Self-consistent Analysis of Doping Effect for Magnetic Ordering in Stacked-Kagome Weyl System
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We theoretically study the carrier doping effect for magnetism in a stacked-kagome system Co$_3$Sn$_2$S$_2$ based on an effective model and the Hartree-Fock method. We show the electron filling and temperature dependences of the magnetic order parameter. The perpendicular ferromagnetic ordering is suppressed by hole doping, whereas undoped Co$_3$Sn$_2$S$_2$ shows magnetic Weyl semimetal state. Additionally, in the electron-doped regime, we find a non-collinear antiferromagnetic ordering. Especially, in the non-collinear antiferromagnetic state, by considering a certain spin-orbit coupling, the finite orbital magnetization and the anomalous Hall conductivity are obtained.

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic kagome-lattice systems such as Mn$_3$Sn [1–5], Fe$_3$Sn$_2$ [6, 7], and Co$_3$Sn$_2$S$_2$ [8–12] (CSS) are attracting a great deal of attentions because of their diverse electronic and magnetic properties. The anomalous Hall effect, originated from the topological gapless points in momentum space called the Weyl points [13–15], is one of the significant transport properties in these materials. Especially, CSS possesses the small Fermi surface with the Weyl points and is called the Weyl semimetal [8]. In addition to the electronic properties, these systems show different magnetic ordering, although they commonly have kagome-lattice layers [16]. Mn$_3$Sn shows a non-collinear antiferromagnetic (AF) arrangement in which the magnetic moments of Mn are oriented at a relative angle of 120° in the kagome plane [1]. Fe$_3$Sn$_2$ shows ferromagnetic (FM) ordering with the in-plane magnetic anisotropy [6, 7]. In CSS, although the ground state shows perpendicular FM ordering [8, 17, 18], recent experiments predict a non-collinear AF arrangement at finite temperature [19–21]. According to the theory of metallic magnetism [22], it has been established that the Fermi surface structure plays an important role for magnetic ordering. Therefore, it is expected that the magnetic ordering is altered by tuning the Fermi level. However, the theoretical investigations for the magnetic ordering with different Fermi levels in stacked-kagome systems are not well achieved.

In this paper, based on the effective model of the magnetic Weyl semimetal CSS [23], we study the magnetic ordering with respect to the experimentally controllable parameters, the filling factor of dopants and temperature. Our results for magnetic ordering are summarized as a schematic picture in Fig. 1. A non-collinear AF ordering appears by electron doping, whereas undoped system shows the perpendicular ferromagnetic Weyl state. As characteristic properties in the non-collinear AF state, the orbital magnetization and the anomalous Hall conductivity become finite by considering a certain spin-orbit coupling.

II. TIGHT-BINDING HAMILTONIAN AND HARTREE-FOCK MEAN-FIELD FORMALISM

First, we briefly introduce the effective model of CSS. In our previous study [23], we constructed an effective two-orbital model of CSS, by considering few orbitals. This model reproduces the electronic band structure which is similar to that obtained by first-principles calculations [8, 9]. Figure 2(a) shows the original crystal structure of CSS. The stacked kagome layers consist of Co and sandwich two types of triangle layers which consist of Sn and S, respectively. In the effective model, one d orbital from Co forming kagome layers and p orbital from interlayer Sn are extracted as a dashed box in Fig. 2(a) shows. All other orbitals are neglected in the following for simplicity. The primitive translation vectors are $\mathbf{a}_1 = (\frac{a}{2}, 0, c)$, $\mathbf{a}_2 = (\frac{a}{4}, \frac{\sqrt{3}a}{4}, c)$, $\mathbf{a}_3 = (\frac{a}{4}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}a}{4}, c)$. In the following we set $c = \frac{a\sqrt{3}}{2}$ for simplicity. The hopping

FIG. 1. Possible phases in doped Co$_3$Sn$_2$S$_2$. In undoped Co$_3$Sn$_2$S$_2$, Weyl semimetal phase with perpendicular ferromagnetic ordering appears. In hole-doped Co$_3$Sn$_2$S$_2$, the ferromagnetic ordering is suppressed and the system becomes paramagnetic. In electron-doped Co$_3$Sn$_2$S$_2$, a non-collinear antiferromagnetic ordering appears.
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term of this model is given by,
\[ H_0 = H_{d-p} + H_{KM}. \]  
(1)

\( H_{d-p} \) is the spin independent hopping term, \( H_{KM} \) is the spin-orbit coupling term. First, we explain \( H_{d-p} \),
\[ H_{d-p} = -\sum_{ij\sigma} \{ t_{ij} d_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{j\sigma} + t_{ij}^{dp} (d_{i\sigma}^\dagger p_{j\sigma} + p_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{j\sigma}) \} + \epsilon_p \sum_{i\sigma} p_{i\sigma}. \]  
(2)
d_{i\sigma} and \( p_{i\sigma} \) are the annihilation operators of \( d \) orbital on the kagome lattice and \( p \) orbital on the triangle lattice, respectively. \( t_{ij} \) includes the first and second-nearest neighbor hopping, \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), in the intra-kagome layer, inter-kagome layer hopping \( t_z \). \( t_{ij}^{dp} \) indicates \( dp \) hybridization between \( d \) orbital of \( Co \) and \( p \) orbital of \( Sn \). \( \epsilon_p \) is the on-site potential of \( p \) orbital on \( Sn \). \( H_{KM} \) describes the Kane-Mele type SOC term \([24][25]\) on the intra kagome layer given as follows,
\[ H_{KM} = -it_{KM} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma \sigma'} \nu_{ij} \cdot \frac{d_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{j\sigma}^\dagger}{\sigma' \sigma} d_{j\sigma'}. \]  
(3)
t_{KM} is the hopping strength and the summation \( \langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle \) is about intra layer second-nearest-neighbor sites. The sign is \( \nu_{ij} = +1(-1) \), when the electron moves counterclockwise (clockwise) to get to the second-nearest-neighbor site on the kagome plane\([24][25]\). Spin-orbit coupling plays a role to obtain the Weyl points\([3][23]\).

Next, we construct the mean-field Hamiltonian by using the Hartree-Fock approximation. In order to discuss the itinerant magnetism due to the electron correlation, we introduce the on-site Coulomb interaction term. The on-site Coulomb interaction terms for \( d \) orbital \( H_{dd}^U \) and \( p \) orbital \( H_{pp}^U \) are respectively given by,
\[ H_{dd}^U = U_{dd} \sum_i \sum_\alpha d_{i\alpha\uparrow}^\dagger d_{i\alpha\downarrow} d_{i\alpha\downarrow}^\dagger d_{i\alpha\uparrow}, \]  
(4)
\[ H_{pp}^U = U_{pp} \sum_i p_{i\uparrow}^\dagger p_{i\downarrow} p_{i\downarrow}^\dagger p_{i\uparrow}. \]  
(5)
\( U_{dd} \) and \( U_{pp} \) are the bare on-site Coulomb interaction strengths of \( d \) orbital on \( Co \) and of \( p \) orbital on \( Sn \), respectively. \( i \) and \( \alpha \) = A, B, or C indicate the position of the unit cell and the sublattice index of \( Co \), respectively. We assume that the fluctuation of the magnetic moment is small. Thus we introduce the Hartree-Fock approximation \( H_{dd}^{HF} \sim H_{dd}^U \), \( H_{pp}^{HF} \sim H_{pp}^U \) for the two-body operators in Eq. \((4)\) and Eq. \((5)\) as,
\[ H_{dd}^{HF} = U_{dd} \sum_{i\alpha} \left[ (n_{i\alpha\uparrow}) n_{i\alpha\downarrow} + \langle n_{i\alpha\downarrow} \rangle n_{i\alpha\uparrow} - \langle n_{i\alpha\uparrow} \rangle \langle n_{i\alpha\downarrow} \rangle \right], \]  
\[ - \langle d_{i\alpha\uparrow}^\dagger d_{i\alpha\downarrow} \rangle d_{i\alpha\downarrow}^\dagger d_{i\alpha\downarrow} - \langle d_{i\alpha\downarrow}^\dagger d_{i\alpha\uparrow} \rangle d_{i\alpha\uparrow}^\dagger d_{i\alpha\downarrow} + \langle d_{i\alpha\uparrow}^\dagger d_{i\alpha\downarrow} \rangle (d_{i\alpha\downarrow}^\dagger d_{i\alpha\uparrow}), \]  
(6)
\[ H_{pp}^{HF} = U_{pp} \sum_i \left[ (n_{i\uparrow}) n_{i\downarrow} + \langle n_{i\downarrow} \rangle n_{i\uparrow} - \langle n_{i\uparrow} \rangle \langle n_{i\downarrow} \rangle \right]. \]  
(7)
n_{i\alpha} = d_{i\alpha\sigma}^\dagger d_{i\alpha\sigma} \text{ and } n_{i\alpha\uparrow} = \sigma = p_{i\alpha\sigma} \text{ are the particle number operators of } Co \text{ and } Sn \text{ with spin } \sigma \text{ on ith unit cell, respectively. We neglect the in-plane component of magnetization on Sn site for simplicity. The total mean-field Hamiltonian } H_{MF} \text{ is given by,}
\[ H_{MF} = H_0 + H_{dd}^{HF} + H_{pp}^{HF}. \]  
(8)
We assume that the translational symmetry of the crystal structure remains even in the magnetically ordered phase. The mean-field Hamiltonian in momentum space can be obtained by using the Fourier transformation \( d_{i\alpha\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k e^{ikR} d_{k\alpha\sigma}, p_{i\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k e^{ikR} p_{k\sigma} \). Here \( k \) is the crystal momentum and \( N \) is the number of unit cells. The Bloch Hamiltonian matrix \( H_{MF}(k) \) can be written in the form, \( H_{MF} = \sum_{k} \epsilon_{k} C_{k}^\dagger H_{MF}(k) C_{k} \), where \( C_{k}^\dagger = (d_{kA\sigma\uparrow}^\dagger, d_{kB\sigma\downarrow}^\dagger, d_{kC\sigma\uparrow}^\dagger, p_{k\sigma}^\dagger) \) and \( H_{MF}(k) \) is given by \( 8 \times 8 \) matrix,
\[ H_{MF}(k) = H_0(k) + H_{exc} + H_E, \]  
(9)
in momentum space. \( H_{exc} \) is the exchange term which describes coupling between the mean-field parameter and spins of electrons as,
\[ H_{exc} = -\frac{U}{2} \text{diag} [\sigma \cdot \langle m_A \rangle, \sigma \cdot \langle m_B \rangle, \sigma \cdot \langle m_C \rangle, \sigma_z \langle m_Z \rangle]. \]  
(10)
\( \sigma \) is the vector of Pauli matrices which corresponds to the spin of electron. \( \langle m_A \rangle \) and \( \langle m_Z \rangle \) are the mean-field parameters on the \( A \) sublattice of \( Co \) and \( Sn \), respectively. In this mean-field Hamiltonian Eq. \((10)\), the \( z \)-component of magnetization and particle number on each site are computed as \( \langle m_A^z \rangle = \langle n_{1\uparrow} \rangle - \langle n_{1\downarrow} \rangle, \langle m_B^z \rangle = \langle n_{2\uparrow} \rangle + \langle n_{2\downarrow} \rangle \). Here, we use the simplified sublattice index as \( \gamma \in \alpha, S \), and \( \langle n_{\gamma \sigma} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\lambda, k} \langle \lambda, k | P_{\gamma \sigma}^\dagger | \lambda, k \rangle f(E_{\lambda k} - \mu) \). In-plane components can be obtained as, \( \langle m_A^\gamma \rangle = 2 \text{Re} \langle d_{\alpha\gamma}^\dagger d_{\alpha\gamma} \rangle \), \( \langle m_B^\gamma \rangle = 2 \text{Im} \langle d_{\alpha\gamma}^\dagger d_{\alpha\gamma} \rangle \), where \( \langle d_{\alpha\gamma}^\dagger d_{\alpha\gamma} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\lambda, k} \langle \lambda, k | P_{\gamma \sigma} | \lambda, k \rangle f(E_{\lambda k} - \mu) \). \( f(E_{\lambda k}) \) is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function. \( \mu \) is the chemical potential and discussed in detail in the next section. \( P_{\gamma} \) is the projection operators for \( \gamma \) site with spin \( \sigma \). \( \sigma^+ \) is given by \( \sigma^+ = \sigma_x + i\sigma_y \). Third term \( H_E \) is given by,
\[ H_E = \frac{U_{dd}}{4} \text{diag} \{ E_A, E_B, E_C, 0 \} + \frac{U_{pp}}{4} \text{diag} \{ 0, 0, 0, E_S \} + \frac{U_{dd}}{2} \text{diag} \{ \langle n_A \rangle, \langle n_B \rangle, \langle n_C \rangle, 0 \} + \frac{U_{pp}}{2} \text{diag} \{ 0, 0, 0, \langle n_S \rangle \} \]  
(11)
\( E_A = \langle m_A \rangle^2 - \langle n_A \rangle^2 \) and \( E_S = \langle m_Z \rangle^2 - \langle n_S \rangle^2 \). For each \( k \), the Bloch state is given as an eight component vector \( | \lambda, k \rangle \), where \( \lambda \) is the band index. \( E_{\lambda k} \) is the eigenvalue of \( | \lambda, k \rangle \). The eigenvector \( | \lambda, k \rangle \) and order parameters
(a) Crystal structure of Co$_3$Sn$_2$S$_2$. Co forms the kagome lattice network and sandwiches two layers of triangle lattice formed by Sn and S, respectively. (b) Anticipated electronic structures of undoped Co$_3$Sn$_2$S$_2$. A dashed box indicates the limited orbitals in our effective model. The total electron number per unit cell is $n_e = 3$. (c) Electronic structure when the total electron number is $n_e = 2$. In experimental situation, one hole is doped by substituting Co with Fe, in each unit cell. (d) Electronic structure when the total electron number per unit cell is $n_e = 4$. In experimental situation, one electron is doped by substituting Co with Ni, in each unit cell.

$\langle m_\alpha \rangle$ can be obtained by diagonalizing $\mathcal{H}_{\text{MF}}(k)$ so that the Eq. (9) should be calculated self-consistently. In the following, we set $t_1$ as a unit of energy, $t_2 = 0.6t_1$, $t_{dp} = 2.35t_1$, $t_s = -1.2t_1$, $\epsilon_p = -8.5t_1$, $t_{KM} = 0.2t_1$, $U_{dd} = 7.0t_1$, and $U_{pp} = 5.5t_1$. These parameters are chosen to fit the band structure to the result obtained by first-principles calculations\[8, 26, 27\].

III. CONDITION OF TOTAL NUMBER OF ELECTRONS IN UNIT CELL

Next, we discuss the chemical potential in our theoretical model. In the following, we assume that the doping effect is considered as only a change of the number of electron per unit cell, and the randomness due to the impurities is neglected. As mentioned in the previous section, we extracted one orbital from five $d$ orbitals of each Co and one orbital from $p$ orbitals of interlayer Sn, and neglected all other orbitals as shown in Fig. 2(a). Therefore, the unit cell has $(3+1) \times 2 = 8$ states including the spin degrees of freedom in our model. To determine $\mu$ appropriately, we discuss the electronic orbital configurations in the doped CSS. As discussed in our previous paper\[23\], in the undoped CSS, we assume that one of three sites of Co is occupied by one electron, and interlayer Sn site is occupied by two electrons. Thus the total number of electrons in limited orbitals is $n_e = 3$ per unit cell as shown in Fig. 2(a). This configuration is consistent with the magnetization per unit cell $m_z \sim 1.0$ as obtained by experiment\[8\]. In this work, we study the doping effect to the undoped CSS. To clearly characterize the filling factor of dopants, we use $\Delta n_e$ as the deviation from $n_e = 3$ in the following results. Therefore, $n_e = 3$ is equivalent to $\Delta n_e = 0$. When one Co in each unit cell is substituted with one Fe, the anticipated electronic orbital configuration is shown in Fig. 2(c). This case is consistent with the magnetization per unit cell $m_z \sim 1.0$ as obtained by experiment\[8\]. In this work, we study the doping effect to the undoped CSS. To clearly characterize the filling factor of dopants, we use $\Delta n_e$ as the deviation from $n_e = 3$ in the following results. Therefore, $n_e = 3$ is equivalent to $\Delta n_e = 0$. When one Co in each unit cell is substituted with one Fe, the anticipated electronic orbital configuration is shown in Fig. 2(c). This is because one electron at the Co orbital is expected to move to the Sn orbital, which is assumed to be energetically low. On the other hand, when one of Co site in each unit cell is substituted with one Ni, the anticipated electronic orbital configuration is shown in Fig. 2(d). In this case, the total number of electrons per unit cell is $n_e = 4$ so $\Delta n_e = +1$. The chemical potential $\mu$ is numerically
determined to satisfy the following equation,

\[ n_e = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) f(\epsilon - \mu, T). \]  

(12)

Here, \( \rho(\epsilon) \) is the density of states per unit cell, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is temperature. According to the above argument, we can determine the chemical potential \( \mu \) using the Eq. (12).

**IV. MAGNETIC ORDERING**

Next, we investigate the magnetic ordering with respect to the filling factor of dopants \( \Delta n_e \) and temperature \( T \). In Fig. 3, the \( \Delta n_e-T \) dependence of (a) the \( z \) component of magnetization \( m^z = \sum_{\gamma} \langle m_{\gamma}^z \rangle \), (b) the in-plane component of magnetization \( m_{//} = \sum_{\alpha} \sqrt{\langle m_{\alpha}^z \rangle^2 + \langle m_{\alpha}^y \rangle^2} \) (\( \alpha = A, B, \) and \( C \)), (c) the \( z \) component of the vector spin chirality \( (K) = (S_A \times S_B + S_B \times S_C + S_C \times S_A)^z \) are shown. Additionally, in Fig. 3 the band structure and the density of states at (d) \( \Delta n_e = -1 \), (e) \( \Delta n_e = 0 \), and (f) \( \Delta n_e = +1 \) are shown. First, we study the FM ordering with the perpendicular anisotropy in undoped CSS (\( \Delta n_e = 0 \)). Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show, at low temperature, \( m^z \sim 0.9 \) and \( m_{//} \sim 0 \) in undoped case (\( \Delta n_e = 0 \)), indicating FM ordering with the perpendicular anisotropy. The value \( m^z \sim 0.9 \) is consistent with results obtained by first-principles calculations[8] and experiment[8, 29]. We find the critical temperature in undoped case being \( T_0 = 0.4t_1 / k_B \). The band structure and the density of states in undoped case (\( \Delta n_e = 0 \)) obtained by the Hartree-Fock method are shown in Fig. 3(c). We set \( k_B T / t_1 = 0.01 \). \( E_1 / t_1 = 0 \) is set as the chemical potential \( \mu \) obtained by Eq. (12). We do not depict the lower two bands because

FIG. 3. Color maps for (a) \( z \) component of magnetization \( m^z \) per unit cell in units of \( \mu_B \), (b) in-plane component of magnetization and (c) \( z \) component of vector spin chirality with respect to the filling factor of dopants and temperature. In case \( \Delta n_e \sim 0.0 \), (a) ferromagnetic ordering with \( m^z \sim 0.9 \) appears. \( m^z \) decreases as \( \Delta n_e \) deviates from \( \Delta n_e \sim 0 \). When \( \Delta n_e \sim +1.0 \), (a) \( z \) component of magnetization diminishes, while (b) in-plane component of magnetization and (c) \( z \) component of the vector spin chirality become finite, indicating non-collinear antiferromagnetic state. Electronic band structures of (d) paramagnetic state, (e) perpendicular ferromagnetic state and (f) non-collinear antiferromagnetic state obtained by the Hartree-Fock method. In (d) \( \Delta n_e = -1 \), system is paramagnetic and the chemical potential is close to the band gap. In (e) \( \Delta n_e = -1 \), system is ferromagnetic, the chemical potential is located near the local minimum of the spin majority band, corresponding to the Weyl points, and near the gap of the spin minority band. In (f) non-collinear antiferromagnetic state, the electronic band dispersion around the L point remains almost unchanged, comparing to that in ferromagnetic state.
they are energetically apart from $\mu$. As the right panel of Fig. 3(e) shows, near $\mu$, the spin up band has a relatively small density of states corresponding to the Weyl points. Whereas the spin down band is close to the band gap. This describes the spin-polarized Weyl semimetalic state in undoped CSS.

Next, we show the suppression of the FM ordering in the hole-doped regime. Figure 3(a) shows that the FM transition temperature decreases when $\Delta n_e < 0$. This suppression of FM ordering by hole-doping is consistent with experiment in $\text{Co}_3-x\text{Fe}_x\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_2$ [30-33] and first-principles calculations and experiment for $\text{Co}_3\text{In}_x\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_2$ [27, 34]. The non-magnetic band structure and the density of states in the hole-doped CSS when $\Delta n_e = -1$ are shown in Fig. 3(d). In this situation, $\mu$ is close to the band gap, indicating a paramagnetic state with small carriers.

Then, we study the electron-doped regime. This situation could be realized experimentally in $\text{Co}_3-x\text{Ni}_x\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_2$ [35, 36]. As shown in Fig. 3(a), $\nu_z$ decreases as $\Delta n_e$ increases from $\Delta n_e = 0$. As Fig. 3(c) shows, $\nu_z$ component of vector spin chirality becomes positive as $\Delta n_e$ increases, while $\nu_z$ vanishes. Especially, when $\Delta n_e = +1$, we find that the spin configuration becomes as $m_A = m(1, 0, 0)$, $m_B = m(\cos(2\pi/3), \sin(2\pi/3), 0)$, $m_C = m(\cos(4\pi/3), \sin(4\pi/3), 0)$, where $m \sim 0.5\mu_B$. These results indicate that the non-collinear AF ordering appears within the restricted order parameter space of our model. In Fig. 3(f), the electronic band structure and the density of states in the non-collinear AF state are shown. Around the L point, the band dispersion near $\mu$ remains almost unchanged from that in FM state [Fig. 3(e)]. In Fig. 3(c), the non-collinear AF ordering sustains up to $T/T_0 \sim 2.3$. However, we note that the magnetic transition temperature is overestimated due to the use of Hartree-Fock method [37]. On the other hand, at low temperature the appearance of magnetic ordering is reliable.

V. ORBITAL MAGNETIZATION IN ANTIFERROMAGNETIC STATE

In the previous section, we showed that the non-collinear AF ordering appears in the electron-doped regime. Here, we discuss the orbital magnetization and the anomalous Hall conductivity, characterizing the non-collinear AF state. Considering a certain additional SOC, the orbital magnetization and the anomalous Hall conductivity become finite in the non-collinear AF state. We note that, by considering only the intralayer Kane-Mele SOC given by Eq. (5), both of these values vanish. As an additional interaction, we introduce the interlayer Kane-Mele type SOC due to the honeycomb structure.

$$H_{\text{KM}}^{\text{z}} = -it_{\text{KM}} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma \sigma'} \eta_{ij} \cdot d_i^{\dagger} \sigma \sigma' d_j \sigma'. \quad (13)$$

Here, $\eta_{ij}$ are given by $\eta_{CA} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \times \frac{\hbar}{2}$, $\eta_{AB} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \times \frac{\hbar}{2}$, and $\eta_{BC} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \times \frac{\hbar}{3}$. Although the magnetic ordering remains mostly unchanged by this additional SOC Eq. (13), this term makes the orbital magnetization and the ANC finite in non-collinear AF state.

We study the spin-momentum angle dependencies of the orbital magnetization. The orbital magnetization can be obtained by the formula [13, 38-40],

$$M_{\alpha}^{\text{orb}} = \frac{e}{2\hbar} \sum_{\lambda} \int_{BZ} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \langle \lambda | H_{\text{KM}}^{\text{z}} | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | H_{\text{KM}}^{\text{z}} | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | \partial \psi | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | \partial \psi | \lambda \rangle \sum_{\lambda' \neq \lambda} (E_{\lambda' \lambda} - E_{\lambda \lambda})^2 \times (E_{\lambda' \lambda} + E_{\lambda \lambda} - 2E_F). \quad (14)$$

Here, $\psi_i (i = x, y, z)$ is the velocity operator given by $v_i = \frac{\partial}{\hbar}$. The eigenstates $|\lambda, \alpha\rangle$ are obtained by diagonalizing $H_{\text{KM}}^{(0)} + H_{\text{exc}}$ with Eq. (13). Figure 4(a) shows $M_{z}^{\text{orb}}$ as a function of the angle of magnetic moment on kagome lattice for $t_{\text{KM}} = 0$, $0.1t_0$, and $0.2t_0$. Each magnetic moment is rotated with an equivalent relative angle as shown in an inset of Fig. 4(a). $E_F$ in Eq. (14) is obtained by $\Delta n_e = +1$ condition and the magnetic order parameters on each site are obtained by Hartree-Fock method. $M_{z}^{\text{orb}}$ is finite and changes like a $\cos \theta$ function. We note that $M_{x}^{\text{orb}} = M_{y}^{\text{orb}} = 0$. These results indicate that our model in the non-collinear AF state...
state shows a finite orbital magnetization although the net magnetization vanishes. The direction of the spin moments can be changed by an external magnetic field as similarly discussed in Ref. [11]. In the presence of an external magnetic field pointing the z direction $B_z$, the orbital magnetization $M_{\text{orb}}^z$ couples as $-M_{\text{orb}}^z B_z$. When the external magnetic field points $+z$ direction, the spin angle $\theta = 0$ is energetically favored. On the other hand, when the external magnetic field points $-z$ direction, the spin angle $\theta = \pi$ is energetically favored.

The change of the spin direction is related to the AHE. The intrinsic AHC $\sigma_{xy}$ can be calculated by the formula given by,

$$\sigma_{xy} = e^2 \hbar \sum_{\lambda} \int_{BZ} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} f_{\lambda k} \times \text{Im} \sum_{\lambda'} \langle \lambda, k|v_x|\lambda', k\rangle \langle \lambda', k|v_y|\lambda, k\rangle (E_{\lambda'k} - E_{\lambda k})^2. \quad (15)$$

As shown in Fig. 1(b), the angle dependence of the AHC is similar to that of the orbital magnetization in Fig. 1(a). Therefore, the sign of the AHC changes when the direction of spin moments is changed by an external magnetic field. Although the AHC in $\Delta n_e = +1$ is smaller than that in ferromagnetic Weyl state ($\Delta n_e = 0$) [23], the change of the direction of spin moments in non-collinear AF state might be detected by applying a uniform magnetic field.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we investigated the magnetic ordering in an effective model of stacked-kagome lattice system CSS, based on the Hartree-Fock method. We showed the suppression of the perpendicular ferromagnetic ordering by hole doping. Non-collinear AF phase appears in electron-doped regimes and possesses finite orbital magnetization and the AHC by considering the interlayer SOC.
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