NONSYMMETRIC EXAMPLES FOR GAUSSIAN CORRELATION INEQUALITIES
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Abstract. We prove a specific case for a convex/log-concave correlation inequality for the i.i.d. case. The other result is that the variance of the maxima of $N$ i.i.d. gaussians is decreasing in $N$.

1. Introduction

We are interested in the following problem. Let $X \sim \text{Normal}(0_N, \Sigma_X)$, $Y \sim \text{Normal}(0_N, \Sigma_Y)$.

Assumption 1. $N \geq 3$ and $\sigma_{i,i}^Y = \sigma_{i,i}^X = \sigma^2$, $i = 1, ..., N$.

Let $M_N(x) = \max_{i=1,\ldots,N} x_i$.

Problem 1. With Assumption 1 under what condition
\[ \text{Var}(M_N(Y)) \geq \text{Var}(M_N(X)) \] ?

For the comparison for the expected value case, Slepian [6] proved the following.

Theorem 1.1. (6) $\sigma_{i,i}^Y = \sigma_{i,i}^X$, $i = 1, ..., N$ and $E[Y_i Y_j] \leq E[X_i X_j]$ for all $i, j$, then
\[ P(M_N(Y) > u) \geq P(M_N(X) > u) \] (1.2)

for all real $u$. Thus,
\[ E[M_N(Y)] \geq E[M_N(X)] . \] (1.3)

See more details in Sect. 2.2, [1].

To attack Problem 1 let $\phi$ be a smooth function,
\[ \text{Var}(\phi(Y)) - \text{Var}(\phi(X)) = E\phi^2(Y) - E\phi^2(X) - [(E\phi(Y))^2 - (E\phi(X))^2] \] (1.4)

For any $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, let $Z(\theta) \sim \text{Normal}(0_N, \Sigma(\theta))$, where $\Sigma(\theta) = (1 - \theta)\Sigma_X + \theta \Sigma_Y$. Denote the probability density function of $Z(\theta)$ as $p_\theta(z)$. Let $\psi(\theta) = E\phi^2(Z(\theta))$ and $\hat{\psi}(\theta) = (E\phi(Z(\theta)))^2$. (1.4) is equal to
\[ \hat{\psi}(1) - \hat{\psi}(0) - [\psi(1) - \psi(0)] = \int_0^1 \left\{ \hat{\psi}'(\theta) - \psi'(\theta) \right\} d\theta . \] (1.5)

Now,
\[ \psi'(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} E\phi^2(Z(\theta)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi^2(z) \frac{d}{d\theta} p_\theta(z) dz \] (1.6)
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It is known that the last term is equal to
\[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\sigma_{i,j}^Y - \sigma_{i,j}^X) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi^2(z) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} p_0(z) dz. \] (1.7)

With integration by parts,
\[
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\psi}'(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\sigma_{i,j}^Y - \sigma_{i,j}^X) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \phi(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \phi(z) + 2 \phi(z) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \phi(z) \right] p_0(z) dz.
\end{aligned}
\] (1.8)

Using the same way for \(\psi'(\theta)\), we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\psi'(\theta) &= 2E(\phi(Z(\theta))) \cdot \frac{d}{d\theta} E(\phi(Z(\theta))) \\
&= 2E(\phi(Z(\theta))) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\sigma_{i,j}^Y - \sigma_{i,j}^X) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \phi(z) \right] p_0(z) dz \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\sigma_{i,j}^Y - \sigma_{i,j}^X) 2E(\phi(Z(\theta))) \cdot E \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \phi(Z(\theta)) \right] \\
& \quad + \text{Cov} \left( 2\phi(Z(\theta)), \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \phi(Z(\theta)) \right).
\end{aligned}
\] (1.9)

Finally, (1.4) becomes
\[
\text{Var}(\phi(Y)) - \text{Var}(\phi(X)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\sigma_{i,j}^Y - \sigma_{i,j}^X) \int_0^1 \left\{ E \left[ 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \phi(Z(\theta)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \phi(Z(\theta)) \right] + \text{Cov} \left( 2\phi(Z(\theta)), \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \phi(Z(\theta)) \right) \right\} d\theta.
\] (1.10)

If one want to show that \(\text{Var}(\phi(Y)) - \text{Var}(\phi(X)) \geq 0\) given \(\sigma_{i,j}^Y \geq \sigma_{i,j}^X, \forall i \neq j\) and \(\sigma_{i,i}^Y = \sigma_{i,i}^X, \forall i\), it is sufficient to show that for each \(i \neq j, \theta\),
\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Cov} \left( \phi(Z(\theta)), \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \phi(Z(\theta)) \right) \geq 0.
\] (1.11)

Take \(\phi(x) = Q_\beta(x) := \beta^{-1} \log S_N(x), \) where \(S_N(x) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} e^{\beta x_i}\). We plan to use \(Q_\beta(x)\) to approximate \(M_N(x) = \max_{i=1,\ldots,N} x_i\). Notice that
\[
M_N \leq Q_\beta \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \log N + M_N
\] (1.12)

connects \(Q_\beta\) and \(M_N\).

With simple calculations,
\[
\frac{\partial Q_\beta}{\partial x_i} = p_i := \frac{e^{\beta x_i}}{S_N(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_j} = \beta [p_i (\delta_{ij} - p_j)],
\] (1.13)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = \beta^2 [p_i (\delta_{jk} - p_k) \delta_{ij} - p_j (\delta_{ik} + \delta_{jk} - 2p_k)].
\] (1.14)

First, we fix \(i \neq j\), the first term in (1.11) becomes \(p_j(Z(\theta))p_i(Z(\theta))\) which is positive. In order to answer Problem [1] it suffices to show that the second term
\[
- \text{Cov} \left( Q_\beta(Z(\theta)), \beta p_i(Z(\theta))p_j(Z(\theta)) \right) \geq 0,
\] (1.15)

given \(\sigma_{i,j}^Y \geq \sigma_{i,j}^X, \forall i \neq j\).

In (1.15), \(Q_\beta\) is increasing in each argument, and \(p_ip_j\) is deceasing in \(x_k, k \neq i,j\) separately and possibly increasing in \(x_i\) or \(x_j\). \(Q_\beta\) is a permutable convex function, and \(p_ip_j\)
is a log-concave function. Thus, (1.15) is a question about proving Gaussian covariance inequalities for a pair of convex/log-concave functions. Gaussian covariance inequalities hold for many symmetric (with respect to the origin) cases. [5] proved the long-standing conjecture for a class of probability distributions. Two symmetric convex sets are positively correlated. Or equivalently, one can replace the two symmetric convex sets by two even quasi-concave functions. See [3] for a proof only for Gaussian measures and reference therein. However, in (1.15), none of $Q$ and $p_i p_j$ is symmetric about zero. For non-symmetric cases, the result in [2] needs a drift correction.

Theorem 1.2. (2) Let $f$ be a convex function on $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $g$ a log-concave function on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $\mu$ be a Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then
\begin{align*}
\int f(x + l - m) \frac{g(x)d\mu(x)}{\int g(x)d\mu} \leq \int f d\mu,
\end{align*}
where
\begin{align*}
l = \int x d\mu, \quad m = \int x \frac{g(x)d\mu(x)}{\int g(x)d\mu}.
\end{align*}

When the Gaussian measure $\mu$ is centered ($l = 0$) and the log-concave function $g$ is even, then $m = 0$. The drift $l - m$ goes away. An non-symmetric example without a drift correction is in [7]. The result in [7] showed that a convex set and a strip are positively correlated under “centroid condition” for any Gaussian measures. “Centroid condition” roughly says that the two sets have the same directional bias.

We prove a specific case for a convex/log-concave correlation inequality for gaussians with i. i. d.. Denote especially $G \sim \text{Normal}(0, \Sigma_{Y})$, we have

\begin{align*}
\text{Theorem 1.3. } N \geq 3,
\text{Cov}(\log S_N(G), p_i(G)p_j(G)) \leq 0.
\end{align*}

The other main result in this paper is a partial answer to Problem 1 by assuming that only the first two variables are positively correlated.

\begin{align*}
\text{Theorem 1.4. } N \geq 3. \text{ Under Assumption 1 and Let } Y \sim \text{Normal}(0, \Sigma_Y) \text{ with } \sigma_{ii}^Y = 1, i = 1, ..., N, \text{ and } \sigma_{ij}^Y = 0, \text{ of } i \neq j, \text{ except } \sigma_{12}^Y = \rho \in (0, 1). \text{ Let } X \text{ be the standard normal vector. Then}
\text{Var}(M_N(Y)) \geq \text{Var}(M_N(X)).
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{Corollary 1.5. } N \geq 3.
\text{Var}(M_{N-1}(G)) \geq \text{Var}(M_N(G)).
\end{align*}

Conjecture 1.1. Under Assumption 1, (1.18) is true without any other condition on the covariance of the Gaussian distribution.

If Conjecture 1.1 were true, by (1.10) and (1.11), $\sigma_{ij}^Y \geq \sigma_{ij}^X, \forall i \neq j$ is a sufficient condition for Problem 1

2. Correlation inequalities for the i. i. d. case

Here we need the following covariance equality. Let $C$ is a $N \times N$ symmetric positive semi-definite matrix. Let $G \sim \text{Normal}(0, C)$, and let $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be two smooth
functions. Then a covariance equality gives

$$\text{Cov}(\phi(G), \psi(G)) = \int_0^1 E \langle \sqrt{C} \nabla \phi(G_b), \sqrt{C} \nabla \psi(H_b) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^N} \, db,$$

(2.1)

where

$$(G_b, H_b) \sim \text{Normal} \left( 0_{2N}, \begin{bmatrix} C & bC \\ bC & C \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad 0 \leq b \leq 1.$$ 

For the proof, please see Lemma 4.1 in [1]. Notice that $G_0, H_0$ are independent copies and $G_1 = H_1$.

Here, we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof. Take $i = 1, j = 2$, $\phi = Q_\beta$ and $\psi = -\beta p_1 p_2$. By using (2.1),

$$\text{Cov} \left( \psi(G), -\beta p_1(G)p_2(G) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Cov} \left( \psi(G), -\beta p_1(G)p_2(G) \right)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{k,l=1}^N \Sigma(\theta)_{kl} E \left[ p_k(G_b) \cdot \beta^2 (-p_1 p_2)(\delta_{1l} + \delta_{2l} - 2p_1(H_b)) \right] \, db$$

$$= \int_0^1 E \left[ p_1(G_b) \cdot \beta^2 (-p_1 p_2)(1 - 2p_1)(H_b) \right] \, db$$

$$+ \int_0^1 E \left[ p_2(G_b) \cdot \beta^2 (-p_1 p_2)(1 - 2p_2)(H_b) \right] \, db$$

$$+ \int_0^1 \sum_{k=3}^N E \left[ p_k(G_b) \cdot \beta^2 (-p_1 p_2)(-2p_2)(H_b) \right] \, db$$

$$= \int_0^1 E \left[ \frac{p_1(G_b) + p_2(G_b)}{2} \cdot \beta^2 (-p_1 p_2)(\sum_{k=3}^N 2p_k(H_b)) \right] \, db$$

$$+ \int_0^1 E \left[ \frac{p_1(G_b) - p_2(G_b)}{2} \cdot \beta^2 (-p_1 p_2)(2p_2 - 2p_1)(H_b) \right] \, db$$

$$+ \int_0^1 \sum_{k=3}^N E \left[ p_k(G_b) \cdot \beta^2 (p_1 p_2)(2p_2)(H_b) \right] \, db \quad \text{(Because of the exchangeability)}$$

The term inside the last third integral is equal to $E \left[ p_1(G_b) \cdot \beta^2 (-p_1 p_2)(\sum_{k=3}^N 2p_k(H_b)) \right]$, again by exchangeability. Thus, the last first term and the last third one in (2.2) are cancelled out. As a result,

$$\text{Cov} \left( \psi(G), -\beta p_1(G)p_2(G) \right) = \beta^2 \int_0^1 E \left[ (p_1 - p_2)(G_b) \cdot p_1 p_2(p_1 - p_2)(H_b) \right] \, db$$

(2.3)

Set

$$x_{1,2}^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 + x_2),$$

$$x_{1,2}^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 - x_2).$$

Notice that $G_{b,1,2}$ is independent of $G_{b,1,2}$ and $G_{b,i}$'s. Furthermore, we have that

$$p_1(G_b) - p_2(G_b) = \frac{e^{\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} G_{b,1,2}} \cdot 2 \sinh \left( \beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} G_{b,1,2} \right)}{e^{\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} G_{b,1,2}} \cdot 2 \cosh \left( \beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} G_{b,1,2} \right) + \sum_{i \neq 1, 2} e^{\beta G_{b,i}}},$$
is increasing in $G_{b,1,2}^-$ and
\[
p_1p_2(p_1 - p_2)(H_b) = \frac{e^{\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H_{b,1,2}^+} \cdot e^{\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H_{b,1,2}^+} \cdot 2 \sinh \left( \beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H_{b,1,2}^- \right)}{\left( e^{\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H_{b,1,2}^+} \cdot 2 \cosh \left( \beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H_{b,1,2}^- \right) + \sum_{i \neq 1,2} e^{\beta H_{b,i}} \right)^3}
\]
is an odd function in $H_{b,1,2}^-$. Together with the fact that $G_{b,1,2}^-$ is positively correlated with $H_{b,1,2}^-$, we prove (2.3) is positive.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 2.1.** $\text{Cov}(\log S_N(G), p_1(G)(1 - p_1(G))) \leq 0$. Thus, $\text{Cov}(\log S_N(G), p_1^2(G)) \geq 0$. 

### 3. Variance comparison for maxima

Let $Y \sim \text{Normal}(0_N, \Sigma_Y)$ with $\sigma^Y_{ii} = 1, i = 1, \ldots, N$, and $\sigma^Y_{ij} = 0$, of $i \neq j$, except $\sigma^Y_{12} = \rho \in (0,1)$. Let $X$ be the standard normal vector. Let $Z(\theta) \sim \text{Normal}(0_N, \Sigma(\theta))$, where $\Sigma(\theta) = \text{id}_N + \theta(\Sigma_Y - \text{id}_N)$. Thus, $\text{Var}(Z_i(\theta)) = 1$, $\text{Cov}(Z_i(\theta), Z_j(\theta)) = 0, \forall i \neq j$, except $\text{Cov}(Z_1(\theta), Z_2(\theta)) = \theta \rho$. Set
\[
\begin{align*}
z_{1,2}^+ &= \sqrt{2} (z_1 + z_2), \\
z_{1,2}^- &= \sqrt{2} (z_1 - z_2).
\end{align*}
\]
So $\text{Var}(Z_{1,2}^+(\theta)) = 1 + \theta \rho$, $\text{Var}(Z_{1,2}^-(\theta)) = 1 - \theta \rho$ and $\text{Cov}(Z_{1,2}^+(\theta), Z_{1,2}^-(\theta)) = 0$. Recall that
\[
e^{\beta z_1} + e^{\beta z_2} = e^{\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z_{1,2}^+} \cdot 2 \cosh \left( \beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z_{1,2}^- \right).
\]
To prove Thoerem [1,4] we need to prove
\[
\lim_{\beta \to \infty} -\text{Cov}(Q_\beta(Z(\theta)), \beta p_1(Z(\theta))p_2(Z(\theta))) \geq 0.
\]
Rewrite $E[\beta p_1(Z(\theta))p_2(Z(\theta))]$ as (we skip $\theta$ if there is no ambiguity)
\[
E \left[ \beta \left( \frac{e^{\beta (Z_1 + Z_2)}}{e^{\beta Z_1} + e^{\beta Z_2} + \sum_{3 \leq i \leq N} e^{\beta Z_i}} \right)^2 \right] = E \left[ \beta \left( \frac{e^{\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{1,2}^+}}{e^{\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{1,2}^+} \cdot 2 \cosh \left( \beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{1,2}^- \right) + \sum_{3 \leq i \leq N} e^{\beta Z_i}} \right)^2 \right]
\]
Denote \( A^{+}_{1,2} = \{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z^{+}_{1,2} > \max(z_3, ..., z_N) \} \). We do change of variables, set \( w = \beta z^{-}_{1,2} \), then \( W \sim \text{Normal}(0, \beta^2 (1 - \theta \rho)) \) which is independent of \( Z^{+}_{1,2}, Z_3, ..., Z_N \). We then have

\[
E [\beta p_1(Z(\theta))p_2(Z(\theta))] = E \left[ \beta \left( e^{\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}} z^{+}_{1,2}} \sum_{3 \leq i \leq N} e^{\beta z_i} \right)^2 \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dw E \left[ \left( e^{\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}} z^{+}_{1,2}} \sum_{3 \leq i \leq N} e^{\beta z_i} \right)^2 \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1-\theta \rho)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}w^2}.
\]

The integrand is bounded above by \( \frac{1}{(2\cosh(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} w))^2 \sqrt{2\pi(1-\theta \rho)}} \) for all \( \beta > 0 \), and \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dw \frac{1}{(2\cosh(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} w))^2 \sqrt{2\pi(1-\theta \rho)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \). Denote \( I = I(\rho, \theta) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi(1-\theta \rho)}} \). If we take \( \beta \to \infty \), this integrand has the pointwise limit \( P \left[ A^{+}_{1,2} \right] \cdot \frac{1}{(2\cosh(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} w))^2 \sqrt{2\pi(1-\theta \rho)}} \). Let \( \beta \to \infty \), by dominate convergence theorem,

\[
E [\beta p_1(Z(\theta))p_2(Z(\theta))] \to P \left[ A^{+}_{1,2} \right] \cdot I.
\]

This also gives \( E [p_1(Z(\theta))p_2(Z(\theta))] \to 0 \) as \( \beta \to \infty \). On another hand,

\[
Q_{\beta}(z) = \frac{1}{\beta} \log \left( e^{\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}} z^{+}_{1,2}} \cdot 2 \cosh \left( \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}} z^{-}_{1,2} \right) + \sum_{3 \leq i \leq N} e^{\beta z_i} \right).
\]

We take \( M'_{N}(z) := \max(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z^{+}_{1,2}, z_3, ..., z_N) \) which is less than \( M_{N}(z) \).

\[
M'_{N}(z) \leq Q_{\beta}(z) \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \log \left( 2 \cosh \left( \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}} z^{-}_{1,2} \right) (N - 1) \right) + M'_{N}(z)
\]

Thus, \( \beta \to \infty \) gives\(^1\)

\[
E \left[ Q_{\beta}(Z(\theta)) \cdot \beta p_1(Z(\theta))p_2(Z(\theta)) \right] \to E \left[ M'_{N}(Z(\theta)) \cdot 1_{A^{+}_{1,2}} \right] \cdot I.
\]

As a consequence, let \( \beta \to \infty \),

\[
\text{Cov}(Q_{\beta}(Z(\theta)), \beta p_1(Z(\theta))p_2(Z(\theta))) \to \left( E \left[ M'_{N}(Z(\theta)) \cdot 1_{A^{+}_{1,2}} \right] - E \left[ M_{N}(Z(\theta)) \right] \right) P \left[ A^{+}_{1,2} \right] \cdot I
\]

and\(^2\)

\[
\text{Var}(M_{N}(Y)) - \text{Var}(M_{N}(X)) = -2\rho \int_{0}^{1} I(\rho, \theta) d\theta \left( E \left[ M'_{N}(Z(\theta)) \cdot 1_{A^{+}_{1,2}} \right] - E \left[ M_{N}(Z(\theta)) \right] \right) P \left[ A^{+}_{1,2} \right] \cdot I.
\]

To prove the Theorem 1.1, it is sufficient to show

\[
E \left[ M'_{N}(Z(\theta)) \cdot 1_{A^{+}_{1,2}} \right] \leq E \left[ M'_{N}(Z(\theta)) \right] P \left[ A^{+}_{1,2} \right].
\]

In (3.5), \( \text{Var}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z^{+}_{1,2}(\theta)) = \frac{1 + \theta \rho}{1 - \theta^2} \leq 1 \) and \( \text{Var}(Z_i(\theta)) = 1, i = 3, ..., N \). And \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z^{+}_{1,2}(\theta), Z_i(\theta), i = 3, ..., N \) are independent gaussians.

---

\(^1\)The integrand in this case is bounded above by another function which is integrable via elementary calculations.

\(^2\)interchange the integral sign with respect to \( \theta \).
The following is another nonsymmetric correlation inequality.

**Lemma 3.1.** $N \geq 2$, $G \sim \text{Normal}(0_N, C)$, $C_{11} \leq 1$, $C_{kk} = 1$, $k = 2, \ldots, N$ and $C_{i,j} = 0, \forall i \neq j$. Then

$$\text{Cov}(M_N(G), 1_{A_1}(G)) = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \text{Cov}(Q_\beta(G), p_1(G)) \leq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Apply Lemma 3.1 to (3.5), Theorem 1.1 is proved.

**Proof of Lemma 3.1.**

By (2.1),

$$\text{Cov}(Q_\beta(G), p_1(G)) = \int_0^1 db \left\{ C_{11} E[p_1(G_b) \cdot \beta p_1(H_b) (1 - p_1(H_b))] \right. \left. - \sum_{k=2}^N E[p_k(G_b) \cdot \beta p_1(H_b)p_k(H_b)] \right\} \leq \int_0^1 db \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^N E[(p_1(G_b) - p_k(G_b)) \cdot \beta p_1(H_b)p_k(H_b)] \right\} \quad (3.7)$$

We are going to show that $\lim_{\beta \to \infty} E[(p_1(G_b) - p_k(G_b)) \cdot \beta p_1(H_b)p_k(H_b)] \leq 0$ for $k = 2, \ldots, N$.

We play the same trick again. Rewrite

$$p_1(G_b) = e^{\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} G^+_{b,1,k} \cdot 2 \sinh (\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} G^-_{b,1,k}) e^{\beta G_{b,i}}}$$

$$p_1(H_b)p_k(H_b) = \frac{e^{\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H^+_{b,1,k} \cdot 2 \cosh (\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H^-_{b,1,k} + \sum_{i \neq 1,k} e^{\beta G_{b,i}})^2}}{(e^{\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H^+_{b,1,k} \cdot 2 \cosh (\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H^-_{b,1,k} + \sum_{i \neq 1,k} e^{\beta H_{b,i}})^2})^2}$$

$$\text{Var}(G^+_{b,1,k}) = \text{Var}(G^-_{b,1,k}) = C_{11} \frac{11 + 1}{2} =: \gamma_{11}. \quad \text{Because of the hyperbolic functions, we look at } \tilde{G} := -G^-_{b,1,k} \text{ and } \bar{H} := -H^-_{b,1,k} \text{ instead of } G^-_{b,1,k} \text{ and } H^-_{b,1,k}. \quad \text{Firstly, we have that } \langle \tilde{G}^+_{b,1,k}, \bar{H} \rangle \text{ are independent of other } G^+_{b,i}'\text{s and } H^-_{b,i}'\text{s. We take } \tilde{G} := \sum_{i \neq 1,k} e^{\beta G_{b,i}} \text{ and } \bar{H} := \sum_{i \neq 1,k} e^{\beta H_{b,i}}. \quad \text{Secondly, } \langle \tilde{G}^+_{b,1,k}, \bar{H} \rangle \text{ are positively correlated and denote the covariance matrix as } \Gamma \text{ since } \gamma_{12} = \text{Cov}(G^+_{b,1,k}, \bar{H}) = -\frac{C_{11} + 1}{2} > 0.$$  

Represent $\langle \tilde{G}^+_{b,1,k}, \bar{H} \rangle$ of $\bar{H}$ and the part independent of $\bar{H}$,

$$\tilde{G}^+_{b,1,k} = \frac{b}{\gamma_{11}} \tilde{H} + \left\{ G^+_{b,1,k} - \frac{b}{\gamma_{11}} \bar{H} \right\}$$

$$\bar{G} = b\bar{H} + \{ \tilde{G} - b\bar{H} \}$$

$$\tilde{H}^{+}_{b,1,k} = \frac{\gamma_{11}}{\gamma_{11}} \tilde{H} + \left\{ H^{+}_{b,1,k} - \frac{\gamma_{11}}{\gamma_{11}} \bar{H} \right\}$$

Moreover, denote $G^+_{\delta} := G^+_{b,1,k} - \frac{b}{\gamma_{11}} \bar{H}$, $G^-_{\delta} := \tilde{G} - b\bar{H}$ and $H^+_{\delta} := H^{+}_{b,1,k} - \frac{\gamma_{11}}{\gamma_{11}} \bar{H}$. $\langle G^+_{\delta}, G^-_{\delta}, H^+_{\delta} \rangle$ are independent of $\bar{H}$.
The term in question with a minus sign becomes
\[
-E \left[ (p_1(G_b) - p_k(G_b)) \cdot p_1(H_b)p_k(H_b) \right]
= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{H_b}{\sqrt{11}} + G_b^+ \right)} \cdot 2\sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( H_b + G_b^+ \right) \right)}{e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{H_b}{\sqrt{11}} + G_b^+ \right)} \cdot 2\cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( bH_b + G_b^+ \right) \right) + \mathcal{G} \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{H_b}{\sqrt{11}} + G_b^+ \right)} \cdot 2\cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( bH_b + G_b^+ \right) \right) + \mathcal{H}}{e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{H_b}{\sqrt{11}} + G_b^+ \right)} \cdot 2\cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( bH_b + G_b^+ \right) \right) + \mathcal{G} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( H_b^+ \right)} + \mathcal{H}} \right] \cdot I_2
\]
It has the same limit as, if one takes \( \beta \to \infty \),
\[
E \left[ \frac{e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( G_b^+ \right)} \cdot 2\sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( G_b^- \right) \right)}{e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( G_b^+ \right)} \cdot 2\cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( G_b^- \right) \right) + \mathcal{G} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( H_b^+ \right)} + \mathcal{H}} \right] \cdot I_2
\]
where \( I_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi \text{Var}(H)}} \) and \( \text{Var}(H) = \frac{6\sqrt{2}}{12} \).
\[
\text{Cov}(G_b^+, G_b^-) = (1 - b^2)\gamma_{12} \geq 0, \quad \text{Cov}(G_b^+, H_b^+) = b\sqrt{\frac{2 - \gamma_{12}^2}{71}} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Cov}(G_b^-, H_b^+) = 0.
\]
Represent \( G_b^+ \) as
\[
G_b^+ = \gamma_{12} G_b^- + bH_b^+ \left( G_b^+ - \gamma_{12} G_b^- - bH_b^+ \right).
\]
The function in (3.8) is odd in \( G_b^- \) and is increasing in \( G_b^+ \) when \( G_b^- \) is positive. Thus, (3.8) is positive and the proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete.
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