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1 Introduction

During the last two decades, there is a substantial body of work that has been concerned with the construction of wavelets on local fields. Even though the structures and metrics of local fields of zero and positive characteristics are similar, their wavelet and MRA (multiresolution analysis) theory are quite different. The theory of wavelets, wavelet frames, multiresolution analysis, Gabor frames on local fields of positive characteristics (LFPC) are extensively studied by many researchers including Benedetto, Behera and Jahan, Ahmad and Shah, Jiang, Li and Ji, Shukla and Mittal in the references [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32] but still more concepts required to be studied for its enhancement on LFPC. Continuing our study of frames on local fields, we introduce a comprehensive theory of discrete wave packet systems on local fields by exploiting the machinery of Fourier transforms and we also give the definition of discrete periodic wave packet transform. A characterization of the system to be a Parseval frame and discrete periodic wave packet frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ are obtained. Furthermore, we construct discrete periodic wave packet frame by filter sequence iteration.

We in this paper, provide the characterization of nonhomogeneous wavelet bi-frames. First of all we introduce the reducing subspaces of Sobolev spaces over local fields of prime characteristics and then characterize the nonhomogeneous wavelet bi-frames over such fields.

The rest of the paper is tailored as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic Fourier analysis on local fields and also some results which are required in the subsequent sections. In section 3, Characterization of NWBFs over Local Field. In Section 4, we construct the $J^{th}$-stage discrete periodic wave packet frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. 
2 Preliminaries on Local Fields

2.1 Local Fields

A local field $K$ is a locally compact, non-discrete and totally disconnected field. If it is of characteristic zero, then it is a field of $p$-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or its finite extension. If $K$ is of positive characteristic, then $K$ is a field of formal Laurent series over a finite field $GF(p^c)$. If $c = 1$, it is a $p$-series field, while for $c \neq 1$, it is an algebraic extension of degree $c$ of a $p$-series field. Let $K$ be a fixed local field with the ring of integers $\mathfrak{O} = \{ x \in K : |x| \leq 1 \}$. Since $K^+$ is a locally compact Abelian group, we choose a Haar measure $dx$ for $K^+$. The field $K$ is locally compact, non-trivial, totally disconnected and complete topological field endowed with non-Archimedean norm $| \cdot | : K \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying

(a) $|x| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
(b) $|xy| = |x||y|$ for all $x, y \in K$;
(c) $|x+y| \leq \max \{|x|, |y|\}$ for all $x, y \in K$.

Property (c) is called the ultrametric inequality. Let $\mathfrak{B} = \{ x \in K : |x| < 1 \}$ be the prime ideal of the ring of integers $\mathfrak{O}$ in $K$. Then, the residue space $\mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{B}$ is isomorphic to a finite field $GF(q)$, where $q = p^c$ for some prime $p$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $K$ is totally disconnected and $\mathfrak{B}$ is both prime and principal ideal, so there exist a prime element $p$ of $K$ such that $\mathfrak{B} = \langle p \rangle = p\mathfrak{O}$. Let $\mathfrak{O}^* = \mathfrak{O} \setminus \mathfrak{B} = \{ x \in K : |x| = 1 \}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{O}^*$ is a group of units in $K^*$ and if $x \neq 0$, then can write $x = p^k y, y \in \mathfrak{O}^*$. Moreover, if $U = \{ a_m : m = 0, 1, \ldots, q-1 \}$ denotes the fixed full set of coset representatives of $\mathfrak{B}$ in $\mathfrak{O}$, then every element $x \in K$ can be expressed uniquely as $x = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_\ell p^\ell$ with $c_\ell \in U$. Recall that $\mathfrak{B}$ is compact and open, so each fractional ideal $\mathfrak{B}^k = p^k \mathfrak{O} = \{ x \in K : |x| < q^{-k} \}$ is also compact and open and is a subgroup of $K^+$. We use the notation in Taibleson’s book [33]. In the rest of this paper, we use the symbols $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ to denote the sets of natural, non-negative integers and integers, respectively.

Let $\chi$ be a fixed character on $K^+$ that is trivial on $\mathfrak{O}$ but non-trivial on $\mathfrak{B}^{-1}$. Therefore, $\chi$ is constant on cosets of $\mathfrak{O}$ so if $y \in \mathfrak{B}^k$, then $\chi_y(x) = \chi(y,x), x \in K$. Suppose that $\chi_u$ is any character on $K^+$, then the restriction $\chi_u|\mathfrak{O}$ is a character on $\mathfrak{O}$. Moreover, as characters on $\mathfrak{O}, \chi_u = \chi_v$ if and only if $u - v \in \mathfrak{O}$. Hence, if $\{u(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a complete list of distinct coset representative of $\mathfrak{B}$ in $K^+$, then, as it was proved in [13], the set $\{\chi_{u(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ of distinct characters on $\mathfrak{O}$ is a complete orthonormal system on $\mathfrak{O}$.

We now impose a natural order on the sequence $\{u(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. We have $\mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{B} \cong GF(q)$ where $GF(q)$ is a $c$-dimensional vector space over the field $GF(p)$. We choose a set $\{1 = \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_{c-1}\} \subset \mathfrak{O}^*$ such that $\text{span}\{\zeta_j\}_{j=0}^{c-1} \cong GF(q)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfying

$$0 \leq n < q, \quad n = a_0 + a_1 p + \cdots + a_{c-1} p^{c-1}, \quad 0 \leq a_k < p, \quad \text{and} \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, c-1,$$
we define
\[ u(n) = (a_0 + a_1\zeta_1 + \cdots + a_{c-1}\zeta_{c-1})p^{-1}. \]

Also, for \( n = b_0 + b_1q + b_2q^2 + \cdots + b_sq^s, \ n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ 0 \leq b_k < q, \ k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, s, \) we set
\[ u(n) = u(b_0) + u(b_1)p^{-1} + \cdots + u(b_s)p^{-s}. \]

This defines \( u(n) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \) In general, it is not true that \( u(m+n) = u(m) + u(n). \) But, if \( r, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( 0 \leq s < q, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, s, \) we set
\[ u(rk + s) = u(r)p^{-k} + u(s). \]

Further, it is also easy to verify that \( u(n) = 0 \) if and only if \( n = 0 \) and \( \{u(\ell) + u(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \{u(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \) for a fixed \( \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0. \) Hereafter we use the notation \( \chi_n = \chi_u(n), \ n \geq 0. \)

Let the local field \( K \) be of characteristic \( p > 0 \) and \( \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_{c-1} \) be as above. We define a character \( \chi \) on \( K \) as follows:
\[ \chi(\zeta_\mu p^{-j}) = \begin{cases} \exp(2\pi i/p), & \mu = 0 \text{ and } j = 1, \\ 1, & \mu = 1, \ldots, c-1 \text{ or } j \neq 1. \end{cases} \]

2.2 Fourier Transforms on Local Fields

The Fourier transform of \( f \in L^1(K) \) is denoted by \( \hat{f}(\omega) \) and defined by
\[ F\{f(x)\} = \hat{f}(\omega) = \int_K f(x)\overline{\chi_\omega(x)} \, dx. \]

It is noted that
\[ \hat{f}(\omega) = \int_K f(x)\overline{\chi_\omega(x)} \, dx = \int_K f(x)\chi(-\omega x) \, dx. \]

The properties of Fourier transforms on local field \( K \) are much similar to those of on the classical field \( \mathbb{R}. \) In fact, the Fourier transform on local fields of positive characteristic have the following properties:

- The map \( f \rightarrow \hat{f} \) is a bounded linear transformation of \( L^1(K) \) into \( L^\infty(K), \) and \( \|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_1. \)
- If \( f \in L^1(K) \), then \( \hat{f} \) is uniformly continuous.
- If \( f \in L^1(K) \cap L^2(K), \) then \( \|\hat{f}\|_2 = \|f\|_2. \)

The Fourier transform of a function \( f \in L^2(K) \) is defined by
\[ \hat{f}(\omega) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \hat{f}_k(\omega) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{|x| \leq q^k} f(x)\overline{\chi_\omega(x)} \, dx, \]
where \( f_k = f \Phi_{-k} \) and \( \Phi_k \) is the characteristic function of \( \mathcal{B}^k \). Furthermore, if \( f \in L^2(\mathcal{D}) \), then we define the Fourier coefficients of \( f \) as
\[
\hat{f}(u(n)) = \int_D f(x) \chi_{u(n)}(x) \, dx.
\]
The series \( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{f}(u(n)) \chi_{u(n)}(x) \) is called the Fourier series of \( f \). From the standard \( L^2 \)-theory for compact Abelian groups, we conclude that the Fourier series of \( f \) converges to \( f \) in \( L^2(\mathcal{D}) \) and Parseval’s identity holds:
\[
\|f\|_2^2 = \int_D |f(x)|^2 \, dx = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\hat{f}(u(n))|^2.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{Z} = \{u(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \), where \( \{u(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \) is a complete list of (distinct) coset representation of \( \mathcal{D} \) in \( K^+ \). Then we define
\[
\ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) = \left\{ z : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{C} : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |z(u(n))|^2 < \infty \right\}
\]
is a Hilbert space with inner product
\[
\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} z(u(n)) \overline{w(u(n))}.
\]
The following definitions are natural which are used in the subsequent sections:

**Definition 2.1** The Fourier transform on \( \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \) is the map \( \tilde{z} : \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \to L^2(\mathcal{D}) \) defined for \( z \in \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \) by
\[
\tilde{z}(\omega) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} z(u(n)) \chi_{u(n)}(\omega),
\]
and the Inverse Fourier transform on \( L^2(\mathcal{D}) \) is the map \( \nu : L^2(\mathcal{D}) \to \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \) defined for \( f \in L^2(\mathcal{D}) \) by
\[
f^\nu(u(n)) = \langle f, \chi_{u(n)} \rangle = \int_D f(x) \chi_{u(n)}(x) \, dx.
\]
For \( z \in \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \), we have
\[
(\tilde{z})^\nu(u(n)) = \langle \tilde{z}, \chi_{u(n)} \rangle
= \left\langle \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} z(u(m)) \chi_{u(m)}, \chi_{u(n)} \right\rangle
= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} z(u(m)) \langle \chi_{u(m)}, \chi_{u(n)} \rangle
= z(u(n)).
Since \( \{ \chi_{u(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \} \) is an orthonormal basis for \( L^2(\mathcal{D}) \). It is also clear that the function \( \hat{z} \) is an integral periodic function because for \( m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), we have

\[
\hat{z}(\omega + u(m)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} z(u(n))\chi_{u(n)}(\omega), \quad \chi_{u(n)}(u(m)).
\]

\[
= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} z(u(n))\chi_{u(n)}(\omega)
\]

\[
= \hat{z}(\omega).
\]

For \( z, w \in \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \), we have Parseval’s relation:

\[
\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} z(u(n))\overline{w(u(n))} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \hat{z}(\omega)\overline{\hat{w}(\omega)} \, d\omega = \langle \hat{z}, \hat{w} \rangle,
\]

and Plancherel’s formula:

\[
\|z\|^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |z(u(n))|^2 = \int_{\mathcal{D}} |\hat{z}(\omega)|^2 \, d\omega = \|\hat{z}\|^2.
\]

**Definition 2.2** Let \( \mathcal{H} \) denotes a separable Hilbert space and \( \mathcal{P} \) be countable index set. A countable set \( \{ \varphi_p : p \in \mathcal{P} \} \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) is called a frame \( \mathcal{H} \) if there exists constants \( A \) and \( B, 0 < C \leq D < \infty \) such that

\[
C\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} |\langle f, \varphi_p \rangle|^2 \leq D\|f\|^2 \tag{1}
\]

holds for all \( f \in \mathcal{H} \). The greatest possible such \( C \) is the lower frame bound and the least possible such \( D \) is the upper frame bound. We say that \( \{ \varphi_p : p \in \mathcal{P} \} \) is a tight frame for \( \mathcal{H} \) with frame bound \( C \) if \( C = D \), and a normalized tight (Parseval) frame if \( C = D = 1 \).

**Definition 2.3** For \( m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), the translation operator \( T_{u(m)} : \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \to \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \) is defined by

\[
T_{u(m)}z(u(n)) = z(u(n) - u(m))
\]

and the modulation operator \( M_{u(k)} : \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \to \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \) by

\[
M_{u(k)}z(u(n)) = z(u(n))\overline{\chi_{u(k)}}.
\]

**Definition 2.4** For \( v_j^l \in \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \), \( 0 \leq \ell \leq L, 0 \leq j \leq J \), we call \( \mathcal{W}(V) \) a \( J^{th} \)-stage wave packet system associated with \( V = \{ v_{\ell} : 0 \leq \ell \leq L \} \) if

\[
\mathcal{W}(V) = \{ \mathcal{W}_{k,m}v_j^l = T_{pu(m)}M_{u(k)}v_j^l : k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq \ell \leq L, 0 \leq j \leq J \} .
\]

(2)

For \( J = 0 \), the wave packet system in (2) becomes the Gabor system

\[
\{ \chi_{u(k)}(u(m))v_{\ell}(-u(m)) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq \ell \leq L \} .
\]

On taking \( k = 0 \) in (2), the wave packet system degenerates into the wavelet system

\[
\{ v_j^l(-pu(m)) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq \ell \leq L, j = 1, 2, ..., J \}.
\]

Thus the Gabor system and wavelet system are the particular cases of wave packet systems.
3 Characterization of NWBFs over Local Field

In this section, we provide the characterization of NWBFs in \((FH^s(\Omega), FH^{-s}(\Omega))\). Firstly we need following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1** Given \(s \in K\), let \(\{T_{u(k)}\psi_0 : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \cup \{T_{u(k)}\psi_\ell : k \in \mathbb{N}_0, 1 \leq \ell \leq L\} \) be a Bessel sequence in \(H^s(K)\). Then

\[
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\langle g, \psi_{0,0,k} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\langle g, \psi_{\ell,j,k} \rangle|^2
\]

\[
= \int_K |\hat{g}(\omega)|^2 \left( |\hat{\psi}_0(\omega)|^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty m^{-2j} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}\omega)|^2 \right) d\omega
\]

\[
+ \int_K \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{g}(\omega + u(k))
\]

\[
\times \left( \hat{\psi}_0(\omega)\bar{\psi}_0(\omega + u(k)) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^k m^{-2j}\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}\omega)\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega + u(k)) \right)
\]  

(3)

for \(g \in D\)

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.3, we have
\[
\sum_{k \in N_0} |\langle g, \psi_{0,0,k} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{\ell = 1}^L \sum_{j = 0}^\infty \sum_{k \in N_0} |\langle g, \psi_{\ell,j,k} \rangle|^2 \\
= \int_\mathcal{D} \left| \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{g} (\omega + u(k)) \overline{\psi_0 (\omega + u(k))} \right|^2 d\omega \\
+ \sum_{\ell = 1}^L \sum_{j = 0}^\infty m_j^{(d-2s)} \int_\mathcal{D} \left| \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{g} (p^j(\omega + u(k))) \overline{\psi_\ell (\omega + u(k))} \right|^2 d\omega \\
= \int_\mathcal{D} \left( \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{\psi}_0 (\omega + u(k)) \overline{\hat{g} (\omega + u(k))} \right) \left( \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{g} (\omega + u(k)) \overline{\hat{\psi}_0 (\omega + u(k))} \right) d\omega \\
+ \sum_{\ell = 1}^L \sum_{j = 0}^\infty m_j^{(d-2s)} \int_\mathcal{D} \left( \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{\psi}_\ell (\omega + u(k)) \overline{\hat{g} (p^j(\omega + u(k)))} \right) \\
\times \left( \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{g} (p^j(\omega + u(k))) \overline{\hat{\psi}_\ell (\omega + u(k))} \right) \\
= \int_\mathcal{D} \left( \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{\psi}_0 (\omega + u(k)) \overline{\hat{g} (\omega + u(k))} \right) \overline{E_0(\omega)} d\omega \\
+ \sum_{\ell = 1}^L \sum_{j = 0}^\infty m_j^{(d-2s)} \int_\mathcal{D} \left( \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{\psi}_\ell (\omega + u(k)) \overline{\hat{g} (p^j(\omega + u(k)))} \right) \overline{E_{\ell,j}} d\omega \\
= R_1 + R_2, \\
\text{(4)}
\]

where \( E_0(\cdot) = \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{g} (\omega + u(k)) \overline{\hat{\psi}_0 (\omega + u(k))} \) and \( E_{\ell,j}(\cdot) = \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{g} (p^j(\omega + u(k))) \overline{\hat{\psi}_\ell (\omega + u(k))} \).

Since \( \{T_{u(k)}\psi_0 : k \in N_0\} \) is a Bessel sequence in \( H^s(K) \) and \( g \in \mathcal{D} \), it follows that 

\[ |E_0(\cdot)| \leq \|\hat{g}, \hat{\psi}_0\|_{L^2}\|\hat{\psi}_0\|_{L^2} < \infty \]

by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.5. Therefore

\[ \int_\mathcal{D} \left| \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{g} (\omega + u(k)) \overline{\hat{\psi}_0 (\omega + u(k))} \right| \overline{E_0(\omega)} \leq \|E_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} \int_\mathcal{D} |\hat{g}, \hat{\psi}_0|_{L^2}\|\hat{\psi}_0\|_{L^2} < \infty \]

, hence by Fubini-Tonelli theorem

\[
\int_\mathcal{D} \left( \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{\psi}_0 (\omega + u(k)) \overline{\hat{g} (\omega + u(k))} \right) \left( \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{g} (\omega + u(k)) \overline{\hat{\psi}_0 (\omega + u(k))} \right) d\omega \\
= \int_\mathcal{D} \hat{\psi}_0 (\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)} \sum_{k \in N_0} \hat{g} (\omega + u(k)) \overline{\hat{\psi}_0 (\omega + u(k))} d\omega
\]
Moreover
\[
\int_K \left| \hat{\psi}_0(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)} \right| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left| \hat{g}(\omega + u(k)) \right| \hat{\psi}_0(\omega + u(k)) d\omega
\leq \int_{\text{supp}(\hat{g})} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left| \hat{g}(\omega + u(k)) \right| \hat{\psi}_0(\omega + u(k)) \right)^2 d\omega
\leq \int_{\text{supp}(\hat{g})} [\hat{g}, \hat{g}] - s(\omega) [\hat{\psi}_0, \hat{\psi}_0]_s(\omega) d\omega < \infty
\]

since \([\hat{g}, \hat{g}] - s(\omega) [\hat{\psi}_0, \hat{\psi}_0]_s(\cdot)\) is essentially bounded by Lemma 2.2, we have
\[
R_1 = \int_K \hat{\psi}_0(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{g}(\omega + u(k)) \hat{\psi}_0(\omega + u(k)) d\omega
\leq \int_K |\hat{\psi}_0(\omega)|^2 |\hat{g}(\omega)|^2 d\omega + \int_K \hat{\psi}_0(\omega) \overline{g(\omega)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{g}(\omega + u(k)) \hat{\psi}_0(\omega + u(k)) d\omega \tag{5}
\]

In order to complete the proof, we need to calculate \(R_2\). Let us define \(\hat{g}\) by \(\hat{g}(\cdot) = \hat{g}((p)^j(\cdot))\). Then as \(g \in D\) and Lemma 2.5, we have
\[
[\hat{g}((p)^j(\cdot))], [\hat{g}((p)^j(\cdot))]_s(\cdot) \leq C
\]

Thus
\[
|E_{i, j}(\cdot)| \leq [\hat{g}((p)^j(\cdot)), [\hat{g}((p)^j(\cdot))]_s^2(\cdot)] [\hat{\psi}_\ell, \hat{\psi}_\ell]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.
\]

Hence
\[
R_2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m^{(d-2s)} \sum_{j \in A_1} \int_K \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(p^j(\omega))} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{g}(p^j(\omega + u(k))) \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega + u(k)) d\omega
\]

Taking \(A\) a bounded set in \(K\) such that \(\text{supp}(\hat{g}) \subseteq A\). So by Lemma 2.6, we get
\[
A \cap (A + p^j u(k)) = \emptyset \quad \text{for} \quad (j, k) \notin A_1 \times A_2 \quad \text{with} \quad k \neq 0,
\]

where \(A_1 \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0\) and \(A_2 \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{0\}\) are two finite sets. Therefore
\[
R_2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \sum_{j \in A_1} m^{(d-2s)} \sum_{k \in A_2} \int_K \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(p^j(\omega))} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{g}(p^j(\omega + u(k))) \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega + u(k)) d\omega
\]

Denote \(S = \bigcup_{k \in A_2 \cap \{0\}} \bigcup_{j \in A_1} (p^j A + u(k))\). Therefore, for each \((j, k) \in A_1 \times A_2\), we have
\[
\int_K \left| \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(p^j(\omega))} \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega + u(k)) \right| d\omega
\leq ||\hat{g}||^2_{L^\infty(K)} \int_{p^{-j} A} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)| \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega + u(k)) d\omega
\leq ||\hat{g}||^2_{L^\infty(K)} \left( \int_{p^{-j} A} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)|^2 d\omega \right)^\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{p^{-j} A} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega + u(k))|^2 d\omega \right)^\frac{1}{2}
\leq ||\hat{g}||^2_{L^\infty(K)} \int_{S} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)|^2 d\omega
\]
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Note that \(1 \leq (\max_{\omega \in A}(1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s})(1 + |\omega|^2)^s\) for \(\omega \in A\). Thus

\[
\int_K \left| \hat{\psi}(\omega) \overline{\hat{p}(\omega)} \hat{g}(p^j(\omega + u(k))) \hat{\psi}(\omega + u(k)) \right| \, d\omega \\
\leq \left( \max_{\omega \in A}(1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s} \right) \|\hat{g}\|^2_{L^\infty(K)} \int_A |\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 (1 + |\omega|^2)^sd\omega \\
\leq \left( \max_{\omega \in A}(1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s} \right) \|\hat{g}\|^2_{L^\infty(K)} \|\hat{\psi}\|^2_{H^s(K)} \\
< \infty
\]

On combining the formula given above, we get

\[
R_2 = \int_K \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m^{j(d-2s)} |\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 |\hat{g}(p^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \\
+ \int_K \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m^{j(d-2s)} \overline{\hat{p}(\omega)} \hat{\psi}(\omega) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{g}(p^j(\omega + u(k))) \hat{\psi}(\omega + u(k)) \, d\omega \\
= \int_K \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m^{-2js} |\hat{\psi}(p^{-j}\omega)|^2 |\hat{g}(\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \\
+ \int_K \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m^{-2js} \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)} \hat{\psi}(p^{-j}\omega) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{\psi}(\omega + p^{-j}u(k)) \hat{\psi}(p^{-j}\omega + u(k)) \, d\omega \\
= \int_K \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m^{-2js} |\hat{\psi}(p^{-j}\omega)|^2 |\hat{g}(\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \\
+ \int_K \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{\psi}(\omega + u(k)) \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m^{-2js} \hat{\psi}(p^{-j}\omega) \hat{\psi}(p^{-j}\omega + u(k)) \, d\omega \quad (6)
\]

using the definition of \(\kappa(k)\). Hence using (4), (5) and (6), we get (3). This completes the proof of the lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** Given \(s \in K\), let \(X^s(\psi_0; \Psi)\) and \(X^{-s}(\tilde{\psi}_0; \tilde{\Psi})\) be a Bessel sequences in \(H^s(K)\) and \(H^{-s}(K)\), respectively. Then for all \(f, g \in D\), we have

\[
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{0,0,k} \rangle \langle \psi_{0,0,k}, g \rangle + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{\ell,j,k} \rangle \langle \psi_{\ell,j,k}, g \rangle \\
= \int_K \overline{\hat{f}(\omega)} \overline{\hat{\psi}_0(\omega)} \hat{\psi}_0(\omega) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}\omega) \overline{\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}\omega)} \, d\omega \\
+ \int_K \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega + u(k)) \\
\times \left( \hat{\psi}_0(\omega) \overline{\hat{\psi}_0(\omega + u(k))} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa(k)} \hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}\omega) \overline{\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}(\omega + u(k)))} \right) \, d\omega \quad (7)
\]
proof: As $X^s(\psi_0; \Psi)$ and $X^{-s}(\psi_0; \Psi)$ are Bessel sequences in $H^s(K)$ and $H^{-s}(K)$, respectively, the expression in (7) is meaningful. Proceeding in a similar fashion as in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{0,0,k} \rangle \langle \psi_{0,0,k}, g \rangle + \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{\ell,j,k} \rangle \langle \psi_{\ell,j,k}, g \rangle$$

$$= \int_K \hat{\psi}_0(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{f}(\omega + u(k)) \tilde{\hat{\psi}}_0(\omega + u(k)) d\omega$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j \int_K \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(p^j \omega)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{f}(p^j(\omega + u(k))) \tilde{\hat{\psi}}_\ell(\omega + u(k)) d\omega$$

$$= I_1 + I_2.$$  (8)

Note that

$$|\hat{\psi}_0(\cdot) \overline{\hat{g}(\cdot)}| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\hat{f}(\cdot + u(k)) \tilde{\hat{\psi}}_0(\cdot + u(k))| \leq [\hat{f}, \hat{f}]^2(\cdot)[\psi_0, \psi_0]^2(\cdot)[\hat{g}, \hat{g}]^2(\cdot)[\psi_0, \psi_0]^2(\cdot),$$

is bounded due to Lemma 2.2. Hence

$$\int_K |\hat{\psi}_0(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)}| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\hat{f}(\omega + u(k)) \tilde{\hat{\psi}}_0(\omega + u(k))| d\omega$$

$$\leq \int_{\text{supp}(\hat{g})} |\hat{\psi}_0(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)}| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\hat{f}(\omega + u(k)) \tilde{\hat{\psi}}_0(\omega + u(k))| d\omega < \infty$$

Therefore

$$I_1 = \int_K \hat{f}(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)} \hat{\psi}_0(\omega) \tilde{\hat{\psi}}_0(\omega) + \int_K \hat{\psi}_0(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \hat{f}(\omega + u(k)) \tilde{\hat{\psi}}_0(\omega + u(k)) d\omega.$$  (9)

To complete the proof of the lemma, we need to discuss $I_2$. Let’s break it into two parts, for $k = 0$ and $k \neq 0$. Hence by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j} \omega) \tilde{\hat{\psi}}_\ell(p^{-j} \omega)|$$

$$\leq \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m^{-2js} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j} \omega)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m^{2js} |\tilde{\hat{\psi}}_\ell(p^{-j} \omega)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq B_1 B_2.$$  (10)

Therefore

$$\int_K |\hat{f}(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)}| \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j} \omega) \tilde{\hat{\psi}}_\ell(p^{-j} \omega)|$$

$$\leq B_1 B_2 \left| \text{supp}(\hat{f}) \cap \text{supp}(\hat{g}) \right| \|\hat{f}\|_{L^\infty(K)} \|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty(K)}$$

$$< \infty$$
Fix a compact set $A \in K$ such that $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) \cap \text{supp}(\hat{g}) \subset A$. Using Lemma 2.6, it follows that

$$A \cap (A + p^ju(k)) = \emptyset \quad \text{for} \quad (j, k) \notin A_1 \times A_2 \quad \text{with} \quad k \neq 0$$

where $A_1 \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ and $A_2 \subset \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{0\}$ are two finite sets. With the same argument as applied to $R_2$, we have

$$\int_K \left| \frac{g(p^j\omega)}{f(p^j(\omega + u(k)))} \hat{f}(\omega) |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)| \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega + u(k)) \right| d\omega$$

$$\leq \|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty(K)} \cdot \|\hat{f}\|_{L^\infty(K)} \left( \int_{p^{-j}A} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{p^{-j}A} |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega + u(k))|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty(K)} \cdot \|\hat{f}\|_{L^\infty(K)} \left( \frac{\max(1 + |\omega|^2)}{\omega \in T} \right)^{s/2} \|\hat{\psi}\|_{H^s(K)} \|\hat{\psi}_\ell\|_{H^{-s}(K)}$$

$$< \infty$$

for $(j, k) \in A_1 \times A_2$, where $T = \bigcup_{k \in A_2 \cup \{0\}} \bigcup_{j \in A_1} p^{-j}A + u(k)$. Using (11) and (12), we get

$$I_2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \int_K \frac{\hat{g}(\omega)}{\hat{f}(\omega + p^j u(k))} \hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}(\omega + u(k))) d\omega$$

$$= \int_K \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\hat{g}(\omega)}{\hat{f}(\omega + p^j u(k))} \hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}(\omega + u(k))) d\omega$$

On combining (11), (12), and (13), we get (1), which completes the proof of the lemma.

We now present a characterization of NWBFs in $(FH^s(\Omega), FH^{-s}(\Omega))$ in the form of the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3** Given $s \in K$, let $FH^s(\Omega)$ and $FH^{-s}(\Omega)$ be reducing subspaces of $H^s(K)$ and $H^{-s}(K)$, respectively, $\psi_0 \in H^s(K)$, $\psi_0 \in H^{-s}(K)$ and $\Psi \in H^s(K)$, $\tilde{\Psi} \in H^{-s}(K)$. Suppose that $X^s(\psi_0, \Psi)$ and $X^{-s}(\psi_0, \tilde{\Psi})$ are Bessel sequences in $FH^s(\Omega)$ and $FH^{-s}(\Omega)$, respectively. Then $X^s(\psi_0, \Psi); X^{-s}(\psi_0, \tilde{\Psi})$ is an NWBFs in $(FH^s(\Omega), FH^{-s}(\Omega))$ if and only if

$$\hat{\psi}_\ell(\cdot + u(k)) \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\kappa(k) \hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}(\cdot + u(k))) = \delta_{0,k} \quad \text{a.e. on} \ \Omega.$$
This is equivalent to

\[ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{0,0,k} \rangle \langle \psi_{0,0,k}, \hat{g} \rangle + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{\ell,j,k} \rangle \langle \psi_{\ell,j,k}, \hat{g} \rangle = \langle f, \hat{g} \rangle \]

with \( f, g \in \mathcal{D} \) as \( \mathcal{D} \cap FH^s(\Omega) = \{ \hat{h} \chi_\Omega : h \in \mathcal{D} \} \). The expression of (15) is well defined as \( X^s(\psi_0, \Psi) \) and \( X^{-s}(\hat{\psi}_0, \hat{\Psi}) \) are Bessel sequences in \( H^s(K) \) and \( H^{-s}(K) \). Hence using Lemma 3.2 we can write expression (15) as

\[
\int_K \hat{f}(\omega) \hat{\psi}(\omega) \chi(\omega) \left( \hat{\psi}_0(\omega) \hat{\psi}_0(\omega) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega) \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega) \right) d\omega
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega) \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega + u(k)) \]

\[
\times \left( \hat{\psi}_0(\omega) \hat{\psi}_0(\omega + u(k)) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega) \hat{\psi}_\ell(\omega + u(k)) \right) d\omega
\]

\[
= \int_K \hat{f}(\omega) \hat{\psi}(\omega) \chi(\omega)
\]

with \( f, g \in \mathcal{D} \). Hence the expression (14) leads (16). It remains only to prove the converse statement. Suppose (16) hold. Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

\[
|\hat{\psi}_0(\cdot + u(k))| + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\hat{\psi}_\ell(\cdot + u(k))| \]

\[
\leq \left( |\hat{\psi}_0(\cdot)|^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty m^{-2js}|\hat{\psi}_\ell(\cdot + u(k))|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
+ \left( |\hat{\psi}_0(\cdot + u(k))|^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty m^{-2js}|\hat{\psi}_\ell(\cdot + u(k))|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq B_1 B_2 (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{-s}(1 + |\cdot + u(k)|^2)^s
\]

\[
= C_k < \infty
\]

for each \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) using Lemma 2.4. Hence the series

\[
\hat{\psi}_0(\cdot) \hat{\psi}_0(\cdot + u(k)) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \hat{\psi}_\ell(\cdot + u(k)) \]

\[
\hat{\psi}_\ell(\cdot + u(k)) \]

converges absolutely a.e. on \( K \) and is contained in \( L^\infty(K) \). Therefore almost all points in \( K \) are its Lebesgue
points. Next we consider two cases. When \( k = 0 \). Let \( \omega_0 \neq 0 \) be a Lebesgue point of 
\[
\hat{\psi}_0(\cdot)\hat{\psi}_0(\cdot) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j})\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}(\cdot)) + \chi_\Omega(\cdot)
\]
Fix \( f \) and \( g \) for \( 0 < \epsilon < u(1) \), we have
\[
\hat{f}(\cdot) = \hat{g}(\cdot) = \frac{\chi_{B(\omega_0, \epsilon)}}{\sqrt{|B(\omega_0, \epsilon)|}}
\]
in (16), where \( B(\omega_0, \epsilon) \) is an open ball centred at \( \omega_0 \) and radius \( \epsilon \). Therefore
\[
\frac{1}{|B(\omega_0, \epsilon)|} \int_{B(\omega_0, \epsilon)} \chi_\Omega(\omega) \left( \hat{\psi}_0(\omega)\hat{\psi}_0(\omega) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}\omega)\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}\omega) \right) d\omega
\]
leaving \( \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \), we have
\[
\hat{\psi}_0(\omega_0)\hat{\psi}_0(\omega_0) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}\omega_0)\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}\omega_0)
\]
For \( k \neq 0 \), we fix \( k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and take \( f \) and \( g \)
\[
\hat{f}(\cdot + u(k)) = \hat{g}(\cdot) = \frac{\chi_{B(\omega_0, \epsilon)}}{\sqrt{|B(\omega_0, \epsilon)|}}
\]
in (16), with \( 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \). Therefore
\[
\frac{1}{|B(\omega_0, \epsilon)|} \int_{B(\omega_0, \epsilon)} \chi_\Omega(\omega) \times \left( \hat{\psi}_0(\omega)\hat{\psi}_0(\omega + u(k)) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}(\omega + u(k))\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}(\omega + u(k))) \right) d\omega = 0
\]
leaving \( \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \) and using Lebesgue differentiation theorem, we get
\[
\hat{\psi}_0(\omega_0 + u(k_0))\hat{\psi}_0(\omega_0) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty \hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}(\omega_0)\hat{\psi}_\ell(p^{-j}(\omega_0 + u(k_0)))
\]
Hence we obtain (14) by using the arbitrariness of \( \omega_0 \) and \( k_0 \), which completes the proof of the theorem.
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