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1. Introduction

Works of Holub [15] and Li [17] classify frames and its duals for Hilbert spaces using the standard orthonormal basis for the standard separable Hilbert space. In the course of classifying approximate Schauder frames for Banach spaces using standard Schauder bases for classical sequence spaces, we [16] introduced the notion of p-approximate Schauder frames (p-ASFs) for Banach spaces (for approximate Schauder frames see [14, 20]) which behaves in much more similar way that of frames for Hilbert spaces whose definition reads as follows. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a separable Banach space and $\mathcal{X}^*$ be its dual.

Definition 1.1. [16] An ASF $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ for $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be a p-approximate Schauder frame (p-ASF), $p \in [1, \infty)$ if the following conditions hold.

(i) The frame operator $S_{f,\tau} : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto S_{f,\tau} x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \tau_n \in \mathcal{X}$ is a well-defined bounded linear invertible operator.

(ii) The analysis operator $\theta_f : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \theta_f x := \{f_n(x)\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

(iii) The synthesis operator $\theta_\tau : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \ni \{a_n\}_n \mapsto \theta_\tau \{a_n\}_n := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tau_n \in \mathcal{X}$ is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

Constants $a, b > 0$ satisfying

$$a \|x\| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \tau_n \right\| \leq b \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$$

are called as lower ASF bound and upper ASF bound, respectively.
Since the frame operator $S_{f,\tau}$ is invertible, we have

\[ x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1})(x) \tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \tag{1} \]

It is also easy to see that both $(\{f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\})_{n}, \{\tau_n\}_{n}$ and $(\{f_n\}_{n}, \{S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n\})$ are p-ASFs for $\mathcal{X}$. In general, there are other p-ASFs that satisfy Equation (1). This leads to the following notion.

**Definition 1.2.** [16] Let $(\{f_n\}_{n}, \{\tau_n\}_{n})$ be a p-ASF for $\mathcal{X}$. A p-ASF $(\{g_n\}_{n}, \{\omega_n\}_{n})$ for $\mathcal{X}$ is a dual for $(\{f_n\}_{n}, \{\tau_n\}_{n})$ if

\[ x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) \tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \omega_n, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \]

Following theorem classifies duals of p-ASFs, where $\{e_n\}_{n}$ denotes the standard Schauder basis for $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}), p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\{\zeta_n\}_{n}$ denotes the coordinate functionals associated with $\{e_n\}_{n}$.

**Theorem 1.3.** [16] Let $(\{f_n\}_{n}, \{\tau_n\}_{n})$ be a p-ASF for $\mathcal{X}$. Then a p-ASF $(\{g_n\}_{n}, \{\omega_n\}_{n})$ for $\mathcal{X}$ is a dual for $(\{f_n\}_{n}, \{\tau_n\}_{n})$ if and only if

\[
g_n = f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + \zeta_n U - f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta \tau U,
\]

\[
\omega_n = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n + V e_n - V \theta f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
\]

such that the operator

\[ S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + VU - V \theta f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta U \]

is bounded invertible, where $U : \mathcal{X} \to \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ and $V : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathcal{X}$ are bounded linear operators.

It is known even in the case of Hilbert spaces that (see [10]) it is difficult to construct or verify whether a given collection is a dual for a frame. This leads to the notion of approximately dual frames by Christensen and Laugensen [10] which is motivated from the work of Li and Yan [18]. Following the paper [10], there is a plenty of activity on the notion of approximately dual frames and its applications to wavelet frames, Gabor systems, shift-invariant systems, localized frames, cross Gram matrices [3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11–13, 19] etc. This notion is also useful in the study of famous Mexican hat problem [5, 6]. One can see Chapter 6 in the monograph [7] which gives a snapshot of approximately dual frames for Hilbert spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to study the notion of approximately dual frames for p-approximately Schauder frames for Banach spaces. One can naturally ask whether the notion of approximately dual frames can be defined for approximately Schauder frames. It seems that one can formulate the notion but it is difficult to study further due to the failure of factorization property of approximaly Schauder frames.
2. **Approximately dual p-approximate Schauder frames**

To define the notion of approximately dual frames for Hilbert spaces one need not consider frames, the notion of Bessel sequences suffices. To do the same thing for Banach spaces we first weaken Definition 1.1. Our motivation comes from a characterization of Bessel sequences for Hilbert spaces which reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** [7] For a collection \( \{\tau_n\}_n \) in a separable Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \), the following are equivalent.

(i) \( \{\tau_n\}_n \) is a Bessel sequence for \( \mathcal{H} \), i.e., there exist \( b > 0 \) such that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle h, \tau_n \rangle|^2 \leq b \|h\|^2, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}. \)

(ii) The map \( \mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto \{\langle h, \tau_n \rangle\}_n \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \) is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

(iii) The map \( \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \ni \{a_n\}_n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tau_n \in \mathcal{H} \) is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

**Theorem 2.1** leads to the following in Banach spaces.

**Definition 2.2.** A pair \( (\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n) \) is said to be a **p-approximate Bessel sequence** for \( \mathcal{X} \) (p-ABS), \( p \in [1, \infty) \) if the following conditions hold.

(i) The analysis operator \( \theta_f : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \theta_f x := \{f_n(x)\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \) is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

(ii) The synthesis operator \( \theta_r : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \ni \{a_n\}_n \mapsto \theta_r \{a_n\}_n := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tau_n \in \mathcal{X} \) is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

Constants \( c, d > 0 \) satisfying

\[
\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X},
\]

\[
\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tau_n \right\| \leq d \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall \{a_n\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}),
\]

are called as analysis and synthesis bounds, respectively.

Following result gives examples of p-ABS and it also characterizes them.

**Theorem 2.3.** A pair \( (\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n) \) is a p-ABS for \( \mathcal{X} \) if and only if

\[
f_n = \zeta_n U, \quad \tau_n = V e_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

where \( U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N}), \ V : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \) are bounded linear operators.

**Proof.** (\( \Rightarrow \)) It is easy to see that \( \theta_f \) and \( \theta_r \) are bounded linear operators.

(\( \Leftarrow \)) Define \( U := \theta_f, \ V := \theta_r \). Then \( \zeta_n U x = \zeta_n \theta_f x = \zeta_n (\{f_k(x)\}_k) = f_n(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \ V e_n = \theta_r e_n = \tau_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \) \( \square \)

The idea behind the notion of approximate dual frames is to weaken the duality condition in Definition 1.2.
**Definition 2.4.** Let \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) and \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) be two p-ABSs for \( X \). We say that they are approximately dual p-ABSs if

\[
\|I_X - \theta_\omega \theta_f\| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|I_X - \theta_f \theta_g\| < 1.
\]

In addition, if \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) and \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) are p-ASFs for \( X \), then we say that they are approximately dual p-ASFs.

In the next example we see that both conditions in Definition 2.4 are independent, this is contrast to the situation of Hilbert spaces in which adjoint operation reveals that one condition is enough.

**Example 2.5.** Let \( R \) be the right-shift and \( L \) be the left-shift operator on \( \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \). Define \( f_n := \zeta_n, \tau_n := R e_n, g_n := \zeta_n L, \omega_n := e_n \). Then \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) and \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) are p-ABSs for \( \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \) but

\[
\|I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_\omega \theta_f\| = \|I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})}\| = 1,
\]

\[
\|I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f \theta_g\| = \|I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - R L\| = 1.
\]

In the next example we show that there are p-ABSs which are not p-ASFs. This is in contrast with Hilbert space situation. Using Lemma 6.3.2 in [7] we can show that whenever two Bessel sequences for a Hilbert space are approximately duals, then they are necessarily frames.

**Example 2.6.** Let \( R \) and \( L \) be as in Example 2.5. Define \( f_n := \zeta_n, \tau_n := Le_n, g_n := \zeta_n R, \omega_n := e_n \). Then \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) and \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) are p-ABSs for \( \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \) and

\[
\|I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_\omega \theta_f\| = \|I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})}\| < 1,
\]

\[
\|I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f \theta_g\| = \|I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - R L\| < 1.
\]

Hence \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) and \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) are approximately dual p-ABSs for \( \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \). Now note that \( \theta_f = L \) and \( \theta_\omega \theta_g = R \). Since both left and right shift operators are not invertible, both \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) and \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) are not p-ASFs for \( \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \).

**Remark 2.7.** (i) Inequalities in Definition 2.4 say that both \( S_{f,\omega} \) and \( S_{g,\tau} \) are invertible. Thus if \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) and \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) are approximately dual p-ABSs for \( X \), then \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) and \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) are p-ASFs for \( X \).

(ii) Proposition 2.13 in [16] says that dual p-ASFs are always approximately dual p-ASFs. Since dual p-ASF always exists for every p-ASF, it follows that every p-ASF has approximate dual p-ASF.

Definition 2.4 gives the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.8.** If \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) and \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) are approximately dual p-ABSs for \( X \), then

\[
\left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\omega_n \right\| < \|x\| \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)\tau_n \right\| < \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in X \setminus \{0\}.
\]
Proof. Given \(x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{0\},\)
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\omega_n \right\| &= \| I_X x - \theta x \theta_f x \| \leq \| I_X x - \theta \omega \theta_f \| \| x \| < \| x \|, \\
\left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)\tau_n \right\| &= \| I_X x - \theta \tau x \theta_g \| \leq \| I_X x - \theta \tau \theta_g \| \| x \| < \| x \|. 
\end{align*}
\]

\(\square\)

Our next agenda gives a complete description of p-ABSs and p-AFS’s. For this purpose, we weaken Definition [12].

Definition 2.9. Let \((\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)\) be a p-ABS for \(\mathcal{X}\). A p-ABS \((\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)\) for \(\mathcal{X}\) is a dual for \((\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)\) if
\[
x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)\tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\omega_n, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.
\]

In the case of Hilbert spaces, existence of dual Bessel sequence forces the Bessel sequence to a frame (Lemma 6.3.2 in [5]). However, Example 2.6 reveals that existence of dual Bessel sequences do not imply that they are frames. In the next proposition we show that approximate duals generate duals whose proof is a routine computation.

Proposition 2.10. Let \((\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)\) and \((\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)\) be approximately dual p-ABSs for \(\mathcal{X}\). Then \((\{g_nS^{-1}_g]\tau_n, \{S^{-1}_f\omega_n\}_n)\) is a dual p-ABS for \((\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)\) and \((\{f_nS^{-1}_f\}_n, \{S^{-1}_g\tau_n\}_n)\) is a dual p-ABS for \((\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)\).

An operator-theoretic description of approximately duals can be given which is illustrated in the next result.

Theorem 2.11. Let \((\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)\) be a p-ABS for \(\mathcal{X}\). A p-ABS \((\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)\) for \(\mathcal{X}\) is an approximately dual p-ABS for \((\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)\) if and only if there exist bounded linear operators \(U, V : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}\) satisfying \(\| I_X - U \| < 1\) and \(\| I_X - V \| < 1\) such that \((\{h_n := g_nU^{-1}\}_n, \{\rho_n := V^{-1}\omega_n\}_n)\) is a dual for \((\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)\). Statement holds even if p-ABS is replaced by p-ASF.

Proof. \((\Rightarrow)\) Define \(U := \theta \tau \theta_g\) and \(V := \theta \omega \theta_f\). We then have \(\| I_X - U \| < 1\), \(\| I_X - V \| < 1\) and
\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(x)\tau_n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(U^{-1}x)\tau_n = \theta \tau \theta_g U^{-1}x = UX = x, \\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\rho_n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(U^{-1})\omega_n = V^{-1}\theta \omega \theta_f = UX = x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.
\end{align*}
\]
Hence \((\{h_n\}_n, \{\rho_n\}_n)\) is a dual for \((\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)\).
(⇐) A direct computation says that \( \theta_h = \theta_g U^{-1} \) and \( \theta_\rho = V^{-1} \theta_\omega \). Since \( \{h_n\}_n, \{\rho_n\}_n \) is a dual for \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \), we get that
\[
\|I_X - \theta_\omega \theta_f\| = \|I_X - V \theta_\rho \theta_f\| = \|I_X - V\| < 1, \\
\|I_X - \theta_r \theta_g\| = \|I_X - \theta_r \theta_h U\| = \|I_X - U\| < 1.
\]
Hence \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) is an approximately dual p-ABS for \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \). \( \square \)

Theorem 2.11 when combined with Theorem 1.3 gives the following result for approximate dual frames.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) be a p-ASF for \( \mathcal{X} \). A p-ASF \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) for \( \mathcal{X} \) is an approximately dual p-ASF for \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) if and only if there exist bounded linear operators \( U, V : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, A : \mathcal{X} \to \ell^p(\mathbb{N}), B : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathcal{X} \) satisfying \( \|I_X - U\| < 1, \|I_X - V\| < 1, \)
\[
g_n = f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1} U + \zeta_n AU - f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_r AU, \\
\omega_n = V S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n + V Be_n - V B \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
\]
such that the operator
\[
S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + BA - V \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_r A
\]
is bounded invertible.

In [10], Christensen and Laugensen constructed iterations which construct approximately duals at each stage and converge to identity operator in operator norm. Here we have a similar result for p-ABSs.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) be a p-ABS for \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) be a p-ABS for \( \mathcal{X} \) which is approximately dual for \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \).

(i) The dual p-ABS \( \{g_n S_{g,\tau}^{-1}\}_n, \{S_{f,\omega}^{-1} \omega_n\}_n \) for \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) can be written as
\[
g_n S_{g,\tau}^{-1} = g_n + \sum_{m=1}^\infty g_n (I_X - S_{g,\tau})^m, \\
S_{f,\omega}^{-1} \omega_n = \omega_n + \sum_{m=1}^\infty (I_X - S_{f,\omega})^m \omega_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
(ii) For \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), define
\[
h_n^{(N)} := g_n + \sum_{m=1}^N g_n (I_X - S_{g,\tau})^m, \\
\rho_n^{(N)} := \omega_n + \sum_{m=1}^N (I_X - S_{f,\omega})^m \omega_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Then \( \{ h_n^{(N)} \}_n, \{ \tau_n^{(N)} \}_n \) is a p-ABS and is dual for \( \{ f_n \}_n, \{ \tau_n \}_n \), for each \( N \in \mathbb{N} \). Moreover,

\[
\| I_X - \theta_p^{(N)} \theta_f \| \leq \| I_X - S_{f,\omega} \|^N \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty,
\]

\[
\| I_X - \theta_p \theta_h^{(N)} \| \leq \| I_X - S_{g,\tau} \|^N \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty.
\]

\textbf{Proof.} 
(i) This follows from the Neumann series

\[
S_{g,\tau}^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (I_X - S_{g,\tau})^m, \quad S_{f,\omega}^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (I_X - S_{f,\omega})^m.
\]

(ii) Clearly \( \{ h_n^{(N)} \}_n, \{ \tau_n^{(N)} \}_n \) is a p-ABS. Consider

Hence \( \{ h_n^{(N)} \}_n, \{ \tau_n^{(N)} \}_n \) is a dual for \( \{ f_n \}_n, \{ \tau_n \}_n \). Now consider

\[
\theta_p^{(N)} \theta_f x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \rho_p^{(N)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \sum_{m=0}^{N} (I_X - S_{f,\omega})^m \omega_n
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (I_X - S_{f,\omega})^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \omega_n = \sum_{m=0}^{N} (I_X - S_{f,\omega})^m S_{f,\omega} x
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{N} (I_X - S_{f,\omega})^m (I_X - (I_X - S_{f,\omega}) x) = x - (I_X - S_{f,\omega})^N x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}
\]

\[
\implies \| I_X - \theta_p^{(N)} \theta_f \| \leq \| I_X - S_{f,\omega} \|^N.
\]

and

\[
\theta_p \theta_h^{(N)} x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n^{(N)}(x) \tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{N} g_n((I_X - S_{g,\tau})^m x) \tau_n
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n((I_X - S_{g,\tau})^m x) \tau_n = \sum_{m=0}^{N} S_{g,\tau}(I_X - S_{g,\tau})^m x
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{N} (I_X - (I_X - S_{g,\tau}))(I_X - S_{g,\tau})^m x = x - (I_X - S_{g,\tau})^N x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}
\]

\[
\implies \| I_X - \theta_p \theta_h^{(N)} \| \leq \| I_X - S_{g,\tau} \|^N.
\]

Conclusion follows from \( \| I_X - S_{f,\omega} \| < 1 \) and \( \| I_X - S_{g,\tau} \| < 1 \).

\( \square \)

In [10] Christensen and Laugensen showed that by considering sequences close to a given frame one can generate approximate duals. Here is the similar result in the context of Banach spaces.
Theorem 2.14. Let \( \{\tau_n\}_n \) be a collection in \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \{f_n\}_n \) be a collection in \( \mathcal{X}^* \). Let \( \{h_n\}_n, \{\rho_n\}_n \) be a p-ASF for \( \mathcal{X} \) such that

\[
\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(x) - h_n(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq R\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}
\]

and

\[
\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(\rho_n - \tau_n) \right\| \leq Q \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall \{a_n\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}).
\]

Let \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) be a dual frame for \( \{h_n\}_n, \{\rho_n\}_n \) with analysis bound \( c \) and synthesis bound \( d \). If \( dR, cQ < 1 \), then \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) is an approximately dual for \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \).

Proof. Inequalities (2) and (3) (using Minkowski’s and triangle inequalities) say that \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) is a p-ABS for \( \mathcal{X} \). Note that Inequality (2) can be written as \( \|h_\omega x - \theta f x\| \leq R\|x\|, \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \). Similarly Inequality (3) can be written as \( \|\theta_r \{a_n\}_n - \theta_p \{a_n\}_n\| \leq Q\|\{a_n\}_n\|, \forall \{a_n\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \).

Using these, we have

\[
\|I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_\omega \theta_f\| = \|\theta_\omega \theta_h - \theta_\omega \theta_f\| \leq \|\theta_\omega\|\|\theta_h - \theta_f\| \leq dR < 1,
\]

and

\[
\|I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_r \theta_\rho\| = \|\theta_\rho \theta_g - \theta_r \theta_\rho\| \leq \|\theta_\rho - \theta_r\|\|\theta_\rho\| \leq cQ < 1
\]

which is required. \(\Box\)

3. An Open Problem

To motivate the reader for further study on approximately duals of p-ASFs, we end the paper with an open problem. Our motivation comes from Hilbert space frame theory. Recall that \cite{2} given a frame \( \{\tau_n\}_n \) for a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \), the excess of \( \{\tau_n\}_n \) is defined as

\[
\text{Exc}(\{\tau_n\}_n) := \sup \{|M| : M \subseteq \mathbb{N}, \text{span}\{\tau_n\}_{n \in N \setminus M} = \mathcal{H}\}.
\]

The following result shows that excess is an invariant for approximately dual frames.

Theorem 3.1. \cite{2} If \( \{\tau_n\}_n \) and \( \{\omega_n\}_n \) are approximately dual frames for a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \), then

\[
\text{Exc}(\{\tau_n\}_n) = \text{Exc}(\{\omega_n\}_n).
\]

We now formulate the notion of excess of p-ASFs and ask a problem.

Definition 3.2. Given a p-ASF \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) for \( \mathcal{X} \), we define the p-excess of \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) as

\[
p\text{-Exc}(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n) := \sup \{|M| : M \subseteq \mathbb{N}, \text{span}\{\tau_n\}_{n \in N \setminus M} = \mathcal{X}, \text{span}\{f_n\}_{n \in N \setminus M} = \mathcal{X}^*\}.
\]
Problem 3.3. Let \( \{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n \) and \( \{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n \) be two approximately dual \( p \)-ASFs for \( X \). Is the following relation
\[
p\text{-Exc}(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n) = p\text{-Exc}(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)
\]
true?
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