Axial-Current Anomaly in Euler Fluid
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We argue that a close analog of the axial-current anomaly of quantum field theories with fermions occurs in the classical Euler fluid. The conservation of the axial current (closely related to the helicity of inviscid barotropic flow) is anomalously broken by the external electromagnetic field as $\partial_\mu j^\mu_A = 2 \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ similar to that of the axial current of a quantum field theory with Dirac fermions such as QED.

Introduction. Axial-current anomaly of QED asserts that while the electric (vector) current of Dirac fermions $j^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$ is conserved, the axial current $j^\mu_A = \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \psi$ is not

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0, \quad \partial_\mu j^\mu_A = k \star \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{F}, \quad (1)$$

where $\star \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F_{\lambda\rho}$ is the dual electromagnetic tensor. The constant $k$ is integer valued when electromagnetic tensor $F$ is measured in units of the magnetic flux quantum $\Phi_0 = h c / e$. In QED it is $k = 2$, the number of Weyl fermions in the Dirac multiplet. In terms of electric and magnetic field the anomaly (2) reads

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu_A = k \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}. \quad (3)$$

The term anomaly emphasizes that while simultaneous transformation $\psi_{L,R} \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \psi_{L,R}$ of left and right components of the Dirac multiplet by virtue of the Noether theorem yields the conservation of the electric charge $Q = \int j^0 dx$, the axial transformation

$$\psi_{L,R} \rightarrow e^{\pm i \alpha} \psi_{L,R} \quad (4)$$

does not warrant the conservation of the chirality $Q_A = \int j_A^0 dx$ even though it leaves the classical Dirac equation unchanged. It follows from (2) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} Q_A = 2 \int \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} dx. \quad (5)$$

Obtained in 1969 by Adler [1] in QED and Bell and Jackiw [2] in the linear $\sigma$-model the axial-current anomaly (or PCAC, partial conservation of axial current) is a fundamental non-perturbative result in gauge field theories which goes well beyond QED, proven experimentally at different scales of high energy. The most recent advances are taken place in heavy-ion collision [3], the subject which initiated a search for anomalies in relativistic hydrodynamics [4] (see, also [5]). Anomalies also have important applications in quantum fluids, the most notably in the superfluid $He^3$ [6].

Main Results. In this paper we show that axial-current anomaly is also a property of a classical Euler’s hydrodynamics of the ordinary inviscid barotropic charged fluid. Such fluid is described by the Euler equations with Lorentz force

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}} + \nabla (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \rho \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mu = \varepsilon \mathbf{E} + (\rho/c) \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}, \quad (7)$$

where $\mu$, a function of the density $\rho$, is the chemical potential related to pressure as $dp = d\rho \mu$.

Like QED, the barotropic fluid possesses two locally conserved charges. One is electric charge (the mass in units of $e$) $Q = \int \rho d\mathbf{x}$. Its current, a 4-vector $j^\mu = (\rho, \rho \mathbf{v})$ is manifestly divergence-free as it is stated by the continuity equation (6) and expressed by (1).

The axial charge is the fluid helicity defined in [7] as

$$\mathcal{H} = (1/\Gamma^2) \int \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (8)$$

It is conserved in the absence of external fields. Here $\Gamma$ is a normalization scale of the dimension of the velocity circulation converting helicity to a dimensionless number.

Even though we deal with classical flows, it is convenient to normalize helicity by the Onsager circulation quantum $\Gamma = h/m$ dependent on the Planck constant $h$. In these units, helicity is an even integer, as in the case of a superfluid. In the classical fluid, this number is very large but is still even integer. We adopt the units where $\hbar, e, c = 1$, but keep $m$ to distinguish between the fluid momentum and the velocity. That distinction has a profound physical significance in the presence of external fields; when the difference between momentum and velocity becomes important, the helicity is defined through the density of canonical momentum

$$\pi = m \mathbf{v} + A \quad (9)$$
as
\[ \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int \pi \cdot (\nabla \times \pi) dx, \]  
(10)
where \( A \) is the electromagnetic vector potential.

We comment that while the fluid momentum \( \mathcal{H} \) is defined up to a gradient of a function, the helicity \( \mathcal{H} \) is uniquely defined for appropriate boundary conditions (e.g., a closed manifold).

With or without external field helicity defined by \( \mathcal{H} \) is expressed through velocity by the solution of the Eqs. (13,15) demonstrate. Similarly, the axial anomaly in hydrodynamics in higher odd spatial dimensions as \( \omega \) is uniquely defined for appropriate boundary conditions (e.g., a closed manifold).

We argue that the axial charge and its density should be identified with
\[ Q_A = \int \rho_A dx, \]  
(12)
\[ \rho_A = m v \cdot (\omega + 2B), \quad \omega = \nabla \times (mv), \]  
(13)
where \( \omega \) (defined as a curl of the fluid momentum) is the vorticity of the fluid. We refer to \( Q_A \) as a fluid chirality bringing the terminology closer to that of QED. Chirality is the sum of the fluid helicity (the first term in \( \mathcal{H} \)) and twice the cross-helicity (the second term).

The factor 2 in \( \mathcal{H} \) eventually converts to the coefficient \( k = 2 \) in the anomaly equation \( \mathcal{H} \). It is itself a topological number \( \mathcal{H} \). Later we discuss the relationship between the anomaly and linking numbers and briefly touch on the topological interpretation of this factor (see \( \mathcal{H} \)).

We will show that the chirality \( \mathcal{H} \) obeys the anomaly equation \( \mathcal{H} \), while the chirality density \( \mathcal{H} \) obeys the local anomaly equation \( \mathcal{H} \)
\[ \dot{\rho}_A + \nabla \cdot j_A = 2E \cdot B, \]  
(14)
where the chirality flux \( j_A \) (defined modulo a curl) is explicitly given by
\[ j_A = \rho_A v + (\omega + 2B) \left( \mu - \frac{mv^2}{2} \right) - mv \times (E + v \times B). \]  
(15)

If the flow is incompressible (\( \nabla \cdot v = 0 \)) then \( \mu \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) is expressed through velocity by the solution of the equation \( \nabla^2 \mu = \nabla \cdot [E + v \times B - (v \cdot \nabla)mv] \).

Later we will clarify the geometric meaning of the cumbersome looking formula \( \mathcal{H} \).

Eqs. \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) could be considered as a realization of the anomaly equations \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) by hydrodynamics similar to the Wess-Zumino non-linear \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \)-model \( \mathcal{H} \). Also, the ‘bosonization’ of Dirac fermions in one spatial dimension could be seen as a precursor of an axial current anomaly in hydrodynamics in higher odd spatial dimensions as Eqs. \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) demonstrate. Similarly, the axial anomaly appears in non-inertial reference frames. For example, in the case of rotating fluid subject to a potential force, say, gravity, one replaces the magnetic field with the frequency of rotation in the equations above.

There is a simple physical picture behind these formulas. Consider a fluid in electric and magnetic fields in a local reference frame moving and rotating with the fluid. In this frame the chirality density \( \rho_A \) is locally approximated by \( \rho_A = 2mv \cdot B \) and the chirality flux \( j_A \) is divergent free. Electric field accelerates the fluid \( mv = E \). Hence \( \rho_A = 2(E \cdot B) \) as in \( \mathcal{H} \). Going back to the laboratory frame the magnetic field transforms \( B \rightarrow B + \omega/2 \) (Larmor precession) and the formula for chirality transformations as \( \rho_A = 2mv \cdot B \rightarrow mv \cdot (\omega + 2B) \).

At the same time, \( \rho_A = 2(E \cdot B) \) being an invariant undergoes no change. This yields the formulas \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) and \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \). The term \( 2B\mu \) in \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) and the extension \( 2B\mu \rightarrow (\omega + 2B)\mu \) is reminiscent the chiral magnetic effect \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) and the chiral vortical effect of Ref. \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \), although in these papers the term \( (\omega + 2B) \) appeared in the vector current, not in the axial current as in \( \mathcal{H} \).

To elucidate the global aspect of the axial anomaly in hydrodynamics we assume that the vorticity and magnetic field are approximated by vortex and magnetic filaments having the same circulation \( \Gamma \) and the same magnetic flux \( \Phi \), respectively, as if the fluid were a superfluid (our calculations do not rely on the assumptions of discreteness but are more of a kinematic nature). The Helmholtz law warrants that once created, vortex lines and their bundles can not be destroyed. If vortices and magnetic lines are discrete then in units \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) and \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) the fluid helicity \( \int (v \cdot \omega) dx \) \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \), the magnetic helicity \( \int (A \cdot B) dx \) \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \), the cross-helicity \( \int (v \cdot B) dx \) \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \), are topological invariants. In the respective order they are twice the linkages between vortex lines \( 2\text{Link}[(\omega)] \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \), magnetic flux lines \( 2\text{Link}[B] \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \), and the cross-helicity is the cross-linkage between vortex and magnetic lines \( \text{Link}[\omega, B] \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \). Hence, the chirality \( Q_A \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) and helicity \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) are even integers written as sums of the linkages
\[ Q_A = 2\text{Link}[\omega] + 2\text{Link}[\omega, B], \]  
(16)
\[ \mathcal{H} = 2\text{Link}[\omega] + 2\text{Link}[\omega, B] + 2\text{Link}[B]. \]  
(17)

Then, the relation between chirality and helicity is
\[ Q_A = \mathcal{H} - 2\text{Link}[B]. \]  
(18)

While the individual linkages in the RHS of \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) may change in the course of the flow, the total helicity \( \mathcal{H} \) does not (see \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \)). Then the time derivative of \( \mathcal{H} \) gives the anomaly equation \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \). If we treat the chirality \( Q_A \) as a difference between the number of left and right moving fermions as in QED, we may say that an extra link to magnetic lines in accord to \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) changes the chirality by 2, by flipping the chirality of a fermion from right to left, and according to \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) changes the sum of linkages of the vortex lines and the cross-linkage by 1.
As an example of the linkage changing evolution, consider an instantaneous process of formation of a closed magnetic filament with magnetic flux $\Phi_0$. A fast change of magnetic field triggers a strong electric field that spins the fluid around the filament. As a result, the vorticity loop is formed along the magnetic filament. The magnetic field and vorticity thus created satisfy $\nabla \times \pi = \omega + B = 0$. The magnetic and vortical linkages are changed in that process while the total helicity density $\pi$ (19) and (13,14,15) there are deeper symmetry and relativistic plasmas has been discussed in [12].

Combining we obtain the equation identical to (18) and (15) with the helicity flux of the form equivalent to (16).

We emphasize that we do not discuss magnetohydrodynamics in this work. The formulas (11) and (14) have been derived when the electromagnetic field is treated as an external having no feedback from the charged fluid. In as an external having no feedback from the charged fluid, it is addition, nor influence the fluid through Ohm’s law. In the center of the fluid loop is formed along the magnetic filament. The magnetic field and vorticity thus created satisfy $\nabla \times \pi = \omega + B = 0$. The magnetic and vortical linkages are changed in that process while the total helicity density $\pi$ (19) and (13,14,15) there are deeper symmetry and relativistic plasmas has been discussed in [12].

Vorticity transport and helicity conservation. We will use the 4-dimensional space-time formalism. The formalism is standard in relativistic hydrodynamics but is not common in studies of non-relativistic flows. Still, we find that it is the most compact way to expose the geometric nature of fundamental laws of the Euler flow: Helmholtz law for advection of vorticity and the conservation of helicity with or without external fields and in non-relativistic or relativistic hydrodynamics alike. A reason is that these laws are expressed in terms of differential forms and, therefore, are not sensitive to the space-time metric.

\[
\partial_t (m v B) + \nabla \left[ v (m v \cdot B) + B \left( \mu - \frac{m v^2}{2} \right) - m v \times (E + v \times B) \right] + \omega (E + v \times B) = E B, \]

\[
\partial_t (v \omega) + \nabla \left[ v (m v \cdot \omega) + \omega \left( \mu - \frac{m v^2}{2} \right) + m v \times (E + v \times B) \right] - 2 \omega (E + v \times B) = 0. \quad (19)
\]

We start by writing the Euler equation (18) in terms of the fluid momentum

\[ \rho (\pi - \nabla \pi_0) - \rho v \times (\nabla \times \pi) = 0. \quad (20) \]

Here $\pi_0$ denotes the Bernoulli function

\[ \pi_0 = \Phi + A_0, \quad -\Phi = \mu + \frac{1}{2} m v^2, \quad (21) \]

where $A_0$ is the electrostatic potential.

Next we recognize the mass 4-current $j^\mu = (\rho, pv^i)$ as a 4-vector field and the 4-momentum $\pi_\mu = (\pi_0, \pi_i)$ as a covector field. In these terms the continuity equation has the form (1) and the Euler equation (2) appears in a remarkably compact form. It follows from (20)

\[ j^\mu \Omega_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (22) \]

where

\[ \Omega_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \pi_\nu - \partial_\nu \pi_\mu \quad (23) \]

the 4-vorticity antisymmetric tensor extended by electromagnetic field (also referred as canonical symplectic form or Khalatnikov canonical vorticity tensor see, e.g., [14, 15] and references therein).

Euler equations in various forms (20, 22, 24) are all equivalent. The advantage of the form (22) is that it is insensitive to the space-time structure. For example, it stays the same regardless of whether the space-time is Galilean or Minkowski. The information of the space-time structure is delegated to the relation between the fluid momentum $\pi$ and the vector-current $j$. For the Galilean barotropic fluid these relations are (9, 21). In the relativistic context, the Euler equation in the form (22) is known as the Carter-Lichnerowicz equation (see 18 for an excellent review of the subject). Eq. (22) is the basis of geometric interpretation of the Euler flow.
It states that the vorticity vector field spans a bundle of integral two-dimensional surfaces normal to the space-time flow and that these surfaces form a foliation of the space-time. The spatial components of the 4-vorticity are:

\[ \Omega_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ijk}(\omega^k + B^k). \]  

(24)

The Euler equation connects the space-time component \( \Omega_{0i} = \pi_i - \partial_i\pi_0 \) to the spatial components by

\[ \Omega_{0i} = \nu^j\Omega_{ji}. \]  

(25)

This relation states that 4-vorticity, similar to the density, is advected by the flow (the Helmholtz law): vorticity vanishes along the flow with the flow. Equivalently, it implies that the Lie derivative of vorticity vanishes along the flow with the mass current \( j^\mu \).

It is customary to present these equations in terms of differential forms. We assemble the 4-momentum 1-form

\[ \pi = \pi_\mu dx^\mu \]  

and 4-vorticity 2-form \( \Omega = \Omega_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \), a closed 2-form equal to the exterior derivative of the momentum

\[ \Omega = d\pi, \quad d\Omega = 0. \]  

(26)

The Euler equation in the form of Carter-Lichnerowitz

\[ \tag{22} \]  

is the statement that the 1-form obtained by the interior product between the current vector field and vorticity vanishes

\[ i_j \Omega = 0. \]  

(27)

Now we turn to helicity. In the 4-dimensional formalism helicity is the 3-form

\[ h = \pi \wedge d\pi = \pi \wedge \Omega. \]  

(28)

The components of \( h \) are the helicity density \( h_0 \) and the flux \( h \). They read

\[ h_0 = \pi \cdot (\nabla \times \pi), \]

\[ h = \pi \times (\pi - \nabla \pi_0) - \pi_0 (\nabla \times \pi) = h_0 \nu - (\nabla \times \pi)(\pi \cdot \nu + \pi_0). \]  

(29)

We note that counter to vorticity, helicity is not frozen into the flow as \( h \neq h_0 \nu \).

We would like to show that the helicity 3-form \( h \) is closed

\[ dh = \Omega \wedge \Omega = 0. \]  

(30)

Eq. (30) amounts to the conservation of helicity \( \tag{11} \)

\[ \dot{h}_0 + \nabla h = 0. \]  

(31)

One can check (31) either by elementary algebra with the help of the Euler equation or apply the following arguments. In four dimensions, \( \Omega \wedge \Omega = d\pi \wedge d\pi \) is a 4-form, hence it is proportional to the 4-volume form. On the other hand, it follows from (27) that \( i_j(\Omega \wedge \Omega) = 0 \). Assuming that the fluid density does not vanish and, therefore, \( j \neq 0 \), we conclude that the proportionality coefficient between \( \Omega \wedge \Omega \) and the volume form is zero. This implies the conservation of helicity in the form \( \tag{30} \)

\[ \ ]

To have the same argument in component notations we use the identity

\[ \epsilon^{\lambda\nu\rho\gamma} \partial_\lambda \pi_\rho \partial_\mu \pi_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu \epsilon^{\lambda\nu\rho\gamma} \partial_\lambda \pi_\rho \partial_\nu \pi_\tau. \]  

(32)

The RHS of the identity is \( (\Omega \wedge \Omega)\delta^\mu_\nu \). If we contract one free index, say \( \mu \), of the LHS with the current \( j^\nu \), then the equation (22) prompts that the contraction vanishes and we get \( 0 = j^\alpha(\Omega \wedge \Omega) \), hence (30).

We comment that the derivation of the helicity conservation does not utilize the relation between the current \( j \) and the momentum \( \pi \). It relies on the Eq. (22) or (27) which states the geometric property of the flow: surfaces spanned by the vorticity vector field orthogonal to the current form a foliation of the space time.

Axial anomaly. When we invoke the relation between the current and the momentum \( \tag{9} \) we encounter a caveat common to gauge theories. The canonical momentum \( \pi \), and, therefore, helicity 3-form \( h \) are local in terms of the gauge potential but cannot be locally expressed through \( E \) and \( B \). At the same time without electromagnetic field the helicity form \( h \) is a local functional of the Eulerian fields

\[ h_0 = m v \cdot \omega, \quad h = h_0 \nu - \omega (mv^2 + \Phi). \]  

(33)

[This form of helicity are equivalent to the chirality \( h \) up to exact form and identical to \( [13,15] \)].

It is desirable to extend the formulas (33) for non-vanishing gauge potential in such a manner that they remain local in terms of electric and magnetic fields. The 4-dimensional formalism yields the result in few lines. In this framework the 4-chirality is the 3-form

\[ j_A = (\pi - A) \wedge (d\pi + dA), \]  

(34)

where \( A = A_\mu dx^\mu \) is the gauge potential 1-form. Components of the chirality form are defined by \( [13,15] \). More precisely, (34) yields the expression for the flux

\[ j_A = m v \times (mv \omega - \Phi - E) - \Phi (\omega + 2B), \]  

(35)

which under substitution \( \dot{v} \) from the Euler equation appears in the form which differs from \( [15] \) by a curl.

Helicity and chirality are related by the identity

\[ \pi \wedge d\pi = j_A + d(A\pi) - A \wedge dA. \]  

(36)

We take the exterior derivative of both parts of (36) and recall that the helicity is a closed form (30). We obtain the axial anomaly \( [2] \) announced in the introduction in
terms of the chirality 3-form and the electromagnetic 2-form \( F = dA = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu \nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \),
\[
d j_A = F \wedge F . \tag{37}
\]

This simple procedure explains the origin of the anomaly in the context of hydrodynamics: helicity \( H \) is conserved, but its current \( j_1 = 0 \) is not local in terms of Eulerian fields. At the same time chirality is comprised locally by \( E \) and \( B \) but the Chern-Simons term \( A \wedge dA \) makes its conservation anomalous. The exterior derivative of the Chern-Simons is \( E \wedge B \). It contributes the chirality term in the divergence of the axial current.

Relativistic hydrodynamics. The derivation above remains unchanged for relativistic barotropic flow. Relativistic flow is characterized by the specific Gibbs energy \( \mu_R = mc^2 + \mu \) which differs from the chemical potential \( \mu \) by the rest energy, 4-velocity \( u_\mu \) normalized as \( u^\mu u_\mu = -1 \), and canonical momentum 1-form \( \pi = (c^{-1} \mu_R u_\mu + A_\mu) dx^\mu \). In this case the mass current is \( j^\mu = nu^\mu \), where \( n \) is particle number and the 4-momentum is \( \pi_\mu = \mu_R u_\mu \).

The formula for the chirality 3-form \( \Omega \) remains intact and obeys the anomaly equation \( \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \pi_\mu = 0 \). In components, the chirality current reads

\[
 j^\mu_A = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \mu^\lambda_R (u_\nu \partial_\rho u_\lambda + 2 u_\nu F_{\lambda \rho}) . \tag{38}
\]

It is instructive to trace how does the compact relativistic expression \( \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \pi_\mu = 0 \) turns into the cumbersome non-relativistic formula \( \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \pi_\mu = \frac{1}{m} \frac{\delta}{\delta \sigma} \left( \sigma \pi_\mu - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \pi_\nu \partial_\rho \pi_\lambda \right) \). The temporal component of \( 38 \) reproduces the chirality density \( \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \pi_\mu = 0 \) as \( v/c \to 0 \) in a straightforward manner. But the spatial component of \( 38 \), the flux, as it stands, does not have the non-relativistic limit. It diverges as \( j\wedge = c(\omega^\perp + 2 B^\perp) \) with \( c \to \infty \). However, the divergent term is a curl. We recall that the formula \( 38 \) as well as its non-relativistic version \( \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \pi_\mu = 0 \) is defined up to a curl. Hence, the divergent term can be removed (by an appropriate choice of the frame). As a result, the non-relativistic limit is a mix of terms originated from the rest energy in \( \mu_R \) and the expansion in \( v/c \).

Summary. Alike quantum field theories with Dirac fermions, Euler hydrodynamics, too, possesses a conserved vector current and an axial current, helicity. Both are conserved. The conservation of the vector current is explicitly imposed as the continuity equation and is associated with the gauge symmetry. The origin of helicity conservation is more subtle. It follows from the Euler equation and could be traced to different but ultimately related roots: a topological nature of helicity as a linkage of vortex lines, or to the degeneracy of Poisson structure and its foliations, or to the relabeling symmetry acting in the extended phase space (see, e.g., \( \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \pi_\mu = 0 \)). Our results indicate that these properties are represented by the axial gauge transformation \( 4 \). We defer these discussions pending further studies.

These results also suggest that there may be special hydrodynamic flows representing fermions of quantum field theory if the analogy is to be followed further.

From a hydrodynamics perspective, the origin of the anomaly may be summarized as follows. Electromagnetic forces do not destroy the conservation of helicity. However, the helicity current, although conserved \( \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \pi_\mu = 0 \) is expressed through the canonical fluid momentum. That prevents treating the helicity current as a local functional of \( E \) and \( B \). Similar to QED, the “conflict” is resolved at the expense of the conservation of the axial current. The chirality defined by \( \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \pi_\mu = 0 \), is identical to the helicity in the absence of electromagnetic fields and is local in terms of \( E \) and \( B \) but does not conserve, instead, obeying the equation \( \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \pi_\mu = 0 \) identical to the axial anomaly equation \( \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \pi_\mu = 0 \) of the quantum field theory.

The appearance of the axial-current anomaly in 3D Euler flow is not accidental. All formulas presented in this work have a straightforward generalization to hydrodynamics in higher odd spatial dimensions matching the axial-current anomaly of quantum field theories with Dirac fermions. Also, we expect that not only perturbative anomalies but also global anomalies appear in classical hydrodynamics.

Emergence of the axial current anomaly in Euler fluids helps to clarify and to illustrate aspects of anomalies in quantum field theories also providing an interesting angle at fluid dynamics.
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