

Finite-by-nilpotent groups and a variation of the BFC-theorem

Pavel Shumyatsky

ABSTRACT. For a group G and an element $a \in G$ let $|a|_k$ denote the cardinality of the set of commutators $[a, x_1, \dots, x_k]$, where $x_1, \dots, x_k$ range over G . The main result of the paper states that a group G is finite-by-nilpotent if and only if there are positive integers k and n such that $|x|_k \leq n$ for every $x \in G$. More precisely, if $|x|_k \leq n$ for every $x \in G$ then $\gamma_{k+1}(G)$ has finite (k, n) -bounded order. Further, in any group G the set $FC_k(G) = \{x \in G; |x|_k < \infty\}$ is a subgroup and $\gamma_{k+1}(FC_k(G))$ is locally normal.

1. Introduction

Let G be a group. Given $k \geq 1$ and elements $x_1, \dots, x_k \in G$, we write $[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ for the left-normed commutator $[\dots[[x_1, x_2], x_3] \dots, x_k]$. Elements which can be written as $[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ for suitable $x_1, \dots, x_k \in G$ will be called γ_k -values. Of course the subgroup generated by the γ_k -values is the k th term of the lower central series of G , usually denoted by $\gamma_k(G)$. For $a \in G$ write

$$|a|_k = |\{[a, x_1, \dots, x_k]; x_1, \dots, x_k \in G\}|.$$

Thus, $|a|_k$ is the cardinality of the set of γ_{k+1} -values starting with the element a . Note that $|a|_1$ is precisely the cardinality of the conjugacy class a^G . The group G is a BFC-group if there is a number n such that $|x^G| \leq n$ for every $x \in G$. B. H. Neumann proved in [11] that if G is a BFC-group in which $|x^G| \leq n$ for every $x \in G$, then the commutator subgroup G' has finite n -bounded order. Here we will extend the Neumann theorem in the following way.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F12, 20F24.

The author thanks the referee for helpful comments on an earlier version of the paper. This research was supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), and Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Distrito Federal (FAPDF), Brazil.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let n be a positive integer and G a group such that $|x|_k \leq n$ for every $x \in G$. Then $\gamma_{k+1}(G)$ has finite (k, n) -bounded order.*

Throughout the article we use the expression “ $(a, b, \dots)$ -bounded” to mean that a quantity is finite and bounded by a certain number depending only on the parameters $a, b, \dots$.

The next corollary is straightforward.

COROLLARY 1.2. *A group G is finite-by-nilpotent if and only if there are positive integers k and n such that $|x|_k \leq n$ for every $x \in G$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on some new tools that were recently developed within the framework of the probabilistic group theory (see the Section 3 for more details).

Recall that the FC-centre of a group G is the subgroup $FC(G)$ formed by the elements $x \in G$ such that $|x^G| < \infty$. This is a characteristic subgroup of G whose commutator subgroup is locally normal, that is, any finite subset of $FC(G)'$ is contained in a finite normal subgroup [12, 14.5]. For $k \geq 1$ define

$$FC_k(G) = \{x \in G; |x|_k < \infty\}.$$

It turns out that $FC_k(G)$ is a subgroup of G .

THEOREM 1.3. *In any group G the set $FC_k(G)$ is a subgroup and $\gamma_{k+1}(FC_k(G))$ is locally normal.*

We will deduce the above theorem from the following result which may be of independent interest.

THEOREM 1.4. *Assume that G is a group generated by finitely many elements $a_1, \dots, a_r$ such that $|a_i|_k \leq n$ for any $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $\gamma_{k+1}(G)$ has finite (k, n, r) -bounded order.*

Of course, in general an FC-group need not be a BFC-group. For example a direct product of infinitely many non-abelian finite groups is FC but not BFC. On the other hand, Shalev observed in [13] that a profinite FC-group is necessarily BFC. Here we will prove the following related result.

THEOREM 1.5. *Assume that G is a profinite group such that $|x|_k < \infty$ for any $x \in G$. Then $\gamma_{k+1}(G)$ is finite.*

Notation. The notation used in the paper is mostly standard. For a group G and an integer $i \geq 1$ we let X_i denote the set of γ_i -values in G . If $a \in G$, we denote by $X_i(a)$ the set of all commutators of the form

$[a, x_1, \dots, x_i]$. Thus $X_i(a)$ is the set γ_{i+1} -values which can be written as a commutator starting with the element a . Obviously, $|a|_k = |X_k(a)|$.

For elements $a, b \in G$ we write $[b, {}_l a]$ to denote the long commutator $[b, a, \dots, a]$, where a is repeated l times. If N is a subgroup of G , the symbol $[N, a]$ stands for the subgroup generated by the commutators $[x, a]$, where x ranges over N . For $l \geq 2$ define by induction $[N, {}_l a] = [[N, {}_{l-1} a], a]$. Note that if N is an abelian normal subgroup, $[N, {}_l a]$ is precisely the set of commutators $[x, {}_l a]$ where $x \in N$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we collect some helpful facts that will be required in the proofs of the main results. We start with the following well-known criterion for nilpotency of a group, due to P. Hall [7].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let N be a nilpotent normal subgroup of a group G such that N and G/N' are both nilpotent. Then G is nilpotent of class bounded in terms of the classes of N and G/N' .*

The following lemma will be useful.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let G be a group and k, s positive integers.*

- (1) $|\gamma_k(G)| \leq s$ if and only if $|\gamma_k(H)| \leq s$ for any finitely generated subgroup $H \leq G$.
- (2) If G is residually finite, $|\gamma_k(G)| \leq s$ if and only if $|\gamma_k(Q)| \leq s$ for any finite quotient Q of G .

PROOF. This is immediate from [6, Lemma 4.4]. □

LEMMA 2.3. *Let A be a finite group acting on a finite group G such that $(|A|, |G|) = 1$. Then $[G, A, A] = [G, A]$.*

LEMMA 2.4. *Let G be a metabelian group and suppose that $a, b \in G$ are l -Engel elements. If $x \in \langle a, b \rangle$, then x is $(2l + 1)$ -Engel.*

PROOF. Note that both subgroups $G'\langle a \rangle$ and $G'\langle b \rangle$ are normal in G and nilpotent of class at most l . Thus, by Fitting theorem, the subgroup $G'\langle a, b \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most $2l$ and the lemma follows. □

The following observation will be useful.

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose that a is an element of a group G such that $|a|_k \leq n$. Then $[G : C_G(b)] \leq n$ for any $b \in X_{k-1}(a)$. Moreover, $[G : C_G(d)] \leq n^2$ for any $d \in X_k(a)$. Consequently, the index $[G : C_G(X_k(a))]$ is at most n^3 .*

PROOF. The centralizer of every element in $X_{k-1}(a)$ has index at most n in G because the set $[X_{k-1}(a), G]$ has at most n elements. Choose $d \in X_k(a)$. Since d is a product of an element from $X_{k-1}(a)$ and an inverse of a conjugate of such element, it follows that $[G : C_G(d)] \leq n^2$. By hypotheses, $|X_k(a)| \leq n$ and so there are at most n^3 conjugates of elements from $X_k(a)$ in G . $\square$

3. Groups in which conjugacy classes of γ_k -values are of bounded size

The purpose of this section is to establish the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $k \geq 1$ and G be a group in which $|x^G| \leq n$ for any $x \in X_k$. Then G has a nilpotent subgroup of (k, n) -bounded index and (k, n) -bounded class.*

It is unclear whether under the hypotheses of the above theorem G in fact has a nilpotent subgroup of (k, n) -bounded index and k -bounded class. If $k = 1$, by B. H. Neumann's theorem G has a class-two nilpotent normal subgroup of n -bounded index. If $k = 2$, the main result of [6] implies that G has a class-four nilpotent normal subgroup of n -bounded index.

If K is a subgroup of a finite group G , the commuting probability $Pr(K, G)$ of K in G is the probability that a random element of K commutes with a random element of G . Note that if $|x^G| \leq n$ for every $x \in K$, then $Pr(K, G) \geq \frac{1}{n}$. It was shown in [2] (see also the asymmetric Neumann theorem in [6, Theorem 4.3]) that if $Pr(K, G) \geq \epsilon > 0$, then there is a normal subgroup $T \leq G$ and a subgroup $B \leq K$ such that the indices $[G : T]$ and $[K : B]$ and the order of the commutator subgroup $[T, B]$ are ϵ -bounded.

Recall that an element x of a group G is called (left) l -Engel if $[y, {}_l x] = 1$ for every $y \in G$. The group G is said to be l -Engel if so are the elements of G .

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. As was mentioned, the result holds true if $k \leq 2$ so we assume that $k \geq 3$. By [3] the derived group $\gamma_k(G)'$ has n -bounded order. Factoring out $\gamma_k(G)'$ we may assume that $\gamma_k(G)$ is abelian and so G is abelian-by-nilpotent. The classical theorem of Hall [8] states that finitely generated abelian-by-nilpotent groups are residually finite. Thus, by virtue of Lemma 2.2, it is sufficient to prove the result for finite quotients of finitely generated subgroups of G . Therefore without loss of generality we may assume that G is finite and $\gamma_k(G)$ is abelian.

Assume first that G is metabelian. Let N be a maximal abelian normal subgroup containing G' . Set

$$\mathbf{G} = G \times \cdots \times G \quad (k-1 \text{ factors}).$$

If $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_{k-1}) \in \mathbf{G}$, the subgroup $N_{\mathbf{g}} = [N, g_1, \dots, g_{k-1}]$ consists of γ_k -values, that is $N_{\mathbf{g}} \subseteq X_k$. Hence, $Pr(N_{\mathbf{g}}, G) \geq \frac{1}{n}$. By [2] there is a normal subgroup $T_{\mathbf{g}}$ and a subgroup $B_{\mathbf{g}} \leq N_{\mathbf{g}}$ such that the indices $[G : T_{\mathbf{g}}]$ and $[N_{\mathbf{g}} : B_{\mathbf{g}}]$ and the order of $[T_{\mathbf{g}}, B_{\mathbf{g}}]$ are n -bounded. Note that $[T_{\mathbf{g}}, B_{\mathbf{g}}]$ is normal in $T_{\mathbf{g}}$ and so the normal closure $\langle [T_{\mathbf{g}}, B_{\mathbf{g}}]^G \rangle$ has n -bounded order. We emphasize that the bounds here do not depend on the choice of $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$.

The proof now breaks into several cases.

1. The case where G is a metabelian p -group. It follows that there is an n -bounded number e such that $Z_e(G)$ contains $\langle [T_{\mathbf{g}}, B_{\mathbf{g}}]^G \rangle$ for every $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$. Here and throughout $Z_i(H)$ denotes the i th term of the upper central series of a group H . Pass to the quotient $G/Z_e(G)$ and assume that $[T_{\mathbf{g}}, B_{\mathbf{g}}] = 1$ for any $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$. As the index $[N_{\mathbf{g}} : B_{\mathbf{g}}]$ is n -bounded, there are n -boundedly many γ_k -values $x_1, \dots, x_j$ such that $N_{\mathbf{g}} = \langle B_{\mathbf{g}}, x_1, \dots, x_j \rangle$. Set $C_{\mathbf{g}} = C_G(N_{\mathbf{g}})$. Since $C_{\mathbf{g}}$ contains the intersection of $T_{\mathbf{g}}$ and all centralizers $C_G(x_i)$, it follows that the index of $C_{\mathbf{g}}$ is n -bounded. Since G is metabelian, the subgroups $N_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $C_{\mathbf{g}}$ are normal in G .

For $g \in G$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots$ write $N_{i,g}$ for $[N, {}_i g]$ and $C_{i,g}$ for $C_G(N_{i,g})$. Let β_i be the maximal index of $C_{i,g}$, where g ranges over G . It is clear that $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \beta_3 \geq \dots$. Since β_{k-1} is (k, n) -bounded, there is a (k, n) -bounded number u such that $k-1 \leq u$ and $\beta_u = \beta_{2u}$.

Choose $g \in G$ such that $C_{u,g} = C_{2u,g}$ is of index β_u in G . We have $C_{u,g} = C_{j,g}$ for $j = u, u+1, \dots, 2u$. Let $h \in C_{u,g}$. Note that

$$N_{j,g} = [N_{u,g}, {}_{j-u}g] = [N_{u,g}, {}_{j-u}gh].$$

In particular,

$$N_{(2u),g} = [N_{u,g}, {}_u(gh)].$$

This shows that $C_{u,g} = C_{(2u),g}$ contains $C_{u,gh}$. Since β_u is maximal and since h was chosen in $C_{u,g}$ arbitrarily, we are forced to conclude that

$$C_{u,g} = C_{u,gh} \text{ whenever } h \in C_{u,g}.$$

Set $C_{u,g} = D$ and note that D centralizes $N_{u,gh}$ for any $h \in D$.

Obviously, D is a normal subgroup of index β_u in G . Let $\overline{G} = G/Z(D)$. Since $Z(D)$ contains $N_{u,(gh)} = [N, (gh), \dots, (gh)]$, we conclude that $\overline{gh}$ is $(u+k)$ -Engel in $\overline{G}$ for any $h \in D$. We deduce from Lemma 2.4 that D is l -Engel for $l = 2(u+k) + 2$. Let us show that D is nilpotent of (k, n) -bounded class.

Fix $\mathbf{g}$ and let $\bar{D}$ denote the image of D in $G/C_{\mathbf{g}}$. Note that $\bar{D}$ naturally acts on $N_{\mathbf{g}}$ and the semidirect product of $N_{\mathbf{g}}$ by $\bar{D}$ is an l -Engel group having an abelian normal subgroup of n -bounded index. So it is nilpotent of (k, n) -bounded class, say c . This means that $N_{\mathbf{g}} \leq Z_c(D)$ for each $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$. In particular, $[G', g_1, \dots, g_{k-1}] \leq Z_c(D)$ and therefore $\gamma_{k+1}(G) \leq Z_c(D)$. It follows that D is nilpotent of class at most $k + c$.

Thus, in the case where G is a metabelian p -group the result follows.

2. The coprime case. Here we assume that G/N is an abelian p -group while N is a p' -group. Recall that $\langle [T_{\mathbf{g}}, B_{\mathbf{g}}]^G \rangle$ has n -bounded order for any $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$. It follows that $C_G(\langle [T_{\mathbf{g}}, B_{\mathbf{g}}]^G \rangle)$ has n -bounded index in G and so we may assume that $T_{\mathbf{g}}$ centralizes $\langle [T_{\mathbf{g}}, B_{\mathbf{g}}]^G \rangle$. Then, because of Lemma 2.3, $T_{\mathbf{g}}$ centralizes $B_{\mathbf{g}}$. Similarly we may assume that $T_{\mathbf{g}}$ centralizes $N_{\mathbf{g}}/B_{\mathbf{g}}$, whence, again because of Lemma 2.3, $T_{\mathbf{g}}$ centralizes $N_{\mathbf{g}}$. So we simply assume that $B_{\mathbf{g}} = N_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $T_{\mathbf{g}} = C_G(N_{\mathbf{g}})$. Note that if $g \in G$ and $\mathbf{g} = (g, \dots, g) \in \mathbf{G}$, then $N_{\mathbf{g}} = [N, g]$ so in what follows we write N_g in place of $N_{\mathbf{g}}$ and T_g in place of $T_{\mathbf{g}}$.

Choose $g \in G$ such that the index $[G : T_g]$ is maximal. Let $h \in T_g$. Because of Lemma 2.3 we have

$$N_g = [N_g, g] = [N_g, gh] \leq N_{gh}.$$

It follows that $T_{gh} \leq T_g$ for any $h \in T_g$. Since $[G : T_g]$ is maximal, deduce that $T_{gh} = T_g$ for any $h \in T_g$. So T_g centralizes both N_g and N_{gh} . It is easy to see that $N_h \leq N_g N_{gh}$, so T_g centralizes N_h . This holds for every $h \in T_g$ and so $[N, T_g, T_g] = 1$. In view of Lemma 2.3 deduce that $[N, T_g] = 1$. Therefore T_g is abelian and this proves the theorem in the coprime metabelian case.

3. The general metabelian case. Let $e = n!$. Then G^e centralizes $\gamma_k(G)$ and so is nilpotent of class at most k . For each prime $p \leq n$ let G_p be the preimage in G of the Sylow p -subgroup of G/N . For $p > n$, the Sylow p -subgroups of G are contained in G^e . Hence $G = G^e \prod_{p \leq n} G_p$. Therefore by Fitting's theorem it suffices to prove the result for $G = G_p$. Thus we may assume that G/N is a p -group. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then $G = NP$. By the result on p -groups, we can replace P with a subgroup of bounded index and bounded class, so without loss of generality P has (k, n) -bounded class c say. It follows that $[P \cap N, {}_c G] = 1$ and so $P \cap N \leq Z_c(G)$. Factoring out $Z_c(G)$, we may thus assume that N is a p' -group. By the result in the coprime case we are done.

The general case. We now drop the assumption that G is metabelian. Since the derived length of G is at most $k + 1$, we can use the induction on the derived length. By induction, G' has a nilpotent subgroup A

of (k, n) -bounded index and (k, n) -bounded class. In view of [10] we can assume that A is characteristic in G' and therefore normal in G . Applying Theorem 2.1 we can assume that A is abelian.

If $A = G'$, then G is metabelian and the result holds. We therefore assume that $A < G'$. Further, replacing G by $C_G(G'/A)$ we have that G/A is nilpotent of class at most 2. Fix a set X of size at most $|G'/A|$ such that G' is generated by A and X . Set

$$\mathbf{X} = X \times \cdots \times X \quad (k-1 \text{ factors}).$$

If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \mathbf{X}$, the subgroup $A_{\mathbf{x}} = [A, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}]$ consists of γ_k -values, that is $A_{\mathbf{x}} \subseteq X_k$. Hence, $Pr(A_{\mathbf{x}}, G) \geq \frac{1}{n}$. By [2] there is a normal subgroup $T_{\mathbf{x}}$ and a subgroup $B_{\mathbf{x}} \leq A_{\mathbf{g}}$ such that the indices $[G : T_{\mathbf{x}}]$ and $[A_{\mathbf{x}} : B_{\mathbf{x}}]$ and the order of $[T_{\mathbf{x}}, B_{\mathbf{x}}]$ are n -bounded. Note that the subgroup $A_{\mathbf{x}}$ is normal in G . We therefore can choose $B_{\mathbf{x}}$ normal in G . Let $H_{\mathbf{x}}$ denote the stabilizer of the series

$$1 \leq [T_{\mathbf{x}}, B_{\mathbf{x}}] \leq B_{\mathbf{x}} \leq A_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

The subgroup $H_{\mathbf{x}}$ contains the intersection of

$$C_G([T_{\mathbf{x}}, B_{\mathbf{x}}]) \cap T_{\mathbf{x}} \cap C_G(A_{\mathbf{x}}/B_{\mathbf{x}}).$$

We therefore deduce that $H_{\mathbf{x}}$ has (k, n) -bounded index in G . Let

$$H = \cap_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} H_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Since $|\mathbf{X}| \leq |G'/A|^{k-1}$, observe that the index of H in G is (k, n) -bounded. Therefore it is sufficient to show that H has a nilpotent subgroup of (k, n) -bounded index and (k, n) -bounded class. Note that $A_{\mathbf{x}} \leq Z_3(H)$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows that H' is nilpotent of class at most $k+3$. An application of Hall's Theorem 2.1 reduces the problem to the case where H' is abelian. We already know that for metabelian groups the theorem holds. This completes the proof. $\square$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let G be a group satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. We need to show that the order of $\gamma_{k+1}(G)$ is bounded in terms of k and n only. It is immediate from Lemma 2.5 that $|x^G| \leq n$ for any γ_k -value $x \in X_k$. By [3] the derived group $\gamma_k(G)'$ has n -bounded order. Factoring out $\gamma_k(G)'$ we may assume that $\gamma_k(G)$ is abelian and so G is abelian-by-nilpotent. The combination of Hall's theorem [8] with Lemma 2.2 enables us to assume that G is finite.

Now we wish to show that the nilpotent residual $M = \gamma_{\infty}(G)$ has (k, n) -bounded order. Evidently, it is sufficient to show that the orders of the Sylow p -subgroups P of M are uniformly (k, n) -bounded. Note that since G is metanilpotent, $P = [P, H]$ where H is a Hall p' -subgroup

of G (see for example [1, Lemma 2.4]). Denote by $F = F(G)$ the Fitting subgroup of G . According to Theorem 3.1 the index $[G : F]$ is (k, n) -bounded so we will use induction on $[G : F]$. If $[G : F] = 1$, then G is nilpotent and $P = 1$. We therefore assume that $F \neq G$. Since G is soluble, there is a normal subgroup $T < G$ such that $F \leq T$ and the index $[G : T] = q$ is a prime. As the index of F in T is strictly smaller than in G , by induction the order of $\gamma_\infty(T)$ is (k, n) -bounded. Passing to the quotient $G/\gamma_\infty(T)$ we can assume that $\gamma_\infty(T) = 1$ and so $F = T$ has prime index q in G . Remark that under our assumptions necessarily $p \neq q$. Choose a q -element $u \in G$ such that $G = F\langle u \rangle$. Note that

$$P = [P, u] = [P, {}_k u].$$

Since P is abelian, for every $g \in P$ we have $[g, u] = [u, g^{-1}]$. Deduce that $[P, {}_k u] = [u, P, {}_{k-1} u] \leq X_k(u)$. This shows that the order of P is at most n . So indeed M has (k, n) -bounded order. Passing to the quotient G/M without loss of generality assume that G is nilpotent.

Our next goal is to show that the nilpotency class of G is (k, n) -bounded. By Theorem 3.1 G has a nilpotent normal subgroup L of (k, n) -bounded index and (k, n) -bounded class. If $G = L$ we have nothing to prove and so we assume that L is a proper subgroup of G . Arguing by induction on the index $[G : L]$ we assume that any proper normal subgroup B such that $L \leq B < G$ is nilpotent of (k, n) -bounded class. Therefore without loss of generality we can assume that L has prime index.

By virtue of Hall's Theorem 2.1 we can assume that L is abelian. Let $g \in G \setminus L$ so that $G = L\langle g \rangle$. Choose $l \in L$. Taking into account that L is an abelian normal subgroup write

$$[g, {}_k g l] = [g, l, {}_{k-1} g] = [l^{-1}, {}_k g].$$

The set K of all commutators $[l^{-1}, {}_k g]$, where l ranges over L , is a subgroup. By hypothesis there are at most n elements of the form $[g, {}_k g l]$ so we conclude that the order of the subgroup K is at most n . Factoring out K we may assume that $[L, {}_k g] = 1$, in which case G is nilpotent of class at most k . Hence, we have proved that G has (k, n) -bounded class.

It remains to show that $\gamma_{k+1}(G)$ has (k, m) -bounded order. We will use induction on the nilpotency class of G . Thus, by induction, $\gamma_{k+1}(G/Z(G))$ has (k, m) -bounded order. By [5, Theorem B] and the remark in the end of [5] deduce that $\gamma_{k+2}(G)$ has (k, m) -bounded order. We factor out $\gamma_{k+2}(G)$ and without loss of generality assume that $\gamma_{k+1}(G)$ is in the centre of G . For $a \in G$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$ let $Y_i(a)$ denote the set of elements of the form $[a, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1-i}]$, where at least

one of the elements $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1-i}$ belongs to X_i . Note that $Y_1(a)$ is precisely the set $X_k(a)$. By hypothesis, $|Y_1(a)| \leq n$ for any $a \in G$.

We claim that for any $i \leq k$ and $a \in G$ the size of the set $Y_i(a)$ is at most $n^{2^{i-1}}$.

This will be established by induction on i . Since the claim holds for $i = 1$, assume that $i \geq 2$. Choose $[a, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1-i}] \in Y_i(a)$. At least one of the elements $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1-i}$ lies in X_i . For the sake of clarity without loss of generality assume that $x_{k+1-i} \in X_i$ and write $x_{k+1-i} = [y_1, y_2]$ for suitable $y_1 \in X_{i-1}$ and $y_2 \in G$. Set $x = [a, x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}]$. We have

$$[a, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1-i}] = [x, x_{k+1-i}] = [x, [y_1, y_2]].$$

Taking into account that $\gamma_{k+1}(G) \leq Z(G)$ we obtain

$$[x, [y_1, y_2]] = [x, y_1, y_2][y_2, x, y_1] = [x, y_1, y_2][x, y_2^{-1}, y_1].$$

Observe that both factors $[x, y_1, y_2]$ and $[x, y_2^{-1}, y_1]$ belong to $Y_{i-1}(a)$, which by induction is of size at most $n^{2^{i-2}}$. Therefore $[a, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1-i}]$ can take at most $n^{2^{i-1}}$ values, as claimed.

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. The fact that $|Y_k(a)| \leq n^{2^{k-1}}$ means that $|a^{X_k}| \leq n^{2^{k-1}}$ for any $a \in G$. According to [4, Corollary 1.3] this implies that $\gamma_{k+1}(G)$ has finite (k, n) -bounded order, as required. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5. FC_k -groups

Here we will prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. We will start with some general observations concerning elements in $FC_k(G)$. The next lemma shows that $FC_k(G)$ is a subgroup.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let G be a group and assume that $a, b \in FC_k(G)$. Then $|a^{-1}|_k = |a|_k$ and $|ab|_k \leq |a|_k^3 |b|_k$.*

PROOF. For any $x \in G$ we have $[a^{-1}, x] = ([a, x]^{-1})^{a^{-1}}$. A straightforward induction on k shows that for any $x_1, \dots, x_k$ there are elements $t_1, \dots, t_k \in G$ such that

$$[a^{-1}, x_1, \dots, x_k] = [a, t_1, \dots, t_k]^{-1},$$

whence we deduce that $X_k(a^{-1}) = X_k(a)^{-1}$ and so $|a^{-1}|_k = |a|_k$.

To prove the other statement, choose $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$. We have $[ab, g_1] = [a, g_1]^b [b, g_1]$. By induction on k one easily proves that there are elements $a_1 \in a^G$ and $u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_k$ such that

$$[ab, g_1, \dots, g_k] = [a_1, u_1, \dots, u_k][b, v_1, \dots, v_k].$$

Suppose that $|a|_k \leq n$. Lemma 2.5 shows that in the above equality the factor $[a_1, u_1, \dots, u_k]$ can take at most n^3 values while by hypotheses the factor $[b, v_1, \dots, v_k]$ can take at most $|b|_k$ values, whence the result. $\square$

LEMMA 5.2. *Let G be a group generated by a set S . Then $\gamma_{k+1}(G)$ is generated by the conjugates of $X_k(g)$, where g ranges over S .*

PROOF. Let $N = \langle X_k(g)^G | g \in S \rangle$. Obviously $N \leq \gamma_{k+1}(G)$. Moreover, the image of any $g \in S$ in G/N belongs to $Z_k(G/N)$. It follows that G/N is nilpotent of class at most k and so $\gamma_{k+1}(G) \leq N$. $\square$

LEMMA 5.3. *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4 and let N be an abelian normal subgroup of G . Then $[N, {}_k G]$ has finite (k, n, r) -bounded order.*

PROOF. If $k = 1$, then G is generated by r elements whose centralizers have index at most n . It follows that the centre of G has index at most n^r and so, by Schur's theorem [12, Theorem 10.1.4], G' has finite (n, r) -bounded order.

We therefore assume that $k \geq 2$. Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ and $\mathbf{A} = A \times A \times \dots \times A$, where A occurs k times. For $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \in \mathbf{A}$ let $N_{\mathbf{b}}$ stand for $[N, b_1, \dots, b_k]$. Note that since N is abelian, $N_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a subgroup. If $g \in N$, note that $[g, b_1] = [b_1, g^{-1}]$. Therefore

$$N_{\mathbf{b}} = [b_1, N, b_2, \dots, b_k] \leq X_k(b_1).$$

We conclude that $N_{\mathbf{b}}$ is finite of order at most n . Let N_0 be the product of all conjugates of $N_{\mathbf{b}}$, where $\mathbf{b}$ ranges over $\mathbf{A}$. The above observations combined with Lemma 2.5 imply that N_0 is finite and has (k, n, r) -bounded order. Obviously, $N/N_0 \leq Z_k(G/N_0)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4. Let $N = \gamma_{k+1}(G)$. Lemma 5.2 shows that N is generated by conjugates of the set $\cup_i X_k(a_i)$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $C_G(N)$ has finite (k, n, r) -bounded index in G . In particular, the index $[N : Z(N)]$ is (k, n, r) -bounded and so by Schur's theorem N' has finite (k, n, r) -bounded order. We pass to the quotient G/N' and assume that N is abelian. Now Lemma 5.3 tells us that $[N, {}_k G]$ has finite (k, n, r) -bounded order. Pass to the quotient $G/[N, {}_k G]$ and assume that $[N, {}_k G] = 1$. Thus, G is nilpotent of class at most $2k$. Arguing by induction on the class of G assume that the image of N in $G/Z(G)$ has finite (k, n, r) -bounded order. By [5] $\gamma_{k+2}(G)$ has finite (k, n, r) -bounded order so we can factor out $\gamma_{k+2}(G)$ and assume that $N \leq Z(G)$. Since N is generated by the set $\cup_i X_k(a_i)$, which is of bounded size, it is sufficient to show that any element of $X_k(a_i)$ has

order at most n . Thus, choose $a \in \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$. Keeping in mind that G is nilpotent of class $k+1$ for any $i \geq 1$ write

$$[a, g_1, \dots, g_k]^i = [a, g_1, \dots, g_k^i] \in X_k(a).$$

This shows that $X_k(a)$ is closed under taking powers of its elements. We conclude that any element in $X_k(a)$ has order at most n . The theorem follows. $\square$

We now deal with Theorem 1.3.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. Let G be a group and set $T = FC_k(G)$. It is immediate from Lemma 5.1 that T is a subgroup. To show that $\gamma_{k+1}(T)$ is locally normal, choose a finite subset $E \subseteq \gamma_{k+1}(T)$. There are finitely many elements $a_1, \dots, a_r \in T$ such that $E \subseteq \gamma_{k+1}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle)$. Set $A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$. Theorem 1.4 says that $\gamma_{k+1}(A)$ is finite. Moreover the combination of Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 2.5 shows that $\gamma_{k+1}(A)$ is generated by elements whose centralizers have finite index in G . We deduce that $\gamma_{k+1}(A)$ is contained in a finite normal subgroup of G . The result follows. $\square$

Finally we furnish a proof of Theorem 1.5.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5. By hypotheses, G is a profinite FC_k -group. For every positive integer i set

$$S_i = \{x \in G; |x|_k \leq i\}.$$

The sets S_i are closed and their union is the whole group G . Therefore, by Baire category theorem [9, Theorem 34] at least one of these sets has non-empty interior. It follows that there is a positive integer j , an element $a \in G$, and an open normal subgroup $N \leq G$ such that the coset Na is contained in S_j . Since every element of N can be written as a product xy^{-1} , where $x, y \in Na$, Lemma 5.1 shows that $|g|_k \leq j^4$ for all $g \in N$. Choose a transversal $a_1, \dots, a_r$ of N in G , and let m be the maximum of $|a_1|_k, \dots, |a_r|_k$. Note that every element of G can be written as a product bg , where $b \in \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ and $g \in N$. Now Lemma 5.1 shows that $|x|_k \leq j^4 m^3$ for any $x \in G$. Hence, by Theorem 1.1, $\gamma_{k+1}(G)$ has finite order. $\square$

References

- [1] C. Acciarri, A. Thillaisundaram, P. Shumyatsky, Conciseness of coprime commutators in finite groups, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **89** (2014), 252-258.
- [2] E. Detomi, P. Shumyatsky, On the commuting probability for subgroups of a finite group, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics*, 1-14. doi:10.1017/prm.2021.68.

- [3] E. Detomi, M. Morigi, P. Shumyatsky, BFC theorems for higher commutator subgroups, *Quarterly J. Math.* **70** (2019), 849–858.
- [4] E. Detomi, G. Donadze, M. Morigi, P. Shumyatsky, On finite-by-nilpotent groups, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **63** (2021), 54–58.
- [5] A. Fernández-Alcober and M. Morigi, Generalizing a theorem of P. Hall on finite-by-nilpotent groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), no. 2, 425–429.
- [6] S. Eberhard and P. Shumyatsky, Probabilistically nilpotent groups of class two, preprint arXiv. 2108.02021.
- [7] P. Hall, Some sufficient conditions for a group to be nilpotent, *Illinois J. Math.*, **2** (1958), 787–801.
- [8] P. Hall, On the finiteness of certain soluble groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **9** (1959), 595–622.
- [9] J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 27, Springer, New York, 1975.
- [10] E. I. Khukhro and N. Yu. Makarenko, Large characteristic subgroups satisfying multilinear commutator identities, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **75** (2007), no. 3, 635–646.
- [11] B. H. Neumann, Groups covered by permutable subsets, *J. London Math. Soc.* (3) **29** (1954), 236–248.
- [12] D. J. S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 80. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [13] A. Shalev, Profinite groups with restricted centralizers. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122** (1994), 1279–1284.

PAVEL SHUMYATSKY: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRASILIA,
BRASILIA-DF, 70910-900 BRAZIL
Email address: `pavel@unb.br`