

The First Airborne Experiment of Sparse Microwave Imaging: Prototype System Design and Result Analysis

Zhe Zhang, *Student Member, IEEE*, Bingchen Zhang, Chenglong Jiang, *Student Member, IEEE*, Xingdong Liang, *Member, IEEE*, Longyong Chen, *Member, IEEE*, Wen Hong, *Member, IEEE*, Yirong Wu, *Member, IEEE*

Abstract—In this paper we report the first airborne experiments of sparse microwave imaging, conducted in September 2013 and May 2014, using our prototype sparse microwave imaging radar system. This is the first reported imaging radar system and airborne experiment that specially designed for sparse microwave imaging. Sparse microwave imaging is a novel concept of radar imaging, it is mainly the combination of traditional radar imaging technology and newly developed sparse signal processing theory, achieving benefits in both improving the imaging quality of current microwave imaging systems and designing optimized sparse microwave imaging radar system to reduce system sampling rate towards the sparse target scenes. During recent years, many researchers focus on related topics of sparse microwave imaging, but rarely few paid attention to prototype system design and experiment. Here we take our first step on it. We introduce our prototype sparse microwave imaging radar system, including its system design, hardware considerations and signal processing methods. Several design principles should be considered during the system designing, including the sampling scheme, antenna, SNR, waveform, resolution, etc. We select jittered sampling in azimuth and uniform sampling in range to balance the system complexity and performance. The imaging algorithm is accelerated ℓ_q regularization algorithm. To test the prototype radar system and verify the effectiveness of sparse microwave imaging framework, airborne experiments are carried out using our prototype system and we achieve the first sparse microwave image successfully. We analyze the imaging performance of prototype sparse microwave radar system with different sparsities, sampling rates, SNRs and sampling schemes, using three-dimensional phase transit diagram as the evaluation tool. As a conclusion, sparse microwave imaging theory is proved to be effective, and shows its advantages comparing with traditional SAR. The design and experiment of prototype system is showing us a promising future of sparse microwave imaging applications.

Index Terms—Sparse microwave imaging, synthetic aperture radar (SAR), sparse signal processing, compressive sensing (CS), airborne experiment, prototype radar system, SNR, jittered sampling, sparsity, distinguishing ability.

I. INTRODUCTION

SPARSE microwave imaging is a new concept, theory and methodology of microwave imaging, which is a framework of SAR imaging based on sparse constraints [1]–[3].

Z. Zhang is with Science and Technology on Microwave Imaging Laboratory, Beijing, 100190, China; Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China and University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China. E-mail: pzhgrsrs@gmail.com.

B. Zhang, X. Liang, L. Chen, W. Hong and Y. Wu are with Science and Technology on Microwave Imaging Laboratory, Beijing, 100190, China and Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China

Manuscript received April 19, 2005; revised December 27, 2012.

Real aperture radar and synthetic aperture radar are two main technologies of microwave imaging. Synthetic aperture radar, or SAR, attracts most of our attention because of its high resolution imaging ability, and has become one of the main technologies of modern remote sensing. Comparing with optical sensing technology, SAR has the all-time and all-weather imaging ability because it is an active sensing technology, and has been widely used in many fields such as agriculture, forestry monitoring, oceanic observation, topography mapping and military reconnaissance [4]–[7]. Modern SAR systems commonly aim at two key features of system performance: wide mapping swath and high imaging resolution. According to the SAR theory, wider swath means a larger observing area i.e. more imaging data; higher resolution requires a wider signal bandwidth, which leads to a higher sampling rate and data amount because of the Nyquist theorem. Therefore, as the swath and resolution increase in modern SAR systems, the system complexity and data amount because of the Nyquist theorem. Therefore, as the swath and resolution increase in modern SAR systems, the system complexity and data amount also increase remarkably, which brings difficulties to the hardware implementation. Furthermore, wider swath also requires a lower pulse repetition frequency (PRF) that means a lower azimuth sampling rate i.e. lower azimuth resolution [6], [8]. This is a bottleneck that limits the future evolution of SAR systems. As a solution, sparse microwave imaging is suggested to overcome these challenges.

The basic concept of sparse microwave imaging is simple: some features of radar imaging, e.g. the sparsity of target scene, could be used to deal with the problems. Though the target scene of SAR imaging is not always sparse, we notice that in many specific but important cases and applications e.g. oceanic ship imaging, the SAR target scene is sparse, i.e. there only exist a few strong targets in the whole scene, it makes us possible to introduce the sparse signal processing theory to the microwave imaging. Sparse signal processing is a mathematical tool that developed by mathematicians by the end of 20th century [9], which aims at efficiency processing of a sparse signal: if the processed signal is sparse, the signal can be measured with dramatically smaller data amount. A main achievement of sparse signal processing is named as compressive sensing or compressed sensing (CS) [10]–[12]. According to CS, under some certain constraints, a sparse signal can be reconstructed with much less samples than that required by Nyquist theorem. This fact provides us potential

possibility to simplify the SAR system design and develop a new microwave imaging concept.

During the last decade, many efforts have been done to introduce the sparse signal processing technology to SAR imaging, aiming at benefits including reducing the system complexity and improving the system performance. Before the trend of CS, many work has been done to introduce regularization method to SAR imaging to enhance the image quality, reference [13] is a representative of it. In the reference, the authors proposed a regularization or Bayesian based SAR image reconstruction method which enhances features of the image. The first attempt of combining CS and radar imaging was suggested by [14]. In recent years, plenty of institutes in the world are working at the theory and application of radar imaging with sparse constraint. Reference [2] introduced a multi-static SAR system with distributed antenna array and solved it by decomposing the complex problem into the real and imaginary parts. Reference [15] proposed a two-dimensional sparse SAR reconstruction framework and discussed the selection of sparse dictionary. References [16], [17] paid special attention to sparse reconstruction based SAR tomography imaging and super-resolution discussion. Reference [18] provided us a sparse SAR imaging result based on TerraSAR-X data. Reference [1], [19] and [3] made detailed overviews to applications of sparse constraints in radar and radar imaging, including its model, signal processing, performance evaluation, tomography imaging, moving target indication, error compensation, etc.

The authors initiated our work on radar imaging framework with sparse constraints in 2009, naming *sparse microwave imaging* which was detailed reported in reference [20], [21]. As a novel concept of radar imaging, sparse microwave imaging is mainly the combination of traditional radar imaging technology and newly developed sparse signal processing theory. In the signal processing stage of sparse microwave imaging systems, the sparse reconstruction algorithm such as ℓ_q ($0 < q \leq 1$) regularization algorithm is utilized as the signal processing method instead of conventional matched filtering. As the result, it makes us possible to proceed the full-sampled and under-sampled data directly in both range and azimuth directions. Comparing with traditional radar imaging, sparse microwave imaging not only shows its advantages in improving the performance of current microwave imaging systems e.g. enhancing the image quality including better distinguishing ability, multilook application [22] and reducing the sidelobes and ambiguity (in this way, the sparse microwave imaging only performs as a novel signal processing method), but also in possibility of reducing system sampling rate towards the sparse target scenes such as oceanic targets. In the latter way, as a systematic concept, we are able to design a optimized sparse microwave imaging system under the guidance of sparse microwave imaging theory, which allows us to achieve better performance e.g. a wider swath because of the down-sampling in azimuth direction. These results can be verified via theoretical analysis and empirical experiments. We need a prototype sparse microwave imaging radar system and enough experiments on it to test and prove the feasibility of our theories on sparse microwave imaging.




Fig. 1. The diagram of sparse microwave imaging model [20].

Among state-of-art researches on sparsity based SAR imaging, most of them focus on signal processing and imaging algorithm stage, with simulations or experiments with conventional SAR data. Rarely few of them focuses on the design and implementation of a completely novel radar system under the sparse constraint and analysis of experiment results. In this paper, we will take our first step on it. Besides the system modeling and signal processing scheme, in this paper we will introduce our first prototype sparse microwave imaging radar system, including its system design, hardware considerations and signal processing methods. We also carry out airborne experiments using our prototype system and analyze its results.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section II, we will introduce some theoretical considerations of sparse microwave imaging radar system design and experiment, including the model of sparse microwave imaging, system resolution, sampling schemes, performance evaluation methods and imaging algorithms. The system design of prototype radar system is introduced in section III. The airborne experiments using our prototype system is introduced in section IV, including the experiment setup and the signal processing. In section V we will provide the experiment results and analysis. Finally, the conclusions are given in section VI.

II. THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

A. Model

We will provide a very brief introduction to the model and theory of sparse microwave imaging. Detailed discussion of sparse microwave imaging theory can be found in reference [20].

In a general form, The sparse microwave imaging radar system model can be described as

$$y = \Phi x + N = \Theta H \Psi \alpha + N, \quad (1)$$

as shown in figure 1

- x is the back-scattering coefficients of targets in the scene. Usually the target scene is continuous, so we should do a discretization to the scene. A simple way of discretization is to divide the scene into multiple cells and then treat each cell as a point target which is indicated in figure




Fig. 2. The target scene discretization.

2. The location of each point target is the center of corresponding cell, and the backscattering coefficient is the equivalent backscattering coefficient of the cell. x is a vector that consist of backscattering coefficient of every target point one by one. The scene vector x can also be written as $x = \Psi\alpha$ with Ψ being a sparse dictionary/basis and α being the sparse expression of x . In most radar applications, it is difficult to find a universal-feasible dictionary. It means we use a identity matrix as Ψ .

- y is the received echo signal.
- Φ is the measurement matrix of radar system. Φ is defined as $\Phi = \Theta H$ with Θ being the matrix representing the down-sampling of the radar system, and H being the the matrix describing the observation of radar. If the radar uses a full-sampling, the Θ is an identity matrix I ; in the cases of under-sampling, Θ will be some selected rows of I .
- H is determined by the radar system. The creation of matrix H could be found in Appendix A.
- N is the additive noise, and its noise-threshold is ϵ .

x can be reconstructed by a sparse reconstruction algorithm via the following optimization problem

$$\hat{x} = \Psi \cdot \{\arg \min_{\alpha} \|\alpha\|_0 \text{ s.t. } \|y - \Theta H \Psi \alpha\|_2 \leq \epsilon\}. \quad (2)$$

B. Sampling Schemes

Sampling includes the range sampling and azimuth sampling. In range direction, the radar signal is continuous analog signal, so the problem of sampling cannot be avoided. In azimuth direction, the sampling occurs on each pulse repetition point because of the operating principle of SAR. The traditional SAR system uses the Nyquist sampling strategy, which causes a huge data amount when signal bandwidth is wide. The sparse signal processing technology gives us the possibility to reduce the sampling rate in both directions. There exist several sampling schemes, different sampling schemes lead to different system performances.

The sparse signal processing technology usually samples a sparse signal with a linear incoherent measurement system. Such signal is usually sparse in a specific domain, has low information rate, and can be processed with a non-adaptive measurement system. But radar signal does not satisfy

these conditions. Usually, radar signal has a high information entropy, so we are not able to apply a linear incoherent measurement directly. However, if the scene is sparse, we can directly treat the target scene as the signal that we would like to recover and the observation system as the measurement system, and then achieve some under-sampling schemes. A suitable sampling scheme should:

- optimize the imaging performance of radar system;
- easy to implement in hardware;
- and has as little side effect as possible.

The simplest sampling scheme is the uniform sampling. As the name suggests, a uniform sampling system has a definite sampling interval. It is quite simple in hardware implementation and can be utilized in both azimuth and range directions, but has a bad performance when under-sampling is applied because the sampling interval keeps invariant and brings high mutual coherence to the measurement matrix.

The opposite of uniform sampling is the random sampling, in which the sampling interval changes randomly from one sample to the next. Random sampling has a much better under-sampling performance, because the minimal sampling interval might be less than the Nyquist sampling interval though the average sampling rate is much less than the Nyquist rate.

But random sampling has a side effect in azimuth direction. To a SAR system, its swath width is determined by its PRF, lower PRF allows wider swath. We want to reduce PRF to achieve a wider swath, but if the random sampling is applied to the azimuth direction, the minimal sampling interval might be even less than the Nyquist sampling interval so the swath might be limited even narrower. As a solution, we introduce the jittered sampling, a modified version of random sampling [23]. Jittered sampling is basically a random sampling scheme, but it ensures the minimal sampling interval must be more than a determined value.

C. Performance Evaluation

In sparse microwave imaging, most evaluation tools of traditional SAR imaging are no longer effective because of the totally different signal processing methods. In this paper, we use three-dimensional phase transit diagram as the performance evaluation tool of sparse microwave imaging [24]. Phase transit diagram [25] is originally a type of chart in physics to describe thermodynamics performance of a material. As a useful tool, Donoho et al. [26]–[28] introduced it into the CS theory, to represent the system recovery abilities under different parameter sets of under-sampling ratio and sparsity in a visual intuit chart. Reference [29] used two-dimensional phase transit diagram to analysis the performance of radar imaging system based on sparse constraint. Compared with two-dimensional phase transit diagram, three-dimensional phase transit diagram has three axes: sparsity, SNR and under-sampling ratio. By comparing the successfully recoverable area of phase transit diagram under different system conditions, we are able to evaluate and compare the performances of different system conditions.

The advantage of phase diagram is that the performance of system could be displayed visibly and clearly, but its

generation requires a large-scale calculation. As an empirical tool, the phase diagrams are not universal. It means, different system parameters and different imaging algorithm will make the shapes of phase diagrams different.

D. Imaging Algorithm

Detailed discussion of imaging algorithm could be found in [20], [30], [31]. Here we give a brief introduction to it.

The sparse signal processing method is initially applied to the range compressed data with few modifications to traditional SAR imaging framework. Because it requires pre-processing procedures (i.e., range compression), this kind of method may increase difficulties in system design. The sparse reconstruction algorithm that exploit SAR raw data with no preprocessing is practically feasible in simplifying the radar hardware [20]. Based on such consideration, we directly process the under-sampled two-dimensional raw data as the problem described in (2).

Many sparse reconstruction algorithms for radar imaging have been presented for the alternation of matched filtering based algorithms [20]. In this paper, we mainly use the $\ell_q, 0 < q \leq 1$ regularization algorithm

$$\arg \min_{\alpha} \{ \|\Theta \mathbf{H} \Psi - \alpha\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\alpha\|_q^q \}, \quad (3)$$

where λ is the regularization parameter. We construct the sparse measurement matrix as the dictionary of the echo signal and reconstructs the SAR image using the iterative shrinkage-thresholding (IST) algorithm,

$$x^{(k+1)} = f \left(x^{(k)} + \mu \Phi^H \left(r - \Phi x^{(k)} \right), \lambda \right), \quad (4)$$

Regularization parameter λ is selected mainly based on experience. In our applications, we choose λ based on the sparsity of target scene. Usually it is selected to make most clutters are lower than the threshold and most targets are above the threshold. Parameter μ controls the convergence, it is selected based on the trade-off of converging speed and reconstruction precision. q could be selected in range $0 < q \leq 1$. The ℓ_1 -norm is most widely used and discussed, while other q e.g. $\ell_{1/2}$ [32] could also be applied in our framework. $(\cdot)^H$ denotes the conjugate transpose operation, and $f(\cdot)$ is the shrinkage function.

We choose IST here mainly because in the trade-off between efficiency and accuracy, we prefer the accuracy. Comparing with other sparse reconstruction algorithm categories e.g. greedy algorithms and non-convex algorithms, IST has a provably accurate recovery when signal is approximately sparse and are robust to the observational noise, while the disadvantage is its computation cost [33]. As an optimization algorithm, IST has relatively good imaging performance among the sparse reconstruction algorithm, but it is very computational-complex. IST has high complexity in both spacial and temporal domains because sparse microwave imaging applications usually comes with dramatically large matrix $\mathbf{H}$ scale. For example, in our estimation, to a scene with size 1024×8192 , classic IST will take more than 64TB of memory and more than three months of calculation on a typical workstation.

In order to process the real SAR data, the accelerated sparse microwave imaging algorithms is necessary. The computational efficiency of ℓ_q regularization method can be uplifted by decoupling the azimuth and range of the raw data. The concept of decoupling lies in the formation of SAR signal from the reflectivity image of the observation area [31]. The decoupling operator can be implemented by using the principle of conventional matched filtering algorithms, e.g. range Doppler algorithm (RDA) and chirp scaling algorithm (CSA). Here we take CSA as the example. The measurement matrix is viewed as the azimuth convolution $\mathcal{H}_a^H$ imposed on the reflectivity image to obtain doppler history, the range-azimuth migration operator $\mathcal{H}_{sc}^H$ to generate different curves, and the operator $\mathcal{H}_{bulk_pc}^H$ to complete the azimuth-range coupling and form the echo signal [30].

$$\Phi \cdot x = \Theta \odot \mathcal{H}_{sc}^H \{ \mathcal{H}_{bulk_pc}^H \{ \mathcal{H}_a^H \{ x \} \} \}, \quad (5)$$

where $\odot$ defines the Hadamard matrix product. $\mathcal{H}_{sc}$, $\mathcal{H}_{bulk_pc}$ and $\mathcal{H}_a$ are corresponding to the phase functions in matched filtering algorithm.

In the accelerated algorithm, The large scale matrix $\mathbf{H}$ has been decomposed into three (approx-)linear operators $\mathcal{H}_a^H \mathcal{H}_{sc}^H$ and $\mathcal{H}_{bulk_pc}^H$. With breaking down a large matrix into small matrices, the computation complexity could remarkably reduced from $O(N^2)$ to $O(N \log(N))$ compared with the non-accelerated ℓ_q regularization method. The accelerated ℓ_q regularization method is effective in the reconstruction for sparse scenes with sub-sampling data. In additional, it can be also applied to process the non-sparse scene with Nyquist-sampling data.

E. System Resolution

We compare the signal processing method of sparse microwave imaging with traditional SAR in another aspect. Traditional SAR imaging algorithm is matched filtering, this is a signal recovery algorithm. Meanwhile, the imaging algorithm of sparse microwave imaging is sparse reconstruction algorithm, which is a signal detection algorithm. Ideally, the point spread function of sparse reconstruction algorithm has no side-lobe. So many references suggest that the sparse reconstruction algorithm has the ability of super resolution [16], [17].

In our point of view, because the sparse microwave imaging is in fact signal detection rather than recovery, the terminology “resolution” is no longer accurate here. Basically, the sparse microwave is not resolving, but “distinguishing” the targets in the scene. As the result, we suggest another terminology “distinguishing ability” in sparse microwave imaging. This is based on the following considerations:

- Traditional SAR resolution is based on the matched filtering background. It is mainly a Rayleigh resolution, based on that fact that point spread function of SAR is a sinc pulse. In sparse microwave imaging, the point spread function is a Dirac pulse. It is difficult to define a Rayleigh resolution here.
- The Rayleigh resolution is a intrinsic property of SAR system, it is only determined by the system bandwidth. But in fact the ability of distinguishing two neighbouring

points is infected by multiple factors. Especially in sparse microwave imaging, beside the radar system itself, the distinguishing ability is also influenced by the target scene, sparsity, imaging algorithm, SNR, etc.

In one word, it is difficult to define a definite resolution of a specific sparse microwave system. We pay more attention to the ability of distinguishing two neighboring targets of a system.

III. SYSTEM DESIGN

Of course, we can directly inherit a current conventional SAR hardware system and work along with the sparse signal processing methods. While, we more likely to design an optimized sparse microwave imaging system under the guidance of sparse microwave imaging theory. In this section, we will discuss some design principles, and try to achieve a design of the prototype sparse microwave imaging radar system.

Figure 3 provides a diagram of components of a typical radar system. In this diagram, we can find some potential modifiable blocks which are shown in gray.

- The waveform. We can use an alternative radar waveform to achieve optimized measurement matrix. Current widely used chirp signal can still be used in the sparse microwave imaging, while some other waveforms e.g. orthogonal coded signals might lead to a better system performance.
- The sampling. We can apply different sampling strategies e.g. the non-uniform sampling, aiming at a better measuring effectiveness. In our application, we believe that a pseudo-random sampling with definite interval is a reasonable choice.
- The antenna spacial location. We can modify the location of antenna or install antenna array to achieve spatial diversity. We believe that in the DPCA mode, we can at least loose the constraints to the antenna position and platform velocity.
- The antenna footprint. Besides, the platform motion and beam scanning might provide us better sparse reconstructing performance and wider swath. The sparse microwave imaging framework works for most beam scanning modes including ScanSAR and TOPSAR, and the combining of fast beam scanning and under-sampling in azimuth direction can provide us both wide swath and reduction of scalloping effect. Furthermore, with antenna array and orthogonal waveforms, the platform could even be stationary during imaging [34].

A. Sampling

To reduce the data amount and enlarge the swath, we want to reduce the sampling rate in both directions. In the range direction, we simply use a random under-sampling scheme, while in the azimuth direction, as we have mentioned before, the jittered sampling is best choice.

Implementation of such scheme is very simple and requires a minor change to the PRF scheduling / azimuth timing component of the radar since it is based on modifying the PRF of the radar. The under-sampling scheme is based on a




Fig. 3. Diagram of components of a radar system. The grayed blocks are potentially modifiable [20].




Fig. 4. Jittered under-sampling Scheme. Compared with uniform under-sampling scheme, the sampling time of jittered under-sampling scheme is perturbed by additional factor.

regular under-sampling which is perturbed slightly by random noise. It is shown in Figure 4. As the radar moves along its path, the n -th pulse is transmitted at t_n , which is expressed as:

$$t_n = n / [\alpha \cdot \text{PRF}] + \epsilon_n \quad (6)$$

where PRF is the traditional pulse repetition frequency, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ is the under-sampling factor, ϵ_n is the perturbing factor. Assume that ϵ_n is a uniform random variable which distributes in $[-t_p/2, t_p/2]$. Then the sampling interval $\Delta t_n = t_n - t_{n-1}$ is between $[\alpha \cdot \text{PRF} - t_p, \alpha \cdot \text{PRF} + t_p]$.

The jittered sampling scheme serves two major purposes. Firstly, by under-sampling in the azimuth direction and reconstruction through the means of sparse microwave imaging method, it have the ability to enlarge the swath. Secondly, the random changes imposed on the regular PRT can break up the periodicity of the aliasing artifacts and preserve the high frequency energy [23].

There are several issues should be discussed about the designing of sampling scheme.

- Design and implementation of under-sampling hardware The implementation of under-sampling is intuitive. In range direction, we setup a uniform sampling clock and (pseudo-)randomly select some among the uniformly sampled records. No modification to the range sampling instruments besides a pseudo random selector.

In azimuth direction, we generate a sampling interval sequence based on equation (6) and write it into the ROM of azimuth timing instruments.

- Under-sampling rates in each directions

To achieve a specific under-sampling rate, the under-sampling could be done in either range or azimuth, or both domains. The proportion of under-sampling in both domains is not universal, but varies in each application. In fact, it is determined by the sparsity of both directions of the specific scene.

In the hardware, the maximal sampling rates on both directions are set more than the Nyquist rate. Under-sampling rate in both directions could be modified by randomly selecting among original sampled records. Such selection could be done on board.

- Imaging performance

The influence of under-sampling in imaging performance is complicated. In a brief word, because our accelerated algorithm is 2D decoupled, the tolerable under-sampling rates in both directions are determined by the sparsity in each direction respectively. As the random under-sampling is applied in range and jittered under-sampling is used in azimuth, it is reasonable to expect a higher acceptable under-sampling rate in range direction.

Detailed analysis of imaging performance with respect to the under-sampling rate in azimuth direction is given in the experiment section.

B. Antenna and Sensor

The sparse microwave imaging also has the potential to ease constraints on the selection of PRF for dual/multi-channel imaging radar system, or the displaced phase center antenna (DPCA) mode [35]. As a prototype system, we still use a single-channel radar system. The sensor setup is identical to traditional signal-channel stripmap SAR.

C. SNR

In the sparse microwave imaging theory, a minimal system SNR is required. If the SNR is too low, the sparse reconstruction might fail. So the system SNR must be guaranteed. Simulations and experiments show that the SNR at the same level of traditional SAR is enough for sparse reconstruction. This requirement could be satisfied via enhancing the transmitting power, expand the pulse duration time and enlarging the antenna area.

D. Waveform

Traditional SAR usually uses Chirp signal as its waveform. To a sparse microwave imaging system, we might have some alternative selections [20]. They lead to different system performances.

- Chirp Signal

Chirp signal is widely used in radar systems for its good matched filtering performance and low Doppler sensitivity. It has been broadly applied in traditional radar systems, and could also be applied to sparse microwave imaging systems.




Fig. 5. Example image of a strong targets in salt pans. (a) The scene image and (b) strong targets are marked as blue.

- Orthogonal Signals

Ideal orthogonal signals refer to a signal family $p_i(\tau)$ whose members are orthogonal. The orthogonal signals come in form of a signal family, meaning that the waveform varies in azimuth direction. Expression of orthogonal signals is

$$\int_0^T p_i(\tau) p_j^*(\tau) d\tau = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases}, \quad (7)$$

where i and j are signal indexes in the family, and $[0, T]$ is the definition domain of signal family. Random noise signals family is one representative of orthogonal signals.

Many researches find that comparing with traditional Chirp, orthogonal signals have better system performance, but the difference is not significant [36]. Considering the system implementation complexity, we still use Chirp signal in our prototype system.

E. Bandwidth

SAR resolution is determined by its signal bandwidth. A random noise signal has infinite bandwidth, while Chirp not. Both theoretical researches and experiments show that the bandwidth requirement of sparse microwave imaging system is at the same level as traditional imaging radar system [20], [36]. It means, the signal bandwidth is select under the same constraint as the traditional SAR according to the required system distinguishing ability. While, if the scene is sparse, the distinguishing ability of imaging system could be enhanced.

IV. AIRBORNE EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we will introduce our prototype sparse microwave imaging radar system and the experiment setup.

A. Prototype Radar System

The design of prototype radar system is based on a existing C-band SAR system, we modified it to a sparse microwave imaging system under the guidance introduced in section III. Basically, the modification includes:

- The sampling component.

Sampling circuits in both direction are replaced with random sampling devices. In range direction it is a random sampling and in azimuth direction it is a jittered sampling.



Fig. 6. Optical image of salt pans. (a) Optical image from Google Earth and (b) photo taken in the scene.




Fig. 7. Imaging results of different scene using ℓ_q regularization algorithm with full-sampled data. (a) Sea and ships; (b) salt pans; (c) salt pans; (d) salt pans; (e) harbour and (f) urban area.

- The pulse duration.

The pulse duration is relatively extended from $30\mu\text{s}$ to $38\mu\text{s}$ to increase the system SNR.

The parameters of radar system are listed in table I. Most parameters are inherited from the original SAR system. The modified parameters are emphasized in *italic*.

In order to evaluate the system performance under different sampling rates, the sampling in both azimuth and range direction are over-sampling. The down-sampling of variety down-sampling ratios is exploited to the raw data in the signal processing period.

B. Description of Experiments

We exploited two airborne experiments. The experiment setups are listed in table II.

The sparse constraint of scene is required. We selected several typical kinds of sparse scenes, including

- Sea and ships;
- Harbour;
- Salt pans;
- and Islands.

Most sparse scenes have sparsity lower than 30%, where




Fig. 8. Imaging results of different scene using traditional range-Doppler algorithm with full-sampled data. (a) Sea and ships; (b) salt pans; (c) salt pans; (d) salt pans (e) harbour and (f) urban area.

TABLE I
THE PROTOTYPE RADAR SYSTEM PARAMETERS

Parameter	Value
Bandwidth	80MHz/500MHz
Carrier Frequency	5.4 GHz
Antenna Azimuth Size	0.9m
Platform Velocity	108m/s
PRF	Non-uniform, average 768Hz
Range Sampling Rate	Non-uniform, maximal 750MHz
Pulse Duration	38 μ s

TABLE II
EXPERIMENT SETUP

Time	Location	Bandwidth	Height	Platform
2013.9	Tianjin, China	500MHz	3900m	Cessna "Citation"
2014.5	Tianjin, China	80/500MHz	3900m	Cessna "Citation"

sparsity if defined as

$$\text{sparsity} = \frac{\text{Number of strong points in discretized scene}}{\text{Number of all points in discretized scene}}. \quad (8)$$

The "strong target" is a subjective definition based on the application. In different applications and situations, we have different definition of strong target. For example, in the oceanic ship imaging, we treat scatterers of ships as "strong"

targets, and sea clutters as background. We take figure 5a as example. This is a salt pan, and we have more interest on the bank area but not the water area. In this case, the "strong" targets are marked as blue in figure 5b.

The scene salt pans is typical sparse scene, where roads are strong targets and water in pans are background. We show an optical image of it in figure 6. The optical image is acquired from Google Earth.

C. Data Processing

We use our accelerated ℓ_q regularization algorithm to process the raw data. For a typical 8192×16384 image, the processing time consuming is about 600s, including some pre-processing operations e.g. error compensation. The data processing platform is listed in table III. The raw data is full-sampled. We re-sampled it to different under-sampling rates in both directions. To simplify the data processing, the under-sampling rate in range domain is fixed as 85% of Nyquist rate, and the under-sampling rate in azimuth domain varies to achieve different total under-sampling rates.

V. EXPERIMENT RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A. Experiment Results

We achieved more than 30 scenes of data in the experiment, and the data processing is quite successful. Some images of typical scenes in shown below in figure 7. The images are




Fig. 9. Imaging results of different sparsity with 70% down-sampled data. (a) scene sparsity 0.5%; (b) scene sparsity 3%; (c) scene sparsity 9.5%; (d) scene sparsity 50% and (e) scene sparsity over 90%.




Fig. 10. Phase transit diagram of system, red area means the failure area.

TABLE III
DATA PROCESSING PLATFORM

Workstation	Inspur NF5188
CPU	Intel Xeon E5620 2.4G 8Core
Memory	48GB
GPU	nVidia Tesla C2050
Hard Drive	Seagate 500GB HDD
Programming Language	Matlab and C MEX

achieved with full-sampled data. As a comparison, images that are achieved by conventional means (range-Doppler) are given in figure 8.

In figure 7 we listed several typical sparse scenes, including sea and ships shown in figure 7a, salt pans shown in figure 7b, 7c and 7d, harbours shown in figure 7e and urban area shown in figure 7f. We want to point out that the scene of harbour and urban area is not sparse, our signal processing method is still effective to the full-sampled data.

B. Analysis

In this section, we will analyze the experiment results using the phase transit diagram. As a preliminary result, we use mean square error (MSE) and phase transit diagrams to evaluate the imaging performance. MSE is calculated by amplitude with respect to the full-sampled sparse microwave imaging data, as described in equation (9)

$$\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K (S - S_0)^2, \quad (9)$$

where S is the evaluated image, S_0 is the reference image which is the image acquired with full-sampled sparse microwave imaging data, K is the image size.

We want to emphasize that most analysis are based on down-sampled data, which cannot be processed under traditional SAR framework.

1) *Analysis of Sparsity*: In figure 9 we provide four images with the same under-sampling rate (70% of Nyquist rate) but different sparsities. The MSE is shown in table IV. We can find




Fig. 11. Imaging results of different sampling rates with a scene of sparsity 3%. (a) Under-sampling rate 40% of Nyquist rate; (b) under-sampling rate 50% of Nyquist rate; (c) under-sampling rate 60% of Nyquist rate; (d) under-sampling rate 70% of Nyquist rate; (e) under-sampling rate 80% of Nyquist rate; (f) under-sampling rate 90% of Nyquist rate and (g) under-sampling rate 100% of Nyquist rate.

that, if the scene sparsity is below 10%, the imaging should be successful. The phase transit diagram is also given in figure 10, which supports the experiment results. Phase transit diagram will drop into the “failure” area if the sparsity is above about 10.5%.

As a conclusion, we have:

- Sparse microwave imaging is effective to sparse scene with under-sampled data;
- To non-sparse scene, we need full-sampled data to achieve a successful recovery.

2) *Analysis of Sampling Rate*: Experiment results of different sampling rates are evaluated. We under-sampled the raw

data with different under-sampling rates in two scenes. The sampling rate varies from 40% to 100% of Nyquist rate. To

TABLE IV
EXPERIMENT OF DIFFERENT SPARSITY

Scene	Sparsity	MSE
Scene 1	0.8%	943.1
Scene 2	3%	2105.1
Scene 3	9.5%	2107.8
Scene 4	50%	13986.5
Scene 5	>90%	29967.2




Fig. 12. Imaging results of different sampling rates with a scene of sparsity 9.5%. (a) Under-sampling rate 40% of Nyquist rate; (b) under-sampling rate 50% of Nyquist rate; (c) under-sampling rate 60% of Nyquist rate; (d) under-sampling rate 70% of Nyquist rate; (e) under-sampling rate 80% of Nyquist rate; (f) under-sampling rate 90% of Nyquist rate and (g) under-sampling rate 100% of Nyquist rate;

the first scene with sparsity 3%, the results are shown in figure 11. We find that even the sampling rate is as low as 40% of Nyquist rate, the imaging quantity is still acceptable. To the second scene with sparsity 9.5%, the results are shown in figure 12. The imaging quantity dramatically drops when the sampling rate is below 70% of the Nyquist rate.

The MSE-sampling curve of two scenes are shown in figure 13, and the phase transit diagram is shown in figure 14. Generally, the sparser scene has a better MSE performance in the case of low under-sampling rate. The phase transit diagram asserts that for the first scene, all sampling rates more than 40% of Nyquist rate are in successful area. For the second

scene, the phase transition from successful area to failure area takes place in 70% of Nyquist rate. The assertion follows the experiment results.

As a conclusion, we have:

- Sparser scene has more potential ability in under-sampling;
- We can use phase transit diagram to select a suitable under-sampling rate during the system designing.

3) *Analysis of SNR*: The original system SNR is about 30dB which is estimated from system $NE\sigma_0$. We add noises to the raw data to simulate SNR losses in 3dB, 6dB and 9dB to test the system performance under different SNRs. The under-




Fig. 13. MSE-sampling curves. Red curve for scene with sparsity 3% and blue curve for scene with sparsity 9.5%.




Fig. 14. Phase transit diagram of system, red area means the failure area.

sampling rate is 70% of Nyquist rate and the scene sparsity is about 9.5%. The imaging results of different SNRs are shown in figure 15. We can find that the imaging quantity remarkably drops with SNR loss more than 3dB. The MSE-SNR curve is given in figure 16, and the phase transit diagrams are given in figure 17. The evaluation from phase transit diagram also shows that the recovery fails with SNR lower than 27dB.

As a conclusion, we can find that higher SNR will bring significant benefit to the system performance. However, SNR is limited by the system cost, power, complexity and antenna size. We can use phase transit diagram to select an appropriate system SNR.

4) *Analysis of Sampling Schemes:* As we selected jittered non-uniform sampling in azimuth direction, we also tested its performance compared with uniform down-sampling. We select the scene with sparsity 9.5% and down-sampling 70% of Nyquist rate. Imaging results with different sampling schemes are shown in figure 18. It is obvious that the imaging successes with jittered sampling and fails with uniform sampling, as what is suggested in phase transit diagram figure 19.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we mainly introduce our work on the first prototype sparse microwave imaging radar system and airborne experiments based on it. The experiment and result analysis

leads to the following conclusions. First, the sparse microwave imaging framework is feasible and workable, including its hardware design and signal processing algorithm. It is possible to design an optimized radar system under the guidance of sparse microwave imaging, and we are able to achieve sparse microwave images successfully. Second, the system performance of sparse microwave imaging can be evaluated with the phase transit diagram. Third, the sparse microwave imaging shows its performance advantage compared with tradition SAR framework. We believe that sparse microwave imaging is a quite promising concept in the future development of microwave imaging field, and we are so eager to witness this future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by National Basic Research Program of China under grant 2010CB731905.

APPENDIX

CREATION OF OBSERVATION MATRIX $\mathbf{H}$

We take a simple case of stripmap SAR system as example. The baseband echo of a point target with backscattering coefficient σ could be written as,

$$\tilde{s}(t, \tau) = \sigma \exp \left\{ -\frac{4j\pi f_c R(t)}{c} \right\} p \left(\tau - \frac{2R(t)}{c} \right), \quad (10)$$

where

$$R(t) = \sqrt{R_0^2 + v^2 t^2} \quad (11)$$

is the instantaneous range distance between point target and the platform, R_0 is the nearest distance between point target and the platform, v is the relative speed of platform, τ is the range time, t is the azimuth time, c is the speed of light, f_c is the carrier frequency, and $p(\tau)$ is the transmitting waveform. The additive noise is omitted here.

So, to a target scene Ω , the baseband echo is,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{s}(t, \tau) d\Omega = \\ \int_{\Omega} \sigma(a, b) \exp \left\{ -\frac{4j\pi f_c R(t, a, b)}{c} \right\} p \left(\tau - \frac{2R(t, a, b)}{c} \right) d\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$R(t, a, b) = \sqrt{R_0(a, b)^2 + v^2 t^2} \quad (13)$$

is the instantaneous range distance between point (a, b) and the platform, $R_0(a, b)$ is the nearest distance between point (a, b) and the platform, and $\sigma(a, b)$ is the backscattering coefficient of point (a, b) .

Do the sampling to equation (12) in both temporal and spacial domains, we can achieve the model of sparse microwave imaging,

$$y = \mathbf{H}x, \quad (14)$$

where

$$x = [\sigma(a_1, b_1), \sigma(a_1, b_2), \dots, \sigma(a_M, b_N)]^T, \quad (15)$$




Fig. 15. Imaging results of different SNRs with 70% down-sampled data and scene of sparsity 9.5%. (a) SNR 30dB; (b) SNR 27dB; (c) SNR 24dB and (d) SNR 21dB.




Fig. 16. MSE-SNR curves.

is the spacial sampling;

$$y = [s(t_1, \tau_1), s(t_1, \tau_2), \dots, s(t_K, \tau_L)]^T, \quad (16)$$

is the temporal sampling.

The matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{1,1}(1, 1) & \dots & h_{M,N}(1, 1) \\ h_{1,1}(1, 2) & \dots & h_{M,N}(1, 2) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_{1,1}(1, L) & \dots & h_{M,N}(1, L) \\ h_{1,1}(2, 1) & \dots & h_{M,N}(2, 1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{1,1}(K, L) & \dots & h_{M,N}(K, L) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

is the observation matrix, where

$$h_{m,n}(k, l) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{4j\pi f_c R(t_k, a_m, b_n)}{c} \right\} p \left(\tau_l - \frac{2R(t_k, a_m, b_n)}{c} \right). \quad (18)$$

It is a KL -by- MN matrix.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. G. Ender, "On compressive sensing applied to radar," *Signal Processing*, vol. 90, no. 5, pp. 1402–1414, 2010.




Fig. 17. Phase transit diagrams of different SNRs with 70% down-sampled data and scene of sparsity 9.5%. (a) SNR 30dB; (b) SNR 27dB; (c) SNR 24dB and (d) SNR 21dB.




Fig. 18. Imaging results of different sampling schemes with 70% down-sampled data and scene of sparsity 9.5%. (a) Jittered sampling and (b) uniform sampling.

- [2] I. Stojanovic, W. C. Karl, and M. Çetin, "Compressed sensing of monostatic and multistatic SAR," *Proceedings of SPIE*, vol. 7337, 2009.
- [3] L. C. Potter, E. Ertin, J. T. Parker, and M. Çetin, "Sparsity and compressed sensing in radar imaging," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 98, no. 6, pp. 1006–1020, 2010.
- [4] C. Wiley and P. Ariz, "Pulsed doppler radar methods and apparatus," 1965, US Patent 3,196,436.
- [5] C. A. Wiley, "Synthetic aperture radars: A paradigm for technology evolution," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 440–443, 1985.
- [6] I. G. Cumming and F. H. Wong, *Digital Signal Processing of Synthetic Aperture Radar Data: Algorithms and Implementation*. Norwood, MA: 1965, US Patent 3,196,436.




Fig. 19. Phase transit diagrams of different sampling schemes with 70% down-sampled data and scene of sparsity 9.5%, (a) Jittered sampling and (b) uniform sampling.

- Artech House, 2004.
- [7] F. M. Henderson, A. J. Lewis *et al.*, *Principles and applications of imaging radar. Manual of remote sensing, volume 2.* John Wiley and sons, 1998.
 - [8] J. C. Curlander and R. N. McDonough, *Synthetic aperture radar: systems and signal processing.* New York, NY: Wiley, 1991.
 - [9] R. Baraniuk, E. Candes, M. Elad, and Y. Ma, "Applications of sparse representation and compressive sensing," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 98, no. 6, pp. 906–909, June 2010.
 - [10] D. L. Donoho, "Compressed sensing," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1289–1306, 2006.
 - [11] E. J. Candès and T. Tao, "Near-optimal signal recovery from random projections: Universal encoding strategies?" *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 5406–5425, 2006.
 - [12] E. J. Candès, J. K. Romberg, and T. Tao, "Stable signal recovery from incomplete and inaccurate measurements," *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, vol. 59, no. 8, pp. 1207–1223, 2006.
 - [13] M. Çetin and W. C. Karl, "Feature-enhanced synthetic aperture radar image formation based on nonquadratic regularization," *Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 623–631, 2001.
 - [14] R. Baraniuk and P. Steeghs, "Compressive radar imaging," in *In: Proc of IEEE Radar Conference, 2007*, Boston, MA, 2007, pp. 128–133.
 - [15] S. Samadi, M. Çetin, and M. Masnadi-Shirazi, "Sparse representation-based synthetic aperture radar imaging," *Radar, Sonar Navigation, IET*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 182–193, Feb 2011.
 - [16] X. X. Zhu and R. Bamler, "Super-resolution power and robustness of compressive sensing for spectral estimation with application to spaceborne tomographic sar," *Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 247–258, Jan 2012.
 - [17] —, "Demonstration of super-resolution for tomographic SAR imaging in urban environment," *Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 50, no. 8, pp. 3150–3157, Aug 2012.
 - [18] X. X. Zhu, X.-M. Li, and R. Guo, "Compressive sensing for super-resolving sar imaging to support target detection in coastal zone," in *EUSAR 2014; 10th European Conference on Synthetic Aperture Radar; Proceedings of*, June 2014, pp. 1–4.
 - [19] M. Çetin, I. Stojanovic, N. Onhon, K. Varshney, S. Samadi, W. Karl, and A. Willsky, "Sparsity-driven synthetic aperture radar imaging: Reconstruction, autofocus, moving targets, and compressed sensing," *Signal Processing Magazine, IEEE*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 27–40, July 2014.
 - [20] B. Zhang, W. Hong, and Y. Wu, "Sparse microwave imaging: Principles and applications," *Science China Information Sciences*, vol. 55, no. 8, pp. 1722–1754, 2012.
 - [21] W. Hong, B. Zhang, Z. Zhang, C. Jiang, Y. Zhao, and Y. Wu, "Radar imaging with sparse constraint: Principle and initial experiment," in *EUSAR 2014; 10th European Conference on Synthetic Aperture Radar; Proceedings of*, June 2014, pp. 1–4.
 - [22] F. Jian, Z. Bingchen, X. Zongben, H. Wen, and W. Yirong, "On selection of the observation model for multilook application of sparse microwave imaging," in *EUSAR 2014; 10th European Conference on Synthetic Aperture Radar; Proceedings of*, June 2014, pp. 1–4.
 - [23] A. v. Balakrishnan, "On the problem of time jitter in sampling," *Information Theory, IRE Transactions on*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 226–236, 1962.
 - [24] Y. Tian, C. L. Jiang, Y. G. Lin, B. C. Zhang, and W. Hong, "An evaluation method for sparse microwave imaging radar system using phase diagrams," in *In: Proc of CIE Radar Conference, 2011*, Chengdu, China, 2011.
 - [25] H. E. Stanley, *Introduction to phase transitions and critical phenomena.* Oxford, UK: Oxford Press, 1987.
 - [26] D. Donoho and J. Tanner, "Observed universality of phase transitions in high-dimensional geometry, with implications for modern data analysis and signal processing," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 367, no. 1906, pp. 4273–4293, 2009.
 - [27] D. L. Donoho and J. Tanner, "Precise undersampling theorems," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 98, no. 6, pp. 913–924, 2010.
 - [28] D. L. Donoho, A. Maleki, and A. Montanari, "The noise-sensitivity phase transition in compressed sensing," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 10, pp. 6920–6941, 2011.
 - [29] V. M. Patel, G. R. Easley, D. M. Healy Jr, and R. Chellappa, "Compressed synthetic aperture radar," *Selected Topics in Signal Processing, IEEE Journal of*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 244–254, 2010.
 - [30] C. Jiang, B. Zhang, J. Fang, Z. Zhe, W. Hong, Y. Wu, and Z. Xu, "Efficient ℓ_q regularisation algorithm with range-azimuth decoupled for SAR imaging," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 204–205, January 2014.
 - [31] J. Fang, Z. Xu, B. Zhang, W. Hong, and Y. Wu, "Fast compressed sensing SAR imaging based on approximated observation," *Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, IEEE Journal of*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 352–363, Jan 2014.
 - [32] J. Zeng, J. Fang, and Z. Xu, "Sparse sar imaging based on $l_{1/2}$ regularization," *Science China Information Sciences*, vol. 55, no. 8, pp. 1755–1775, 2012.
 - [33] Y. C. Eldar and G. Kutyniok, *Compressed sensing: theory and applications.* Cambridge University Press, 2012.
 - [34] Z. Zhang, B. Zhang, C. Jiang, Y. Xiang, W. Hong, and Y. Wu, "Influence factors of sparse microwave imaging radar system performance: approaches to waveform design and platform motion analysis," *Science China Information Sciences*, vol. 55, no. 10, pp. 2301–2317, 2012.
 - [35] J. Fang, J. Zeng, Z. Xu, and Y. Zhao, "Efficient DPCA SAR imaging with fast iterative spectrum reconstruction method," *Science China Information Sciences*, vol. 55, no. 8, pp. 1838–1851, 2012.
 - [36] Y. Zhao, B. Zhang, W. Hong, and Y. Wu, "Ripless based radar waveform analysis in sparse microwave imaging (in Chinese)," *Journal of Radar*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 265–270, 2013.



Zhe Zhang (S'10) was born in Luoyang, China, in 1988. He received the B.Sc. degree in Information Engineering from Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, in 2008.

He is currently working towards the Ph.D. degree with the Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IECAS) and University of Chinese Academy of Sciences in Beijing, China. His research interests include sparse microwave imaging, sparse signal processing and synthetic aperture radar imaging.



Longyong Chen (M'08) received the B.S. degree in electrical engineering from the University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui, China, in 2003, the M.E. and Ph.D. degrees in signal and information processing from the University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, in 2006 and 2009, respectively. Since July 2009, he has been with the Science and Technology on Microwave Imaging Laboratory, Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. During this period, he has product responsibility for millimeterwave radars,

receivers, polarimetric and interferometric SAR systems, and MIMO SAR systems.

He is currently an Assistant Professor and holds the Assistant Director position of the Science and Technology on Microwave Imaging Laboratory. His research interests include radar signal processing, coherent polarimetric and interferometric SAR system designing, and novel radar imaging techniques.



Bingchen Zhang received the M.S. degree from the Institute of Electronics of Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, China, in 1999.

He currently serves as a scientist in the Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. His research interests consist of signal and information processing, remote sensing technology, and sparse signal processing.



Chenglong Jiang (S'14) received the Bachelor degree in electronic engineering and information science (with honors) from University of Science and Technology of China in 2009.

He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree in signal and information processing at the University of Chinese Academy of Sciences and working in the Science and Technology on Microwave Imaging Laboratory, Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. His main research interests include radar imaging, compressive

sensing, multi-channel radar signal processing, and spaceborne SAR system design.



Wen Hong (M'03) was born in Shanxi, China, in 1968. She received the M.S. degree from Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xian, China, in 1993 and the Ph.D. degree from the Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics (BUAA), Beijing, China, in 1997.

She was formerly a Faculty Member in signal and information processing with the Department of Electrical Engineering, BUAA. She worked as a Guest Scientist for one year with the German Aerospace Center (DLR), Wessling, Germany. Since 2002, she has been with the National Key Laboratory of Microwave Imaging Technology, Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, as a Scientist and a Supervisor of the graduate student program. Her research interests include synthetic aperture radar imaging and its applications.



Xingdong Liang (M'08) received the Ph.D. degrees from the Beijing Institute of Technology in 2001. Since 2002, he has been with the Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. During this period, he has product responsibility for many important Chinese national programs, including high resolution SAR systems, polarimetric and interferometric SAR systems, and pre-research for advanced SAR systems. He has been rewarded by the Chinese government for his great contributions to the remote sensing area for several times.

He is currently a Professor and holds the Director position of the Science and Technology on Microwave Imaging Laboratory, Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. His research interests include real-time radar signal processing, coherent polarimetric and interferometric SAR systems, and novel radar imaging techniques.



Yirong Wu (M'00) received the Ms.D. degree from the Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China, in 1988 and the Ph.D. degree from the Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IECAS), Beijing, China, in 2001.

Since 1988, he has been with IECAS, where he is currently the Director. He has over 20 years of experience in remote sensing processing system design. His current research interests are microwave imaging, signal and information processing, and related applications. Now he is the academican of Chinese Academy of Sciences.