2-COMPLEX SYMMETRIC COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON $H^2$
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ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study 2-complex symmetric composition operators with the conjugation $J$ on the Hardy space $H^2$. More precisely, we obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for the composition operator $C_{\phi}$ to be 2-complex symmetric when the symbols $\phi$ is an automorphism of $D$. We also characterize the 2-complex symmetric composition operator $C_{\phi}$ on the Hardy space $H^2$ when $\phi$ is a linear fractional self-map of $D$.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Let $D$ and $\partial D$ be the open unit disk $\mathbb{C}$ and the unit circle in the complex plane, respectively. Let $H(D)$ be the set of all analytic functions on $D$. The Hardy space $H^2(D)$ is the space of all $f \in H(D)$ such that

$$
\|f\|^2 = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta < \infty.
$$

The space $H^2(D)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, that is, for each $w \in D$ and $f \in H^2(D)$, there is a unique function $K_w \in H^2(D)$ such that

$$
(f, K_w) = f(w),
$$

where $K_w = \frac{1}{1-z\overline{w}}$ is said to be the reproducing kernel at $w$. For $g \in L^\infty(\partial D)$, the Toeplitz operator $T_g$ is defined as $T_g f = P(fg)$ for $f \in H^2(D)$, where $P$ is the orthogonal projection of $L^2$ onto $H^2(D)$. Recall that

$$
T^* g K_w = \overline{f(w)} K_w
$$

for each $w \in D$ and $f \in H^\infty$.

Let $\phi$ be an analytic self-map of $D$. Recall that the composition operator $C_{\phi}$ is defined by

$$
C_{\phi} f(z) = f(\phi(z)), \ f \in H(D), \ z \in D.
$$

It is easy to see that $C_{\phi}^* K_w = K_{\phi(w)}$ for each $w \in D$.

Let $H$ and $\mathcal{B}(H)$ stand for a separable complex Hilbert space and the set of all continuous linear operators on $H$, respectively. An operator $C : H \to H$ is said to be a conjugation on $H$ if it is

(a) anti-linear or conjugate-linear: $C(\alpha + \beta y) = \bar{\alpha} C(x) + \bar{\beta} C(y)$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in H$,

(b) isometric: $\|C x\| = \|x\|$, for all $x \in H$.
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An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be complex symmetric if $T = CT^*C$, where $C$ is a conjugation on $H$. We also say that $T$ is complex symmetric with $C$-symmetric operator. The class of complex symmetric operators includes all normal operators, binormal operators, Hankel operators, compressed Toeplitz operators and the Volterra integration operator. The general study of complex symmetric operators was initiated by Garcia, Putinar and Wogen in [8–11]. See [6, 7, 12, 13, 17–21] for more results on complex symmetric operators.

Let $m$ be a positive integer and $T \in B(H)$. In [1], the authors defined $m$-complex symmetric operators as follows: $T$ is said to be an $m$-complex symmetric operator if there exists some conjugation $C$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} \binom{m}{j} T^{*r}C^{m-j}C = 0.$$  

In this case, $T$ is said to be $m$-complex symmetric with $C$. When $m = 2$, we get

$$CT^2C - 2T^*CT + T^*C = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$CT^2 - 2T^*CT + T^*C = 0.$$

It is clear that 1-complex symmetric operator is just the complex symmetric operator. By a simple calculation, we see that all complex symmetric operators are 2-complex symmetric operators. In [5], the authors studied $m$-complex symmetric weighted shifts on $\mathbb{C}^n$. We refer the reader to [1, 2, 5, 14] for more details about $m$-complex symmetric operators.

In this paper, we study 2-complex symmetric composition operators with $J$ on the Hardy space $H^2$. When the symbols $\phi$ is an automorphism of $D$, we see that the composition operator $C_\phi$ is 2-complex symmetric with $J$ if and only if $C_\phi$ is normal. Furthermore, we also characterize the 2-complex symmetric composition operators on $H^2$ when the induced map is a linear fractional self-map of $D$.

2. Main results

We begin this section with Cowen’s formula for the adjoint of a linear fractional self-map. For a linear fractional self-map $\phi(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$ of $\mathbb{D}$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$, Cowen in [3] obtained the following important formula

$$C_\phi^* = T_\sigma C_\sigma T_h^*,$$

where

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{\bar{a}z - \bar{c}}{-\bar{b}z + d}, \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{-\bar{b}z + d}, \quad h(z) = cz + d.$$

**Lemma 1.** [4] Let $\phi$ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. Then $C_\phi$ is normal if and only if $\phi(z) = az$ with $|a| \leq 1$.

**Lemma 2.** Let $\phi(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$ be a linear fractional self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. If $w \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfies $\bar{a}w \neq \bar{c}$, then the following statements hold:
Lemma 3. Let $\phi$ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. If $C_{\phi}$ is normal, then $C_{w}^{*}$ and $C_{\phi}$ is 2-complex symmetric with $J$.

Proof. Assume that $C_{\phi}$ is normal. From Corollary 3.10 in [16] we see that $C_{\phi}$ is complex symmetric with $J$. Hence, $C_{\phi}$ is 2-complex symmetric with $J$. Write $\phi(z) = az$ with $|a| \leq 1$ from Lemma 1. We notice that $T^{*}$ is 2-complex symmetric with $J$ if and only if

$$T^{*2}J - 2JTT^{*}J + JT^{2} = 0.$$ 

In view of Lemma 2 set $\sigma(z) = \bar{a}z$, $g(z) = h(z) = 1$, we have

$$C_{w}^{*}J_{w}(z) = K_{\phi_{2}(\bar{z})}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{a}^{2}wz},$$

$$JC_{\phi}JC_{w}^{*}JK_{w}(z) = K_{\phi_{2}(\bar{z})}(\phi(z)) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{a}^{2}wz},$$

and

$$JC_{\phi}^{2}K_{w}(z) = \overline{K_{w}(\phi_{2}(\bar{z}))} = \frac{1}{1 - w\phi_{2}(\bar{z})} = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{a}^{2}wz}.$$
Thus, it is obvious that $C_\phi^2 J - 2JC_\phi J C_\phi J + JC_\phi^2 = 0$, which means that $C_\phi$ is 2-complex symmetric with $J$.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 4.** Let $\phi$ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. If $C_\phi$ is 2-complex symmetric with $J$, then

$$2\phi_2(0) - 4\phi(0) + \phi(0)\phi_2(0) = 0.$$ 

**Proof.** Since $f(w) = \langle f, K_w \rangle$ for any $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in H^2$, we have

$$\langle JC_\phi^2 K_0, K_\lambda \rangle = \langle JK_0, K_\lambda \rangle = 1,$$

$$\langle C_\phi^* J C_\phi K_0, K_\lambda \rangle = \langle C_\phi^* K_0, K_\lambda \rangle = \frac{2}{2 - \phi(0)}$$

and

$$\langle C_\phi^* J K_0, K_\lambda \rangle = \langle C_\phi^* J C_\phi^* K_0, K_\lambda \rangle = \langle K_{\phi(0)}, K_\lambda \rangle = \frac{2}{2 - \phi_2(0)}$$

By the assumption that $C_\phi$ is 2-complex symmetric with $J$, we get that

$$1 - \frac{4}{2 - \phi(0)} + \frac{2}{2 - \phi_2(0)} = 0.$$

By a simple calculation, we get the desired result.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 1.** Let $\phi$ be an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$. Then $C_\phi$ is 2-complex symmetric with $J$ if and only if $C_\phi$ is normal.

**Proof.** Assume that $C_\phi$ is normal. From Lemma 3 we see that $C_\phi$ is 2-complex symmetric with $J$.

Now suppose that $\phi(z) = \lambda \frac{a \bar{z}}{1 - az}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$, $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $C_\phi$ is 2-complex symmetric with $J$. Let $\sigma(z) = \frac{-\bar{z} + a}{-z + a}$, $g(z) = \frac{1}{-az + 1}$ and $h(z) = -\bar{a}z + 1$. Noting that

$$\phi_2(z) = \frac{\bar{\lambda}(\lambda z - \lambda a) - \lambda a(\bar{a}z - 1)}{\bar{a}(\lambda z - \lambda a) - (\bar{a}z - 1)},$$

then for $\bar{\lambda}w \neq a$, Lemma 2 gives that

$$JC_\phi^2 K_w(z) = K_w(\phi_2(\bar{\lambda})) = \frac{a(\bar{\lambda}z - \overline{\lambda a}) - (az - 1)}{a(\lambda z - \lambda a) - (az - 1) - w[\bar{\lambda}(\lambda z - \lambda a) - \lambda a(az - 1)]},$$

(1)

$$C_\phi^* J C_\phi K_w(z) = a \frac{g(w)}{\sigma(w)} K_{\phi(0)}(z) + h(\frac{1}{\sigma(w)}) g(w) K_{\phi(\sigma(w))}(z)$$

(2)

$$= \frac{a}{(-\bar{\lambda}w + a)(1 - \lambda az)} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}w(|a|^2 - 1)}{(-\bar{\lambda}w + a)(-\lambda w + 1)(-\lambda w + a)(-\lambda aw + 1)}$$

$$\cdot \frac{-\lambda aw + 1 + a\bar{\lambda}w - a^2}{-\lambda aw + 1 + a\bar{\lambda}w - a^2 - (\bar{\lambda}^2 w - \bar{\lambda}^2 \bar{a}^2 w - \lambda a + \lambda a)z}.$$
and
\[
C_\phi^2 J K_w(z) = C_{\phi_2(\phi)}(z) = \frac{a(\tilde{\lambda}w - \tilde{\lambda}a) - (aw - 1)}{a(\tilde{\lambda}w - \tilde{\lambda}a) - (aw - 1) - [\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\lambda}w - \tilde{\lambda}a) - \tilde{\lambda}a(aw - 1)]z}
\]  
(3)

for any \(w, z \in \mathbb{D}\). Taking \(w = 0\) in (1), (2) and (3), we have
\[
JC_\phi^2 K_0(z) = 1, \quad C_\phi^* J C_\phi K_0(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda a z},
\]
and
\[
C_\phi^2 J K_0(z) = \frac{-\tilde{\lambda}|a|^2 + 1}{-\tilde{\lambda}|a|^2 + 1 + (\tilde{\lambda}^2 \tilde{a} - \tilde{\lambda}a)z}
\]
for any \(z \in \mathbb{D}\). Since \(C_\phi\) is 2-complex symmetric with \(J\), we get
\[
\frac{1 + \lambda az}{1 - \lambda az} = \frac{1 - \tilde{\lambda}|a|^2}{-\tilde{\lambda}|a|^2 + 1 + (\tilde{\lambda}^2 \tilde{a} - \tilde{\lambda}a)z}.
\]
Calculating and noting that the coefficients of \(z^2\) must be 0, we obtain that \(\lambda^2|a|^2(\lambda - 1) = 0\). Since \(\lambda\) and \(a\) are nonzero, then \(\lambda = 1\). Hence, (4) becomes
\[
\frac{1 + \lambda z}{1 - \lambda z} = 1,
\]
which implies that \(\tilde{a} z = 0\) for all \(z \in \mathbb{D}\). Thus \(a = 0\). Hence \(\phi(z) = -\lambda z\) with \(|\lambda| = 1\). Lemma[1] gives that \(C_\phi\) is normal. The proof is complete. \(\square\)

3. LINEAR FRACTIONAL SELF-MAPS

In this section, we first consider 2-complex symmetric composition operators with \(J\) which are induced by linear fractional self-maps with \(\phi(0) = 0\).

**Theorem 2.** Let \(\phi(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}\) be a linear fractional of \(\mathbb{D}\) and \(\phi(0) = 0\). Then \(C_\phi (C_\phi^*)\) is 2-complex symmetric with \(J\) if and only if \(C_\phi\) is normal.

**Proof.** Assume first that \(C_\phi\) is normal. Lemma[3] gives that \(C_\phi (C_\phi^*)\) is 2-complex symmetric with \(J\).

Conversely, suppose that \(C_\phi\) is 2-complex symmetric with \(J\). Since \(a \neq 0\) and \(\phi(0) = 0\), set \(\phi(z) = \frac{sz + t}{sz + t}\), where \(s = \frac{a}{d}\) and \(t = \frac{d}{a}\) satisfy \(|t| \geq |s| + 1\). If \(s = 0\), then \(\phi(z) = \frac{1}{z}\). Therefore, Lemma[1] gives that \(C_\phi\) is normal. Now, we suppose that \(s \neq 0\). Let \(\sigma(z) = \frac{s^2}{z}, g(z) = \frac{1}{z}\) and \(h(z) = sz + t\). Note that
\[
\phi_2(z) = \frac{z}{(s + st)z + t^2}
\]
and
\[
\phi(\sigma(z)) = \frac{z - s}{sz - s^2 + t^2}, \quad h\left(\frac{1}{\sigma(z)}\right) = \frac{st}{z-s} + t.
\]
For any \(w, z \in \mathbb{D}\) with \(w \neq \tilde{s}\), employing Lemma[2] we obtain that
\[
JC_\phi^2 K_w(z) = K_{\phi_2(\phi_2(z))} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{w}{z + sz + t^2}} = \frac{(\tilde{s} + st)z + t^2}{(\tilde{s} + st)z + t^2 - wz},
\]
(5)
\[ C^*_\phi J C_\phi K_w(z) = -\overline{\bar{s}} \frac{g(w)}{\sigma(w)} K_{\phi(\bar{s})}(z) + h(\frac{1}{\sigma(w)}) g(w) K_{\phi(\sigma(w))}(z) \]

\[ = -\overline{\bar{s}} \frac{w - \bar{s}}{w - \bar{s}} + \left( \frac{\bar{s} \bar{t} + \overline{\bar{t}}}{w - \bar{s}} + \overline{\bar{t}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\bar{t}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{w - \bar{s}}{w - \bar{s} + \bar{t} - w} z} \]

\[ = -\overline{\bar{s}} \frac{w - \bar{s}}{w - \bar{s}} + \frac{w \overline{\bar{t}}}{(w - \bar{s}) \bar{t} \bar{s} w - \bar{s}^2 + \bar{t}^2 - (w - \bar{s})z} \]

and

\[ C^*_\phi J K_w(z) = K_{\phi(\bar{s})}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{w}{w + \bar{s} \bar{t} + \bar{t}^2}} = \frac{(\bar{s} + \bar{st})w + \bar{t}^2}{(\bar{s} + \bar{st})w + \bar{t}^2 - wz} \]

Since \( C_\phi \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \), we obtain from (5), (6) and (7) that

\[ \frac{(\bar{s} + \bar{st})z + \bar{t}^2}{(\bar{s} + \bar{st} - w)z + \bar{t}^2} + \frac{(\bar{s} + \bar{st})w + \bar{t}^2}{(\bar{s} + \bar{st})w + \bar{t}^2 - wz} \]

\[ = \frac{2\overline{\bar{s}}}{\overline{\bar{s}} - w} + \frac{2w \overline{\bar{t}}}{(w - \bar{s}) \bar{t} \bar{s} w - \bar{s}^2 + \bar{t}^2 - (w - \bar{s})z} \]

for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \) with \( w \neq \bar{s} \), which gives that

\[ \frac{2\overline{\bar{s}}(w - \bar{s})(\bar{s}w - \bar{s}^2 + \bar{t}^2 - (w - \bar{s})z)}{2\overline{\bar{s}}(w - \bar{s})(\bar{s}w - \bar{s}^2 + \bar{t}^2 - (w - \bar{s})z)} = 2 \]

(8)

for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \) with \( w \neq \bar{s} \). Taking \( w = 0 \) in (8), then

\[ \frac{\bar{t}^2[(\bar{s} + \bar{st})z + \bar{t}^2]}{\bar{t}^2[(\bar{s} + \bar{st})z + \bar{t}^2]} + \frac{\bar{t}^2[(\bar{s} + \bar{st})w + \bar{t}^2]}{\bar{t}^2[(\bar{s} + \bar{st})w + \bar{t}^2]} = \frac{2\bar{s}^2t(\bar{s}^2 + \bar{t}^2 + \bar{s}z)}{\bar{s}^2t(\bar{s}^2 + \bar{t}^2 + \bar{s}z)} = 2 \]

Therefore, \((\bar{s} + \bar{st})z + \bar{t}^2 = (\bar{s} + \bar{st})z + \bar{t}^2 \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{D} \). \( s \neq 0 \) gives that \( 1 + t = 1 - t \). Hence \( t = 0 \), a contradiction. So the hypothesis is not true, that is \( s = 0 \).

Now, assume that \( C^*_\phi \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \). We also assume that \( s \neq 0 \). After a calculation, we have

\[ JC_\phi J C_\phi J K_w(z) = K_{\phi(\bar{s})}(\phi(z)) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{w}{w + \bar{s} \bar{t} + \bar{t}^2}} \]

\[ = \frac{(\bar{s}w + \bar{t})(\bar{s}z + \bar{t})}{(\bar{s}w + \bar{t})(\bar{s}z + \bar{t}) - wz} \]

for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \). Since \( C^*_\phi \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \), we obtain from (5), (7) and (9) that

\[ \frac{2(\bar{s}w + \bar{t})(\bar{s}z + \bar{t})}{(\bar{s}w + \bar{t})(\bar{s}z + \bar{t}) - wz} \]
for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \) with \( w \neq \bar{s} \). Taking \( w = 0 \) in (10), we also have that

\[
\frac{r^2[(\bar{s} - \bar{s}t)z + \bar{r}^2] + r^2[(\bar{s} + \bar{s}t)z + \bar{r}^2]}{r^2[(\bar{s} + \bar{s}t)z + \bar{r}^2]} = 2.
\]

The other arguments are similar to the case of \( C_\phi \). Then we obtain the desired result. The proof is complete. \( \Box \)

We now consider 2-complex symmetric composition operators with \( J \) which are induced by linear fractional self-maps with \( c = 0 \).

**Lemma 5.** \([15]\) Let \( \phi(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \) be a linear fractional of \( \mathbb{D} \) such that \( c = 0 \). Then \( C_\phi = C_\xi^*T_t^* \), where \( \xi(z) = \frac{a}{b - cz + d} \) and \( \tau(z) = \frac{d}{b - cz + d} \).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( \phi(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \) be a linear fractional of \( \mathbb{D} \) such that \( c = 0 \). Then \( C_\phi \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \) if and only if \( C_\phi \) is normal.

**Proof.** Assume first that \( C_\phi \) is normal. Lemma 3 gives that \( C_\phi \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \).

Conversely, suppose that \( C_\phi \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \). Since \( c = 0 \), then \( \phi(z) = mz + n \), where \( m = \frac{a}{d} \) and \( n = \frac{b}{d} \) satisfy \( |m| + |n| \leq 1 \). Let \( \xi(z) = \frac{mz}{-mn + 1} \) and \( \tau(z) = \frac{1}{-mn + 1} \). Note that

\[
\phi_2(z) = m^2z + mn + n
\]

and

\[
\xi_2(z) = \frac{m^2z}{-mnz - \bar{n}z + 1}, \quad \phi(\xi(z)) = \frac{m^2z - n^2\bar{z} + n}{-n\bar{z} + 1}, \quad \tau(\xi(z)) = \frac{-n\bar{z} + 1}{-mn\bar{z} - \bar{n}z + 1}.
\]

Lemmas 2 and 5 give that

\[
JC_\phi^2K_w(z) = JC_\xi^*T_t^*C_\xi^*T_t^*K_w(z) = JC_\xi^*\tau(w)C_\xi^*T_t^*K_{\xi(w)}(z)
\]

\[
= J\tau(w)\tau(\xi(w))K_{\xi(w)}(z) = \tau(w)\tau(\xi(w))K_{\xi(w)}(z)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{-n\bar{w} + 1 - \bar{m} \bar{w} - \bar{n}w + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{m^2w}{-mnw - \bar{m}w + 1} \cdot 
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{-mnw - \bar{n}w + 1 - \bar{m}^2wz}.
\]

\[
C_\phi JC_\phi K_w(z) = C_\phi^*JC_\xi^*T_t^*K_w(z) = C_\phi^*J\tau(w)K_{\xi(w)}(z) = C_\phi^*\tau(w)K_{\phi(\xi(w))}(z)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{-n\bar{w} + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{m^2w - \bar{n}w + \bar{n}z}{-mnw - \bar{m}w + 1} \cdot 
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{-n\bar{w} + 1 - \bar{m}^2w - \bar{n}w + \bar{n}z}
\]

and

\[
C_\phi^2JK_w(z) = K_{\phi_2(w)}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - (m^2w + mn + \bar{n}) \bar{z}}
\]
for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \). Since \( C_\phi \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \), then we obtain from (11), (12) and (13) that

\[
\frac{1}{-\bar{m}n\bar{w} + \bar{n}w + 1 - \bar{m}^2wz} + \frac{1}{1 - (\bar{m}^2w + \bar{m}n + \bar{n})z} = \frac{2}{-\bar{n}w + 1 - (\bar{m}^2w - \bar{n}^2w + \bar{n})z}
\]

for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \). Taking \( w = 0 \) in (14), we have that

\[
1 + \frac{1}{1 - (\bar{m}n + \bar{n})z} = \frac{2}{1 - \bar{n}z}
\]

for any \( z \in \mathbb{D} \). Then

\[
\frac{2 - (\bar{m}n + \bar{n})z}{1 - (\bar{m}n + \bar{n})z} = \frac{2}{1 - \bar{n}z}.
\]

Noting that the coefficient of \( z^2 \) must be 0, then \( \bar{n}^2(m + 1) = 0 \), which means that \( n = 0 \) or \( m = -1 \). Similarly, noting that the coefficient of \( z \) must be also 0, then \( n = mn \), which means that \( n = 0 \) or \( m = 1 \). Therefore, \( n = 0 \). This implies that \( \phi(z) = mz \) with \( |m| \leq 1 \). Lemma 1 gives that \( C_\phi \) is normal. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.** Let \( \phi(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \) be a linear fractional of \( \mathbb{D} \) such that \( c = 0 \). Then \( C_\phi^* \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \) if and only if \( C_\phi \) is normal.

**Proof.** Since \( c = 0 \), then set \( \phi(z) = mz + n \) where \( m = \frac{a}{d} \) and \( n = \frac{b}{d} \) satisfy \( |m| + |n| \leq 1 \). Let \( \xi(z) = \frac{mz}{-nz + 1} \) and \( \tau(z) = \frac{1}{-n + 1} \). Noting that

\[
\phi_\tau(z) = m^2z + mn + n,
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau(\phi_\tau(z)) &= \frac{1}{-\bar{m}(\bar{m}z + \bar{n}) + 1}, \\
\xi(\phi_\tau(z)) &= \frac{\bar{m}(\bar{m}z + \bar{n})}{-\bar{n}(\bar{m}z + \bar{n}) + 1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Using Lemma 5 and Theorem 3, we obtain that

\[
JC_\phi^2K_w(z) = JC_\phi^*T_\tau^*C_\xi^*T_\tau K_w(z) = \frac{1}{-\bar{m}n\bar{w} + \bar{n}w + 1 - \bar{m}^2wz},
\]

\[
JC_\phi JC_\phi^*JK_w(z) = JC_\phi^*T_\tau^*K_{\phi_\tau(w)}(z)
\]

\[
= J\tau(\phi(\bar{w}))K_{\xi(\phi(\bar{w}))}(z) = \tau(\phi(\bar{w}))K_{\xi(\phi(\bar{w}))}(z)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{-\bar{n}(\bar{m}w + \bar{n}) + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\bar{m}(\bar{m}w + \bar{n})z}{-\bar{n}(\bar{m}w + \bar{n}) + 1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1 - (\bar{m}w + \bar{n})(\bar{m}z + \bar{n})}
\]

and

\[
C_\phi^2JK_w(z) = K_{\phi_\tau(\bar{w})}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - (\bar{m}^2w + \bar{m}n + \bar{n})z}
\]
for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \). Since \( C_\phi^* \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \), then we obtain from (15), (16) and (17) that
\[
\frac{1}{-n \bar{m} w - \bar{n} w + 1 - \bar{m}^2 w z} + \frac{1}{1 - (\bar{m}^2 w + \bar{m} n + \bar{n}) z} = \frac{2}{1 - (\bar{m} w + \bar{n} + \bar{m} n + \bar{n}) z}
\]
for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \). Taking \( w = 0 \), we get
\[
\frac{2 - (\bar{m} n + \bar{n}) z}{1 - (\bar{m} n + \bar{n}) z} = \frac{2}{1 - \bar{n}(\bar{m} z + \bar{n})}.
\]
Noting that the coefficient of constant term must be 0, then \( \bar{n}^2 = 0 \), which means that \( n = 0 \). Thus, \( \phi(z) = m z \) with \( |m| \leq 1 \). Lemma 1 deduces that \( C_\phi \) is normal.

Conversely, assume that \( C_\phi \) is normal. Lemma 3 gives that \( C_\phi^* \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \).

Furthermore, we prove that there is no 2-complex symmetric composition operators with \( J \) which are induced by linear fractional self-maps with \( a = 0 \) and \( \phi(0) \neq 0 \).

Lemma 6. Let \( \phi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D} \) be a constant function. Then \( C_\phi \) (\( C_\phi^* \)) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \) if and only if \( \phi(z) \equiv 0 \).

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious.

Now we assume that \( \phi(z) \equiv c \) for some \( c \in \mathbb{D} \) and \( C_\phi \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \). Then we obtain that
\[
JC_\phi^2 K_w(z) = JK_w(\phi_2(z)) = J \frac{1}{1 - \bar{w} c} = \frac{1}{1 - w \bar{c}},
\]
\[
C_\phi^* JC_\phi K_w(z) = C_\phi^* JK_w(\phi(z)) = \frac{1}{1 - w \bar{c}} C_\phi^* K_0(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - w \bar{c})(1 - \bar{c} z)},
\]
\[
C_\phi^*^2 JK_w(z) = K_{\phi(\bar{w})}(\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{z} \bar{c}}
\]
and
\[
JC_\phi J C_\phi^* JK_w(z) = K_{\phi(\bar{w})}(\phi(\bar{z})) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{c}^2}
\]
for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \). Since \( C_\phi \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \), we get
\[
\frac{1}{1 - w \bar{c}} - \frac{2}{(1 - w \bar{c})(1 - \bar{c} z)} + \frac{1}{1 - \bar{c} z} = 0
\]
for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \). By a simple calculation, we see that \( c = 0 \).

Assume that \( C_\phi^* \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \). Similarly, we have
\[
\frac{1}{1 - w \bar{c}} - \frac{2}{1 - \bar{c}^2} + \frac{1}{1 - \bar{c} z} = 0
\]
for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \). Therefore, \( c = 0 \). The proof is complete.

Theorem 5. Let \( \phi(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \) be a linear fractional of \( \mathbb{D} \) such that \( a = 0 \) and \( \phi(0) \neq 0 \). Then \( C_\phi \) is not 2-complex symmetric with \( J \).
Proof. Since \( a = 0 \) and \( \phi(0) \neq 0 \), we set \( \phi(z) = \frac{1}{mc+n} \), where \( m = \frac{d}{b} \) and \( n = \frac{a}{b} \). When \( m = 0 \), \( \phi(z) = \frac{1}{n} \neq 0 \), then Lemma 6 gives that \( C_\phi \) is not 2-complex symmetric with \( J \). Now, we assume that \( m \neq 0 \), \( n \neq 0 \) and \( C_\phi \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \). Let \( \sigma(z) = -\frac{m}{z+n} \), \( g(z) = \frac{1}{-z+n} \) and \( h(z) = mz+n \). Note that

\[
\phi_2(z) = \frac{mz+n}{m+mnz+n^2}, \quad h\left(\frac{1}{\sigma(z)}\right) = \bar{z}, \quad \phi(\sigma(z)) = \frac{-\bar{z}+n}{-m^2-n\bar{z}+n^2}.
\]

Lemma 2 gives that

\[
JC_\phi^2K_w(z) = K_{\phi_2(\bar{z})}(\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{1-w\frac{\bar{m}z+n}{m+mnz+n^2}} = \frac{\bar{m}+\bar{mn}z+n^2}{\bar{m}+\bar{mn}z+n^2-\bar{n}(\bar{m}+\bar{n})w}, \tag{21}
\]

\[
C_\phi^*JC_\phi K_w(z) = -\bar{m}\frac{g(w)}{\sigma(w)}K_{\phi(0)}(z) + \frac{1}{\sigma(w)}g(w)K_{\phi(\sigma(w))}(z)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1-\frac{w}{\bar{w}+\bar{n}}} K_{\phi(0)}(z) + \frac{w}{\bar{w}+\bar{n}} K_{\phi(\sigma(w))}(z)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1-\frac{w}{\bar{w}+\bar{n}}} - \frac{w}{\bar{w}+\bar{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{w}{-m^2-nw+n^2}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+\bar{z}} + \frac{w}{\bar{w}+\bar{n}} \cdot \frac{-\bar{m}^2-\bar{n}w+n^2}{-\bar{m}^2-\bar{n}w+n^2-(-w+\bar{n})z}
\]

and

\[
C_\phi^2JK_w(z) = K_{\phi(\bar{w})}(\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{1-\frac{\bar{m}w+n}{\bar{m}+\bar{mn}w+n^2}} = \frac{\bar{m}+\bar{mn}w+n^2}{\bar{m}+\bar{mn}w+n^2-(\bar{m}w+\bar{n})z} \tag{23}
\]

for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \). Since \( C_\phi \) is 2-complex symmetric with \( J \), we obtain from (21), (22) and (23) that

\[
\frac{\bar{m}+\bar{mn}z+n^2}{\bar{m}+\bar{mn}z+n^2-\bar{n}(\bar{m}+\bar{n})w} + \frac{\bar{m}+\bar{mn}w+n^2}{\bar{m}+\bar{mn}w+n^2-\bar{n}(\bar{m}+\bar{n})z}
\]

\[
= 2\left(\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}-z} + \frac{w}{-w+\bar{n}} \cdot \frac{-\bar{m}^2-\bar{n}w+n^2}{-\bar{m}^2-\bar{n}w+n^2-(-w+\bar{n})z}\right) \tag{24}
\]

for any \( w, z \in \mathbb{D} \). Taking \( w = 0 \) in (24), we have that

\[
\frac{(-\bar{n}z+\bar{m}+\bar{n}^2)+(\bar{m}+\bar{n}^2)}{-\bar{n}z+\bar{m}+\bar{n}^2} = \frac{2\bar{n}}{\bar{n}-kz} \tag{25}
\]

for any \( z \in \mathbb{D} \). Thus the coefficient of \( z^2 \) must be 0. This implies that \( n = 0 \), which is a contradiction. The proof is complete. \( \Box \)

In the remainder of this paper, we consider 2-complex symmetric composition operators with \( J \) which are induced by linear fractional self-maps with \( a \neq 0 \), \( c \neq 0 \) and \( \phi(0) \neq 0 \).

**Theorem 6.** Let \( \phi(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \) be a linear fractional of \( \mathbb{D} \) such that \( a \neq 0 \), \( c \neq 0 \) and \( \phi(0) \neq 0 \). Then \( C_\phi \) is not 2-complex symmetric with \( J \).
Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Assume that \(C_\phi\) is 2-complex symmetric with \(J\). Since \(a \neq 0, c \neq 0\) and \(\phi(0) \neq 0\), set \(\phi(z) = \frac{oz^m}{sz^+}\), where \(m = \frac{a}{d}, n = \frac{b}{d}\) and \(s = \frac{c}{d}\). Let \(\sigma(z) = \frac{dz^+ - k}{z^+ + 1}\), \(g(z) = \frac{1}{z^+ + 1}\) and \(h(z) = sz + 1\). Note that

\[
\phi(z) = \frac{(m^2 + ns)z + mn + n}{(ms + s)z + ns + 1}, \quad h \left( \frac{1}{\sigma(z)} \right) = \frac{(m - ns)\bar{z}}{m^2 - s}
\]

and

\[
\phi(\sigma(z)) = \frac{(m^2 - n^2)\bar{z} + n - ms}{(ms - n)\bar{z} + 1 - s^2}.
\]

Lemma 2 gives that

\[
JC_\phi^2 K_w(z) = K_{\phi(\bar{z})}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{(m^2 + n^2)w + mn + \bar{h}}{(m^2 + ns)w + \bar{w} + 1}}
\]

\[(26)\]

\[
C_\phi J C_\phi K_w(z) = -\bar{\bar{g}}(w)K_{\phi(0)(z)} + h \left( \frac{1}{\sigma(w)} \right) g(w)K_{\phi(\sigma(w))}(z)
\]

\[
= -\bar{\bar{g}} \frac{1}{\bar{m} \bar{w} - \bar{s} - \bar{n}z} + \frac{(\bar{m} - \bar{n}s)w}{\bar{m} \bar{w} - \bar{s} - \bar{n}w + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{(\bar{m}^2 - \bar{n}^2)w - \bar{m} \bar{n} + \bar{n}\bar{h}}{(m^2 - \bar{n}^2)w + 1 - \bar{s}^2}}
\]

\[(27)\]

and

\[
C_\phi^2 J K_w(z) = K_{\phi(\bar{z})}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{(m^2 + n^2)w + mn + \bar{h}}{(m^2 + ns)w + \bar{w} + 1}}
\]

\[(28)\]

for any \(w, z \in \mathbb{D}\). Taking \(w = 0\), then we obtain from (26), (27) and (28) that

\[
JC_\phi^2 K_0(z) = 1, \quad C_\phi J C_\phi K_0(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{n}z}
\]

and

\[
C_\phi^2 J K_0(z) = \frac{\bar{n}z + 1}{\bar{n}z + 1 - (\bar{m} \bar{m} + \bar{n})z}
\]

for any \(z \in \mathbb{D}\). Since \(C_\phi\) is 2-complex symmetric with \(J\), we obtain that

\[
1 + \frac{\bar{n}z + 1}{\bar{n}z + 1 - (\bar{m} \bar{m} + \bar{n})z} = \frac{2}{1 - \bar{n}z}
\]

\[(29)\]

for any \(z \in \mathbb{D}\), which implies that

\[
\frac{2\bar{n}z + 2 - (\bar{m} \bar{m} + \bar{n})z}{\bar{n}z + 1 - (\bar{m} \bar{m} + \bar{n})z} = \frac{2}{1 - \bar{n}z}
\]

\[(30)\]
for any \( z \in \mathbb{D} \). Noting that the coefficients of \( z^2 \) must be 0, then we have that 
\( \bar{n}^2(m + 1) = 0 \). Since \( \phi(0) \neq 0 \), then \( m = -1 \).

Noting that \( \phi_2(z) = \frac{(ms + n)s + mn + n}{ms + s^2 + ns + 1} \), then Lemma [4] gives that
\[
2\phi_2(0) - 4\phi(0) + \phi(0)\phi_2(0) = 2\frac{(mn + n)}{ns + 1} - 4n + \frac{m(mn + n)}{ns + 1} = 0.
\]
By a simple calculation, we see that \( 2m - 4ns + mn + n = 2 \). Since \( m = -1 \), we get that \( ns = -1 \). Therefore, \( m - ns = 0 \), which means that \( \phi \) is not a linear fractional of \( \mathbb{D} \), a contradiction. The proof is complete. \( \square \)
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