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Abstract

Tutte (1961) proved the chain theorem for 3-connected graphs with respect to minors, which states that every 3-connected graph \( G \) has a 3-connected minor with one vertex fewer than \( G \), unless \( G \) is a wheel graph. Bouchet (1987) proved an analog for prime graphs with respect to vertex-minors. We present a chain theorem for higher connectivity with respect to vertex-minors, showing that every sequentially 3-rank-connected graph \( G \) has a sequentially 3-rank-connected vertex-minor with one vertex fewer than \( G \), unless \( |V(G)| \leq 12 \).

1 Introduction

Tutte [9] proved the chain theorem for 3-connected graphs with respect to minors, which states that every 3-connected graph \( G \) has a 3-connected minor with one vertex fewer than \( G \), unless \( G \) is a wheel graph. We will present a chain theorem for vertex-minors.

For a vertex \( v \) of a graph \( G \), the local complementation at \( v \) is an operation obtaining a new graph \( G^*v \) from \( G \) by replacing the subgraph induced by the neighbors of \( v \) with its complement graph. A graph \( H \) is a vertex-minor of \( G \) if \( H \) can be obtained from \( G \) by a sequence of local complementations and vertex deletions.

For a graph \( G \), the cut-rank function \( \rho_G \) is a function which maps a set \( X \) of vertices of \( G \) to the rank of an \( X \times (V(G) - X) \) matrix over the binary field whose \((i, j)\)-entry is \( 1 \) if \( i \) and \( j \) are adjacent in \( G \) and \( 0 \) otherwise. A graph \( G \) is prime if there is no set \( X \) of vertices of \( G \) such that \( |X| \geq 2 \), \( |V(G) - X| \geq 2 \), and \( \rho_G(X) \leq 1 \). Bouchet proved the following chain theorem for prime graphs with respect to vertex-minors. Later, Allys [1] proved a stronger theorem.

\textbf{Theorem 1.1} (Bouchet [2, Theorem 3.2]). Every prime graph \( G \) has a prime vertex-minor \( H \) with \( |V(H)| = |V(G)| - 1 \), unless \( |V(G)| \leq 5 \).

A set \( X \) of vertices of \( G \) is sequential in \( G \) if there is an ordering \( a_1, \ldots, a_k \) of the vertices in \( X \) such that \( \rho_G(\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\}) \leq 2 \) for each \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). A graph \( G \) is sequentially 3-rank-connected if it is prime and whenever \( \rho_G(X) \leq 2 \) for \( X \subseteq V(G) \), \( X \) or \( V(G) - X \) is sequential in \( G \).

Here is our chain theorem for sequentially 3-rank-connected graphs with respect to vertex-minors.

\textbf{Theorem 1.2}. Every sequentially 3-rank-connected graph \( G \) has a sequentially 3-rank-connected vertex-minor \( H \) with \( |V(H)| = |V(G)| - 1 \), unless \( |V(G)| \leq 12 \).
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Our theorem is motivated by the following theorem for sequentially 4-connected matroids, proved by Geelen and Whittle.

**Theorem 1.3** (Geelen and Whittle [4, Theorem 1.2]). Every sequentially 4-connected matroid \(M\) has a sequentially 4-connected minor \(N\) with \(|E(N)| = |E(M)| - 1\), unless \(M\) is a wheel matroid or a whirl matroid.

Let us briefly sketch the proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof consists of three parts. In the first part, we prove it for 3-rank-connected graphs, which are prime graphs with no set \(X\) such that \(\rho_G(X) \leq 2\), \(|X| > 2\), and \(|V(G) - X| > 2\). The second part discusses internally 3-rank-connected but not 3-rank-connected graphs. The last part considers sequentially 3-rank-connected graphs that are not internally 3-rank-connected.

Essentially, the proof is based on the submodularity of the matrix rank function. We will also use Theorem 1.1. Proof ideas of some lemmas are from Geelen and Whittle [4]. We will also use triplets introduced by Oum [7].

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review vertex-minors and several inequalities for cut-rank functions. In Section 3, we define sequentially 3-rank-connected graphs. In Section 4, we prove the main theorem for 3-rank-connected graphs. In Section 5, we prove our theorem for internally 3-rank-connected graphs. In Section 6, we conclude the proof by dealing with sequentially 3-rank-connected graphs which are not internally 3-rank-connected.

## 2 Preliminaries

A graph is *simple* if it has no loops and parallel edges. In this paper, all graphs are finite and simple. For a graph \(G\) and a vertex \(v\), let \(N_G(v)\) be the set of vertices adjacent to \(v\) in \(G\). For a graph \(G\) and a subset \(X\) of \(V(G)\), let \(G[X]\) be the subgraph of \(G\) induced on \(X\).

**Vertex-minors** For a graph \(G\) and a vertex \(v\) of \(G\), let \(G \ast v\) be the graph obtained by replacing \(G[N_G(v)]\) with its complement. The operation obtaining \(G \ast v\) from \(G\) is called the *local complementation* at \(v\). A graph \(H\) is *locally equivalent* to \(G\) if \(H\) can be obtained from \(G\) by a sequence of local complementations. A graph \(H\) is a *vertex-minor* of a graph \(G\) if \(H\) can be obtained from \(G\) by applying local complementations and deleting vertices.

For an edge \(uv\) of a graph \(G\), let \(G \wedge uv = G \ast u \ast v \ast u\). Then \(G \wedge uv\) is obtained from \(G\) by *pivoting \(uv\)*. The graph \(G \wedge uv\) is well defined since \(G \ast u \ast v \ast u = G \ast v \ast u \ast v\) [6, Corollary 2.2].

**Lemma 2.1** (see Oum [6]). Let \(G\) be a graph and \(v\) be a vertex of \(G\). If \(x, y \in N_G(v)\), then \((G \wedge vx) \setminus v\) is locally equivalent to \((G \wedge vy) \setminus v\).

By Lemma 2.1, we write \(G/v\) to denote \(G \wedge vu \setminus v\) for a neighbor \(u\) of \(v\) in \(G\) because we are only interested in graphs up to local equivalence.

**Lemma 2.2** (Geelen and Oum [5, Lemma 3.1]). Let \(G\) be a graph and \(v\) and \(w\) be vertices of \(G\). Then the following hold.

1. If \(v \neq w\) and \(vw \notin E(G)\), then \((G \ast w) \setminus v\), \((G \ast w \setminus v) \setminus v\), and \((G \ast w)/v\) are locally equivalent to \(G \setminus v\), \(G \setminus v \setminus w\), and \(G/v\) respectively.
2. If \(v \neq w\) and \(vw \in E(G)\), then \((G \ast w) \setminus v\), \((G \ast w \setminus v) \setminus v\), and \((G \ast w)/v\) are locally equivalent to \(G \setminus v\), \(G/v\), and \((G \ast v) \setminus v\) respectively.
3. If \(v = w\), then \((G \ast w) \setminus v\), \((G \ast w \setminus v) \setminus v\), and \((G \ast w)/v\) are locally equivalent to \(G \setminus v\), \(G \setminus v\setminus w\), and \(G/v\) respectively.

**Lemma 2.2** implies the following lemma, which was first proved by Bouchet.

**Lemma 2.3** (Bouchet [3, Corollary 9.2]). Let \(H\) be a vertex-minor of a graph \(G\) such that \(V(H) = V(G) - \{v\}\) for a vertex \(v\) of \(G\). Then \(H\) is locally equivalent to one of \(G \setminus v\), \(G \setminus v\setminus w\), and \(G/v\).
Cut-rank function and rank-connectivity  For an $X \times Y$-matrix $A$ and $I \subseteq X$, $J \subseteq Y$, let $A[I,J]$ be an $I \times J$-submatrix of $A$. Let $A_G$ be the adjacency matrix of a graph $G$ over the binary field GF(2). The cut-rank $\rho_G(X)$ of a subset $X$ of $V(G)$ is defined by

$$\rho_G(X) = \text{rank}(A_G[X,V(G) - X]).$$

It is trivial to check that $\rho_G(X) = \rho_G(V(G) - X)$. For disjoint sets $X$, $Y$ of a graph $G$, let $\rho_G(X,Y) = \text{rank}(A_G[X,Y])$. A graph $G$ is $k$-rank-connected if there is no partition $(A,B)$ of $V(G)$ such that $|A|,|B| > \rho_G(A)$ and $\rho_G(A) < k$. A graph is prime if it is 2-rank-connected.

Observe that 1-rank-connected graphs are connected graphs.

**Lemma 2.10.** Let $G$ be a graph and $X \subseteq V(G)$. Then $G \backslash v$ is $(k - 1)$-rank-connected.

**Lemma 2.11.** Let $G$ be a graph and $X$ be a subset of $V(G)$. Then $G \cup \{v\}$ is $(k + 1)$-rank-connected.

The following lemmas give properties of the matrix rank function and the cut-rank function.

**Lemma 2.4.** If $G$ is a 3-rank-connected graph with at least 6 vertices, then $\deg_G(v) \geq 3$ for each $v \in V(G)$.

**Lemma 2.5** (Oum [7, Proposition 2.4]). Let $k$ be a positive integer. If a graph $G$ is $k$-rank-connected and $|V(G)| \geq 2k$, then for each $v \in V(G)$, $G \backslash v$ is $(k - 1)$-rank-connected.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $k$ be a positive integer. A $k$-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 2k$ is $k$-connected.

Proof. We use induction on $k$. Suppose that $G$ is $k$-rank-connected. We may assume that $k > 1$. Since $G$ is $1$-rank-connected, $G$ is connected. Let $v$ be a vertex of $G$. Then by Lemma 2.5 and induction hypothesis, $G \backslash v$ is $(k - 1)$-connected. Hence $G$ is $k$-connected.

The following lemmas give properties of the matrix rank function and the cut-rank function.

**Lemma 2.7** (see Oum [6, Proposition 2.6]). If a graph $G'$ is locally equivalent to a graph $G$, then $\rho_G(X) = \rho_{G'}(X)$ for each $X \subseteq V(G)$.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let $G$ be a graph and $v$ be a vertex of $G$. For a subset $X$ of $V(G) - \{v\}$, we have

- (i) $\rho_{G\backslash v}(X) + 1 \geq \rho_G(X) \geq \rho_{G\backslash v}(X)$.
- (ii) $\rho_{G\backslash v}(X) + 1 \geq \rho_G(X \cup \{v\}) \geq \rho_{G\backslash v}(X)$.

**Lemma 2.9** (see Truemper [8]). Let $A$ be an $X \times Y$-matrix. For sets $X_1, X_2 \subseteq X$ and $Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq Y$, $\text{rank}(A[X_1,Y_1]) + \text{rank}(A[X_2,Y_2]) \geq \text{rank}(A[X_1 \cap X_2, Y_1 \cup Y_2]) + \text{rank}(A[X_1 \cup X_2, Y_1 \cap Y_2])$.

Lemma 2.9 implies the following seven lemmas.

**Lemma 2.10** (see Oum [6, Corollary 4.2]). Let $G$ be a graph and let $X, Y$ be subsets of $V(G)$. Then $\rho_G(X) + \rho_G(Y) \geq \rho_G(X \cap Y) + \rho_G(X \cup Y)$.

**Lemma 2.11.** Let $G$ be a graph and $X$ and $Y$ be subsets of $V(G)$. Then $\rho_G(X) + \rho_G(Y) \geq \rho_G(Y - X) + \rho_G(X - Y)$.

**Lemma 2.12** (Oum [7, Lemma 2.3]). Let $G$ be a graph and $v$ be a vertex of $G$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be subsets of $V(G) - \{v\}$. Then, the following hold.
Lemma 2.13. Let $G$ be a graph and $v$ be a vertex of $G$. Let $X$, $Y$ be subsets of $V(G \setminus v)$. If $X \subseteq Y$ and $\rho_{G \setminus v}(Y) \geq \rho_G(Y)$, then $\rho_{G \setminus v}(X) = \rho_G(X)$.

Proof. By (S2) of Lemma 2.12,

$$\rho_{G \setminus v}(X) + \rho_G(Y) \geq \rho_{G \setminus v}(Y) + \rho_G(X).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.8(i), $0 \leq \rho_G(X) - \rho_{G \setminus v}(X) \leq \rho_G(Y) - \rho_{G \setminus v}(Y) \leq 0$. So we conclude that $\rho_{G \setminus v}(X) = \rho_G(X)$.

Lemma 2.14. Let $G$ be a graph and $v$ be a vertex of $G$. Let $X$, $Y$ be subsets of $V(G)$. If $v \in Y \subseteq X$ and $\rho_{G \setminus v}(Y - \{v\}) \geq \rho_G(Y)$, then $\rho_{G \setminus v}(X - \{v\}) = \rho_G(X)$.

Proof. We apply Lemma 2.13 for $V(G) - X$ and $V(G) - Y$.

Lemma 2.15. Let $G$ be a graph and $v$ be a vertex of $G$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be subsets of $V(G) - \{v\}$. Then,

$$\rho_{G \setminus v}(X) + \rho_G(Y \cup \{v\}) \geq \rho_{G \setminus v}(Y - X) + \rho_G(X - Y).$$

Proof. Apply (S1) of Lemma 2.12 with $V(G) - (X \cup \{v\})$ and $Y$.

Lemma 2.16 (Oum [7, Lemma 2.2]). Let $G$ be a graph and $a$, $b$ be distinct vertices of $G$. Let $A \subseteq V(G) - \{a\}$ and $B \subseteq V(G) - \{b\}$. Then, the following hold.

(A1) If $b \notin A$ and $a \notin B$, then $\rho_G(A \cap B) + \rho_{G \setminus a \cup b}(A \cup B) \leq \rho_{G \setminus a}(A) + \rho_{G \setminus b}(B)$.

(A2) If $b \in A$ and $a \notin B$, then $\rho_{G \setminus a \cup b}(A \cap B) + \rho_{G \setminus a}(A \cup B) \leq \rho_{G \setminus a}(A) + \rho_{G \setminus b}(B)$.

(A3) If $b \in A$ and $a \in B$, then $\rho_{G \setminus a \cup b}(A \cap B) + \rho_{G}(A \cup B) \leq \rho_{G \setminus a}(A) + \rho_{G \setminus b}(B)$.

Lemma 2.17 (Oum [6, Proposition 4.3]). Let $G$ be a graph and $x$ be a vertex of $G$. For a subset $X$ of $V(G) - \{x\}$, the following hold.

(1) $\rho_{G \setminus x \setminus X}(x) = \rho_G(x) = \rho_G(C) = \rho_{G \setminus x}(C) = \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus X}(C) = \rho_G(C \cup \{x\}) - 1$ or $\rho_{G \setminus x}(X) = \rho_{G \setminus x}(C) = \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus X}(C) = \rho_G(C \cup \{x\}) - 1$.

Proof. Let $D = V(G) - (C \cup \{x\})$. Since $\rho_{G \setminus x \setminus X}(C) = \rho_G(C)$, a column vector $A_G(C, \{x\})$ is in the column space of $A_G(C, D)$. Then let $A'$ and $A''$ be matrices over GF(2) such that

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A_G([\{x\}, D]) \\ A_G(C, \{x\}) & A_G(C, D) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A'' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_G([\{x\}, D]) \\ A_G(C, \{x\}) & A_G(C, D) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\text{rank}(A') = \rho_G(C \cup \{x\})$ or $\text{rank}(A'') = \rho_G(C \cup \{x\})$ and therefore, by Lemma 2.17, we have $\rho_{G \setminus x \setminus X}(C) = \text{rank}(A') - 1 = \rho_G(C \cup \{x\}) - 1$ or $\rho_{G \setminus x}(C) = \text{rank}(A'') - 1 = \rho_G(C \cup \{x\}) - 1$.

Lemma 2.19 (Oum [6, Lemma 4.4]). Let $G$ be a graph and $x$ be a vertex of $G$. Let $(X_1, Y_1)$ and $(X_2, Y_2)$ be partitions of $V(G) - \{x\}$. Then the following hold:
(P1) \( \rho_{G,x}(X_1) + \rho_{G,x\setminus x}(X_2) \geq \rho_G(X_1 \cap X_2) + \rho_G(Y_1 \cap Y_2) - 1 \).

(P2) \( \rho_{G,x}(X_1) + \rho_{G/x}(X_2) \geq \rho_G(X_1 \cap X_2) + \rho_G(Y_1 \cap Y_2) - 1 \).

The following lemma is an easy consequence of Lemmas 2.7 and 2.19.

Lemma 2.20. Let \( G \) be a graph and \( x \) be a vertex of \( G \). Let \( (X_1, Y_1) \) and \( (X_2, Y_2) \) be partitions of \( V(G) - \{x\} \). Then,

\[
\rho_{G,x\setminus x}(X_1) + \rho_{G/x}(X_2) \geq \rho_G(X_1 \cap X_2) + \rho_G(Y_1 \cap Y_2) - 1.
\]

3 Definition of sequentially 3-rank-connected graphs

A subset \( A \) of \( V(G) \) is sequential in a graph \( G \) if there is an ordering \( a_1, \ldots, a_{|A|} \) of the elements of \( A \) such that \( \rho_G(\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\}) \leq 2 \) for each \( 1 \leq i \leq |A| \).

A graph \( G \) is sequentially 3-rank-connected if it is prime and for each subset \( X \) of \( V(G) \) with \( \rho_G(X) \leq 2 \), \( X \) or \( V(G) \setminus X \) is sequential in \( G \).

Lemma 3.1. Let \( G \) be a graph and \( A \) be a subset of \( V(G) \). Let \( t \) be a vertex of \( G \) such that \( \rho_G(A \cup \{t\}) = \rho_G(A) \). Then \( A \cup \{t\} \) is sequential in \( G \) if and only if \( A \) is sequential in \( G \).

Proof. We may assume that \( t \notin A \). The backward direction is obvious. So it is enough to show the forward direction.

Since \( A \cup \{t\} \) is sequential in \( G \), there is an ordering \( a_1, \ldots, a_m \) of the elements of \( A \cup \{t\} \) such that \( m = |A \cup \{t\}| \) and \( \rho_G(\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\}) \leq 2 \) for each \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). Let \( 1 \leq j \leq m \) be an index such that \( a_j = t \). Then for each \( j + 1 \leq i \leq m \), by Lemma 2.10, we have

\[
\rho_G(\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\}) \geq \rho_G(A \cup \{t\}) = \rho_G(A \cup \{a_1, \ldots, a_i\} - \{t\}),
\]

and therefore \( \rho_G(\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\}) - \{t\}) \leq \rho_G(\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\}) \). For each \( 1 \leq i \leq m-1 \), let

\[
a'_i = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } i < j, \\ a_{i+1} & \text{if } i \geq j. \end{cases}
\]

Hence, by above inequality, \( A \) is sequential in \( G \) because \( a'_1, \ldots, a'_{m-1} \) is a desired ordering of the elements of \( A \).

Lemma 3.2. Let \( G \) be a prime graph that is not sequentially 3-rank-connected and let \( T_1, \ldots, T_n \) be pairwise disjoint 3-element subsets of \( V(G) \) such that \( \rho_G(T_i) = 2 \) for each \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Then there exists a subset \( A \) of \( V(G) \) such that \( \rho_G(A) \leq 2 \), neither \( A \) nor \( V(G) - A \) is sequential in \( G \), and for each \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), \( T_i \subseteq A \) or \( T_i \subseteq V(G) - A \).

Proof. We proceed by induction on \( n \). Since \( G \) is prime and not sequentially 3-rank-connected, there is a subset \( A \) of \( V(G) \) such that \( \rho_G(A) \leq 2 \), and neither \( A \) nor \( V(G) - A \) is sequential in \( G \). So we can assume that \( n \geq 1 \). By the induction hypothesis, there is a subset \( A' \) of \( V(G) \) such that \( \rho_G(A') \leq 2 \), and neither \( A' \) nor \( V(G) - A' \) is sequential in \( G \), and for each \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \), \( T_i \subseteq A' \) or \( T_i \subseteq V(G) - A' \). Let \( B' = V(G) - A' \). We may assume that \( A' \cap T_n \neq \emptyset \) and \( B' \cap T_n \neq \emptyset \). Then, by symmetry, we can assume that \( |A' \cap T_n| = 2 \) and let \( x \) be the element of \( B' \cap T_n \). Since \( |T_n - \{x\}| = 2 \) and \( G \) is prime, we have \( \rho_G(T_n - \{x\}) = 2 = \rho_G(T_n) \). Then, by Lemma 2.10,

\[
\rho_G(A') + 2 = \rho_G(A') + \rho_G(T_n) \geq \rho_G(A' \cup \{x\}) + \rho_G(T_n - \{x\}) = \rho_G(A' \cup \{x\}) + 2.
\]

Hence \( \rho_G(A' \cup \{x\}) \leq \rho_G(A') \leq 2 \). Since \( V(G) - A' \) is not sequential in \( G \), \( |V(G) - A'| \geq 4 \) and so \( |V(G) - (A' \cup \{x\})| \geq 3 \). Hence \( \rho_G(A') = \rho_G(A' \cup \{x\}) = 2 \) because \( G \) is prime. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, neither \( A' \cup \{x\} \) nor \( V(G) - (A' \cup \{x\}) \) is sequential in \( G \).

For each \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \), we have \( x \notin T_i \) because \( T_i \) and \( T_i \) are disjoint. Therefore, \( T_i \subseteq A' \cup \{x\} \) or \( T_i \subseteq V(G) - (A' \cup \{x\}) \) for each \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).
4 Treating 3-rank-connected graphs

First, we prove that every vertex-minor of a 3-rank-connected graph $G$ with one vertex fewer than $G$ is prime.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $G$ be a 3-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 6$ and $x$ be a vertex of $G$. Then all of $G \setminus x$, $G \ast x \setminus x$, and $G/x$ are prime.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.7, it is enough to show that $G \setminus x$ is prime. This is implied by Lemma 2.5. □

A graph $G$ is weakly 3-rank-connected if $G$ is prime and $V(G)$ has no subset $X$ such that $|X| \geq 5$, $|V(G) \setminus X| \geq 5$, and $\rho_G(X) \leq 2$. The following lemma can be deduced easily from [7, Proposition 2.6] and Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 4.2** (Oum [7]). Let $G$ be a 3-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 6$ and $x$ be a vertex of $G$. Then at least two of $G \setminus x$, $G \ast x \setminus x$, and $G/x$ are weakly 3-rank-connected.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $G$ be a 3-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 6$ and let $S = \{v_1, \ldots, v_t\}$ be the set of all vertices $x$ of $G$ such that $G \setminus x$ is not weakly 3-rank-connected. Let $G' = G \ast v_1 \ast \cdots \ast v_t$. Then $G' \setminus v$ is weakly 3-rank-connected for every vertex $v$ of $G'$.

**Proof.** If $v \notin S$, then $G' \setminus v = (G \setminus v) \ast v_1 \ast \cdots \ast v_t$ and so $G' \setminus v$ is weakly 3-rank-connected. If $v = v_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$, then by Lemma 4.2, $G \ast v \setminus v$ is weakly 3-rank-connected. Since $G' \setminus v = (G \ast v \setminus v) \ast v_1 \ast \cdots \ast v_{i-1} \ast v_{i+1} \ast \cdots \ast v_t$ is locally equivalent to $G \ast v \setminus v$, we deduce that $G' \setminus v$ is weakly 3-rank-connected. □

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $G$ be a 3-rank-connected graph and $x$ be a vertex of $G$. Let $P$ be a 4-element subset of $V(G) \setminus \{x\}$ such that $\rho_{G \setminus x}(P) \leq 2$ and $(A, B)$ be a partition of $V(G) \setminus \{x\}$ such that $|A|, |B| \geq 4$ and $\rho_H(A) \leq 2$ for some $H \in \{G \ast x \setminus x, G/x\}$. Then $|A \cap P| = |B \cap P| = 2$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $|A \cap P| \neq |B \cap P|$. We may assume that $|A \cap P| > |B \cap P|$. Since $\rho_{G \setminus x}(P) \leq 2$ and $\rho_H(A) \leq 2$, by (P1) and (P2) of Lemma 2.19, we have

$$4 \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P) + \rho_H(A) \geq \rho_G(A \cap P) + \rho_G(B - P) - 1.$$

Since $|A \cap P| > 2$ and $G$ is 3-rank-connected, $\rho_G(A \cap P) > 2$. Hence $\rho_G(B - P) \leq 2$. Since $G$ is 3-rank-connected, $|B - P| \leq 2$, which implies that $|B \cap P| \geq 2$, contradicting the fact that $|P| = 4$. □

A 4-element subset $P$ of $V(G)$ is a quad of $G$ if $\rho_G(P) = 2$ and $\rho_G(P \setminus \{x\}) = 3$ for each $x \in P$.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $G$ be a prime graph and $A$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $\rho_G(A) = 2$ and $|A| \leq 4$. Then $A$ is a quad of $G$ or $A$ is sequential in $G$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $A$ is not sequential in $G$. Then $|A| = 4$ and $\rho_G(T) = 3$ for each 3-element subset $T$ of $A$. Therefore, $A$ is a quad of $G$. □

**Proposition 4.6.** Let $t_1$, $t_2$, and $t_3$ be distinct vertices of a 3-rank-connected graph $G$ such that $G \setminus t_1$, $G \setminus t_2$, and $G \setminus t_3$ are weakly 3-rank-connected. For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let $Q_i$ be a quad of $G \setminus t_i$. If $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \{t_3\}$, $Q_2 \cap Q_3 = \{t_1\}$, and $Q_3 \cap Q_1 = \{t_2\}$, then for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $G \ast t_i \setminus t_i$ or $G/t_i$ is sequentially 3-rank-connected.

**Proof.** Since $|V(G)| \geq |Q_1 \cup Q_2| = 7$, by Lemma 4.1, all of $G \setminus v$, $G \ast v \setminus v$, and $G/v$ are prime for each vertex $v$ of $G$. Observe that $\{t_2, t_3\} \subseteq Q_1$, $\{t_1, t_3\} \subseteq Q_2$, and $\{t_2, t_3\} \subseteq Q_3$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let $a_i, b_i \in Q_i - \{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$. □
Suppose that neither \( G \ast t_1 \mid t_1 \) nor \( G/t_1 \) is sequentially 3-rank-connected. Let us first show that \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = 3 \). Since \( G \setminus t_1 \) is prime, \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{a_3, b_3\}) = 2 = \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, t_3, a_1, b_1\}) \). By Lemma 2.11,

\[
\rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) + \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, t_3, a_1, b_1\}) \geq \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{a_3, b_3\}) + \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_3, a_1, b_1\}),
\]

and therefore \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) \geq \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_3, a_1, b_1\}) \). Since \( Q_1 = \{t_2, t_3, a_1, b_1\} \) is a quad of \( G \setminus t_1 \), \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_3, a_1, b_1\}) = 3 \). Therefore \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = 3 \) and, by symmetry, \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_3, a_2, b_2\}) = 3 \).

Since \( 3 = \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) \leq \rho_G(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) \leq 3 \), we have \( \rho_G(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = 3 \). Since \( Q_3 = \{t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\} \) is a quad of \( G \setminus t_3 \) and \( G \) is 3-rank-connected, we observe that \( 3 \leq \rho_G(\{t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = 1 + \rho_{G\setminus t_3}(\{t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\}) \) and therefore \( \rho_G(\{t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = 3 \). Similarly, \( \rho_G(\{t_3, a_2, b_2\}) = \rho_G(\{t_1, t_3, a_2, b_2\}) = 3 \). Therefore, by Lemma 2.18, the following hold.

(R1) \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = 2 \) or \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = 2 \).

(R2) \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_3, a_2, b_2\}) = 2 \) or \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_3, a_2, b_2\}) = 2 \).

Since \( G \) is 3-rank connected, \( \rho_G(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) \geq 3 \). So by Lemma 2.20,

\[
\rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) + \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(V(G \setminus t_1) - \{t_2, a_2, b_2\}) = \rho_G(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) + \rho_G(\{t_3, a_2, b_2\}) - 1 \geq 5.
\]

Hence, \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) + \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_3, a_2, b_2\}) \geq 5 \) and similarly,

\[
\rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_3, a_2, b_2\}) + \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) \geq 5.
\]

Therefore, by (R1) and (R2), either

(a) \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_3, a_2, b_2\}) = 2 \), or

(b) \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = \rho_{G\setminus t_1}(\{t_3, a_2, b_2\}) = 2 \).

By Lemma 2.2, we may assume (a), because otherwise we can choose a neighbor \( y \notin \{t_2, t_3\} \) of \( t_1 \) in \( G \) by Lemma 2.4 and replace \( G \) by \( G \ast y \). By Lemma 3.2, there is a subset \( A \) of \( V(G \ast t_1 \setminus t_1) \) such that

- \( \rho_{G\setminus t_1\setminus t_1}(A) \leq 2 \),
- neither \( A \) nor \( V(G \ast t_1 \setminus t_1) - A \) is sequential in \( G \ast t_1 \setminus t_1 \),
- \( \{t_2, a_3, b_3\} \subseteq A \) or \( \{t_2, a_3, b_3\} \subseteq V(G \ast t_1 \setminus t_1) - A \), and
- \( \{t_3, a_2, b_2\} \subseteq A \) or \( \{t_3, a_2, b_2\} \subseteq V(G \ast t_1 \setminus t_1) - A \).

We may assume that \( t_2, a_3, b_3 \subseteq A \) by replacing \( A \) with \( V(G \ast t_1 \setminus t_1) - A \) if necessary. Let \( B = V(G \ast t_1 \setminus t_1) - A \).

Suppose that \( \{t_3, a_2, b_2\} \subseteq A \). Observe that \( \rho_G(A) \leq \rho_{G\setminus t_1\setminus t_1}(A) + 1 \leq 3 \). Since \( \{t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\} \) is a quad of \( G \setminus t_3 \), by (S1) of Lemma 2.12,

\[
3 + 2 \geq \rho_G(A) + \rho_{G\setminus t_3}(\{t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = \rho_G((A - \{t_3\}) \cup \{t_3\}) + \rho_{G\setminus t_3}(\{t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\})
\]

\[
\geq \rho_{G\setminus t_3}(A - \{t_3\}) \cap \{t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\} + \rho_{G\setminus t_3}(A - \{t_3\}) \cup \{t_1, t_2, t_3, a_3, b_3\}
\]

\[
= \rho_{G\setminus t_3}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) + \rho_G(A \cup \{t_1\}) \geq 3 + \rho_G(A \cup \{t_1\}).
\]

Therefore \( \rho_G(A \cup \{t_1\}) \leq 2 \), contradicting our assumption that \( G \) is 3-rank-connected. So we deduce that \( \{t_3, a_2, b_2\} \subseteq B \).

By Lemma 4.4, \( |A \cap \{t_2, t_3, a_1, b_1\}| = |B \cap \{t_2, t_3, a_1, b_1\}| = 2 \). So \( |A \cap \{a_1, b_1\}| = |B \cap \{a_1, b_1\}| = 1 \) and we can assume that \( \{a_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\} \subseteq A \) and \( \{b_1, t_3, a_2, b_2\} \subseteq B \) by swapping \( a_1 \) and \( b_1 \) if necessary.
If $|A| = 4$, then $A$ is sequential in $G * t_1 \setminus t_1$ because $\rho_{G * t_1 \setminus t_1}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) \leq 2$ and $\{t_2, a_3, b_3\} \subseteq A$, contradicting our assumption on $A$. Hence $|A| \geq 5$.

If $|B| = 4$, then $B$ is sequential in $G * t_1 \setminus t_1$ because $\rho_{G * t_1 \setminus t_1}(\{t_3, a_2, b_2\}) \leq 2$ and $\{t_3, a_2, b_2\} \subseteq B$, contradicting our assumption on $B$. So $|B| \geq 5$ and $|V(G)| = |A| + |B| + 1 \geq 11$.

For each $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let $P_k = Q_k \cup \{t_k\} = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, a_k, b_k\}$. Observe that $\rho_G(P_k) \leq \rho_{G_3}(Q_k) + 1 \leq 3$ for each $1 \leq k \leq 3$. Since $G$ is 3-rank-connected and $|P_1 \cap P_3| = 3$, we have $\rho_G(P_1 \cap P_3) \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.10,

\[ 6 \geq \rho_G(P_1) + \rho_G(P_3) \geq \rho_G(P_1 \cup P_3) + \rho_G(P_1 \cap P_3) \geq \rho_G(P_1 \cup P_3) + 3, \]

which implies that $\rho_G(P_1 \cup P_3) \leq 3$. Observe that $|V(G) \setminus (A \cup (P_1 \cup P_3))| \geq |B| - b_1, t_3) \geq 3$. Since $G$ is 3-rank-connected, $\rho_G(A \cup (P_1 \cup P_3)) \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.10,

\[ 3 + 3 \geq \rho_G(A) + \rho_G(P_1 \cup P_3) \geq \rho_G(A \cap (P_1 \cup P_3)) + \rho_G(A \cup (P_1 \cup P_3)) \geq \rho_G(A \cap (P_1 \cup P_3)) + 3. \]

Therefore $\rho_G(\{a_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = \rho_G(A \cap (P_1 \cup P_3)) \leq 3$. Hence by Lemma 2.10,

\[ 3 + 2 \geq \rho_{G_3}(\{a_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\}) + \rho_{G_3}(\{t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\}) \]
\[ \geq \rho_{G_3}(\{a_1, t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\}) + \rho_{G_3}(\{t_2, a_3, b_3\}) = \rho_{G_3}(\{a_1, t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\}) + 3. \]

Hence $\rho_{G_3}(\{a_1, t_1, t_2, a_3, b_3\}) \leq 2$, contradicting our assumption that $G \setminus t_3$ is weakly 3-rank-connected.

An independent set of a graph is a set of pairwise nonadjacent vertices. For sets $A$ and $B$, let $A \triangle B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $G$ be a 3-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 6$ and $x$ be a vertex of $G$ such that $G \setminus x$ is weakly 3-rank-connected. Let $P$ be a quad of $G \setminus x$. Then there is a graph $G'$ locally equivalent to $G$ such that the following hold.

1. $G' \setminus v$ is weakly 3-rank-connected for each vertex $v \in P \cup \{x\}$.

2. $N_{G'}(t) - P \neq \emptyset$ for each $t \in P$.

3. $P$ is a quad of $G' \setminus x$.

**Proof.** Let $P = \{p, q, r, s\}$. By Lemma 4.3, there is a graph locally equivalent to $G$ satisfying (1) and (3). We may assume that among all graphs locally equivalent to $G$ satisfying (1) and (3), $G$ maximizes the number of edges between vertices in $P$.

We may assume that $N_G(p) \subseteq \{q, r, s\}$ because otherwise (1), (2), and (3) hold for $G' = G$. Since $P$ is a quad of $G \setminus x$, we have $\rho_{G \setminus x}(P) = 2$, which implies that $|V(G \setminus x) - P| \geq 2$. So $|V(G)| \geq 7$. Since $G$ is 3-rank-connected, by Lemma 2.4, we have $N_G(p) = \{q, r, s\}$.

Suppose that $\{q, r, s\}$ is independent in $G$. Since $G$ is 3-rank-connected, by Lemma 2.6, $G$ is 3-connected and so $G \setminus x \setminus p$ is connected. Let $X$ be a shortest path joining two vertices of $\{q, r, s\}$ in $G \setminus x \setminus p$. By symmetry, we may assume that $X = q_1 \cdots q_m r$ and $q_i \neq s$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since $\{q, r, s\}$ is independent in $G$, $m \geq 1$ and $\{q_1, \ldots, q_m\} \subseteq V(G) - (P \cup \{x\})$. Then let $G' = G * v_1 \cdots v_m$ Then $G'$ satisfies (1) and (3). Moreover, $N_{G'}(p) = \{q, r, s\}$ and $qr \in E(G')$. Hence $|E(G'[P])| > |E(G[P])|$, contradicting the choice of $G$. Therefore, $\{q, r, s\}$ is not independent in $G$.

Since $G$ is 3-rank-connected, we have $3 \leq \rho_G(P) \leq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P) + 1 = 3$. Hence $\rho_G(P) = 3$ and so $N_G(q) - P, N_G(r) - P, \text{ and } N_G(s) - P$ are nonempty, pairwise distinct, and $(N_G(s) - P) \triangle (N_G(q) - P) \triangle (N_G(r) - P) \neq \emptyset$.

If $G * q \setminus q$ is weakly 3-rank-connected, then let $G' = G * q$. Obviously, (1) and (3) hold. We have $N_{G'}(p) - P = N_G(q) - P = N_G(q) - P \neq \emptyset$. For each vertex $v \in \{r, s\}$,

\[ N_{G'}(v) - P = \begin{cases} N_G(v) - P \neq \emptyset & \text{if } v \text{ is not adjacent to } q \text{ in } G, \\ (N_G(q) - P) \triangle (N_G(v) - P) \neq \emptyset & \text{if } v \text{ is adjacent to } q \text{ in } G, \end{cases} \]
and therefore $G'$ satisfies (2). So we can assume that none of $G\ast q \setminus q$, $G\ast r \setminus r$, and $G\ast s \setminus s$ is weakly $3$-rank-connected. Then by Lemma 4.2, all of $G/q$, $G/r$, and $G/s$ are weakly $3$-rank-connected.

Since $\{q, r, s\}$ is not independent in $G$, by symmetry, we may assume that $q$ and $r$ are adjacent in $G$. Let $G' = G \wedge qr$. For each vertex $v \in P \cup \{x\}$, $G' \setminus v = (G \setminus v) \wedge qr$ if $v \in \{p, s, x\}$ and $G' \setminus v = G/v$ if $v \in \{q, r\}$, which implies that (1) and (3) hold. Then $N_{G'}(q) - P = N_{G}(r) - P$ and $N_{G'}(r) - P = N_{G}(q) - P$. Since $p \in N_{G}(q) \cap N_{G}(r)$ and $N_{G}(q) - P \neq N_{G}(r) - P$, we have $N_{G'}(p) - P = (N_{G}(q) - P) \Delta (N_{G}(r) - P) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore,

$$N_{G'}(s) - P = \begin{cases} N_{G}(s) - P \neq \emptyset & \text{if } s \notin N_{G}(q) \cup N_{G}(r), \\ (N_{G}(s) - P) \Delta (N_{G}(q) - P) \neq \emptyset & \text{if } s \in N_{G}(r) - N_{G}(q), \\ (N_{G}(s) - P) \Delta (N_{G}(r) - P) \neq \emptyset & \text{if } s \in N_{G}(q) - N_{G}(r), \\ (N_{G}(s) - P) \Delta (N_{G}(q) - P) \Delta (N_{G}(r) - P) \neq \emptyset & \text{if } s \in N_{G}(q) \cap N_{G}(r). \end{cases}$$

Hence, (2) holds.

\begin{lemma}
Let $G$ be a $3$-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 6$ and $x$ be a vertex of $G$. Let $P$ be a quad of $G \setminus x$ and $t$ be a vertex in $P$. If $G \setminus t$ is weakly $3$-rank-connected, then one of the following holds.

(Q1) $G \setminus t$ is sequentially $3$-rank-connected.

(Q2) There is a subset $X$ of $V(G \setminus t)$ such that $\rho_{G \setminus t}(X) \leq 2$, $X \cap P \neq \emptyset$, $(V(G \setminus t) - X) \cap P \neq \emptyset$, and neither $X$ nor $V(G \setminus t) - X$ is sequential in $G \setminus t$.

(Q3) $\rho_{G \setminus t}(P - \{t\}) = 2$ and $G \setminus t$ has a quad $Y$ containing $x$ such that $Y \cap P = \emptyset$.
\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}
Suppose that $G \setminus t$ is not sequentially $3$-rank-connected. Then there is a subset $X$ of $V(G \setminus t)$ such that $\rho_{G \setminus t}(X) \leq 2$ and neither $X$ nor $V(G \setminus t) - X$ is sequential in $G \setminus t$. Let $Y = V(G \setminus t) - X$ and $(Z_1, Z_2) = (X - \{x\}, Y - \{x\})$. Since both $X$ and $Y$ are non-sequential in $G \setminus t$, we have $|X|, |Y| \geq 4$ and so $|Z_1|, |Z_2| \geq 3$. If $X \cap P \neq \emptyset$ and $Y \cap P \neq \emptyset$, then (Q2) holds. So by symmetry, we may assume that $P - \{t\} \subseteq X$. Then $P - \{t\} \subseteq Z_1$. Since $P$ is a quad of $G \setminus x$, we know that $\rho_{G \setminus x}(P) = 2 = \rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{t\}) - 1 \leq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{t\})$. Then by Lemma 2.14, $\rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1 \cup \{t\}) = \rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1)$.

By Lemma 4.1, $G \setminus x$ is prime and so $2 \leq \rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1 \cup \{t\}) = \rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1) \leq \rho_{G \setminus x}(X) \leq 2$, which implies that

$$\rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1 \cup \{t\}) = \rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1) = 2.$$

Since $G$ is $3$-rank-connected and $|V(G) - (Z_1 \cup \{x, t\})| \geq |Z_2| \geq 3$, we have $\rho_{G}(Z_1 \cup \{x, t\}) \geq 3$. So by Lemma (A3) of Lemma 2.16,

$$2 + \rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1 \cup \{x\}) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1 \cup \{t\}) + \rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1 \cup \{x\}) \geq \rho_{G}(Z_1 \cup \{x, t\}) + \rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1) \geq 3 + 2.$$

Hence $\rho_{G}(Z_1 \cup \{x\}) > 2$ and $x \in Y$. So $(Z_1, Z_2) = (X, Y - \{x\})$ and $\rho_{G \setminus x}(X \cup \{t\}) = \rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1 \cup \{t\}) = 2$. Since $t \in P$ and $x \notin Z_1$ by (A2) of Lemma 2.16,

$$2 + 2 \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P) + \rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(Z_1 \cup \{t\}) + \rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{t\}) \geq 2 + \rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{t\}).$$

Therefore, $\rho_{G \setminus t}(P - \{t\}) = 2$ because $G \setminus t$ is prime. Since $X$ is non-sequential in $G \setminus t$ and $\rho_{G \setminus t}(P - \{t\}) \leq 2$, we have $|X| \geq 5$. Hence $|Y| = 4$ because $G \setminus t$ is weakly $3$-rank-connected. Since $Y$ is non-sequential in $G \setminus t$, by Lemma 4.5, $Y = Z_2 \cup \{x\}$ is a quad of $G \setminus t$. Hence (Q3) holds.
\end{proof}

\begin{lemma}
Let $G$ be a $3$-rank-connected graph such that $|V(G)| \geq 12$ and $x$ be a vertex of $G$. Let $P$ be a quad of $G \setminus x$ and $t$ be a vertex of $P$. Let $(X, Y)$ be a partition of $V(G) - \{t\}$ such that $\rho_{G \setminus t}(X) \leq 2$ and neither $X$ nor $Y$ is sequential in $G \setminus t$. If $G \setminus x$ and $G \setminus t$ are weakly $3$-rank-connected and $|X \cap P| = 1$, then the following hold.
\end{lemma}
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(K1) \( \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(X - \{x\}) = \rho_{G \setminus t}(X) = 2. \)

(K2) \( X \) is a quad of \( G \setminus t \) containing \( x \).

**Proof.** Since neither \( X \) nor \( Y \) is sequential in \( G \setminus t \), we have \(|X|, |Y| \geq 4\) and so \(|X - \{x\}|, |Y - \{x\}| \geq 3. \) Clearly, \( \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(X - \{x\}) \leq \rho_{G \setminus t}(X) \leq 2. \) Let \( q \) be the element of \( X \cap P \) and \( r, s \) be the elements of \( Y \cap P \). Let \( C = X - \{q, x\} \) and \( D = Y - \{r, s, x\} \). Then we have \(|D| \geq 1 \) because \(|Y| \geq 4. \)

Let us show that \( \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(C) \leq 2 \). Since \( P \) is a quad of \( G \setminus x \), by (ii) of Lemma 2.8, \( \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(P - \{t\}) \leq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P) = 2 = \rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{q\}) - 1 \leq \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(\{r, s\}). \) Hence, by Lemma 2.11,

\[
\rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(X - \{x\}) + 2 \geq \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(X - \{x\}) + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(P - \{t\}) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(C) + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(\{r, s\}) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(C) + 2
\]

and therefore \( \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(C) \leq \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(X - \{x\}) \leq 2 \). Since \( P \) is a quad of \( G \setminus x \), by (i) of Lemma 2.8, \( \rho_{G \setminus x}(P) = 2 = \rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{t\}) - 1 \leq \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(P - \{t\}). \) By Lemma 2.15,

\[
2 + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(C) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{t\}) + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(\{t\}) + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(C) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(C) + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(P - \{t\}) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(C) + 2,
\]

which implies that \( \rho_{G \setminus x}(C) \leq \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(C) \leq 2. \) Hence \( \rho_{G \setminus x}(C) = \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(C) = \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(X - \{x\}) = \rho_{G \setminus t}(X) = 2 \) because \( G \setminus x \) is prime and \(|V(G \setminus x) - C| \geq 2. \) Hence (K1) holds.

Since \( G \) is a 3-rank-connected graph and \(|V(G \setminus x) - C| = |P| + |D| \geq 5 \), we deduce that \(|C| \leq 4 \) and \(|X| \leq 6 \). So \(|Y| \geq 11 - |X| \geq 5. \)

Suppose that \( x \notin X. \) Then \( X = X - \{x\} \) and \( \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(X) = \rho_{G \setminus t}(X) = 2. \) Since \( C \subseteq X \), by Lemma 2.13, we have \( \rho_{G \setminus t}(C) = \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(C) = 2. \) By (A1) of Lemma 2.16,

\[
\rho_{G \setminus t}(C) + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(C) \geq \rho_{G}(C) + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus t}(C),
\]

which implies that \( \rho_{G}(C) \leq 2. \) So \(|C| \leq 2 \) because \( G \) is 3-rank-connected. Then \(|X| = |C \cup \{q\}| \leq 3 \), contradicting our assumption on \( X. \) Hence \( x \notin X. \)

Since \( G \setminus t \) is weakly 3-rank-connected, \( \rho_{G \setminus t}(X) = 2, \) and \(|Y| \geq 5 \), we have \(|X| = 4. \) Therefore, by Lemma 4.5, \( X \) is a quad of \( G \setminus t \) and (K2) holds. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 4.10.** Let \( G \) be a 3-rank-connected graph with \(|V(G)| \geq 12 \) and no sequentially 3-rank-connected vertex-minor on \(|V(G)| - 1 \) vertices. Let \( x \) be a vertex of \( G \) such that \( G \setminus x \) is weakly 3-rank-connected and \( P \) be a quad of \( G \setminus x \). Then there is a graph \( G' \) locally equivalent to \( G \) such that the following hold.

1. \( G' \setminus v \) is weakly 3-rank-connected for each vertex \( v \) of \( P \cup \{x\}. \)
2. \( P \) is a quad of \( G' \setminus x. \)
3. There exist a 2-element subset \( S \) of \( P \) and a quad \( X_u \) of \( G' \setminus u \) for each \( u \) in \( S \) such that \( x \in X_u, |X_u \cup P| = 1, \) and \( V(G' \setminus u) - X_u \) is not sequential in \( G' \setminus u. \)

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.1, \( G \setminus v \) is prime for each vertex \( v \) of \( G. \) By Lemma 4.7, we can assume that \( G \setminus v \) is weakly 3-rank-connected for each vertex \( v \) of \( P \cup \{x\}, \) \( P \) is a quad of \( G \setminus x, \) and \( N_G(t) \) is nonempty for each \( t \) in \( P. \)

By Lemma 4.8, each vertex \( t \) in \( P \) satisfies (Q2) or (Q3). Suppose that at most 1 vertex of \( P \)

satisfies (Q2). Then by Lemma 4.8, there exist 3 vertices \( q, r, s \) of \( P \) such that \( \rho_{G \setminus q}(P - \{q\}) = 2, \)

\( \rho_{G \setminus q}(P - \{r\}) = 2, \) and \( \rho_{G \setminus q}(P - \{s\}) = 2. \) Since \( P \) is a quad of \( G \setminus x, \) by (i) of Lemma 2.8, we have \( \rho_G(P) \leq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P) + 1 \leq 3. \) Since \( G \) is 3-rank-connected, \( 3 \leq \rho_G(P) \) and therefore, \( \rho_G(P) = 3. \) By (A3) of Lemma 2.16,

\[
2 + 2 = \rho_{G \setminus q}(P - \{q\}) + \rho_{G \setminus q}(P - \{r\}) \geq \rho_G(P) + \rho_{G \setminus q}(P - \{q, r\}) = 3 + \rho_{G \setminus q}(P - \{q, r\}).
\]
Therefore, $\rho_{G \setminus \{q, r\}}(P - \{q, r\}) \leq 1$ and by symmetry, $\rho_{G \setminus \{s, r\}}(P - \{q, s\}) \leq 1$ and $\rho_{G \setminus \{q, s\}}(P - \{r, s\}) \leq 1$. Let $p$ be an element of $P - \{q, r, s\}$. Since $N_G(t) - P \neq \emptyset$ for each $t \in P$, we have $N_G(p) - P = N_G(q) - P = N_G(r) - P = N_G(s) - P$ and therefore $\rho_G(P) = 1$, contradicting our assumption.

Therefore, there exists a subset $S = \{p, q\}$ of $P$ and a subset $X_u$ of $V(G \setminus u)$ for each $u \in S$ such that $\rho_{G \setminus \{p, q\}}(X_u) \leq 2$, both $X_u \cap P$ and $(V(G \setminus u) - X_u) \cap P$ are nonempty, and neither $X_u$ nor $V(G \setminus u) - X_u$ is sequential in $G \setminus u$.

Let $Y_p = V(G \setminus p) - X_p$ and $Y_q = V(G \setminus q) - X_q$. By symmetry, we may assume that $|X_p \cap P| = 1$ and $|X_q \cap P| = 1$. Then by (K2) of Lemma 4.9, $X_p$ is a quad of $G \setminus p$, $X_q$ is a quad of $G \setminus q$, and $x \in X_p \cap X_q$.

**Lemma 4.11.** Let $G$ be a 3-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 12$ and $x, y$ be distinct vertices of $G$ such that both $G \setminus x$ and $G \setminus y$ are weakly 3-ranked. Let $A$ be a quad of $G \setminus x$ and $B$ be a quad of $G \setminus y$. Then $|A \cap B| \leq 2$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $|A \cap B| \geq 3$. First let us consider the case when $y \notin A$ and $x \notin B$. Since $G$ is 3-rank-connected and $|V(G) - (A \cup B)| \geq 3$, we have $\rho_G(A \cup B) \geq 3$. So by (A1) of Lemma 2.16,

$$2 + 2 \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(A) + \rho_{G \setminus y}(B) \geq \rho_G(A \cap B) + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus y}(A \cup B) \geq 3 + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus y}(A \cap B).$$

Hence $\rho_{G \setminus x \setminus y}(A \cup B) \leq 1$. Then by (ii) of Lemma 2.8, we have $\rho_{G \setminus x}(A \cup B \cup \{y\}) \leq 2$. Since $G \setminus x$ is weakly 3-rank-connected and $|A \cup B \cup \{y\}| \in \{5, 6\}$, we deduce that $|V(G \setminus x) - (A \cup B \cup \{y\})| \leq 4$ and so $|V(G)| \leq 11$, contradicting our assumption.

Now we consider the case when either

- $y \in A$ and $x \notin B$, or
- $y \notin A$ and $x \in B$.

By symmetry, we may assume that $y \in A$ and $x \notin B$. Then $|A \cap B| = 3$ because $x \notin B$. Since $G \setminus x$ is weakly 3-rank-connected, $|A \cup B| = 5$, and $|V(G \setminus x) - (A \cup B)| \geq 6$, we have $\rho_{G \setminus x}(A \cup B) \geq 3$. By Lemma (A2) of Lemma 2.16,

$$2 + 2 \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(A) + \rho_{G \setminus y}(B) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(A \cup B) + \rho_{G \setminus y}(A \cap B) \geq 3 + \rho_{G \setminus y}(A \cap B).$$

Hence $\rho_{G \setminus y}(A \cap B) \leq 1$, contradicting the fact that $G \setminus y$ is prime.

Now it remains to consider the case when $y \in A$ and $x \in B$. Since $x \notin A$ and $y \notin B$, we have $|A \cap B| = 3$. Since $G$ is 3-rank-connected and $|V(G) - (A \cup B)| \geq 7$, we have $\rho_G(A \cup B) \geq 3$. By (A3) of Lemma 2.16,

$$2 + 2 \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(A) + \rho_{G \setminus y}(B) \geq \rho_G(A \cup B) + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus y}(A \cap B) \geq 3 + \rho_{G \setminus x \setminus y}(A \cap B).$$

So $\rho_{G \setminus x \setminus y}(A \cap B) \leq 1$ and $\rho_{G \setminus x}(A \cap B) \leq 2$, contradicting the assumption that $A$ is a quad of $G \setminus x$.

**Lemma 4.12.** Let $G$ be a 3-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 12$ and $x$ be a vertex of $G$. Let $P$ be a quad of $G \setminus x$ and $y$ be a vertex of $P$. Let $Q$ be a quad of $G \setminus y$. If $G \setminus x$ is weakly 3-rank-connected and $|P \cap Q| = 2$, then $x \in Q$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $x \notin Q$. Since $G$ is 3-rank-connected, by Lemma 4.1, $G \setminus y$ is prime. Therefore, $\rho_{G \setminus y}(P \cap Q) = 2$ because $|P \cap Q| = 2$. Since $y \in P$ and $x \notin Q$, by (A2) of Lemma 2.16,

$$2 + 2 \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P) + \rho_{G \setminus y}(Q) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P \cup Q) + \rho_{G \setminus y}(P \cap Q) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P \cup Q) + 2.$$

Hence $\rho_{G \setminus x}(P \cup Q) \leq 2$. Since $G \setminus x$ is weakly 3-rank-connected and $|P \cup Q| = 6$, we have $|V(G \setminus x) - (P \cup Q)| \leq 4$ and so $|V(G)| \leq 11$, contradicting our assumption.
Lemma 4.13. Let $G$ be a 3-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 13$ and $x$ be a vertex of $G$. Let $P$ be a quad of $G \setminus x$ and $p$, $q$ be distinct vertices of $P$. For each $u \in \{p, q\}$, let $A_u$ be a quad of $G \setminus u$ such that $x \in A_u$, $|A_u \cap P| = 1$, and $V(G \setminus u) - A_u$ is not sequential in $G \setminus u$. If $G \setminus x$, $G \setminus p$, and $G \setminus q$ are weakly 3-rank-connected, then $A_p \cap A_q \subseteq P \cup \{x\}$.

Proof. For each $u \in \{p, q\}$, let $B_u = A_u - (P \cup \{x\})$. Then $|B_u| = 2$ and $|A_u \cup P| = 7$ for each $u \in \{p, q\}$. Let $t$ be the unique element of $A_p \cap P$.

Now we claim that $\rho_G(A_p \cup P) = 3$. By Lemma 2.4, $N_{G \setminus x}(t) \neq \emptyset$ and so $\rho_{G \setminus x}(\{t\}) = 1$.

Since $P$ is a quad of $G \setminus x$, we have $\rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{p\}) \leq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P) = 2$. By (K1) of Lemma 4.9, $\rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p - \{x\}) = \rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p) = 2$. By Lemma 2.14, $\rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p \cup (P - \{p\})) = \rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p - \{x\}) \cup (P - \{p\})$.

By Lemma 2.10,

\[2 + 2 \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p - \{x\}) + \rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{p\}) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p - \{x\}) \cup (P - \{p\}) + 1.

Hence $\rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p - \{x\}) \cup (P - \{p\}) \leq 3$.

Since $P$ is a quad of $G \setminus x$, we have $\rho_{G \setminus x}(P) = 2 = \rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{p\}) - 1 \leq \rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{p\})$. So by Lemma 2.14, $\rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p - \{x\}) = \rho_{G \setminus x}(P - \{p\})$. By (A3) of Lemma 2.16, $\rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p - \{x\}) \cup (P - \{p\}) \geq \rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p \cup P) = \rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p - \{x\}) \cup (P - \{p\})$.

It follows that $\rho_G(A_p \cup P) = \rho_{G \setminus x}(A_p - \{x\}) \cup (P - \{p\}) \leq 3$. Since $G$ is 3-rank-connected and $|A_p \cup P|, |V(G) - (A_p \cup P)| \geq 3$, we have $\rho_G(A_p \cup P) = 3$.

By Lemma 4.11, $|A_p \cap A_q| \leq 2$. Since $x \in A_p \cap A_q$, we have $|B_p \cap B_q| \leq 1$.

Suppose that $|B_p \cap B_q| = 1$. Then $|A_p \cap (A_p \cup P)| = |A_q| = |A_p - (A_p \cup P)| = |A_q| - |B_q \cap A_q| = |A_q| - (|B_q| - |B_q \cap B_q|) = 3$. So $\rho_G(A_q \cap (A_p \cup P)) = 3$ because $A_q$ is a quad of $G \setminus q$. Since $\rho_{G \setminus q}(A_q) = 2$ and $\rho_{G \setminus q}(A_q \cup P - \{q\}) \leq \rho_{G \setminus q}(A_q \cup P) = 3$, by Lemma 2.11,

\[5 \geq \rho_{G \setminus q}(A_q) + \rho_{G \setminus q}(A_q \cup P - \{q\}) \geq \rho_{G \setminus q}(A_q \cup (A_p \cup P)) = \rho_{G \setminus q}(A_q \cup (A_p \cup P)) - \{q\} + 3.

Hence $\rho_G(A_q \cup (A_p \cup P) - \{q\}) \leq 2$. Since $G \setminus q$ is weakly 3-rank-connected and $|A_q \cup (A_p \cup P) - \{q\}| = |A_q| + |A_p \cup P| - |A_q \cup (A_p \cup P)| - 1 = 7$, we deduce that $|V(G \setminus q) - ((A_q \cup (A_p \cup P)) - \{q\})| \leq 4$. Therefore, $|V(G)| \leq 12$, contradicting our assumption. Therefore, $B_p \cap B_q = \emptyset$ and so $A_p \cap A_q \subseteq P \cup \{x\}$.

Lemma 4.14. Let $G$ be a 3-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 6$ and $a$, $b$ be distinct vertices of $G$. Let $A$ be a quad of $G \setminus a$ and $B$ be a quad of $G \setminus b$. If $|A \cap B| = 1$, then $b \in A$ and $a \in B$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then by symmetry, we may assume that $b \notin A$. Since $B$ is a quad of $G \setminus b$, we know that $\rho_{G \setminus b}(B) < \rho_{G \setminus b}(B - A)$. Then by Lemma 2.11,

\[\rho_{G \setminus b}(B) + \rho_{G \setminus b}(A) \geq \rho_{G \setminus b}(A - B) + \rho_{G \setminus b}(B - A).

and therefore $\rho_{G \setminus b}(A - B) < \rho_{G \setminus b}(A)$. Since $A$ is a quad of $G \setminus a$, we have that $\rho_G(A) \leq \rho_{G \setminus a}(A) + 1 \leq 3$. By Lemma 4.1, $G \setminus b$ is prime and so

\[2 \leq \rho_{G \setminus b}(A - B) < \rho_{G \setminus b}(A) \leq \rho_G(A) \leq 3,

which implies that $\rho_{G \setminus b}(A - B) = 2$ and $\rho_{G \setminus b}(A) = 3$. Since $2 = \rho_{G \setminus b}(A) - 1 \leq \rho_{G \setminus a}(A) \leq \rho_{G \setminus a}(A) = 2$, we have $\rho_{G \setminus a}(B) = 2$. Since $a \notin A - B$ and $b \notin A$, by (A1) of Lemma 2.16,

\[2 + 2 = \rho_{G \setminus a}(A) + \rho_{G \setminus b}(A - B) \geq \rho_G(A - B) + \rho_{G \setminus a}(B) = \rho_G(A - B) + 2.

Hence $\rho_G(A - B) \leq 2$, contradicting the condition that $G$ is 3-rank-connected.
Proposition 4.15. Let $G$ be a 3-rank-connected graph such that $|V(G)| \geq 13$. Then there exists a sequentially 3-rank-connected vertex-minor $H$ of $G$ such that $|V(H)| = |V(G)| - 1$.

Proof. Suppose that no vertex-minor of $G$ on $|V(G)|-1$ vertices is sequentially 3-rank-connected. Let $x$ be a vertex of $G$. By Lemma 4.3, we can assume that $G \setminus x$ is weakly 3-rank-connected. By Lemma 4.1, $G \setminus x$ is prime. Since $G \setminus x$ is not sequentially 3-rank-connected, there exists a subset $P$ of $V(G \setminus x)$ such that $\rho_{G \setminus x}(P) \leq 2$ and neither $P$ nor $V(G \setminus x) - P$ is sequential in $G \setminus x$.

Since $G \setminus x$ is weakly 3-rank-connected, we may assume that $|P| = 4$. Since $|V(G \setminus x) - P| \geq 4$ and $G \setminus x$ is prime, $\rho_{G \setminus x}(P) = 2$. So by Lemma 4.5, $P$ is a quad of $G \setminus x$. Then by Lemma 4.10, we can assume the following.

1. $G \setminus v$ is weakly 3-rank-connected for each vertex $v$ of $P \cup \{x\}$.
2. $P$ is a quad of $G \setminus x$.
3. There exists a 2-element subset $S$ of $P$ and a quad $X_u$ of $G' \setminus u$ for each $u$ in $S$ such that $x \in X_u$, $|X_u \cap P| = 1$, and $V(G' \setminus u) - X_u$ is not sequential in $G' \setminus u$.

Let $p, q \in S$. By Lemma 4.13, $x \in X_p \cap X_q \subseteq P \cup \{x\}$. By Lemma 4.11, $|X_p \cap X_q| \leq 2$.

If $|X_p \cap X_q| = 1$, then, by Lemma 4.14, $q \in X_p$ and $p \in X_q$. Then, since $X_p \cap X_q = \{x\}$, $X_p \cap P = \{q\}$, and $X_q \cap P = \{p\}$, by Proposition 4.6, $G \ast x \setminus x$ or $G/x$ is sequentially 3-rank-connected; contradicting the assumption.

So $|X_p \cap X_q| = 2$. Let $r \in X_p \cap X_q - \{x\}$. Since $r$ does not satisfy (Q1), by Lemma 4.8, (Q2) or (Q3) holds for $r$.

If (Q2) holds, there is a subset $R$ of $V(G \setminus r)$ such that $\rho_{G \setminus r}(R) \leq 2$, $R \cap P \neq \emptyset$, $(V(G \setminus r) - R) \cap P \neq \emptyset$, and neither $R$ nor $V(G \setminus r) - R$ is sequential in $G \setminus r$. By symmetry, we may assume that $|P \cap R| = 1$ by replacing $R$ by $V(G \setminus r) - R$. Then by (K2) of Lemma 4.9, $R$ is a quad of $G \setminus r$ containing $x$. By Lemma 4.11, $|R \cap X_p|, |R \cap X_q| \leq 2$.

Suppose that $|R \cap X_p| = 2$ and $|R \cap X_q| = 2$. Then by applying Lemma 4.12 twice, we deduce that $R$ contains both $p$ and $q$, contradicting our assumption that $|P \cap R| = 1$. So by symmetry, we can assume that $|R \cap X_p| = 1$. Then by Lemma 4.14, $p \in R$. Since $R \cap X_p = \{x\}$, $P \cap R = \{p\}$, and $X_p \cap P = \{r\}$, by Lemma 4.6, $G \ast x \setminus x$ or $G/x$ is sequentially 3-rank-connected, contradicting our assumption.

If (Q3) holds, then there is a quad of $R$ of $G \setminus r$ containing $x$ such that $R \cap P = \emptyset$. By Lemma 4.11, $|R \cap X_p| \leq 2$. Since $p \notin R$, by Lemma 4.12, $|R \cap X_p| = 1$. Then Lemma 4.14 implies that $p \in R$, contradicting the assumption.  

5 Treating internally 3-rank-connected graphs

A graph $G$ is internally 3-rank-connected if $G$ is prime and for each subset $X$ of $V(G)$, $|X| \leq 3$ or $|V(G) - X| \leq 3$ whenever $\rho_G(X) \leq 2$. A 3-element set $T$ of vertices of a graph $G$ is a triplet of $G$ if $\rho_G(T) = 2$ and $\rho_{G \setminus x}(T - x) = 2$ for each $x \in T$. The following lemma shows that if a graph is internally 3-rank-connected but not 3-rank-connected, then we can apply pivoting to obtain a graph with a triplet.

Lemma 5.1 (Oum [7, Lemma 5.1]). Let $G$ be a prime graph and $A$ be a 3-element subset of $V(G)$ such that $\rho_G(A) = 2$. Then there is a graph $G'$ pivot-equivalent to $G$ such that $A$ is a triplet of $G'$.

Lemma 5.2 (Oum [7, Lemma 5.2]). Let $G$ be an internally 3-rank-connected graph and $T = \{a, b, c\}$ be a triplet of $G$. Then $G \setminus a$, $G \setminus b$, and $G \setminus c$ are prime.

Lemma 5.3. Let $T$ be a triplet of an internally 3-rank-connected graph $G$ and $a \in T$. Let $(X, Y)$ be a partition of $V(G) - \{a\}$ such that $\rho_{G \setminus a}(X) \leq 2$ and neither $X$ nor $Y$ is sequential in $G \setminus a$. Then there exist $b \in X \cap T$ and $c \in Y \cap T$ such that $\rho_{G \setminus \{a\}}(X - \{b\}) = \rho_{G \setminus \{a\}}(Y - \{c\}) = 3$. 
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Proof. Since neither $X$ nor $Y$ is sequential in $G \setminus a$, $|X| \geq 4$ and $|Y| \geq 4$. So $\rho_{G \setminus a}(X) = 2$ because $G \setminus a$ is prime by Lemma 5.2. Since $T$ is a triplet of $G$, we have $\rho_{G \setminus a}(T - \{a\}) = \rho_G(T)$. If $T \subseteq X \cup \{a\}$, then by Lemma 2.14, $\rho_G(X \cup \{a\}) = \rho_{G \setminus a}(X) = 2$, contradicting the assumption that $G$ is internally 3-ranking-connected. Hence $T - \{a\} \not\subseteq X$ and similarly $T - \{a\} \not\subseteq Y$. Therefore, there exist $b \in X \cap T$ and $c \in Y \cap T$. Then $T = \{a, b, c\}$.

By (i) of Lemma 2.8, $\rho_G(X) \leq \rho_{G \setminus a}(X) + 1 \leq 3$. So by (ii) of Lemma 2.8, we have $\rho_{G \setminus a}(X - \{b\}) \leq 3$ and similarly, $\rho_{G \setminus a}(Y - \{c\}) \leq 3$.

Suppose that $\rho_{G \setminus a}(Y - \{c\}) < 3$. Since $T$ is a triplet of $G$, by Lemma 2.9,

$$\rho_G(\{a, b\}, Y - \{c\}) + 2 = \rho_G(\{a, b\}, Y - \{c\}) + \rho_G(\{a, b, c\}, V(G) - \{a, b, c\}) \geq \rho_G(\{a, b, c\}, Y - \{c\}) + \rho_G(\{a, b\}, V(G) - \{a, b, c\}) = \rho_G(\{a, b, c\}, Y - \{c\}) + 2,$$

and therefore $\rho_G(\{a, b, c\}, Y - \{c\}) \leq \rho_G(\{a, b\}, Y - \{c\})$. Then by Lemma 2.9, we have $\rho_G(X \cup \{a\}, Y - \{c\}) + \rho_G(\{a, b, c\}, Y - \{c\}) \geq \rho_G(X \cup \{a\}, Y - \{c\}) + \rho_G(\{a, b\}, Y - \{c\})$. Hence $\rho_{G \setminus a}(X \cup \{c\}) \leq \rho_G(X \cup \{a\}, Y - \{c\}) \leq \rho_G(X \cup \{a\}, Y - \{c\}) = \rho_{G \setminus a}(Y - \{c\}) < 3$. Therefore, $\rho_{G \setminus a}(X \cup \{c\}) \leq 2 = \rho_{G \setminus a}(X)$. Since $|Y - \{c\}| \geq 3$ and $G \setminus a$ is prime, we have $\rho_{G \setminus a}(X \cup \{c\}) = 2$. Since $Y$ is not sequential in $G \setminus a$, by Lemma 3.1, $Y - \{c\}$ is not sequential in $G \setminus a$ and therefore $|Y - \{c\}| \geq 4$. Since $T \subseteq X \cup \{a, c\}$, by Lemma 2.14, $\rho_G(X \cup \{a, c\}) = \rho_{G \setminus a}(X \cup \{c\}) = 2$, contradicting the assumption that $G$ is internally 3-ranking-connected. Therefore $\rho_{G \setminus a}(Y - \{c\}) = 3$. By symmetry, we deduce that $\rho_{G \setminus b}(X - \{b\}) = 3$. □

Lemma 5.4. Let $G$ be an internally 3-ranking-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 12$ and $T = \{a, b, c\}$ be a triplet of $G$ such that $G \setminus c$ is not sequentially 3-ranking-connected. Let $X$ be a subset of $V(G \setminus a \setminus b)$ such that $|X| \geq 3$, $|V(G \setminus a \setminus b) - X| \geq 2$, and $c \not\subseteq X$. Then $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(X) \geq 2$.

Proof. Suppose that $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(X) \leq 1$. Let $Y = V(G \setminus a \setminus b) - X$. Since $\{a, b, c\}$ is a triplet of $G$, we have $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(\{b, c\}) = \rho_G(\{a, b, c\})$. By Lemma 2.14, $\rho_G(Y \cup \{a, b\}) = \rho_{G \setminus a}(Y \cup \{b\})$. Hence $\rho_G(Y \cup \{a, b\}) = \rho_{G \setminus a}(Y \cup \{b\}) \leq \rho_{G \setminus a}(Y) + 1 = \rho_{G \setminus a}(Y) + 1 \leq 2$. So $|X| \leq 3$ because $G$ is internally 3-ranking-connected and $|Y \cup \{a, b\}| \geq 4$.

Since $G \setminus c$ is not sequentially 3-ranking-connected, there exists a partition $(C_a, C_b)$ of $V(G \setminus c)$ such that $\rho_{G \setminus c}(C_a) \leq 2$ and neither $C_a$ nor $C_b$ is sequential in $G \setminus c$.

Suppose that $|X| = 3$. By symmetry, we may assume that $|C_a \cap X| \geq 2$ by swapping $C_a$ and $C_b$ if necessary. If $|C_a \cap X| = 2$, then let $x$ be the element in $C_b \cap X$. By Lemma 5.2, $G \setminus c$ is prime. Since $|(Y \cup \{a, b\}) - \{c\}| \geq 2$, we have $2 \leq \rho_{G \setminus c}(X) \leq \rho_G(X) = 2$. Since $|X - \{x\}| = 2$ and $G \setminus c$ is prime, we also have $\rho_{G \setminus c}(X - \{x\}) = 2$. So by Lemma 2.10,

$$\rho_{G \setminus c}(C_a) + \rho_{G \setminus c}(X) \geq \rho_{G \setminus c}(C_a \cup \{x\}) + \rho_{G \setminus c}(X - \{x\}).$$

Therefore, $\rho_{G \setminus c}(C_a \cup \{x\}) \leq \rho_{G \setminus c}(C_a) \leq 2$. Since $|C_b - \{x\}| \geq 3$ and by Lemma 5.2, $G \setminus c$ is prime, we have $\rho_{G \setminus c}(C_a \cup \{x\}) = \rho_{G \setminus c}(C_a) = 2$. So by Lemma 3.1, neither $C_a \cup \{x\}$ nor $C_b - \{x\}$ is sequential in $G \setminus c$. By replacing $(C_a, C_b)$ with $(C_a \cup \{x\}, C_b - \{x\})$, we may assume that $|C_a \cap X| = 3$.

By Lemma 5.3, there is a unique element $t \in \{a, b\}$ of $C_b \cap T$. Then $X \subseteq C_a$ and $C_b - \{t\} \subseteq Y - \{c\} \subseteq Y$. Since $|V(G)| \geq 12$ and $G$ is internally 3-ranking-connected, we have $\rho_G(Y \cup \{t\}) \geq 3$. Since $\rho_G(Y) \leq \rho_{G \setminus a}(Y) + 1 \leq \rho_G(Y \cup \{t\})$ and $t \in C_b \subseteq Y \cup \{t\}$, by Lemma 2.14, $\rho_{G \setminus t}(C_b - \{t\}) < \rho_G(C_b) \leq 3$, contradicting Lemma 5.3. □

Lemma 5.5. Let $G$ be an internally 3-ranking-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 12$ and $T = \{a, b, c\}$ be a triplet of $G$. Let $(A_b, A_c)$ be a partition of $V(G \setminus a)$ such that $b \in A_b$, $c \in A_c$, $\rho_{G \setminus a}(A_b) \leq 2$, and neither $A_b$ nor $A_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus a$ and let $(B_b, B_c)$ be a partition of $V(G \setminus b)$ such that $a \in B_b$, $c \in B_c$, $\rho_{G \setminus b}(B_b) \leq 2$, and neither $B_b$ nor $B_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus b$. If $G \setminus c$ is not sequentially 3-ranking-connected, then the following hold.
(1) $\rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\}}(A_b \cap B_c) = \rho_G(A_b \cap B_c)$.

(2) $\rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\}}(A_c \cap B_a) = \rho_G(A_c \cap B_a)$.

(3) $\rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\}}(A_c \cap B_c) = \rho_G(A_c \cap B_c)$.

**Proof.** Since none of $A_b, A_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus a$ and none of $B_a, B_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus b$, we have $|A_b|, |A_c|, |B_a|, |B_c| \geq 4$. By Lemma 5.2, $G \setminus a$ is prime and so $\rho_{G \setminus a}(A_c) = 2$. Since $c \notin A_b$ and $|A_b| \geq 3$, by Lemma 5.4, we have $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b - \{b\}) \geq 2$. So by Lemma 2.8(i), we have $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_c) = \rho_G(A_c) = 2$. Similarly, $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(B_c) = \rho_{G \setminus b}(B_c) = 2$.

Since $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(B_c) = \rho_G(A_c \cap B_c) = 2$ and $A_b \cap B_c \subseteq B_c$, by Lemma 2.13, we have $\rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_b \cap B_c) = \rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_b \cap B_c)$.

By Lemma 2.16,

$$2 + \rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_c \cap B_c) = \rho_G(A_c) + \rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_c \cap B_c) \geq \rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_c) + \rho_G(A_c \cap B_c) = 2 + \rho_G(A_c \cap B_c),$$

which implies that $\rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_c \cap B_c) \geq \rho_G(A_c \cap B_c)$. By (i) of Lemma 2.8, $\rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_c \cap B_c) \leq \rho_G(A_c \cap B_c)$ and so $\rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_c \cap B_c) = \rho_G(A_c \cap B_c)$. Hence $\rho_G(A_c \cap B_c) = \rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_c \cap B_c)$.

**Lemma 5.6.** Let $G$ be an internally 3-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 12$ and $T = \{a, b, c\}$ be a triplet of $G$. Let $(A_b, A_c)$ be a partition of $V(G \setminus a)$ such that $b \in A_b, c \in A_c, \rho_{G \setminus a}(A_b) \leq 2$, and neither $A_b$ nor $A_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus a$ and let $(B_a, B_c)$ be a partition of $V(G \setminus b)$ such that $a \in B_a, c \in B_c, \rho_{G \setminus b}(B_a) \leq 2$, and neither $B_a$ nor $B_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus b$. If $G \setminus c$ is not sequentially 3-rank-connected, then the following hold.

(i) $\rho_G(A_c \cap B_a) \leq 2$ and $2 \leq |A_c \cap B_a| \leq 3$.

(ii) $\rho_G(A_b \cap B_c) \leq 2$ and $2 \leq |A_b \cap B_c| \leq 3$.

(iii) $\rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_b \cap B_a) \leq 2$.

(iv) $|A_c \cap B_a| \geq 2$.

(v) If $\rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_b \cap B_a) \geq 2$, then $\rho_G(A_c \cap B_a) \leq 2$ and $|A_c \cap B_a| \leq 3$.

**Proof.** Since none of $A_b, A_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus a$ and none of $B_a, B_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus b$, we have $|A_b|, |A_c|, |B_a|, |B_c| \geq 4$. Let us prove the following, which prove the lemma.

(1) If $|A_b \cap B_c| \geq 2$, then $\rho_G(A_c \cap B_a) \leq 2$ and $|A_c \cap B_a| \leq 3$.

(2) If $|A_c \cap B_a| \geq 2$, then $\rho_G(A_b \cap B_c) \leq 2$ and $|A_b \cap B_c| \leq 3$.

(3) If $|A_b \cap B_b| \geq 2$, then $\rho_{G \setminus \{a\} \setminus \{b\} \setminus \{c\}}(A_b \cap B_a) \leq 2$.

(4) If $|A_c \cap B_a| \geq 2$, then $\rho_G(A_c \cap B_a) \leq 2$ and $|A_c \cap B_a| \leq 3$.

(5) $|A_b \cap B_c| \geq 2$.

(6) $|A_c \cap B_a| \geq 2$.

(7) $|A_b \cap B_b| \geq 2$.  
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To prove (1), suppose that $|A_b \cap B_c| \geq 2$. Since $G$ is prime and $|V(G) - (A_b \cup B_c)| \geq |A_c| \geq 4$, by (1) of Lemma 5.5, $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_c) = \rho_G(A_b \cap B_c) \geq 2$. Since $G \setminus b$ is prime and $|A_b \cap B_c| \geq 2$, we have $\rho_{G \setminus b}(A_b \cup B_a) = \rho_{G \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_c) \geq 2$. Since $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_c) = 2$, by (S1) of Lemma 2.12,

$$2 + 2 = \rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_c) + \rho_{G \setminus b}(B_a) \geq \rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b \cup B_a) + \rho_{G \setminus b}(A_b \cup B_a) \geq \rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_c \cap B_a) + 2.$$

Therefore, by (2) of Lemma 5.5, $\rho_G(A_c \cap B_a) = \rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_c \cap B_a) \leq 2$. Since $G$ is internally 3-rank-connected and $|V(G) - (A_c \cup B_a)| \geq |A_b| \geq 4$, we deduce that $|A_c \cap B_a| \leq 3$. So this proves (1). By symmetry between $a$ and $b$, (2) also holds.

Now we show (3). Suppose that $|A_c \cap B_c| \geq 2$. Since $G$ is prime and $|V(G) - (A_b \cup B_a)| \geq |A_c| \geq 4$, we have $\rho_G(A_b \cup B_a) \geq 2$. By (A3) of Lemma 2.16,

$$4 \geq \rho_{G \setminus a}(A_b) + \rho_{G \setminus b}(B_a) \geq \rho_G(A_b \cup B_a) + \rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_a)$$

and therefore $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_a) \leq 2$.

Now let us prove (4). Suppose that $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_a) \geq 2$. By (A3) of Lemma 2.16,

$$4 \geq \rho_{G \setminus a}(A_b) + \rho_{G \setminus b}(B_a) \geq \rho_G(A_b \cup B_a) + \rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_a) \geq \rho_G(A_b \cup B_a) + 2.$$

Hence $\rho_G(A_b \cup B_a) = \rho_G(A_c \cap B_c) \leq 2$. Since $G$ is internally 3-rank-connected and $|V(G) - (A_c \cap B_c)| \geq 4$, we conclude that $|A_c \cap B_c| \leq 3$.

To prove (5), suppose that $|A_b \cap B_c| \leq 1$. Then $4 \leq |A_b| = |\{b\}| + |A_b \cap B_c| + |A_b \cap B_a| \leq 2 + |A_b \cap B_a|$ and so $|A_b \cap B_a| \geq 2$.

If $|A_b \cap B_a| \geq 3$, then since $c \in A_b \cap B_a$, by Lemma 5.4, $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_a) \geq 2$. If $|A_b \cap B_a| = 2$, then $|A_b| = 4$ and by Lemma 4.5, $A_b$ is a quad of $G \setminus a$. Then by (ii) of Lemma 2.8, $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_a) \geq \rho_{G \setminus a}((A_b \cap B_a) \cup \{b\}) - 1 = 2$. So, in both cases, we deduce that $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_a) \geq 2$.

Hence, by (4), $\rho_G(A_c \cap B_c) \leq 2$ and $|A_c \cap B_c| \leq 3$. Since $4 \leq |B_c| = |A_b \cap B_c| + |A_c \cap B_c| \leq 1 + |A_c \cap B_c| \leq 4$, we have $|A_c \cap B_c| = 3$ and $|B_c| = 4$. By (i) of Lemma 2.8, $\rho_{G \setminus b}(A_c \cap B_c) \leq \rho_G(A_c \cap B_c) \leq 2$. So $B_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus b$, contradicting our assumption. So this proves that $|A_b \cap B_c| \geq 2$ and by symmetry between $a$ and $b$, $|A_c \cap B_a| \geq 2$ and (6) holds.

Now let us prove (7). Suppose that $|A_c \cap B_c| \leq 1$. Then $4 \leq |A_c| = |\{b\}| + |A_c \cap B_c| + |A_c \cap B_a| \leq 2 + |A_c \cap B_a|$ and so $2 \leq |A_c \cap B_a|$. Then by (2), we have $\rho_G(A_c \cap B_a) \leq 2$ and $|A_b \cap B_c| \leq 3$. Since $4 \leq |B_c| = |A_b \cap B_c| + |A_c \cap B_c| \leq |A_b \cap B_c| + 1 \leq 4$, we have $|A_b \cap B_c| = 3$ and $|B_c| = 4$. By (i) of Lemma 2.8, $\rho_{G \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_c) \leq \rho_G(A_b \cap B_c) \leq 2$. So $B_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus b$, contradicting our assumption.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let $G$ be an internally 3-rank-connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 12$ and $T = \{a, b, c\}$ be a triplet of $G$. Let $(A_b, A_c)$ be a partition of $V(G \setminus a)$ such that $b \in A_b$, $c \in A_c$, $\rho_{G \setminus a}(A_b) \leq 2$, and neither $A_b$ nor $A_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus a$, let $(B_a, B_c)$ be a partition of $V(G \setminus b)$ such that $a \in A_b$, $c \in B_c$, $\rho_{G \setminus b}(A_b) \leq 2$, and neither $B_a$ nor $B_c$ is sequential in $G \setminus b$, and let $(C_a, C_b)$ be a partition of $V(G \setminus c)$ such that $a \in C_a$, $b \in C_b$, $\rho_{G \setminus c}(C_a) \leq 2$, and neither $C_a$ nor $C_b$ is sequential in $G \setminus c$. Then the following hold.

1. If $|A_b \cap B_c| \geq 3$ and $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_a) > 1$, then $|A_c \cap B_c| = 3$, $\rho_G(A_c \cap B_c) = 2$, and $|A_c \cap B_c \cap C_a| = |A_c \cap B_c \cap C_b| = 1$.

2. If $|A_c \cap B_c| \geq 3$ and $\rho_{G \setminus a \setminus b}(A_b \cap B_a) \leq 1$, then either
   - $A_b \cap B_a = \emptyset$, or
   - $1 \leq |A_b \cap B_a| \leq 2$ and $\rho_{G \setminus c}((A_b \cap B_a) \cup \{a, b\}) = 3$. 
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Proposition 5.8. Let \( T \) be a triplet of an internally 3-rank-connected graph \( G \). If \( |V(G)| \geq 12 \), then there exists \( t \in T \) such that \( G \setminus t \) is sequentially 3-rank-connected.

Proof. Let \( T = \{a, b, c\} \). Suppose that none of \( G \setminus a \), \( G \setminus b \), and \( G \setminus c \) is sequentially 3-rank-connected. Then there exist partitions \((A_b, A_c)\) of \( V(G) - \{a\}\), \((B_b, B_c)\) of \( V(G) - \{b\}\), and \((C_a, C_b)\) of \( V(G) - \{c\}\) such that \( \rho_{G^a}(A_b) \leq 2 \), \( \rho_{G^b}(B_a) \leq 2 \), \( \rho_{G^c}(C_a) \leq 2 \), neither \( A_b \) nor \( A_c \) is sequential in \( G \setminus a \), neither \( B_a \) nor \( B_c \) is sequential in \( G \setminus b \), and neither \( C_a \) nor \( C_b \) is sequential in \( G \setminus c \). Then \( |A_b|, |A_c| \geq 4 \), \( |B_a|, |B_c| \geq 4 \), and \( |C_a|, |C_b| \geq 4 \).

By Lemma 5.3, we may assume that \( b \in A_b, c \in A_c, a \in B_a, c \in B_c, a \in C_a, \) and \( b \in C_b \).

By Lemma 5.6, we have \( |A_a \cap B_c| \leq 3 \), \( |A_c \cap B_a| \leq 3 \), and \( \rho_G(A_b \cap B_c) \leq 2 \). By symmetry between \( b \) and \( c \), \( |A_a \cap C_b| \leq 3 \) and \( |A_c \cap B_a| \leq 3 \). By symmetry between \( a \) and \( c \), \( |B_b \cap C_a| \leq 3 \) and \( |B_a \cap C_b| \leq 3 \). Now we show that we can assume the following.

\[(B1) \text{ If } |A_b \cap B_c| = 3, \text{ then } A_b \cap B_c \subseteq C_a \text{ or } A_b \cap B_c \subseteq C_b.\]

\[(B2) \text{ If } |A_a \cap B_b| = 3, \text{ then } A_a \cap B_b \subseteq C_c \text{ or } A_a \cap B_b \subseteq C_b.\]

\[(B3) \text{ If } |A_c \cap B_a| = 3, \text{ then } A_c \cap B_a \subseteq C_b \text{ or } A_c \cap B_a \subseteq C_c.\]

\[(B4) \text{ If } |A_a \cap C_b| = 3, \text{ then } A_a \cap C_b \subseteq B_c \text{ or } A_a \cap C_b \subseteq B_b.\]

\[(B5) \text{ If } |B_a \cap C_c| = 3, \text{ then } B_a \cap C_c \subseteq A_b \text{ or } B_a \cap C_c \subseteq A_c.\]

\[(B6) \text{ If } |B_b \cap C_a| = 3, \text{ then } B_b \cap C_a \subseteq A_c \text{ or } B_b \cap C_a \subseteq A_c.\]

We choose \((A_b, A_c, B_a, B_c, C_a, C_b)\) such that \( b \in A_b, c \in A_c, a \in B_a, c \in B_c, a \in C_a, b \in C_b, \) and it satisfies the maximum number of \((B1)-(B6)\). Then we claim that all of \((B1)-(B6)\) hold. Suppose not. Then by symmetry, we can assume that \((B1)\) does not hold. Then \(|A_b \cap B_c| = 3, A_b \cap B_c \not\subseteq C_a, \) and \( A_b \cap B_c \not\subseteq C_b.\)

If \( |A_b \cap B_c \cap C_a| = 2 \) and \( |A_b \cap B_c \cap C_b| = 1 \), then let \( x \) be the element of \( A_b \cap B_c \cap C_b \). We have \( \rho_{G^c}(A_b \cap B_c) \leq \rho_G(A_b \cap B_c) \leq 2 \). Since \(|(A_b \cap B_c) - \{x\}| = 2 \) and \( G \setminus c \) is prime, \( \rho_{G^c}((A_b \cap B_c) - \{x\}) \geq 2 \). So by Lemma 2.10,

\[
2 + 2 \geq \rho_{G^c}(C_a) + \rho_{G^c}(A_b \cap B_c) \geq \rho_{G^c}((A_b \cap B_c) - \{x\}) + \rho_{G^c}(C_a \cup \{x\}) \geq 2 + \rho_{G^c}(C_a \cup \{x\}).
\]

Therefore, \( \rho_{G^c}(C_a \cup \{x\}) \leq \rho_{G^c}(C_a) \leq 2 \). Since \( G \setminus c \) is prime and \(|V(G \setminus c) - (C_a \cup \{x\})| = |C_b| - 1 \geq 3 \), we have \( \rho_{G^c}(C_a \cup \{x\}) = \rho_{G^c}(C_a) = 2 \). Hence by Lemma 3.1, neither \( C_a \cup \{x\} \) nor \( C_b - \{x\} \) is sequential in \( G \setminus c \). We deduce that \((A_b, A_c, B_a, B_c, C_a \cup \{x\}, C_b - \{x\})\) satisfies
Therefore, \(|A| = \{a, b\}\) and \(|C| = \{c\}\). So \(|A| \cap |C| \leq 2\). Since \(|A| \cap |b| = 2\) we have \(|A| \cap |c| \leq 2\).

Claim 5.9. \(|A| \cap |c| \leq 2\).

Proof. Suppose that \(|A| \cap |c| \geq 2\). If \(|A| \cap |c| = 2\), then \(|A| \cap |c| \leq 2\), and so \(|A| \cap |b| \cap |c| = 0\).

If \(|A| \cap |c| = 3\), then by (B4), \(|A| \cap |c| = 0\). Since \(2 \leq |A| \cap |c| \leq 3\), we deduce that \(|A| \cap |c| \cap |b| = 0\).

By applying Lemma 5.6(ii) with \((B, C, a)\) and \((C, |c|)\), we have that \(|B| \cap |c| \geq 2\). Since \(|A| \cap |b| \cap |c| = 0\), we have \(|A| \cap |b| \cap |c| \leq 2\) and so \(|A| \cap |b| \cap |c| \geq 2\).

Since \(|A| \cap |b| \cap |c| \geq 2\), by Lemma 5.7(1), \(\rho_{G\setminus|a|}(A \cap B) \leq 1\). So by Lemma 5.7(2),

\[|A| \cap |B| \cap |C| \leq 1.\]

Proof. Suppose that \(|A| \cap |B| \cap |C| \geq 2\). If \(|A| \cap |B| \cap |C| = 2\), then \(|A| \cap |B| \cap |C| \leq 2\), and so \(|A| \cap |B| \cap |C| = 0\).

If \(|A| \cap |B| \cap |C| = 3\), then by (B4), \(|A| \cap |B| \cap |C| = 0\). Since \(2 \leq |A| \cap |B| \cap |C| \leq 3\), we deduce that \(|A| \cap |B| \cap |C| = 0\).

By applying Lemma 5.6(ii) with \((B, C, a)\) and \((C, |c|)\), we have that \(|B| \cap |c| \geq 2\). Since \(|A| \cap |b| \cap |c| = 0\), we have \(|A| \cap |b| \cap |c| \leq 2\) and so \(|A| \cap |b| \cap |c| \geq 2\).

Since \(|A| \cap |b| \cap |c| \geq 2\), by Lemma 5.7(1), \(\rho_{G\setminus|a|}(A \cap B) \leq 1\). So by Lemma 5.7(2),

\[|A| \cap |B| \cap |C| \leq 1.\]
which implies that $\rho_{G \setminus c}(\{a, b\}) \geq 3$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.11,

$$3 + 2 \geq \rho_{G \setminus c}(\{a, b\}) + \rho_{G \setminus c}(C_b) \geq \rho_{G \setminus c}(\{a\}) + \rho_{G \setminus c}(C_b - \{b\}) \geq 3 + \rho_{G \setminus c}(C_b - \{b\}).$$

Therefore, $\rho_{G \setminus c}(C_b - \{b\}) \leq 2$. By Lemma 5.2, $G \setminus c$ is prime. Since $|C_b - \{b\}| \geq 3$, we have $\rho_{G \setminus c}(C_b - \{b\}) = 2$. So by Lemma 3.1, neither $C_a \cup \{b\}$ nor $C_b - \{b\}$ is sequential in $G \setminus c$, contradicting Lemma 5.3 because $\{a, b\} \subseteq C_a \cup \{b\}$.

Hence, by symmetry, we have $|A_b \cap B_a \cap C_a| \leq 1$, $|A_c \cap B_a \cap C_a| \leq 1$, $|A_b \cap B_a \cap C_b| \leq 1$, $|A_b \cap B_c \cap C_b| \leq 1$, $|A_c \cap B_c \cap C_a| \leq 1$, and $|A_c \cap B_c \cap C_b| \leq 1$.

**Claim 5.10.** $|A_b \cap B_c \cap C_a| \leq 1$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $|A_b \cap B_c \cap C_a| \geq 2$. If $|A_b \cap B_c| = 2$, then $A_b \cap B_c \subseteq C_a$ and $A_b \cap B_c \cap C_b = \emptyset$. If $|A_b \cap B_c| = 3$, then by (B1), $A_b \cap B_c \cap C_b = 0$. By Lemma 5.6(i), we have $2 \leq |A_b \cap B_c| \leq 1$. So we deduce that $A_b \cap B_c \cap C_b = \emptyset$.

By symmetry between $(a, b, c)$ and $(c, a, b)$, we deduce that $C_a \cap A_b \cap B_a = \emptyset$. By symmetry between $(a, b, c)$ and $(b, c, a)$, we deduce that $B_c \cap C_a \cap A_c = \emptyset$. By Lemma 5.6(iv), $|A_c \cap B_c| \geq 2$. So we deduce that

$$1 \leq |A_c \cap B_c| - |\{c\}| - |A_c \cap B_c \cap C_a| = |A_c \cap B_c \cap C_b| \leq 1,$$

and therefore $|A_c \cap B_c \cap C_b| = 1$.

If $|A_c \cap C_b| = 3$, then by (B3), $|A_c \cap B_a \cap C_b| = 0$. If $|A_c \cap C_b| \leq 2$, then $|A_c \cap B_a \cap C_b| = |A_c \cap C_b| - |A_c \cap B_c \cap C_b| \leq 2 - 1 = 1$. Since $|A_c \cap C_b| \leq 3$, in both cases, we deduce that $|A_c \cap B_a \cap C_b| \leq 1$. Then we have

$$|V(G)| = |A_b \cap B_a \cap C_a| + |A_b \cap B_a \cap C_b| + |A_b \cap B_c \cap C_a| + |A_b \cap B_c \cap C_b| + |A_c \cap B_a \cap C_a| + |A_c \cap B_a \cap C_b| + |A_c \cap B_c \cap C_a| + |A_c \cap B_c \cap C_b| + |\{a, b, c\}|$$

$$+ |A_b \cap B_a \cap C_a| + |A_b \cap B_a \cap C_b| + |A_b \cap B_c \cap C_a| + |A_b \cap B_c \cap C_b| + |A_c \cap B_a \cap C_a| + |A_c \cap B_a \cap C_b| + |A_c \cap B_c \cap C_a| + |A_c \cap B_c \cap C_b| + |\{a, b, c\}|$$

$$= 0 + 1 + |A_b \cap B_c| + 1 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 3 \leq 10,$$

contradicting our assumption.

By symmetry, we have $|A_c \cap B_a \cap C_b| \leq 1$. Therefore, we have

$$|V(G)| = |A_b \cap B_a \cap C_a| + |A_b \cap B_a \cap C_b| + |A_b \cap B_c \cap C_a| + |A_b \cap B_c \cap C_b| + |A_c \cap B_a \cap C_a| + |A_c \cap B_a \cap C_b| + |A_c \cap B_c \cap C_a| + |A_c \cap B_c \cap C_b| + |\{a, b, c\}| \leq 11,$$

contradicting our assumption.

\[\square\]

## 6 Completing the proof

A set $X$ of vertices of a graph $G$ is **fully closed** if $\rho_G(X \cup \{v\}) > \rho_G(X)$ for all $v \in V(G) - X$.

**Lemma 6.1** ([Oum [7, Proposition 3.1]]). Let $G$ be a prime graph with $|V(G)| \geq 8$. Suppose that $G$ has a fully closed set $A$ such that $\rho_G(A) \geq 2$. Then there is a vertex $v$ of $A$ such that $G \setminus v$ or $G/v$ is prime.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let $G$ be a sequentially 3-rank-connected graph and $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k$ be distinct vertices of $G$ such that $k \geq 4$ and $\rho_G(\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\}) \leq 2$ for each $i \leq k$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, if $G \setminus a_i$ is prime, then $G \setminus a_i$ is sequentially 3-rank-connected.
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Proof. Since \( G \) is prime, we know that \( \rho_G(a_1, \ldots, a_j) = \min\{2, |V(G)| - j\} \) for each \( 2 \leq j \leq k \). So \( \rho_G(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}) \geq \rho_G(a_1, \ldots, a_j) \) for each \( 2 \leq j \leq k \). For each \( 3 \leq j \leq i - 1 \), by (S2) of Lemma 2.12, we have

\[
\rho_G(a_1, \ldots, a_j) + \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}) \geq \rho_G(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}) + \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(a_1, \ldots, a_j)
\]

and therefore \( \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}) \geq \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(a_1, \ldots, a_j) \).

Suppose that \( G \setminus a_1 \) is prime and not sequentially 3-rank-connected.

Let us first consider the case when \( i > 3 \). By Lemma 3.2, there is a subset \( X \) of \( V(G \setminus a_i) \) such that \( \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(X) \leq 2 \), neither \( X \) nor \( V(G \setminus a_i) - X \) is sequential in \( G \setminus a_i \), and \( \{a_1, a_2, a_3\} \subseteq X \). We may assume that \( X \) is maximal among all such sets.

We claim that \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}\} \subseteq X \). Suppose not. Let \( j \leq i - 1 \) be the minimum index such that \( a_j \not\in X \). Then \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}\} \subseteq X \). Note that \( j \geq 4 \). Let \( Y = V(G \setminus a_i) - X \). Since neither \( X \) nor \( Y \) is sequential in \( G \setminus a_i \), we have \( |X|, |Y| \geq 4 \). Since \( \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}) \geq \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(a_1, \ldots, a_j) \), by Lemma 2.10,

\[
\rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(X) + \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(a_1, \ldots, a_j) \geq \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(X \cup \{a_j\}) + \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}),
\]

and therefore \( \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(X \cup \{a_j\}) \leq \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(X) \leq 2 \). Since \( G \setminus a_i \) is prime and \( |Y - \{a_i\}| \geq 3 \), we have \( \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(X \cup \{a_j\}) = \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(X) = 2 \). Hence by Lemma 3.1, neither \( X \cup \{a_j\} \) nor \( Y - \{a_j\} \) is sequential in \( G \setminus a_i \), contradicting the maximality of \( X \). Hence \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}\} \subseteq X \).

Then by (S1) of Lemma 2.12,

\[
\rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(X) + \rho_G(a_1, \ldots, a_i) \geq \rho_G(X \cup \{a_i\}) + \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}).
\]

Since \( G \setminus a_i \) is prime and \( i > 3 \), we have \( \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}) \geq \min\{2, |V(G)| - i\} = \rho_G(a_1, \ldots, a_i) \).

So \( \rho_G(X \cup \{a_i\}) \leq \rho_{G \setminus \{a_i\}}(X) \leq 2 \). Since \( G \) is sequentially 3-rank-connected, \( X \cup \{a_i\} \) or \( Y \) is sequential in \( G \). Then by (i), (ii) of Lemma 2.8, \( X \) or \( Y \) is sequential in \( G \setminus a_i \), contradicting our assumption.

Now consider the case when \( i \leq 3 \). By permuting \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \), we can assume that \( i = 3 \). Suppose that \( G \setminus a_3 \) is prime. By Lemma 2.8(ii), we have \( \rho_{G \setminus \{a_3\}}(a_1, a_2, a_4) \leq |V(G)| - 3 \). Since \( a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \) is another sequence satisfying all the requirements, we conclude that \( G \setminus a_3 \) is sequentially 3-rank-connected because we proved the statement for \( i > 3 \).

Lemma 6.3. Let \( G \) be a sequentially 3-rank-connected graph with \( |V(G)| \geq 8 \) and \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \) be distinct vertices of \( G \) such that \( k \geq 4 \), \( k \neq |V(G)| - 1 \), and \( \rho_G(a_1, \ldots, a_i) \leq 2 \) for each \( i \leq k \). If \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_k\} \) is a fully closed set of \( G \), then there exists \( i \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) such that \( G \setminus a_i \) or \( G/a_i \) is sequentially 3-rank-connected.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 6.2, we may assume that \( k \neq |V(G)| \) and therefore \( k \leq |V(G)| - 2 \). Since \( G \) is prime, we have \( \rho_G(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = 2 \) and so, by Lemma 6.1, there is a vertex \( a_i \) of \( G \) such that \( G \setminus a_i \) or \( G/a_i \) is prime. By pivoting, we may assume that \( G \setminus a_i \) is prime. Then, by Lemma 6.2, \( G \setminus a_i \) is sequentially 3-rank-connected.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Proposition 4.15, we may assume that \( G \) is not 3-rank-connected. So there is a subset \( A \) of \( V(G) \) such that \( \rho_G(A) \leq 2 \), \( |A| \geq 3 \), and \( |V(G) - A| \geq 3 \). If \( G \) is internally 3-rank-connected, then we may assume that \( |A| = 3 \). By Lemma 5.1, we can assume that \( A \) is a triplet of \( G \) by pivoting. By Proposition 5.8, there is a vertex \( a \in A \) such that \( G \setminus a \) is sequentially 3-rank-connected. Hence we may assume that \( G \) is not internally 3-rank-connected.

Therefore, we may assume that \( |A| \geq 4 \) and \( |V(G) - A| \geq 4 \). Since \( G \) is sequentially 3-rank-connected, \( A \) or \( V(G) - A \) is sequential in \( G \). Therefore there exists a sequential set with at least 4 elements.

Let \( X \) be a maximum sequential set of \( G \). Then \( X \) is a fully closed set of \( G \). Furthermore, \( |X| \neq |V(G)| - 1 \) because otherwise \( V(G) \) is sequential in \( G \). Since \( |X| \geq 4 \), we conclude the proof by Lemma 6.3.
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