containing subcarriers once the FFT process is applied at the relay. This received signal at the relay, containing the jamming signal arriving from the destination is given by

$$\hat{Y}_{sr}(k) = \sqrt{P_1}H_{sr}(k)S(k) + V_{sr}(k) + \sqrt{P_j}H_{rd}(k)J(k) + V_{rd}(k), \quad (5)$$

where $J(k)$ is the jamming signal and the power of the jamming signal transmitted by the destination is represented by P_j . It should be noted that (5) contains the additional jamming term compared to (2), hence the term $\hat{Y}_{sr}(k)$ is used instead of $Y_{sr}(k)$.

Figure 1(b) provides a temporal illustration of phase-1 and how the signals arrive at both destination and relay. The transmission from the source initiates at time t_0 . The signal arrives at relay and destination nodes at times t_1 and t_2 , respectively. The destination then transmits the jamming signal, equal in length to the CP itself and represented by a checkered block in the figure, immediately upon receiving its signal. The jamming signal reaches the relay at t_3 . The starting point of the jammed portion of the relay's signal in time can be calculated as

$$t_d = t_3 - t_1 = \frac{d_{sd} + d_{rd} - d_{sr}}{c}, \quad (6)$$

where d_{sd} indicates the distance between source and destination, d_{sr} is the distance between source and relay, and d_{rd} is the distance between relay and destination. As mentioned earlier, as long as part of the jamming signal overlaps with the data portion at the relay, its decoding capability is degraded. The overlap itself is ensured by the propagation delay between the transceivers.

TABLE I
SIMULATION PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS

Parameter	Value
Simulation environment	Urban macro
Carrier frequency (f_c)	2 GHz
Shadow fading standard deviation (σ)	4 dB
Source/relay transmit power (P_{Tx})	23 dBm
Noise power density (N_0)	-174 dBm/Hz
Source-destination distance	1000 m
Source-relay distance	(100, 200, ... , 900) m
CIR length (L)	32
Modulation	QPSK
FFT Size (N)	256

B. Phase-2

In phase-2, the relay transmits the signal to the destination after employing AAF protocol. The received signal at the destination from the relay without jamming is given by (4). Replacing $Y_{sr}(k)$ with $\hat{Y}_{sr}(k)$ in (4) and expanding it gives us

$$\hat{Y}_{rd}(k) = \sqrt{P_2}H_{rd}(k)(\beta(k)\sqrt{P_1}H_{sr}(k)S(k) + V_{sr}(k) + \sqrt{P_j}H_{rd}(k)J(k) + V_{rd}(k)). \quad (7)$$

The receiver will first remove the jamming signal from $\hat{Y}_{rd}(k)$. Since the jamming signal is known at the destination and CP is discarded in the receiver anyway, the jamming signal does not affect the signal of the legitimate receiver. However, some reflected components of the jamming signal may leak into the actual data and cause a self-interference. In this study, we assume that the receiver is able to cancel that interference by estimating the channel around itself. Afterwards, it employs MRC [10] to combine the resultant signal with the $Y_{sd}(k)$ that it received during phase-1.

Here it is important to highlight that unlike conventional PLS techniques [11], the proposed method does not require channel state information at the transmitter [12]. This renders the proposed approach suitable for scenarios where the transmitter/source node has limited capabilities in terms of computation, channel estimation, etc.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As mentioned earlier, this work considers the presence of a single antenna source, destination, and relay nodes in an urban macro environment. As the ratio between received data and jamming signals depends heavily on the position of the relay, the following pathloss model is used [13]:

$$PL = 22 \log_{10}(d) + 20 \log_{10}(f_c) + 28 + \sigma, \quad (8)$$

where d is the distance between the transceivers in meters, f_c is the carrier frequency in GHz, and σ represents the shadow fading modeled as a zero-mean log-normal distribution. The source and destination nodes are assumed to be 1km apart, with the relay occupying possible positions at multiples of 100m from them. The transmission power of both source and




Fig. 2. BER performance comparison of relay and destination. Relay's performance is seen to be degraded severely with the proposed CP jamming scheme.

relay is selected as 23dBm, which is typical of a cellular user equipment (UE). Each link experiences a slow Rayleigh fading channel, with an exponentially decaying power delay profile containing a channel impulse response (CIR) of $L = 32$ taps, which is also the length of the CP used (unless mentioned otherwise). Perfect synchronization and channel estimation is assumed for all links. Noise power spectral density (PSD), N_0 , is taken to be -174dBm/Hz . The simulation results are averaged over 5000 OFDM blocks, where each block contains 256 Quadrature Phase Shift Keying (QPSK) symbols. A summary of these simulation parameters is provided in Table I.

Figure 2 shows the performance of relay and destination nodes in terms of bit error rate (BER). This is used to represent the *security gap*, which is quantified by the gap in error rates (bit, symbol, packet, etc.) of the legitimate and illegitimate receivers [11]. The horizontal axis represents the position of the relay, where zero is the location of the source itself, while 1 represents the location of the destination. For this simulation, both data and jamming signals are assumed to have the same power levels (23 dBm). The two curves for relay represent the two cases of normal relay operation (without jamming) and with the proposed jamming approach, respectively, while the curves for destination represent its performance in phase-1 (where it only receives the broadcast signal from the source) and when it applies MRC to the two copies received via source and relay. It can be seen that the relay's performance is significantly better than the destination when neither jamming nor combining is performed. This is simply due to the physical closeness of the relay to the source, and the consequent decrease in pathloss. It is shown in [14] that distance-based cooperative protocol selects the relay closest to the destination as the optimal one. Our results also re-iterate this, as the performance of destination after MRC is significantly improved when the relay moves closer to it. The




Fig. 3. Effect of jamming power and CP duration.

proposed algorithm also increases the BER of the untrusted relay at this point, leading to increased security gap.

The interception capability of the eavesdropping relay depends on the jamming signal received. Accordingly, we look at the effect of different power levels of the jamming signals (P_J) as well as its different durations, as shown in Fig. 3. For the former, we have considered three cases, i.e., $P_J = [0.25, 0.5, 1] * P_{Tx}$. It can be seen that variation in P_J has a clearly distinguishable effect on the relay's BER. For instance, when the relay is at the middle of the source and destination nodes, its BER goes from 0.019 to 0.05 as P_J increases from $0.25 * P_{Tx}$ to P_{Tx} . To see the effect of the length of the jamming signal, we looked at three cases where both the length of CP and jamming were varied, i.e., CP ratios of 1/16, 1/8, 1/4 were used (which were kept equal to CIR itself). It is observed that the length of the jamming signal itself has no visible effect on the BER performance. This observation also encourages us to use the minimum possible CP length in the system without compromising on the security performance of the proposed algorithm.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Wireless communication has become exceedingly important in our daily lives. However, one of the major concerns in future networks is the privacy of user data. To this end, this work tries to address the eavesdropping problem caused by untrusted relays. The proposed approach involves the transmission of a jamming signal from the destination in the interval while it receives the CP part of the signal. Unlike the conventional solutions which require jamming signals to be transmitted throughout the broadcast phase, the proposed method only requires the signal to be transmitted for a fraction of it.

It should be noted that even though the current work focuses on the cooperative communication scenario, specifically untrusted relays, the concept presented in this work is much more general and can be applied to the eavesdropping

problem in any OFDM system. Moreover, in such systems the jamming signal may also corrupt the (blind) synchronization attempted by any illegitimate receiver. Further work can also be carried out to optimize the relay placement and jamming power allocation to maximize the security gap in cooperative systems. Moreover, the proposed technique can be used for secure key exchange between the legitimate nodes in the presence of an untrusted relay. Furthermore, the proposed algorithm can also be applied in cases when there is no direct path between source and destination.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported in part by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) under Grant No. 120C142. The work of Haji M. Furqan was supported by HISAR Lab at TUBITAK BILGEM, Gebze, Turkey.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Saeed, A. Bader, T. Y. Al-Naffouri, and M.-S. Alouini, "When wireless communication faces covid-19: Combating the pandemic and saving the economy," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.06637*, 2020.
- [2] H. M. Furqan, M. S. J. Solaija, H. Türkmen, and H. Arslan, "Wireless communication, sensing, and REM: a security perspective," *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, vol. 2, pp. 287–321, 2021.
- [3] Amy Nordum, Kristen Clark and IEEE Spectrum Staff, *Everything You Need to Know About 5G*, accessed Aug. 3, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://spectrum.ieee.org/video/telecom/wireless/everything-you-need-to-know-about-5g>
- [4] A. Nosratinia, T. E. Hunter, and A. Hedayat, "Cooperative communication in wireless networks," *IEEE communications Magazine*, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 74–80, 2004.
- [5] S. Pahuja and P. Jindal, "Cooperative communication in physical layer security: Technologies and challenges," *Wireless Personal Communications*, vol. 108, no. 2, pp. 811–837, 2019.
- [6] F. Jameel, S. Wyne, G. Kaddoum, and T. Q. Duong, "A comprehensive survey on cooperative relaying and jamming strategies for physical layer security," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 2734–2771, 2018.
- [7] R. Zhang, L. Song, Z. Han, and B. Jiao, "Physical layer security for two-way untrusted relaying with friendly jammers," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 61, no. 8, pp. 3693–3704, 2012.
- [8] R. Zhao, X. Tan, D.-H. Chen, Y.-C. He, and Z. Ding, "Secrecy performance of untrusted relay systems with a full-duplex jamming destination," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 67, no. 12, pp. 11 511–11 524, 2018.
- [9] K. R. Liu, A. K. Sadek, W. Su, and A. Kwasinski, *Cooperative communications and networking*. Cambridge university press, 2009.
- [10] B.-S. Seo, S.-G. Choi, and J.-S. Cha, "Maximum ratio combining for OFDM systems with cochannel interference," *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 87–91, 2006.
- [11] J. M. Hamamreh, H. M. Furqan, and H. Arslan, "Classifications and applications of physical layer security techniques for confidentiality: A comprehensive survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 1773–1828, 2018.
- [12] Z. E. Ankarali, M. H. Yilmaz, M. Hafez, and H. Arslan, "Channel independent physical layer security," in *IEEE 17th Annual Wireless and Microwave Technology Conference (WAMICON)*, 2016, pp. 1–5.
- [13] 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), "Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Study on channel model for frequencies from 0.5 to 100 GHz (Rel-16)," Technical Report 38.901, ver 16.1.0, Dec. 2019.
- [14] M. Chen, X. Liang, V. Leung, and I. Balasingham, "Multi-hop mesh cooperative structure based data dissemination for wireless sensor networks," in *11th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology*, vol. 1. IEEE, 2009, pp. 102–106.