

L^p -bounds for eigenfunctions of analytic non-self-adjoint operators with double characteristics

Francis White

University of California Los Angeles

Abstract

We prove sharp uniform L^p -bounds for low-lying eigenfunctions of non-self-adjoint semiclassical pseudodifferential operators P on \mathbb{R}^n whose principal symbols are doubly-characteristic at the origin of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Our bounds hold under two main assumptions on P : (1) the total symbol of P extends holomorphically to a tubular neighborhood of \mathbb{R}^{2n} in \mathbb{C}^{2n} , and (2) the quadratic approximation to the principal symbol of P at the origin is elliptic along its singular space. Most notably, our assumptions on the quadratic approximation are less restrictive than those made in prior works, and our main theorem improves the already known results in the case when the symbol of P is analytic.

Contents

1	Introduction and Statement of Results	1
2	Microlocalization of the Ground States	13
3	Dynamical Bounds on the FBI Transform Side	23
4	The Conclusion of the Proof of Theorem 1.1	35

1 Introduction and Statement of Results

This paper is inspired by the recent progress [22] in the understanding of L^p -norms of low-lying eigenfunctions of semiclassical pseudodifferential operators with double characteristics. More precisely, we are interested in eigenfunctions of semiclassical pseudodifferential operators on \mathbb{R}^n of the form

$$P = \text{Op}_h^w(p_0 + hp_1), \quad 0 < h \leq 1, \quad (1.1)$$

where $p_0, p_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ belong to a symbol class that we shall specify shortly. Here $0 < h \leq 1$ is a semiclassical parameter, and $\text{Op}_h^w(a)$ denotes the semiclassical Weyl quantization of a symbol a on \mathbb{R}^{2n} , which is defined formally by

$$\text{Op}_h^w(a) u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi; h\right) u(y) dy d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.2)$$

for $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For background concerning semiclassical Weyl quantization on \mathbb{R}^n , we refer to the texts [41] and [9]. We make the following assumptions regarding the leading symbol p_0 :

1. p_0 is h -independent,
2. p_0 has non-negative real part,

$$\operatorname{Re} p_0 \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad (1.3)$$

with

$$(\operatorname{Re} p_0)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}, \quad (1.4)$$

and

3. $\operatorname{Im} p_0$ vanishes to second order at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, i.e.

$$\operatorname{Im} p_0(0) = 0 \text{ and } \nabla(\operatorname{Im} p_0)(0) = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Note that (1.3) and (1.4) imply that

$$\nabla(\operatorname{Re} p_0)(0) = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

and hence p_0 vanishes to second order at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$,

$$p_0(0) = 0 \text{ and } \nabla p_0(0) = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

We summarize (1.7) by saying that p_0 is *doubly characteristic* at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. To state our assumptions concerning the growth of p_0 and p_1 at infinity, we first recall that an *order function* on \mathbb{R}^{2n} is a Lebesgue measurable function $m : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\exists C > 0, \exists N > 0 : m(X) \leq C \langle X - Y \rangle^N m(Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}. \quad (1.8)$$

Here $\langle X \rangle = (1 + |X|^2)^{1/2}$ denotes the Japanese bracket of $X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. For any order function m on \mathbb{R}^{2n} , we have the symbol class $S(m)$ consisting of all $a : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$a(\cdot; h) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), \quad 0 < h \leq 1, \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}, \exists C > 0 : |\partial_X^\alpha a(X; h)| \leq C m(X), \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad 0 < h \leq 1. \quad (1.10)$$

Regarding the symbols p_0 and p_1 , we assume that there is an order function m on \mathbb{R}^{2n} with

$$m \in S(m) \text{ and } m \geq 1 \quad (1.11)$$

such that

$$p_0, p_1 \in S(m). \quad (1.12)$$

We also assume that $\operatorname{Re} p_0$ is elliptic at infinity in the sense that

$$\operatorname{Re} p_0(X) \geq \frac{1}{C} m(X), \quad |X| \geq C, \quad (1.13)$$

for some $C > 0$.

Operators of the form (1.1) with p_0 and p_1 satisfying the above assumptions include, for example, Schrödinger operators on \mathbb{R}^n with complex potentials. Other examples of such semiclassical operators may be found in areas of mathematical physics such as fluid dynamics, superconductivity, and kinetic theory. See, for instance, [1], [8], and [11]. In many applications, the operator P arises as an unbounded operator $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and one is interested in determining the spectrum of P in the semiclassical limit as $h \rightarrow 0^+$. We may realize P as an unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the domain

$$H_h(m) := \operatorname{Op}_h^w(m)^{-1} (L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad (1.14)$$

for $h > 0$ sufficiently small. When equipped with the domain (1.14), the operator P becomes a closed and densely defined operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Concerning the spectrum of P , the assumption (1.13) that $\operatorname{Re} p_0$ is elliptic at infinity implies that there is $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Spec}(P) \cap D(0, C) \text{ is discrete and consists of eigenvalues} \\ \text{of finite algebraic multiplicity for every } 0 < h \leq h_0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

Here $D(0, r)$ denotes the open disc of radius r in r centered at the origin 0. For a proof of (1.15), see Section 3 of [10]. The eigenvalues $\lambda(h)$ of P that lie in a disc of the form $D(0, Ch)$ for some $C > 0$ are known as *low-lying eigenvalues* of P . Thanks to the works [29], [5], [12], and [15], complete asymptotic expansions for the low-lying eigenvalues of P are known when Weyl symbol of P admits an asymptotic expansion in the class $S(m)$, i.e. there exists a sequence of h -independent symbols $p_{1,j} \in S(m)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$p_1 \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^j p_{1,j} \text{ in } S(m), \quad (1.16)$$

and the quadratic approximation to p_0 at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$,

$$q(X) = \frac{1}{2} p_0''(0) X \cdot X, \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad (1.17)$$

satisfies suitable partial ellipticity hypotheses. Note that the sign assumption (1.3) implies that the complex-valued quadratic form q defined by (1.17) has non-negative real part,

$$\operatorname{Re} q \geq 0. \quad (1.18)$$

In this paper, we are primarily interested in the eigenfunctions that correspond to low-lying eigenvalues of P . A *low-lying eigenfunction* of P is a family $u = u(h) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 < h \leq 1$, such that

$$\begin{cases} Pu(h) = z(h)u(h), \\ \|u(h)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.19)$$

where $z(h) \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$z(h) = \mathcal{O}(h), \quad h \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (1.20)$$

When the operator P is non-self-adjoint, little is known about the low-lying eigenfunctions of P . In particular, relationship between the quadratic form q and properties of the low-lying eigenfunctions of P is not well-understood. In this paper, our objective shall be to establish L^p -bounds for low-lying eigenfunctions of P under minimal partial ellipticity assumptions on q .

Remark 1. We remark the study of L^p -bounds of eigenfunctions of self-adjoint semiclassical pseudodifferential operators is well-established and has a long history. For more information, we refer to the works [21], [20], and the references therein.

To the best of our knowledge, the first and only work to undertake a study of L^p -bounds for low-lying eigenfunctions of non-self-adjoint semiclassical pseudodifferential operators of the above type has been the work [22], which showed, under the assumption that $\operatorname{Re} q$ is positive-definite, that any low-lying eigenfunction u of P satisfies the bound

$$\|u(h)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \mathcal{O}(1)h^{\frac{n}{2p}-\frac{n}{4}}, \quad h \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (1.21)$$

for p in the range $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. In particular, the bound (1.21) is saturated by the eigenfunctions of the semiclassical harmonic oscillator on \mathbb{R}^n , and thus the bounds (1.21) are sharp within the class of operators considered in [22].

In the present work, we will show that the bounds (1.21) also hold in cases where $\operatorname{Re} q$ need not be positive-definite, provided we assume in addition that p_0 and p_1 admit suitable holomorphic extensions to a tubular neighborhood of \mathbb{R}^{2n} in \mathbb{C}^{2n} . This kind of result is of interest because in many physical applications the real quadratic form $\operatorname{Re} q$ does indeed fail to be positive-definite. Such a situation arises in, for example, the study of operators Kramers-Fokker-Planck type in kinetic theory. For more information, see e.g. [12]. Actually, our main result will be somewhat stronger than what we have just described. Namely, we will show that the low-lying eigenfunctions of semiclassical operators of the kind we consider satisfy (1.21) for p in the entire range $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Thus our main result improves that of [22] in the case when $\operatorname{Re} q$ is positive-definite and p_0 and p_1 belong to a suitable holomorphic symbol class.

In the work [15], asymptotic expansions for the low-lying eigenvalues of P were established under the assumption that the quadratic approximation q to p_0 at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is elliptic only along a certain, distinguished, subspace of \mathbb{R}^{2n} , known as the *singular space* of q . In the present work, we aim to establish L^p -bounds for low-lying eigenfunctions of P under this very same partial ellipticity assumption on q . In order to state our main result, we pause to recall the notion of the singular space of a complex-valued quadratic form q on \mathbb{R}^{2n} with non-negative real part $\operatorname{Re} q \geq 0$.

Let \mathbb{R}^{2n} be equipped with the standard symplectic form

$$\sigma((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) = \xi \cdot y - x \cdot \eta, \quad (x, \xi), (y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}. \quad (1.22)$$

Suppose $q : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a complex-valued quadratic form with non-negative real part $\operatorname{Re} q \geq 0$. Let $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the symmetric \mathbb{C} -bilinear polarization of q . Because σ is non-degenerate, there is a unique $F \in \operatorname{Mat}_{2n \times 2n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$q((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) = \sigma((x, \xi), F(y, \eta)), \quad (x, \xi), (y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

This matrix F is called the *Hamilton map* or *Hamilton matrix of q* (see Section 21.5 of [19]). The *singular space S of q* is defined as the following finite intersection of kernels:

$$S = \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{2n-1} \ker [(\operatorname{Re} F)(\operatorname{Im} F)^j] \right) \cap \mathbb{R}^{2n}. \quad (1.23)$$

The singular space was first introduced by M. Hitrik and K. Pravda-Starov in [13] where it arose naturally in the study of spectra and semi-group smoothing properties for non-self adjoint quadratic differential operators. The concept of the singular space has since been shown to play a key role in the understanding of hypoelliptic and spectral properties of non-elliptic quadratic differential operators. See for instance [14], [15], [25], [26], [36], and [37]. The notion of the singular space is also crucial for the description of the propagation of global microlocal singularities for Schrödinger equations on \mathbb{R}^n with quadratic Hamiltonians. The interested reader may consult [28], [38], [27], [6], and [40], as well as [2], [3], and [39].

Next, we recall the definition of the holomorphic symbol class $S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$. If m is an order function on \mathbb{R}^{2n} and W is a bounded open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, we may extend m to a function \tilde{m} on the tubular neighborhood $\mathbb{R}^{2n} + W$ of \mathbb{R}^{2n} in \mathbb{C}^{2n} by setting

$$\tilde{m}(Z) = m(\operatorname{Re}(Z)), \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} + W. \quad (1.24)$$

We define $S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$ as the set of all $a : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which there is a bounded open neighborhood W of 0 in \mathbb{C}^{2n} and a function $\tilde{a} : (\mathbb{R}^{2n} + W) \times (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extending a such that

$$\tilde{a}(\cdot; h) \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{R}^{2n} + W), \quad 0 < h \leq 1, \quad (1.25)$$

and

$$\exists C > 0 : |\tilde{a}(Z; h)| \leq C\tilde{m}(Z), \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} + W, \quad 0 < h \leq 1. \quad (1.26)$$

Note that by Cauchy's inequalities, the derivatives of symbols in $S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$ are controlled by \tilde{m} in any strictly smaller tubular neighborhood of \mathbb{R}^{2n} as well: if $a \in S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$, then for any open $\tilde{W} \subset\subset W$ we have

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}, \exists C = C(\alpha) > 0 : |\partial_Z^\alpha \tilde{a}(Z; h)| \leq C\tilde{m}(Z), \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} + \tilde{W}, \quad 0 < h \leq 1, \quad (1.27)$$

where \tilde{a} is the holomorphic extension of a and $\partial_Z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\operatorname{Re} Z} - i\partial_{\operatorname{Im} Z})$. For additional background concerning the holomorphic symbol class $S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$, see Section 12.3 of [35].

The following theorem is the main result of this work, which establishes L^p bounds for low-lying eigenfunctions of the operator P defined in (1.1) under the assumption that $p_0, p_1 \in S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$ and that the quadratic form q introduced in (1.17) is elliptic along its singular space S , i.e.

$$q(X) = 0, \quad X \in S \implies X = 0. \quad (1.28)$$

In formulating our theorem, we shall be equivalently concerned with L^2 -normalized solutions $u = u(h)$ of an equation of the form $Pu = 0$. In the sequel, we shall refer to a family $u = u(h) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} Pu = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.29)$$

for all $0 < h \leq 1$, as a *ground state* for the operator P .

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $P = \text{Op}_h^w(p_0 + hp_1)$, where $p_0, p_1 \in S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$ for an order function m on \mathbb{R}^{2n} satisfying (1.11). Assume that p_0 is h -independent and satisfies (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), and (1.13). Assume, in addition, that the quadratic approximation q to p_0 at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, defined in (1.17), is elliptic along its singular space S in the sense (1.28). If $u = u(h) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that*

$$\begin{cases} Pu = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 1, \\ \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.30)$$

for all $0 < h \leq 1$, then there exists $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \mathcal{O}(1)h^{\frac{n}{2p} - \frac{n}{4}}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (1.31)$$

for all $0 < h \leq h_0$.

Example 1. As noted in [22], the bounds (1.31) are saturated by the L^2 -normalized eigenfunctions of the quantum harmonic oscillator on \mathbb{R}^n . Let

$$P = -h^2\Delta + |x|^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1.32)$$

The operator P , when viewed as an unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(P) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), |\alpha + \beta| \leq 2 \right\}, \quad (1.33)$$

is self-adjoint and has a discrete spectrum. Explicitly, the eigenvalues of P are given by

$$\lambda_\alpha(h) = (2|\alpha| + n)h, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \quad (1.34)$$

and the corresponding L^2 -normalized eigenfunctions have the form

$$u_\alpha(h)(x) = h^{-\frac{n}{4}} p_\alpha(h^{-\frac{1}{2}}x) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2h}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.35)$$

where p_α is a Hermite polynomial of degree $|\alpha|$. For more information, see Section 6.1 of [41]. An explicit computation gives that

$$\|u_\alpha(h)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = C(\alpha, p) h^{\frac{n}{2p} - \frac{n}{4}}, \quad 0 < h \leq 1, \quad (1.36)$$

where

$$C(\alpha, p) := \|p_\alpha(\cdot) e^{-\frac{|\cdot|^2}{2}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty. \quad (1.37)$$

Since

$$P = \text{Op}_h^w(p_0), \quad (1.38)$$

for

$$p_0(x, \xi) = |x|^2 + |\xi|^2, \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad (1.39)$$

which is a non-negative elliptic quadratic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} , we see that the bound (1.31) is sharp within the class of semiclassical pseudodifferential operators on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1.

Example 2. A more general class of semiclassical operators to which Theorem 1.1 applies are Schrödinger operators on \mathbb{R}^n with analytic complex-valued potentials. Let

$$P = -h^2\Delta + V(x) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (1.40)$$

where $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ satisfies the following assumptions:

1. $\operatorname{Re} V \geq 0$,
2. $(\operatorname{Re} V)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$,
3. $(\operatorname{Im} V)(0) = 0$ and $\nabla(\operatorname{Im} V)(0) = 0$,
4. $\det V''(0) \neq 0$,
5. there exists $s \geq 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} V(x) \geq \frac{1}{C} |x|^s, \quad |x| \geq C, \quad (1.41)$$

for some $C > 0$, and

6. there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and a holomorphic extension $\tilde{V} \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{R}^n + i(-\epsilon, \epsilon)^n)$ of V satisfying

$$\left| \tilde{V}(z) \right| \leq C \langle \operatorname{Re} z \rangle^s, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n + i(-\epsilon, \epsilon)^n, \quad (1.42)$$

for some $C > 0$, where s is as in (1.41).

We may view the operator P as a closed, densely defined operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the maximal domain

$$\mathcal{D}(P) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : (-h^2\Delta + V(x))u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}. \quad (1.43)$$

The spectrum of P in an open disc $D(0, Ch)$ of radius Ch , centered at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, is discrete, and the low-lying eigenfunctions of P correspond to eigenvalues $z(h) \in D(0, Ch)$.

Let

$$p_0(x, \xi) = |\xi|^2 + V(x), \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}. \quad (1.44)$$

We observe that

$$P = \operatorname{Op}_h^w(p_0). \quad (1.45)$$

Thanks to our assumptions on V , the symbol p_0 admits the holomorphic extension

$$\tilde{p}_0(z, \zeta) = \zeta^2 + \tilde{V}(z), \quad (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} + i(-\epsilon, \epsilon)^{2n}, \quad (1.46)$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Clearly

$$\operatorname{Re} p_0 \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad (1.47)$$

with

$$p_0(0) = 0, \quad \nabla p_0(0) = 0, \quad (1.48)$$

and we have

$$\tilde{p}_0 \in S_{\text{Hol}}(m) \quad (1.49)$$

for the order function

$$m(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^2 + \langle x \rangle^s, \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad (1.50)$$

where s is as in (1.41). Also, from (1.41), we see that there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\text{Re } p_0(x, \xi) \geq \frac{1}{C} m(x, \xi), \quad |(x, \xi)| \geq C. \quad (1.51)$$

The Hessian of p_0 at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is

$$p_0''(0) = \begin{pmatrix} V''(0) & 0 \\ 0 & 2I_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.52)$$

where I_n denotes the identity matrix of size $n \times n$. Let

$$q(Z) = \frac{1}{2} p_0''(0) Z \cdot Z, \quad Z \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}, \quad (1.53)$$

be the quadratic approximation to p_0 at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. A straightforward computation shows that the Hamilton matrix of q is

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -\frac{1}{2} V''(0) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.54)$$

Thus

$$\text{Re } F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -\frac{1}{2} (\text{Re } V)''(0) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{Im } F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} (\text{Im } V)''(0) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.55)$$

and hence

$$(\text{Re } F)(\text{Im } F) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} (\text{Im } V)''(0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{Re } F)(\text{Im } F)^j = 0 \text{ for all } j \geq 2. \quad (1.56)$$

It follows that

$$\ker(\text{Re } F) = \ker[(\text{Re } V)''(0)] \times \{0\}, \quad \ker[(\text{Re } F)(\text{Im } F)] = \ker[(\text{Im } V)''(0)] \times \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (1.57)$$

and

$$\ker[(\text{Re } F)(\text{Im } F)^j] = \mathbb{C}^{2n} \text{ for all } j \geq 2. \quad (1.58)$$

We thus see that the singular space of q is

$$S = [\ker(V''(0)) \cap \mathbb{R}^n] \times \{0\}. \quad (1.59)$$

Because $\det V''(0) \neq 0$, we may deduce that the singular space of q is trivial,

$$S = \{0\}. \quad (1.60)$$

In particular, q is elliptic along S . By Theorem 1.1, any low-lying eigenfunction u of P must satisfy the bounds (1.31).

We point out that computation of the singular space S above is essentially a special case of Lemma 2.2 in [4]. We refer to this work for the related topic of magnetic Schrödinger operators with complex-valued potentials.

Remark 2. Theorem 1.1 improves the main result of [22] in several ways when it is assumed in addition that the symbols p_0 and p_1 belong to the holomorphic symbol class $S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$. Most significantly, the bound (1.31) holds under the weaker assumption that the quadratic approximation q to p_0 at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is elliptic only along its singular space S . It is also of note that the work [22] only establishes the bound (1.31) for p in the range $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. By contrast, the approach we give in this paper yields the bound (1.31) for p in the entire range $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. That the bound (1.31) should also hold for p in the range $1 \leq p < 2$ is actually a very reasonable expectation in view of Example 1. Finally, in [22], it is assumed that the symbols p_0 and p_1 grow at most quadratically as $|X| \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.

$$\|\partial^\alpha p_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|\partial^\alpha p_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad h \rightarrow 0^+, \quad |\alpha| \geq 2, \quad (1.61)$$

and that $\text{Re } p_0$ grows at least quadratically as $|X| \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. there exists $C, c > 0$ such that

$$\text{Re } p_0(X) \geq c\langle X \rangle^2, \quad |X| \geq C. \quad (1.62)$$

Our main result, on the other hand, applies to operators whose symbols may grow faster than $\langle X \rangle^2$ at infinity. For example, Theorem 1.1 applies to low-lying eigenfunctions of the operator

$$P = -h^2\Delta + |x|^2 + h^4\Delta^2 + |x|^4, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (1.63)$$

whose Weyl symbol

$$p_0(x, \xi) = |x|^2 + |\xi|^2 + |x|^4 + |\xi|^4, \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad (1.64)$$

has size comparable to $\langle (x, \xi) \rangle^4$ when $|(x, \xi)| \rightarrow \infty$.

We conclude this introduction with an informal overview of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the symbol p_0 is elliptic away from 0, the ground states u of P are well microlocalized to any small but fixed neighborhood of 0 in the phase space \mathbb{R}^{2n} . This fact may be expressed conveniently in terms of any global metaplectic Fourier-Bros-Iagolnitzer (FBI) transform of u . Let φ be a holomorphic quadratic form on $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}_z^n \times \mathbb{C}_y^n$ such that

$$\det \varphi''_{zy} \neq 0, \quad \text{Im } \varphi''_{yy} > 0. \quad (1.65)$$

We refer to such a holomorphic quadratic form as an *FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n}* ([41], [17], [34]). Let $\mathcal{T}_\varphi : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, given by

$$\mathcal{T}_\varphi v(z) = c_\varphi h^{-3n/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\varphi(z,y)/h} v(y) dy, \quad v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (1.66)$$

where

$$c_\varphi = 2^{-n/2} \pi^{-3n/4} (\det \operatorname{Im} \varphi''_{yy})^{-1/4} |\det \varphi''_{zy}|, \quad (1.67)$$

be the corresponding metaplectic FBI transform on \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}_z^n \times \mathbb{C}_\zeta^n$ be equipped with the standard complex symplectic form $\sigma = d\zeta \wedge dz \in \Lambda^{(2,0)}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. We recall that \mathcal{T}_φ is a Fourier integral operator associated to the complex linear canonical transformation $\kappa_\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ given implicitly by

$$\kappa_\varphi : (w, -\partial_w \varphi(z, w)) \mapsto (z, \partial_z \varphi(z, w)), \quad (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}, \quad (1.68)$$

and that \mathcal{T}_φ maps $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ unitarily onto the Bargmann space

$$H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz)) \cap \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n). \quad (1.69)$$

Here $L(dz)$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n , and $\Phi_0(z)$ is the strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^n given by

$$\Phi_0(z) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} (-\operatorname{Im} \varphi(z, y)), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (1.70)$$

We also recall that κ_φ maps the real phase space \mathbb{R}^{2n} isomorphically onto the I -Lagrangian, R -symplectic subspace

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_0} := \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right) : z \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\}, \quad (1.71)$$

of \mathbb{C}^{2n} . Let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be the projection onto the first factor in \mathbb{C}^{2n} , $\pi_1 : (z, \zeta) \mapsto z$, and observe that π_1 restricts to an \mathbb{R} -linear isomorphism $\Lambda_{\Phi_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. Thus the map

$$\kappa_\varphi^b := \pi_1 \circ \kappa_\varphi|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \quad (1.72)$$

is an \mathbb{R} -linear isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. Since u is microlocalized near 0 in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , it follows from standard arguments that the L^1 -mass of the function $\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h}$ with respect to $L(dz)$ is $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ in the complement of any small but fixed neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n (see Proposition 2.2 below). By combining this observation with the identity $u = \mathcal{T}_\varphi^* \mathcal{T}_\varphi u$ and applying the Minkowski integral inequality, one can show that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$, and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there is $C = C(\delta, N, p) > 0$ and $0 < h_0 \leq 1$, independent of p , such that

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ch^{\frac{n}{2p} - \frac{3n}{4}} \int_{|z| < \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) + Ch^N, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (1.73)$$

For a proof, see Proposition 2.3 below.

Establishing the validity of (1.73) for any FBI phase function φ is the first main step in the proof of Theorem 1.1. The next step is to show that there exists an FBI phase function φ on \mathbb{C}^{2n} and a real analytic strictly plurisubharmonic function $\Phi^* \in C^\omega(\operatorname{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n); \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\Phi_0(z) - \Phi^*(z) \geq c|z|^2, \quad z \in \operatorname{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n), \quad (1.74)$$

for some $c > 0$, and

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u\|_{L^2_{\Phi^*}(\operatorname{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n))}^2 := \int_{\operatorname{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n)} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)|^2 e^{-2\Phi^*(z)/h} L(dz) = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad h \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (1.75)$$

If such a weight Φ^* can be found, then, for $\delta > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\int_{|z|<\delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) = \int_{|z|<\delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi^*(z)/h} e^{-c|z|^2/h} L(dz) \leq \mathcal{O}(1)h^{\frac{n}{2}}, \quad (1.76)$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Combining (1.73) and (1.76) gives the desired bound (1.31).

The construction of the strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ^* defined near 0 in \mathbb{C}^n satisfying (1.74) and (1.75) is purely dynamical. We give a rough sketch of the procedure. Let

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 := p_0 \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hol}(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W), \quad (1.77)$$

where $W \subset \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is a sufficiently small open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^{2n} . Let

$$H_{\mathfrak{p}_0} = \mathfrak{p}'_{0,\zeta} \cdot \partial_z - \mathfrak{p}'_{0,z} \cdot \partial_\zeta \in T^{(1,0)}(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W)$$

be the complex Hamilton vector field of \mathfrak{p}_0 . For $t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|t|$ sufficiently small, we may define the complex-time Hamilton flow $\kappa_t = \exp(tH_{\mathfrak{p}_0})$ of \mathfrak{p}_0 in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ as follows:

$$(z(t), \zeta(t)) = \kappa_t(z_0, \zeta_0), \quad (z_0, \zeta_0) \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^{2n}), \quad t \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}), \quad (1.78)$$

if and only if $z(t)$ and $\zeta(t)$ satisfy the complex Hamilton's equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z(t) = \partial_\zeta \mathfrak{p}_0(z(t), \zeta(t)), \\ \partial_t \zeta(t) = -\partial_z \mathfrak{p}_0(z(t), \zeta(t)), \\ z(0) = z_0, \quad \zeta(0) = \zeta_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.79)$$

where $\partial_t = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\text{Re}t} - i\partial_{\text{Im}t})$. From the work [32], it is known that there is $0 < T \ll 1$ such that

$$\kappa_t(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} \cap \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^{2n})) \cap \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^{2n}) = \Lambda_{\Phi_t}, \quad 0 \leq |t| < T, \quad (1.80)$$

where $(\Phi_t)_{|t|<T}$ is a family of real-valued, strictly plurisubharmonic functions defined in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ solving the complex-time eikonal equation

$$\begin{cases} 2\partial_t \Phi_t(z) + i\mathfrak{p}_0\left(z, \frac{2}{i}\partial_z \Phi_t(z)\right) = 0, \quad |t| < T, \quad z \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n), \\ \Phi_t|_{t=0}(z) = \Phi_0(z), \quad z \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n), \end{cases} \quad (1.81)$$

and $(\Lambda_{\Phi_t})_{|t|<T}$ is the family of I -Lagrangian, R -symplectic submanifolds of \mathbb{C}^{2n} given by

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_t} := \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i}\partial_z \Phi_t(z) \right) : z \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n) \right\}, \quad |t| < T. \quad (1.82)$$

For a proof, see the discussion in Section 3 below. In particular, since \mathfrak{p}_0 vanishes to second order at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ and $\Phi_0(0) = 0$, and we have that

$$\Phi_0 - \Phi_t \text{ vanishes to } 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ order at } z = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq |t| < T.$$

For $|t| < T$, let Ξ_t be the quadratic approximation to Φ_t at 0, i.e. Ξ_t is the real quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^n that begins the Taylor expansion of Φ_t at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\Phi_t(z) = \Xi_t(z) + \mathcal{O}(|z|^3), \quad |z| \rightarrow 0^+, \quad 0 \leq |t| < T. \quad (1.83)$$

Taylor expanding (1.81) to second order about $z = 0$ shows that Ξ_t must satisfy the quadratic complex-time eikonal equation

$$\begin{cases} 2\partial_t \Xi_t(z) + i\mathfrak{q}\left(z, \frac{2}{i}\partial_z \Xi_t(z)\right) = 0, & |t| < T, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \\ \Xi_t|_{t=0} = \Phi_0 \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.84)$$

where $\mathfrak{q} := q \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1}$ is the quadratic approximation to \mathfrak{p}_0 at 0, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{p}_0(Z) = \mathfrak{q}(Z) + \mathcal{O}(|Z|^3), \quad Z \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}, \quad |Z| \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (1.85)$$

Using the assumption that q is elliptic along S , one can show that there exists an FBI phase function φ on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with the property that for any $0 < T_0 < T$ there exists a non-zero complex time t_0 with $|t_0| < T_0$ such that

$$\Phi_0(z) - \Xi_{t_0}(z) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1.86)$$

A proof of this claim is given in Proposition 4.2 below. Taking

$$\Phi^* := \Phi_{t_0}, \quad (1.87)$$

we obtain a strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ^* defined in a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n so that (1.74) holds. Now, by Egorov's theorem, $\mathcal{T}_\varphi u$ satisfies the FBI-side pseudodifferential equation

$$\text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(\mathfrak{p}_0 + h\mathfrak{p}_1)\mathcal{T}_\varphi u = 0, \quad 0 < h \leq 1, \quad (1.88)$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_j := p_j \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hol}(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W)$, $j = 0, 1$, and $\text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(\mathfrak{p}_0 + h\mathfrak{p}_1)$ denotes the complex Weyl quantization of $\mathfrak{p}_0 + h\mathfrak{p}_1$ with respect to the weight Φ_0 (see the discussion in Section 2 below). From (1.88) and (1.81), one may deduce that $\mathcal{T}_\varphi u$ satisfies the following dynamical bound in a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n :

$$\exists 0 < T_0 < T, \exists \delta > 0, \exists 0 < h_0 \leq 1 : \quad \sup_{\substack{0 \leq |t| < T_0 \\ 0 < h \leq h_0}} \|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u\|_{L_{\Phi_t}^2(\{|z| < \delta\})} < \infty. \quad (1.89)$$

The proof of (1.89), whose main ingredient is the quantization-multiplication theorem for pseudodifferential operators with holomorphic symbols ([17], [35], [33]), is given in Section 3 below. In particular, from (1.89), it follows that

$$\sup_{0 < h \leq h_0} \|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u\|_{L_{\Phi_{t_0}}^2(\{|z| < \delta\})} < \infty, \quad (1.90)$$

where $0 < |t_0| < T_0$ is such that (1.86) holds. Thus the weight Φ^* defined by (1.87) satisfies (1.74) and (1.75).

The plan for this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we prove that the ground states u of P are well microlocalized to any fixed neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^{2n} and we establish bounds for FBI transforms of u . In Section 3, we give a self-contained derivation of the complex-time eikonal equation (1.81), and we prove the dynamical bound (1.89). In Section 4, we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 as outlined in this introduction by proving the existence of an FBI phase function φ on \mathbb{C}^{2n} and a small non-zero complex time t_0 such that the weight Φ^* defined by (1.87) satisfies (1.74).

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Michael Hitrik for reading an early draft of this manuscript and offering helpful feedback and suggestions.

2 Microlocalization of the Ground States

In this section, we establish that any ground state $u = u(h) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the operator P is well-microlocalized to any small, but fixed, neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We begin with an elementary parametrix construction, which we carry out using the calculus of semiclassical pseudodifferential operators on \mathbb{R}^n with C^∞ -symbols. For background on semiclassical pseudodifferential calculus on \mathbb{R}^n , including standard notation, we refer to Chapters 4 and 8 of [41].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $p_0, p_1 \in S(m)$, where m is an order function on \mathbb{R}^{2n} satisfying (1.11). Assume that p_0 is h -independent and that p_0 satisfies (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), and (1.13). If $P = \text{Op}_h^w(p_0 + hp_1)$ and $u = u(h) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that*

$$\begin{cases} Pu = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n, & n \geq 1, \\ \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $0 < h \leq 1$, then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ with $\text{supp } \psi \subset \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : |X| < \delta\}$ and there exists $R = \mathcal{O}_{S' \rightarrow S}(h^\infty)$ such that

$$u = \text{Op}_h^w(\psi)u + Ru, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (2.2)$$

for some $0 < h_0 \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary and let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; [0, 1])$ be such that

$$\chi(X) \equiv 1, \quad |X| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\text{supp } \chi \subset \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : |X| < \delta\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Set

$$\tilde{p} := p_0 + hp_1 + \chi, \quad 0 < h \leq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that $\tilde{p} \in S(m)$. Our objective is to construct a parametrix for the operator $\text{Op}_h^w(\tilde{p})$. The assumption (1.13) that $\text{Re } p_0$ is elliptic in the class $S(m)$ implies that there is $c > 0$ such that

$$\text{Re } \tilde{p}(X; h) \geq cm(X), \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad (2.6)$$

for all $h > 0$ sufficiently small, depending on δ . Thus the symbol

$$e_0 := \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} \quad (2.7)$$

is well-defined and belongs to the class $S(\frac{1}{m})$. By the semiclassical composition calculus, there is a symbol $r_0 \in S(1)$ such that

$$\text{Op}_h^w(e_0) \text{Op}_h^w(\tilde{p}) = I + h \text{Op}_h^w(r_0), \quad (2.8)$$

As a consequence of the semiclassical Calderon-Vaillancourt theorem (see Theorem 4.23 in [41]), we have $\text{Op}_h^w(r_0) = \mathcal{O}_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}(1)$ as $h \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus there is $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that the operator $I + h\text{Op}_h^w(r_0)$ is boundedly invertible on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $0 < h \leq h_0$. It follows that

$$(I + h\text{Op}_h^w(r_0))^{-1} \text{Op}_h^w(e_0) \text{Op}_h^w(\tilde{p}) = I, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (2.9)$$

By Beals' Theorem (see the discussion on page 177 of [41]), there is $\tilde{r}_0 \in S(1)$ such that

$$\text{Op}_h^w(\tilde{r}_0) = (I + h\text{Op}_h^w(r_0))^{-1} \quad (2.10)$$

for all $h > 0$ sufficiently small. By the composition calculus, we have

$$\text{Op}_h^w(\tilde{r}_0) \text{Op}_h^w(e_0) = \text{Op}_h^w(e_1), \quad (2.11)$$

where $e_1 = \tilde{r}_0 \# e_0 \in S(\frac{1}{m})$ is the Moyal product of \tilde{r}_0 and e_0 . From (2.9), (2.10), and (2.11), we deduce that

$$\text{Op}_h^w(e_1) \text{Op}_h^w(\tilde{p}) = I. \quad (2.12)$$

Since

$$\text{Op}_h^w(\tilde{p}) = P + \text{Op}_h^w(\chi), \quad (2.13)$$

we have

$$u = \text{Op}_h^w(e_1) \text{Op}_h^w(\tilde{p}) u = \text{Op}_h^w(e_1) \text{Op}_h^w(\chi) u \quad (2.14)$$

for all $h > 0$ sufficiently small. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ be such that

$$\psi \equiv 1 \text{ in neigh}(\text{supp } \chi; \mathbb{R}^{2n}), \quad \text{supp } \psi \subset \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : |X| < \delta\}. \quad (2.15)$$

Using (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \text{Op}_h^w(\psi) \text{Op}_h^w(e_1) \text{Op}_h^w(\chi) u + \text{Op}_h^w(1 - \psi) \text{Op}_h^w(e_1) \text{Op}_h^w(\chi) u \\ &= \text{Op}_h^w(\psi) u + \text{Op}_h^w(1 - \psi) \text{Op}_h^w(e_1) \text{Op}_h^w(\chi) u. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Because $\text{supp } \chi$ is compact and $\text{supp}(1 - \psi) \cap \text{supp } \chi = \emptyset$, it follows from the general theory that there is $r \in h^\infty \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$R := \text{Op}_h^w((1 - \psi)) \text{Op}_h^w(e_1) \text{Op}_h^w(\chi) = \text{Op}_h^w(r). \quad (2.17)$$

Hence

$$R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}}(h^\infty). \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.16) and (2.17), we conclude that

$$u = \text{Op}_h^w(\psi) u + Ru, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (2.19)$$

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is complete. \square

We next explore the consequences of Proposition 2.1 for any FBI transform of a ground state u of P . Let $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}_z^n \times \mathbb{C}_{\bar{z}}^n$ be equipped with the standard complex symplectic form $\sigma = d\zeta \wedge dz \in \Lambda^{(2,0)}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. Let $\varphi = \varphi(z, y)$ be a holomorphic quadratic form on $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}_z^n \times \mathbb{C}_y^n$ such that

$$\det \varphi''_{zy} \neq 0, \quad \text{Im } \varphi''_{yy} > 0, \quad (2.20)$$

and let $\mathcal{T}_\varphi : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be its associated FBI transform introduced in (1.66). Let

$$\Phi_0(z) := \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} (-\text{Im } \varphi(z, y)), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.21)$$

As the maximum of a family of pluriharmonic quadratic forms on \mathbb{C}^n , the function Φ_0 is a plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^n . In fact, the quadratic form Φ_0 is strictly plurisubharmonic, i.e. $\Phi''_{0, \bar{z}z} > 0$. See [41] or [17] for a proof. In the sequel, we shall refer to the quadratic form Φ_0 defined by (2.21) as the *strictly plurisubharmonic weight associated to the FBI phase function* φ . Let

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_0} := \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} : z \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\}, \quad (2.22)$$

and let

$$H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz)) \cap \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n) \quad (2.23)$$

be the Bargmann space of entire functions on \mathbb{C}^n associated to the weight Φ_0 . Here $L(dz)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n . It is well known that \mathcal{T}_φ is unitary $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. For a proof, see Theorem 13.7 in [41], Theorem 1.3.3 in [17], or Section 12.2 of [35].

Following the discussion in Section 12.2 of [35], we recall that an order function on Λ_{Φ_0} is a Lebesgue measurable function $\mathbf{m} : \Lambda_{\Phi_0} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\exists C > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbf{m}(Z) \leq C \langle Z - W \rangle^N \mathbf{m}(W), \quad Z, W \in \Lambda_{\Phi_0}. \quad (2.24)$$

Given an order function \mathbf{m} on Λ_{Φ_0} , we may introduce the symbol class $S(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}, \mathbf{m})$ consisting of all $a \in C^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0})$ such that

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \exists C > 0 : \left| \partial_z^\alpha \partial_{\bar{z}}^\beta \left(a \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right) \right) \right| \leq C \mathbf{m} \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.25)$$

Also, if \mathbf{m} is an order function on Λ_{Φ_0} , then we may define the Sobolev space

$$H_{\Phi_0, \mathbf{m}}(\mathbb{C}^n) = L^2 \left(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{m} \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right)^2 e^{-2\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) \right) \cap \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n), \quad (2.26)$$

which is a Hilbert space equipped with the norm

$$\|v\|_{L^2_{\Phi_0, \mathbf{m}}(\mathbb{C}^n)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |v(z)|^2 \mathbf{m} \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right)^2 e^{-2\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz). \quad (2.27)$$

Note that $H_{\Phi_0, 1}(\mathbb{C}^n) = H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Given a symbol $a \in S(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}, \mathbf{m})$, where \mathbf{m} is an order function on Λ_{Φ_0} , we define the *complex Weyl quantization of a* formally by

$$\text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(a)v(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \iint_{\Gamma_{\Phi_0}(z)} e^{\frac{i}{h}(z-w) \cdot \zeta} a \left(\frac{z+w}{2}, \zeta \right) v(w) dw \wedge d\zeta, \quad (2.28)$$

for the contour of integration

$$\Gamma_{\Phi_0}(z) : \mathbb{C}^n \ni w \mapsto \zeta = \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \bar{\Phi}_0 \left(\frac{z+w}{2} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.29)$$

Initially, $\text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(a)v$ is defined for $v \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that

$$\forall h \in (0, 1], \forall N > 0, \exists C = C(N, h) > 0 : |v(z)| \leq C \langle z \rangle^{-N} e^{\Phi_0(z)/h}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.30)$$

One may then show that for any order function $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ on Λ_{Φ_0} the operator $\text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(a)$ defined by (2.28) extends by density to an operator $H_{\Phi_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ whose norm is uniformly bounded with respect to h , i.e.

$$\text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(a) = \mathcal{O}(1) : H_{\Phi_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}(\mathbb{C}^n), \quad h \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (2.31)$$

For a proof, see Proposition 12.6 in [35].

We next review the relationship between the complex Weyl quantization and the ordinary Weyl quantization on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Let φ be an FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} and let Φ_0 be the strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^n associated to φ . The FBI phase function φ generates a complex linear canonical transformation $\kappa_\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ implicitly by

$$\kappa_\varphi : (y, -\varphi'_y(z, y)) \mapsto (z, \varphi'_z(z, y)), \quad (z, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}. \quad (2.32)$$

In the sequel, we refer to κ_φ as the *complex linear canonical transformation generated by φ* or as the *complex linear canonical transformation associated to φ* . From either Theorem 13.5 of [41] or Proposition 1.3.2 of [17], we know that

$$\kappa_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) = \Lambda_{\Phi_0}, \quad (2.33)$$

and we have a version of Egorov's theorem. Namely, if m is any order function on \mathbb{R}^{2n} and $a \in S(m)$, then

$$\mathfrak{a} := a \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1} \in S(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}, \mathfrak{m}), \quad (2.34)$$

where \mathfrak{m} is the order function on Λ_{Φ_0} given by

$$\mathfrak{m} = m \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1}, \quad (2.35)$$

and we have

$$\text{Op}_h^w(a) = \mathcal{T}_\varphi^* \circ \text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(\mathfrak{a}) \circ \mathcal{T}_\varphi, \quad (2.36)$$

where both sides are viewed as operators on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. For a proof, see Section 12.2 of [35].

Let P and u be as in the statement of Proposition 2.1, and let φ be an FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with associated FBI transform \mathcal{T}_φ and strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 . In view of Proposition 2.1, it is natural to expect that the mass of the entire function $\mathcal{T}_\varphi u$ will be concentrated near $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Equivalently, we expect that the mass of $\mathcal{T}_\varphi u$ in the complement of any fixed neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n will be semiclassically negligible, i.e. $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$. The following proposition solidifies this intuition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let P and $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in the statement of Proposition 2.1, and let φ be any FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with associated FBI transform \mathcal{T}_φ and associated strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 . For any $\delta > 0$, there is $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we have*

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h}\|_{L^p(\{|z| \geq \delta\}, L(dz))} \leq \mathcal{O}_N(1) h^N, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (2.37)$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, it suffices to prove the proposition in the cases $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$. We begin by proving (2.37) in the case when $p = 1$. Let $\delta > 0$ be given, let $0 < \rho < \delta/2$, let Λ_{Φ_0} be as in (2.22), let $\kappa_\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be the complex linear canonical transformation generated by φ , let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be projection onto the first factor $\pi_1 : (z, \zeta) \mapsto z$, and let $\kappa_\varphi^b := \pi_1 \circ \kappa_\varphi|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. By Proposition 2.1, there is $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that

$$\text{supp} \left[\psi \circ \left(\kappa_\varphi^b \right)^{-1} \right] \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < \rho\}, \quad (2.38)$$

and $R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}}(h^\infty)$ such that

$$u = \text{Op}_h^w(\psi)u + Ru, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (2.39)$$

for some $0 < h_0 \leq 1$. By Egorov's theorem and (2.39),

$$\mathcal{T}_\varphi u = \text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(\tilde{\psi})\mathcal{T}_\varphi u + \mathcal{T}_\varphi(Ru), \quad (2.40)$$

where

$$\tilde{\psi} := \psi \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1} \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}). \quad (2.41)$$

Thus

$$\int_{|z| \geq \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) \leq \text{I}(h) + \text{II}(h), \quad (2.42)$$

where

$$\text{I}(h) := \int_{|z| \geq \delta} \left| \text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(\tilde{\psi})\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) \right| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) \quad (2.43)$$

and

$$\text{II}(h) := \int_{|z| \geq \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi(Ru)(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz). \quad (2.44)$$

We first consider $\text{II}(h)$. For $J \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\|\cdot\|_J$ denote the Schwartz seminorm

$$\|f\|_J := \sum_{|\alpha+\beta| \leq J} \|x^\alpha \partial^\beta f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.45)$$

From Theorem 13.4 in [41], we know that for every $N > 0$ there exists $J \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{T}_\varphi Ru(z)| \leq C \|Ru\|_J h^{-n/4} \langle z \rangle^{-N} e^{\Phi_0(z)/h}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad 0 < h \leq 1. \quad (2.46)$$

Since $R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}}(h^\infty)$ and $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$, we conclude that for every $M, N > 0$, there is $C = C(M, N) > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{T}_\varphi R u(z)| \leq C h^M \langle z \rangle^{-N} e^{\Phi_0(z)/h}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (2.47)$$

In particular, for any $M > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{T}_\varphi R u(z)| \leq C h^M \langle z \rangle^{-2n-1} e^{\Phi_0(z)/h}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (2.48)$$

Bounding (2.44) using (2.48) gives that

$$\Pi(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^M) \quad (2.49)$$

for any $M > 0$. Therefore

$$\Pi(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty). \quad (2.50)$$

It remains to show that $\mathbb{I}(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$. From the definition (2.28) of the complex Weyl quantization, we have

$$\text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(\tilde{\psi}) \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \iint_{\Gamma_{\Phi_0}(z)} e^{\frac{i}{h}(z-w) \cdot \zeta} \tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{z+w}{2}, \zeta \right) \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(w) dw \wedge d\zeta, \quad (2.51)$$

where the contour of integration $\Gamma_{\Phi_0}(z)$ is given by

$$\Gamma_{\Phi_0}(z) : \mathbb{C}^n \ni w \mapsto \zeta = \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0 \left(\frac{z+w}{2} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.52)$$

Let

$$L = \frac{1 + (\partial_{z\bar{z}}^2 \Phi_0)^{-1} \overline{(z-w)} \cdot \partial_{\bar{w}}}{1 + |z-w|^2/h}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.53)$$

Observe that

$$L e^{\frac{i}{h}(z-w) \cdot \zeta} = e^{\frac{i}{h}(z-w) \cdot \zeta}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \zeta = \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0 \left(\frac{z+w}{2} \right). \quad (2.54)$$

Thus for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $|z| \geq \delta$ and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(\tilde{\psi}) \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \iint_{\Gamma_{\Phi_0}(z)} e^{\frac{i}{h}(z-w) \cdot \zeta} (L^T)^N \tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{z+w}{2}, \zeta \right) \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(w) dw \wedge d\zeta, \quad (2.55)$$

where L^T denotes the transpose of the differential operator L . Since $\tilde{\psi} \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0})$,

$$(L^T)^N \tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{z+w}{2} \right) = \mathcal{O} \left(\langle h^{-1/2}(z-w) \rangle^{-N} \right), \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad 0 < h \leq 1, \quad (2.56)$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, it is true that

$$\text{Re} [i(z-w) \cdot \zeta] = \Phi_0(z) - \Phi_0(w), \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \zeta = \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0 \left(\frac{z+w}{2} \right). \quad (2.57)$$

For a proof, see Lemma 13.1 of [41]. From (2.43), (2.55), (2.56), and (2.57), it follows that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$I(h) \leq Ch^{-n} \int_{|z| \geq \delta} \int_{\left|\frac{z+w}{2}\right| \leq \rho} \langle h^{-1/2}(z-w) \rangle^{-N} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(w)| e^{-\Phi_0(w)/h} L(dw) L(dz). \quad (2.58)$$

for all $0 < h \leq h_0$. For any $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $|z| \geq \delta$, we have

$$\left| \frac{z+w}{2} \right| \leq \rho \implies |z| - \frac{|z-w|}{2} \leq \rho \implies 2|z| - \delta \leq |z-w| \implies |z| \leq |z-w|. \quad (2.59)$$

Thus, from (2.58) we may deduce that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$I(h) \leq Ch^{-n+N/2} \int_{|z| \geq \delta} \int_{\left|\frac{z+w}{2}\right| \leq \rho} |z|^{-N} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(w)| e^{-\Phi_0(w)/h} L(dw) L(dz) \quad (2.60)$$

for all $0 < h \leq h_0$. Making the change of variables

$$\tilde{z} = z, \quad \tilde{w} = \frac{z+w}{2} \quad (2.61)$$

in (2.60) and applying Fubini's theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} I(h) &\leq Ch^{-n+N/2} \int_{|\tilde{z}| \geq \delta} \int_{|\tilde{w}| \leq \rho} |\tilde{z}|^{-N} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(2\tilde{w} - \tilde{z})| e^{-\frac{\Phi_0(2\tilde{w} - \tilde{z})}{h}} L(d\tilde{w}) L(d\tilde{z}) \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)} h^{-n+N/2} \int_{|\tilde{z}| \geq \delta} |\tilde{z}|^{-N} L(d\tilde{z}) \\ &\leq Ch^{-n+N/2}, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

for any $N > 2n$. Thus

$$I(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty). \quad (2.63)$$

From (2.42), (2.63), and (2.50), we conclude

$$\int_{|z| \geq \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty). \quad (2.64)$$

Therefore the proposition is true when $p = 1$.

Next, we show that (2.37) holds when $p = \infty$. We recall that the orthogonal projection $\Pi_{\Phi_0} : L^2_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is given by

$$\Pi_{\Phi_0} v(z) = C_{\Phi_0} h^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{\frac{2}{h} \Psi_0(z, \bar{w})} v(w) e^{-\frac{2}{h} \Phi_0(w)} L(dw), \quad v \in L^2_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n), \quad (2.65)$$

where

$$C_{\Phi_0} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^n \det \partial_{z\bar{z}}^2 \Phi_0, \quad (2.66)$$

and $\Psi_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the polarization of Φ_0 , i.e. $\Psi_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the unique holomorphic quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^{2n} such that

$$\Psi_0(z, \bar{z}) = \Phi_0(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (2.67)$$

In the literature, Π_{Φ_0} is known as the *Bergman projector* associated to the strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 . For a proof that the Bergman projector associated to Φ_0 has the integral representation (2.65), we refer the reader to either Theorem 13.6 of [41], Proposition 1.3.4 of [17], or Section 12.2 of [35]. In particular, the real part of the polarization $\Psi_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies the ‘fundamental estimate’

$$2\operatorname{Re} \Psi_0(z, \bar{w}) - \Phi_0(z) - \Phi_0(w) \asymp -|z - w|^2. \quad (2.68)$$

From (2.68) and the identity $\mathcal{T}_\varphi u = \Pi_{\Phi_0} \mathcal{T}_\varphi u$, it follows that

$$\left| \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} \right| \leq C_{\Phi_0} h^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-c|z-w|^2/h} \left| \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(w) e^{-\Phi_0(w)/h} \right| L(dw), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad 0 < h \leq 1. \quad (2.69)$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary. For $|z| \geq \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} \right| &\leq C_{\Phi_0} h^{-n} \int_{|w| < \delta/2} e^{-c\delta^2/4h} \left| \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(w) e^{-\Phi_0(w)/h} \right| L(dw) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(1) h^{-n} \|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h}\|_{L^1(\{|z| \geq \delta/2\}, L(dz))}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.70)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$C_{\Phi_0} h^{-n} \int_{|w| < \delta/2} e^{-c\delta^2/4h} \left| \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(w) e^{-\Phi_0(w)/h} \right| L(dw) \leq \mathcal{O}(1) h^{-n} e^{-c/h} \leq \mathcal{O}(1) h^N, \quad 0 < h \leq 1, \quad (2.71)$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, since the proposition has already been proven in the case $p = 1$, we know that there is $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h}\|_{L^1(\{|z| \geq \delta/2\}, L(dz))} \leq \mathcal{O}(1) h^N, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (2.72)$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. From (2.70), (2.71), and (2.72), we conclude that there is $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h}\|_{L^\infty(\{|z| \geq \delta\}, L(dz))} \leq \mathcal{O}(1) h^N, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (2.73)$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus (2.37) holds when $p = \infty$. \square

Using Proposition 2.2 and the unitarity of \mathcal{T}_φ , we can establish a simple upper bound for $\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ in terms of the L^1 -norm of $\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure $L(dz)$ over any bounded neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n .

Proposition 2.3. *Let $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in the statement of Proposition 2.1. Let φ be any FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with associated FBI transform \mathcal{T}_φ and associated strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 . For any $\delta > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we have*

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C h^{\frac{n}{2p} - \frac{3n}{4}} \int_{|z| < \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) + C h^N, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (2.74)$$

for some constant $C = C(\delta, N, p) > 0$.

Proof. Let φ be an FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with associated FBI transform \mathcal{T}_φ and strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 . Let $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the Bargmann space introduced in (2.23). Let $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ be small enough so that the conclusions of Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 hold. Since $\mathcal{T}_\varphi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is unitary, we have

$$u(x) = \mathcal{T}_\varphi^* \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(x) = c_\varphi^2 h^{-3n/4} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\frac{i}{h} \overline{\varphi(z,x)}} \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\frac{2}{h} \Phi_0(z)} L(dz), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.75)$$

Because φ is quadratic and $\text{Im } \varphi''_{yy} > 0$, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ there is a unique $x(z) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\Phi_0(z) = -\text{Im } \varphi(z, x(z)). \quad (2.76)$$

Taking the absolute value of (2.75) and using (2.20), (2.21) and (2.76), we find that there are constants $C, c > 0$ and $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$|u(x)| \leq Ch^{-3n/4} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\frac{c}{h} |x-x(z)|^2} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.77)$$

for all $0 < h \leq h_0$. Note that the righthand side of (2.77) is finite for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ since Proposition 2.1 implies that $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $0 < h \leq h_0$ and hence $\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} = \mathcal{O}(h^{-n/4} \langle z \rangle^{-N})$ for any $N > 0$ by Theorem 13.4 of [41]. By direct calculation, we have

$$\|e^{-\frac{c}{h} |\cdot|^2}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{n}{2p}}), \quad (2.78)$$

with the convention that $h^{\frac{n}{2p}} = 1$ when $p = \infty$. Taking the L^p -norm on both sides of (2.77), applying Minkowski's integral inequality, and using (2.78) gives

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ch^{\frac{n}{2p} - \frac{3n}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (2.79)$$

for some $C > 0$. Let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary. By Proposition 2.2, for every $N > 0$, there is $C > 0$ and $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) = \int_{|z| < \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) + Ch^N, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (2.80)$$

Thus, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there is $C = C(\delta, N, p) > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ch^{\frac{n}{2p} - \frac{3n}{4}} \int_{|z| < \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) + Ch^N, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (2.81)$$

□

In the sequel, it will also be useful to have pointwise estimates available for $\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h}$ in compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^n that do not contain the origin 0. When we assume that $p_0, p_1 \in S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$, it is actually true that $\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h}$ is exponentially small in any fixed compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ that does not contain $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. This follows from standard analytic ellipticity arguments, which we review below.

We recall the notion of the semiclassical analytic wavefront set. Let φ be an FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with associated FBI transform \mathcal{T}_φ and strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 . Let $\kappa_\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow$

\mathbb{C}^{2n} be the complex linear canonical transformation generated by φ , let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be projection onto the first factor $\pi_1 : (z, \zeta) \mapsto z$, and let $\kappa_\varphi^b := \pi_1 \circ \kappa_\varphi|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$. For an h -dependent family $v = v(h) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 < h \leq 1$, such that $\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $h \rightarrow 0^+$, we can define the *semiclassical analytic wavefront set* $\text{WF}_{A,h}(v) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of v as follows: a point $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ does not lie in $\text{WF}_{A,h}(v)$ if there exist $C, c > 0$ and a bounded open neighborhood U_0 of $\kappa_\varphi^b(x_0, \xi_0)$ in \mathbb{C}^n such that

$$|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| \leq C e^{-c/h + \Phi_0(z)/h}, \quad z \in U_0, \quad 0 < h \leq 1. \quad (2.82)$$

It can be shown $\text{WF}_{A,h}(v)$ is independent of the choice of FBI phase function φ . For more information regarding semiclassical analytic wavefront sets, we refer the reader to [31], [17], or [23]. The following corollary characterizes the semiclassical analytic wavefront set of any L^2 -normalized ground state u of P .

Corollary 2.4. *If $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is as in the statement of Theorem 1.1, then*

$$\text{WF}_{A,h}(u) = \{0\}. \quad (2.83)$$

Consequently, if φ is any FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with associated strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 and K is any compact subset of \mathbb{C}^n such that $0 \notin K$, then there are $C, c > 0$ and $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| \leq C e^{-c/h + \Phi_0(z)/h}, \quad z \in K, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (2.84)$$

Proof. Let $P = \text{Op}_h^w(p_0 + hp_1)$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1. Since $p_0, p_1 \in S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$ and $p_0^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$, semiclassical analytic elliptic regularity (see, for example, Theorem 4.2.2 in [23]) implies that

$$\text{either } \text{WF}_{A,h}(u) = \emptyset \text{ or } \text{WF}_{A,h}(u) = \{0\}. \quad (2.85)$$

Let φ be an FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with associated FBI transform \mathcal{T}_φ and strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 . Suppose towards contradiction that $\text{WF}_{A,h}(u) = \emptyset$. Then there exist $C, c, \delta > 0$ and $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$\sup_{|z| < \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z) e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h}| \leq C e^{-c/h}, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (2.86)$$

Proposition 2.3 with $p = 2$ gives

$$\int_{|z| \geq \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)|^2 e^{-2\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty). \quad (2.87)$$

Combining (2.86) and (2.87) and using the unitarity of \mathcal{T}_φ gives that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty), \quad (2.88)$$

which is impossible since $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ for all $0 < h \leq 1$. Therefore, it must be the case that

$$\text{WF}_{A,h}(u) = \{0\}. \quad (2.89)$$

If $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a compact subset such that $0 \notin K$, then we can find a finite collection of bounded open subsets U_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, of \mathbb{C}^n , constants $C_j, c_j > 0$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, and $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that

1. $0 \notin U_j$, $1 \leq j \leq k$,
2. $K \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq k} U_j$, and
3. $|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| \leq C_j e^{-c_j/h + \Phi_0(z)/h}$, $z \in U_j$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, $0 < h \leq h_0$.

Let $U = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq k} U_j$. We have

$$|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| \leq C e^{-c/h + \Phi_0(z)/h}, \quad z \in U, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (2.90)$$

where $C = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} C_j > 0$ and $c = \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} c_j > 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{T}_\varphi u$ satisfies (2.84). \square

3 Dynamical Bounds on the FBI Transform Side

We begin this section a short review of Hamiltonian dynamics in the complex domain. For a textbook treatment of these concepts, see Chapter 11 of [31]. Here $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}_z^n \times \mathbb{C}_\zeta^n$ is equipped with the standard holomorphic symplectic form

$$\sigma = d\zeta \wedge dz \in \Lambda^{(2,0)}(\mathbb{C}^{2n}). \quad (3.1)$$

Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be open and let $f \in \text{Hol}(X)$. The *complex Hamilton vector field* of f is defined as the unique holomorphic vector field $H_f \in T^{1,0}(X)$ so that

$$H_f \lrcorner \sigma = -df \text{ in } X. \quad (3.2)$$

Explicitly,

$$H_f = \partial_\zeta f \cdot \partial_z - \partial_z f \cdot \partial_\zeta \text{ in } X. \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.2), it is clear that

$$\sigma(t, H_f) = df(t), \quad t \in T^{1,0}(X). \quad (3.4)$$

Since $df(t) = 0$ whenever $t \in T^{0,1}(X)$, the identity (3.4) also holds for every $t \in T(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. In particular, (3.4) holds whenever $t \in T(X)$. To H_f we associate the real vector field

$$\widehat{H}_f = H_f + \overline{H_f} \in T(X), \quad (3.5)$$

which is the unique real vector field on X such that $H_f - \widehat{H}_f \in T^{0,1}(X)$. Because σ is a holomorphic $(2,0)$ -form,

$$\sigma(t, \widehat{H}_f - H_f) = 0, \quad t \in T(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.6)$$

and hence

$$\widehat{H}_f \lrcorner \sigma = -df \text{ in } X. \quad (3.7)$$

When we separate the real and imaginary parts of (3.7), we obtain

$$\widehat{H}_f \lrcorner \text{Re } \sigma = -d(\text{Re } f), \quad \widehat{H}_f \lrcorner \text{Im } \sigma = -d(\text{Im } f). \quad (3.8)$$

Letting $H_{\text{Re } f}^{\text{Re } \sigma}$ and $H_{\text{Im } f}^{\text{Im } \sigma}$ denote Hamilton vector fields of $\text{Re } f$ and $\text{Im } f$ with respect to the real symplectic forms $\text{Re } \sigma$ and $\text{Im } \sigma$ on \mathbb{C}^{2n} , respectively, we conclude from (3.8) that

$$\widehat{H}_f = H_{\text{Re } f}^{\text{Re } \sigma} = H_{\text{Im } f}^{\text{Im } \sigma}. \quad (3.9)$$

Repeating this discussion with f replaced by if gives

$$\widehat{H}_{if} = H_{\text{Re } f}^{\text{Im } \sigma} = -H_{\text{Im } f}^{\text{Re } \sigma}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $H_{\text{Re } f}^{\text{Im } \sigma}$, resp. $H_{\text{Im } f}^{\text{Re } \sigma}$, is the Hamilton vector field of $\text{Re } f$, resp. $\text{Im } f$, with respect to $\text{Im } \sigma$, resp. $\text{Re } \sigma$.

Following [30], [24], and [31], we define the *complex-time Hamilton flow* κ_t , $t \in \mathbb{C}$, of f as the complex local 1-parameter family of holomorphic diffeomorphisms of X given by

$$\kappa_t = \exp(1\widehat{H}_{tf}), \quad t \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.11)$$

Here $\exp(1\widehat{H}_{tf})$ denotes the flow of the real vector field \widehat{H}_{tf} in X at time 1. By abuse of notation, we shall often write $\exp(tH_f)$ in place of $\exp(1\widehat{H}_{tf})$ so that the complex-time Hamilton flow κ_t generated by H_f may be expressed as

$$\kappa_t = \exp(tH_f), \quad t \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.12)$$

Thanks to the Cauchy-Riemann equations, we have

$$[\widehat{H}_f, \widehat{H}_{if}] = 0 \quad (3.13)$$

for any $f \in \text{Hol}(X)$. Also, if $f \in \text{Hol}(X)$, it is true that

$$\widehat{H}_{tf} = (\text{Re } t)\widehat{H}_f + (\text{Im } t)\widehat{H}_{if} \quad (3.14)$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{C}$. This observation, in conjunction with (3.11) and (3.13), shows that the complex-time Hamilton flow κ_t on X generated by H_f is given by

$$\kappa_t = \exp\left[(\text{Re } t)\widehat{H}_f\right] \exp\left[(\text{Im } t)\widehat{H}_{if}\right], \quad t \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.15)$$

whenever the righthand side is defined. It follows that the flow κ_t is given explicitly by

$$Z(t) = \kappa_t(Z_0), \quad Z_0 \in X, \quad t \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}), \quad (3.16)$$

if and only if $Z(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\text{Re } t} Z(t) = \widehat{H}_f(Z(t)) = (\partial_{\zeta} f(Z(t)), -\partial_z f(Z(t))), \\ \partial_{\text{Im } t} Z(t) = \widehat{H}_{if}(Z(t)) = (i\partial_{\zeta} f(Z(t)), -i\partial_z f(Z(t))), \\ Z(0) = Z_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

A straightforward verification shows that this description of the flow κ_t is equivalent to

$$(z(t), \zeta(t)) = \kappa_t(z_0, \zeta_0), \quad (z_0, \zeta_0) \in X, \quad t \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}), \quad (3.18)$$

if and only if $z(t)$ and $\zeta(t)$ satisfy the complex Hamilton's equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z(t) = \partial_\zeta f(z(t), \zeta(t)), \\ \partial_t \zeta(t) = -\partial_z f(z(t), \zeta(t)), \\ z(0) = z_0, \quad \zeta(0) = \zeta_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.19)$$

where $\partial_t = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\text{Re}t} - i\partial_{\text{Im}t})$. From (3.19), it is easy to check that κ_t preserves the complex symplectic form σ ,

$$\kappa_t^* \sigma = \sigma, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.20)$$

whenever the lefthand side is well-defined. For this reason, we say that κ_t is a *complex local 1-parameter family of complex canonical transformations* of X .

Let $p_0 \in S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1, let φ be an FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with associated FBI transform \mathcal{T}_φ , let Φ_0 be the strictly plurisubharmonic weight associated to φ , let $\kappa_\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be the complex linear canonical transformation generated by φ , and let

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 = p_0 \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hol}(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W), \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_0} = \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right) : z \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\}, \quad (3.22)$$

and W is a small bounded open neighborhood of $(0, 0)$ in \mathbb{C}^{2n} . Let

$$H_{\mathfrak{p}_0} = \partial_\zeta \mathfrak{p}_0 \cdot \partial_z - \partial_z \mathfrak{p}_0 \cdot \partial_\zeta \in T^{1,0}(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W) \quad (3.23)$$

be the complex Hamilton vector field of \mathfrak{p}_0 , and let

$$\kappa_t = \exp(tH_{\mathfrak{p}_0}), \quad t \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.24)$$

be the complex-time Hamilton flow of \mathfrak{p}_0 , defined locally in $\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W$ for sufficiently small complex times t . In this section, we shall be interested in the evolution of the subspace Λ_{Φ_0} by the flow κ_t in a small, but fixed, neighborhood of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Using Hamilton-Jacobi theory, it is possible to obtain a real analytic generating function for this evolution. We describe this in detail below, following essentially the discussion in [32].

Let $\mathbb{C}^{2+2n} = \mathbb{C}_{t,\tau}^2 \times \mathbb{C}_{z,\zeta}^{2n}$ be equipped with the standard complex symplectic form

$$\Omega = d\tau \wedge dt + \sigma \in \Lambda^{(2,0)}(\mathbb{C}^{2+2n}), \quad (3.25)$$

where σ is as in (3.1). Let

$$G(t, \tau; z, \zeta) = \tau + \mathfrak{p}_0(z, \zeta), \quad (t, \tau; z, \zeta) \in \text{neigh}((0, 0; 0, 0); \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}), \quad (3.26)$$

and let

$$H_G^\Omega = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + H_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \quad (3.27)$$

be the complex Hamilton vector field of G with respect to Ω , defined in an open neighborhood of $(0, 0; 0, 0)$ in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Consider the following real $2n$ -dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{C}^{2+2n} :

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \left\{ \left(0, -\mathfrak{p}_0 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right); z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right) : z \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n) \right\} \quad (3.28)$$

Observe that \mathcal{L}_0 is isotropic with respect to the real symplectic form

$$\text{Im}(\Omega) = \text{Im}(d\tau \wedge dt) + \text{Im} \sigma \quad (3.29)$$

on $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ and that

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \subset G^{-1}(0). \quad (3.30)$$

Let

$$\exp(tH_G^\Omega), \quad t \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}), \quad (3.31)$$

be the complex-time Hamilton flow of G with respect to the complex symplectic form Ω , defined in a neighborhood of $(0, 0; 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ for sufficiently small complex times t . We observe that the complex-time flow generated by H_G^Ω is given explicitly by

$$\exp(tH_G^\Omega)(0, \tau; z, \zeta) = (t, \tau; \kappa_t(z, \zeta)), \quad (t, \tau; z, \zeta) \in \text{neigh}((0, 0; 0, 0); \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}). \quad (3.32)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{L} := \bigcup_{t \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C})} \exp(tH_G^\Omega)(\mathcal{L}_0) \quad (3.33)$$

be the complex time flowout of the manifold \mathcal{L}_0 by $\exp(tH_G^\Omega)$. In view of (3.32), we have

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \left(t, -\mathfrak{p}_0 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right); \kappa_t \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right) \right) : t \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}), z \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n) \right\}, \quad (3.34)$$

when the flowout (3.33) is restricted to sufficiently small complex times. Also, from (3.11), (3.13), and the identities (3.9) and (3.10), we see that

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{t \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C})} \exp[(\text{Re } t)H_{\text{Im } G}^{\text{Im } \Omega}] \exp[(\text{Im } t)H_{\text{Re } G}^{\text{Im } \Omega}](\mathcal{L}_0), \quad (3.35)$$

where $\text{Re } G$ and $\text{Im } G$ are the real and imaginary parts of G , respectively. As is easily verified, the vector fields $H_{\text{Im } G}^{\text{Im } \Omega}$ and $H_{\text{Re } G}^{\text{Im } \Omega}$ are linearly independent and nowhere tangent to \mathcal{L}_0 in a neighborhood $(0, 0; 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Since $\dim \mathcal{L}_0 = 2n$, it follows from Hamilton-Jacobi theory that the manifold \mathcal{L} is Lagrangian for the real symplectic form $\text{Im}(\Omega)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

Let

$$\gamma = \text{Im}(\tau dt) + \text{Im}(\zeta dz) \in \Lambda^1(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}). \quad (3.36)$$

We observe that

$$d\gamma = \text{Im}(\Omega). \quad (3.37)$$

Because \mathcal{L} is Lagrangian with respect to $\text{Im}(\Omega)$, we have

$$d\gamma|_{\mathcal{L}} \equiv 0. \quad (3.38)$$

Let $\pi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be the restriction of the projection $(t, \tau; z, \zeta) \mapsto (t, z)$ to \mathcal{L} . Since Λ_{Φ_0} is transverse to the fiber $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ in \mathbb{C}^{2n} , the differential of π at $(0, 0; 0, 0) \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$d_{(0,0;0,0)}\pi : T_{(0,0;0,0)}\mathcal{L} \rightarrow T_{(0;0)}(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n) \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (3.39)$$

is an invertible linear transformation. Thus we may parametrize \mathcal{L} by $(t, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ in a neighborhood of $(0, 0; 0, 0)$ in \mathcal{L} . By (3.38) and Poincaré's lemma, there exists $\Phi \in C^\omega(\text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}) \times \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n); \mathbb{R})$, unique up to an overall additive constant, such that

$$\gamma(t, z) = -d\Phi(t, z), \quad t \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}), \quad z \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n). \quad (3.40)$$

From (3.36), we see that

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2i}(\tau dt - \bar{\tau} d\bar{t}) + \frac{1}{2i}(\zeta dz - \bar{\zeta} d\bar{z}), \quad (t, \tau; z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}. \quad (3.41)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} -d\Phi(t, z) &= -\partial_t \Phi(t, z) dt - \partial_{\bar{t}} \Phi(t, z) d\bar{t} \\ &\quad - \partial_z \Phi(t, z) dz - \partial_{\bar{z}} \Phi(t, z) d\bar{z}, \quad t \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}), \quad z \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

From (3.40), (3.41), and (3.42), it follows that

$$(t, \tau; z, \zeta) \in \text{neigh}((0, 0; 0, 0); \mathcal{L}) \quad (3.43)$$

if and only if

$$t \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}), \quad z \in \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n), \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \tau = \frac{2}{i} \partial_t \Phi(t, z), \\ \zeta = \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi(t, z). \end{cases} \quad (3.44)$$

Thus there is $0 < T \ll 1$ and $U = \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n)$ such that

$$\kappa_t(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} \cap U \times U) \cap U \times U = \Lambda_{\Phi_t}, \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (3.45)$$

where $D(0, T)$ denotes the open disc in \mathbb{C} with radius T and center 0,

$$\Phi_t := \Phi(t, \cdot) \in C^\omega(U), \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (3.46)$$

and

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_t} = \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_t(z) \right) : z \in U \right\}, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (3.47)$$

Adjusting Φ by a real constant if necessary, we may assume that

$$\Phi_t|_{t=0} = \Phi_0 \text{ in } U. \quad (3.48)$$

Also, it is true that Φ_t is the solution of a natural eikonal equation in $D(0, T) \times U$. Indeed, since \mathfrak{p}_0 is invariant under the flow κ_t , we have

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right) = \mathfrak{p}_0 \left(\kappa_t \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right) \right), \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad z \in U. \quad (3.49)$$

From (3.34), (3.43), (3.44), (3.48), and (3.49), we deduce that Φ_t solves the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} 2\partial_t \Phi_t(z) + i\mathfrak{p}_0 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_t(z) \right) = 0, & t \in D(0, T), \quad z \in U, \\ \Phi_t|_{t=0} = \Phi_0 \text{ in } U, \end{cases} \quad (3.50)$$

where $\partial_t = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\text{Re}t} - i\partial_{\text{Im}t})$. In the sequel, we shall refer to (3.50) as the *complex-time eikonal equation*.

Finally, we note that the function $\Phi_t \in C^\omega(U)$ is strictly plurisubharmonic in U for every $t \in D(0, T)$, i.e.

$$\Phi''_{t, \bar{z}z}(z) > 0, \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad z \in U. \quad (3.51)$$

To see why, we observe that since κ_t preserves the complex symplectic form σ , the manifold Λ_{Φ_t} is I -Lagrangian and R -symplectic for every $t \in D(0, T)$. Parametrizing Λ_{Φ_t} by $z \in U$, we find that

$$\sigma|_{\Lambda_{\Phi_t}} = \sum_{j=1}^n d \left(\frac{2}{i} \partial_{z_j} \Phi(z) \right) \wedge dz_j = \frac{2}{i} \sum_{k,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_t}{\partial \bar{z}_k \partial z_j} d\bar{z}_k \wedge dz_j. \quad (3.52)$$

Because the two-form on the righthand side of (3.52) is real, we see that

$$\text{Re} \sigma|_{\Lambda_{\Phi_t}} = \frac{2}{i} \sum_{k,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_t}{\partial \bar{z}_k \partial z_j} d\bar{z}_k \wedge dz_j. \quad (3.53)$$

As $\text{Re} \sigma|_{\Lambda_{\Phi_t}}$ is non-degenerate, we have

$$\det \Phi''_{t, \bar{z}z}(z) \neq 0, \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad z \in U. \quad (3.54)$$

Because also $\Phi_{0, \bar{z}z} > 0$ and $\Phi''_{t, \bar{z}z}$ depends continuously on t , it must therefore be the case that

$$\Phi''_{t, \bar{z}z}(z) > 0, \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad z \in U. \quad (3.55)$$

This discussion is summarized by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 ([32]). *Let $p_0 \in S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1, and let φ be an FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with associated strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 and complex canonical transformation $\kappa_\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Let*

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_0} = \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right) : z \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\}, \quad (3.56)$$

let $\mathfrak{p}_0 := p_0 \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hol}(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W)$, where W is a suitably small open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^{2n} , and let $\kappa_t = \exp(tH_{\mathfrak{p}_0})$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, be the complex-time Hamilton flow of \mathfrak{p}_0 , defined in $\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W$. Then there exists $0 < T \ll 1$, $U = \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n)$, and a unique $\Phi \in C^\omega(D(0, T) \times U; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\kappa_t(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} \cap U \times U) \cap U \times U = \Lambda_{\Phi_t}, \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (3.57)$$

and

$$\Phi_t|_{t=0} = \Phi_0 \text{ in } U, \quad (3.58)$$

where

$$\Phi_t := \Phi(t, \cdot) \in C^\omega(U; \mathbb{R}), \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (3.59)$$

and

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_t} := \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_t(z) \right) : z \in U \right\}, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (3.60)$$

The function Φ_t is strictly plurisubharmonic in U for each $t \in D(0, T)$, and Φ is a solution of the complex-time eikonal equation

$$\begin{cases} 2\partial_t \Phi_t(z) + i\mathfrak{p}_0 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_t(z) \right) = 0, & (t, z) \in D(0, T) \times U, \\ \Phi_t|_{t=0} = \Phi_0 \text{ in } U, \end{cases} \quad (3.61)$$

where $\partial_t = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\text{Re}t} - i\partial_{\text{Im}t})$.

Now we return our attention to eigenfunctions. Let $P = \text{Op}_h^w(p_0 + hp_1)$, and $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1. Let φ be any FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with associated FBI transformation \mathcal{T}_φ and strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 , and let $\kappa_\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be the complex linear canonical transformation generated by φ . For $j = 0, 1$, let $\mathfrak{p}_j := p_j \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hol}(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W)$, where W is a suitably small bounded open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^{2n} . Let $\kappa_t = \exp(tH_{\mathfrak{p}_0})$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$ be the complex-time Hamilton flow of \mathfrak{p}_0 , defined in $\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W$. Suppose that $0 < T \ll 1$, $U = \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n)$, and $\Phi \in C^\omega(D(0, T) \times U; \mathbb{R})$ are as in the conclusion of Proposition 3.1.

For each $t \in D(0, T)$ and each open subset $U_0 \subset U$, let $H_{\Phi_t}(U_0)$ be the Hilbert space

$$H_{\Phi_t}(U_0) := L^2(U_0, e^{-2\Phi_t(z)/h} L(dz)) \cap \text{Hol}(U_0), \quad (3.62)$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|v\|_{L_{\Phi_t}^2(U_0)}^2 := \int_{U_0} |v(z)|^2 e^{-2\Phi_t(z)/h} L(dz). \quad (3.63)$$

Here $\Phi_t = \Phi(t, \cdot) \in C^\omega(U)$, $t \in D(0, T)$. The main goal of this section is to show that there exists $\delta > 0$, $0 < T_0 < T$, $0 < C < \infty$, and $0 < h_0 \leq 1$, such that

$$\sup_{\substack{t \in D(0, T_0) \\ 0 < h \leq h_0}} \|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u\|_{L_{\Phi_t}^2(\{|z| < \delta\})} \leq C. \quad (3.64)$$

To begin the proof, let

$$\mathcal{U}(t, z; h) := \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(h)(z), \quad (t, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n, \quad 0 < h \leq 1. \quad (3.65)$$

Let

$$\tilde{P} = \text{Op}_{\Phi_0, h}^w(\mathfrak{p}_0 + h\mathfrak{p}_1). \quad (3.66)$$

By Egorov's theorem,

$$\tilde{P}\mathcal{U}(t, z; h) = 0, \quad (t, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n, \quad 0 < h \leq 1. \quad (3.67)$$

Thus \mathcal{U} is trivially a solution of the semiclassical Schrödinger initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} (hD_t + \tilde{P})\mathcal{U}(t, z; h) = 0, & (t, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n, \quad 0 < h \leq 1, \\ \mathcal{U}(0, z; h) = \mathcal{T}_\varphi u(h)(z), & z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad 0 < h \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.68)$$

where

$$D_t := \frac{1}{i}\partial_t, \quad \partial_t := \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\text{Re}t} - i\partial_{\text{Im}t}). \quad (3.69)$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough so that

$$\{|z| < 5\delta\} \subset\subset U. \quad (3.70)$$

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n; [0, 1])$ be such that

$$\chi(z) = 1, \quad |z| \leq 2\delta, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(z) = 0, \quad |z| \geq 3\delta, \quad (3.71)$$

and let $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n; [0, 1])$ be such that

$$\tilde{\chi}(z) = 1, \quad |z| \leq 4\delta, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\chi}(z) = 0, \quad |z| \geq 5\delta. \quad (3.72)$$

Let

$$\tilde{\Phi}_t := (1 - \tilde{\chi})\Phi_0 + \tilde{\chi}\Phi_t, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (3.73)$$

By construction, $\tilde{\Phi}_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n; \mathbb{R})$ for each $t \in D(0, T)$, and we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}_t(z) = \Phi_t(z), \quad |z| \leq 2\delta, \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (3.74)$$

and

$$\tilde{\Phi}_t(z) = \Phi_0(z), \quad |z| \geq 5\delta, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (3.75)$$

To each $\tilde{\Phi}_t$, we associate the Hilbert space

$$H_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz)) \cap \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n), \quad (3.76)$$

which is equipped with the inner product

$$(v_1, v_2)_{L_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)} := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} v_1(z) \overline{v_2(z)} e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz), \quad v_1, v_2 \in L_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}^2(\mathbb{C}^n), \quad (3.77)$$

inherited from

$$L_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}^2(\mathbb{C}^n) := L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz)). \quad (3.78)$$

Let

$$\|v\|_{L^2_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |v(z)|^2 e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz), \quad v \in L^2_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n), \quad (3.79)$$

denote the corresponding norm.

Consider the quantity

$$M_t := (\chi \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U})_{L^2_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n)}, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (3.80)$$

In view of (3.68), we have

$$hD_t M_t = - \left(\chi \tilde{P} \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \right)_{L^2_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n)} - \frac{2}{i} \left(\chi \partial_t \tilde{\Phi}_t \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \right)_{L^2_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n)} \quad (3.81)$$

Let $0 < T_0 < T$ be small enough so that

$$\Lambda_{\tilde{\Phi}_t} := \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \tilde{\Phi}_t(z) \right) : z \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\} \subset \Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W, \quad t \in D(0, T_0) \quad (3.82)$$

and

$$\tilde{\Phi}''_{t, \bar{z}z}(z) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad t \in D(0, T_0). \quad (3.83)$$

By taking T_0 smaller, we may make the quantity

$$\max_{k=0,1,2} \sup_{t \in D(0, T_0)} \|\nabla^k \tilde{\Phi}_t - \nabla^k \Phi_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)} \quad (3.84)$$

as small as we wish. Thus, if $0 < T_0 < T$ is sufficiently small, the Hilbert spaces $L^2_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $L^2_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ agree for every $t \in D(0, T_0)$ and have equivalent norms. We note, however, that these norms are not uniformly equivalent as $h \rightarrow 0^+$. From Lemma 12.7 of [35], we know that

$$\chi \tilde{P} = \mathcal{O}(1) : H_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow L^2_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n), \quad (3.85)$$

uniformly for $t \in D(0, T_0)$ and $0 < h \ll 1$. By the quantization-multiplication theorem (Proposition 12.10 in [35]), there is $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\chi \tilde{P} \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \right)_{L^2_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n)} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \chi(z) \left[\mathfrak{p}_0 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \tilde{\Phi}_t(z) \right) + h \mathfrak{p}_1 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \tilde{\Phi}_t(z); h \right) \right] |\mathcal{U}(t, z; h)|^2 e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz) \\ &+ \mathcal{O} \left(h \|\mathcal{U}\|_{L^2_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n)}^2 \right), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.86)$$

Thus

$$hD_t M_t = \text{I}(t; h) + \text{II}(t; h) + \text{III}(t; h), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (3.87)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I}(t; h) &:= i \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \chi(z) \left[2\partial_t \tilde{\Phi}_t(z) + i\mathfrak{p}_0 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \tilde{\Phi}_t(z) \right) \right] |\mathcal{U}(t, z; h)|^2 e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz), \\ \text{II}(t; h) &:= -h \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \chi(z) \mathfrak{p}_1 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \tilde{\Phi}_t(z); h \right) |\mathcal{U}(t, z; h)|^2 e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz), \end{aligned} \quad (3.88)$$

and $\text{III}(t; h)$ is such that

$$\text{III}(t; h) = \mathcal{O} \left(h \|\mathcal{U}\|_{L^2_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n)}^2 \right), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.89)$$

As a consequence of (3.72) and (3.73), we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}_t(z) = \Phi_t(z), \quad \partial_z \tilde{\Phi}_t(z) = \partial_z \Phi_t(z), \quad |z| \leq 3\delta, \quad t \in D(0, T_0). \quad (3.90)$$

From (3.90), (3.71), and (3.61), we deduce

$$\text{I}(t; h) = i \int_{|z| \leq 3\delta} \chi(z) \left[2\partial_t \Phi_t(z) + i\mathfrak{p}_0 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_t(z) \right) \right] |\mathcal{U}(t, z; h)|^2 e^{-2\Phi_t(z)/h} L(dz) = 0 \quad (3.91)$$

for all $t \in D(0, T_0)$ and $0 < h \leq h_0$. Since $p_1 \in S_{\text{Hol}}(m)$ and $\kappa_\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is linear, there exists $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left| \mathfrak{p}_1 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \tilde{\Phi}_t(z); h \right) \right| \leq C \langle z \rangle^N, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.92)$$

In particular, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathfrak{p}_1 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \tilde{\Phi}_t(z); h \right) \right| \leq C, \quad |z| \leq 3\delta, \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.93)$$

It follows that

$$\text{II}(t; h) = \mathcal{O}(hM_t), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.94)$$

Next, observe that

$$\|\mathcal{U}\|_{L^2_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}(\mathbb{C}^n)}^2 = M_t + \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (1 - \chi(z)) |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)|^2 e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.95)$$

Since χ satisfies (3.71), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (1 - \chi(z)) |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)|^2 e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz) \leq \int_{|z| \geq 2\delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)|^2 e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz), \quad (3.96)$$

for all $t \in D(0, T_0)$ and $0 < h \leq h_0$. From (3.72) and (3.73), we see that

$$\tilde{\Phi}_t(z) = \Phi_0(z), \quad |z| \geq 5\delta, \quad t \in D(0, T_0). \quad (3.97)$$

Hence

$$\int_{|z| \geq 2\delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)|^2 e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz) = A(t; h) + B(t; h), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (3.98)$$

where

$$A(t; h) := \int_{2\delta \leq |z| \leq 5\delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)|^2 e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} L(dz), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (3.99)$$

and

$$B(t; h) := \int_{|z| > 5\delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)|^2 e^{-2\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.100)$$

We note that $B(t; h)$ is independent of t . Taking h_0 smaller if necessary, we get from Corollary 2.4 that there are constants $C, c > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| \leq C e^{-c/h + \Phi_0(z)/h}, \quad 2\delta \leq |z| \leq 5\delta, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.101)$$

Since $\tilde{\Phi}_t$ depends continuously on t and $\tilde{\Phi}_0 = \Phi_0$, we may assume, after taking T_0 smaller if necessary, that

$$\left| \Phi_0(z) - \tilde{\Phi}_t(z) \right| \leq \frac{c}{2}, \quad |z| \leq 5\delta, \quad t \in D(0, T_0). \quad (3.102)$$

It follows that

$$|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)|^2 e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}_t(z)/h} \leq |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)|^2 e^{-2\Phi_0(z)/h} e^{c/h} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-c/h}), \quad 2\delta \leq |z| \leq 5\delta, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.103)$$

Thus

$$A(t; h) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-c/h}), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.104)$$

On the other hand, Proposition 2.2 gives

$$B(t; h) = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.105)$$

Putting (3.95), (3.104), and (3.105) together, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{U}\|_{L_{\tilde{\Phi}_t}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)}^2 = M_t + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.106)$$

Then, from (3.89) and (3.106), we get

$$\text{III}(t; h) = \mathcal{O}(hM_t) + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.107)$$

Combining (3.87), (3.91), (3.95), and (3.107) therefore yields

$$hD_t M_t = \mathcal{O}(hM_t) + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.108)$$

We conclude that

$$\partial_t M_t = \mathcal{O}(M_t) + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty), \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.109)$$

Let $\alpha \in \{|z| = 1\}$ be arbitrary. The function

$$(-T_0, T_0) \ni s \mapsto M_{\alpha s} \quad (3.110)$$

is smooth and real-valued. By the chain rule,

$$\frac{d}{ds} M_{\alpha s} = \partial_t M_t|_{t=\alpha s} \alpha + \partial_{\bar{t}} M_t|_{t=\alpha s} \bar{\alpha} = 2\operatorname{Re}(\partial_t M_t|_{t=\alpha s} \alpha), \quad s \in (-T_0, T_0). \quad (3.111)$$

Bounding the righthand side of (3.111) using (3.109), we find that for any $N > 0$, there is $C > 0$, independent of α , such that

$$\frac{d}{ds} M_{\alpha s} \leq C M_{\alpha s} + C h^N, \quad s \in (-T_0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.112)$$

By Gronwall's inequality, for any $N > 0$, there is $C > 0$, independent of α , such that

$$M_{\alpha s} \leq C M_0 + C h^N, \quad s \in [0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.113)$$

Since

$$M_0 \leq \|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u\|_{L^2_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{C}^n)}^2 = 1, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (3.114)$$

and (3.113) holds for any $\alpha \in \{|z| = 1\}$, we conclude, after taking h_0 smaller if necessary, that

$$M_t \leq C, \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0, \quad (3.115)$$

for some constant $0 < C < \infty$. In view of (3.71), (3.72), and (3.115), we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u\|_{L^2_{\Phi_t}(\{|z| < \delta\})} \leq M_t^{1/2} < C^{1/2}, \quad t \in D(0, T_0), \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (3.116)$$

Therefore it is true that (3.64) holds for some $\delta > 0$ and $0 < T_0 < T$. We have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $P = Op_h^w(p_0 + hp_1)$ and $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1. Let φ be any FBI phase function on \mathbb{C}^{2n} with associated FBI transformation \mathcal{T}_φ and strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 , and let $\kappa_\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be the complex linear canonical transformation generated by φ . Let $\mathfrak{p}_j := p_j \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1} \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W)$, $j = 0, 1$, where W is a suitably small bounded open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^{2n} , and let $\kappa_t = \exp(tH_{\mathfrak{p}_0})$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$ be the complex-time Hamilton flow of \mathfrak{p}_0 , defined in $\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W$. Suppose that $0 < T \ll 1$, $U = \operatorname{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n)$, and $\Phi \in C^\omega(D(0, T) \times U; \mathbb{R})$ are such that*

$$\kappa_t(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} \cap U \times U) \cap U \times U = \Lambda_{\Phi_t}, \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (3.117)$$

and

$$\Phi_t|_{t=0} = \Phi_0 \text{ in } U, \quad (3.118)$$

where

$$\Phi_t = \Phi(t, \cdot) \in C^\omega(U; \mathbb{R}), \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (3.119)$$

and

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_t} = \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_t(z) \right) : z \in U \right\}, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (3.120)$$

Then there are constants $\delta > 0$, $0 < T_0 < T$, $0 < C < \infty$, and $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{t \in D(0, T_0) \\ 0 < h \leq h_0}} \|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u\|_{L^2_{\Phi_t}(\{|z| < \delta\})} \leq C. \quad (3.121)$$

4 The Conclusion of the Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let p_0 be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1. We start this section by showing that there exists an FBI phase function φ on \mathbb{C}^{2n} whose associated complex linear canonical transformation $\kappa_\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is such that the quadratic approximation \mathfrak{q} to $\mathfrak{p}_0 := p \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1}$ at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ has the convenient form

$$\mathfrak{q}(z, \zeta) = Mz \cdot \zeta, \quad (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}. \quad (4.1)$$

where M is a suitable complex $n \times n$ matrix.

Let q be the quadratic approximation to p_0 at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Since q is elliptic along its singular space S , it follows from Proposition 2.0.1 of [13] that there exists a symplectic splitting of the coordinates of \mathbb{R}^{2n} ,

$$\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^{2n'} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n''}, \quad n = n' + n'', \quad 0 \leq n', n'' \leq n, \quad (x, \xi) = (x', \xi'; x'', \xi''), \quad (4.2)$$

and an \mathbb{R} -linear canonical transformation $\kappa_{\mathfrak{R}} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such that

$$(q \circ \kappa_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-1})(x, \xi) = q_1(x', \xi') + q_2(x'', \xi''), \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad (4.3)$$

where q_1 is a complex-valued quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n'}$ having non-negative real part $\operatorname{Re} q_1 \geq 0$ and trivial singular space, $S_1 = \{0\}$, and q_2 is a purely imaginary quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n''}$ of the form

$$q_2(x'', \xi'') = i\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n''} \lambda_j (|x_j''|^2 + |\xi_j''|^2), \quad (x'', \xi'') \in \mathbb{R}^{2n''}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\lambda_j > 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n''$. We will show that there exist FBI phase functions φ_1 and φ_2 on $\mathbb{C}^{2n'}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{2n''}$, respectively, with associated complex linear canonical transformations $\kappa_{\varphi_1} : \mathbb{C}^{2n'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n'}$ and $\kappa_{\varphi_2} : \mathbb{C}^{2n''} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n''}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{q}_1(z', \zeta') := (q_1 \circ \kappa_{\varphi_1}^{-1})(z', \zeta') = M_1 z' \cdot \zeta', \quad (z', \zeta') \in \mathbb{C}^{2n'}, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{q}_2(z'', \zeta'') := (q_2 \circ \kappa_{\varphi_2}^{-1})(z'', \zeta'') = M_2 z'' \cdot \zeta'', \quad (z'', \zeta'') \in \mathbb{C}^{2n''}, \quad (4.6)$$

for some $M_1 \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n' \times n'}(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_2 \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n'' \times n''}(\mathbb{C})$.

We begin by proving the existence of an FBI phase function φ_1 on $\mathbb{C}^{2n'}$ such that (4.5) holds. For this, we will closely follow the presentation of Section 2 of [39]. The method we employ originates from the works [18] and [37]. Let $\mathbb{C}^{2n'} = \mathbb{C}_{z'}^{n'} \times \mathbb{C}_{\zeta'}^{n'}$ be equipped with the standard complex symplectic form $\sigma^{(1)} = d\zeta' \wedge dz' \in \Lambda^{(2,0)}(\mathbb{C}^{2n'})$. Let F_1 be the Hamilton matrix of q_1 . Since the singular space S_1 of q_1 is trivial, $S_1 = \{0\}$, we know from the work [13] that F_1 possesses no real eigenvalues. It follows that

$$\#\{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}(F_1) : \operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0\} = \#\{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}(F_1) : \operatorname{Im} \lambda < 0\}, \quad (4.7)$$

when counting algebraic multiplicities. For $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}(F_1)$, let us denote the generalized eigenspace of F_1 corresponding to $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}(F_1)$ by

$$V_\lambda = \ker((F_1 - \lambda I)^{2n}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n'}. \quad (4.8)$$

Next, let

$$\Lambda^+ := \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(F_1) \\ \text{Im } \lambda > 0}} V_\lambda, \quad \Lambda^- := \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(F_1) \\ \text{Im } \lambda < 0}} V_\lambda, \quad (4.9)$$

denote the stable outgoing and stable incoming manifolds for the quadratic form $-iq_1$, respectively. From Proposition 2.1 of the work [37], we know that Λ^+ is a strictly positive \mathbb{C} -Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2n'}$ and that Λ^- is a strictly negative \mathbb{C} -Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2n'}$. For background on positive and negative \mathbb{C} -Lagrangian subspaces of \mathbb{C}^{2n} , see [17] and [7]. From the discussion on pages 488-489 of [17], we may thus conclude that there is an FBI phase function φ_1 on $\mathbb{C}^{2n'}$ such that the complex linear canonical transformation $\kappa_{\varphi_1} : \mathbb{C}^{2n'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n'}$ generated by φ_1 satisfies

$$\kappa_{\varphi_1}(\Lambda^+) = \{(z', 0) : z' \in \mathbb{C}^{n'}\}, \quad \kappa_{\varphi_1}(\Lambda^-) = \{(0, \zeta') : \zeta' \in \mathbb{C}^{n'}\}. \quad (4.10)$$

Let

$$\Phi_0^{(1)}(z') := \max_{y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n'}} (-\text{Im } \varphi_1(z', y')), \quad z' \in \mathbb{C}^{n'}, \quad (4.11)$$

be the strictly plurisubharmonic weight on $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$ associated to φ_1 , and let

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_0^{(1)}} = \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_{z'} \Phi_0^{(1)}(z') \right) : z' \in \mathbb{C}^{n'} \right\}. \quad (4.12)$$

The subspace $\Lambda_{\Phi_0^{(1)}}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{2n'}$ is I -Lagrangian and R -symplectic for the complex symplectic form $\sigma^{(1)}$, and we have

$$\kappa_{\varphi_1}(\mathbb{R}^{2n'}) = \Lambda_{\Phi_0^{(1)}}. \quad (4.13)$$

Since Λ^+ is a strictly positive \mathbb{C} -Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2n'}$, the base $\{(z', 0) : z' \in \mathbb{C}^{n'}\}$ is a \mathbb{C} -Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2n'}$ that is strictly positive relative to $\Lambda_{\Phi_0^{(1)}}$. As explained in Chapter 11 of [31], we may therefore conclude that the strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form $\Phi_0^{(1)}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$ is in fact strictly convex.

Let

$$\mathfrak{q}_1 := q \circ \kappa_{\varphi_1}^{-1} \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^{2n'}). \quad (4.14)$$

Since V_λ is invariant under F_1 for every $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(F_1)$, we know that Λ^+ and Λ^- are both invariant under F_1 . Since also Λ^+ and Λ^- are Lagrangian with respect to $\sigma^{(1)}$, we have

$$q_1(Z') = \sigma^{(1)}(Z', FZ') = 0, \quad Z' \in \Lambda^+ \cup \Lambda^-. \quad (4.15)$$

From (4.10), (4.14), and (4.15), we deduce that

$$\mathfrak{q}_1(z', \zeta') = M_1 z' \cdot \zeta', \quad (z', \zeta') \in \mathbb{C}^{2n'}, \quad (4.16)$$

for some $M_1 \in \text{Mat}_{n' \times n'}(\mathbb{C})$. Thus φ_1 is an FBI phase function on $\mathbb{C}^{2n'}$ satisfying (4.5).

Now we prove that there is an FBI phase function φ_2 on $\mathbb{C}^{2n''}$ satisfying (4.6). Let $\mathbb{C}^{2n''} = \mathbb{C}_{z''}^{n''} \times \mathbb{C}_{\zeta''}^{n''}$ be equipped with the complex symplectic form $\sigma^{(2)} = d\zeta'' \wedge dz'' \in \Lambda^{(2,0)}(\mathbb{C}^{2n''})$. Let

$$\varphi_2(z'', y'') = \frac{i}{2}(z'')^2 - i\sqrt{2}z'' \cdot y'' + \frac{i}{2}y'' \cdot y'', \quad (z'', y'') \in \mathbb{C}^{2n''}, \quad (4.17)$$

be the ‘standard FBI phase’ on $\mathbb{C}^{2n''}$ (see the discussion on pages 304-306 of [41]). A straightforward computation shows that the complex linear canonical transformation $\kappa_{\varphi_2} : \mathbb{C}^{2n''} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n''}$ generated by φ_2 is

$$\kappa_{\varphi_2}(x'', \xi'') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x'' - i\xi'', \xi'' - ix''), \quad (x'', \xi'') \in \mathbb{C}^{2n''}. \quad (4.18)$$

The inverse of κ_{φ_2} is then easily seen to be

$$\kappa_{\varphi_2}^{-1}(z'', \zeta'') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z'' + i\zeta'', \zeta'' + iz''), \quad (z'', \zeta'') \in \mathbb{C}^{2n''}. \quad (4.19)$$

A direct computation using (4.17) shows that the strictly plurisubharmonic weight associated to φ_2 is

$$\Phi_0^{(2)}(z'') := \frac{|z''|^2}{2}, \quad z'' \in \mathbb{C}^{n''}, \quad (4.20)$$

and we have

$$\kappa_{\varphi_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2n''}) = \Lambda_{\Phi_0^{(2)}} := \left\{ \left(z'', \frac{\bar{z}''}{i} \right) : z'' \in \mathbb{C}^{n''} \right\}. \quad (4.21)$$

Using (4.4) and (4.19), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{q}_2(z'', \zeta'') &:= (q_2 \circ \kappa_{\varphi_2}^{-1})(z'', \zeta'') = i\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n''} \lambda_j \left(\left(\frac{z''_j + i\zeta''_j}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\zeta''_j + iz''_j}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= -2\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n''} \lambda_j z''_j \zeta''_j, \quad (z'', \zeta'') \in \mathbb{C}^{2n''}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Hence

$$\mathfrak{q}_2(z'', \zeta'') = M_2 z'' \cdot \zeta'', \quad (z'', \zeta'') \in \mathbb{C}^{2n''}, \quad (4.23)$$

where $M_2 \in \text{Mat}_{n'' \times n''}(\mathbb{C})$ is the diagonal matrix

$$M_2 = \text{diag}(-2\epsilon\lambda_1, \dots, -2\epsilon\lambda_{n''}). \quad (4.24)$$

Write

$$\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^{2n'} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n''}, \quad (z, \zeta) = (z', \zeta'; z'', \zeta''). \quad (4.25)$$

For $j = 0, 1$, let

$$\mathfrak{p}_j := p_j \circ (\kappa_{\mathfrak{R}}^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \circ (\kappa_{\varphi_1}^{-1} \times \kappa_{\varphi_2}^{-1}) \in \text{Hol} \left(\Lambda_{\Phi_0^{(1)}} \times \Lambda_{\Phi_0^{(2)}} + W_1 \times W_2 \right), \quad (4.26)$$

where W_1 and W_2 are sufficiently small bounded open neighborhoods of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^{2n'}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{2n''}$ respectively, and $\kappa_{\mathfrak{R}}^{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is the complexification of $\kappa_{\mathfrak{R}} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. From (4.3), (4.16), (4.22), and (4.23), we deduce that the quadratic approximation \mathfrak{q} to \mathfrak{p}_0 at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{q}(z, \zeta) := Mz \cdot \zeta, \quad (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}, \quad (4.27)$$

for the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}). \quad (4.28)$$

Let

$$\kappa = (\kappa_{\varphi_1} \times \kappa_{\varphi_2}) \circ \kappa_{\mathfrak{R}}^{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}. \quad (4.29)$$

We would like to introduce a metaplectic FBI transform \mathcal{T}_{φ} on \mathbb{R}^n whose underlying complex linear canonical transformation is κ . The existence of such an FBI transform is guaranteed by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a unique FBI phase function φ on \mathbb{C}^{2n} whose associated complex linear canonical transformation κ_{φ} is precisely κ ,*

$$\kappa_{\varphi} = \kappa. \quad (4.30)$$

Proof. Let

$$\Phi_0(z) := \Phi_0^{(1)}(z') + \Phi_0^{(2)}(z''), \quad z = (z', z'') \in \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^{n'} \times \mathbb{C}^{n''}. \quad (4.31)$$

Since $\Phi_0^{(1)}$ and $\Phi_0^{(2)}$ are strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic forms on $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n''}$, respectively, the function Φ_0 is a strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^n . Let

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_0} := \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_0(z) \right) : z \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\}. \quad (4.32)$$

Thus

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_0} = \Lambda_{\Phi_0^{(1)}} \times \Lambda_{\Phi_0^{(2)}}. \quad (4.33)$$

From (4.13), (4.21), and (4.33), we deduce that

$$(\kappa_{\varphi_1} \times \kappa_{\varphi_2})(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) = \Lambda_{\Phi_0}. \quad (4.34)$$

Since we also have

$$\kappa_{\mathfrak{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) = \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad (4.35)$$

it follows that

$$\kappa(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) = \Lambda_{\Phi_0}. \quad (4.36)$$

The existence and uniqueness of an FBI phase function φ on \mathbb{C}^{2n} such that (4.30) holds now follows from well-known arguments. For the details, the reader may consult, for instance, the discussion on pages 393-394 of [32]. \square

Having shown that κ is generated by an FBI phase function φ on \mathbb{C}^{2n} , we may now study the evolution of the subspace Λ_{Φ_0} introduced in (4.32) by the complex-time Hamilton flow generated by $\mathfrak{p}_0 := p_0 \circ \kappa^{-1}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let p_0 be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1, let φ be as in the statement of Proposition 4.1, let Φ_0 be the strictly plurisubharmonic weight associated to φ , let $\kappa_\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be the complex linear canonical transformation generated by φ , let $\mathfrak{p}_0 = p_0 \circ \kappa_\varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hol}(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W)$, where W is a sufficiently small open bounded neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n , and let $\kappa_t = \exp(tH_{\mathfrak{p}_0})$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, be the complex-time Hamilton flow of \mathfrak{p}_0 in $\Lambda_{\Phi_0} + W$. Suppose that $0 < T \ll 1$, $U = \text{neigh}(0; \mathbb{C}^n)$, and $\Phi \in C^\omega(D(0, T) \times U; \mathbb{R})$ are such that*

$$\kappa_t(\Lambda_{\Phi_0} \cap U \times U) \cap U \times U = \Lambda_{\Phi_t}, \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (4.37)$$

and

$$\Phi_t|_{t=0} = \Phi_0 \text{ in } U, \quad (4.38)$$

where

$$\Phi_t = \Phi(t, \cdot) \in C^\omega(U; \mathbb{R}), \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (4.39)$$

and

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_t} = \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_t(z) \right) : z \in U \right\}, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (4.40)$$

Then, for every $0 < T_0 < T$, there exists $t_0 \in D(0, T_0) \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, and $c > 0$ such that

$$\Phi_0(z) - \Phi_{t_0}(z) \geq c|z|^2, \quad |z| < \delta. \quad (4.41)$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, the function Φ satisfies the complex-time eikonal equation

$$\begin{cases} 2\partial_t \Phi_t(z) + i\mathfrak{p}_0 \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Phi_t(z) \right) = 0, & (t, z) \in D(0, T) \times U, \\ \Phi_t|_{t=0} = \Phi_0 \text{ in } U, \end{cases} \quad (4.42)$$

where $\partial_t = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\text{Re}t} - i\partial_{\text{Im}t})$. Since p_0 vanishes to second order at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ and $\kappa_\varphi(0) = 0$, the symbol \mathfrak{p}_0 must also vanish to second order at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Thus the complex Hamilton vector field of $H_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ of \mathfrak{p}_0 vanishes at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$,

$$H_{\mathfrak{p}_0}|_0 = 0. \quad (4.43)$$

Therefore

$$\kappa_t(0) = 0, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (4.44)$$

This observation, in conjunction with (4.37), implies that

$$\partial_z \Phi_t(0) = 0, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (4.45)$$

Hence, when we take $z = 0$ in (4.42), we obtain that

$$\partial_t \Phi_t(0) = 0, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (4.46)$$

Because Φ_0 is quadratic, we have $\Phi_0(0) = 0$, and so

$$\Phi_t(0) = 0, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (4.47)$$

We conclude that

$$\Phi_t \text{ vanishes to 2nd order at } z = 0 \text{ for all } t \in D(0, T). \quad (4.48)$$

Let $\Xi \in C^\omega(D(0, T) \times \mathbb{C}^n; \mathbb{R})$ be the unique analytic function on $D(0, T) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\Xi_t := \Xi(t, \cdot)$ is the quadratic approximation to Φ_t at $z = 0$ in \mathbb{C}^n for each $t \in D(0, T)$, i.e. Ξ_t is the unique real quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^n such that

$$\Phi_t(z) = \Xi_t(z) + \mathcal{O}(|z|^3), \quad |z| \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (4.49)$$

for each fixed $t \in D(0, T)$. Note that, by Proposition 3.1, Φ_t is strictly plurisubharmonic in U for each $t \in D(0, T)$, and hence Ξ_t is a strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^n for each $t \in D(0, T)$, i.e.

$$\Xi''_{t, \bar{z}z} > 0, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (4.50)$$

Taylor expanding (4.42) to second order about the origin $z = 0$ shows that Ξ is the unique solution of the quadratic complex-time eikonal equation

$$\begin{cases} 2\partial_t \Xi_t(z) + i\mathfrak{q}\left(z, \frac{2}{i}\partial_z \Xi_t(z)\right) = 0, & (t, z) \in D(0, T) \times \mathbb{C}^n, \\ \Xi_t|_{t=0} = \Phi_0 \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^n, \end{cases} \quad (4.51)$$

where \mathfrak{q} is the quadratic approximation to \mathfrak{p}_0 at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Let us make the following splitting of coordinates in \mathbb{C}^n :

$$\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^{n'} \times \mathbb{C}^{n''}, \quad z = (z', z''), \quad (4.52)$$

where $0 \leq n', n'' \leq n$ are as in (4.2). We search for a solution to (4.51) of the form

$$\Xi(t, z) = \Xi^{(1)}(t, z') + \Xi^{(2)}(t, z''), \quad (t, z) \in D(0, T) \times \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (4.53)$$

where $\Xi^{(1)} \in C^\omega(D(0, T) \times \mathbb{C}^{n'}; \mathbb{R})$, $\Xi^{(2)} \in C^\omega(D(0, T) \times \mathbb{C}^{n''}; \mathbb{R})$, and $\Xi_t^{(1)} := \Xi^{(1)}(t, \cdot)$ and $\Xi_t^{(2)} := \Xi^{(2)}(t, \cdot)$ are strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic forms on $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n''}$ for each $t \in D(0, T)$, respectively. Since \mathfrak{q} is of the form (4.1), where the matrix M is given by (4.28), and since the strictly plurisubharmonic weight Φ_0 has the form (4.31), we see that (4.53) will be a solution of the problem (4.51) provided $\Xi_t^{(1)}$ and $\Xi_t^{(2)}$ solve the eikonal equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Xi_t^{(1)}(z') + M_1 z' \cdot \partial_{z'} \Xi_t^{(1)}(z') = 0, & (t, z') \in D(0, T) \times \mathbb{C}^{n'}, \\ \Xi_0^{(1)} = \Phi_0^{(1)} \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^{n'}, \end{cases} \quad (4.54)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Xi_t^{(2)}(z'') + M_2 z'' \cdot \partial_{z''} \Xi_t^{(2)}(z'') = 0, & (t, z'') \in D(0, T) \times \mathbb{C}^{n''}, \\ \Xi_0^{(2)} = \Phi_0^{(2)} \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^{n''}, \end{cases} \quad (4.55)$$

respectively. The problems (4.54) and (4.55) are globally well-posed in time $t \in \mathbb{C}$, and by inspection we see that their solutions are

$$\Xi_t^{(1)}(z') = \Phi_0^{(1)}(e^{-tM_1} z'), \quad (t, z') \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n'} \quad (4.56)$$

and

$$\Xi_t^{(2)}(z'') = \Phi_0^{(2)}(e^{-tM_2} z''), \quad (t, z'') \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n''}, \quad (4.57)$$

respectively. Thus the unique solution to (4.51) is

$$\Xi_t(z) = \Phi_0^{(1)}(e^{-tM_1} z') + \Phi_0^{(2)}(e^{-tM_2} z''), \quad (t, z) \in D(0, T) \times \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (4.58)$$

In view of (4.20) and (4.24), we have

$$\Xi_t(z) = \Phi_0^{(1)}(e^{-tM_1} z') + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n''} e^{4\operatorname{Re}(t)\epsilon\lambda_j} |z_j''|^2, \quad (t, z) \in D(0, T) \times \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (4.59)$$

Let $0 < T_0 < T$ be arbitrary. We claim that there exists $t_0 \in D(0, T_0) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\Phi_0(z) - \Xi_{t_0}(z) \geq c|z|^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (4.60)$$

for some $c > 0$. Indeed, let us search for such a complex-time t_0 of the form

$$t_0 = -\epsilon\rho - is \quad (4.61)$$

where $0 < s, \rho \ll 1$. Taking $t = t_0$ in (4.59) gives

$$\Xi_{t_0}(z) = \Phi_0^{(1)}(e^{isM_1 + \epsilon\rho M_1} z') + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n''} e^{-4\rho\lambda_j} |z_j''|^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (4.62)$$

By Taylor expansion, we have

$$\Phi_0^{(1)}(e^{isM_1 - \epsilon\rho M_1} z') = \Xi_{-is}^{(1)}(e^{-\epsilon\rho M_1} z') = \Xi_{-is}^{(1)}(z') + \mathcal{O}(\rho|z'|^2), \quad z' \in \mathbb{C}^{n'}, \quad 0 < s, \rho \ll 1. \quad (4.63)$$

From (4.54) and (4.16), we see that the s -dependent quadratic form Ξ_{-is} is the unique solution of the eikonal equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s \Xi_{-is}^{(1)}(z') + \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{q}_1(z', \frac{2}{i} \partial_{z'} \Xi_{-is}^{(1)}(z')) = 0, & (s, z') \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{C}^{n'}, \\ \Xi_{-is}^{(1)}|_{s=0} = \Phi_0^{(1)} \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^{n'}. \end{cases} \quad (4.64)$$

Since the quadratic form q_1 has trivial singular space, $S_1 = \{0\}$, we know from the results of Section 2 of [16] that there is $c > 0$ such that

$$\Phi_0^{(1)}(z') - \Xi_{-is}^{(1)}(z') \geq cs^{2k_0^{(1)}+1} |z'|^2, \quad z' \in \mathbb{C}^{n'}, \quad 0 \leq s \ll 1, \quad (4.65)$$

where $k_0^{(1)}$ is the smallest non-negative integer such that

$$\bigcap_{j=0}^{k_0^{(1)}} \ker [(\operatorname{Re} F_1)(\operatorname{Im} F_1)^j] \cap \mathbb{R}^{2n'} = \{0\}. \quad (4.66)$$

From (4.31), (4.20), (4.59), (4.62), (4.63), and (4.65), we deduce that there is $c > 0$ such that

$$\Phi_0(z) - \Xi_{t_0}(z) \geq cs^{2k_0^{(1)}+1} |z'|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho |z'|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n''} (1 - e^{-4\rho\lambda_j}) |z_j''|^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (4.67)$$

whenever $0 < s, \rho \ll 1$ are sufficiently small. Since $\lambda_j > 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n''$, for any $0 < s \ll 1$ sufficiently small, we can choose $0 < \rho \ll 1$ small enough so that the righthand side of (4.67) is bounded below by $c|z|^2$ for some $c > 0$. Thus, for any $0 < s \ll 1$, there is $0 < \rho \ll 1$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\Phi_0(z) - \Xi_{t_0}(z) \geq c|z|^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (4.68)$$

for the non-zero complex time t_0 given in (4.61). Taking s and ρ smaller if necessary, we may ensure that $|t_0| < T_0$. From (4.49) and (4.68), we conclude that there is $c > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\Phi_0(z) - \Phi_{t_0}(z) \geq c|z|^2, \quad |z| < \delta. \quad (4.69)$$

□

Remark 3. An alternative derivation of the quadratic complex-time eikonal equation (4.51) satisfied by Ξ_t may be obtained by considering the tangent spaces $T_0\Lambda_{\Phi_t}$ for $t \in D(0, T)$. Since $\kappa_t(0) = 0$ for all $t \in D(0, T)$, we have

$$T_0\Lambda_{\Phi_t} = d_0\kappa_t(T_0\Lambda_{\Phi_0}), \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (4.70)$$

where $d_0\kappa_t$ denotes the differential of κ_t at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Because Φ_t vanishes to second order at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for every $t \in D(0, T)$, we have a canonical identification

$$T_0\Lambda_{\Phi_t} \cong \Lambda_{\Xi_t}, \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (4.71)$$

where Λ_{Ξ_t} is the I -Lagrangian, R -symplectic, subspace of \mathbb{C}^{2n} given by

$$\Lambda_{\Xi_t} = \left\{ \left(z, \frac{2}{i} \partial_z \Xi_t(z) \right) : z \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\}, \quad t \in D(0, T). \quad (4.72)$$

Moreover, since \mathfrak{p}_0 vanishes to second order at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, we have

$$d_0\kappa_t = \exp(tH_q), \quad t \in D(0, T), \quad (4.73)$$

when we view $d_0\kappa_t$ as a \mathbb{C} -linear transformation $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. From (4.70), (4.71), and (4.73), it follows that the family of real quadratic forms $(\Xi_t)_{t \in D(0, T)}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda_{\Xi_t} = \exp(tH_q)(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}), & t \in D(0, T), \\ \Xi_t|_{t=0} = \Phi_0. \end{cases} \quad (4.74)$$

Reasoning similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.1, we find that Ξ_t solves the quadratic complex-time eikonal equation (4.51).

We now conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 following the argument sketched in the introduction to this paper. Let $P = \text{Op}_h^w(p_0 + hp_1)$ and $u = u(h) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1, and let $\varphi, \Phi_0, U, 0 < T \ll 1$, and Φ be as in the statement of Proposition 4.2. Let \mathcal{T}_φ be the FBI transform on \mathbb{R}^n associated to the FBI phase function φ . By Proposition 3.2, there are constants $\delta > 0, 0 < T_0 < T, 0 < C < \infty$, and $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{t \in D(0, T_0) \\ 0 < h \leq h_0}} \|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u\|_{L_{\Phi_t}^2(\{|z| < \delta\})} \leq C, \quad (4.75)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{L_{\Phi_t}^2(\{|z| < \delta\})}$ is the norm

$$\|v\|_{L_{\Phi_t}^2(\{|z| < \delta\})}^2 = \int_{|z| < \delta} |v(z)|^2 e^{-2\Phi_t(z)/h} L(dz). \quad (4.76)$$

After taking $\delta > 0$ smaller if necessary, we get from Proposition 4.2 that there is $t_0 \in D(0, T_0) \setminus \{0\}$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\Phi_0(z) - \Phi^*(z) \geq c|z|^2, \quad |z| < \delta, \quad (4.77)$$

where

$$\Phi^* := \Phi_{t_0}. \quad (4.78)$$

Let N be a positive integer that is strictly larger than $n/4$. After taking $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ smaller if necessary, we obtain from Proposition 2.3 that for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ch^{\frac{n}{2p} - \frac{3n}{4}} \int_{|z| < \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) + Ch^N, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (4.79)$$

Thanks to (4.75), we have

$$\sup_{0 < h \leq h_0} \|\mathcal{T}_\varphi u\|_{L_{\Phi_{t_0}}^2(\{|z| < \delta\})} < C \quad (4.80)$$

for some $0 < C < \infty$. From (4.77) and (4.80), we may conclude that there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{|z| < \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi_0(z)/h} L(dz) \leq \int_{|z| < \delta} |\mathcal{T}_\varphi u(z)| e^{-\Phi^*(z)/h} e^{-c|z|^2/h} L(dz) \leq Ch^{\frac{n}{2}}, \quad (4.81)$$

where the second inequality follows from an application of Cauchy-Schwarz and the fact that

$$\left(\int_{|z| < \delta} e^{-c|z|^2/h} L(dz) \right)^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{n}{2}}), \quad (4.82)$$

which may be deduced from a direct calculation. Putting (4.79) and (4.81) together, we find that there is $0 < h_0 \leq 1$ such that for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we have

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \mathcal{O}(1)h^{\frac{n}{2p} - \frac{n}{4}} + \mathcal{O}(1)h^N = \mathcal{O}(1)h^{\frac{n}{2p} - \frac{n}{4}}, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0. \quad (4.83)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

References

- [1] Y. Almog and R. Henry. Spectral analysis of a complex Schrödinger operator in the semiclassical limit. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 48(4):2962–2993, 2016.
- [2] P. Alphonse. Quadratic Differential Equations: Partial Gelfand–Shilov Smoothing Effect and Null-Controllability. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, page 1–53, 2020.
- [3] P. Alphonse and J. Bernier. Polar Decomposition of Semigroups Generated by Non-Selfadjoint Quadratic Differential Operators and Regularizing Effects. page arXiv:1909.03662, September 2019.
- [4] B. Bellis and M. Hitrik. Semigroup expansions for non-selfadjoint Schrödinger operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 277(10):3586–3598, 2019.
- [5] L. Boutet de Monvel. Hypoelliptic operators with double characteristics and related pseudo-differential operators. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 27:585–639, 1974.
- [6] E. Carypis and P. Wahlberg. Propagation of Exponential Phase Space Singularities for Schrödinger Equations with Quadratic Hamiltonians. *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, 23(3):530–571, 2017.
- [7] L. Coburn, M. Hitrik, and J. Sjöstrand. Positivity, Complex FIOs, and Toeplitz Operators. *Pure Appl. Anal.*, 1(3):327–357, 2019.
- [8] E. B. Davies. Non-self-adjoint differential operators. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 34(5):513–532, 2002.
- [9] M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand. *Spectral Asymptotics in the Semi-Classical Limit*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [10] M. Hager and J. Sjöstrand. Eigenvalue asymptotics for randomly perturbed non-selfadjoint operators. *Math. Ann.*, 342(1):177–243, 2008.
- [11] B. Helffer and F. Nier. *Hypoelliptic estimates and spectral theory for Fokker-Planck operators and Witten Laplacians*, volume 1862 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [12] F. Hérau, J. Sjöstrand, and C. C. Stolk. Semiclassical analysis for the Kramers-Fokker-Planck equation. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 30(4-6):689–760, 2005.
- [13] M. Hitrik and K. Pravda-Starov. Spectra and Semigroup Smoothing for Non-Elliptic Quadratic Operators. *Mathematische Annalen*, 344(4):801–846, Jan 2009.
- [14] M. Hitrik and K. Pravda-Starov. Semiclassical Hypoelliptic Estimates for Non-Selfadjoint Operators with Double Characteristics. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 35(6):988–1028, 2010.
- [15] M. Hitrik and K. Pravda-Starov. Eigenvalues and Subelliptic Estimates for Non-Selfadjoint Semiclassical Operators with Double Characteristics. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 63(3):985–1032, 2013.

- [16] M. Hitrik, K. Pravda-Starov, and J. Viola. From Semigroups to Subelliptic Estimates for Quadratic Operators. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 370(10):7391–7415, May 2018.
- [17] M. Hitrik and J. Sjöstrand. Two Minicourses on Analytic Microlocal Analysis. *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics*, pages 483–540, 2018.
- [18] M. Hitrik, J. Sjöstrand, and J. Viola. Resolvent estimates for elliptic quadratic differential operators. *Anal. PDE*, 6(1):181–196, 2013.
- [19] L. Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007. Pseudo-differential operators, Reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [20] H. Koch and D. Tataru. L^p eigenfunction bounds for the Hermite operator. *Duke Math. J.*, 128(2):369–392, 2005.
- [21] H. Koch, D. Tataru, and M. Zworski. Semiclassical L^p estimates. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 8(5):885–916, 2007.
- [22] K. Krupchyk and G. Uhlmann. Bounds on eigenfunctions of semiclassical operators with double characteristics. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 106(1):25–46, 2018.
- [23] A. Martinez. *An Introduction to Semiclassical and Microlocal Analysis*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [24] A. Melin and J. Sjöstrand. Fourier integral operators with complex-valued phase functions. In *Fourier integral operators and partial differential equations (Colloq. Internat., Univ. Nice, Nice, 1974)*, pages 120–223. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 459. 1975.
- [25] K. Pravda-Starov. Contraction Semigroups of Elliptic Quadratic Differential Operators. *Math. Z.*, 259(2):363–391, 2008.
- [26] K. Pravda-Starov. Subelliptic Estimates for Quadratic Differential Operators. *Amer. J. Math.*, 133(1):39–89, 2011.
- [27] K. Pravda-Starov. Generalized Mehler Formula for Time-Dependent Non-Selfadjoint Quadratic Operators and Propagation of Singularities. *Mathematische Annalen*, 372(3):1335–1382, 2018.
- [28] K. Pravda-Starov, L. Rodino, and P. Wahlberg. Propagation of Gabor Singularities for Schrödinger Equations with Quadratic Hamiltonians. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 291(1):128–159, 2018.
- [29] J. Sjöstrand. Parametrics for pseudodifferential operators with multiple characteristics. *Ark. Mat.*, 12:85–130, 1974.
- [30] J. Sjöstrand. Applications of Fourier distributions with complex phase functions. In Jacques Chazarain, editor, *Fourier Integral Operators and Partial Differential Equations*, pages 255–282, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1975. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [31] J. Sjöstrand. Singularités Analytiques Microlocales. In *Astérisque*, 95, volume 95 of *Astérisque*, pages 1–166. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.

- [32] J. Sjöstrand. Analytic wavefront sets and operators with multiple characteristics. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 12(3, part 2):392–433, 1983.
- [33] J. Sjöstrand. Geometric Bounds on the Density of Resonances for Semiclassical Problems. *Duke Math. J.*, 60(1):1–57, 1990.
- [34] J. Sjöstrand. Function Spaces Associated to Global I -Lagrangian Manifolds. In *Structure of solutions of differential equations (Katata/Kyoto, 1995)*, pages 369–423. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1996.
- [35] J. Sjöstrand. *Lectures on Resonances*. version preliminaire, printemps, 2002.
- [36] J. Viola. Non-Elliptic Quadratic Forms and Semiclassical Estimates for Non-Selfadjoint Operators. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (20):4615–4671, 2013.
- [37] J. Viola. Spectral Projections and Resolvent Bounds for Partially Elliptic Quadratic Differential Operators. *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.*, 4(2):145–221, 2013.
- [38] P. Wahlberg. Propagation of Polynomial Phase Space Singularities for Schrödinger Equations with Quadratic Hamiltonians. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 122(1):107–140, Feb. 2018.
- [39] F. White. L^p -bounds for semigroups generated by non-elliptic quadratic differential operators. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2104.14613, April 2021.
- [40] F. White. Propagation of Global Analytic Singularities for Schrödinger Equations with Quadratic Hamiltonians. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2102.01474, February 2021.
- [41] M. Zworski. *Semiclassical Analysis*, volume 138 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.