Quantum field theories, Markov random fields and machine learning
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Abstract. The transition to Euclidean space and the discretization of quantum field theories on spatial or space-time lattices opens up the opportunity to investigate probabilistic machine learning from the perspective of quantum field theory. Here, we will discuss how discretized Euclidean field theories can be recast within the mathematical framework of Markov random fields, which is a notable class of probabilistic graphical models with applications in a variety of research areas, including machine learning. Specifically, we will demonstrate that the $\phi^4$ scalar field theory on a square lattice satisfies the Hammersley-Clifford theorem, therefore recasting it as a Markov random field from which neural networks are additionally derived. We will then discuss applications pertinent to the minimization of an asymmetric distance between the probability distribution of the $\phi^4$ machine learning algorithms and that of target probability distributions.

1. Introduction

To construct a probability distribution in a high-dimensional space one can turn to the framework of probabilistic graphical models. Probabilistic graphical models comprise a set of random variables, positioned within a graph-based representation, that satisfy certain factorization as well as conditional dependence and independence properties. One notable case of probabilistic graphical models is the framework of Markov random fields, in which the random variables are connected through undirected edges and satisfy a significant condition of locality, called the Markov property. Markov properties emerge as important mathematical conditions across distinct research fields, such as in machine learning \cite{1} or in constructive quantum field theory \cite{2}.

In this contribution, we discuss the proof of Markov properties for discretized Euclidean field theories \cite{3}, which recasts lattice field theories as machine learning algorithms within the mathematically rigorous framework of Markov random fields. Specifically, we demonstrate that the $\phi^4$ scalar field theory on a square lattice satisfies the Hammersley-Clifford theorem, and hence the local Markov property, and can therefore be recast as a Markov random field. We then derive neural network architectures from the $\phi^4$ theory which can be viewed as
generalizations of conventional neural network architectures, specifically of restricted Boltzmann machines. Finally, we present applications pertinent to the minimization of an asymmetric distance between the probability distribution of the $\phi^4$ machine learning algorithms and target probability distributions.

2. The $\phi^4$ theory as a Markov random field

Let $\Lambda$ be a finite set whose points represent the sites of a physical model. We consider that $\Lambda$ has an additional structure, specifically that the points lie on the vertices of a finite graph $\mathcal{G} = (\Lambda, E)$, where $E$ is the set of edges in $\mathcal{G}$. If there exists an edge between $i, j \in \Lambda$ then $i$ and $j$ are called neighbours. A subset of $\Lambda$ which comprises neighbours is called a clique, and a clique is maximal if no additional point can be included such that the resulting set is still a clique, see Fig. 1. We now consider that to each point $i \in \Lambda$ is assigned a continuous-valued random variable $\phi_i$.

A Markov random field is defined as a set of random variables on a graph $\mathcal{G} = (\Lambda, E)$ whose associated probability distribution $p(\phi)$ satisfies the local Markov property:

$$p(\phi_i | (\phi_j)_{j \in \Lambda - i}) = p(\phi_i | (\phi_j)_{j \in N_i}),$$

where $N_i$ is the set of neighbours of a given point $i$. The local Markov property can be proven for a probability distribution that is encoded in a graph through the Hammersley-Clifford theorem:

**Theorem 1 (Hammersley-Clifford)** A strictly positive distribution $p$ satisfies the local Markov property of an undirected graph $\mathcal{G}$, if and only if $p$ can be represented as a product of strictly positive potential functions $\psi_c$ over $\mathcal{G}$, one per maximal clique $c \in C$, i.e.,

$$p(\phi) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{c \in C} \psi_c(\phi),$$

where $Z = \int_\phi \prod_{c \in C} \psi_c(\phi) d\phi$ is the partition function and $\phi$ are all possible states of the system.

The Euclidean action of the two-dimensional $\phi^4$ scalar field theory is

$$S_E = -\kappa L \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \phi_i \phi_j + \frac{\mu_L^2 + 4\kappa_L}{2} \sum_i \phi_i^2 + \frac{\lambda_L}{4} \sum_i \phi_i^4,$$

where $\kappa_L, \mu_L^2, \lambda_L$ are dimensionless parameters. We redefine $w = \kappa_L, a = (\mu_L^2 + 4\kappa_L)/2, b = \lambda_L/4$ for simplicity, and consider them as inhomogeneous:

$$S(\phi; \theta) = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} w_{ij} \phi_i \phi_j + \sum_i a_i \phi_i^2 + \sum b_i \phi_i^4.$$
The $\phi^4$ inhomogeneous action is described by the coupling constants $\theta = \{w_{ij}, a_i, b_i\}$, and the Boltzmann probability distribution:

$$p(\phi; \theta) = \frac{\exp[-S(\phi; \theta)]}{\int_{\phi} \exp[-S(\phi, \theta)] d\phi}.$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (5)

The $\phi^4$ lattice field theory is, by definition, formulated on a graph $G = (\Lambda, e)$. To verify that the $\phi^4$ theory satisfies Markov properties we define the following potential function $\psi_c$ that is able to factorize the probability distribution in terms of maximal cliques $c \in C$

$$\psi_c = \exp \left[- w_{ij} \phi_i \phi_j + \frac{1}{4} (a_i \phi_i^2 + a_j \phi_j^2 + b_i \phi_i^4 + b_j \phi_j^4)\right],$$ \hspace{0.5cm} (6)

where $i,j$ are nearest neighbors.

3. Machine learning with $\phi^4$ Markov random fields

3.1. Learning without predefined data

We define the Kullback-Leibler divergence, a nonnegative quantity, which establishes a measure of the difference between the probability distribution $p(\phi; \theta)$ of the $\phi^4$ machine learning algorithm and a target probability distribution $q(\phi)$:

$$KL(p||q) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\phi; \theta) \ln \frac{p(\phi; \theta)}{q(\phi)} d\phi \geq 0.$$ \hspace{0.5cm} (7)

We now consider a target Boltzmann probability distribution $q(\phi) = \exp[-A]/Z_A$ that describes an arbitrary statistical system and substitute the two probability distributions in the Kullback-Leibler divergence to obtain:

$$F_A \leq \langle A - S \rangle_{p(\phi; \theta)} + F \equiv F,$$ \hspace{0.5cm} (8)

where $F$ is the variational free energy, $F_A = -\ln Z_A$, and $\langle O \rangle_{p(\phi; \theta)}$ denotes the expectation value of an observable $O$ under the probability distribution $p(\phi; \theta)$. By minimizing this quantity the two probability distributions $p(\phi; \theta)$ and $q(\phi)$ will become equal and we can therefore use the distribution of the $\phi^4$ theory to draw samples from the target probability distribution $q(\phi)$.

To minimize the variational free energy $F$ we implement a gradient-based approach

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta_i} = \langle A \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta_i} \rangle - \langle \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta_i} \rangle - \langle S \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta_i} \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta_i} \rangle,$$ \hspace{0.5cm} (9)

and the coupling constants $\theta$ are updated at each epoch $t$ as:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} - \eta * \mathcal{L},$$ \hspace{0.5cm} (10)

where $\eta$ is the learning rate and $\mathcal{L} = \partial F/\partial \theta^{(t)}$.

We now consider as a target system a $\phi^4$ lattice action $A$ defined as

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^{5} g_k A^{(k)} = g_1 \sum_{(ij)_{nn}} \phi_i \phi_j + g_2 \sum_i \phi_i^2 + g_3 \sum_i \phi_i^4 + g_4 \sum_{(ij)_{nnn}} \phi_i \phi_j + ig_5 \sum_i \phi_i^2,$$ \hspace{0.5cm} (11)

where $nn$ and $nnn$ denote nearest-neighbor and next-nearest neighbor interactions, the $g_4 A^{(4)}$ term is a longer-range interaction, and the action is complex due to the $g_5 A^{(5)}$ term. The
coupling constants can have arbitrary values but for this example we consider $g_1 = g_4 = -1$, $g_2 = 1.52425$, $g_3 = 0.175$ and $g_5 = 0.15$, see Ref. [3].

As a proof-of-principle demonstration we will utilize the $\phi^4$ Markov random field, described by the inhomogeneous action $S$, to approximate a target probability distribution which is described by an action $A_{(4)} = \sum_{k=1}^{4} g_k A^{(k)}$. To explore the accuracy of the approximation between the aforementioned probability distributions, we will explore if reweighting can be implemented based on the probability distribution of the $\phi^4$ Markov random field to calculate expectation values that would correspond to the full complex action $A$. The reweighting relation is given by

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{N} O_l \exp[S_l - g'_4 A^{(j)}_l - \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{5} g_k A^{(k)}_l]}{\sum_{l=1}^{N} \exp[S_l - g'_4 A^{(j)}_l - \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{5} g_k A^{(k)}_l]},$$

(12)
details of which can be found in Refs. [3, 4, 5, 6].

We consider $j = 4$ and calculate the expectation values of the action $A$ and the magnetization $m$ by extrapolating with reweighting in the range $g'_4 \in [-1.15, -0.85]$. The results, which are depicted in Fig. 2, agree within statistical errors with independent Monte Carlo calculations, therefore verifying that observables which would correspond to probability distribution of the full action $A$ can be accurately calculated based on reweighting from the inhomogeneous action $S$.

3.2. Learning with predefined data

A different class of machine learning applications considers the case where the form of the target probability distribution is unknown but there exists a set of available data in which an empirical probability distribution is encoded. To explore this type of applications we consider the following expression of the Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$KL(q||p) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q(\phi) \ln \frac{q(\phi)}{p(\phi; \theta)} d\phi \geq 0.$$  

(13)

By substituting and taking the derivative in terms of the variational parameters $\theta$ we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial \ln p(\phi; \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \left\langle \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle_{p(\phi; \theta)} - \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta},$$

(14)

and the update rule of the parameters $\theta$ at each epoch $t$ is given according to Eq. 10, where $L = -\partial \ln p(\phi; \theta(t))/\partial \theta^{(t)}$.

As an example of a distribution to be learned by the machine learning algorithm, we consider the simple case of a Gaussian distribution with $\mu = -0.5$ and $\sigma = 0.05$. Since the lattice...
action is invariant under the $Z_2$ symmetry we expect that the symmetric values of the dataset are equiprobable in being reproduced. To remove this feature we can introduce a term $\sum_i r_i \phi_i$ which breaks the symmetry of the action explicitly. The results are depicted in Fig. 3 (left) where the anticipated behaviour is observed.

Finally, we illustrate the approach in an image from the CIFAR-10 dataset. The equilibration of the trained Markov random field is depicted in Fig. 3 (right), where the image emerges as a configuration in the equilibrium probability distribution. Since the machine learning algorithm learns the optimal values of the coupling constants in the action that are able to solve the considered problem, extensions towards learning the appropriate coupling constants which describe renormalized systems can potentially be explored [7].

### 3.3. Machine learning with $\phi^4$ neural networks

To increase the expressivity of the machine learning algorithm, we will introduce a new set of latent or hidden variables $h_j$ within the graph structure. In addition, we will restrict the interactions to be exclusively between the visible $\phi_i$ and the hidden $h_j$ variables, giving rise to the lattice action

$$S(\phi, h; \theta) = -\sum_{i,j} w_{ij} \phi_i h_j + \sum_i r_i \phi_i + \sum_i a_i \phi_i^2 + \sum_i b_i \phi_i^4 + \sum_j s_j h_j + \sum_j m_j h_j^2 + \sum_j n_j h_j^4. \quad (15)$$

The $\phi^4$ neural network implemented above is a generalization of other neural network architectures: if $b_i = n_j = 0$ the $\phi^4$ neural network reduces to a Gaussian-Gaussian restricted Boltzmann machine and if $b_i = n_j = m_j = 0$ and $h_j \in \{-1, 1\}$ then the architecture is a Gaussian-Bernoulli restricted Boltzmann machine, see Refs [8, 9]. Restricted Boltzmann machines were inspired by Ising models and since the $\phi^4$ theory is equivalent to an Ising model under the limit $\kappa_L$ positive and fixed, $\lambda_L \to \infty$ and $\mu_L \to -\infty$ [10], novel physical insights into how these machine learning algorithms work might emerge from the perspective of quantum

**Figure 4.** Example features learned in the hidden layer of the $\phi^4$ neural network.
field theory. We now train the $\phi^4$ neural network on the Olivetti faces dataset. A subset of the learned features, specifically the coupling constants $w_{ij}$ for a fixed $j$ are depicted in Fig. 4. We observe that the neural network has learned dependencies which resemble face shapes and characteristics.

4. Conclusions
In this contribution we have shown that discretized Euclidean field theories can be recast within the mathematical framework of Markov random fields, opening up the opportunity to investigate machine learning directly within quantum field theory. Specifically, we demonstrated that the $\phi^4$ scalar field theory satisfies the Hammersley-Clifford theorem and therefore the local Markov property with respect to the graph structure of a square lattice. In addition we have derived $\phi^4$ neural networks which can be viewed as generalizations of conventional neural network architectures, specifically of restricted Boltzmann machines. Finally, we have presented applications pertinent to physics and computer science, opening up the opportunity for nonperturbative investigations of machine learning within the framework of lattice field theory.
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