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#### Abstract

This paper is devoted to studying the stability of p-Laplacian wave equations with strong damping in non-cylindrical domains. The method of proof based on some estimates for timevarying coefficients rising from moving boundary and a modified Kormonik inequality. Meanwhile, by selecting appropriate auxiliary functions, finally we obtain the polynomial stability $(p>2)$ and exponential stability $(p=2)$ for such systems in some unbounded development domains.


Key Words. p-Laplacian wave equation, stability, strong damping, non-cylindrical domain

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper, we consider the following quasi-linear hyperbolic system with strongly damped terms

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)-\Delta u_{t}=0 & \text { in } \widehat{Q}  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \widehat{\Sigma} \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) & \text { in } \Omega_{0}\end{cases}
$$

where $\widehat{Q}$ is a non-cylindrical domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}, \widehat{\Sigma}$ is the lateral boundary of $\widehat{Q}, \Omega_{t}=\widehat{Q} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times\{t\}), u$ is the state variable, $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ is any given initial value and $p \geq 2$.

We assume that $\widehat{Q}$ is increasing with time, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{t} \subset \Omega_{s}, \quad t<s \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we assume the following regular property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { if } \quad u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \quad \text { and }\left.\quad u\right|_{\Omega-\Omega_{t}}=0, \quad \text { then }\left.\quad u\right|_{\Omega_{t}} \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{t}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.1 ([2]). Suppose that (1.2) - (1.3) hold. Then given $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$, $u_{1} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$, there exists a function $u: \widehat{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)\right)
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)\right) \bigcap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)\right), \\
u(0)=u_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{t}(0)=u_{1} \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega_{0}, \\
u_{t t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)-\Delta u_{t}=0 \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

Define the energy of system (1.1) by

$$
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}
$$

Proposition 1.1. $E(t)$ is non-increasing with respect to the time variable.
Proof. It is easy to see that

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d t}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} .
$$

Since

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega_{t}} u(x, t) d x=\int_{\Omega_{t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) d x-\int_{\Gamma_{t}} u(x, t) v_{t} d \sigma
$$

where $v=\left(v_{x}, v_{t}\right)^{\top}$ is the external unit normal on $\widehat{\Sigma}$ relative to $\widehat{Q}$,
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}= & \int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{t} u_{t t} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{t}} u_{t}^{2} v_{t} d \sigma \\
= & \int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{t}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+\Delta u_{t}\right] d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{t}} u_{t}^{2} v_{t} d \sigma \\
= & \int_{\Gamma_{t}} u_{t}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u v_{x} d \sigma-\int_{\Omega_{t}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x \\
& +\int_{\Gamma_{t}} u_{t} \nabla u_{t} v_{x} d \sigma-\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{t}} u_{t}^{2} v_{t} d \sigma,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d t}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}=\int_{\Omega_{t}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_{t}}|\nabla u|^{p} v_{t} d \sigma .
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E(t)=\int_{\Gamma_{t}}\left(u_{t}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u v_{x}-\frac{1}{p}|\nabla u|^{p} v_{t}-\frac{1}{2} u_{t}^{2} v_{t}+u_{t} \nabla u_{t} v_{x}\right) d \sigma-\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2} d x .
$$

On the other side, from $u=0$ on $\Sigma$, one gets $\nabla_{x, t} u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} v$, that is, $\nabla u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} v_{x}, u_{t}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} v_{t}$. Besides, noticing that $u_{t}=0$ on $\Sigma$, we arrive at

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E(t)=-\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2} d x .
$$

Lemma 1.2 ( $\mathbb{1}$ ). Let $E: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a non-increasing function and $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a strictly increasing $C^{1}$ function such that

$$
\phi(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \phi(t) \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

If there exist constants $q \geq 0$ and $A>0$ such that

$$
\int_{S}^{+\infty} E^{q+1}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) d t \leq \frac{1}{A} E^{q}(0) E(S), \quad 0 \leq S<+\infty
$$

then we have

$$
E(t) \leq E(0)\left(\frac{1+q}{1+q A \phi(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \text { for } q>0
$$

and

$$
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-A \phi(t)} \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \text { for } q=0 .
$$

Proposition 1.2. For any $t \geq 0$, it holds that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{p}^{2} \\
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{p}^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, one has

$$
\int_{\Omega_{t}} u^{2}(t) d x=\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left[\int_{0}^{x} u_{y}(t) d y\right]^{2} d x \leq\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{2} \int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{x}^{2}(t) d x
$$

that is

$$
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Moreover

$$
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}=\left[\int_{\Omega_{t}}|\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\left[\int_{\Omega_{t}}|\nabla u(t)|^{p} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}=\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\|\nabla u\|_{p}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{p}^{2} \\
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{p}^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

## 2 Main results and proofs

The main result of this paper is stated as follows.
Theorem 2.1. Let $k>0,0<\gamma<1$ and $m>0$. For domain $\widehat{Q}$ with $\left|\Omega_{t}\right|=(1+k t)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{m}}$, we have
(1) when $p>2$, and $m \geq \max \left\{2, \frac{(1-\alpha) p}{\alpha p-1}, \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha\right) p-1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right) p+1}\right\}$ for $\frac{1}{p}<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}$, the energy of system (1.1) satisfies

$$
E(t) \leq\left[\frac{C(1+\beta)\left(E^{\beta}(0)+1\right)}{\beta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\left[(1+k t)^{\gamma}-1\right]^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad \beta=\frac{p-2}{p} ;
$$

(2) when $p=2$, and $m \geq 2$, the energy of system (1.1) satisfies

$$
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-\frac{1}{C}\left[(1+k t)^{\gamma}-1\right]} .
$$

Here and henceforth, $C$ is some positive constant.
Proof. Multiply the first equation in (1.1) by $E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) u$, and integrating it over $\Omega_{t} \times(S, T)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) u\left(u_{t t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)-\Delta u_{t}\right) d x d t \\
= & \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) u u_{t t} d x d t-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) u \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right) d x d t \\
& -\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) u \Delta u_{t} d x d t \\
\triangleq & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculating $I_{i}(i=1,2,3)$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}=\int_{\Omega_{T}} E^{\beta}(T) \phi^{\prime}(T) u(T) u_{t}(T) d x-\int_{\Omega_{S}} E^{\beta}(S) \phi^{\prime}(S) u(S) u_{t}(S) d x \\
-\beta \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta-1}(t) E^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Omega_{t}} \phi^{\prime}(t) u u_{t} d x d t-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime \prime}(t) u u_{t} d x d t \\
-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) u_{t}^{2} d x d t \\
I_{2}=\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t)|\nabla u|^{p} d x d t
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
I_{3}=\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x d t
$$

In view of

$$
I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}=0
$$

one has

$$
I_{2}=-I_{1}-I_{3} .
$$

By the definition of $E(t)$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}=p E(t)-\frac{p}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (2.1) to $I_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t)\left[p E(t)-\frac{p}{2} \int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{t}^{2} d x\right] d t=-I_{1}-I_{3} .
$$

Substituting $I_{1}$ and $I_{3}$ into the above equality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
p & \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta+1}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) d t \\
= & \underbrace{\left(\frac{p}{2}+1\right) \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{t}^{2} d x d t}_{T_{1}} \\
& \underbrace{-\int_{\Omega_{T}} E^{\beta}(T) \phi^{\prime}(T) u(T) u_{t}(T) d x+\int_{\Omega_{S}} E^{\beta}(S) \phi^{\prime}(S) u(S) u_{t}(S) d x}_{T_{2}} \\
& \underbrace{+\beta \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta-1}(t) E^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Omega_{t}} \phi^{\prime}(t) u u_{t} d x d t}_{T_{5}} \underbrace{\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime \prime}(t) u u_{t} d x d t}_{T_{4}} \\
& \underbrace{}_{T_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x d t} . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We estimate $T_{i}(i=1,2,3,4,5)$, respectively. First, we estimate the value of $T_{1}$. Using Poincaré's inequality, we have

$$
T_{1} \leq\left(\frac{p}{2}+1\right) \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t)\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{2} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2} d x d t
$$

Recall that $\phi^{\prime}$ is a non-negative function in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. If it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(t)\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{2} \leq C, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then according to $E^{\prime}(t)=-\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2} d x$, we deduce

$$
T_{1} \leq C\left(\frac{p}{2}+1\right) \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t)\left[-E^{\prime}(t)\right] d t
$$

Sine $E$ is non-increasing, further

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1} \leq C\left(\frac{p}{2}+1\right) \frac{1}{\beta+1} E^{\beta+1}(S) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly, we estimate the value of $T_{2}$. Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and the conclusion in Proposition 1.2, $\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{p}^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{2} \leq & \int_{\Omega_{T}}\left|E^{\beta}(T) \phi^{\prime}(T) u(T) u_{t}(T)\right| d x+\int_{\Omega_{S}}\left|E^{\beta}(S) \phi^{\prime}(S) u(S) u_{t}(S)\right| d x \\
\leq & E^{\beta}(T)\left(\left\|u_{t}(T)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\phi^{\prime 2}(T)\|u(T)\|_{2}^{2}\right)+E^{\beta}(S)\left(\left\|u_{t}(S)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\phi^{\prime 2}(S)\|u(S)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
\leq & E^{\beta}(T)\left(\left\|u_{t}(T)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\phi^{\prime 2}(T)\left|\Omega_{T}\right|^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\|\nabla u(T)\|_{p}^{2}\right) \\
& +E^{\beta}(S)\left(\left\|u_{t}(S)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\phi^{\prime 2}(S)\left|\Omega_{S}\right|^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\|\nabla u(S)\|_{p}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\phi^{\prime}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime 2}(t)\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \leq C, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by

$$
\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 E(t) \text { and }\|\nabla u(t)\|_{p}^{2} \leq p^{\frac{2}{p}} E^{\frac{2}{p}}(t)
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2} \leq C\left[E^{\beta+1}(S)+p^{\frac{2}{p}} E^{\beta+\frac{2}{p}}(S)\right] . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thirdly, we estimate the value of $T_{3}$. By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{3} & \leq \beta \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta-1}(t)\left[-E^{\prime}(t)\right] \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\phi^{\prime}(t) u u_{t}\right| d x d t \\
& \leq \beta \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta-1}(t)\left[-E^{\prime}(t)\right]\left[\int_{\Omega_{t}} u_{t}^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega_{t}} \phi^{\prime 2}(t) u^{2} d x\right] d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Under the assumption (2.5), similar to the estimation of $T_{2}$, we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{3} \leq C \beta\left(\int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t)\left[-E^{\prime}(t)\right] d t-p^{\frac{2}{p}} \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta+\frac{2}{p}-1}(t) E^{\prime}(t) d t\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, estimate the value of $T_{4}$. Using Young's inequality with $\varepsilon$, for $T_{4}$, we have the following estimation

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{4} & \leq \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t) \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t) u u_{t}\right| d x d t \\
& \leq \varepsilon \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t)\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha p} \int_{\Omega_{t}}|u|^{p} d x d t+\varepsilon^{-\frac{q}{p}} \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t)\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{(1-\alpha) q} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|u_{t}\right|^{q} d x d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1,0<\alpha<1$ and $\varepsilon>0$.
Noticing

$$
\int_{\Omega_{t}}|u|^{p} d x \leq\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{p} \int_{\Omega_{t}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x,
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|u_{t}\right|^{q} d x \leq\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{q} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{q} d x \leq\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{1+\frac{q}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} .
$$

we get

$$
T_{4} \leq \varepsilon p \int_{S}^{T}\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha p}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{p} E^{\beta+1}(t) d t+\varepsilon^{-\frac{q}{p}} \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t)\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{(1-\alpha) q}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{1+\frac{q}{2}}\left[-E^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\frac{q}{2}} d t .
$$

Applying Young's inequality with $\eta$ to the second term at the right end of the above inequality, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^{-\frac{q}{p}} \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t)\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{(1-\alpha) q}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{1+\frac{q}{2}}\left[-E^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\frac{q}{2}} d t \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{q}{p}} \eta \int_{S}^{T}\left[E^{\beta}(t)\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{(1-\alpha) q}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{1+\frac{q}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\frac{q}{2}}} d t+\varepsilon^{-\frac{q}{p}} \eta^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \int_{S}^{T}\left[-E^{\prime}(t)\right] d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha p}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{p} \leq C \phi^{\prime}(t), \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{(1-\alpha) q}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{1+\frac{q}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\frac{q}{2}}} \leq C \phi^{\prime}(t), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold, then it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{4} \leq & C \varepsilon p \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta+1}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) d t+C \varepsilon^{-\frac{q}{p}} \eta \int_{S}^{T} E^{\frac{\beta}{1-\frac{q}{2}}}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& +\varepsilon^{-\frac{q}{p}} \eta^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \int_{S}^{T}\left[-E^{\prime}(t)\right] d t . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we estimate $T_{5}$. By Young's inequality with $\delta$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{5} & \leq \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u \nabla u_{t}\right| d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t)\left(\delta \int_{\Omega_{t}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x+\delta^{-\frac{q}{p}} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{q} d x\right) d t \\
& \leq \delta p \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta+1}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) d t+\delta^{-\frac{q}{p}} \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{q} d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality, formula $E^{\prime}(t)=-\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2} d x$ and Young's inequality with $\eta$, from the second term at the right end of the above inequality, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{-\frac{q}{p}} \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{q} d x d t \\
& \leq \delta^{-\frac{q}{p}} \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t)\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{1-\frac{q}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} d t \\
& =\delta^{-\frac{q}{p}} \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t)\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{1-\frac{q}{2}}\left[-E^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\frac{q}{2}} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \delta^{-\frac{q}{p}} \eta \int_{S}^{T}\left[E^{\beta}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t)\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{1-\frac{q}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\frac{q}{2}}} d t+\delta^{-\frac{q}{p}} \eta^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \int_{S}^{T}\left[-E^{\prime}(t)\right] d t
$$

Assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime \frac{1}{1-\frac{q}{2}}}(t)\left|\Omega_{t}\right| \leq C \phi^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{5} \leq & \delta p \int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta+1}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) d t+C \delta^{-\frac{q}{p}} \eta \int_{S}^{T} E^{\frac{\beta}{1-\frac{q}{2}}}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& +\delta^{-\frac{q}{p}} \eta^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \int_{S}^{T}\left[-E^{\prime}(t)\right] d t \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to make $(2.3),(2.5),(2.8),\left(\begin{array}{|c|}(2.9)\end{array}\right)$ and $(2.11)$ true, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(t) \sim\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{-m}, \quad m \geq 2 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this time, it is easy to check that (2.3), (2.5) and (2.11) hold. In the following, for $\phi^{\prime}(t)$ like $(2.13)$, we verify that $(\sqrt{2.8})$ and $(\sqrt{2.9)})$ is also true. Without loss of generality,
let $\phi^{\prime}(t)=C_{1}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{-m}$. It is easy to get

$$
\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)=-C_{1} m\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{-m-1} \frac{d}{d t}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|=-C_{1}^{-\frac{1}{m}} m \phi^{\frac{m+1}{m}}(t) \frac{d}{d t}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|
$$

Furthermore

$$
\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha p}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{p}=C_{1}^{-\frac{\alpha p}{m}} m^{\alpha p} \phi^{\prime\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right) \alpha p}(t)\left|\frac{d}{d t}\right| \Omega_{t}| |^{\alpha p}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{p}
$$

(2.8) holds, if $\left|\frac{d}{d t}\right| \Omega_{t}| | \leq C$ and

$$
\phi^{\prime}\left[\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right) \alpha p-1\right](t)\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{p} \leq C
$$

This, by (2.13), is equivalent to

$$
\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{-m\left[\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right) \alpha p-1\right]+p} \leq C
$$

If $\left|\Omega_{t}\right|$ is infinitely increasing, then one has $-m\left[\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right) \alpha p-1\right]+p \leq 0$. It follows that

$$
m \geq \frac{(1-\alpha) p}{\alpha p-1}, \quad \alpha>\frac{1}{p}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left[\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{(1-\alpha) q}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{1+\frac{q}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\frac{q}{2}}}=\left.m^{\frac{(1-\alpha) q}{1-\frac{q}{2}}} \phi^{\prime\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right) \frac{(1-\alpha) q}{1-\frac{q}{2}}}(t)\left|\frac{d}{d t}\right| \Omega_{t}\right|^{\frac{(1-\alpha) q}{1-\frac{q}{2}}}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{\frac{1+\frac{q}{2}}{1-\frac{q}{2}}}
$$

(2.9) holds, if $\left|\frac{d}{d t}\right| \Omega_{t}| | \leq C$ and

$$
\phi^{\prime}\left[\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right) \frac{(1-\alpha) q}{1-\frac{q}{2}}-1\right](t)\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{\frac{1+\frac{q}{2}}{1-\frac{q}{2}}} \leq C
$$

This, by (2.13), is equivalent to

$$
\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{-m\left[\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right) \frac{(1-\alpha) q}{1-\frac{q}{2}}-1\right]+\frac{1+\frac{q}{2}}{1-\frac{q}{2}} \leq C . . . . ~}
$$

If $\left|\Omega_{t}\right|$ is infinitely increasing, then one has $-m\left[\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right) \frac{(1-\alpha) q}{1-\frac{q}{2}}-1\right]+\frac{1+\frac{q}{2}}{1-\frac{q}{2}} \leq 0, q=\frac{p}{p-1}$. It follows that

$$
m \geq \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha\right) p-1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right) p+1}, \quad \alpha<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}
$$

To sum up, when

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \geq \max \left\{2, \frac{(1-\alpha) p}{\alpha p-1}, \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha\right) p-1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right) p+1}\right\}, \quad \frac{1}{p}<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\phi^{\prime}(t)$ like (2.13) satisfies (2.3), (2.5), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.11).
Let $\frac{\beta}{1-\frac{q}{2}}=\beta+1$ and $\varepsilon, \eta, \delta$ be sufficiently small in (2.10) and (2.12), combining (2.2), (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7), we end up with

$$
\int_{S}^{T} E^{\beta+1}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) d t \leq C\left[E^{\beta+1}(S)+E(S)\right] \leq C\left[E^{\beta}(0)+1\right] E(S)
$$

Let $T \rightarrow+\infty$, we get

$$
\int_{S}^{+\infty} E^{\beta+1}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) d t \leq C\left[E^{\beta+1}(S)+E(S)\right] \leq \frac{C\left[E^{\beta}(0)+1\right]}{E^{\beta}(0)} E^{\beta}(0) E(S)
$$

By Lemma 1.2 and choosing $\phi(t)=(1+k t)^{\gamma}-1, k>0,0<\gamma<1$, one obtains

$$
E(t) \leq E(0)\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1+\beta A \phi(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq E(0)\left(\frac{1+\beta}{\beta A}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \phi(t)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}
$$

where $A=\frac{E^{\beta}(0)}{C\left(E^{\beta}(0)+1\right)}$.
More precisely,

$$
E(t) \leq\left[\frac{C(1+\beta)\left(E^{\beta}(0)+1\right)}{\beta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\left[(1+k t)^{\gamma}-1\right]^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad \beta=\frac{p-2}{p}, \quad p>2
$$

At this time, $\phi^{\prime}(t)=k \gamma(1+k t)^{\gamma-1}$. Letting $\phi^{\prime}(t)=k \gamma\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{-m}$, one has

$$
\left|\Omega_{t}\right|=(1+k t)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{m}}
$$

where $m$ is given in (2.14).
If $p=2$, then let $\beta=0$. In this case, it is required that $\phi^{\prime}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi^{\prime}(t)\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{2} \leq C  \tag{2.15}\\
\phi^{\prime 2}(t)\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{2} \leq C \tag{2.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2 \alpha}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{2} \leq C \phi^{\prime}(t),  \tag{2.17}\\
\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2(1-\alpha)}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{2} \leq C, \tag{2.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

where (2.18) is a little different compared to the former $(p>2)$, but it is more simpler.
Let $\phi^{\prime}(t) \sim\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{-m}, m \geq 2$. It is easy to see that $\phi^{\prime}(t)$ satisfies (2.15) and (2.16). If $\left|\frac{d}{d t}\right| \Omega_{t}| | \leq C$, then $\phi^{\prime \prime}(t) \sim \phi^{\prime \frac{m+1}{m}}(t)$.
(2.17) holds, if

$$
\phi^{\prime}(t){ }^{\left[2 \alpha\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right)-1\right]}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{2} \leq C .
$$

Further

$$
\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{-m\left[2 \alpha\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right)-1\right]+2} \leq C .
$$

If $\left|\Omega_{t}\right|$ is infinitely increasing, then one has $-m\left[2 \alpha\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right)-1\right]+2 \leq 0$. It follows that

$$
m \geq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2 \alpha-1}, \quad \alpha>\frac{1}{2}
$$

(2.18) holds, if

$$
\phi^{\prime}(t)^{2(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right)}\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{2} \leq C
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
m \geq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}
$$

Let $\alpha=\frac{2}{3}$. We deduce for $m \geq 2$, it holds that

$$
\int_{S}^{+\infty} E(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) d t \leq C E(S)
$$

Also choosing $\phi(t)=(1+k t)^{\gamma}-1, k>0,0<\gamma<1$, and by Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-\frac{1}{C}\left[(1+k t)^{\gamma}-1\right]}
$$

At this time, $\phi^{\prime}(t)=k \gamma(1+k t)^{\gamma-1}$. Letting $\phi^{\prime}(t)=k \gamma\left|\Omega_{t}\right|^{-m}$, we see that

$$
\left|\Omega_{t}\right|=(1+k t)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{m}}, \quad m \geq 2 .
$$

Remark 2.1. If $\left|\Omega_{t}\right|$ is bounded, then
(1) for $p>2$, choosing $\phi(t)=t$, one has

$$
E(t) \leq E(0)\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1+\beta A t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad \beta=\frac{p-2}{p} ;
$$

(2) for $p=2$, putting $\beta=0$, and also choosing $\phi(t)=t$, one gets

$$
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-\frac{1}{C} t}
$$

## 3 Further works

In this article, we get a decay estimate $E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-\frac{1}{C}\left[(1+k t)^{\gamma}-1\right]}$ for the energy of system (1.1) in the case of domains with $\left|\Omega_{t}\right|=(1+k t)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{m}}, k>0,0<\gamma<1, m \geq 2$. However, the method we adopt here can not be applied to the case of domains with $\left|\Omega_{t}\right|=(1+k t)^{\alpha}, \alpha>\frac{1}{2}$. In a forthcoming paper, we are going to study the stability of p -Laplacian wave equations in a more general domain.
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