RATIONAL EHRHART THEORY
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Abstract. The Ehrhart quasipolynomial of a rational polytope $P$ encodes fundamental arithmetic data of $P$, namely, the number of integer lattice points in positive integral dilates of $P$. Ehrhart quasipolynomials were introduced in the 1960s, satisfy several fundamental structural results and have applications in many areas of mathematics and beyond. The enumerative theory of lattice points in rational (equivalently, real) dilates of rational polytopes is much younger, starting with work by Linke (2011), Baldoni–Berline–Koeppe–Vergne (2013), and Stapledon (2017). We introduce a generating-function ansatz for rational Ehrhart quasipolynomials, which unifies several known results in classical and rational Ehrhart theory. In particular, we define $\gamma$-rational Gorenstein polytopes, which extend the classical notion to the rational setting and encompass the generalized reflexive polytopes studied by Fiset–Kasprzyk (2008) and Kasprzyk–Nill (2012).

1. Introduction

Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a $d$-dimensional lattice polytope; that is, $P$ is the convex hull of finitely many points in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Ehrhart’s famous theorem [9] then says that the counting function $\text{ehr}(P; n) := |nP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|$ is a polynomial in $n$, the Ehrhart polynomial of $P$. Equivalently, the corresponding Ehrhart series is of the form

$$Ehr(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d > 0} \text{ehr}(P; n) t^n = \frac{h^*(P; t)}{1 - t}$$

where $h^*(P; t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq d$. More generally, let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational polytope with denominator $k$, i.e., $k$ is the smallest positive integer such that $kP$ is a lattice polytope. Then $\text{ehr}(P; n)$ is a quasipolynomial, i.e., of the form $\text{ehr}(P; n) = c_d(n)n^d + \cdots + c_1(n)n + c_0(n)$ where $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_d: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are periodic functions. The least common period of $c_0(n), c_1(n), \ldots, c_d(n)$ is the period of $\text{ehr}(P; n)$; this period divides the denominator $k$ of $P$; again this goes back to Ehrhart [9]. Equivalently,

$$Ehr(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d > 0} \text{ehr}(P; n) t^n = \frac{h^*(P; t)}{1 - t^k}$$

where $h^*(P; t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ has degree $< k (d + 1)$.

Because polytopes can be described by a system of linear equalities and inequalities, they appear in a wealth of areas; likewise Ehrhart quasipolynomials have applications in number theory, combinatorics, computational geometry, commutative algebra, representation theory, and many other areas. For general background on Ehrhart theory and connections to various mathematical fields, see, e.g., [6].
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Our aim is to study Ehrhart counting functions with a real dilation parameter. We define the **rational Ehrhart counting function**

\[
\text{rehr}(P; \lambda) := \left| \lambda P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right|
\]

where \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \), and the **real Ehrhart counting function**

\[
\bar{\text{rehr}}(P; \lambda) := \left| \lambda P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right|
\]

for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \). Naturally, we have \( \bar{\text{rehr}}(P; \lambda) = \text{rehr}(P; \lambda) \) when \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \), and so strictly speaking there is no need for separate notations. However, as \( P \) is a rational polytope, it suffices to compute \( \text{rehr}(P; \lambda) \) at certain rational arguments to fully understand \( \bar{\text{rehr}}(P; \lambda) \); we will (quantify and) make this statement precise shortly. To the best of our knowledge, Linke [14] initiated the study of \( \bar{\text{rehr}}(P; \lambda) \) from the Ehrhart viewpoint. She proved several fundamental results starting with the fact that \( \bar{\text{rehr}}(P; \lambda) \) is a quasipolynomial in the real variable \( \lambda \), that is,

\[
\bar{\text{rehr}}(P; \lambda) = c_d(\lambda) \lambda^d + c_{d-1}(\lambda) \lambda^{d-1} + \cdots + c_0(\lambda)
\]

where \( c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_d : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) are periodic functions. Here is a first example, which we will revisit below:

\[
\bar{\text{rehr}}([1,2]; \lambda) = \lfloor 2\lambda \rfloor - \lceil \lambda \rceil + 1 = \begin{cases} 
n + 1 & \text{if } \lambda = n \\
n & \text{if } n < \lambda < n + \frac{1}{2} \\
n + 1 & \text{if } n + \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda < n + 1 \end{cases} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.
\]

Linke views the coefficient functions as piecewise-defined polynomials, which allows her, among many other things, to establish differential equations relating the coefficient functions. Essentially concurrently, Baldoni–Berline–Köppe–Vergne [1] developed an algorithmic theory of intermediate sums for polyhedra, which includes \( \bar{\text{rehr}}(P; \lambda) \) as a special case.

Our goal is to add a generating-function viewpoint to [1,14], one that is inspired by [17]. To set it up, we need to make a definition. Suppose the rational polytope \( P \) is given by the irredundant halfspace description

\[
P = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : A x \leq b \right\}, \tag{1.2}
\]

where \( A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times d} \) and \( b \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) such that the greatest common divisor of \( b_i \) and the entries in the \( i \)th row of \( A \) equals 1, for every \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

We define the **codenominator** \( r \) of \( P \) to be the least common multiple of the nonzero entries of \( b \):

\[
r := \text{lcm}(b).
\]

As we assume that \( P \) is full dimensional, the codenominator is well-defined. Our nomenclature arises from determining \( r \) using duality, as follows. Let \( P^\circ \) denote the relative interior of \( P \), and let \((\mathbb{R}^d)^\vee \) be the dual vector space. If \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) is a rational polytope such that \( 0 \in P^\circ \), the **polar dual polytope** is

\[
P^\vee := \{ x \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^\vee : \langle x, y \rangle \geq -1 \text{ for all } y \in P \},
\]

and \( r = \min\{q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : q P^\vee \text{ is a lattice polytope}\} \).

We will see in Section 2 that \( \text{rehr}(P; \lambda) \) is fully determined by evaluations at rational numbers with denominator \( 2r \) (see Corollary 5 below for details); if \( 0 \in P \) then we actually need to know only evaluations at rational numbers with denominator \( r \). Thus we associate two generating series to the
rational Ehrhart counting function, the **rational Ehrhart series**, to a full-dimensional rational polytope \( P \) with codenominator \( r \):

\[
R_{\text{Ehr}}(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{reh}(P; \frac{n}{r}) t^n
\]

and the **refined rational Ehrhart series**

\[
R_{\text{REhr}}(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{reh}(P; \frac{n}{2^r}) t^{rac{n}{2^r}}.
\]

Continuing our comment above, we typically study \( R_{\text{Ehr}}(P; t) \) for polytopes such that \( 0 \in P \), and \( R_{\text{REhr}}(P; t) \) for polytopes such that \( 0 \notin P \).

Section 2 also contains, as a first set of main results, structural theorems about these generating functions: rationality and its consequences for the quasipolynomial \( \text{reh}(P; \lambda) \) (Theorem 6 and Theorem 12), nonnegativity theorems (Corollary 11), connections to the \( h^* \)-polynomial in classical Ehrhart theory (Corollary 15), and combinatorial reciprocity theorems (Corollary 15 and Corollary 16).

One can find a precursor of sorts to our generating functions \( R_{\text{Ehr}}(P; t) \) and \( R_{\text{REhr}}(P; t) \) in work by Stapledon [17], and in fact this work was our initial motivation to look for and study rational Ehrhart generating function. We explain the connection of [17] to our work in Section 3. In particular, we deduce that in the case \( 0 \in P^0 \) the generating function \( R_{\text{Ehr}}(P; t) \) exhibits additional symmetry (Corollary 24).

A \((d+1)\)-dimensional, pointed, rational cone \( C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \) is called **Gorenstein** if there exists a point \((p_0, p) \in C \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}\) such that \( C^0 \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} = (p_0, p) + C \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \) (see, e.g., [4, 15]). The point \((p_0, p)\) is called the **Gorenstein point** of the cone. We define the homogenization \( \text{hom}(P) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \) of a rational polytope \( P = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : A x \leq b \} \) as

\[
\text{hom}(P) := \text{cone}(\{1\} \times P) := \left\{ (x_0, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : A x \leq x_0 b, \; x_0 \geq 0 \right\}.
\]

For a cone \( C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \), the **dual cone** \( C^\vee \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^{d+1})^\vee \) is

\[
C^\vee := \{(y_0, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^{d+1})^\vee : \langle (y_0 y), (x_0, x) \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in C \}.
\]

A lattice polytope \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) is **Gorenstein** if the homogenization \( \text{hom}(P) \) of \( P \) is Gorenstein; in the special case where the Gorenstein point of that cone is \((1, q)\), for some \( q \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), we call \( P \) **reflexive** [3, 12]. Reflexive polytopes can alternatively be characterized as those lattice polytopes (containing the origin) whose polar duals are also lattice polytopes, i.e., they have codenominator \( 1 \). This definition has a natural extension to rational polytopes [11]. Gorenstein and reflexive polytopes (and their rational versions) play an important role in Ehrhart theory, as they have palindromic \( h^* \)-polynomials. In Section 4 we give the analogous result in rational Ehrhart theory without reference to the polar dual (Theorem 25). We will see that there are many more **rational** Gorenstein polytopes than among lattice polytopes; e.g., any rational polytope containing the origin in its interior is rational Gorenstein (Corollary 26).

We mention the recent notion of an **l-reflexive polytope** \( P \) (“reflexive of higher index”) [13]. A lattice point \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) is **primitive** if the gcd of its coordinates is equal to one. The \( l \)-reflexive polytopes are precisely the lattice polytopes of the form \((1,2)\) with \( b = (l, l, \ldots, l) \) and primitive vertices; note that this means \( P \) has codenominator \( l \) and \( \frac{1}{l}P \) has denominator \( l \).

We conclude with two short sections further connecting our work to the existing literature. Section 5 exhibits how one can deduce a theorem of Betke–McMullen [7] (and also its rational analogue [5]) from rational Ehrhart theory.
Ehrhart’s theorem gives an upper bound for the period of the quasipolynomial \( ehr(P; n) \), namely, the denominator of \( P \). When the period of \( ehr(P; n) \) is smaller than the denominator of \( P \), we speak of period collapse. One can witness this phenomenon most easily in the Ehrhart series, as period collapse means that the rational function (1.1) factors in such a way that one realizes there are no \( k \)th roots of unity that are poles. It is an interesting question whether/how much period collapse happens in rational Ehrhart theory, and how it compares to the classical scenario. In Section 6, we offer data points that show that each of the four combinations for whether a polytope’s rational and classical Ehrhart quasipolynomials exhibit period collapse occur.

2. Rational Ehrhart Dilations

We assume throughout this article that all polytopes are full dimensional, and call a \( d \)-dimensional polytope in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) a \textit{d-polytope}. We note that, consequently, the leading coefficient of \( ehr(P; n) \) is constant (namely, the volume of \( P \)), and thus the rational generating function \( Ehr(P; t) \) has a unique pole of order \( d \) at \( t = 1 \). So we could write the rational generating function \( Ehr(P; t) \) with denominator \((1 - t)(1 - t^k) \); in other words, \( h^*(P; t) \) always has a factor \((1 + t + \cdots + t^{k-1}) \). For \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), let \( \lceil x \rceil \) (resp. \( \lfloor x \rfloor \)) denote the largest integer \( \leq x \) (resp. the smallest integer \( \geq x \)), and \( \{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor \).

**Example.** We feature the following line segments as running examples. First, we compute the real Ehrhart counting function.

- \( P_1 := [-1, \frac{2}{3}] \), codenominator \( r = 2 \)
  \[
  \tilde{ehr}(P_1; \lambda) = \lfloor \lambda \rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\rfloor + 1
  = \begin{cases} 
  5n + 1 & \text{if } n \leq \lambda < n + \frac{1}{2} \text{ for some } n \in 3\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\
  4n + 1 & \text{if } n + \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda < n + 1 \text{ for some } n \in 3\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\
  4n + 2 & \text{if } n + 1 \leq \lambda < n + \frac{3}{2} \text{ for some } n \in 3\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\
  3n + 3 & \text{if } n + \frac{3}{2} \leq \lambda < n + 2 \text{ for some } n \in 3\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\
  3n + 4 & \text{if } n + 2 \leq \lambda < n + \frac{5}{2} \text{ for some } n \in 3\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\
  3n + 4 & \text{if } n + \frac{5}{2} \leq \lambda < n + 3 \text{ for some } n \in 3\mathbb{Z}_{>0}.
  \end{cases}
  \]

- \( P_2 := [0, \frac{2}{3}] \), codenominator \( r = 2 \)
  \[
  \tilde{ehr}(P_2; \lambda) = \left\lfloor \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\rfloor + 1
  = \frac{2}{3}n + 1 \quad \text{if } n \leq \lambda < n + \frac{3}{2}, \quad \text{for some } n \in \frac{3}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}.
  \]

- \( P_3 := [1, 2] \), codenominator \( r = 2 \)
  \[
  \tilde{ehr}(P_3; \lambda) = [2\lambda] - \lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1 = \begin{cases} 
  n + 1 & \text{if } \lambda = n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\
  n & \text{if } n < \lambda < n + \frac{1}{2} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\
  n + 1 & \text{if } n + \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda < n + 1 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.
  \end{cases}
  \]

- \( P_4 := 2P_3 = [2, 4] \), codenominator \( r = 4 \).
  \[
  \tilde{ehr}(P_4; \lambda) = [4\lambda] - [2\lambda] + 1 = [4\lambda] - [-2\lambda] + 1 = 2\lambda + 1 - \{4\lambda\} + \{-2\lambda\}
  = \begin{cases} 
  2n + 1 & \text{if } \lambda = n \text{ for some } n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\
  2n & \text{if } n < \lambda < n + \frac{1}{4} \text{ for some } n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\
  2n + 1 & \text{if } n + \frac{1}{4} \leq \lambda < n + \frac{1}{2} \text{ for some } n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}.
  \end{cases}
  \]
Note that the real Ehrhart function \( \bar{\text{reh}}(P_3; \lambda) \) is not monotone. For example, \( \bar{\text{reh}}(P_3; 0) = 1 \), \( \bar{\text{reh}}(P_3; \frac{1}{2}) = 0 \), \( \bar{\text{reh}}(P_3; \lambda) = 1 \). We can see in these examples (and will prove below in general terms) that \( \bar{\text{reh}}(P; \lambda) \) is a quasipolynomial in the real variable \( \lambda \).

**Remark 1.** If \( P \) is a lattice polytope, then the denominator of \( \frac{1}{r}P \) divides \( r \). On the other hand, the denominator of \( \frac{1}{r}P \) need not equal \( r \), as can be seen in the case of \( P_4 \) above.

**Remark 2.** If \( \frac{1}{r}P \) is a lattice polytope, its Ehrhart polynomial is invariant under lattice translations. Unfortunately, this does not clearly translate to invariance of \( \bar{\text{reh}}(P; \lambda) \). Consider the line segment \([-1, 1]\) and its translation \( P_4 = [2, 4] \). For any \( \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \), \( \bar{\text{reh}}([-1, 1], \lambda) = 1 \) and \( \bar{\text{reh}}(P_4, \lambda) = 0 \). This observation raises two related questions: 1) Is there an example of a polytope and a translate with the same codenominator? We expect not in dimension one. 2) Given a rational polytope \( P \), for which \( r \) and \( \tilde{P} \) could \( P = \frac{1}{r}\tilde{P} \)?

**Lemma 3.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be rational \( d \)-polytope. If \( 0 \in P \), then \( \bar{\text{reh}}(\lambda) \) is monotone for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \lambda < \omega \) be positive rationals. Suppose \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( x \in \lambda P \). Then \( x \) satisfies all \( n \) facet-defining inequalities of \( \lambda P \): \( \langle a_i, x \rangle \leq \lambda b_i \) for all \( i \in [n] \). If \( b_i = 0 \), then \( \langle a_i, x \rangle \leq \lambda \cdot 0 = \omega \cdot 0 \). Otherwise, \( b_i > 0 \), and \( \langle a_i, x \rangle \leq \lambda b_i < \omega b_i \). So \( x \in \omega P \).

**Proposition 4.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \).

(i) The number of lattice points in \( \lambda P \) is constant for \( \lambda \in \left( \frac{n}{r}, \frac{n+1}{r} \right) \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

(ii) If \( 0 \in P \), then the number of lattice points in \( \lambda P \) is constant for \( \lambda \in \left[ \frac{n}{r}, \frac{n+1}{r} \right] \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

**Proof.** (i) Suppose there exist two rationals \( \lambda \) and \( \omega \) such that \( \frac{n}{r} < \lambda < \omega < \frac{n+1}{r} \), and \( \bar{\text{reh}}(\lambda) \neq \bar{\text{reh}}(\omega) \). Then there exists \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) such that either \( x \in \omega P \) and \( x \notin \lambda P \) or \( x \in \lambda P \) and \( x \notin \omega P \). Suppose \( x \in \omega P \) and \( x \notin \lambda P \). Then there exists a facet \( F \) with integral, reduced inequality \( \langle a, v \rangle \leq b \) of \( P \) such that

\[
\langle a, x \rangle \leq \omega b, \quad \langle a, x \rangle > \lambda b, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle a, x \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

As \( \lambda < \omega \), this implies \( b > 0 \). We have,

\[
b \frac{n}{r} < \lambda b < \langle a, x \rangle \leq \omega b < \frac{n+1}{r} b.
\]

As \( r = bk \), with \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), this is equivalent to

\[
n < \lambda r < k \langle a, x \rangle \leq \omega r < n + 1.
\]

This is a contradiction because \( k \langle a, x \rangle \) is an integer. The second case is proved analogously: Assume \( x \notin \omega P \) and \( x \in \lambda P \). Then there exists again a facet \( F \) with integral, reduced inequality \( \langle a, v \rangle \leq b \) of \( P \) such that

\[
\langle a, x \rangle > \omega b, \quad \langle a, x \rangle \leq \lambda b, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle a, x \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

As \( \lambda < \omega \), this implies \( b < 0 \). We have,

\[
\frac{n+1}{r} |b| > \omega |b| > -\langle a, x \rangle \geq \lambda |b| > \frac{n}{r} |b|.
\]

As \( \frac{r}{|b|} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), this is equivalent to

\[
n + 1 > \omega r > -\frac{r}{|b|} \langle a, x \rangle \geq \lambda r > n.
\]

This leads to the same contradiction.
(ii) If $0 \in P$ we know that $b \geq 0$. So in the proof above only the first case applies. (This can also be seen as a consequence of Lemma 3.) Allowing $\frac{n}{r} \leq \lambda$ leads, with the same computations, to the following weakened version of (2.1):

$$n \leq \lambda r < k\langle a, x \rangle \leq \omega r < n + 1,$$

which is still strong enough for the contradiction. Note, that this is not the case in (2.2). □

It follows that we can compute the real Ehrhart function $\overline{rehr}$ from the rational Ehrhart function:

**Corollary 5.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$. Then

$$\overline{rehr}(P; \lambda) = \begin{cases} rehr(P; \lambda) & \text{if } \lambda \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ rehr(P; \lfloor \lambda \rfloor) & \text{if } \lambda \notin \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \end{cases}$$

(2.3)

where

$$\lfloor \lambda \rfloor := \frac{2j + 1}{2r} \text{ for } \left| \lambda - \frac{2j + 1}{2r} \right| < \frac{1}{2r} \text{ and } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In words, $\lfloor \lambda \rfloor$ is the element in $\frac{1}{2r}\mathbb{Z}$ with odd numerator that has the smallest Euclidean distance to $\lambda$ on the real line. Furthermore, if $0 \in P$ then

$$\overline{rehr}(P; \lambda) = rehr\left(P; \frac{\lfloor r\lambda \rfloor}{r}\right).$$

**Theorem 6.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$, and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\frac{m}{r}P$ is a lattice polytope. Then

$$REhr(P; t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} rehr\left(P; \frac{n}{r}\right) t^n = \frac{rh^*(P; t)}{\left(1 - t^{\frac{m}{r}}\right)^{d+1}}$$

where $rh^*(P; t)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{r}}]$ with nonnegative integral coefficients. Consequently, $rehr(P; \lambda)$ and $\overline{rehr}(P; \lambda)$ are quasipolynomials.

**Proof.** Our conditions imply that $\frac{1}{r}P$ is a rational polytope with denominator dividing $m$. Thus by standard Ehrhart theory,

$$REhr(P; t) = Ehr\left(\frac{1}{r}P; t^{\frac{1}{r}}\right) = \frac{h^*\left(\frac{1}{r}P; t^{\frac{1}{r}}\right)}{\left(1 - t^{\frac{m}{r}}\right)^{d+1}},$$

and $h^*(\frac{1}{r}P; t)$ has nonnegative integral coefficients. □

**Remark 7.** Our implicit definition of $rh^*(P; t)$ depends on $m$. We will sometimes use the notation $rh^*_{m}(P; t)$ to make this dependency explicit. Naturally, one often tries to choose $m$ minimal, which gives a canonical definition of $rh^*(P; t)$, but sometimes it pays to be flexible.

**Remark 8.** By usual generatingfunctionology the degree of $rh^*_{m}(P; t)$ is less than or equal to $m(d + 1) - 1$ as a polynomial in $t^{\frac{1}{r}}$.

**Corollary 9.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$, and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\frac{m}{r}P$ is a lattice polytope. Then the period of the quasipolynomial $rehr(P; \lambda)$ divides $\frac{m}{r}$, i.e., this period is of the form $\frac{j}{r}$ with $j \mid m$.

**Corollary 10.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$, and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\frac{m}{r}P$ is a lattice polytope. Then the period of the quasipolynomial $ehr(P; \lambda)$ divides $\frac{m}{\gcd(m, r)}$. 
Proof. Viewed as a function of the integer parameter \( n \), the function \( \text{rehr}(P; n) \) has period dividing \( m \). Thus \( \text{ehr}(P; n) = \text{rehr}(P; n) \) has period dividing \( \frac{m}{\gcd(m, r)} \). \( \square \)

Corollary 11. Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \). Then

\[
\text{REhr}(P; t) = \frac{\text{rh}_r^*(P; t)}{(1 - t)^{d+1}}
\]

where \( \text{rh}_r^*(P; t) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{r}}] \) with nonnegative coefficients.

For polytopes that don’t contain the origin, the following variant of Theorem 6 is useful.

Theorem 12. Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \), and let \( m \in \mathbb{Z} > 0 \) such that \( \frac{m}{2r} P \) is a lattice polytope. Then

\[
\text{RREhr}(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{rehr}(P; \frac{n}{2r}); t^\frac{m}{2r} = \frac{\text{rrh}_r^*(P; t)}{(1 - t^\frac{m}{r})^{d+1}}
\]

where \( \text{rrh}_r^*(P; t) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{r}}] \) with nonnegative coefficients.

The proof of Theorem 12 is virtually identical to that of Theorem 6. Many of the following assertions come in two versions, one for \( \text{REhr} \) and one for \( \text{RREhr} \). We typically write an explicit proof for only one version, as the other is analogous.

Corollary 13. Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \). The real and rational Ehrhart functions, \( \text{rehr}(P, \lambda) \) and \( \text{rehr}(P, \lambda) \), are given by quasipolynomials of period 1.

Corollary 14. If \( \frac{m}{r} \) (resp. \( \frac{m}{2r} \)) in Theorem 6 (resp. Theorem 12) is integral we can retrieve the \( h^* \)-polynomial from the \( \text{rh}_r^* \)-polynomial (resp. \( \text{rrh}_r^* \)-polynomial) by applying the operator \( \text{Int} \) that extracts from a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{r}}] \) the terms with integer powers of \( t \): \( h^*(P; t) = \text{Int}(\text{rh}_r^*(P; t)) \) (resp. \( h^*(P; t) = \text{Int}(\text{rrh}_r^*(P; t)) \)).

Example. [continued] Here are the (refined) rational Ehrhart series of the running examples. Recall that the rational Ehrhart series of \( P \) in the variable \( t \) can be computed as the Ehrhart series of \( \frac{1}{r} P \) in the variable \( t^{\frac{1}{r}} \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\bullet P_1 := [-1, \frac{2}{3}], r = 2, m = 6, \\
\text{REhr}(P_1; t) &= 1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t + t^{\frac{3}{2}} + t^2 \\
&= \frac{1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t + t^{\frac{3}{2}} + t^2}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{2})(1 - t^\frac{3}{2})} \\
&= \frac{1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + 2t + 3t^\frac{3}{2} + 4t^2 + 4t^\frac{3}{2} + 4t^3 + 4t^\frac{3}{2} + 3t^4 + 2t^\frac{4}{3} + t^5 + t^\frac{5}{2}}{(1 - t^\frac{3}{2})^2} \\
\bullet P_2 := [0, \frac{2}{3}], r = 2, m = 3 \\
\text{REhr}(P_2; t) &= \frac{1}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{2})(1 - t^\frac{3}{2})} = \frac{1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + t}{(1 - t^\frac{3}{2})^2}
\end{align*}
\]
• $P_3 := [1, 2], r = 2$. Then $P_3 = \left[ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right]$ and $m = 4$, so $\frac{m}{2r} = \frac{1}{2}$. See Figure 1.

$$RREhr(P_3, t) = \frac{1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + t^\frac{3}{4} + t^\frac{5}{4}}{(1 - t)^2} = \frac{\left(1 + t^\frac{3}{4}\right) \left(1 + t^\frac{1}{2}\right)}{(1 - t)^2}$$

![Figure 1](image1.jpg)

**Figure 1.** The cone hom($P_3$) over $P_3 = [1, 2]$. The lattice points in the fundamental parallelepiped with respect to the lattice $\frac{1}{4}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ are $(0, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{3}{4}, 1), (\frac{5}{4}, 2)$.

• $P_4 := [2, 4], r = 4$. Then $\frac{1}{8}P_4 = \left[ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right]$ and $m = 4$, so $\frac{m}{2r} = \frac{1}{2}$. See Figure 2.

$$RREhr(P_4; t) = \frac{1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + t^\frac{3}{8} + 2t^\frac{3}{4} + t^\frac{5}{8} + 2t^\frac{7}{4} + 2t^\frac{9}{8} + t + 2t^\frac{9}{8} + t^\frac{11}{8} + t^\frac{13}{8}}{(1 - t)^2}$$

$$= \frac{1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + t^\frac{3}{8} + t^\frac{5}{8}}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{2})^2}$$

Choosing $m$ to be minimal means $rrh_4^*(P_4; t) = (1 + t^\frac{3}{4})(1 + t^\frac{1}{2}) = 1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + t^\frac{3}{4} + t^\frac{5}{4} = rrh_4^*(P_3; t^\frac{1}{2})$

The real Ehrhart quasipolynomial $\bar{r}ehr$ has period $\frac{1}{2}$. The rational Ehrhart counting function agrees with a quasipolynomial for $\lambda \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.
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**Figure 2.** The cone hom($P_4$) over $P_4 = [2, 4]$. The lattice points in the fundamental parallelepiped with respect to the lattice $\frac{1}{8}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ are shown in the figure.
From the (refined) rational Ehrhart series of these examples, we can recompute the quasipolynomials found earlier. For example, for $P_3$:

$$
RREhr(P_3, t) = \frac{1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + t^\frac{2}{2} + t^\frac{3}{2}}{(1 - t)^2} \\
= \left(1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + t^\frac{2}{2} + t^\frac{3}{2}\right) \sum_{j \geq 0} (j + 1) t^j \\
= \sum_{j \geq 0} (j + 1) t^j + \sum_{j \geq 0} (j + 1) t^{j + \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j \geq 0} (j + 1) t^{j + \frac{3}{2}} + \sum_{j \geq 0} (j + 1) t^{j + \frac{5}{2}}
$$

With a change of variables we compute for $\lambda \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$

$$
rehr(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\lambda + 1 & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\lambda - \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda \equiv \frac{1}{4} \pmod{1} \\
\lambda + \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda \equiv \frac{3}{4} \pmod{1} \\
\lambda + \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda \equiv \frac{1}{4} \pmod{1}
\end{cases}
$$

We recover the reciprocity result for the rational Ehrhart function of rational polytopes proved by Linke [14, Corollary 1.5].

**Corollary 15.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope. Then $(-1)^d \, \text{rehr}(P; -\lambda)$ equals the number of interior lattice points in $\lambda P$, for any $\lambda > 0$.

**Proof.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$. The fact that both $\text{rehr}(P; \lambda)$ and $\text{rehr}(P; \lambda)$ are quasipolynomials allows us to extend (2.3) to the negative (and therefore all) real numbers via

$$
\text{rehr}(P; \lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\text{rehr}(P; \lambda) & \text{if } \lambda \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}, \\
\text{rehr}(P; \lfloor \lambda \rfloor) & \text{if } \lambda \notin \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}.
\end{cases}
$$

By standard Ehrhart–Macdonald Reciprocity, $(-1)^d \, \text{rehr}(P; -\frac{n}{r}) = ehr(\frac{1}{r} P; -n)$ equals the number of lattice points in the interior of $\frac{n}{r} P$. The result now follows from $\lfloor -\lambda \rfloor = -\lfloor \lambda \rfloor$. □

Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope, let $P^\circ$ denote its interior and $\text{rehr}(P^\circ; \lambda) := |\lambda P^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|$. We define the (refined) rational Ehrhart series of the interior of a polytope as follows:

$$
\text{REhr}(P^\circ; t) := \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z} > 0} \text{rehr}(P^\circ; \lambda) t^{\lambda} \\
\text{RREhr}(P^\circ; t) := \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z} > 0} \text{rehr}(P^\circ; \lambda) t^{\lambda},
$$

where $r$ as usual denotes the codenominator of $P$.

**Corollary 16.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope.

(i) The (refined) rational Ehrhart series of the open polytope $P^\circ$ have the rational expressions

$$
\text{REhr}(P^\circ; t) = \frac{\text{rh}_m^*(P^\circ; t)}{(1 - t^\frac{m}{r})^{d+1}} \\
\text{RREhr}(P^\circ; t) = \frac{\text{rrh}_m^*(P^\circ; t)}{(1 - t^\frac{m}{r})^{d+1}},
$$

where $\text{rh}_m^*(P^\circ; t)$ and $\text{rrh}_m^*(P^\circ; t)$ are polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[t^\frac{1}{r}]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[t^\frac{m}{r}]$, respectively.
(ii) The (refined) rational Ehrhart series fulfill the reciprocity relations
\[
\text{REhr}(P^\circ; t) = (-1)^{d+1} \text{REhr} \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{REhr}(P^\circ; t) = (-1)^{d+1} \text{REhr} \left( \frac{1}{t} \right).
\]

(iii) The rh* - and rrh* -polynomials of the polytope P and its interior P^\circ are related by
\[
\text{rh}_m^*(P^\circ; t) = \left( \frac{m}{t} \right)^{d+1} \text{rh}_m^* \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rrh}_m^*(P^\circ; t) = \left( \frac{m}{t^\lambda} \right)^{d+1} \text{rrh}_m \left( \frac{1}{t^\lambda} \right).
\]

Proof. Identity (i) follows from Ehrhart-Macdonald reciprocity (see, e.g., [6, Theorem 4.4]) and Remark 8:
\[
\text{REhr}(P^\circ; t) = \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}(P^\circ; \lambda) t^\lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr} \left( \frac{1}{r} P^\circ; n \right) t^n \tau = \text{Ehr} \left( \frac{1}{r} P^\circ; \frac{1}{t^\tau} \right)
\]
\[
= (-1)^{d+1} \text{Ehr} \left( \frac{1}{r} P; t^{-\frac{1}{\tau}} \right) = (-1)^{d+1} \frac{h^* \left( \frac{1}{r} P; t^{-\frac{1}{\tau}} \right)}{\left( 1 - t^{-\frac{m}{\tau}} \right)^{d+1}} \frac{h^* \left( \frac{1}{r} P; t^{-\frac{1}{\tau}} \right)}{\left( 1 - t^{+\frac{m}{\tau}} \right)^{d+1}}.
\]

For identities (ii) and (iii) we again apply Ehrhart-Macdonald reciprocity:
\[
\frac{\left( \frac{m}{t} \right)^{d+1} \text{rh}_m^* \left( \frac{1}{t} \right)}{\left( 1 - t^{+\frac{m}{\tau}} \right)^{d+1}} = (-1)^{d+1} \text{rh}_m^* \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) = (-1)^{d+1} \text{REhr} \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) = (-1)^{d+1} \text{Ehr} \left( \frac{1}{t} \right)
\]
\[
= \text{Ehr} \left( \frac{1}{r} P^\circ; t^{-\frac{1}{\tau}} \right) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr} \left( \frac{1}{r} P^\circ; \lambda \right) t^\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr} \left( P^\circ; \frac{\lambda}{r} \right) t^\lambda
\]
\[
= \text{REhr} \left( P^\circ; t \right) = \frac{\text{rh}_m^* \left( P^\circ; t \right)}{\left( 1 - t^{+\frac{m}{\tau}} \right)^{d+1}}.
\]

\[
\square
\]

3. Stapledon

We recall the setup from [17]. Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice d-polytope with codenominator \( r \) and 0 \( \in \ P \). Let \( \partial_{\neq 0}(P) \) denote the union of facets of \( P \) that do not contain the origin. In order to study all rational dilates of the boundary of \( P \), Stapledon introduces the generating function
\[
\text{WEhr}(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} \left| \partial_{\neq 0}(\lambda P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right| t^\lambda \quad \left( \frac{\tilde{h}(P; t)}{(1 - t)^\lambda} \right),
\]
where \( \tilde{h}(P; t) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[t^\lambda] \) with fractional exponents. The generating function \( \text{WEhr} \) is closely related to the (rational) Ehrhart series: the truncated sum \( 1 + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} |\partial_{\neq 0}(\lambda P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| \) equals the number of lattice points in \( \lambda P \). Proposition 4 allows us to discretize this sum:

Corollary 17. Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice d-polytope with codenominator \( r \) and 0 \( \in \ P \). The number of lattice points in \( \lambda P \) equals \( 1 + \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \lambda < \lambda} |\partial_{\neq 0}(\lambda P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| \).

Proof. As 0 \( \in \ P \), every nonzero lattice point in \( \lambda P \) occurs in \( \partial_{\neq 0}(\omega P) \) for some unique \( \omega \in \mathbb{Q} \) where \( 0 < \omega \leq \lambda \). Using Lemma 3, we have \( \lambda P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = 0 \cup \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} (\partial_{\neq 0}(\omega P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \). By Proposition 4, the union \( \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} (\partial_{\neq 0}(\omega P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \) is discrete and disjoint. \( \square \)
Remark 20. Lemma 18 corrects [17, Remark 3], which was missing the factor between $h^*(\frac{1}{r}P; t^\frac{1}{r})$ and $\tilde{h}(P; t)$.

Lemma 18. Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$ such that $0 \in P$. Let $k$ be the denominator of $\frac{1}{r}P$. Then

$$h^*\left(\frac{1}{r}P; t^\frac{1}{r}\right) = \frac{(1-t^\frac{k}{r})^{d+1}}{(1-t^\frac{1}{r})^d} \tilde{h}(P; t).$$

Proof. Applying classical Ehrhart theory, Proposition 4 and Corollary 17 we compute

$$\frac{h^*\left(\frac{1}{r}P; t^\frac{1}{r}\right)}{(1-t^\frac{k}{r})^{d+1}} = \text{Ehr}\left(\frac{1}{r}P; t^\frac{1}{r}\right) = 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} ehr\left(\frac{1}{r}P; n\right) t^{\frac{n}{r}}$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| \partial_{\neq 0}\left(\frac{j}{r}P\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right| \right) t^{\frac{n}{r}}$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} t^{\frac{n}{r}} + \sum_{j>0} \sum_{n \geq j} \left| \partial_{\neq 0}\left(\frac{j}{r}P\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right| t^{\frac{n}{r}}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{t^{\frac{1}{r}}}{1-t^{\frac{1}{r}}} + \sum_{j>0} \left| \partial_{\neq 0}\left(\frac{j}{r}P\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right| t^{\frac{j}{r}}$$

$$= 1 - t^{\frac{1}{r}} + t^{\frac{1}{r}} + \sum_{j>0} \left| \partial_{\neq 0}\left(\frac{j}{r}P\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right| t^{\frac{j}{r}}$$

$$= \frac{W\text{Ehr}(P; t)}{1-t^{\frac{1}{r}}} = \frac{\tilde{h}(P; t)}{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}})^d} \quad \square$$

Remark 19. The factor multiplying $\tilde{h}(P; t)$ in Lemma 18 can be rewritten in terms of finite geometric series. Let the codenominator $r = ks$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Rewriting yields

$$\frac{(1-t^{\frac{k}{r}})^{d+1}}{(1-t^\frac{1}{r})^d} = \frac{(1-t^{\frac{k}{r}})}{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}})} \frac{(1-t^{\frac{k}{r}})}{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}})} = \frac{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}})}{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}})} \cdot \frac{1}{1+t^{\frac{k}{r}+\cdots+t^{\frac{k-1}{s}}}}^{d}$$

$$= \frac{1+t^{\frac{1}{r}}+\cdots+t^{\frac{k-1}{s}}}{1+t^{\frac{1}{r}}+\cdots+t^{\frac{k-1}{s}}}^{d}.$$

If $k = r$, this simplifies to $(1+t^{\frac{1}{r}}+\cdots+t^{\frac{r-1}{s}})$.

Remark 20. Lemma 18 corrects [17, Remark 3], which was missing the factor between $h^*(\frac{1}{r}P; t^\frac{1}{r})$ and $\tilde{h}(P; t)$. 

Similarly, $\tilde{h}(P; t)$ is related to $h^*(\frac{1}{r}P; t^\frac{1}{r})$ and to $r_{m}^*(P; t)$, as we show in Lemma 18 and Corollary 21. 

Recall that we use $r_{m}^*(P; t)$ to keep track of the denominator of $R\text{Ehr}(P; t) = \frac{r_{m}^*(P; t)}{(1-t^\frac{1}{r})^{d+1}}$. 


Corollary 21. Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$ such that $0 \in P$. Let $k$ be the denominator of $\frac{1}{r}P$. Then

$$rh^*_k(P; t) = h^*\left(\frac{1}{r}P; t^{\frac{1}{r}}\right) = \frac{\left(1 - t^{\frac{k}{r}}\right)^{d+1}}{(1 - t^{\frac{1}{r}})^d (1 - t)^d} \tilde{h}(P, t).$$

Remark 22. In [16, Equation (14)] and [17, Equation (6)], Stapledon shows that $h^*(P; t) = \Psi(\tilde{h}(P; t))$, where $\Psi: \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{R}[t^{\frac{1}{r}}] \to \mathbb{R}[t]$ is defined by $\Psi(t^\lambda) = t^{[\lambda]}$. In the case of a lattice polytope with $\frac{m}{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we give a different construction to recover the $h^*$-polynomial by applying the operator $\text{Int}$ (see Corollary 14). Corollary 21 shows that, after a bit of computation, these two constructions are equivalent.

Remark 23. For a lattice $d$-polytope $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with codenominator $r$, $0 \in P$, and denominator of $\frac{1}{r}P = k$, we can relate $rrh^*(P; t)$ and $h^*\left(\frac{1}{r}P; t^{\frac{1}{r}}\right)$ in a similar way. We again write $rrh^*_k(P; t)$ to emphasize that it is the numerator of $\frac{rrh^*_k(P; t)}{(1 - t^{\frac{k}{r}})^d + 1}$. Then

$$rrh^*_k(P; t) = h^*\left(\frac{1}{2r}P; t^{\frac{1}{2r}}\right) = \frac{\left(1 - t^{\frac{k}{r}}\right)^{d+1}}{(1 - t^{\frac{1}{r}})^d (1 - t)^d} \tilde{h}(P, t).$$

Corollary 24. Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice $d$-polytope with $0 \in P^o$. Let $r$ be the codenominator of $P$ and $k$ be the denominator of $\frac{1}{r}P$. Then $rh^*_k(P; t)$ is palindromic.

Proof. From [16, Corollary 2.12] we know that $\tilde{h}(P; t)$ is palindromic if $0 \in P^o$. We compute using Corollary 21.

$$rh^*_k(P; t^{-1}) = \frac{\left(1 - t^{-\frac{k}{r}}\right)^{d+1}}{(1 - t^{-\frac{1}{r}})^d (1 - t)^d} \tilde{h}(P; t^{-1})$$

$$= \frac{t^{-\frac{(d+1)k}{r}}}{t^{-\frac{1}{r}} - \left(1 - t^{\frac{1}{r}}\right)^d} \tilde{h}(P; t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{t^{-\frac{(d+1)k}{r}} - \frac{1}{r}} rh^*_k(P; t)$$

Note that this implies, since the constant term of $rh^*_k(P; t)$ is 1, that the degree of $rh^*(P; t)$ (measured as a polynomial in $t^{\frac{1}{r}}$) equals $k(d + 1) - 1$. \hfill \Box

This suggests that there is a 3-step hierarchy for rational dilations: $0 \in P^o$ comes with extra symmetry, $0 \in P$ comes with Proposition 4 (ii) and so we “only” have to compute $rh^*(P; t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{r}}]$, and $0 \notin P$ means we have to compute $rrh^*(P; t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{r}}]$. Corollary 24 is related to Gorenstein properties of rational polytopes, which we consider in the next section.

4. Gorenstein Musings

Our main goal in this section is to extend the notion of Gorenstein polytopes to the rational case. A rational $d$-polytope $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is $\gamma$-rational Gorenstein if $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{\gamma}P)$ is a Gorenstein cone. In this paper we explore this definition for parameters $\gamma = r$ and $\gamma = 2r$, other parameters are still to be
investigated. The archetypal $r$-rational Gorenstein polytope is a rational polytope that contains the origin in its interior, see Corollary 26. The definition of $\gamma$-rational Gorenstein does not require that the origin is contained in the polytope, hence, it does not require the existence of a polar dual. A lattice polytope $P$ is 1-rational Gorenstein if and only if it is a Gorenstein polytope in the classical sense.

Analogous to the lattice case, the following theorem shows that a polytope containing the origin is $r$-rational Gorenstein if and only if it has a palindromic $\text{rh}^*$-polynomial. Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : A x \leq b\}$ be a rational $d$-polytope, as in (1.2). We may assume that there is an index $i \in [n]$ such that $b_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, i$ and $b_j \neq 0$ for $j = i + 1, \ldots, n$; thus we can write $P$ as follows:

$$P = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle a_j, x \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, i \right\} \cup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle a_j, x \rangle \leq b_j \quad \text{for } j = i + 1, \ldots, n \right\},$$

where $a_j$ are the rows of $A$.

**Theorem 25.** Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : A x \leq b\}$ be a rational $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$ and $0 \in P$, as in (1.2) and (4.1). Then the following are equivalent for $g, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\frac{m}{r}P$ a lattice polytope:

(i) $P$ is $r$-rational Gorenstein with Gorenstein point $(g, y) \in \hom(\frac{1}{r}P)$.

(ii) There exists a (necessarily unique) integer solution $(g, y)$

$$-\langle a_j, y \rangle = 1 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, i$$

$$b_j - r \langle a_j, y \rangle = b_j \quad \text{for } j = i + 1, \ldots, n.$$

(iii) $\text{rh}^*(P; t)$ is palindromic:

$$t^{(d+1)n - \frac{d}{2}} \text{rh}^*_m \left( P; \frac{1}{t} \right) = \text{rh}^*_m (P; t).$$

(iv) $(-1)^{d+1}t^{\frac{d}{2}} \text{REhr}(P; t) = \text{REhr}(P; \frac{1}{t}).$

(v) $\text{rehr}(P; \frac{m}{r}) = \text{rehr}(\frac{m}{r}P; \frac{m}{r})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

(vi) $\hom(\frac{1}{r}P)^\vee$ is the cone over a lattice polytope, i.e., there exists a lattice point $(g, y) \in \hom(\frac{1}{r}P)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ such that for every primitive ray generator $(v_0, \nu)$ of $\hom(\frac{1}{r}P)^\vee$,

$$\langle (g, y), (v_0, \nu) \rangle = 1.$$

The equivalence of (i) and (vi) is well known (see, e.g., [2, Definition 1.8] or [8, Exercises 2.13, 2.14]); for the sake of completeness we include a proof below.

**Corollary 26.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$. If $0 \in P^\circ$, then $P$ is $r$-rational Gorenstein with Gorenstein point $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\text{rh}^*(P; t)$ is palindromic.

**Example.** [continued] We check the Gorenstein criterion for the running examples such that $0 \in P$.

- $P_1 := [-1, \frac{2}{3}], \ r = 2, \ m = 6.$

$$\text{rh}^*_6(P_1; t) = 1 + t + 2t^2 + 3t^3 + 4t^4 + 4t^5 + 4t^6 + 3t^7 + 2t^8 + t^9 + t^{10}$$

The polynomial $\text{rh}^*_6(P_1; t)$ is palindromic and therefore (by Theorem 25), $P_1$ is 2-rational Gorenstein. This is to be expected; as $0 \in P^\circ$, Lemma 18 shows that $\text{rh}^*(P_1; t)$ must be palindromic.
• \( P_2 := \left[ 0, \frac{2}{3} \right], r = 2, m = 3 \)

\[ rh_{3}^{*}(P_2; t) = 1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + t \]

The polynomial \( rh_{3}^{*}(P_2; t) \) is palindromic and \( P_2 \) is 2-rational Gorenstein with Gorenstein point \((g, y) = (4, 1) \in \hom(\frac{1}{2}P_2)\).

**Example.** The Haasenblieblingdreieck \( \Delta := \conv\{(0, 0), (2, 0), (0, 2)\} \) is not Gorenstein in the classic (integral) setting, but it is 2-rational Gorenstein: we compute

\[ \REhr(P, t) = \frac{1}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{2})^3} = \frac{1 + 3t^\frac{1}{2} + 3t + t^\frac{3}{2}}{(1 - t)^3}. \]

**Example.** The triangle \( \nabla := \conv\{(0, 0), (0, 1), (3, 1)\} \) has codenominator 1. It is not 1-rational Gorenstein as \(|\nabla^0 \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| = 0\) and \(|(2\nabla)^0 \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| = 2\).

**Proof of Theorem 25.** (iii) \( \iff \) (iv) \( \iff \) (v): We compute using reciprocity (Corollary 16)

\[ 1 + \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z} > 0} \rehr(P; \lambda)t^\lambda = \frac{\rehr^*(P; t)}{(1 - t^\frac{m}{r})^{(d+1)}} = \frac{\rehr^*(P, \frac{1}{t})}{(1 - t^\frac{m}{r})^{(d+1)}} \]

\[ = t^{-\frac{2}{r}} \frac{\rehr^*(P^0; t)}{(1 - t^\frac{m}{r})^{(d+1)}} = t^{-\frac{2}{r}} \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z} > 0} \rehr(P^0; \lambda)t^\lambda. \]

That is equivalent to

\[ t^{\frac{2}{r}} \REhr(P, t) = t^{\frac{2}{r}} \left( 1 + \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z} > 0} \rehr(P; \lambda)t^\lambda \right) = \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z} > 0} \rehr(P^0; \lambda)t^\lambda \]

\[ = \REhr(P^0, t) = (-1)^{d+1} \REhr(P, \frac{1}{t}). \]

Comparing coefficients gives the third equivalence:

\[ \rehr(P; \frac{n}{r}) = \rehr(P; \frac{n + g}{r}) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \]

(v) \( \iff \) (i): Since

\[ \rehr(P; \frac{n}{r}) = \rehr(P; \frac{n + g}{r}) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \]

it suffices to show one inclusion:

\[ \hom\left(\frac{1}{r}P\right)^0 \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \supseteq \left((g, y) + \hom\left(\frac{1}{r}P\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}, \]

where \( y \) is the unique interior lattice point in \( \frac{g}{r}P^0 \). Indeed, \( (g, y) \in \hom(\frac{1}{r}P)^0 \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \) implies \((g, y) + z \in \hom(\frac{1}{r}P)^0 \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \) for all \( z \in \hom(\frac{1}{r}P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \).

(i) \( \iff \) (iii): By the definition of \( P \) being \( r \)-rational Gorenstein we have

\[ \hom\left(\frac{1}{r}P\right)^0 \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} = (g, y) + \hom\left(\frac{1}{r}P\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}. \]
Computing integer point transforms gives:
\[ \sigma_{\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)}(z) = z^{(g,y)} \sigma_{\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)}(z). \]

Applying reciprocity (see, e.g., [6, Theorem 4.3]) yields
\[ \sigma_{\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)}(z) = (-1)^{d+1} \sigma_{\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)} \left( \frac{1}{z} \right) = z^{(g,y)} \sigma_{\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)}(z). \]

By specializing \( z = (t^\tau, 1, \ldots, 1) \) in (4.2) we obtain the following relation between Ehrhart series for \( \frac{1}{r}P \) in the variable \( t^\tau \) and \( t^{-\frac{1}{r}} \):
\[ (-1)^{d+1} \text{Ehr} \left( \frac{1}{r}P, \frac{1}{t^\tau} \right) = t^\tau \text{Ehr} \left( \frac{1}{r}P, t^{-\frac{1}{r}} \right). \]

From (the proof of) Theorem 6 we know that
\[ \text{Ehr} \left( \frac{1}{r}P, t^{-\frac{1}{r}} \right) = \text{REhr}(P, t) = \frac{\text{rh}_{m}^*(P; t)}{(1 - \frac{t^m}{t^\tau})^{d+1}}, \]

where \( m \) is an integer such that \( \frac{1}{r}P \) is a lattice polytope. Substituting this into (4.3) yields
\[ \left( \frac{m}{t^\tau} \right)^{d+1} \frac{\text{rh}_{m}^*(P; \frac{1}{t})}{(1 - \frac{t^m}{t^\tau})^{d+1}} = (-1)^{d+1} \frac{\text{rh}_{m}^*(P; \frac{1}{t})}{(1 - \frac{1}{t^\tau})^{d+1}} = t^\tau \frac{\text{rh}_{m}^*(P; t)}{(1 - \frac{t^m}{t^\tau})^{d+1}} \]

and thus
\[ t^{(d+1)m} \frac{g}{r} \text{rh}_{m}^* \left( P, \frac{1}{t} \right) = \text{rh}_{m}^*(P; t). \]

(ii) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (vi): The primitive ray generators of \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^{\vee} \) are the primitive facet normals of \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \), that is,
\[ (0, -a_j) \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, i \quad \text{ and } \quad \left( 1, -\frac{r}{b_j}a_j \right) \text{ for } j = i+1, \ldots, n. \]

Note that, since \( 0 \in P, b_j \geq 0 \) for all \( j = 1, \ldots, n \). The statement follows.

(vi) \( \Rightarrow \) (i): Since \( (g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^{\circ} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \) is an interior point, \( (g, y) + \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \subseteq \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^{\circ} \) follows directly. Let \( (x_0, x) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^{\circ} \), then for any primitive ray generator \((v_0, v)\) of \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^{\vee} \) (being the primitive facet normals of \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \)) we have
\[ \langle (x_0, x) - (g, y), (v_0, v) \rangle = \langle (x_0, x), (v_0, v) \rangle - \langle (g, y), (v_0, v) \rangle \geq 0. \]

Hence, \( (x_0, x) - (g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \) and \( (x_0, x) \in (g, y) + \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \).

(i) \( \Rightarrow \) (vi): From the definition of Gorenstein point we know that \( (g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^{\circ} \) and hence
\[ \langle (g, y), (v_0, v) \rangle > 0 \]

for all primitive facet normals \((v_0, v)\) of \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \). Since the facet normals \((v_0, v)\) are primitive, i.e., \( \gcd((v_0, v)) = 1 \), there exists an integer point in the shifted hyperplane \( H \) defined by
\[ H = \left\{ (x_0, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \langle (v_0, v), (x_0, x) \rangle = 1 \right\} \]
and hence \( H \) contains a \( d \)-dimensional sublattice. Since the intersection \( H \cap \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^{\circ} \) contains a pointed cone (e.g., the shifted recession cone), it contains a lattice point \((z_0, z) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^{\circ}\).

So, for any facet of \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \) there exists a lattice point \((z_0, z) \) in the interior of \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \) at lattice distance one from the facet. Since \((g, y) + \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) = \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^{\circ} \), there exists a point \((r_0, r) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \) such that

\[
(g, y) + (r_0, r) = (z_0, z).
\]

Then,

\[
1 = \langle (z_0, z), (v_0, v) \rangle = \langle (g, y), (v_0, v) \rangle + \langle (r_0, r), (v_0, v) \rangle_{>0} + \langle (r_0, r), (v_0, v) \rangle_{\geq 0}
\]

and \(\langle (g, y), (v_0, v) \rangle = 1\).

As usual we state a version of Theorem 25 for the refined rational Ehrhart series and the \(\text{rrh}^*\)-polynomial. Here, the polytopes under consideration are not required to contain the origin. This means that in the description (4.1) of the polytope the vector \( b \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) might have negative entries and we use absolute values when multiplying inequalities or facet normals with entries of \( b \). Except for this small difference, the proof is the same as that of Theorem 25 so we omit it.

**Theorem 27.** Let \( P = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : A x \leq b \} \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \), as in (1.2) and (4.1). Then the following are equivalent for \( g, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) and \( \frac{m}{2r}P \) a lattice polytope:

(i) \( P \) is \( 2r \)-rational Gorenstein with Gorenstein point \((g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{2r}P) \).

(ii) There exists a (necessarily unique) integer solution \((g, y) \)

\[-\langle a_j, y \rangle = 1 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, i
\]

\[b_j g - 2r \langle a_j, y \rangle = |b_j| \quad \text{for } j = i + 1, \ldots, n.
\]

(iii) \( \text{rrh}^*(P; t) \) is palindromic:

\[t^{(d+1)} \frac{2m}{2r} - \frac{2m}{2r} \text{ rrh}^*_m \left( \frac{P}{t} \right) = \text{rrh}^*_m (P; t) \]

(iv) \((-1)^{d+1} t^\frac{2m}{2r} \text{ RREhr}(P; t) = \text{RREhr}(P; \frac{1}{t}) \).

(v) \( \text{reh} (P; \frac{n}{2r}) = \text{reh} (P^0; \frac{n+2}{2r}) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

(vi) \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{2r}P)^{\vee} \) is the cone over a lattice polytope, i.e., there exists a lattice point \((g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{2r}P)^{\circ} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \) such that for every primitive ray generator \((v_0, v) \) of \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{2r}P)^{\circ} \)

\[
\langle (g, y), (v_0, v) \rangle = 1.
\]

Theorem 27 could be generalized to \( \ell r \)-rational Gorenstein polytopes for \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). However it’s not clear that computationally this would provide any new insights to the (rational) Ehrhart theory of the polytopes.

**Corollary 28.**

(i) If \( 0 \in P^0 \), then \( P \) is also \( 2r \)-rational Gorenstein with the same Gorenstein point \((1, 0, \ldots, 0) \) (see Corollary 26).

(ii) If \( 0 \in P \) and \( P \) is \( r \)-rational Gorenstein, then \( P \) is also \( 2r \)-rational Gorenstein.

(iii) If \( P \) is \( 2r \)-rational Gorenstein and the first coordinate \( g \) of the Gorenstein point \((g, y) \) is even, then \( P \) is also \( r \)-rational Gorenstein.
Figure 3. The cone $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{4}P_3) = \text{hom}(\frac{1}{8}P_4)$ with Gorenstein point $(3, 1)$ highlighted in orange. The other lattice points $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{4}P_3) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ are marked in black. Observe that $(3, 1) + \text{hom}(\frac{1}{4}P_3) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \text{hom}(\frac{1}{4}P_3) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Proof of (ii). Since $0 \in P$ we know that $\text{reh}$ is constant on $[\frac{n}{r}, \frac{n+1}{r})$ and we compute

\[
\text{REhr}(P; t) = 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \text{reh}(P; \frac{n}{2r}) t^{\frac{n}{2r}}
\]

\[
= 1 + \text{reh}(P; \frac{1}{2r}) t^{\frac{1}{2r}} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \left( \text{reh}(P; \frac{2n}{2r}) t^{\frac{2n}{2r}} + \text{reh}(P; \frac{2n+1}{2r}) t^{\frac{2n+1}{2r}} \right)
\]

\[
= 1 + t^{\frac{1}{2r}} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \text{reh}(P; \frac{n}{r}) t^{\frac{n}{r}} (1 + t^{\frac{1}{2r}})
\]

\[
= \left(1 + t^{\frac{1}{2r}}\right) \text{REhr}(P; t),
\]

where we also use that $\text{reh}(P, 0) = \text{reh}(P, \frac{1}{2r}) = 1$. □

Example. (continued) We check the Gorenstein criterion for the running examples such that $0 \not\in P$.

- $P_3 := [1, 2]$, $r = 2$, $m = 4$, $\text{rrh}^*_4(P_3; t) = 1 + t^2 + t^3 + t^4$
- $P_4 := [2, 4]$, $r = 4$, $m = 4$, $\text{rrh}^*_4(P_4; t) = 1 + t^2 + t^3 + t^4$

Both polynomials $\text{rrh}^*_4(P_4; t)$ and $\text{rrh}^*_4(P_3; t)$ are palindromic and therefore $P_3$ is 4-rational Gorenstein and $P_4$ is 8-rational Gorenstein. In fact, $\frac{1}{4}P_3 = \frac{1}{8}P_4$ and so $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{4}P_3) = \text{hom}(\frac{1}{8}P_4)$. The Gorenstein point is $(g, y) = (3, 1)$. See Figure 3.

Example. [A polytope that is not 2r-rational Gorenstein] Let $P_5 = [1, 4]$. Then $r = 4$ and $2r = 8$, so $\frac{1}{2r}P_5 = [\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}]$. The first lattice point in the interior of $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{8}P_5)$ is $(g, y) = (3, 1)$. However, $(3, 1)$ does not satisfy Condition (ii) from Theorem 25; it is at lattice distance 5 from one of the facets of $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{8}P_5)$.

Remark 29. The codegree of a lattice polytope is defined as $\text{dim}(P) + 1 - \deg(h^*(t))$. Analogously, in the rational case, we define the rational codegree of $\text{rh}^*_m(P; t)$ to be

\[
\frac{m}{r} (\text{dim}(P) + 1) - \deg(\text{rh}^*_m(P; t)),
\]
where the degree of \( \text{rh}^*_m(\mathcal{P}; t) \) is its (possibly fractional) degree as a polynomial in \( t \). Likewise, the **rational codegree of** \( \text{rh}^*_m(\mathcal{P}; t) \) is defined as \( \frac{n}{m}(\dim(\mathcal{P}) + 1) - \deg(\text{rh}^*_m(\mathcal{P}; t)) \). As in the integral case, it holds that the rational codegree of \( \text{rh}^*(\mathcal{P}; t) \) is the smallest integral dilate of \( \frac{1}{r}\mathcal{P} \) containing interior lattice points. The proof requires no new insights and we omit it here.

5. Symmetric Decompositions

We now use the stipulations of the last section to give a new proof of the following theorem. As we will see, our proof will also yield a rational version (Theorem 32 below).

**Theorem 30** (Betke–McMullen [7]). Let \( \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice \( d \)-polytope that contains a lattice point in its interior. Then there exist polynomials \( a(t) \) and \( b(t) \) with nonnegative coefficients such that

\[
\text{h}^*(\mathcal{P}; t) = a(t) + t b(t) , \quad t^d a \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) = a(t) , \quad t^{d-1} b \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) = b(t) .
\]

**Proof.** Suppose \( \mathcal{P} \) is a lattice \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \). If \( \mathcal{P} \) contains a lattice point in its interior, we might as well assume it is the origin (the \( \text{h}^* \)-polynomial is invariant under lattice translations). Then Corollary 26 says

\[
t^{d+1 - \frac{1}{r}} \text{rh}^*_r \left( \mathcal{P}; \frac{1}{t} \right) = \text{rh}^*_r(\mathcal{P}; t) .
\]

On the other hand, as we noted in the beginning of Section 2, the \( \text{h}^* \)-polynomial of a rational \( d \)-polytope always has a factor, that carries over (by the proof of Theorem 6) to

\[
\text{rh}^*_r(\mathcal{P}; t) = \left( 1 + t^{\frac{1}{r}} + \cdots + t^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \right) \tilde{h}(\mathcal{P}; t)
\]

for some \( \tilde{h}(\mathcal{P}; t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{1/r}] \) (which is, of course, very much related to Section 3). Moreover, by (5.1) this polynomial satisfies \( t^d \tilde{h}(\mathcal{P}; \frac{1}{t}) = \tilde{h}(\mathcal{P}; t) \). Note that

\[
\text{REhr}(\mathcal{P}; t) = \frac{\left( 1 + t^{\frac{1}{r}} + \cdots + t^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \right) \tilde{h}(\mathcal{P}; t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}} = \frac{\tilde{h}(\mathcal{P}; t)}{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}})(1-t)^d}
\]

and the Gorenstein property of \( \frac{1}{r}\mathcal{P} \) imply that \( \tilde{h}(\mathcal{P}; t) \) equals the \( \text{h}^* \)-polynomial (in the variable \( t^{\frac{1}{r}} \)) of the boundary of \( \frac{1}{r}\mathcal{P} \). Indeed, the rational Ehrhart series of \( \partial \mathcal{P} \) is

\[
\text{REhr}(\mathcal{P}; t) - \text{REhr}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t) = \frac{\text{rh}^*_r(\mathcal{P}; t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}} - \frac{t^{d+1} \text{rh}^*_r(\mathcal{P}; \frac{1}{t})}{(1-t)^{d+1}} = \frac{\text{rh}^*_r(\mathcal{P}; t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}} - t^{\frac{1}{r}} \text{rh}^*_r(\mathcal{P}; t)
\]

\[
= \frac{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}}) \text{rh}^*_r(\mathcal{P}; t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}} = \frac{\tilde{h}(\mathcal{P}; t)}{(1-t)^d} .
\]

The (triangulated) boundary of a polytope is shellable [18, Chapter 8], and this shelling gives a half-open decomposition of the boundary, which yields nonnegativity of the \( \text{h}^* \)-vector. Hence, \( \tilde{h}(\mathcal{P}; t) \) has nonnegative coefficients.

Let \( \text{Int} \) be the operator that extracts from a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{r}}] \) the terms with integer powers of \( t \). Thus

\[
a(t) := \text{Int} \left( \tilde{h}(\mathcal{P}; t) \right)
\]
is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[t] \) with nonnegative coefficients satisfying \( t^d a(\frac{1}{t}) = a(t) \). (Note that \( a(t) \) can be interpreted as the \( h^* \)-polynomial of the boundary of \( P \).) With (5.2), we compute

\[
\tilde{h}^*(P; t) = \text{Int}\left((1 + t^\frac{1}{3} + \cdots + t^\frac{2}{3}) \tilde{h}(P; t)\right) = a(t) + \text{Int}\left((t^\frac{2}{3} + t^\frac{4}{3} + \cdots + t^\frac{5}{3}) \tilde{h}(P; t)\right).
\]

Since \( \beta(t) := (t^\frac{2}{3} + t^\frac{4}{3} + \cdots + t^\frac{5}{3}) \tilde{h}(P; t) \) satisfies \( t^{d+1} \beta(\frac{1}{t}) = \beta(t) \), the polynomial

\[
b(t) := \frac{1}{t} \text{Int}\left((t^\frac{2}{3} + t^\frac{4}{3} + \cdots + t^\frac{5}{3}) \tilde{h}(P; t)\right)
\]

satisfies \( t^{d-1} b(\frac{1}{t}) = b(t) \), and \( h^*(P; t) = a(t) + t b(t) \) by construction. \( \square \)

**Remark 31.** We could have started the proof of Theorem 30 with (3.1) and then used Stapledon’s [17] results that \( \tilde{h}(P; t) \) is palindromic and nonnegative.

The rational version of this theorem is a special case of [5, Theorem 4.7].

**Theorem 32.** Let \( Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope with denominator \( k \) that contains a lattice point in its interior. Then there exist polynomials \( a(t) \) and \( b(t) \) with nonnegative coefficients such that

\[
\tilde{h}^*(Q; t) = a(t) + t b(t), \quad t^{k(d+1)-1} a(\frac{1}{t}) = a(t), \quad t^{k(d+1)-2} b(\frac{1}{t}) = b(t).
\]

**Proof.** We repeat our proof of Theorem 30 for \( P := k \cdot Q \), except that instead of the operator \( \text{Int} \), we use the operator \( \text{Rat}_k \) which extracts the terms with powers that are multiples of \( \frac{1}{k} \). So now \( a(t) := \text{Rat}_k(\tilde{h}(P; t)) \),

\[
b(t) := \frac{1}{t^k} \text{Rat}_k\left((t^\frac{1}{3} + t^\frac{2}{3} + \cdots + t^\frac{5}{3}) \tilde{h}(P; t)\right)
\]

and \( h^*(P; t) = a(t^k) + t b(t^k) \). \( \square \)

### 6. Period Collapse

One of the classic instances of period collapse in integral Ehrhart theory is the triangle

\[
\Delta := \text{conv}\{ (0, 0), (1, \frac{p-1}{p}), (p, 0) \}
\]

where \( p \geq 2 \) is an integer. Here

\[
\text{Ehr}(\Delta; t) = \frac{1 + (p-2) t}{(1-t)^3}
\]

and so, while the denominator of \( \Delta \) equals \( p \), the period of \( \text{ehr}(\Delta; n) \) collapses to 1: the quasipolynomial \( \text{ehr}(\Delta; n) = \frac{p-1}{p} n^2 + \frac{p+1}{2} n + 1 \) is a polynomial.

As mentioned in the Introduction, we offer data points towards the question whether or how much period collapse happens in rational Ehrhart theory, and how it compares to the classical scenario.

**Example.** We consider the triangle \( \Delta \) defined in (6.1) with \( p = 3 \). Note that both denominator and codenominator of \( \Delta \) equal 3. We compute

\[
\text{REhr}(\Delta; t) = \frac{1 + t^\frac{2}{3}}{(1-t^\frac{1}{3})^2 (1-t^3)}.
\]
Note that the accompanying rational Ehrhart quasipolynomial \( ehr(P; \lambda) \) thus has period 3. We can retrieve the integral Ehrhart series from the rational by rewriting
\[
\text{REhr}(\Delta; t) = \frac{(1 + t^{\frac{2}{3}})(1 + t^{\frac{1}{3}} + t^{\frac{2}{3}})^2}{(1-t)^2(1-t^3)} = \frac{(1 + t^{\frac{2}{3}})(1 + 2t^{\frac{2}{3}} + 3t^{\frac{2}{3}} + 2t + t^{\frac{4}{3}})}{(1-t)^2(1-t^3)}
\]
and then disregarding the fractional powers in the numerator, which gives
\[
\text{Ehr}(\Delta; t) = \frac{1 + 2t + 2t^2 + t^3}{(1-t)^2(1-t^3)} = \frac{1 + t}{(1-t)^3}.
\]
Hence the classical Ehrhart quasipolynomial exhibits period collapse while the rational does not.

**Example.** The recent paper [10] studied certain families of polytopes arising from graphs, which exhibit period collapse. One example is the pyramid
\[
P_5 := \text{conv} \{ (0,0,0), \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0 \right), \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right), \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right), \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \},
\]
which has denominator 4 and codenominator 1. In particular, its rational Ehrhart series equals the standard Ehrhart series, and
\[
\text{REhr}(P_5; t) = \text{Ehr}(P_5; t) = \frac{1 + t^3}{(1-t)(1-t^2)^3}
\]
suggests that \( ehr(P_5; n) \) and \( ehr(P_5; \lambda) \) both have period 2, i.e., they both exhibit period collapse.

**Example.** Recall the running examples \( P_1 = [-1, \frac{2}{3}] \) and \( P_2 = [0, \frac{2}{3}] \). Restricting the real Ehrhart quasipolynomial from page 4 to positive integers we retrieve the Ehrhart quasipolynomials:
\[
ehr(P_1; n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}n + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 3, \\ \frac{2}{3}n + \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 3 , \\ \frac{2}{3}n + \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 3, \end{cases}
\]
\[
ehr(P_2; n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}n + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 3, \\ \frac{2}{3}n + \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 3, \\ \frac{2}{3}n + \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 3. \end{cases}
\]
We can observe the period 3 here. Recall the rational Ehrhart series from page 7:
\[
\text{REhr}(P_1; t) = \frac{1 + t^{\frac{2}{3}} + t + t^{\frac{2}{3}} + t^2}{(1-t)(1-t^2)}, \quad \text{REhr}(P_2; t) = \frac{1}{(1-t^{\frac{2}{3}})(1-t^2)} = \frac{1 + t^{\frac{2}{3}} + t}{(1-t^{\frac{2}{3}})^2}.
\]
We can read off from the series that \( \frac{3}{2} \) is a rational period of both \( \text{rehr}(P_1; \lambda) \) and \( \text{rehr}(P_2; \lambda) \). Hence these are examples of polytopes with period collapse in their rational Ehrhart quasipolynomials but not in their integral Ehrhart quasipolynomials.

**Example.** Consider the line segment \( P_6 := [0, \frac{1}{2}] \). We easily compute the Ehrhart quasipolynomial:
\[
ehr(P_6, n) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2, \\ \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2, \end{cases}
\]
with period 2. As the codenominator \( r \) of \( P_6 \) is 1, the rational Ehrhart series equals the classical Ehrhart series, which we compute as
\[
\text{REhr}(P_6; t) = \text{Ehr}(P_6, t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-t^2)} = \frac{1 + t}{(1-t^2)^2}.
\]
In this case neither the rational Ehrhart quasipolynomial nor the integral Ehrhart quasipolynomial exhibit period collapse.
The question about possible period collapse of an Ehrhart quasipolynomial is only one of many one can ask for a given rational polytope. To mention just one further example, there are many interesting questions and conjectures on when the $h^*$-polynomial is unimodal. One can, naturally, extend any such question to rational Ehrhart series.
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