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Abstract. We study perturbed Dirac operators of the form \( D_s = D + s A : \Gamma(E^0) \to \Gamma(E^1) \) over a compact Riemannian manifold \((X,g)\) with symbol \(c\) and special bundle maps \(A : E^0 \to E^1\) for \(s \gg 0\). Under a simple algebraic criterion on the pair \((c,A)\), solutions of \(D_s \psi = 0\) concentrate as \(s \to \infty\) around the singular set \(Z_A\) of \(A\). We prove a spectral separation property of the deformed Laplacians \(D^* D_s\) and \(D_s D^*\), for \(s \gg 0\). As a corollary we prove an index localization theorem.

1. Introduction

Given a first order elliptic operator \(D\) with symbol \(c\), one can look for zeroth-order perturbation \(A\) such that all \(W^{1,2}\)-solutions of the equation
\[
D_s \xi := (D + sA) \xi = 0
\] (1.1)
concentrate along submanifolds \(Z_\ell\) as \(s \to \infty\). In [M] we gave a simple algebraic criterion satisfied from \(c\) and \(A\) (see also Definition 2.1) that ensures localization of solutions to \(D_s \xi = 0\) in the sense of Proposition 2.2. We also provided a general method for constructing examples of \(c\) and \(A\) satisfying this criterion.

This paper is a sequel to [M] proving two main results: The first is a Spectral Decomposition Theorem for operators \(D_s\) (Theorem 2) that satisfy the concentration condition (2.3), two transversality conditions namely and two degeneration conditions. The Spectral Decomposition Theorem then states that:

- The eigenvectors corresponding to the low eigenvalues of \(D^*_s D_s\) concentrate near the singular set of the perturbation bundle map \(A\) as \(s \to \infty\).
- The eigenvalues of \(D^*_s D_s\) corresponding to the eigensections that do not concentrate grow at least as \(O(s)\) when \(s \to \infty\).
- The perturbation \(A\) determines submanifolds \(Z_\ell\) and each determines an associated decomposition of the normal bundle to the \(Z_\ell\) giving a precise asymptotic formula for the solutions of (1.1) when \(s\) is large.

Our second main result is an Index Localization Theorem, which follows from the Spectral Decomposition Theorem. It describes how the Atiyah-Singer type index formula for how the index of \(D\) decomposes as a sum of local indices associated with specific Dirac operators on suitable bundles over the submanifolds \(Z_\ell\).

The concentration condition (2.3) was previously found by I. Prokhorinov and K. Richardson in [PR]. They classified the complex linear perturbations \(A\) that satisfy (2.3) but found few examples, all of which concentrate at points. They proved a spectral decomposition theorem and an index localization theorem in the case where the submanifolds \(Z_\ell\) are finite unions of points. Our result generalize that theorem in the more general case where \(Z_\ell\) are submanifolds. Using our general construction of perturbations \(A\) using spinors described in [M], the Index Localization formula draws some interesting conclusions of the index of \(D\) as described by the local information of the zero set of a spinor. Part of our analysis on the asymptotics of the solutions when \(s\) is large, is similar to the work [BG] of J. M. Bismut and G. Lebeau. They use adiabatic estimates to examine in detail the case where \(A\) is a Witten deformation on a compact complex manifold with singular set a complex submanifold.
This paper has nine sections. Section 2 reviews the concentration condition and some analytic consequences described in [M] and states the main assumptions and results, which are proved in later sections. An important part of the story relies on the vector bundles $S^0_\ell^+$ and $S^1_\ell^+$ over the sub manifolds $Z_\ell$ that are introduced for the statement of Theorem 2 and described in detail later.

Section 3 is divided into two subsections. In the first subsection, we examine the perturbation term $\mathcal{A}$, regarding it as a section of a bundle of linear maps, and requiring it to be transverse to certain subvarieties, where the linear maps jump in rank. The transversality condition, allows us to write down a Taylor series expansion of $\mathcal{A}$ in the normal directions along each connected component $Z_\ell$ of $Z_A$. The 1-jet terms of $\mathcal{A}$ together with the assumptions of Theorem 2 indicate the existence of the vector bundles $S^0_\ell^+$ and $S^1_\ell^+$ and their geometry regarding the kernel bundles $\ker \mathcal{A}$ and $\ker \mathcal{A}^*$. In the second subsection we examine the geometry of the Clifford connection 1-form along the singular set and introduce a more appealing connection that ties better with the geometry of the bundles described earlier.

In Section 4, we examine the geometric structure of $D + s\mathcal{A}$ near the singular set $Z_A$ of $\mathcal{A}$. The transversality Assumption, allows us to write down a similar Taylor series expansion of the coefficients of the operator $D$ in the normal directions along each connected component $Z_\ell$ of $Z_A$. The expansion decomposes into the sum of a “vertical” operator $\mathcal{D}_0$ that acts on sections along each fiber of the normal bundle, and a “horizontal” operator $\mathcal{D}^Z$ that acts on sections of the bundles $\pi^* S^+_\ell \to N$ on each normal bundle $N \to Z_\ell$ of each component $Z_\ell$ of $Z_A$. These local operators give in turn the local models of the solutions we will be using in the construction of the asymptotic formula of the global low eigenvalues for $s$ large.

In Section 5 we review some well known properties of the horizontal and vertical operators introduced in Section 4. The vertical operator is well known to associate to a Euclidean harmonic oscillator in the normal directions. In Section 6 we introduce weighted Sobolev spaces related to the aforementioned harmonic oscillator. The mapping properties of the vertical operator allows to build a bootstrap argument for the weights of the Gaussian approximate solutions. In [7] we examine the mapping properties of the horizontal operator with respect to these spaces.

The technical analysis needed to prove the Spectral Separation Theorem is carried out in Section 8 and Section 9. Using the horizontal operator $D^Z_\ell$ and a splicing procedure, we define a space of approximate eigensections, and work through estimates needed to show that these approximate eigensections are uniquely corrected to true eigensections.

In Section 10 we provide an example from Morse-Bott homology theory where the Euler characteristic of closed manifold is written as a signed sum of the Euler characteristics of the critical submanifolds of a Morse-Bott function.

Finally, we provide an appendix with the statements and proofs that fall long in the main text. In subsections B.3 – B.6 of the Appendix we describe the construction and properties of an adapted connection that is an extension of the connection appeared in Section 3 over the normal bundle $N \to Z$ of the singular set $Z$. The adapted connection is used for the analysis carried out in the total space of the normal normal bundle $N$ in Sections 8 and 9.

The topology of the subvarieties that produce the singular set $Z_A$ is the next step in the pursuit of a connection of the Index Localization formula with characteristic numbers of these subvarieties. We will pursue this objective in another article. A good reference for them is [K2].

The author would like to thank Professor T.H. Parker for his suggestions and encouragement.

2. Concentration Principle for Dirac Operators

This section reviews some very general conditions described in [M] in which a family $D_\ell$ of deformed Dirac operators concentrates. Furthermore it describes the necessary assumptions and states the two main theorems of the paper.
Let \((X, g_X)\) be a closed Riemannian manifold and \(E\) be a real Riemannian vector bundle over \(X\). We say that \(E\) admits a \(Z_2\)-graded Clifford structure if there exist an orthogonal decomposition \(E = E^0 \oplus E^1\) and a bundle map \(c: T^* X \to \text{End}(E)\) so that that \(c(u)\) interchanges \(E^0\) with \(E^1\) and satisfies the Clifford relations,
\[
c(u)c(v) + c(v)c(u) = -2g_X(u, v)1_E,
\]
and for all \(u, v \in T^* X\). We will often denote \(u = c(u)\). Let also \(\nabla: \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(T^* X \otimes E)\) a connection compatible with the Clifford structure; by definition \(\nabla\) is compatible with the Clifford structure if it is compatible with the Riemannian metric of \(E\), if it preserves the \(Z_2\) grading and if it satisfies the parallelism condition \(\nabla c = 0\). The composition
\[
D = c \circ \nabla: C^\infty(X; E^0) \to C^\infty(X; E^1)
\]
then defines a Dirac operator. Given a real bundle map \(A: E^0 \to E^1\) we form the family of operators
\[
D_s = D + sA
\]
where \(s \in \mathbb{R}\). Furthermore, using the Riemannian metrics on the bundles \(E^0\) and \(E^1\) and the Riemannian volume form \(\text{dvol}_X\), we can form the adjoint \(A^*\), and the formal \(L^2\) adjoint \(D^*_1 = D^* + sA^*\) of \(D_s\). We recall from [M] the definition of a concentrating pair:

**Definition 2.1 (Concentrating pairs).** In the above context, we say that \((c, A)\) is a concentrating pair if it satisfies the algebraic condition
\[
A^* \circ c(u) = c(u)^* \circ A, \quad \text{for every } u \in T^* X. \tag{2.3}
\]

It is proven in [M][Lemma 2.2] that \((c, A)\) being a concentrating pair is equivalent to the differential operator
\[
B_A = D^* \circ A + A^* \circ D, \tag{2.4}
\]
being a bundle map.

In the analysis of the family \(D + sA\), a key role will be played by the *singular set of \(A\), defined as
\[
Z_A := \{ x \in X \mid \ker A(x) \neq 0 \},
\]
that is, the set where \(A\) fails to be injective. Since the bundles \(E^0\) and \(E^1\) have the same rank, a bundle map \(A: E^0 \to E^1\) will necessarily have index zero. However maps satisfying condition (2.3) are far from being generic and they may have a nontrivial kernel bundle everywhere in which case the former definition of \(Z_A\) will be equal to \(X\). In particular, the kernel bundle \(\ker A \to X\) and \(\ker A^* \to X\), will be a \(\text{Cl}(T^* X)\)-submodules of \(E^0\) and \(E^1\) over \(X\). There will also be a jumping locus of \(X\) denoted by \(Z_A\) where the dimension of the kernel will jump by the same amount for both bundles in each of the connected components of \(Z_A\). In this case we can always from the kernel subbundles of \(E^0\) and \(E^1\) over \(X \setminus Z_A\) and we will assume that we can extend them to subbundles of constant rank over the whole \(X\). The connection that is Clifford compatible, can be chosen to preserve these subbundles. Therefore \(A\) and the Dirac equation can be broken to the part of \(D\) and \(A\) living in the orthogonal complement of these bundles, reducing the problem to the case where \(A: E^0 \to E^1\) is generically an isomorphism except at the set \(Z_A\).

Fixing \(\delta > 0\), set \(Z_A(\delta)\) be the \(\delta\)-neighborhood of \(Z_A\) with well defined distance and let
\[
\Omega(\delta) = X \setminus Z_A(\delta)
\]
be its complement. The following proposition from [M] shows the importance of the concentrating condition (2.3).

**Proposition 2.2 (Concentration Principle).** There exist \(C' = C'(\delta, A, C) > 0\) and \(s_0 = s_0(\delta, A) > 0\) such that whenever \(|s| > s_0\) and \(\xi \in C^\infty(E)\) is a section with \(L^2\) norm 1 satisfying \(\|D_s \xi\|_2^2 \leq C|s|\), one has the estimate
\[
\int_{\Omega(\delta)} |\xi|^2 \text{dvol}_X < \frac{C'}{|s|}. \tag{2.5}
\]

We have the following improvement:
Proposition 2.3 (Improved concentration principle). Let \( \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and \( C_1 > 0 \), and choose \( \delta > 0 \) small enough so that \( 100(\ell + 1)\delta \) is a radius of a tubular neighborhood where the distance from \( Z_A \) is defined. Then there exist \( s_0 = s_0(\delta, C_1, c, A) \), \( \epsilon = \epsilon(\delta, \ell, \alpha, A) \) and \( C' = C'(\delta, \ell, \alpha, A) \) all positive numbers such that whenever \( |s| > s_0 \) and \( \xi \in C^\infty(E) \) is a section satisfying \( D^*_s D_s \xi = \lambda_s \xi \), one has the estimate
\[
\|\xi\|_{C^{\ell-\delta}(\Omega(\delta))} \leq C'|s|^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} e^{-\epsilon|s|} \|\xi\|_{L^2(X)}.
\]
The proof is located in Appendix subsection B.7.

Remark 2.4. (1) The dependence of the constant \( C' \) in (2.5) from \( \delta \) can be made explicit when \( \epsilon \) is an estimate of the form \( |A\xi|^2 \geq r^2|\xi|^2 \) on \( a \), sufficiently small, tubular neighborhood of \( Z_A \), where \( r \) is the distance from \( Z_A \) and \( a > 0 \). Assumption 3 below gives such an estimate.

(2) Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 is applicable to general concentration pairs \((\sigma, A)\) where the symbol \( \sigma \) is just elliptic.

Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 also hold for the adjoint operator \( D^*_s \) because of the following lemma:

Lemma 2.5. The concentration condition (2.3) is equivalent to
\[
c(u) \circ A^* = A \circ c(u)^* \quad \forall u \in T^*X.
\]
Hence \( D + sA \) concentrates if and only if the adjoint operator \( D^* + sA^* \) does.

From Proposition 2.2, the eigensections \( \xi \) satisfying \( D^*_s D_s \xi = \lambda(s) \xi \) with \( \lambda(s) = O(s) \), concentrate around \( Z_A \) for large \( s \). An interesting question arises as to what extend these localized solutions can be reconstructed using local data obtained from \( Z_A \). The answer will be given in Theorem 2. We start by describing the assumptions we will use throughout the paper in proving the main theorem. We start by imposing conditions on \( A \) that will guarantee that the connected components \( Z_\ell \) of the singular set \( Z_A \) are submanifolds and that the rank of \( A \) is constant on each \( Z_\ell \). For this, we regard \( A \) as a section of a subbundle \( \mathcal{L} \) of \( \text{Hom}(E^0, E^1) \) as in the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L} & \subseteq & \text{Hom}(E^0, E^1) \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow & & \quad \downarrow \\
X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^l
\end{array}
\]

Here \( \mathcal{L} \) is a bundle that parametrizes some family of linear maps \( A : E^0 \to E^1 \) that satisfy the concentration condition (2.3) for the operator (2.2), that is, each \( A \in \mathcal{L} \) satisfies \( A^* \circ c(u) = c(u)^* \circ A \) for every \( u \in T^*X \). Inside the total space of the bundle \( \text{Hom}(E^0, E^1) \), the set of linear maps with \( l \) dimensional kernel is a submanifold \( \mathcal{F}^l \); because \( E^0 \) and \( E^1 \) have the same rank, this submanifold has codimension \( l^2 \).

In all of our examples in [M] the set \( \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{F}^l \) is a manifold for every \( l \).

Transversality Assumptions:

(1) As a section of \( \mathcal{L} \), \( A \) is transverse to \( \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{F}^l \) for every \( l \), and these intersections occur at points where \( \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{F}^l \) is a manifold.

(2) \( Z_\ell \) is closed for all \( \ell \).

As a consequence of the Implicit Function Theorem, \( A^{-1}(\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{F}^l) \subseteq X \) will be a submanifold of \( X \) for every \( l \). The singular set decomposes as a union of these critical submanifolds and, even further, as a union of connected components \( Z_\ell \):
\[
Z_A = \bigcup_l A^{-1}(\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{F}^l) = \bigcup_\ell Z_\ell.
\]

\( \mathcal{L} \) has constant rank along each \( Z_\ell \), and \( \ker A \) and \( \ker A^* \) are well defined bundles over \( Z_\ell \). Fix one critical \( m \)-dimensional submanifold \( Z := Z_\ell \) with normal bundle \( \pi : N \to Z \). As explained in Appendix [A] for small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) the exponential map identify an open tubular neighborhood \( B_Z(2\varepsilon) \subseteq X \) of \( Z \) with the
Non-degeneracy Assumption:

(3) Set $\hat{A} = I^{-1}A I$ and $\hat{A}$ the restriction of $\hat{A}$ to the zero set. We require

$$\hat{A}^* \hat{A}|_{S^0} = r^2 \left( Q^0 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{A}^* \hat{A} r|_{S^0} \right) + O(r^3),$$

where $r$ is the distance function from $Z$, and $Q^0$ is a positive-definite symmetric endomorphism of the bundle $S^0$.

Comparing with the expansion of Proposition B.1(3) for $\tilde{\lambda}^* \tilde{A}|_{S^0}$, the condition replaces the $\text{Cl}_n^0(TX|Z)$-invariant term $\frac{\varepsilon}{2\alpha}(A^r, A^s)|_{S^0}$ with a matrix $Q^0$. The statement of the non-degeneracy assumption is twofold: 1) For every $v = \alpha e_\alpha \in N$, $|v| = 1$, by Schur’s lemma, there are decompositions

$$S^0|Z = \bigoplus_{k=1}^\ell S_{k,v} \quad \text{and} \quad v_\alpha v_\beta (A^r, A^s)|_{S^0} = \sum_k \lambda(v)P_{S_{k,v}},$$

for some $\lambda(v) \in (0, \infty)$, where $P_{S_{k,v}} : S^0|Z \to S_{k,v}$ is the orthogonal projection. The assumption guarantees that the decomposition doesn’t depend on the radial directions $v \in N$, $|v| = 1$. 2) $Q^0$ has trivial kernel as an element of $\text{End}(S^0)$).

An eigenvalue of the section $Q^0 : Z \to \text{End}(S^0|Z)$ is a function $\lambda : Z \to (0, \infty)$ so that the section $Q^0 - \lambda^2 I_{S^0|Z} : Z \to \text{End}(S^0|Z)$ has image consisting of solely singular matrices. By [K] Ch.II, Th.6.8, pp.122 we can always choose a family of eigenvalues (possibly with repetitions) that are smooth functions $\{\lambda_\ell : Z \to (0, \infty)\}_\ell^{1}$.

In Lemma 4.5 it is proven that Assumption 4 implies an analogue expansion for the bundle map

$$\tilde{A}^* \tilde{A}|_{S^1} = r^2 \left( Q^1 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{A}^* \tilde{A} r|_{S^1} \right) + O(r^3).$$

Also from equation (3.12) of that Lemma follows that $Q^1 : S^1|Z \to S^1|Z$ is a $\text{Cl}_n^0(T^*X|Z)$-invariant map for every $i = 0, 1$ and they have the same spectrum. By Schur’s lemma, we have a decomposition

$$S^i|Z = \bigoplus_{\ell} S^i_{\ell}$$

(2.11)

into the $\text{Cl}_n^0(T^*X|Z)$-invariant eigenspaces of the distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda^2_\ell\}_\ell$ of $Q^i$. Our final assumption guarantees that the eigenbundles of this decomposition have constant rank:

Stable degenerations:

(4) We require that every two members of the family $\{\lambda_\ell : Z \to (0, \infty)\}_\ell^{1}$ are either identical or their graphs do not intersect.

The summands (2.11) are also $\text{Cl}_n^0(T^*X|Z)$-submodules. By Assumption 4 the graphs of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_\ell\}_\ell$ do not intersect and therefore, the bundle of vector spaces $\{(S^i_{\ell}) P_{\ell} \}_{\ell \in Z}$ has constant rank and form a vector bundle over $Z$, for every $\ell$ and every $i = 0, 1$.

We introduce the section of $\text{End}(S^i|Z)$ defined by the composition,

$$C^i : S^i|Z \xrightarrow{\nabla A} T^*X|Z \otimes E^{1-i}|Z \xrightarrow{\cdot \mathbb{N} \otimes P^{1-i}} N^* \otimes S^{1-i}|Z \xrightarrow{\cdot c} S^i|Z , \quad C = C^0 \oplus C^1,$$

(2.12)
where \( \iota_N : N \to TX|_Z \) is the inclusion. It is proven in Proposition \( \ref{prop:C^i} \) that \( C^i \) is a symmetric operator that respects the decompositions \( \ref{eq:ClZ} \). It is further proven that \( C^i \) has eigenvalues \( \{(n-m-2k)\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{n-m} \) and that the corresponding eigenspaces are \( \text{Cl}^0(T^*Z) \)-modules of constant rank. It is the eigenspaces with eigenvalues \( \{ \pm (n-m)\lambda_k \} \) that are important:

**Definition 2.6.** For each component \( Z \) of \( Z_A \) with \( S|_Z = A \oplus \ker A^* \) and every \( k \in \{0, \ldots, n-m\} \), let

\[
S^i k = \{ \text{eigenspace of } C^i \text{ with eigenvalue } (n-m-2k)\lambda_k \}, \quad S^0 k = S^0 k \oplus S^1 k.
\]

In particular, when \( k = 0 \) or \( k = \dim N \), we define

\[
S^i k = \{ \text{eigenspace of } C^i \text{ with eigenvalue } \pm (n-m)\lambda_k \}
\]

and set

\[
S^0 = S^0 \oplus S^1, \quad S^\pm = S^0 \oplus S^1, \quad S^\pm = \bigoplus_s S^\pm
\]

with corresponding bundles of orthogonal projections \( P^\pm \), \( P^\pm \), etc, where the indices follow the same placing as the indices of the spaces they project to.

In particular, \( S^\pm = S^0 \oplus S^1 \) are bundles of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded, \( \text{Cl}(T^*Z) \)-modules, over \( Z \) with Clifford multiplication defined as the restriction

\[
c_Z := c : T^*Z \otimes S^i \to S^{(1-i)} , \quad i = 0, 1.
\]

They possess a natural connection, compatible with its Clifford structure given by

\[
\nabla^\pm := P^\pm \circ \nabla |_{TZ} : C^\infty(Z; S^\pm) \to C^\infty(Z; T^*Z \otimes S^\pm).
\]

**Definition 2.7.** On each component \( Z \subset Z_A \) we have a triple \( (S^+, \nabla^+, c_Z) \). We define a Dirac operator

\[
D^Z_\pm := c_Z \circ \nabla^\pm : C^\infty(Z; S^0) \to C^\infty(Z; S^1).
\]

It’s \( L^2 \)-adjoint is denoted by \( D^Z_\pm \). Finally let \( B^Z_{\pm i} : S^i \to S^{(1-i)} \)

\[
B^Z_{\pm i} := \begin{cases}
\sum_{\ell, \ell'} C_{\ell, \ell'} P^1_{\ell} \circ \left( B^0_{\ell} + \frac{1}{2(\lambda_\ell + \lambda_{\ell'})} \sum_\alpha A_{\alpha \alpha} \right) \circ P^0_{\ell'}, & \text{when } i = 0, \\
\sum_{\ell, \ell'} C_{\ell, \ell'} P^0_{\ell} \circ \left( B^1_{\ell} + \frac{1}{2(\lambda_{\ell'} + \lambda_{\ell'})} \sum_\alpha A_{\alpha \alpha} \right) \circ P^1_{\ell'}, & \text{when } i = 1,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( B^i \) are defined in expansions of Lemma \( \ref{lem:dirac} \) and

\[
C_{\ell, \ell'} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n-m}{2}} \frac{(\lambda_\ell \lambda_{\ell'})^{\frac{n-m}{4}}}{(\lambda_\ell + \lambda_{\ell'})^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}
\]

The main result of this paper is a converse of Proposition \( \ref{prop:converse} \). Recall that Proposition \( \ref{prop:converse} \) shows that, for each \( C \), the eigensections \( \xi \) satisfying \( D^*_\ell D_\ell \xi = \lambda(s) \xi \) with \( |\lambda(s)| \leq C \), concentrate around \( \bigcup Z_{\ell} \) for large \( s \). Let \( \text{span}^0(s, K) \) be the span of the eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues \( \lambda(s) \leq K \) of \( D^*_s D_s \), and denote the dimension of this space by \( N^0(s, K) \). Similarly denote by \( \text{span}^1(s, K) \) and \( N^1(s, K) \) the span and dimension of the corresponding eigenvectors for the operator \( D_s D^*_s \). The following Spectral Separation Theorem shows that these localized solutions can be reconstructed using local data obtained from \( Z_A \).

**Spectral Separation Theorem.** Suppose that \( D_s = D + sA \) satisfies Assumptions \( \ref{assump:bounded} \) above. Then there exist \( \lambda_0 > 0 \) and \( s_0 > 0 \) with the following significance: For every \( s > s_0 \), there exist vector space isomorphisms

\[
\text{span}^0(s, \lambda_0) \cong \bigoplus_{Z \subset Z_A} \ker(D^Z_+ + B^Z_{0+})
\]

and

\[
\text{span}^1(s, \lambda_0) \cong \bigoplus_{Z \subset Z_A} \ker(D^Z_+ + B^Z_{1+})
\]
where $Z$ runs through all the connected components of the singular set $Z_A$. Furthermore $N^i(s, \lambda_0) = N^i(s, C_1 s^{-1/2})$, for every $s > s_0$ and every $i = 0, 1$ where $C_1$ is the constant of Theorem \[8.3\] \[8.3\]. Also, for every $s < -s_0$, the isomorphisms above hold by replacing $\ker(D^2 Z_+ + B^i_{0+})$ with $\ker(D^2 Z_+ + B^i_{0-})$ and $\ker(D^2 Z_+ + B^i_{1+})$ with $\ker(D^2 Z_+ + B^i_{1-})$.

As a corollary we get the following localization for the index:

**Index Localization Theorem.** Suppose that $D_s = D + sA$ satisfies Assumptions \[8.3\] above. Then the index of $D$ can be written as a sum of local indices as

$$\text{index } D = \sum_{Z \subset Z_A} \text{index } D^Z_\pm.$$ 

**Proof.** By the Spectral Separation Theorem there exist $\lambda_0 > 0$ and $s_0 > 0$ so that for every $s > s_0$

$$\text{index } D_s = \dim \ker D_s - \dim \ker D^*_s = \dim \ker D^*_s D_s - \dim \ker D_s D^*_s$$

$$= \dim \text{span}^0(s, \lambda_0) - \dim \text{span}^1(s, \lambda_0)$$

$$= \sum_{Z \subset Z_A} \text{index } D^Z_\pm.$$ 

where the third equality holds because $D^*_s D_s$ and $D_s D^*_s$ have the same spectrum and their eigenspaces corresponding to a common non zero eigenvalue are isomorphic. We also use the fact that the index remains unchanged under compact perturbations. This finishes the proof. \[8\]

**Remark 2.8.**

1. Due to the conclusion of its last sentence the Spectral Separation Theorem is a direct generalization of the localization theorem proved in \[PR\].

2. By Lemma \[B.4\] of the Appendix, the term $\sum_\alpha A_{\alpha\alpha}$ in Definition \[2.7\] can be assumed to be zero without affecting the generality of the statement of Theorem \[2\].

3. The non-degeneracy assumption \[3\] can be weaken for the proof of the Spectral Separation Theorem. It is included for making the construction of the approximation simpler. In general one has to examine the various normal vanishing rates of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}^* \mathcal{A}$ and correct the expansions in Corollary \[1.5\] up to higher order in $r \to \infty$. The re-scaling \{$w_\alpha = \sqrt{s}x_\alpha\}$ required to change the jump into a regular one will change multiplicity. In particular the bundles of solutions examined here will have a layer structure corresponding to those rates and possibly will have a jumping locus in their dimension.

The proof of Theorem \[2\] relies on the existence of a gap in the spectrum of the operators $D^*_s D_s$ and $D_s D^*_s$. The proof of the existence of this gap relies in a splicing construction of approximate eigenvectors associated to the lower part of the spectrum. Inequalities of Theorem \[8.3\] are used to prove this association. In particular, inequality \[8.4\] is an analogue of a Poincare inequality and its proof is the heart of the argument. By Lemma \[9.1\] the proof of inequality \[8.4\] is essentially local in nature and one has to study the perturbative behavior of the density function $|D_r \eta|^2 d\text{vol}^X$ for sections $\eta$ supported in tubular neighborhoods of a component $Z$ of the critical set $Z_A$. Using the maps \[2.10\] the metric tensor $g_X$ and volume form $d\text{vol}^X$ pulled back to $g = \exp^* g_X$ and $d\text{vol}^N = \exp^* d\text{vol}^X$ in $TN|_N$ respectively.

**Definition 2.9.** Set $\tilde D$ for the Dirac operator $\mathcal{I}^{-1} D \mathcal{I}$ and $\tilde c = \mathcal{I}^{-1} \circ c \circ (I \otimes \mathcal{I})$.

The association

$$C^\infty(B_Z(2\varepsilon); E|_{B_Z(2\varepsilon)}) \to C^\infty(N; \tilde E), \quad \eta \mapsto \tilde \eta := \mathcal{I}^{-1} \xi \circ \exp$$

satisfies $\tilde D \tilde \eta = \tilde D \eta$. Also

$$\|D_r \eta\|^2_{L^2(B_Z(2\varepsilon))} = \int_{B_Z(2\varepsilon)} |D_r \eta|^2 d\text{vol}^X = \int_N |\tilde D_r \tilde \eta|^2 d\text{vol}^N = \int_N |r^{-1} (\tilde D_s \tilde \eta)|^2 d\text{vol}^N.$$ 

where in the last equality, we used the parallel transport map $\tau$ introduced in Appendix \[B.2\]. By \[B.20\], the density $d\text{vol}^N$ can be replaced by $d\text{vol}^N$ and we have to prove the inequalities of Theorem \[8.3\]
for the density function $|\tau^{-1}(\tilde{D}_\eta)|^2 \, d\text{vol}^N$. We study the perturbative behavior of the operator $\tau^{-1} \circ \tilde{D}_s : C^\infty(\mathcal{N}; \pi^*(E^0|Z)) \to C^\infty(\mathcal{N}; \pi^*(E^1|Z))$ in two parts: in Section 3 we analyze the infinitesimal data of the perturbation term $\tilde{A}$ and in Section 4 we analyze the perturbative behavior of $\tau^{-1} \circ \tilde{D}_s$.

### 3. Structure of $\mathcal{A}$ near the singular set

In proving the Spectral Separation Theorem we will have to analyze the geometry of the operator

$$\tilde{D}_s = \tilde{c} \circ \tilde{\nabla} + s\tilde{A} : C^\infty(\mathcal{N}; \tilde{E}^0) \to C^\infty(\mathcal{N}; \tilde{E}^1)$$

near the singular set $Z$, that is the corresponding connected component of $Z_\mathcal{A}$ with tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$. The idea is to expand into Taylor series along the normal directions $Z$.

#### 3.1. The data from the 1-jet of $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{A}^*\tilde{A}$ along $Z$.

Fix such a connected component $Z$, an $m$-dimensional submanifold of the $n$ dimensional manifold $X$. Our first task is to understand the perturbation term $\mathcal{A}$ on a tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of $Z$. Note that $\tilde{A}|_Z = \mathcal{A}|_Z$. Let $\pi : N \to Z$ be the normal bundle of $Z$ in $X$ and $S(N) \to Z$ its normal sphere bundle.

**Definition 3.1.** We introduce the rank $d$ - subbundles,

$$S^0_p := \ker \mathcal{A}_p \subset E^0_p, \quad S^1_p := \ker \mathcal{A}_p^* \subset E^1_p \quad \text{and} \quad S_p = S^0_p \oplus S^1_p,$$

as $p$ runs in $Z$, and expand them by parallel transport, along the normal radial geodesics of $Z$, to bundles $S^0, S^1 \to \mathcal{N}$, defined over a sufficiently small tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of $Z$. We denote by $P^i$ and $P^i_\perp$, the orthogonal projections to $S^i$ and its complement $(S^i)_\perp$, respectively.

Since index $\mathcal{A} = 0$, the bundles $S^i, \ i = 0, 1$ are of equal dimension and a consequence of the concentration condition (2.3) is

$$u.S^i = S^{1-i}, \quad u.(S^i)_\perp = (S^{1-i})_\perp, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad (3.1)$$

for every $u \in T^*X|_N$. Therefore the bundles $S^0 \oplus S^1$ and $(S^0)_\perp \oplus (S^1)_\perp$ are both $Z_\mathcal{V}$- graded $\text{Cl}^0(T^*X|_Z)$- modules. By derivating relations (2.3) and (2.7) we also get

$$v.\nabla_u^*\mathcal{A} = -\nabla_u^*\mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_u^*\mathcal{A}v = -v.\nabla_u^*\mathcal{A}^* \quad (3.2)$$

for every $u \in N, v \in T^*X|_Z$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is transverse to $\mathcal{L}\cap\mathcal{F}^\ell$, along the normal directions of $Z_\ell = Z \subset X$, by Proposition [B.1] [B.4], we have

$$\nabla_u\mathcal{A}(S^0) \subseteq S^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_u^*\mathcal{A}(S^1) \subseteq S^0,$$

for every $u \in N$. Using the preceding relations with equations (3.1), we make the following definition:

**Definition 3.2.** Let $S(N) \to Z$ be the normal sphere bundle of $Z$ in $X$. For every $v \in N$ let $v^*$ be its algebraic dual. The bundle maps,

$$M^i : S(N) \to \text{End}(S^i|_Z) : v \mapsto M^i_v := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -v^*\nabla_v^*\mathcal{A}, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ -v^*\nabla_v^*\mathcal{A}^*, & \text{if } i = 1 \end{array} \right. \quad (3.3)$$

$$M : S(N) \to \text{End}(S|_Z) : v \mapsto M^0_v \oplus M^1_v, \quad (3.4)$$

will be of the utmost importance.

**Proposition 3.3.** Assume that $n = \dim X > \dim Z = m > 0$. Fix $v \in S(N)$ and $w \in S(TX|_Z)$ perpendicular to $v$ and set $p = \pi(v) \in Z$. Given an eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $M^i_v$ we denote by $S^i_{\lambda} \subset S^i_p$, the associated eigenspace and set $S_{\lambda} = S^0_{\lambda} \oplus S^1_{\lambda}$. The matrices $M^i_v, \ i = 0, 1$ enjoy the following properties:
(1) The matrix $M^0_v$ is symmetric with spectrum, a symmetric finite set around the origin. Given an eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda$, the Clifford multiplication

$$v^* w^* : S^0_\lambda \rightarrow S^0_{-\lambda},$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) The matrices $M^i_v, i = 0, 1$ have the same spectrum and the Clifford multiplication

$$w^* : S^i_\lambda \rightarrow S^{1-i}_\lambda \text{ and } v^* : S^i_\lambda \rightarrow S^{1-i}_{-\lambda},$$

induce isomorphisms for every $i = 0, 1$.

(3) We have the following submodules of $S_p$:

$$S_\lambda \oplus S_{-\lambda} \quad \text{is a } \operatorname{Cl}(T^*_p X)_0 \text{-module},$$

$$S^i_\lambda \oplus S^i_{-\lambda} \quad \text{is a } \operatorname{Cl}^i(N^*_p)_0 \text{-module},$$

$$S^{i} \oplus S^{i}_{-\lambda} \quad \text{is a } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{-graded } \operatorname{Cl}(T^*_p Z_p)_0 \text{-module},$$

for every $i = 0, 1$.

Proof. Let $\{e^A\}_{A=1,2,\cdots}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T^* X_p$ so that $e^1 = v^*$ and $e^2 = w^*$. For an ordered string $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ we set $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$, $|I| = k$ and $e^I = e^{i_1} \cdots e^{i_k}$. The proof of the proposition follow by the identity,

$$M^i_v e^I = \begin{cases} 
e^I M^i_v, & \text{if } |I| \text{ is even and } 1 \notin I, \\
-e^I M^i_v, & \text{if } |I| \text{ is even and } 1 \in I, \\

-e^I M^i_v, & \text{if } |I| \text{ is odd and } 1 \notin I, \\
-e^I M^i_v, & \text{if } |I| \text{ is odd and } 1 \in I. \\
\end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

The identity is a direct consequence of $(2.1), (3.2)$ and the definitions of $M^i_v$ in $(3.3)$. $\square$

We now study the properties of the matrix functions $S(N) \ni v \mapsto M^i_v \in \operatorname{End}(S^i|Z)$. By [K] Ch.II, Th. 6.8, pp.122], we can always choose a family of eigenvalues (possibly with repetitions) that are smooth functions $\{\lambda_\ell : S(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}^\ell_{\ell=1}$ so that $M^0_\ell - \lambda_\ell(v) 1_{S^0|Z}$ is a singular matrix for every $v \in S(N)$ and every $\ell$. Associated to each such $\lambda_\ell$ is a bundle of eigenspaces $\{S^i(v)\}_{v \in S(N)}$ that is a subbundle of $\pi^* (S^0|Z)$ with varying dimensions in the fibers, and its jumping locus in $S(N)$ located where the different graphs of the eigenvalues intersect. Assumptions [H] and [I] guarantee two main properties: 1) the bundles of eigenspaces have constant ranks and are pullbacks under $\pi : S(N) \rightarrow Z$ of eigenbundles defined on the core set $Z$ and 2) the eigenvalues are functions of the core set $Z$. The following couple of propositions explain why this is the case. The following second order term in the expansion of $A^*, A$ along $Z$ will be important:

**Definition 3.4.**

(1) We introduce the bundle map,

$$M^i : N \otimes N \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}(S^i) : v \otimes w \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_v A^* \nabla_w A + \nabla_w A^* \nabla_v A) |_{S^0|Z}, & \text{if } i = 0, \\
\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_v A \nabla_w A^* + \nabla_w A \nabla_v A^*) |_{S^1|Z}, & \text{if } i = 1. \\
\end{cases}$$

We also introduce

$$M : N \otimes N \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}(S^0) \oplus \operatorname{Sym}(S^1) : v \otimes w \mapsto M^0_{v,w} \oplus M^1_{v,w}.$$ 

(2) We say that $\{M^i_{v,w}\}_{v,w \in S(N)}$ is compatible with the inner product $g_X$ or simply compatible, if

$$M^i_{v,w} \equiv 0 \text{ whenever } g_X(v,w) = 0, \ v, w \in N. \quad (3.6)$$

If both $M^0$ and $M^1$ are compatible, we say that $M$ is compatible.

**Lemma 3.5.** The term $M_{v,w}$ satisfies the following identities:

(1) For every $v \in S(N)$, we have $M_{v,v} = M^2_v$.

(2) For every $v, w \in N$,

$$[M_v, M_w] = 2 w^* v^* (M_{v,w} - g(v,w) M_{v,w}) \quad (3.7)$$
Assumption $\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to $M^0_{v,v}$ being compatible and to $M^0_{v,w}$ being invertible for some $v \in S(N)$.

5. If $\mathcal{A}^*\mathcal{A}$ satisfies Assumption $\mathcal{B}$ then so does $\mathcal{A}^*\mathcal{A}$ that is, there exist a positive-definite symmetric endomorphism $Q^1$ of the bundle $S^1$, so that

$$\mathcal{A}^*\mathcal{A}^\mid_{S^1} = r^2 \left( Q^1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^*\mathcal{A}^r \big|_{S^1} \right) + O(r^3).$$

Furthermore

$$u^*Q^i = Q^{1-i}u^*,$$

and $Q^0$ and $Q^1$ share the same spectrum and they are $C^0(T^*X)$-equivariant.

Proof. All the identities are direct consequences of (2.1) and (3.2). For the last couple of entries, working in a Fermi chart $(N_U, (x_j, x_\alpha)_{j,\alpha})$ with $v = \sum_\alpha x_\alpha \beta \bar{\alpha} \in S(N)$, we have that

$$M^0_{v,v} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{x_\alpha x_\beta M_{\alpha,\beta}}{r^2} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{x_\alpha x_\beta \mathcal{A}^*\mathcal{A}^r}{r^2} \big|_{S^0}.$$

By comparing terms in expansion of Proposition 5.3, it follows that Assumption $\mathcal{B}$ is equivalent to $M^0_{v,v} = Q^0$ for every $v \in S(N)$ for $Q^0$ a positive definite matrix, a quadratic relation in $S(N)$. By polarization, this is equivalent to $M^0_{v,v}$ satisfying condition (3.6) with $i = 0$ and to $M^0_{v,v}$ being invertible for some $v \in S(N)$. Equation (3.3) then shows that $M^0_{v,v}$ being compatible is equivalent to $M^1_{v,v}$ being compatible. It follows that if $\mathcal{A}^*\mathcal{A}$ satisfies Assumption $\mathcal{B}$ the so does $\mathcal{A}^*\mathcal{A}$ and there exist a positive-definite symmetric endomorphism $Q^1$ of the bundle $S^1$, so that

$$\mathcal{A}^*\mathcal{A}^\mid_{S^1} = r^2 \left( Q^1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^*\mathcal{A}^r \big|_{S^1} \right) + O(r^3).$$

Finally for $v = w$, equation (3.8) becomes (3.9). The last couple of assertions follow then from this resulting equation. \square

The following proposition shows that Assumption $\mathcal{B}$ implies that the eigenbundles of $M_v$ are pullbacks under $\pi : S(N) \to Z$ of eigenbundles defined on the core set $Z$ and that the corresponding eigenvalues are functions of the core set $Z$:

**Proposition 3.6.** We use the notation from Proposition 3.3.

1. The family $\{M_{v,w}\}_{v,w \in S(N)}$ commutes if and only if the subfamily $\{M^2_v\}_{v \in S(N)}$ commutes and that happens if and only if, the direct sum of the eigenbundles $S_\lambda \oplus S_{-\lambda} \to S(N)$ can be pushed forward to subbundles of the bundle $S|_Z \to Z$, for every eigenvalue $\lambda : S(N) \to \mathbb{R}$ of the family $\{M_v : v \in S(N)\}$.

2. If $\{M_{v,w}\}_{v,w \in S(N)}$ is compatible with $g_X$, then there exist $Q : Z \to \text{SymEnd}(S^0) \oplus \text{SymEnd}(S^1)|_Z$ so that

$$M_{v,w} = g_X(v,w)Q,$$

for every $v, w \in N$.

3. Assume the squares $\{M^2_v : v \in S(N)\}$ are invertible matrices, independent of $v \in S(N)$ but dependent on $\pi(v)$. Call their common matrix value by $Q$. Then $Q$ is a symmetric matrix and the family $\{M_v\}_{v \in S(N)}$ respects the eigenspaces of $Q$. Moreover, if $\lambda : S(N) \to \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of this family then $\lambda$ is a function on the core set $Z$.

**Proof.** In proving (1), let $v, w \in S(N)$ and set $e = (v + w)/|v + w|$ another unit vector. By polarization of the identity $M_{u,u} = M^2_u$, we obtain

$$M_{v,w} = \frac{1}{2}(v + w)^2M^2_v - M^2_v - M^2_w)$$
Proposition 3.7 (Structure of compatible subspaces)

Hence, if the family \( \{M^2_v\}_{v \in S(N)} \) commutes, then the family \( \{M_{v,w}\}_{v,w \in S(N)} \) commutes as well. On the other hand, the family \( \{M^2_v\}_{v \in S(N)} \) commutes, if and only if the matrices in the family are simultaneously diagonalizable. Since \( M^2_v \) is a direct sum of the \( M^i_v, i = 0, 1 \), these matrices satisfy analogue commutativity properties. Thus, given an eigenvalue \( \lambda = \lambda(v) \) of \( M^i_v \), its eigenspace \( S^i_\lambda \) is common for all \( (M^i_v)^2 \) with \( \pi(w) = \pi(v) \in Z \).

Assume now that \( \{M_{v,w}\}_{v,w \in S(N)} \) satisfies condition \( 3.6 \). Given \( v, w \in S(N) \) perpendicular vectors, we get another pair of unit perpendicular vectors \( e^\pm = (v \pm w)/\sqrt{2} \) and by the definition of compatibility we have
\[
0 = M_{e^+, e^-} = \frac{1}{2} (M^2_v - M^2_w) \implies M^2_v = M^2_w.
\]
It follows that the family \( \{M^2_v\}_{v \in S(N)} \) consists of only one positive definite symmetric matrix \( Q : S|Z \to S|Z \). Given an orthonormal basis \( \{e_\alpha\} \) of \( N \) and arbitrary unit vectors \( v = \sum_\alpha v_\alpha e_\alpha \) and \( w = \sum_\alpha w_\alpha e_\alpha \), we obtain
\[
M_{v,w} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} v_\alpha w_\beta M_{\alpha, \beta} = \sum_\alpha v_\alpha w_\alpha M^2_\alpha = g_X(v, w)Q.
\]

The first part of \( 3.6 \) follows since \( [Q, M_v] = M^3_v - M^2_v = 0 \), for every \( v \in S(N) \). Given an eigenvalue \( \lambda : S(N) \to \mathbb{R} \) of \( \{M_v\}_{v \in S(N)} \) we have that \( \lambda^2 \) is an eigenvalue of \( Q \) and therefore a non-vanishing function on the core set \( Z \). But \( \lambda \) is continuous and maintain sign, as function of \( S(N) \) and therefore it is a function on the core set \( Z \).

From now on we assume Assumptions \( 3 \) and \( 4 \). It is evident from Proposition \( 3.6 \) that the family \( \{M_v\}_{v \in S(N)} \) respects the decomposition \( 2.11 \) into eigenbundles of \( Q \). Recall the definitions of the bundles \( S^{\ell}_{tk} \) in Definition \( 2.6 \). We proceed to describe the structure of a single \( S^\ell_t \) for fixed eigenvalue \( \lambda_t : Z \to (0, \infty) \):

**Proposition 3.7** (Structure of compatible subspaces). Assume \( 0 < m = \dim Z < n = \dim X \).

1. We have an alternate description of \( S^\ell_t \) as,
\[
S^\ell_t = \bigcap_{v \in S(N)} \{ \xi \in S^\ell_t : M^i_v \xi = \pm \lambda_t \xi \}, \quad i = 0, 1. \tag{3.10}
\]

2. \( S^\ell_t \) are both, \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded, \( Cl(T^*Z) \)-modules. Furthermore
\[
\bigcap_{v, w \in S(N)} \ker[M^i_v, M^i_w] = S^\ell_t^+ \oplus S^\ell_t^-, \quad i = 0, 1. \tag{3.11}
\]

3. We view \( Cl(N^*) \) as an irreducible \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded \( Cl(N^*) \)-left module and \( S^\ell_t^+ = S^\ell_t^{i+} \oplus S^\ell_t^{i-} \) as an irreducible \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded \( Cl(T^*Z) \)-module. Then there is a bundle isomorphism of \( Cl(N^*) \otimes Cl(T^*Z) \)-modules
\[
c_{t} : Cl(N^*) \otimes S^\ell_t^+ \to S^\ell_t,
\tag{3.12}
\]
induced by the the Clifford multiplication of \( S \) as a \( Cl(T^*X|_Z) \)-module, where \( \otimes \) represents the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded tensor product. Moreover the principal \( SO(\dim S^\ell_t) \)-bundle of \( S^\ell_t \) is reduced to the product of the principal SO-bundles of \( N \) and \( S^\ell_t \) respectively.

4. Under the identification of bundles \( \Lambda^*N^* \cong Cl(N^*) \), the bundle isomorphism \( 3.11, 12 \) restricts to a bundle isomorphism
\[
c_{t} : \Lambda^kN^* \otimes S^\ell_t^i \to \begin{cases} S^\ell_t^{ki}, & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ S^\ell_t^{1-k-i}, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}, \quad i = 0, 1.
\]

In particular, there is a decomposition
\[
S^i_t = \bigoplus_{k \text{ even}} S^i_t^{ki} \oplus \bigoplus_{k \text{ odd}} S^i_t^{1-k-i}, \quad i = 0, 1. \tag{3.13}
\]
This decomposition depends on our choice of frame \( \{ e_\alpha \}_\alpha \) of \( N \) with dual basis \( \{ e^\alpha \}_\alpha \), we work with \( i = 0 \) and set \( M^0_\alpha := M^0_{e_\alpha} \). Similar proofs holds for \( i = 1 \). Combining equation from Proposition 3.6 with equation (3.7), we have that,

\[
[M^0_\nu, M^0_\omega] = 2w^*v^*g(v, w)(Q^0 - M^0_\nu M^0_\omega) = 2w^*v^*g(v, w)M^0_\nu(M^0_\nu - M^0_\omega),
\]

(3.14)

for any \( v, w \in S(N) \). Hence

\[
\xi \in \ker[M^0_\nu, M^0_\omega] \iff v \perp w \quad \text{or if} \quad M^0_\nu \xi = M^0_\omega \xi.
\]

(3.15)

In particular we have that \([M^0_\alpha, M^0_\beta] = 0\) for every \( \alpha, \beta \) so that the family \( \{ M^0_\alpha \}_\alpha \) commutes. Recall the bundle map \( C^0 \) introduced in (2.12). By Proposition B.1 B.4, \( C^0 = \sum_\alpha M^0_\alpha \). In particular

\[
[C^0, M^0_\alpha] = \sum_\beta [M^0_\beta, M^0_\alpha] = 0
\]

and since \( v = e_\alpha \) is an arbitrary vector completed to a basis of \( N \), we have that \([C^0, M^0_\nu] = 0\) and therefore \( M^0_\nu \) preserves the eigenspaces of \( C^0 \), for every \( v \in S(N) \). We calculate these eigenspaces by using the description of \( C \) with respect to the orthonormal base \( \{ e_\alpha \}_\alpha \).

The members of the family \( \{ M^0_\alpha \}_\alpha \) are commuting symmetric matrices that preserve \( S^0_\ell \) so that

\[
(M^0_\alpha)^2 = Q^0|_{S^0_\ell} = \lambda^2_\alpha S^0_\ell.
\]

Hence there exist a common eigenvector \( \eta \in S^0_\ell \) and a string \( I \), with

\[
M^0_\alpha \eta = \begin{cases} \lambda \eta, & \text{if } \alpha \notin I \\ -\lambda \eta, & \text{if } \alpha \in I \end{cases}
\]

Recall that for every string \( I = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k) \) of ordered positive integers we denote \( e^I = e^{\alpha_1} \cdots e^{\alpha_k} \in \Cl(N^*) \). Define

\[
\xi^{0+} = \begin{cases} e^I \eta, & \text{if } |I| \text{ is even} \\ e^I e. \eta, & \text{if } |I| \text{ is odd} \end{cases}, \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^{0-} = \begin{cases} d\vol^N \xi^{0+}, & \text{if } \dim N \text{ is even} \\ d\vol^N e \xi^{0+}, & \text{if } \dim N \text{ is odd} \end{cases}
\]

(3.16)

so that, by (3.3), \( M^0_\alpha \xi^{0\pm} = \pm \lambda \xi^{0\pm} \), for every \( \alpha \), where \( e \in T^*Z \) is a unit covector (since \( m > 0 \)). In particular \( \xi^{0\pm} \in S^0_\ell^{\mp} \) and the eigenspaces \( S^0_\ell^{\mp} \) of \( C^0 \) are nontrivial.

We now define a \( \Cl^0(T^*Z) \)-module by

\[
S^0_{IJ} := \bigcap_{j=1}^{|I|} \{ \xi \in S^0_\ell : M^0_{\alpha_j} \xi = -\lambda \xi \}, \quad 1 \leq |I| \leq \dim N - 1.
\]

Notice that \( S^0_{IJ} \) and \( S^0_{JI} \) are orthogonal when \( I \neq J \). A similar construction holds for \( S^1_\ell \), constructing \( S^1_{IJ} \). Finally, there are isometries

\[
A_I := \begin{cases} e^I : S^1_\ell^{i+} \to S^1_{IJ}^i, & \text{when } |I| \text{ is even} \\ e^I : S^1_\ell^{i-} \to S^1_{IJ}^{1-i}, & \text{when } |I| \text{ is odd} \end{cases}, \quad i = 0, 1.
\]

It follows that the \( S^1_{IJ} \) eigenspaces are nontrivial, they all have equal dimensions and we obtain decompositions

\[
S^1_\ell|_Z = \bigoplus_I S^1_{IJ} = \bigoplus_{\{I: |I|=\text{even}\}} A_I S^1_\ell \oplus \bigoplus_{\{I: |I|=\text{odd}\}} A_I S^1_\ell^{(1-i)-}, \quad i = 0, 1.
\]

(3.17)

This decomposition depends on our choice of frame \( \{ e^\alpha \}_\alpha \). However, for each \( 0 \leq k \leq n - m \), the component \( \bigoplus_{\{I: |I|=k\}} S^1_{IJ} \) is the eigenspace \( S^1_{ik} \) of the matrix \( C^0_i \), corresponding to the eigenvalue \( (n - m - 2k)\lambda \). Therefore for every \( k \) the component is independent of the choice of the frame. Decomposition
This implies the first inclusion in (3.11). For the reverse inclusion of (3.11), assume \( \xi \in S_0^0 \) so that 
\[
[M_v^0, M_w^0]\xi = 0 \quad \text{for all } v, w.
\]
Using decomposition (3.17), there exist \( a_I^0 \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \xi_I^1 \in S^+_\ell \), linearly independent vectors, so that 
\[
\xi = \sum_{|I| \text{ even}} a_{0}^{I} e^{I} \xi_{0}^{I} + \sum_{|I| \text{ odd}} a_{1}^{I} e^{I} \xi_{1}^{I}
\]
Clearly, whenever \( |I| \neq 0, \dim N \) is even and \( a_I^0 \neq 0 \), we have that there exist \( a \in I \) and \( \beta \notin I \). But then 
\[
M_{\alpha} e^{I} \xi_{\gamma}^{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha} e^{I} \xi_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\beta} e^{I} \xi_{\gamma}^{\beta} = -\lambda_{\alpha} e^{I} \xi_{\gamma}^{\beta}
\]
so that \( \{M_{\gamma} \xi \}_{\gamma} \) is not singleton and cannot belong to the left hand side of (3.11). A similar statement is true when \( |I| \neq 0, n - m \) and \( |I| \) is odd. Therefore \( |I| = 0 \) or \( |I| = n - m \) and 
\[
\xi = \begin{cases} 
a_{0}^{0} + a_{n-m} \text{dvol}^{N} \xi_{n-m}^{0}, & \text{if } n - m \text{ is even}, \\
a_{1}^{0} + a_{n-m} \text{dvol}^{N} \xi_{n-m}^{1}, & \text{if } n - m \text{ is odd} 
\end{cases}
\]
Observe that in each case \( \text{dvol}^{N} \xi_{n-m}^{0} + \text{dvol}^{N} \xi_{n-m}^{1} = S_{\ell}^{-} \). This finishes the proof of the other inclusion in (3.11).

To prove (3.12) we observe the bundle maps,
\[
(\text{Cl}^{0}(N^*) \otimes S_{\ell}^{-}) \oplus (\text{Cl}^{1}(N^*) \otimes S_{\ell}^{+}) \to (\text{Cl}^{0}(T^*X \downarrow Z) \otimes S_{\ell}^{0}) + (\text{Cl}^{1}(T^*X \downarrow Z) \otimes S_{\ell}^{1}) \to S_{\ell}^{0}\downarrow Z
\]
\[
(\text{Cl}^{0}(N^*) \otimes S_{\ell}^{+}) \oplus (\text{Cl}^{1}(N^*) \otimes S_{\ell}^{-}) \to (\text{Cl}^{0}(T^*X \downarrow Z) \otimes S_{\ell}^{+}) + (\text{Cl}^{1}(T^*X \downarrow Z) \otimes S_{\ell}^{-}) \to S_{\ell}^{1}\downarrow Z
\]
define isomorphisms on the fibers as a consequence of the decomposition (3.13) and its analogue for \( S_{\ell}^{1}\downarrow Z \). Under the identification \( \Lambda^{k} N^* \cong \text{Cl}(N^*)^{k} \) we have that \( \Lambda^{k} N^* \otimes S_{\ell}^{0} \) is a bundle isomorphic to the eigenbundle \( S_{\ell k}^{0} \), for every \( 0 \leq k \leq n - m \). This finishes the proof of (3.12) and (3.13) and the proof of the proposition.

3.2. Connection \( \bar{\nabla} \) emerges from the 1-jet of the connection \( \bar{\nabla}^{E\mid Z} \) along \( Z \). Recall now the Fermi coordinates \( (N_U, (x_j, x_{\alpha})_{j,\alpha}) \) and the frames \( \{e_j, e_{\alpha}\}_{j,\alpha} \) of \( N\downarrow U \), centered at \( p \in Z \), introduced in Appendix A. Let \( \{\sigma_k\}_k, \{f_k\}_k \) orthonormal frames trivializing \( E_{\mid U} \) and \( E\downarrow Z \). Using Proposition 3.7 we choose the frames \( \{\sigma_k\}_k, \{f_k\}_k \) to respect the decomposition (3.13) and the decomposition of each \( S_{\ell k}^{0} \) into simultaneous eigenspaces of \( \{M_\alpha\}_\alpha \). In particular we obtain a trivialization of \( S_{\ell k}^{1} \downarrow U \).

From Proposition 3.7 we have bundle isomorphisms
\[
S_{\ell}^{0}\downarrow Z = \bigoplus_{\ell} S_{\ell}^{0}, \quad c_{\ell} : S_{\ell}^{0} \to \text{Cl}^{0}(N^*) \otimes S_{\ell}^{0} \oplus \text{Cl}^{1}(N^*) \otimes S_{\ell}^{1}, \quad (3.19)
\]
\[
S_{\ell}^{1}\downarrow Z = \bigoplus_{\ell} S_{\ell}^{1}, \quad c_{\ell} : S_{\ell}^{1} \to \text{Cl}^{0}(N^*) \otimes S_{\ell}^{1} \oplus \text{Cl}^{1}(N^*) \otimes S_{\ell}^{0}.
\]
Hence the structure group of \( S_{\ell}^{1}\downarrow Z \) is reduced to the product of \( SO(n-m) \) and the structure group of \( S_{\ell}^{0} \). The Clifford bundles \( \text{Cl}(N^*) \) admit an \( SO(n-m) \) connection, induced by \( \nabla^{N} \). Based on the decompositions (3.19), we introduce a new connection on \( E\downarrow Z \):
Definition 3.8. Let $v \in TZ$. By decompositions, a given section $\xi \in C^\infty(Z; S^0 \oplus S^1)$ is a sum of elements of the form $c(w_i)\xi_i^+$ for some uniquely defined $w_i \in C^\infty(Z; Cl(N^*))$ and some $\xi^+ \in C^\infty(Z; S^i_\ell)$, $i = 0, 1$. We define the connection
\[
\nabla_v(c(w_i)\xi_i^+) := c(\nabla_v^{N^*} w_i)\xi_i^+ + (c(w_i) \circ P_\ell^+)(\nabla_v^{E_i}|^z \xi_i^+), i = 0, 1.
\]
When $\xi \in C^\infty(Z; (S^i|_Z)^\perp)$, we define
\[
\nabla_v\xi = (1_{E_i}|^z - P^i)(\nabla_v^{E_i}|^z \xi).
\]

The connection $\nabla$ satisfies the following basic properties:

Proposition 3.9.
1. By definition, $\nabla$ preserves the space of sections of the bundles $S^i|_Z$, $(S^i|_Z)^\perp$ and $S^i_\ell$, $S^i_\ell^+$, for every $\ell$ and every $i = 0, 1$. Moreover it is compatible with the metrics of $E^i|_Z$, $i = 0, 1$.
2. Let $\xi \in C^\infty(Z; S^i|_Z)$. Then $\nabla$ satisfies,
\[
[\nabla, c(w)]\xi = \begin{cases} c(\nabla^{N^*} w)\xi, & \text{if } w \in C^\infty(Z; N^*) \\ c(\nabla^{T^\ast X}|^z w)\xi, & \text{if } w \in C^\infty(Z; T^\ast Z) \end{cases}.
\]

When $\xi \in C^\infty(Z; (S^i|_Z)^\perp)$ and $w \in C^\infty(Z; T^\ast N|_Z)$, then
\[
[\nabla, c(w)]\xi = c(\nabla^{T^\ast N}|^z w)\xi.
\]

The proof is provided in Appendix subsection B.2.

Finally, we consider the difference
\[
B^i : TZ \to \text{End}(E^i|_Z) : v \mapsto \nabla_v - \nabla_v \quad , i = 0, 1.
\]

By the definition of $\nabla$, it follows that
\[
B^i_v(S^i_\ell^+) \perp S^i_\ell^+ \quad \text{and} \quad B^i_v((S^i|_Z)^\perp) \subset S^i|_Z
\]

By the Proposition 3.9, $B^i_v$ is a skew-symmetric bundle map satisfying
\[
B^i_v(w, \xi) = (p_Z\nabla_v^{T^\ast X}|^z w)\xi + w. B^1-i\xi,
\]
for every $v \in TZ$, $i = 0, 1$ and every $w \in C^\infty(Z; N^*)$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(Z; S^0|_Z)$, where $p_Z : T^\ast X|_Z \to T^\ast Z$ is the projection. Comparing the connection 1-forms in the local frames, from [B.1]. In particular the 1-jets satisfy
\[
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_A}^{E_i}|^z \sigma_\ell = \tilde{\theta}_A^i \sigma_\ell, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j}^{E_i}|^z \sigma_\ell = \phi_j^i \sigma_\ell, \quad A = j, \alpha, \quad i = 0, 1 \\
B^i_j \sigma_\ell = B^i_j \sigma_\ell,
\]
we have that
\[
\tilde{\theta}_j = \phi_j^i + B^i_j, \quad \phi_j^i(p) = 0 = B^i_j(p), \quad \phi_j^i(S^i_\ell^+) \subset S^i_\ell^+ , \quad i = 0, 1.
\]

4. Structure of $D + s\mathcal{A}$ along the normal fibers

Recall that $Z \subset X$ is an $m$-dimensional submanifold with normal bundle $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \hookrightarrow N \rightarrow Z$. We study the perturbative behavior of the operator $\tau^{-1} \circ \tilde{D}_s : C^\infty(N; \pi^1(E^0|_Z)) \to C^\infty(N; \pi^1(E^1|_Z))$. The expansions will be carried out for the diffeomorphic copies $g = \exp^x g_x$, connection $\tilde{\nabla}$, volume form $\text{dvol}^N$ and Clifford structure $\tilde{c} = T^{-1} \circ o \circ (I \otimes I)$ and $\mathcal{A}$ as defined in Definition 2.9. We use bundle coordinates $(N|_U, (x_j, x_\alpha)_j, \alpha)$ of the total space $N$ that are restricted to the tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_{e} = \mathcal{N}$.

Assumption B guarantees that the singular behavior of the perturbation term $\tilde{A}$ operator $\tau^{-1} \circ \tilde{D}_s$ becomes regular after rescaling $\{w_\alpha = \sqrt{s}x_\alpha\}_\alpha$. Recall the decomposition $TN = V \oplus H$ into vertical and
horizontal distributions introduced in Appendix B.4. The terms in the perturbation series of \( \hat{D} \) involving derivation fields in the normal distribution will re-scale to order \( O(\sqrt{s}) \), after the re-scaling is applied, introducing operator \( \hat{D}_0 \). Since the metric in the fibers of \( N \) becomes Euclidean in the blow up, this is a Euclidean Dirac operator in the normal directions. The terms involving derivation fields in the horizontal distribution will contain the fields of order \( O(1) \) introducing the horizontal operator \( \hat{D}^Z \). Each of these differential operators is originally defined to act on sections of the bundles \( \pi^*(E|_Z) \to N \) of the tubular neighborhood \( N \subset N \). However these operator make sense on sections of the bundles \( \pi^*(E|_Z) \to N \) over the total space of the normal bundle \( \pi : N \to Z \). Recall the operators \( \varepsilon_N, \nabla^V \) and \( \nabla^H \) introduced in Appendix from subsections B.3 to B.6. We have the following definitions:

**Definition 4.1. subsection B.6**

(1) We define \( \hat{D}_0 \) by composing

\[
C^\infty(N; \pi^*(E^0|_Z)) \xrightarrow{\nabla^V} C^\infty(N; V^* \otimes \pi^*(E^0|_Z)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_N} C^\infty(N; \pi^*(E^1|_Z)),
\]

and \( \hat{D}^Z \) by composing

\[
C^\infty(N; \pi^*(E^0|_Z)) \xrightarrow{\nabla^V} C^\infty(N; H^* \otimes \pi^*(E^0|_Z)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_N} C^\infty(N; \pi^*(E^1|_Z)),
\]

(2) We restrict to the sub-bundles \( \pi^*(S^i|_Z) \) of \( \pi^*(E^i|_Z) \), \( i = 0, 1 \) and recall the term \( \tilde{A}_r \) introduced in \( \text{B.6} \). Define

\[
\hat{D}_s : C^\infty(N; \pi^*(S^0|_Z)) \to C^\infty(N; \pi^*(S^1|_Z)) : \xi \mapsto \hat{D}_0 \xi + s r \tilde{A}_r \xi, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

**Remark 4.2.** Introduce bundle coordinates \( (N|_U, (x_j, x_\alpha)_j, \alpha) \) and bundle frames \( \{ e_j, e_\alpha \}_j, \alpha \) and the frames \( \{ \sigma_k \}_k \) of \( E^0|_U \) and \( \{ f_k \}_k \) of \( E^1|_U \) that were introduced in Appendix B. Given a section \( \xi : N \to \pi^*(E|_Z) \), we use the same letter \( \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\ell) \) to denote is coordinates with respect to the preceding frames. Also \( \{ h_A = He_A \}_A \) with their coframes \( (e^A = H(e_A)^* )_A \) and \( e_A \) denote the matrix expressions of \( \varepsilon_N(h^A) \):

1. Let \( v = v_j h^j + v_\alpha h^\alpha \in T^* N \). The symbol maps are described in local coordinates by

\[
\sigma_{\hat{D}_0} : T^* N \otimes \pi^*(E^0|_Z) \to \pi^*(E^1|_Z) \quad v \otimes \xi \mapsto v_\alpha c_\alpha \xi.
\]

\[
\sigma_{\hat{D}_0} : T^* N \otimes \pi^*(E^0|_Z) \to \pi^*(E^1|_Z) : \quad v \otimes \xi \mapsto (v_j - v_\alpha x_\beta \bar{\omega}_{jk}^\beta) c_j \xi.
\]

2. For fixed \( z \in Z \), the operator \( \hat{D}_0 : C^\infty(N_z; E^0_z) \to C^\infty(N_z; E^1_z) \) is a Euclidean Dirac operator because its expression in local coordinates is

\[
\varepsilon_N(h^\alpha) \bar{\nabla}_{h_\alpha} = c_\alpha(h_\alpha \xi) = c_\alpha \partial_\alpha \xi.
\]

Recalling that \( r \tilde{A}_r = x_\alpha \tilde{A}_\alpha = c_\alpha M^0_\alpha \) we have the local expression

\[
\hat{D}_s \xi = c_\alpha(h_\alpha + s x_\alpha M^0_\alpha) \xi.
\]

3. By using \( \text{B.28} \), the operator \( \hat{D}^Z \) has local expression

\[
\hat{D}^Z \xi = c_N(h^\alpha) \bar{\nabla}_{h_\alpha} \xi = c_j(h_j + \phi^0_j) \xi.
\]

4. Recall the eigenbundles \( S^i_{lk} \to Z \) of \( C^i \), \( i = 0, 1 \). Then by Proposition \( \text{B.8} \) in the construction of \( \bar{\nabla}_{h_\alpha} \), the operators \( \hat{D}_s \) and \( \hat{D}^Z \) decompose into blocks each being a differential operators on sections \( C^\infty(N; \pi^* S^i_{lk}) \to C^\infty(N; \pi^* S^1_{lk}) \), for every \( \ell \) and every \( k = 0, \ldots, n - m \).

The following expansions are proven in [15] [Theorem 8.18, p. 93]. We include the proof for completeness:

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( \eta = \tau \xi \) where \( \xi : N_U \to \pi^*(E^1|_Z) \). At the fiber \( N_U \), we have the expansions

\[
\tau^{-1} \hat{D} \eta = \hat{D}_0 \xi + \hat{D}^Z \xi + B^0 \xi + O(\nu^2 \partial^V + r \partial^H + r) \xi.
\]
Proposition 4.4. The operators $\mathcal{D}_s$ and $\bar{D}^Z$ have formal adjoints with respect to the metric on $\pi^*(S|_Z)$ and volume form $\text{dvol}^N$ and are computed in local coordinates by

$$\mathcal{D}_s^* = c_\alpha (\partial_\alpha + sx_\alpha M_\alpha^1) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{D}^Z^* = c_j (h_j + \phi_j^1). \quad (4.1)$$
Proof. Let \( \xi_1, \xi_2 : N \to \pi^* S \) smooth sections, one of which is compactly supported. We define vector field \( Y \in C^\infty(N; T^* N) \) by acting on covectors,

\[
Y : T^* N \to \mathbb{R}, \quad v \mapsto \langle \xi_N(v) \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle,
\]
and we decompose it into its vertical and horizontal parts \( Y = Y_V + Y_H \). We then define the \( n - 1 \)-forms

\[
\omega^V = i_{Y_V} d\text{vol}^N \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^H = i_{Y_H} d\text{vol}^N.
\]

We have the equations,

\[
d\omega^V = (\langle \bar{D}_0^0 \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle - \langle \xi_1, \bar{D}_0^0 \xi_2 \rangle) d\text{vol}^N,
\]

\[
d\omega^H = (\langle \bar{D}^0_1 \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle - \langle \xi_1, \bar{D}^0_1 \xi_2 \rangle) d\text{vol}^N.
\]

We prove the second identity. Calculating over \( N_p \), as in the proof of Proposition \( \text{B.8} \) we have \( \mathcal{L}_{Y_{\xi_1}} d\text{vol}^N = h_j(Y_j) d\text{vol}^N \) and

\[
h_jY_j = \langle \xi_N(h^j) \nabla_{h_j} \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle + \langle \xi_N(h^j) \xi_1, \nabla_{h_j} \xi_2 \rangle = \langle \bar{D}^Z \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle - \langle \xi_1, \bar{D}^Z \xi_2 \rangle.
\]

The first identity is proven analogously. The proof of the proposition follows. \qed

Combining Lemma \( \text{4.3} \) with expansion in Appendix \( \text{B.1} \) \( \text{B.5} \) we obtain the expansions for \( \bar{D}_s \). The same computation and the local expressions given in Proposition \( \text{4.4} \) give analogous expansions for \( \bar{D}^*_s \), the \( L^2(N) \) formal adjoint of \( \bar{D}_s \) with respect to the density function \( d\text{vol}^N \). The expansions are described in the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.5.** \( \bar{D} + s \bar{A} \) expands along the normal directions of the singular set \( Z \) with respect to \( \xi_i \in C^\infty(N; \pi^*(S^1|_Z)), i = 0, 1, 1 \), as

\[
(\bar{D} + s \bar{A}) \tau \xi_0 = \tau \left( 0 + \bar{D}^Z + B^0 + \frac{1}{2} s \tau^2 A_{rr} \right) \xi_0 + O(r^2 \partial^V + r \partial^H + r + s^2) \tau \xi_0, \quad \text{(4.2)}
\]

\[
(\bar{D}^* + s \bar{A}^*) \tau \xi_1 = \tau \left( 0 + \bar{D}^Z + B^1 + \frac{1}{2} s \tau^2 A^*_{rr} \right) \xi_1 + O(r^2 \partial^V + r \partial^H + r + s^3) \tau \xi_1.
\]

When \( \xi_i \in C^\infty(N; \pi^*(S^1|_Z)) \),

\[
(\bar{D} + s \bar{A}) \tau \xi_0 = \tau (0 + \bar{D}^Z + B^0) \xi_0 + s \bar{A} \tau \xi_0 + O(r^2 \partial^V + r \partial^H + (1 + s)r) \tau \xi_0, \quad \text{(4.3)}
\]

\[
(\bar{D}^* + s \bar{A}^*) \tau \xi_1 = \tau (0 + \bar{D}^Z + B^1 + s \bar{A}^* \tau \xi_1 + O(r^2 \partial^V + r \partial^H + (1 + s)r) \tau \xi_1.
\]

**Remark 4.6.**

(1) We note that that \( L^2 \) adjoint of \( \bar{D}_s : C^\infty(N; \bar{E}^0|_N) \to C^\infty(N; \bar{E}^1|_N) \) is denoted by \( \bar{D}^Z \) is computed with respect to the metrics on \( \bar{E} \) and \( T^* N \) and with respect to the volume form \( d\text{vol}^N \). On the other side \( \bar{D}_s^* \) and \( \bar{D}^Z \) are the adjoints of with respect to the pullback metric, on \( \pi^* E \) the metric \( g^{TN} \) and with respect to the volume form \( d\text{vol}^N \).

5. **Properties of the operators \( \bar{D}_s \) and \( \bar{D}^Z \).**

In this section we review some well known Weitzenbock type formulas from \( \text{[JG]} \) for the operators \( \bar{D}_s \) and \( \bar{D}^Z \) introduced in the preceding paragraph. In particular the Weitzenbock identity of the operator \( \bar{D}_s \) is a harmonic oscillator. As proven in the Appendix subsection \( \text{B.3} \) \( \text{(2)} \), the connection \( \bar{V} \) on the bundle \( \pi^* E \to N \) is Clifford compatible to the connection \( \nabla^{TN} \), that has nontrivial torsion that is described explicitly in Proposition \( \text{B.8} \) The Weitzenbock identity of the operator \( \bar{D}^Z \) contains the torsion tensor of the later connection \( T_{hk} = T(h_j, h_k) \) as it appears in equation \( \text{(5.2)} \).

Throughout the section we will work on a bundle chart \( (\mathbb{N}|_U, (x_j, x_\alpha), (\sigma, j)) \) where the normal coordinates \( (U, (x_j)) \) are centered at \( p \in U \), the frames \( \{e_j\}_j \) \( \nabla^T \) parallel at \( p \in Z \), the frames \( \{e_\alpha\}_\alpha \) that are \( \nabla^N \)-parallel at \( p \) and their horizontal lifts \( \{h_A\}_A \). We also assume that the frame \( \{\sigma_k, j_k\}_{k,t} \) of \( E_{N_U} \) is
Proof. The calculations are carried over the fiber $N_p$ of the total space $N$. We calculate

$$
\bar{D}^* \bar{D}_s \xi = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (c_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} + sx_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})(c_{\beta} \partial_{\beta} + sx_{\beta} A_{\beta}) \xi
$$

$$
= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} \partial_{\alpha}^{2} + sx_{\alpha} (c_{\beta} A_{\alpha} + A_{\alpha} c_{\beta}) \partial_{\beta} + s^{2} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^{*} A_{\beta} ) \xi + s \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \xi
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} [(c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} + c_{\beta} c_{\alpha}) \partial_{\alpha}^{2} + s^{2} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} (A_{\alpha} A_{\beta} + A_{\beta} A_{\alpha})] \xi - s \sum_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{0} \xi, \text{ by equation (3.2)}.
$$

But by Proposition 3.6(2) $M_{\alpha}^{0} = \frac{1}{2} (A_{\alpha} A_{\beta} + A_{\beta} A_{\alpha}) = \delta_{\alpha \beta} Q$ and $c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} + c_{\beta} c_{\alpha} = -2 \delta_{\alpha \beta}$. Identity (3.1) follows.

Identity (5.2) is treated as the usual calculation for the Weitzenböck type formula. We use the expressions of $\bar{D}^* \bar{D}$ and $\bar{D}^{2*}$ in terms of frames:

$$
\bar{D}^{2*} (\bar{D}^* \xi) = c N(h^{j}) \nabla_{h_{j}} (c N(h^{k}) \nabla_{h_{k}} \xi)
$$

$$
= c N(h^{j}) c N(h^{k}) (\nabla_{h_{j}} \nabla_{h_{k}} \xi)
$$

$$
= -\nabla_{h_{j}} \nabla_{h_{k}} \xi + \sum_{j < k} c N(h^{j}) c N(h^{k}) \left( \nabla_{h_{j}} \nabla_{h_{k}} - \nabla_{h_{j}} \nabla_{h_{k}} \right) \xi
$$

$$
= \nabla^{H*} \nabla^{H} \xi + \sum_{j < k} c N(h^{j}) c N(h^{k}) \left( \nabla_{h_{j}} \nabla_{h_{k}} - \nabla_{h_{j}} \nabla_{h_{k}} \right) \xi
$$

as required.
The proof of (5.3) is direct since given \( v = v_j h^j + v_\alpha h^\alpha \in T^* N \), in the level of symbols,

\[
[\sigma_{\mathcal{D}^0 + \mathcal{D}^2}(v) \circ \vec{A} + \vec{A}^* \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{D}^0 + \mathcal{D}^2}(v)]\xi = [(v_\alpha c_\alpha + v_j c_j) \circ \vec{A} + \vec{A}^* \circ (v_\alpha c_\alpha + v_j c_j)]\xi = 0, \quad \text{by equation (2.3)}.
\]

We prove (5.4) by using Clifford relations,

\[
(\mathcal{D}^0)^* (\mathcal{D}^2) \xi = \mathcal{C}_N (h^\alpha) \nabla_{h_\alpha} (\mathcal{C}_N (h^j) \nabla_{h_j} \xi) + \mathcal{C}_N (h^j) \nabla_{h_j} (\mathcal{C}_N (h^\alpha) \nabla_{h_\alpha} \xi) = \mathcal{C}_N (h^\alpha) \nabla_{h_\alpha} (h^j) (\nabla_{h_j} \nabla_{h_j} \xi - \nabla_{h_j} \nabla_{h_\alpha} \xi) = \mathcal{C}_N (h^\alpha) \nabla_{h_\alpha} (h^j) \left( \text{Hess}(h_\alpha, h_j) - \text{Hess}(h_j, h_\alpha) \right) \xi = 0,
\]

where in the last equality we used the symmetry of the Hessian in Proposition B.8 (15). The same symmetry of the Hessian is used to prove (5.7) that is

\[
[\mathcal{D}^*, \nabla_v]\xi = \mathcal{C}_N (h^\alpha) \left( \nabla_{h_\alpha} \nabla_v v - \nabla_v \nabla_{h_\alpha} v \right) \xi - s[\nabla_v, r\vec{A}^*] \xi = \mathcal{C}_N (h^\alpha) \left( \text{Hess}(h_\alpha, v) - \text{Hess}(v, h_\alpha) \right) \xi - s[\nabla_v, r\vec{A}^*] \xi = -s[\nabla_v, r\vec{A}^*] \xi.
\]

To prove (5.4), we use the expression in local frames, \( r\vec{A}_r = x_\alpha \vec{A}_\alpha \) and we employ (B.16) to obtain \([h_j, x_\alpha] = -x_\alpha \xi_0 \xi_3 \). We then calculate at any point of \( N'_p \),

\[
(\mathcal{D}^2)^* (r\vec{A}_r \xi) + r\vec{A}_r^* (\mathcal{D}^2 \xi) = c_j (h_j + \phi_j^1)(x_\alpha \vec{A}_\alpha \xi) + x_\alpha \vec{A}_\alpha^* (c_j (h_j + \phi_j^0) \xi) = c_j [h_j + \phi_j, x_\alpha \vec{A}_\alpha] \xi + x_\alpha (c_j \vec{A}_\alpha^* + \vec{A}_\alpha^* c_j) (h_j + \phi_j) \xi = x_\alpha (c_j [\phi_j, \vec{A}_\alpha] - \xi_0 \xi_3 \vec{A}_\alpha) \xi,
\]

where in the last equality \( c_j \vec{A}_\alpha + \vec{A}_\alpha^* c_j = 0 \) by equation (2.9). It follows that the term is a 0-th order operator with coefficients of order \( O(r) \), as \( r \to 0 \).

To prove (5.3), we perform the calculation over \( N'_p \). Then by (B.21) we have \( \mathcal{D}^2 \xi = c_j h_j \xi \). We also employ (B.16), (3.20) and (A.3) to obtain

\[
[h_j, x_\alpha c_\alpha] = [h_j, x_\alpha] c_\alpha + x_\alpha [h_j, c_\alpha] = -x_\alpha \xi_0 \xi_3 \xi_3 c_\alpha - x_\alpha \xi_0 \xi_3 \xi_3 c_\alpha = 0.
\]

Finally assume \( \xi \) are the coordinates on the bundle \( S^0 \) and \( \vec{A}_\alpha = c_\alpha M_\alpha^0 \), so that \( M_\alpha^0 \xi = \lambda_\alpha \xi \). Hence continuing the preceding calculation in this case,

\[
(\mathcal{D}^2)^* (r\vec{A}_r \xi) + r\vec{A}_r^* (\mathcal{D}^2 \xi) = c_j [h_j + \phi_j, x_\alpha \vec{A}_\alpha] \xi = c_j [h_j, x_\alpha c_\alpha M_\alpha^0] \xi = x_\alpha c_\alpha [h_j, \lambda_j] \xi = -x_\alpha c_\alpha (e_j (\lambda_j) c_j) \xi = -x_\alpha c_\alpha (\xi_0 (h^\alpha) \xi_0 (\pi^* d\lambda_\alpha) \xi).
\]

Both the left and the right hand sides of the preceding equations are independent of coordinates and frames and therefore the equations hold at any reference frame and are true everywhere on the total space \( N \). The proof is now complete. \( \square \)

Using Proposition 3.7 we decompose \( S_{\mathcal{I}^0} \) into eigenbundles \( S_{\mathcal{I}} \) of \( Q \) and further into eigenbundles \( S_{\mathcal{I}k} \) in (6.13) where the matrix \( C \) has eigenvalue \( (n - m - 2k) \lambda_\xi \). Fixing \( z \in Z \), we calculate explicitly the eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{D}^2_{\mathcal{I}z} : L^2(N_z, (S_{\mathcal{I}k})_z) \to L^2(N_z, (S_{\mathcal{I}k})_z) \). By equation (5.1),

\[
(-\Delta + s^2 r^2 Q^2 - s C) \xi = (-\Delta + s^2 r^2 \lambda_\xi^2 - s(2k - n + m) \lambda_\xi) \xi = \lambda_\xi \xi.
\]
Changing variables \( \{w_\alpha = (s\lambda_\ell)^{1/2} x_\alpha\}_\alpha \) and setting \( \tilde{\xi}(w) = \xi(x) \), we obtain

\[
-\Delta \tilde{\xi} + r^2 \tilde{\xi} = \left( n - m - 2k + \frac{\lambda}{s\lambda_\ell} \right) \tilde{\xi}.
\]

It follows by [A][Th. 2.2.2] that

\[
(n - m - 2k) + \frac{\lambda}{s\lambda_\ell} \in \{ 2|a| + n - m : a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-m} \}
\]

so that the spectrum of \( \mathcal{D}_s \mid_z \) as unbounded operator on \( L^2(N_z) \) is given by,

\[
\{ 2s\lambda_\ell(|a| + k) : a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-m}, k \in \{0, \ldots, n-m\}, \ell \}.
\]

(5.8)

In particular \( \lambda = 0 \) is an eigenvalue if and only if \( a = k = 0 \) in which case the kernel is

\[
\ker(\mathcal{D}_s \mid_z) = \bigoplus_\ell \varphi_{s\ell} \cdot (S^+_\ell)_z,
\]

where,

\[
\varphi_{s\ell} : N \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi_{s\ell}(v) = (s\lambda_\ell)^{\frac{n-m}{4}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} s\lambda_\ell |v|^2}.
\]

Consider the map \( N \to Z \times [0, +\infty), v \mapsto (\pi(v), |v|) \). We then see that \( \varphi_{s\ell} \) is the pullback under this map, of \( (z, r) \mapsto (s\lambda_\ell)^{\frac{n-m}{4}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} s\lambda_\ell r^2} \).

6. The harmonic oscillator in normal directions

In this section we obtain estimates for the derivatives in the normal directions of \( \xi : N \to \pi^* S^0 \). We begin with the following definition:

**Definition 6.1.** Given \( \xi \in C^\infty_c(N) \) and \( k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), we define the norms

\[
\|\xi\|_{0,2,k,0}^2 = \int_N (1 + r^2)^{k+1} |\xi|^2 \, \mathrm{dvol}_N,
\]

\[
\|\xi\|_{1,2,k,l}^2 = \int_N \left[ (1 + r^2)^{k+1} |\xi|^2 + (1 + r^2)^k |\nabla^V \xi|^2 + l(1 + r^2)^{l-1} |\nabla^H \xi|^2 \right] \, \mathrm{dvol}_N,
\]

and define the spaces \( L^2_k(N) \) and \( W^{1,2}_{k,l}(N) \) by completion of \( C^\infty_c(N) \) in each of these respective norms. We extend these definitions for \( k = -1 \) by \( L^2_{-1}(N) = L^2(N) \).

Note that with these definitions, the space \( W^{1,2}_{k,0}(N) \) has sections admitting weak derivatives in the normal directions. By Theorem [1][9]

\[
L^2_k(N) := \{ \xi \in L^2(N) : \|\xi\|_{0,2,k,0} < \infty \}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,
\]

\[
W^{1,2}_{k,l}(N) := \{ \xi \in W^{1,2}(N) : \|\xi\|_{1,2,k,l} < \infty \}, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0, l \geq 1,
\]

\[
W^{1,2}_{k,0}(N) := \{ \xi : \nabla^V \xi \in L^2(N), \text{ and } \|\xi\|_{1,2,k,0} < \infty \},
\]

and therefore we can use test functions with compact support on our estimates. Note that \( W^{1,2}_{k+1,l}(N) \subset W^{1,2}_{k,l}(N) \). Furthermore \( L^2_k(N), W^{1,2}_{k,0}(N) \) and \( W^{1,2}_{k,l}(N), l \leq k+2 \) are \( C^\infty(Z; \mathbb{R}) \)-modules and multiplication by a fixed function is a continuous map in these spaces. Finally, the operator \( \mathcal{D}_s : L^2(N) \to L^2(N) \) is densely defined with domain \( W^{1,2}_{0,0}(N) \) and satisfies \( \mathcal{D}_s(W^{1,2}_{k+1,l}(N)) \subset L^2_k(N) \), for every \( k \geq -1 \). We summarize now some basic properties following from well known facts about the harmonic oscillator:
Proposition 6.2. (1) Let $k \geq 0$ and set $C_0 = \min_{\ell, Z} \lambda_\ell$ and $C_1 = \max_{\ell, Z} \lambda_\ell$. There exist constant $C = C(n-m, C_0, C_1) > 0$, so that for every $s > 0$ and every $\xi \in W^1_{k, 0}(N)$, we have the estimate,

$$
 s\|s^{k+1}\xi\|_{L^2(N)} + \|s^k \nabla V \xi\|_{L^2(N)} \leq C \left( \sum_{u=0}^{k} s^{-u} \|s^{-u} \mathcal{D}_s \xi\|_{L^2(N)} + s^{1-k} \|\xi\|_{L^2(N)} \right). \tag{6.1}
$$

(2) The operator

$$
 \mathcal{D}_s : L^2(N; \pi^*(S^0|Z)) \to L^2(N; \pi^*(S^1|Z)),
$$

is closed and $\ker \mathcal{D}_s \subset L^2(N; \pi^* S^0)$ is a closed subspace.

(3) There exist $s_0 > 0$ so that, when $s > s_0$, the kernel of $\mathcal{D}_s$, is given explicitly by,

$$
 \ker \mathcal{D}_s = \bigoplus_{t} \varphi_{st} \cdot L^2(Z; S^0_{t^+}) \quad \text{and} \quad \ker \mathcal{D}_s \cap W^{1,2}(N) = \bigoplus_{t} \varphi_{st} \cdot W^{1,2}(Z; S^0_{t^+}). \tag{6.2}
$$

(4) For every $s > 0$ and every $\xi \in (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1,2}$, we have the spectral estimate

$$
 2sC_0 \|\xi\|_{L^2(N)}^2 \leq \|\mathcal{D}_s \xi\|_{L^2(N)}^2. \tag{6.3}
$$

(5) $\mathcal{D}_s$ has closed range and we have the relations,

$$
 (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1,2} = \text{Im} \mathcal{D}_s^* \quad \text{and} \quad (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1,2} = \text{Im} \mathcal{D}_s. \tag{6.4}
$$

Proof. We will use Hitchin’s dot notation $v$ throughout the proof instead of the $c(v)$. Recall the decomposition (6.13) into eigenbundles of $Q^0$ and further into eigenbundles of $C^0$. We work with smooth sections $\xi : N \to \pi^* S^0_{t^+}$ with compact support. There we have

$$
 \mathcal{D}_s^* \mathcal{D}_s \xi = (-\Delta + s^2 \rho^2 \lambda_\ell^2 - (n-m-2t)\lambda_\ell) \xi. \tag{6.5}
$$

Multiplying (6.5) by $r^{2k}$, taking inner products with $\xi$ and integrating by parts, we obtain,

$$
 \|r^k \mathcal{D}_s \xi\|_2^2 + 2k (\langle \mathcal{D}_s \xi, r^{2k-1} dr \xi \rangle_2

\sum_{t} (\|r^k \partial_t \xi\|_2^2 + 2k (\partial_t \xi, x_t r^{2k-2} \xi)_2

+ s^2 \|r^{k+1} \lambda_\ell \xi\|_2^2 + s(2t - n + m)(r^{2k} \lambda_\ell \xi, \xi)_2

By applying Peter-Paul inequality to the first couple of cross terms and absorbing alike terms we obtain an estimate,

$$
 s^2 C_0^2 \|r^{k+1} \xi\|_2^2 + \|r^k \nabla \xi\|_2^2 \leq 6(C_1 + 1)(n-m)(\|r^k \mathcal{D}_s \xi\|_2^2 + (k + \sqrt{s}) r^{k-1} \xi|_2^2), \tag{6.6}
$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, every $t \in \{0, \ldots, n-m\}$ and every $s > 0$. Estimate (6.1) is then proven, by induction on $k$, using (6.6) in inductive step.

The fact that $\mathcal{D}_s^* : L^2(N; \pi^*(S^0|Z)) \to L^2(N; \pi^*(S^0|Z))$ is closed, follows from estimate (6.1) for $k = 0$. Also $\ker \mathcal{D}_s$ is the kernel of a closed operator and therefore, a closed subspace of $L^2$. We have already shown that,

$$
 \ker \mathcal{D}_s \cap C^\infty(N; \pi^*(S^0|Z)) = \bigoplus_{t} \varphi_{st} \cdot C^\infty(Z; S^0_{t^+}).
$$

Given $\xi = \varphi_{st} \cdot \eta$, with $\eta \in C^\infty(Z; S^0_{t^+})$ and using the substitution $t = \sqrt{s} \lambda_\ell r$, the $L^2$-norms are computed explicitly to give,

$$
 \|\xi\|_{L^2(N)}^2 = \left| S^{n-m-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n-m-1} e^{-t^2} dr \right| \|\eta\|_{L^2(Z)}^2

= \frac{1}{2} \left| S^{n-m-1} |\Gamma \left( \frac{n-m}{2} \right) \right| \|\eta\|_{L^2(Z)}^2

= \pi^{\frac{n-m}{2}} \|\eta\|_{L^2(Z)}^2. \tag{6.7}
$$

Since $\nabla \xi = d \varphi_{st} \otimes \nabla \eta + \varphi_{st} \nabla \eta$, a computation using estimate (6.1), with $k = 0, 1$ shows that there exist constants $C_2, C_3$ with

$$
 C_2 \|\eta\|_{W^{1,2}(Z)} \leq \|\xi\|_{W^{1,2}(N)} \leq \sqrt{s} C_3 \|\eta\|_{W^{1,2}(Z)}.
$$

Since $\ker \mathcal{D}_s$ is the $L^2$ closure of $\bigoplus_{t} \varphi_{st} \cdot C^\infty(Z; S^0_{t^+})$, equations (6.2) follow.
Recall that, by the calculation of the spectrum of \( \hat{\Theta}_{t=1}^2 \) in \([5,8]\), the constant \( 2s \min_{\ell,Z} \lambda_{\ell} \) is a lower bound. Given now \( \xi \in C^{\infty}(N; \pi^*(S^0|_{Z})) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2} \), Lemma \([6,4]\) implies that the restriction on the fiber \( N_z \), \( \xi_z \in (\ker \mathcal{D}_s|_{\mathbb{R}})^{1-L^2} \). Using the spectral decomposition of \( \Delta|_{N_z} : L^2(N_z) \to L^2(N_z) \) in \([\mathcal{A}]\) [Th. 2.2.2], we have,

\[
2s \min_{\ell,Z} \lambda_{\ell} \|\xi_j\|^2_Z(0) \leq \|\mathcal{D}_s \xi_j\|^2_Z(0),
\]

for every \( z \in Z \). Hence integrating over \( Z \),

\[
2s \min_{\ell,Z} \lambda_{\ell} \|\xi_j\|^2_Z \leq \|\mathcal{D}_s \xi_j\|^2_Z.
\]

By completion, this inequality holds for every \( \xi \in W^{1,2}_{L,0}(N; \pi^*(S^0|_{Z})) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2} \). This proves (6.3).

Finally, estimate (6.3) shows that \( \mathcal{D}_s \) has closed range. Relations \([6,4]\) then follow as general results for closed operators admitting adjoints with closed range (see \([\mathcal{K}]\) [Ch. IV, Th. 5.13, pp.234]). □

**Remark 6.3.**

1. We have inclusions,

\[
\ker \mathcal{D}_s \subset \bigcap_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0} W^{1,2}_{L,0}(N).
\]

2. Because of Remark 6.3 (1), we have decompositions

\[
L^2(N) = (L^2(N) \cap \ker \mathcal{D}_s) \oplus [L^2(N) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2}], \quad k \geq -1
\]

\[
W^{1,2}_{L,0}(N) = (W^{1,2}_{L,0}(N) \cap \ker \mathcal{D}_s) \oplus [W^{1,2}_{L,0}(N) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2}], \quad k \geq 0.
\]

Given any \( \xi \in L^2(N) \) we decompose it into,

\[
\xi = \xi^0 + \xi^1, \quad \xi^0 \in \ker \mathcal{D}_s \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^1 \in (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2}.
\]

Henceforth we denote these projections with these notations.

3. Analog estimates and decompositions hold for \( \mathcal{D}_s^* \).

We used the following lemma:

**Lemma 6.4.** Let \( \xi \in C^{\infty}(N; \pi^*(S^0|_{Z})) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2} \). Then the restriction on the fiber \( \xi|_{N_p} := \xi_p \) is a section of \( (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2} \), for every \( p \in Z \). The space \( W^{1,2}_{L,0}(N; \pi^*(S^0|_{Z})) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2} \) is a \( C^{\infty}(Z; \mathbb{R}) \)-module.

**Proof.** Let \( \varphi_s(z) = \varphi(d_Z(z, p)/\varepsilon) \) where \( d_Z(z, p) \) is the distance of \( z \) from \( p \) in \( Z \) and \( \varphi \) is a cutoff function with \( \varphi(0) = 1 \) and \( \text{supp} \varphi \subset [0, 1] \). Choose \( \xi_s \in C^{\infty}(Z; S^0_{\xi^+}) \) and set \( \eta = \sum_s \varphi_s \xi_s \in \ker \mathcal{D}_s \). By Fubini’s Theorem,

\[
0 = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(B(p, \varepsilon) \cap Z)} \int_N \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \, d\text{vol}^N = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(B(p, \varepsilon) \cap Z)} \int_{B(p, \varepsilon) \cap Z} \int_{N_p} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \, dv \, dz \to \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \, dv, \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+.
\]

Hence for every \( p \in Z \), \( \xi|_{N_p} \in \ker (\mathcal{D}_s)|_p \).

If \( \varphi : Z \to \mathbb{R} \) is a smooth function, then, for every \( \eta \in \ker \mathcal{D}_s \) compactly supported on a chart \( \pi^{-1}(U) \), Fubini’s Theorem applies again to give

\[
\int_N \langle \varphi \cdot \xi, \eta \rangle \, d\text{vol}^N = \int_U \varphi(z) \left( \int_{N_p} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \, dv \right) \, dz = 0.
\]

For a general \( \eta \in \ker \mathcal{D}_s \), we use a partition of unity to obtain that \( \varphi \cdot \xi \) is \( L^2 \)-perpendicular to \( \eta \). This proves that \( C^{\infty}(N; \pi^*(S^0|_{Z})) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2} \) is a \( C^{\infty}(Z; \mathbb{R}) \)-module. By completion, the same is true for \( W^{1,2}_{L,0}(N; \pi^*(S^0|_{Z})) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2} \). □

As a corollary we prove the following proposition with a bootstrap argument on \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) for \( \mathcal{D}_s \) on \( W^{1,2}_{L,0} \)-spaces:
Proposition 7.1. Assume $s > 0$ and $k \geq 0$. Then
\[ \mathcal{D}_s : W_{k,0}^{1,2}(N) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2} \to L_{k-1}^2(N) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2} \]
defines an isomorphism of $C^\infty(Z;\mathbb{R})$-modules, for every $k \geq 0$. The inverse operator $G_s$ is defined and is bounded. More precisely, there exist constant $C = C(n - m, C_0, C_1, k) > 0$ so that every $\xi \in W_{k,0}^{1,2}(N) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2}$ obeys an estimate,
\[ s\|r^{k+1}\xi\|_2 + \|r^k\nabla^V\xi\|_2 \leq C \sum_{u=0}^{k} s^{-\frac{u}{2}} \|r^{k-u}\mathcal{D}_s\xi\|_2. \quad (6.8) \]

Proof. The operator $\mathcal{D}_s : W_{k,0}^{1,2}(N) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2} \to L_{k-1}^2(N) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2}$ is injective and we have to prove that it is surjective. Let $\eta \in L_{k}^2(N) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2}$. By Proposition 6.5, there exist unique $\xi \in W_{k,0}^{1,2}(N) \cap (\ker \mathcal{D}_s)^{1-L^2}$ with $\mathcal{D}_s\xi = \eta$. We prove that $\xi$ is of class $W_{k,0}^{1,2}$.

Let $\rho : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ with $\text{supp} \rho \subset [0, 2]$ and $\rho^{-1}(\{1\}) = [0, 1]$ and $|d\rho| \leq 1$. Define sequence $\rho_j(r) = \rho(r/j)$ so that $\rho_j \to 1$ uniformly on compact subsets of $N$ and $|d\rho_j| \leq 1/j$. Then $\xi_j = \rho_j \cdot \xi \in \bigcap_k W_{k,0}^{1,2}$ and, by Dominated convergence, $\xi_j \to \xi$ and $\nabla_\alpha \xi_j \to \nabla_\alpha \xi$ in $L^2(N)$ for every $\alpha$. Setting $\eta_j = \mathcal{D}_s\xi_j$, by Dominated convergence, we also have that $\eta_j \to \eta$ in $L^2(N)$.

Claim: $\{\xi_j\}_j$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W_{k,0}^{1,2}$-norm.

Proof of claim. By (6.1) with $k = 0$,
\[ s\|r(\xi_j - \xi)\|_2 \leq C(\|\eta_j - \xi\|_2 + \sqrt{s}\|\xi_j - \xi\|_2), \]
so that $\xi_j \to \xi$ in $W_{k,0}^{1,2}(N)$. We work using induction. Suppose that $\{\xi_j\}_j$ is Cauchy in $W_{\ell-1,0}^{1,2}(N)$ for some $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. Then $\xi \in W_{\ell,0}^{1,2}(N)$ and $\{r^\ell\eta_j\}_j$ is Cauchy in $L^2(N)$, since
\[ \|r^\ell(\eta_j - \eta)\|_2 \leq \|r^\ell d\rho_j \xi_j\|_2 + \|(1 - \rho_j)\|_2 \eta_2 \leq \frac{1}{j} \|r^\ell\xi\|_2 + \|(1 - \rho_j)\|_2 \eta_2 \to 0. \]
The convergence holds since $r^\ell \in L^2(N)$ by inductive assumption and $r^\ell \eta \in L^2(N)$ by our initial assumption, so that Dominated convergence applies to the last term. But then, by (6.1) with $k = \ell$,
\[ s\|r^{\ell+1}(\xi_j - \xi)\|_2 + \|r^{\ell}\nabla^V(\xi_j - \xi)\|_2 \leq C \left( \sum_{u=0}^{\ell} s^{-\frac{u}{2}} \|r^{\ell-u}(\eta_j - \eta)\|_2 + s^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\xi_j - \xi\|_2 \right) \]
which proves that $\{\xi_j\}_j$ is Cauchy in $W_{\ell,0}^{1,2}(N)$ and therefore $\xi \in W_{k,0}^{1,2}(N)$. It follows that $\{\xi_j\}_j$ is Cauchy in $W_{k,0}^{1,2}(N)$ and $\xi \in W_{k,0}^{1,2}(N)$.

7. The operator $\tilde{D}^Z$ and the horizontal derivatives

Throughout the paragraph we will work with function spaces of sections of the bundle $\pi^*(S^0|Z) \to N$, unless if we specify otherwise. The domain of $\tilde{D}^Z : L^2(N) \to L^2(N)$ is the space $W_{k,0}^{1,2}(N)$ so that $\tilde{D}^Z$ is densely defined. Also $\tilde{D}^Z(W_{k,0}^{1,2}(N)) \subset L^2_{\min(k-2,\ell-2)}(N)$, for every $k \geq 1, \ell \geq 1$. Fix coordinates $(\pi^{-1}(U), (x_j, x_\alpha)_{j,\alpha})$, orthonormal frames $\{e_j\}_j$ with their lifts $\{h_j\}_j$ and orthonormal frame $\{\sigma_k\}_k$ on $S^0|U$. Recall operator $D^Z_k$ from Definition 2.7.

Proposition 7.1. (1) There exist a constant $C > 0$ so that, for every $s > 0$ and every $\xi \in W_{1,1}^{1,2}(N)$,
\[ \|\nabla^H\xi\|_{L^2(N)}^2 \leq \|\tilde{D}^Z\xi\|_{L^2(N)}^2 + C(s^{-1}\|\mathcal{D}_{\xi}\|_{L^2(N)}^2 + \|r\mathcal{D}_{\xi}\|_{L^2(N)}^2 + \|\xi\|_{L^2(N)}). \quad (7.1) \]
(2) The operators $D^Z$ and $D^Z_1$ are linked in the following way: for every $\xi = \sum_\ell \xi_\ell$, $\xi_\ell \in C^\infty(Z; S^0_\ell)$, the operator $\xi \mapsto \xi^0 = \sum_\ell \varphi_{st} \cdot \xi_\ell \in C^\infty(N; \pi^* S^0_\ell)$ is defined in Remark 6.3. We have

$$
D^Z \xi^0 = \sum_\ell \xi_N(\pi^* d \ln \varphi_{st}) P^0_\ell \xi^0 + (D^Z_1 \xi)^0,
$$

where $P^0_0 S^0 \to S^0_\ell$ is the orthogonal projection.

(3) For every $k \geq 0$

$$
\| r^k \nabla^H(\xi^0) \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C s^{-\frac{k}{2}} \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)}
$$

Proof. Let $\xi \in C^\infty_c(\pi^{-1}(U); \pi^*(S^0_\ell))$. Applying $L^2$-norms with $\xi$ in $H^1$, integrating by parts,

$$
\| \nabla^H(\xi^0) \|_{L^2(N)}^2 \leq \| D^Z \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)}^2 - \langle \nabla^H(\xi^0) \|_{L^2(N)}^2 + C \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)}^2.
$$

However by the expression of the torsion $T$ in Proposition 7.2, we have that

$$
\| \langle \nabla^H(\xi^0) \|_{L^2(N)}^2 \leq C \| r^k \nabla^H(\xi^0) \|_{L^2(N)}^2 + \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N),}
$$

as required.

Proving (7.2) is a straightforward calculation:

$$
D^Z \xi^0 = \xi_N(\pi^* d \ln \varphi_{st}) P^0_\ell \xi^0 + (D^Z_1 \xi)^0.
$$

Also, for every $k \geq 0$,

$$
\| r^k \nabla^H(\xi^0) \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C \sum_\ell \| r^k \nabla \varphi_{st} \|_{L^2(N)} + \| r^k \nabla^H \xi_\ell \|_{L^2(N)}
$$

$$
\leq C s^{-\frac{k}{2}} \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)} + C \| r^k \nabla^H(\xi^0) \|_{L^2(N)}
$$

$$
= C \pi \frac{n-m}{4} s^{-\frac{k}{2}} \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)} + C \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)}
$$

$$
= C \pi \frac{n-m}{4} s^{-\frac{k}{2}} \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)}.
$$

In the preceding equalities we used that $\| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)} = \pi \frac{n-m}{4} \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(Z)}$. Estimate (7.3) follows.

We now prove an analogue of Proposition 6.2, but involving both the vertical and horizontal derivatives:

**Proposition 7.2.** Let $s > 0$ and $\xi \in W^1_0(N) \cap (\ker D_s)^{L^2}$ so that $D_s \xi \in W^{1,2}(N)$ for some $k \geq 1$. Then $\xi \in W^{1,2}_{k,k+1}(N)$ and there are estimates:

$$
\| \nabla^H \xi \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C s^{-1/2} \left( \| \nabla^H(D_s \xi) \|_{L^2(N)} + \| D_s \xi \|_{L^2(N)} \right).
$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.2, the spaces $W^{1,2}_{k-1,k}(N) \cap (\ker D_s)^{L^2}$, $k \geq 1$ are $C^\infty(Z; \mathbb{R})$-modules. Hence by multiplying $\xi$ with members of a partition of unity of $Z$ subordinated to a trivializations of $N \to Z$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\xi$ is supported in a single chart $\pi^{-1}(U)$ a trivialization $\pi^{-1}(U)$ of $N$ with coordinates $(x_j, x_\alpha)_{j,\alpha}$. First we prove that $\xi$ possesses weak derivatives whose weighted Sobolev class we compute:

Let $\eta \in C^\infty(N)$ be a test function and decompose $\eta = \eta^0 + \eta^1$. Set $\xi_1 = G_s \eta^1$, where $G_s$ is the Green’s operator of $D_s$, defined in Proposition 6.3. Then $\xi_1, \eta_1 \in \bigcap_k W^{1,2}_{k,k+1}(N)$. For fixed $j$,

$$
\langle \nabla h_j \eta, \xi \rangle_{L^2(N)} = \langle \nabla h_j \eta^0, \xi \rangle_{L^2(N)} + \langle \nabla h_j \eta^1, \xi \rangle_{L^2(N)}.
$$
and we proceed to evaluate the two terms on the right hand side.

Write \( \eta^0 = \sum \ell \varphi_\ell \eta_\ell \) for some \( \eta_\ell \in C^\infty (Z; S_\ell^+) \). Then

\[
\nabla_{h_j} \eta^0 = \sum \ell \left[ \left( \frac{n-m}{2} - s \lambda_\ell r^2 \right) \frac{e_\ell (\lambda_\ell)}{2 \lambda_\ell} \varphi_\ell \eta_\ell + \varphi_\ell \nabla_{h_j} \eta_\ell \right],
\]

where the last term of the right hand side is again an element of \( \text{ker } \mathcal{D}_s \). Since \( \xi \in (\text{ker } \mathcal{D}_s)^{1_*} \), we obtain

\[
\langle \nabla_{h_j} \eta^0, \xi \rangle_{L^2 (N)} = \langle \eta^0, K_\ell \xi \rangle_{L^2 (N)}, \quad \text{where } K_\ell \xi := \sum \ell \left[ \left( \frac{n-m}{2} - s \lambda_\ell r^2 \right) \frac{e_\ell (\lambda_\ell)}{2 \lambda_\ell} P^{\ell^0+} \xi. \right.
\]

On the other hand, using the identity (5.7) that is

\[
\mathcal{D}_s^* (\nabla_{h_j} \xi_1) = \nabla_{h_j} (\mathcal{D}_s^* \xi_1) - sr [\nabla_{h_j}, \tilde{A}_r^*] \xi_1,
\]

and integrating by parts, we obtain,

\[
\langle \nabla_{h_j} \eta^1, \xi \rangle_{L^2 (N)} = \langle \nabla_{h_j} \mathcal{D}_s^* \xi_1, \xi \rangle_{L^2 (N)} = \langle \mathcal{D}_s^* \nabla_{h_j} \xi_1, \mathcal{D}_s \xi_1 \rangle_{L^2 (N)} - s \langle [\nabla_{h_j}, \tilde{A}_r^*] \xi_1, \mathcal{D}_s \xi_1 \rangle_{L^2 (N)} = -\langle \eta^1, \mathcal{G}_s^* (\nabla_{h_j} \mathcal{D}_s \xi^1) \rangle_{L^2 (N)} + \langle \eta^1, \mathcal{G}_s^* (O(sr) \xi) \rangle_{L^2 (N)}.
\]

Therefore, the weak derivative in the direction \( h_j \) is defined as a section \( \pi^{-1} (U) \to \pi^* S^{0+} \), by

\[
\nabla_{h_j} \xi = (K_\ell \xi)^0 + G_s^* (\nabla_{h_j} \mathcal{D}_s \xi + O(sr) \xi)^1 \tag{7.5}
\]

and the global horizontal derivative is defined as \( \nabla^H \xi = h^j \otimes \nabla_{h_j} \xi \in C^\infty (N; \mathcal{H} \otimes \pi^* S^{0+}) \) and is supported again in \( \pi^{-1} (U) \).

Combining assumption \( \mathcal{D}_s \xi \in W^{1,2}_{k-1, k} (N) \subset L^2_{k-1} (N) \) with Proposition 6.3, we have that \( \xi \in W^{1,2}_{k-1, k} (N) \). By expression (7.4) we have \( \nabla^H \xi \in W^{1,2}_{k-1, 0} (N) \subset L^2_{k-1} (N) \) so that \( \xi \in W^{1,2}_{k,k+1} (N) \) as required.

We next prove estimate (7.3). We start by obtaining estimates on the first term of (7.5): let \( \eta \in \text{ker } \mathcal{D}_s \cap C^\infty \), with \( \| \eta \|_{L^2 (N)} \leq 1 \) and \( \eta = \sum \ell \varphi_\ell \eta_\ell \) for some \( \eta_\ell \in C^\infty (Z; S_\ell^+) \). Then

\[
| \langle K_\ell \xi, \eta \rangle_{L^2 (N)} | = | \langle \xi, K_\ell \eta \rangle_{L^2 (N)} | \leq C \| \xi \|_{L^2 (N)} \| \eta \|_{L^2 (N)} \leq C \| \xi \|_{L^2 (N)} \| \eta \|_{L^2 (N)}, \quad \text{by using (6.1) on } \eta \text{ with } k = 1,
\]

\[
\leq C s^{-1/2} \| \mathcal{D}_s \xi \|_{L^2 (N)}, \quad \text{by (6.3) applied on } \xi.
\]

Therefore the \( L^2 \)-norm of the projection to \( \text{ker } \mathcal{D}_s \), estimates,

\[
\| (K_\ell \xi)^0 \|_{L^2 (N)} = \sup_{\eta \in \ker \mathcal{D}_s, \| \eta \|_{L^2 (N)} \leq 1} | \langle K_\ell \xi, \eta \rangle_{L^2 (N)} | \leq C s^{-1/2} \| \mathcal{D}_s \xi \|_{L^2 (N)}. \tag{7.6}
\]

Also the \( L^2 \)-norm of the second term of the right hand side of (7.5) estimates

\[
\| G_s^* (\nabla_{h_j} \mathcal{D}_s \xi + O(sr) \xi) \|_{L^2 (N)} \leq C s^{-1/2} \| \nabla_{h_j} (\mathcal{D}_s \xi) + O(sr) \xi \|_{L^2 (N)}, \quad \text{by (6.3)}
\]

\[
\leq C s^{-1/2} (\| \nabla_{h_j} (\mathcal{D}_s \xi) \|_{L^2 (N)} + \| \mathcal{D}_s \xi \|_{L^2 (N)}), \quad \text{by (6.8).} \tag{7.7}
\]

Combining (7.6) and (7.7) we obtain (7.4). \( \Box \)
8. Separation of the spectrum

Our main goal for this section is to prove the Spectrum Separation Theorem stated in the introduction. For that purpose we will use the bundles $S^+_\ell$ introduced in Definition 2.7 and define a space of approximate solutions to the equation $D_s \xi = 0$. The space of approximate solutions is linearly isomorphic to a certain “thickening” of $\ker D_s$ by “low” eigenspaces of $D^*_s D_s$ for large $s$. The same result will apply to $\ker D^*_s$. The “thickening” will occur by a phenomenon of separation of the spectrum of $D^*_s D_s$ into low and high eigenvalues for large $s$. The following lemma will be enough for our purposes:

**Lemma 8.1.** Let $L : H \to H'$ be a densely defined closed operator with between the Hilbert spaces $H, H'$ so that $L^* L$ has discrete spectrum. Denote $E_\mu$ the $\mu$-eigenspace of $L^* L$. Suppose $V$ is a $k$-dimensional subspace of $H$ so that

$$|L v|^2 \leq C_1 |v|^2, \forall v \in V \quad \text{and} \quad |L w|^2 \geq C_2 |w|^2, \forall w \in V^\perp.$$  

Then there exist consecutive eigenvalues $\mu_1, \mu_2$ of $L^* L$ so that $\mu_1 \leq C_1, \mu_2 \geq C_2$ and if in addition $4C_1 < C_2$, the orthogonal projection

$$P : \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \mu_1} E_\mu \to V$$

is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** Let $\mu_1$ be the $k$-th eigenvalue of the self-adjoint operator $L^* L$, counted with multiplicity, and $\mu_2$ be the next eigenvalue. Denote by $G_k(H)$ the set of $k$-dimensional subspaces of $H$ and set $W = \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \mu_1} E_\mu$, also $k$-dimensional. By the Rayleigh quotients we have

$$\mu_2 = \max_{S \in G_k(H)} \left\{ \inf_{v \in S^\perp, |v|=1} |Lv|^2 \right\} \geq \inf_{v \in V^\perp, |v|=1} |Lv|^2 \geq C_2.$$ 

and also

$$\mu_1 = \max_{S \in G_{k-1}(H)} \left\{ \inf_{v \in S^\perp, |v|=1} |Lv|^2 \right\}.$$ 

But for any $k-1$-dimensional subspace $S \subset H$ there exists a vector $v_S \in S^\perp \cap V$ of unit length so that

$$\mu_1 \leq \max_{S \in G_{k-1}(H)} \left\{ |Lv_S|^2 \right\} \leq C_1.$$ 

Finally, given $w \in W$ write $w = v_0 + v_1$ with $v_0 = P(w)$ and $v_1 \in V^\perp$. Then

$$C_2 |w - P(w)|^2 = C_2 |v^1|^2 \leq |Lv_1|^2 \leq 2(|Lv|^2 + |Lv_0|^2) \leq 2(\mu_1 + C_1) |w|^2 \leq 4C_1 |w|^2$$

and so $|1w - P|^2 \leq 4C_2$. If additionally $4C_1 < C_2$ and $P(w) = 0$ for some $w \neq 0$ then

$$|w|^2 = |w - P(w)|^2 \leq |1w - P|^2 |w|^2 < |w|^2$$

a contradiction. Hence $P$ is injective and by dimension count an isomorphism. \hfill \Box

We have to construct an appropriate space $V$ that will be viewed as the space of approximate solutions to the problem $L \xi = D_s \xi = 0$. It is enough to describe the construction for a fixed $m$-dimensional component $Z = Z_\ell$. Recall the subspaces $S^i \ell^i \ell$ with projections $P^i = \sum_i P^i\ell$ and $P^i = S^i|Z \to (S^i) \perp \subset S^i$, $i = 0, 1$. Recall also the operators $D^i_s$ and $B^i_s$ introduced in Definition 2.7.

The subspace of approximate solutions are defined by the following splicing construction: for every $Z \subset Z_A$ connected component, fix $p \in BZ(2\varepsilon) \to [0, 1]$, a cutoff function supported in $BZ(2\varepsilon) = \{ p \in X : r_Z(p) < 2\varepsilon \}$, where $r_Z$ is the distance function of a component $Z$ and taking the value 1 in $BZ(\varepsilon)$, so that $|dp| \leq 1/\varepsilon$. Define also the bundle map $\mathcal{P}_s : \pi^*(S^0|Z) \to \pi^*(S^1|Z)$, by

$$\mathcal{P}_s := \sum_\ell c_\ell (d_Z(\ln \varphi_{s\ell})) P^0\ell + P^1 \circ \left( B^0 \frac{1}{2} s^2 \tilde{\alpha}_{rr} \right) - B^0_s$$

where $B^0_s$ is introduced in Definition 2.7.
Definition 8.2. Given $s > 0$, $\ell$ and section $\xi \in \ker(D_+^2 + B_{0+}^2)$, $\xi = \sum_{\ell} \xi_{\ell}$, set
\[
\xi^0 := \sum_{\ell} \varphi_{\ell}\xi_{\ell},
\]
and define $\xi^1 \in (\ker D_+\cdot s^2 \cdot \xi^2$ and $\xi^2 \in C^\infty(N; \pi^*(S^0|Z)\cdot s^2)$, by solving
\[
\mathcal{D}_{s^2} \xi^1 + \mathcal{P}_s \xi^0 = 0, \quad (8.1)
\]
\[
s\tilde{A}_s \xi^2 + (1_{E^1|Z} - P^1) \circ \left( B^0 + \frac{1}{2} s^2 \right) \tilde{A}_s \xi^0 = 0. \quad (8.2)
\]

We define an approximate low eigenvector of $D_s$ by
\[
\xi_s := \xi^0 + \xi^1 + \xi^2 \in C^\infty(N; \pi^*(S^0|Z)).
\]

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the spaces of approximate low eigenvectors,
\[
V_{s,\varepsilon} \subset \ker(D_+^2 + B_{0+}^2)
\]
\[
V_{s,\varepsilon} := \left\{ (\rho_s \circ \mathcal{I} \circ \tau)(\xi_s \circ \exp^{-1}) : \xi \in \ker(D_+^2 + B_{0+}^2) \right\}
\]
where $\mathcal{I}$ is introduced in (2.10) and $\tau : C^\infty(N; \pi^*(E|Z)) \to C^\infty(N; E|N)$ is the parallel transport map with respect to the $\nabla^E$ introduced in (1.2). We have analogue definitions of approximate low eigenvector for $D^*_s$ and we denote the subspace of approximate solutions by $W_{s,\varepsilon}^2$.

Note that by expansion (12) elements of $W_{s,\varepsilon}^2$ will be associated to sections in the kernel of $D_+^2 + B_{0+}^2$. Elements of $V_{s,\varepsilon}$ are smooth sections of the bundle $E^0 \to X$ that are compactly supported on the tubular neighborhood $B(Z, 2\varepsilon) \subset X$ of $Z$. Let
\[
V_{s,\varepsilon} := V_{s,\varepsilon}^\perp \cap W^{1,2}(X; E).
\]

Theorem 8.3. If $D_s$ satisfies Assumptions $\text{(T)}$ then there exist an $s_0 > 0$ and constants $C_i = C_i(s_0) > 0$, $i = 1, 2$ so that when $s > s_0$
(a) For every $\eta \in V_{s,\varepsilon}$,
\[
\|D_s \eta\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C_1 s^{-1/2} \|\eta\|_{L^2(X)}. \quad (8.3)
\]
(b) For every $\eta \in V_{s,\varepsilon}^\perp$,
\[
\|D_s \eta\|_{L^2(X)} \geq C_2 \|\eta\|_{L^2(X)}. \quad (8.4)
\]
Since the $L^2$-adjoint operator $D_s^*$ satisfies the same assumptions, the constants $s_0, C_1, C_2$ can be chosen to satisfy simultaneously the analogue estimates for $D_s^*$ in place of $D_s$ and the space $W_{s,\varepsilon}^2$ in place of $V_{s,\varepsilon}$.

Remark 8.4. (1) It suffices to prove Theorem 8.3 (8.4) for an $L^2$-dense subspace of $V_{s,\varepsilon}^\perp$. Also notice that $V_{s,\varepsilon}^2$ is $L^2$-perpendicular to $V_{s,\varepsilon}^\perp$ for $Z_1 \neq Z_2$ since their corresponding sections have disjoint supports.

(2) $(L^2)$-norms using different densities) Estimate (8.3) refers to sections supported in tubular neighborhoods of the components of the critical set. Also in Lemma 8.11 we prove that we only have to show estimate (8.1) for sections supported in these tubular neighborhoods. Let $\xi \in C_c^\infty(N; \pi^*(E|Z))$ and $\eta = (I \circ \tau)(\xi) \circ \exp^{-1}$. We have that
\[
\int_X |\eta|^2 \, dvol_X = \int_{N_s} |\xi|^2 \, dvol_{N_s} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X |D_s \eta|^2 \, dvol_X = \int_{N_s} |\hat{D}_s(\tau \xi)|^2 \, dvol_{N_s},
\]
and by estimate (1.26), these norms are equivalent to the corresponding $L^2(N)$ norms in the total space $N$ with volume form $dvol_N$, of the normal bundles of the components of the critical set $Z_A$. These are the norms we are using in the following analysis.
The rest of this section is devoted to proving estimate (8.3). The proof of estimate (8.4) will be given in the next section. We following lemma establishes existence and uniqueness of equations (8.1) and (8.2) and provide estimates of the solutions:

**Lemma 8.5.** For every $\xi \in \ker(D_+^*Z+D_-^*Z)$, there exist unique $\psi \in (\ker D_s^*)^{-1/2} \cap \left( \bigcap_{k,l} W_{k,l}^{1,2}(N; \pi^*(S^0|Z)) \right)$ and $\zeta \in \bigcap_{k,l} W_{k,l}^{1,2}(N; \pi^*(S^0|Z))$ satisfying equations (8.1) and (8.2) respectively. Moreover $\psi$ and $\zeta$ obey the following estimates,

$$
\|\psi\|_{L^2(N)} \leq Cs^{-1/2}\|\xi^0\|_{L^2(N)}, \tag{8.5}
$$

$$
\sup_k \|r^{k+1}\psi\|_{L^2(N)} + \|r^k \nabla \psi\|_{L^2(N)} \leq Cs^{-1/2}\|\xi^0\|_{L^2(N)}, \quad \text{for every } k \geq 0, \tag{8.6}
$$

$$
\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2(N)} \leq Cs^{-1/2}\|\xi^0\|_{L^2(N)}, \tag{8.7}
$$

$$
\sup_k \|r^{k+1}\zeta\|_{L^2(N)} + (k+1)\|r^k \nabla \zeta\|_{L^2(N)} \leq \frac{C}{k+1}\|\xi^0\|_{L^2(N)}, \quad \text{for every } k \geq -1, \tag{8.8}
$$

$$
\|r^k \nabla \zeta\|_{L^2(N)} \leq Cs^{-1/2}\|\xi^0\|_{L^2(N)}, \quad \text{for every } k \geq 0. \tag{8.9}
$$

**Proof.** First we claim that

$$
P_s \xi^0 \in (\ker D_s^*)^{-1/2} \cap W_{k,l}^{1,2}(N), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$

By direct calculation, for every $\ell$,

$$
d_Z(\ln \varphi_{s,t}) = \left(\frac{n-m}{2} - s\lambda_\ell t^2\right) \frac{d_Z \lambda_\ell}{2\lambda_\ell}. \tag{8.10}
$$

This is a Hermite polynomial in $r$ that is $L^2$ perpendicular to the ker $D_s^*$; an arbitrary section in ker $D_s$ is a section of the form $\phi_{s,t'} \cdot \theta$, with $\theta \in L^2(Z; S_\ell^V)$. By applying change of coordinates $\{y_\alpha = \sqrt{s\lambda_\ell x_\alpha}\}_\alpha$,

$$
\langle c_Z(d_Z(\ln \varphi_{s,t}))P_\ell \xi^0, \phi_{s,t'} \cdot \theta \rangle_{L^2(N)} = \delta_{\ell,l'} \left\langle \frac{n-m}{2} - |y|^2, \frac{1}{2\lambda_\ell}e^{-|y|^2} \langle c_Z(d\lambda_\ell)\xi, \theta \rangle \right\rangle_{L^2(N)}.
$$

The integral is zero when $\ell = l'$, since its polar part is

$$
\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{n-m}{2} - r^2\right) r^{n-m-1} e^{-r^2} dr = \left(\frac{n-m}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n-m}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{n-m}{2} + 1\right) = 0.
$$

This proves the claim for the first term in the expression pf $P_s \xi^0$. For the rest of the terms we calculate the the $L^2$-projections to the subspace ker $D_s^*$ as

$$
\langle B_0^0 \xi^0, \phi_{s,t'} \cdot \theta \rangle_{L^2(N)} = s^{\frac{n-m}{2}} \sum_{\ell} \int_N \left(\lambda_\ell \lambda_{\ell'}\right)^{\frac{n-m}{4}} x_\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}s(\lambda_\ell + \lambda_{\ell'})|x|^2\right) \langle B_0^0 \xi_\ell, \theta \rangle_{L^2(N)}.
$$

By applying change of coordinates $\{y_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}(\lambda_\ell + \lambda_{\ell'})^{1/2} x_\alpha\}_\alpha$ and then calculating the polar part of the resulting integral, we obtain the constant $C_{\ell,l'}$ of Definition 2.7. Similarly, using the expression

$$
r^2 \tilde{A}_{rr} = x_\alpha x_\beta A_{\alpha\beta},
$$

$$
s(r^2 \tilde{A}_{rr} \xi^0, \phi_{s,t'} \cdot \theta)_{L^2(N)} = s^{\frac{n-m}{2}+1} \sum_{\ell, \alpha, \beta} \int_N \left(\lambda_\ell \lambda_{\ell'}\right)^{\frac{n-m}{4}} x_\alpha x_\beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}s(\lambda_\ell + \lambda_{\ell'})|x|^2\right) \langle \tilde{A}_{\alpha\beta} \xi_\ell, \theta \rangle_{L^2(N)}.
$$

The resulting integral is zero when $\alpha \neq \beta$ and when $\alpha = \beta$ we apply the same change of variables as with the previous integral and we write the resulting integral as product of $n-m-1$ one dimensional Gaussian integrals, one for each normal coordinate different than the $x_\alpha$-coordinate and an integral that is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-m}{2}\right)$. The final result of the integration along the normal directions is $\frac{C_{\ell,l'}}{\lambda_\ell + \lambda_{\ell'}}$. Hence the $L^2$-projection of the term $\left(B_0^0 + \frac{1}{s}r^2 \tilde{A}_{rr} \right) \xi^0$ onto ker $D_s^*$ is $B_0^0 \xi^0$. This finishes the proof of the claim.

The claim together with Proposition 6.5 prove that equation (8.1) has a unique solution $\psi$. Since the right hand side, is a Hermite polynomial in $r$, it belongs to the space $\bigcap_{k,l} W_{k,l}^{1,2}(N)$. By Propositions 7.2 and...
we have that $\psi \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} W^{k,2}_{k,2}(N)$ and by (6.3) and (6.1) with $k = 1$ we obtain,
\[
\sqrt{s} \| \psi \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C \| \dot{D}_s \psi \|_{L^2(N)} \\
\| \dot{D}_s \psi \|_{L^2(N)} = C \| \mathcal{P}_s \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C \| (1 + sr^2) \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)},
\]
so that estimate (8.5) is proved. Estimate (8.6) follows by combining (8.8) with (8.11).

Finally, because $C^s$ is invertible in the subspaces the equation is defined. Moreover we have poinwise estimates
\[
sr^{k+1} |\xi| \leq (1 + sr^2) r^{k+1} |\xi^0| \\
r^k |\nabla \psi| \leq C r^{k+1} (1 + sr^2) |\xi^0| \\
sr^k |\nabla^2 \psi| \leq r^k (1 + sr^2) [ |\xi^0| + |\nabla^2 \xi^0|].
\]
Applying $L^2$-norms and using (6.1) and (7.3), we obtain estimates (8.8) and (8.9).

Equation (8.2) is solvable in $\zeta$ since $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible in the subspaces the equation is defined. Moreover we have poinwise estimates
\[
sr^{k+1} |\zeta| \leq (1 + sr^2) r^{k+1} |\zeta^0| \\
r^k |\nabla \zeta| \leq C r^{k+1} (1 + sr^2) |\zeta^0| \\
sr^k |\nabla^2 \zeta| \leq r^k (1 + sr^2) [ |\zeta^0| + |\nabla^2 \zeta^0|].
\]
Applying $L^2$-norms and using (6.1) and (7.3), we obtain estimates (8.8) and (8.9). □

We now proceed to the proof of estimate (8.2) in Theorem 8.3. Choose $\xi = \sum_{k} \xi_k \in \ker(D^2_s + B^2_{0+})$ and set $\tilde{\eta} = \mathcal{I} \tilde{\eta}$ where $\tilde{\eta} = \rho_z^2 \cdot r \xi_s$ and $\xi_s = \xi^0 + \xi^1 + \xi^2$. Denote $\rho^2_s = \rho_s$. The Taylor expansion from Corollary 4.5 gives
\[
(\tilde{D} + s\mathcal{A}) \tilde{\eta} = dp_s \cdot r \xi_s + \rho_s \cdot \tau O(r^2 \partial V + r \partial^H + 1 + sr^3) \xi^0 + \rho_s \cdot \tau O(r \partial V + r \partial^H + 1 + sr) \xi^1 + \rho_s \cdot \tau O(\partial V + \partial^H + 1 + sr) \xi^2.
\]
Here the lower order terms vanished because we used the simplifications coming from equations
\[
\dot{D}_s \xi^0 = 0 \\
(\dot{D}^2 + B^2_{0+}) \xi^0 = \left( \sum_{k} c_k (d_k (\ln \varphi s)) P^2_+ \right) \xi^0, \quad \text{by (6.2),}
\]
together with the equations (8.1) for $\xi^1$ and and (8.2) for $\xi^2$. By using (6.1) and (7.3) for $\xi^0$ and (8.5) up to (8.7) for $\xi^1$ and estimates (8.8) and (8.9) for $\xi^2$, we obtain that the $L^2(N)$ norm of the error terms of (8.2) is bounded by $C s^{-1/2} \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)}$.

Finally, because $d\rho_s$ has support outside the $\xi$-neighborhood of $Z_\mathcal{A}$, the $L^2(N)$ norm of the first term on the right hand side is bounded as
\[
\int_N |dp_s \cdot r \xi^0|^2 \, dv_N \leq C \| \xi \|_{L^2(N)}^2 \int_{\sqrt{r}}^\infty r^{n-m-1} e^{-1/2} dr \leq C \pi^{m-n} e^{-r^2/2} \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)}^2,
\]
\[
\| dp_s \cdot (r \xi^1 + \xi^2) \| \leq C s^{-1} \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)}^2.
\]
Putting all together we obtain,
\[
\int_N |(\tilde{D} + s\mathcal{A}) \tilde{\eta}|^2 \, dv_N \leq C s^{-1} \| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)}^2.
\]
Finally we show that
\[
\| \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)} \leq 2 \| p_s \cdot \xi^0 \|_{L^2(N)}
\]
(8.14)
for every $s$ sufficiently large. Indeed, by using the change to \( \{ y_\alpha = \sqrt{sA_\alpha x_\alpha} \}_\alpha \) one each component of the section $\xi_0 = \sum \langle \xi_0 \rangle$, we estimate
\[
\| \rho_\varepsilon (x) (\xi_0) \|^2_{L^2(N)} \geq \int_{B(\mathbb{R}^n, \sqrt{\varepsilon})} e^{-|y|^2} |\xi_0|^2 \, dv_{\mathbb{R}^n} = |S^{n-m-1}| \| \xi_0 \|^2_{L^2(Z)} \int_0^\infty r^{n-m-1} e^{-r^2} \, dr.
\]
But there exist $s_0 = s_0(\varepsilon) > 0$, so that
\[
\int_0^\infty r^{n-m-1} e^{-r^2} \, dr > \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty r^{n-m-1} e^{-r^2} \, dr,
\]
for every $s > s_0$ and (8.13) follow. Finally, using that $\tilde{\eta} = \rho_\varepsilon (\xi_0 + \xi_1 + \xi_2)$ and (8.14),
\[
\| \tilde{\eta} \|^2_{L^2(N)} \geq \frac{1}{4} \| \xi_0 \|^2_{L^2(N)} + 2 \langle \rho_\varepsilon \xi_0, \rho_\varepsilon (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \rangle_{L^2(N)}
\]
where the cross terms estimate as,
\[
|2 \langle \rho_\varepsilon \xi_0, \rho_\varepsilon (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \rangle_{L^2(N)}| \leq \frac{1}{16} \| \xi_0 \|^2_{L^2(N)} + 16 \| \xi_1 \|^2_{L^2(N)} + \| \xi_2 \|^2_{L^2(N)} \leq \left( \frac{1}{16} + Cs^{-1} \right) \| \xi_0 \|^2_{L^2(N)} \quad \text{by (8.3) and (8.4)},
\]
for $s > 0$ sufficiently large. Therefore, we obtain
\[
\| \tilde{\eta} \|^2_{L^2(N)} \geq \frac{1}{8} \| \xi_0 \|^2_{L^2(N)}.
\]
Combining this last inequality with (8.13), we obtain
\[
\int_N | \tilde{D}_s \tilde{\eta} |^2 \, dv_{\mathbb{R}^n} \leq Cs^{-1} \int_N | \tilde{\eta} |^2 \, dv_{\mathbb{R}^n}.
\]
By (1.20), the volume densities $dv_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $dv_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ are equivalent. Therefore, the preceding inequality holds for the density $dv_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Since $\| \tilde{D}_s \eta \|^2_{L^2(X)} = \| \tilde{D}_s \tilde{\eta} \|^2_{L^2(N)}$ and $\| \eta \|^2_{L^2(X)} = \| \tilde{\eta} \|^2_{L^2(N)}$, estimate (8.3) follows. $\square$

Applying Lemma 8.11, we get a proof of Spectrum Separation Theorem stated in the introduction:

**Proof of Spectral Separation Theorem.** By Theorem 8.3, we may choose $s_0 > 0$ so that the constants satisfy $4C_1 < C_2$ for every $s > s_0$. Then apply Lemma 8.11 for $L = D_s$ with $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H_s = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s$ and $s'$ constructed above and for the analogue versions for its adjoint to obtain that the for the first eigenvalue $\lambda_0$ of $D_s^* D_s$ and $D_s D_s^*$ satisfying $\lambda_0 \leq C_1 s^{-1/2}$, the projections $\Pi^0$ and $\Pi^1$ are linear isomorphisms that is
\[
\Pi^0 : \text{span}^0(s, \lambda_0) \simeq V_{s, x} \simeq \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \text{Comp}(Z_s)} \ker \{ D^2_s + B^2_0 : C^\infty(Z; S^0 + |Z) \to C^\infty(Z; S^1 + |Z) \}
\]
\[
\Pi^1 : \text{span}^1(s, \lambda_0) \simeq W_{s, x} \simeq \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \text{Comp}(Z_s)} \ker \{ D^2_s + B^2_1 : C^\infty(Z; S^1 + |Z) \to C^\infty(Z; S^0 + |Z) \}
\]
Finally it also follows that $N^i(s, \lambda_0) = N^i(s, C_1 s^{-1/2})$, $i = 0, 1$. This completes the proof when $s > s_0$. Replacing $\mathcal{A}$ with $-\mathcal{A}$, the preceding considerations prove an analogue theorem when $s$ is large and negative. The space of sections is then changing from $S^i +$ to $S^i -$, $i = 0, 1$. $\square$

**Remark 8.6.** Combining Theorem 8.3 with the proof of Lemma 8.11 we actually gave a bound on the error of the approximate eigensections that is if $\| \xi_0 \|_2 = 1$ and $D_s \xi = 0$ then we have,
\[
\| \xi - \Pi^0(\xi) \|_2 \leq \frac{4C_1}{sC_2} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad s \to \infty, \quad i = 0, 1.
\]
9. A Poincaré type inequality

This section is entirely devoted to the proof of estimate (8.4) of Theorem 8.3. We start by reducing the proof of the estimate to showing the estimate for sections supported in the tubular neighborhood $B_{Z_A}(4\varepsilon)$:

**Lemma 9.1.** If estimate (8.4) is true for $\eta \in V_{s,\varepsilon}^{+}$ supported in $B_{Z_A}(4\varepsilon)$ then it is true for every $\eta \in V_{s,\varepsilon}^{+}$.

**Proof.** Let $\eta \in V_{s,\varepsilon}^{+}$ and recall the cutoff $\rho_\varepsilon$ used in Definition 8.2 and define $\rho' = \rho_\varepsilon : X \to [0,1]$, a bump function supported in $B_{Z_A}(4\varepsilon)$ with $\rho' \equiv 1$ in $B_{Z_A}(2\varepsilon)$. Write $\eta = \rho' \eta + (1-\rho')\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2$ with supp $\eta_1 \subset B_{Z_A}(4\varepsilon)$ and supp $\eta_2 \subset X \setminus B_{Z_A}(4\varepsilon) = \Omega(2\varepsilon)$. Then

\[
\|D_s\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2 = \|D_s\eta_1\|_{L^2(X)}^2 + \|D_s\eta_2\|_{L^2(X)}^2 + 2\langle D_s\eta_1, D_s\eta_2 \rangle_{L^2(X)}.
\]  

(9.1)

Since $\rho' \rho_\varepsilon = \rho_\varepsilon$ we have $\eta_1 \in V_{s,\varepsilon}^{+}$ and by assumption there exist $C_1 = C_1(\varepsilon) > 0$ and $s_0 = s_0(\varepsilon) > 0$ so that

\[
\|D_s\eta_1\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \geq C_1\|\eta_1\|_{L^2(X)}^2
\]

for every $s > s_0$. Also, by a concentration estimate

\[
\|D_s\eta_2\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \geq s^2\|A\eta_2\|_{L^2(X)}^2 - s|\eta_2, B_A\eta_2\|_{L^1(X)} \geq (s^2\kappa_{2\varepsilon} - sC_0)\|\eta_2\|_{L^2(X)}^2,
\]

with constants $\kappa_{2\varepsilon}$ and $C_0$ as in (B.31). To estimate the cross terms we calculate

\[
D_s\eta_1 = \rho' D_s\eta + (d\rho')\eta, \quad D_s\eta_2 = (1-\rho') D_s\eta - (d\rho')\eta
\]

and hence

\[
\langle D_s\eta_1, D_s\eta_2 \rangle_{L^2(X)} = \int_X \rho' (1-\rho') |D_s\eta|^2 \, d\text{vol}_X + \int_X (1-2\rho')(d\rho')\eta, D_s\eta \rangle \, d\text{vol}_X
\]

\[
- \int_X (d\rho')\eta, D_s\eta \rangle \, d\text{vol}_X
\]

\[
\geq -\frac{1}{2}\|D_s\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2 - \frac{3}{2}\int_X (d\rho')\eta, D_s\eta \rangle \, d\text{vol}_X.
\]

We used that $|ab| \leq \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2)$ and that $(1-2\rho')^2 \leq 1$. But $(d\rho')\eta$ is supported in $\Omega(2\varepsilon)$ hence by a concentration estimate applied again

\[
\int_X (d\rho')\eta, D_s\eta \rangle \, d\text{vol}_X \leq \int_{\Omega(2\varepsilon)} |\eta|^2 \, d\text{vol}_X
\]

\[
\leq \frac{C_\varepsilon}{s^2\kappa_{2\varepsilon}^2} \|D_s\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2 + \frac{C_\varepsilon C_0}{s\kappa_{2\varepsilon}} \|\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{3}\|D_s\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2 + \frac{C_\varepsilon}{s} \|\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2
\]

for $s$ large enough. Hence

\[
\langle D_s\eta_1, D_s\eta_2 \rangle_{L^2(X)} \geq -\|D_s\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2 - \frac{C_\varepsilon}{s} \|\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2.
\]

Substituting to (9.1) and absorbing the first term in the left hand side there is an $s_1 = s_1(\varepsilon)$ with

\[
3\|D_s\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \geq \|D_s\eta_1\|_{L^2(X)}^2 + (s^2\kappa_{2\varepsilon} - sC_0)\|\eta_2\|_{L^2(X)}^2 - \frac{C_\varepsilon}{s} \|\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2
\]

\[
\geq C_1(\|\eta_1\|_{L^2(X)}^2 + \|\eta_2\|_{L^2(X)}^2) - \frac{C_\varepsilon}{s} \|\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2
\]

\[
\geq C_1\|\eta\|_{L^2(X)}^2,
\]

for every $s \geq s_1$. \(\square\)
Since $L^2$-norms are additive on sections with disjoint supports, we can work with $\eta \in V^s_+ \cap W^{1,2}_0(N; E^0)$ so that the support of $\eta$ lies in a tubular neighborhood $N_\epsilon$ of some individual singular component $Z$ of $Z_A$. There the distance function $r$ from the set $Z$ is defined and we have the following generalization of estimate (5.3) of Theorem 5.3.

Lemma 9.2. There exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $C = C(\epsilon_0) > 0$ so that for every $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ there exist $s(\epsilon) > 0$ with the following property: for every $s > s_0$ and every $\eta \in V^s_{+} \cap W^{1,2}_0(N; E^0)$ and every $k = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\| D_s \eta \|_{L^2(X)} \geq s^{k/2} C \| r^k \eta \|_{L^2(X)}.$$  \hspace{2cm} (9.2)

As mentioned in Remark 8.3, the exponential map identifies diffeomorphically this neighborhood to a tubular neighborhood $N_{\epsilon}$ of the zero section on the total space of the normal bundle $N$ of $Z$ and by using the maps introduced in (2.10), we can prove estimate (9.2) of Lemma 9.2 for the diffeomorphic copies $\tilde{D}_s$ of $D_s$ and $\tilde{V}^s_{+} \cap W^{1,2}_0(N_{\epsilon}; E^0)$. The tubular neighborhood admits two different volume form elements namely the pullback volume $d\text{vol}^N = \exp^* d\text{vol}^X$ and the volume form $d\text{vol}^N$ introduced in Appendix B.3. The corresponding densities are equivalent per Appendix (B.26). We prove estimate (9.2) for the $L^2(N)$-function space induced by $d\text{vol}^N$.

In the following lemmas until the end of the paragraph, we use the following conventions: given $\eta = \tau \xi$ and $\xi \in C^\infty_c(N; \pi^*(E^0 | Z))$, we decompose $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 = \tau(\xi_1 + \bar{\xi}_2)$ where $\xi_1 = P^0 \xi$ and $\xi_2 = (1 - P^0) \bar{\xi}$ are sections of the bundles $\pi^*(S^0 | Z)$ and $\pi^*(S^0 | Z)^\perp$ respectively. It follows that $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ differ in different $C^\infty_\tau$-modules and therefore they have vanishing cross sections in the left hand side of the following estimate.

Lemma 9.3. There exist $s_0, \epsilon_0 > 0$ and a constant $C = C(s_0, \epsilon_0) > 0$ so that for every $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, every $s > s_0$ and every $\eta = \tau \xi \in C^\infty_c(N; \pi^*(E^0 | Z))$, we have an estimate,

$$\| D_s \xi_1 \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \nabla^{H} \xi_1 \|_{L^2(N)} + \| D_s \xi_2 \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \nabla^{H} \xi_2 \|_{L^2(N)} + s\| \tilde{A} \xi_2 \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C(\| \tilde{D}_s \eta \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \eta \|_{L^2(N)}).$$  \hspace{2cm} (9.3)

Proof. It is enough to prove the estimate for a section $\xi$, supported in a bundle chart $(\pi^{-1}(U), (x, x_\alpha)_{\alpha, \epsilon})$. We first prove the auxiliary estimates,

$$\| D_s \xi_1 \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \bar{D}_s \xi_1 \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C(\| (D_s + \bar{D}_s) \xi_1 \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \xi_1 \|_{L^2(N)}),$$  \hspace{2cm} (9.4)

$$\| D_s \xi_2 \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \bar{D}_s \xi_2 \|_{L^2(N)} + s^2 \| \xi_2 \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C(\| D_s + \bar{D}_s \|_{L^2(N)}).$$  \hspace{2cm} (9.5)

For (9.4) we expand the right hand side and we are led in estimating the cross term. We estimate it by decomposing $\xi_1 = \xi_1^0 + \xi_1^1$ and further $\xi_1^0 = \sum_{\ell} \xi_1^0_{\ell}$ where $\xi_1^0_{\ell} \in \cap_{k,l} W^{1,2}_{k,l}(N; \pi^*(S^0))$ and $\xi_1^1 \in (\ker D_s)^{1-L} \cap \left( \cap_{k,l} W^{1,2}_{k,l}(N; \pi^*(S^0 | Z)) \right)$. Hence

$$2\langle D_s \xi_1, \bar{D}_s \xi_1 \rangle_{L^2(N)} = \langle (D_s^0 + \bar{D}_s^0) \xi_1, \xi_1 \rangle_{L^2(N)}$$

$$= \langle (D_s^0 + \bar{D}_s^0) \xi_1^0, \xi_1^0 \rangle_{L^2(N)} + 2\langle (D_s^0 + \bar{D}_s^0) \xi_1^0, \xi_1^1 \rangle_{L^2(N)}$$

$$+ \langle (D_s^0 + \bar{D}_s^0) \xi_1^1, \xi_1^1 \rangle_{L^2(N)}.$$  \hspace{2cm} (9.6)

However using (5.3), the pointwise inner product is

$$\langle (D_s^0 + \bar{D}_s^0) \xi_1^0, \xi_1^0 \rangle = -s \sum_{\alpha, \ell} x_\alpha (\xi_N(\pi^* d\lambda_\alpha) \xi_1^0_{\alpha, \ell})(v) = 0,$$

because $\xi_N(\pi^* d\lambda_\alpha) \xi_1^0_{\alpha, \ell}$ belong to the eigenspace of $C^0$ with eigenvalue $(n - m - 1)\lambda_\alpha$ and $\xi_1^0_{\alpha, \ell}$ belong to the eigenspace with eigenvalue $(n - m)\lambda_\alpha$. By Proposition 5.5, the operator $D_s^0 + \bar{D}_s^0$ is a bundle map with coefficients vanishing up to $O(r)$ as $r \to 0^+$. Hence the remaining terms in (9.6) are estimated above by,

$$\begin{align*}
C \| \xi_1^0 \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \xi_1^1 \|_{L^2(N)} \| r \xi_1^1 \|_{L^2(N)} & \leq C \| \xi_1 \|_{L^2(N)} \| D_s \xi_1 \|_{L^2(N)} \| \eta \|_{L^2(N)}, \quad \text{by (5.8) with } k = 0 \\
& \leq \delta \| D_s \xi_1 \|_{L^2(N)} + C \delta^{-1} \| \xi_1 \|_{L^2(N)}.
\end{align*}$$
The cross term (9.3) therefore estimates as
\[ 2|⟨⟨\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_z^2\rangle\rangle_2, \mathcal{A}_2⟩_L^2(N)⟩ ≤ C\|\mathcal{D}_s\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)}^2 + C\delta^{-1}\|\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)}^2, \]
for an updated constant \(C\). Combining the preceding estimates and choosing \(\delta > 0\) small enough as suggested by the preceding constants we can absorb the term \(\|\mathcal{D}_s\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)}^2\) to the left hand side and obtain inequality (9.4).

By Proposition (6.5) (5.3), the operator \(\mathcal{A}^* \circ (\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_z^2) + (\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_z^2)^* \circ \mathcal{A}\) is a bundle map and we estimate
\[ 2|⟨⟨\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_z^2⟩\rangle_2, \mathcal{A}_2⟩_L^2(N)⟩ ≤ C\|\xi_2\|_{L^2(N)}^2 ≤ C\|\mathcal{A}\eta_2\|_{L^2(N)}^2, \]
and by Proposition (6.5) \(\mathcal{A}^* \circ (\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_z^2) + (\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_z^2)^* \circ \mathcal{A}\equiv 0\), so that
\[ \|\mathcal{D}_0\xi_2\|_{L^2(N)}^2 + \|\mathcal{D}_z\xi_2\|_{L^2(N)}^2 + s^2\|\mathcal{A}_2\|_{L^2(N)}^2 \]
\[ \leq \|\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_z^2 + s\mathcal{A}\|_{L^2(N)}^2 + 2s\|⟨⟨\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_z^2⟩\rangle_2, \mathcal{A}_2⟩_L^2(N)⟩ \]
\[ \leq \|\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_z^2 + s\mathcal{A}\|_{L^2(N)}^2 + C\mathcal{A}\eta_2\|_{L^2(N)}^2. \]
Choosing \(s > 0\) large enough to absorb the term \(s\|\mathcal{A}\eta_2\|_{L^2(N)}^2\) to the left hand side we obtain (9.5).

Finally we prove (9.3); by combining expansions (1.2) and (1.3) and rearranging terms, we obtain
\[ \tau[(\mathcal{D}_s + \mathcal{D}_z^2)\xi_1 + (\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_z^2 + s\mathcal{A})\xi_2] = D_s\eta + O(r^2\partial^\nu + r\partial^H + 1)|ξ + O(sr^2)|ξ_1 + O(sr)|ξ_2. \]  
Taking \(L^2\)-norms on and applying (9.4) and (9.5)
\[ \|\mathcal{D}_s\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\mathcal{D}_z\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\mathcal{D}_0\xi_2\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\mathcal{D}_z\xi_2\|_{L^2(N)} + s\|\mathcal{A}_2\|_{L^2(N)} \]
\[ \leq C\|D_s\eta\|_{L^2(N)} + \|O(r^2\partial^\nu + r\partial^H + 1 + sr^2)|ξ_1\|_{L^2(N)} \]
\[ + \|O(r^2\partial^\nu + r\partial^H + 1 + sr)|ξ_2\|_{L^2(N)} + C\|ξ_1\|_{L^2(N)} - C. \]

The \(L^2\) norm over the tubular region \(N_ε\) of the error terms for \(ξ_1\) in the right hand side of (9.7) are estimated as
\[ \|O(r^2\partial^\nu)\|_{L^2(N)} \leq C[(ε^2 + εs^{-1/2} + s^{-1})\|\mathcal{D}_s\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)} + s^{-1/2}\|\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)}], \]  
by (6.1) with \(k = 2\),

\[ \|r\partial^H\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)} \leq C[ε[ε + s^{-1/2}]\|\mathcal{D}_s\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\mathcal{D}_z\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)}], \]  
by (7.1),

\[ s\|O(r^2\partial^\nu)\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)} \leq C[(s^{-1/2} + ε)\|\mathcal{D}_s\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)}], \]  
by (6.1) with \(k = 1\)

and for the corresponding error terms for \(ξ_2\),
\[ \|O(r^2\partial^\nu + r\partial^H + 1 + sr)|ξ_2\| \leq Cε\|\mathcal{D}_0\eta_2\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\mathcal{A}_2\|_{L^2(N)} + s\|\mathcal{A}_{2s}\|_{L^2(N)} + C\|\xi_2\|_{L^2(N)}. \]

By combining the estimates of the error terms and the preceding estimates with (9.8) and absorbing terms on the left hand side and choosing \(ε\) small enough and \(s > 0\) large enough, we obtain
\[ \|\mathcal{D}_s\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\mathcal{D}_z\xi_1\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\mathcal{D}_0\xi_2\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\mathcal{D}_z\xi_2\|_{L^2(N)} + s\|\mathcal{A}_2\|_{L^2(N)} \leq C\|\mathcal{D}_s\eta\|_{L^2(N)} + C\|\eta\|_{L^2(N)}, \]
which is (9.3). \(□\)

In the proof of the following lemmas, we use the re-scaling \(y_α = \sqrt{ε}x_α\). This is independent of the Fermi coordinates defining a global diffeomorphism of the tubular neighborhoods \(\mathcal{N}_ε \to \mathcal{N}_ε^{1/ε}\). The volume element re-scales accordingly as \(dvol^N_α = s^{n-1}dvol^N_α\).

**Lemma 9.4.** Suppose there exists sequence \(\{s_j\}_j\) of positive numbers with no accumulation point and a sequence \(\{η_j\}_j \subset W^{1,2}(\mathcal{N}_ε, \mathcal{E}_0)\) satisfying \(\sup_j \|η_j\|_{L^2(N)} < ∞\) and \(\|\mathcal{D}_s\eta_j\|_{L^2(N)} \to 0\) as \(j \to ∞\). Then \(P^1η_j \to 0\) in \(L^2(N)\) and, after re-scaling, there exist a subsequence of \(\{ξ_j\}\), of \(P^1η_j\) that converges \(L^2\)-strongly and \(W^{1,2}\)-weakly on \(N_ε\), to a section \(\sum_ε Φ_εξ_ε\) with \(ξ_ε \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{Z}, S^m_ε)\). Furthermore \(ξ = \sum_ε Φ_εξ_ε\) : \(Z \to S^m_ε\) satisfies,
\[ (D_ν^Z + B_0^Z)ξ = 0. \]  
(9.9)
Proof. We decompose $\eta_j = \eta_{j1} + \eta_{j2}$ into sections of $S^0$ and $(S^0)^\perp$ correspondingly. We re-scale the sequence $\{\eta_j\}_j$ around the critical set $Z$: recall the Fermi coordinates $(N_l, (x_k, x_\alpha)_{k,\alpha})$ and the parallel transport map $\tau$ from (B.2). We define the re-scaled sections of $\pi^* S^0 \to N_l^U$ by:

$$\tau \xi_j(x_k, y_\alpha) = s_j^{-1/4} \eta_j \left( x_k, \frac{x_\alpha}{\sqrt{s_j}} \right), \quad l = 1, 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_j = \xi_{j1} + \xi_{j2}, \quad (9.10)$$

The re-scaling is defined independently of the choice of the Fermi coordinates allowing the definition of the section $\xi_j$ and its components as sections over $N_l^U$. By the change of variable $y_\alpha = x_\alpha \sqrt{s_j}$ the operator $D_Z$ is invariant while the operator $\mathcal{D}_s$ changes to $\sqrt{s_j} \mathcal{D}_1$. Changing variables on integrals of the left hand side of estimates (6.1) and (7.3) with $\mathcal{D}_s$, $s_j > 0$, we obtain

$$\sqrt{s_j} \| \mathcal{D}_1 \xi_j \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \nabla^H \xi_j \|_{L^2(N)} + \sqrt{s_j} \| \mathcal{D}_2 \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \nabla^H \xi_j \|_{L^2(N)} + s_j \| \xi_j \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C(\| \mathcal{D}_s \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)}) \leq C, \quad (9.11)$$

for every $j$. We now deal with each individual components of $\| \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)}$.

We decompose further $\xi_j = \xi_{j1} + \xi_{j2}$ with $\xi_{j1} \in \ker \mathcal{D}_1$ and $\xi_{j1} \perp_{L^2} \ker \mathcal{D}_1^*$. 

Case 1 (The $\{ \xi_{j1} \}_j$ sequence):

Changing variables on integrals of the left hand side of estimates (6.1) and (7.3) with $k = 0$, we estimate

$$\| \nabla^V \xi_{j1} \|_{L^2(N)} \leq \| \nabla^V \xi_{j1} \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \nabla^H \xi_{j1} \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C\| \xi_{j1} \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C,$$

for every $j$. Hence $\sup_j \| \xi_{j1} \|_{L^2(N)} < \infty$. By the weak compactness of the unit ball in $W^{1,2}(N)$, there exist a subsequence denoted again as $\{ \xi_{j1} \}_j$ converging weakly in $W^{1,2}(N)$ to a section $\xi \in W^{1,2}(N)$. By Rellich Theorem, for every $T > 0$, there is a subsequence, denoted again as $\{ \xi_{j1} \}_j$, converging in $L^2(B(Z, T))$ to, $\xi_{B(Z, T)}$. Notice that we can choose a subsequence $\{ \xi_{j1} \}_j$ so that $\xi_{j1} \to \xi$ in $L^2_{loc}(N)$. Indeed this follows by obtaining the subsequence $\{ \xi_{j1} \}_{B(Z, T)}$ extracted by Rellich Theorem applied to $B(Z, T + 1)$ and extracting a subsequence by applying again Rellich’s Theorem on the larger neighborhood $B(Z, T + 1)$, $T \in \mathbb{N}$ and then applying a diagonal argument on $T$. It follows that $\{ \xi_{j1} \}_j$ converges on $L^2_{loc}(N)$ and weakly on $W^{1,2}(N)$ to $\xi$. We denote the $L^2$ norm of $L^2(B(Z, T))$ by $\| \cdot \|_{L^2(B(Z, T))}$. We obtain a weak semicontinuity $\| \mathcal{D}_1 \xi_{j1} \|_{L^2(N)} = 0$ for every $T > 0$ so that $\mathcal{D}_1 \xi = 0$.

Case 2 (The $\{ \xi_{j2} \}_j$ sequence):

We have estimates

$$\| \nabla^V \xi_{j2} \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C\| \mathcal{D}_1 \xi_{j1} \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C\| \xi_{j1} \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C, \quad \text{by} \quad (9.11),$$

$$\sup_j \sqrt{s_j} \| \xi_{j2} \|_{L^2(N)} \leq \sup_j \sqrt{s_j} \| \mathcal{D}_1 \xi_{j1} \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C, \quad \text{by} \quad (6.3),$$

Hence $\{ \xi_{j2} \}_j$ converges strongly on $L^2$ to zero and, by Lemma 9.5, converge weakly on $W^{1,2}$ to the zero section. Also, by weak compactness in $L^2$, there exist weak limit $\psi_j$ that is

$$\sqrt{s_j} \xi_{j1} \to \psi_1.$$ 

By weak lower semicontinuity of the $L^2$ norm, for every $T' < T$, the difference quotients of the fiber directions that defined at $(p, v) \in N$ as

$$\partial_v \psi(p, v) := \frac{1}{h} \left[ \psi(p, v + h e_\alpha) - \psi(p, v) \right] \in E_p,$$
satisfy
\[ \| \partial^h_x \psi_1 \|_{2,T} \leq \lim \inf_j \sqrt{s_j} \| \partial^h_x \xi_j \|_{2,T} \]
\[ \leq \lim \sup_j C_T \sqrt{s_j} \| \partial^h_x \xi_j \|_{2,T} \]
\[ \leq \lim \sup_j C_T \sqrt{s_j} \| \tilde{D}_j \xi_j \|_{L^2(N)} < C_T, \quad \text{by (6.8) with } k = 0 \text{ and (9.11)}, \]
for every \(0 < h < \frac{1}{T}(T-T')\) and every \(\alpha\). Hence \(\psi\) has uniform \(L^2\)-bounds on the difference quotients of the normal directions and therefore has weak derivatives in the normal directions that are bounded in \(L^2(N)\). Since \(\sup_j \| \sqrt{s_j} \tilde{D}_j \xi_j \|_{L^2(N)} < \infty\), it follows by Lemma 9.5 applied to the sequence \(\{\sqrt{s_j} \xi_j - \psi_1\}_j\), that \(\sqrt{s_j} \tilde{D}_j \xi_j \rightarrow \tilde{D}_1 \psi_1\) in \(L^2(N)\)-weakly.

Case 3 (The \(\{\xi_j\}_j\) sequence):

By (9.11), we have estimates
\[ \| \tilde{\nabla}^V \xi_{j2} \|_{L^2(N)} = \| \tilde{D}_0 \xi_{j2} \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C s_j^{-1/2} \]
\[ \| \tilde{\nabla}^N \xi_{j2} \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C \]
\[ s_j \| \xi_{j2} \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C. \]

Hence \(\{\xi_{j2}\}_j\) converge strongly on \(L^2\) and weakly on \(W^{1,2}\) to the zero section. Similarly the sequence \(\{\sqrt{s_j} \xi_{j2}\}_j\) converge strongly on \(L^2\) to zero and the sequence \(\{\sqrt{s_j} \tilde{D}_0 \xi_{j2}\}_j\) is bounded on \(L^2\). Using Lemma 9.5 the later sequence converge weakly in \(L^2\) to zero. Finally by weak compactness in \(L^2(N)\), there exist \(\psi_2 \in L^2(N)\) so that
\[ s_j \xi_{j2} \rightarrow \psi_2. \]

We therefore summarize that in \(L^2(N)\) we have shown the weak limits
\[ (\sqrt{s_j} \tilde{D}_1 + \tilde{D}^Z) \xi_{j1} \rightarrow \tilde{D}_1 \psi_1 + \tilde{D}^Z \xi, \]
\[ \sqrt{s_j} \tilde{D}_0 \xi_{j2}, \tilde{D}^Z \xi_{j2} \rightharpoonup 0, \]
\[ s_j \xi_{j2} \rightarrow \psi_2. \]

Now, by using the expansion of (4.2) and (4.3),
\[ \tau^{-1} \tilde{D}_s \eta_j = \sqrt{s_j} \tilde{D}_1 + \tilde{D}^Z + B_0 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 A_{rr} \xi_j + (\sqrt{s_j} \tilde{D}_0 + \tilde{D}^Z + s_j \tilde{A}) \xi_{j2} \]
\[ + s_j^{-1/2} O(r^2 \partial \xi_j + r \partial \xi_j) + s_j^{-1/2} O(r + r^3) \xi_j + \tau O(1 + s_j^{1/2}) \xi_{j2}. \]

The \(L^2\)-norm of the error term over tubular regions \(N^T \subset N\) estimates no more than \(s_j^{-1/2} C_{T,\varepsilon} \| \xi_j \|_{1,2,T}\) for large \(j\). By our assumption that \(\tilde{D}_s \eta_j \rightarrow 0\) in \(L^2(N)\), obtain that
\[ \left\| \left( \sqrt{s_j} \tilde{D}_1 + \tilde{D}^Z + B_0 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 A_{rr} \right) \xi_{j1} + (\sqrt{s_j} \tilde{D}_0 + \tilde{D}^Z + s_j \tilde{A}) \xi_{j2} \right\|_{2,T} \rightarrow 0 \]
as \(j \rightarrow \infty\) for every \(T > 0\). By weak lower semicontinuity, we conclude that \(\xi, \psi_1, \psi_2\) satisfy the system of mutually orthogonal components,
\[ \tilde{D}_1 \xi = 0, \]
\[ \tilde{D}_1 \psi_1 + \left( \tilde{D}^Z + P^0 \circ \left( B_0 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 A_{rr} \right) \right) \xi = 0, \]
\[ \tilde{A} \psi_2 + (1 - P^0) \circ \left( B_0 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 A_{rr} \right) \xi = 0. \]
By Proposition 9.2, we obtain that \( \psi_1 \in W^{1,2}_{k,l}(N) \), for every \( k, l > 0 \). We further break the second equation into components. We start by using the decompositions \( S^0 = \bigoplus_{\ell} S^0_\ell \) so that

\[
\xi = \sum_{\ell} \varphi_\ell \cdot \xi_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\xi} := \sum_{\ell} \zeta_\ell,
\]

where \( \varphi_\ell = \lambda_{\ell}^{m-n} \exp(-\frac{j}{2} \lambda_{\ell} r^2) \) and \( \xi_\ell \in W^{1,2}(Z; S^0_{\ell}) \). By (7.2),

\[
\bar{D}^2_{\sigma} \xi = \sum_{\ell} (\xi_N (\pi^* d \ln \varphi_\ell) \xi_\ell + \varphi_\ell \cdot \bar{D}^2_{\sigma} \xi_\ell).
\]

In the proof of the claim in Lemma 5.3, we calculated the components of the second equation of the system belonging to \( \ker \partial_1 \). These give the equation \( \bar{D}^2_{\sigma} \xi + B_{0+}^Z \xi = 0 \). The remaining terms of the aforementioned equation, are \( L^2 \)-perpendicular to \( \ker \partial_1 \). They give \( \partial_1 \psi_1 + P_1 \xi = 0 \). This completes the proof of the existence of \( \xi \) with the asserted properties. \( \square \)

We used the following lemma:

**Lemma 9.5.** Let \( \{ \xi_j \}_j \) a sequence converging weakly in \( L^2(N) \) to zero and possessing the weak derivatives in \( L^2 \) enough to belong to the domain of the differential operator \( L \) of 1st order. Assume \( \sup_j \| L \xi_j \|_{L^2(N)} < \infty \). Then the sequence \( \{ L \xi_j \}_j \) converges weakly to zero in \( L^2 \)-norm.

**Proof.** Let \( \sup_j \| L \xi_j \|_{L^2(N)} = M \) and choose \( \psi \in L^2(N) \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Choose smooth compactly supported section \( \chi \in W^{1,2}(N) \) with \( \| \chi - \psi \|_{L^2(N)} < \varepsilon/M \). Then

\[
| \langle L \xi_j, \psi \rangle_{L^2(N)} | \leq \| L \xi_j \|_{L^2(N)} \| \chi \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \xi_j \|_{L^2(N)} \| \psi - \chi \|_{L^2(N)} \leq \| \xi_j \|_{L^2(N)} \| \psi \|_{L^2(N)} + \varepsilon
\]

so that

\[
\limsup_j | \langle L \xi_j, \psi \rangle_{L^2(N)} | < \varepsilon. \quad \text{Since this is an arbitrarily chosen } \varepsilon, \text{ we have that } \lim_j \langle L \xi_j, \psi \rangle_{L^2(N)} = 0. \quad \text{That concludes the proof.} \quad \square
\]

**Proof of estimate 9.2** in Lemma 9.2 This is a Poincaré type inequality and we prove it by contradiction. Fix \( k \in \{ 0, 1, 2 \} \). Negating the statement of Lemma 9.2 for \( \varepsilon_0 = 1/j \) and \( C = j \), there exist \( 0 < \varepsilon_j < 1/j \) with the following significance: there is an unbounded sequence of \( \{ s_u(\varepsilon_j) \}_u \) and \( \eta_u(\varepsilon_j) \in \tilde{V}_{s_u(\varepsilon_j)} \cap C^{\infty}_c(N_{\varepsilon_j}; E^0) \) so that

\[
\int_N | \eta_u |^2 \, d\nu^N = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad j \| \partial_u \eta_u \|_{L^2(N)} \leq s_u^2 \| r^k \eta_u \|_{L^2(N)}, \quad \text{for every } u \in N.
\]

In particular, we set \( s_j \) to be the first term of the unbounded sequence \( \{ s_u \}_u \) that is bigger than \( \frac{2}{j} \).

We also set \( s_j \) the associated compactly supported section to that \( s_j \), and denote \( N_j := B(2\varepsilon_j) \) and \( \tilde{V}_j := \tilde{V}_{s_j} \cap C^\infty_c(N_{\varepsilon_j}; E^0) \).

By using induction in \( j \in N \), we obtain sequences \( \{ \varepsilon_j \}_j \subset (0, 1/j), \{ s_j \}_j \subset (j, \infty) \) and \( \{ \eta_j \}_j \subset \tilde{V}_j \). So that

\[
\int_N | \eta_j |^2 \, d\nu^N = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad j \| \partial_{s_j} \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)} \leq s_j^2 \| r^k \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)}, \quad \text{for every } j \in N.
\]

When \( k = 0 \) this implies that \( \| \partial_{s_j} \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)} \to 0 \). When \( k = 1 \) or \( 2 \), then set \( \tau \eta_j = \eta_j \) and estimate

\[
\frac{k}{s_j^2} \| r^k \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)} \leq C \left( \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} s_j^{k-2-u} \varepsilon_j^{k-2-u} \| \partial_{s_j} \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)} + s_j^{-1/2} \| \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)} \right), \quad \text{by (9.1)},
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} s_j^{k-2-u} \varepsilon_j^{k-2-u} \right) \left( \| \partial_{s_j} \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)} + \| \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)} + C s_j^{-1/2} \| \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)} \right), \quad \text{by (9.3)},
\]

\[
\leq C(\| \partial_{s_j} \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)} + 1), \quad \text{since } k = 1 \text{ or } k = 2 \text{ and } \| \eta_j \|_{L^2(N)} \leq 2.
\]
It follows that

\[ j\|\tilde{D}_j \eta_j\|_{L^2(N)} \leq C(\|\tilde{D}_j \eta_j\|_{L^2(N)} + 1), \]

for every \( j \) in which case we obtain again that \( \|\tilde{D}_j \eta_j\|_{L^2(N)} \to 0 \).

We recall the re-scaled sequence \( \{\xi_j\}_j \subset W^{1,2}(N; \pi^*E^0) \) of \( \{\eta_j\}_j \) introduced in (9.10). By Lemma 9.4 there exist a subsequence denoted again as \( \{\xi_j\}_j \) that converges \( \overline{L}^2_{loc} \)-strongly and \( W^{1,2} \)-weakly, to a section \( \sum \varphi \xi \) where \( \xi \in W^{1,2}(Z; S^0_\ell) \) satisfies \( (D^2_+ + B^2_0) \xi = 0 \) for every \( \ell \) and \( \varphi := \lambda_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} \right) \).

Claim: \( \xi_j \equiv 0 \), for every \( \ell \).

Proof of claim. By assumption \( \eta_j \perp \tilde{V}_j^\perp \). For every \( j \), we construct \( \xi_{s_j} = \xi_j^0 + \xi_j^1 + \xi_j^2 \) so that \( \xi_j^0 = \sum \varphi \xi \cdot \xi_j \) and \( \xi_j^1, \xi_j^2 \) are constructed by equations (8.1) and (8.2) respectively. Using Definition 8.2 we have \( \rho_{\varepsilon_j} \cdot \tau \xi_{s_j} \perp L^2 \eta_j \), for every \( j \). We denote by \( d\text{vol}_N \), the density with density function the pullback of \( d\text{vol}^N / d\text{vol}_N \) under the rescaling \( \{y_\alpha = \sqrt{s_j} x_\alpha \}_\alpha \). The orthogonality condition writes

\[
0 = \int_{N_j} \langle \eta_j, \rho_{\varepsilon_j} \cdot \tau \xi_{s_j} \rangle \text{dvol}_N \\
= \sum \int_N \langle \xi_j, \rho_{\varepsilon_j} \cdot \varphi \xi \cdot \xi \rangle \text{dvol}_j + \int_{N_j} \langle \eta_j, \rho_{\varepsilon_j} \cdot \tau (\xi_j^1 + \xi_j^2) \rangle \text{dvol}_N. \tag{9.12}
\]

The second integral of the right hand side obeys the bound,

\[
\left| \int_{N_j} \langle \eta_j, \rho_{\varepsilon_j} \cdot (\xi_j^1 + \xi_j^2) \rangle \text{dvol}_N \right| \leq C\|\eta_j\|_{L^2(N)} (\|\xi_j^1\|_{L^2(N)} + \|\xi_j^2\|_{L^2(N)}),
\]

and therefore it vanishes as \( j \to \infty \). Here we used that the density \( d\text{vol}_N \) is equivalent to \( d\text{vol}_N \). On the other hand, by construction \( \varepsilon_j \sqrt{s_j} > j \) for every \( j \) and therefore \( \rho_{\varepsilon_j} \sqrt{s_j} \to 1 \) uniformly on compact subsets on \( N \). Also by expansion (3.25) we have \( \lim_j |\text{dvol}_j - \text{dvol}_N| = 0 \). Hence for every \( T > 0 \),

\[
\sum \int_{B(Z,T)} \varphi_\ell \cdot |\xi_\ell|^2 \text{dvol}_N = \lim_j \sum \int_{B(Z,T)} \langle \rho_{\varepsilon_j} \sqrt{s_j}, \xi_j, \varphi_\ell \cdot \xi \rangle \text{dvol}_j \\
= -\lim_j \sum \int_{B(Z,T)^c} \langle \xi_j, \rho_{\varepsilon_j} \sqrt{s_j}, \varphi_\ell \cdot \xi \rangle \text{dvol}_j, \text{ by (9.12),} \\
\leq \lim sup_j \sum \int_{B(Z,T)^c} \langle \xi_j, \varphi_\ell \xi \rangle \text{dvol}_j \\
\leq \sum \left( \int_{B(Z,T)^c} \varphi_\ell^2 |\xi_\ell|^2 \text{dvol}_N \right)^{1/2},
\]

where the last inequality follows by Cauchy-Schwarz and since \( \lim_j \int_N |\xi_j|^2 \text{dvol}_j = 1 \). Letting \( T \to \infty \) and using the fact that \( \varphi_\ell \cdot \xi \in L^2(N) \), we see that \( \xi_\ell \equiv 0 \), finishing the proof of the claim.

Finally we fix first \( T > 0 \) and \( j \) large enough so that we decompose the region \( N_j \) where \( \eta_j \) is supported as

\[
N_j = B(Z,T/\sqrt{s_j}) \cup (B(Z,T/\sqrt{s_j})^c,
\]

It follows that for every \( T > 0 \), as \( j \to \infty \)

\[
\lim_j \int_{B(Z,T/\sqrt{s_j})} |\eta_j|^2 \text{dvol}_N = \lim_j \int_{B(Z,T)} |\xi_j|^2 \text{dvol}_j = \int_{B(Z,T)} \varphi_\ell^2 \cdot |\xi|^2 \text{dvol}_N = 0,
\]
And therefore
\[
\lim_j \int_{B(Z,T/\sqrt{C})} |\tilde{\eta}_j|^2 d\nu^N = 1 - \lim_j \int_{B(Z,T/\sqrt{C})} |\eta_j|^2 d\nu^N = 1.
\]
We now obtain a contradiction from the concentration estimate. Since \(\eta_j\) is compactly supported in \(N_j\), by Lemma B.2 it satisfies the pointwise estimate,
\[
|\tilde{A}\eta_j|^2 \geq C_T^2 |\eta_j|^2,
\]
But then, by a concentration estimate
\[
\int_{N_j} |\tilde{D}_{s_j} \eta_j|^2 d\nu^N \geq 2 \int_{B(Z,T/\sqrt{C})} |\tilde{A}(\eta_j)|^2 d\nu^N - C_1 s_j
\]
\[
\geq s_j \left( C_T^2 \int_{B(Z,T/(\sqrt{C}))} |\eta_j|^2 d\nu^N - C_1 \right).
\]
But then, for \(T > \sqrt{C_1/C}\), the preceding estimate contradicts the estimate,
\[
\lim_j \int_N |\tilde{D}_{s_j} \eta_j|^2 d\nu^N \leq 2 \lim_j \int_{N_j} |\tilde{D}_{s_j} \eta_j|^2 d\nu^N = 0,
\]
where we used the volume inequality (B.26). This contradiction proves estimate (9.2). \(\square\)

Finally we have the
Proof of estimate 8.4 of Theorem 8.3. Estimate 8.4 follows from estimate (9.2) setting \(k = 0\). \(\square\)

10. Morse-Bott example

On a closed Riemannian manifold \((X^n, g)\) the bundle \(E \oplus F = \Lambda^{ev} T^* X \oplus \Lambda^{odd} T^* X\) is a Clifford algebra bundle in two ways:
\[
c(v) = v \wedge -\iota_v \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{c}(w) = w \wedge +\iota_w \quad \quad (10.1)
\]
for \(v, w \in T^* X\). One checks that these anti-commute:
\[
c(v)\hat{c}(w) = -\hat{c}(w)c(v).
\]
(10.2)

Note that \(D = d + d^*\) is a first-order operator whose symbol is \(c\). Fix a Morse-Bott function \(f\) with critical \(m_\ell\)-dimensional submanifolds \(Z_\ell\), and normal bundles \(N_\ell\) so that the Hessian \(Hess(f)_\ell : N_\ell \to N_\ell\) is symmetric nondegenerate of Morse-index \(q_\ell\). Then Theorem 8.2 shows that the low eigenvectors of \(D_\ell = D + s_\ell A_\ell = (d + d^*) + s_\ell c(df) : \Omega^{ev}(X) \to \Omega^{odd}(X)\)
concentrate around the critical submanifolds \(Z_\ell\); fix a critical set \(Z = Z_\ell\) of dimension \(m\) with normal bundle \(N\) and Morse index \(q\). The splitting \(T^* X|_Z = T^* Z \oplus N^*\) gives decompositions
\[
\Lambda^{ev} X|_Z = \Lambda^{ev} Z \otimes \Lambda^{ev} N \oplus \Lambda^{odd} Z \otimes \Lambda^{odd} N
\]
\[
\Lambda^{odd} X|_Z = \Lambda^{ev} Z \otimes N \otimes \Lambda^{odd} N \oplus \Lambda^{odd} Z \otimes \Lambda^{ev} N
\]
The normal bundle \(N\) is orientable and further decompose to the orientable positive \(N^+ \to Z\) and negative \(N^- \to Z\) eigenbundles of the Hessian, of dimension \(q\). In Morse-Bott coordinates near \(p \in Z\) the function takes the form
\[
f(x_\alpha, x_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha = 1}^{n-m} \eta_\alpha x_\alpha^2, \quad \text{where} \quad \eta_\alpha = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{when } \alpha \leq q \\ 1 & \text{when } \alpha \geq q + 1 \end{cases}
\]
and the nondegenerate hessian has the form \(Hess(f)|_Z = \text{diag}(\eta_\alpha)\). Then
\[
M_\alpha^\alpha = -\eta_\alpha c(dx^\alpha)\hat{c}(dx^\alpha) : \Lambda^{ev} T^*_p X \to \Lambda^{ev} T^*_p X, \quad \text{for every } \alpha
\]
where...
are invertible self-adjoint matrices with symmetric spectrum of eigenvalues \( \pm 1 \) that commute with each other.

**Lemma 10.1.**

- If \( Z \) has index \( q \) then the real line bundle \( \Lambda^q N^- \to Z \) is trivial.
- If \( \phi \) belongs in the \(+1\)-eigenspace of \( M_0^q \) then
  \[
  S^{q+}_0 = \\{ \xi \in \Lambda^q X : \xi \land dx^a = 0 \}, \quad \text{when } \alpha \leq q
  \]
  \[
  (\xi \in \Lambda^q X : t_{\partial_a} \xi = 0), \quad \text{when } \alpha \geq q + 1.
  \]

An analogue description holds for \( M_0^q \) but with \( \Lambda^{q+} X \) in place of \( \Lambda^q X \).
- If \( q \) is even, then \( S^{q+} = \Lambda^{q+} Z \otimes \Lambda^q N^- \) and \( S^{q+} = \Lambda^{q+} Z \otimes \Lambda^q N^- \).
- If \( q \) is odd, then \( S^{q+} = \Lambda^{q+} Z \otimes \Lambda^q N^- \) and \( S^{q+} = \Lambda^{q+} Z \otimes \Lambda^q N^- \).
- The Clifford map \( c : T^* Z \otimes \Lambda^* X \to \Lambda^* X \) restricts to the map \( \bar{c} : T^* Z \otimes \Lambda^* Z \to \Lambda^{q+} Z \) and \( c_z : T^* Z \otimes \Lambda^* Z \otimes \Lambda^q N^- \to \Lambda^{q+} Z \otimes \Lambda^q N^- \) is given by \( c_z = \bar{c} \otimes 1_{\Lambda^q N^-} \) when \( q \) is even and by \( c_z = e^* \otimes 1_{\Lambda^q N^-} \) when \( q \) is odd.

**Proof.** The first bullet follows because \( N^- \to Z \) is an orientable bundle.

For the second bullet we use an orthonormal coframe \( \{ e^j \}_{j} \) at \( p \in Z \) and decompose \( \phi = \sum_I \lambda_I e^I \).

Then, using Lemma 10.1, \( \phi \in S^{q+}_0 \) if and only if

\[
\phi = M_0^q \phi = -\eta_a (dx^a) \hat{c} (dx^a) \phi = -\eta_a (dx^a \land (t_{\partial_a} \phi) - t_{\partial_a} (dx^a \land \phi))
\]

\[
= \eta_a \left( \sum_{\{ I : I \text{ even}, \alpha \notin I \}} \lambda_I e^I \right) - \sum_{\{ I : I \text{ even}, \alpha \in I \}} \lambda_I e^I \]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
\sum_{\{ I : I \text{ even}, \alpha \notin I \}} \lambda_I e^I - \sum_{\{ I : I \text{ even}, \alpha \in I \}} \lambda_I e^I, & \alpha > q \\
- \sum_{\{ I : I \text{ even}, \alpha \notin I \}} \lambda_I e^I + \sum_{\{ I : I \text{ even}, \alpha \in I \}} \lambda_I e^I, & \alpha \leq q,
\end{cases}
\]

where in the fourth equality we used the Cartan’s identity. It follows that when \( q > q \) then \( \lambda_I = 0 \) if and only if \( \alpha \in I \) and when \( \alpha \leq q \) then \( \lambda_I = 0 \) if and only if \( \alpha \notin I \). Therefore we obtain the descriptions of the second bullet.

Continuing the preceding argument, \( \phi \in \bigcap_{q} S^{q+}_0 \) then \( \lambda_I = 0 \) if and only if \( \{1, \ldots, q\} \subset I \) and \( \{q + 1, \ldots, n - m\} \cap I = \emptyset \). Hence if \( q \) is even, the third bullet holds. If \( q \) is odd then the fourth bullet holds. The last bullet is an easy consequence of the preceding bullets. \( \square \)

The Levi-Civita connection of \( X \) restricts to \( Z \) and together with the restriction from the Clifford action induce the operator \( d_Z + d^*_Z : \Lambda^q Z \to \Lambda^{q+} Z \). The Localization theorem with the Poincaré Hopf theorem then give,

\[
\chi(X) = \text{index} \ \{ d + d^* : C^\infty(X; \Lambda^q X) \to C^\infty(X; \Lambda^{q+} X) \}
\]

\[
= \sum_I (-1)^{|I|} \text{index} \ \{ d_{\ell} + d^*_{\ell} : C^\infty(Z^\ell; \Lambda^q Z^\ell) \to C^\infty(Z^\ell; \Lambda^{q+} Z^\ell) \}
\]

\[
= \sum_I (-1)^{|I|} \chi(Z^\ell),
\]

a well known identity emerging from Morse-Bott homology. This is the localization in E. Witten’s well-known paper on Morse Theory \([W1]\) but in the Morse-Bott case.

**Appendix A. Fermi - coordinates setup near the singular set**

Fix \( Z \) an \( m \)-dimensional submanifold of the \( n \)-dimensional Riemannian manifold \( (X, g_X) \) with normal bundle \( \pi : N \to Z \). Following the exposition in the reference \([Q]\) Ch.2, the distance function \( r \) from the core set \( Z \) as well as the exponential map of the normal bundle \( N \) are well defined, on a sufficiently small neighborhood of \( Z \) in \( X \). For small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) they identify an open tubular neighborhood \( B_Z(2\varepsilon) := \{ p \in X : r(p) < 2\varepsilon \} \) of \( Z \) in \( X \) with the neighborhood \( \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon = \{(z, v) \in N : |v|_z < 2\varepsilon \} \) of the zero section.
in $N$. In particular, we get principal frame bundle isomorphism $I$ and induced bundle isomorphism $\mathcal{I}$ introduced in (2.10) covering the exponential diffeomorphism of the base. The metric tensor $g_X$, volume form $d\text{vol}^N$, the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^T$, the Clifford multiplication $c$ and the Clifford compatible connection $\nabla^E$, pull back to $g = \exp^* g_X$, $d\text{vol}^N$, $\nabla^T$, $c$ and $\nabla^E$, respectively in $TN|_U$. Henceforth, the analysis and the expansions are carried out on $N_\epsilon \subset N$. Note that, when restricted to $Z$, the bundle maps $I$ and $\mathcal{I}$ are the identity bundle isomorphisms.

The orthogonal decomposition $TN|_Z = T\mathcal{Z} \oplus N$ descends to a decomposition of the Riemannian metric $g|_Z = g_\mathcal{Z} \oplus g_N$ and a decomposition of sections of $TN|_Z$ into vector fields on $Z$ and sections of the bundle $N$. The restriction of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^T$ on sections of $TN|_Z$ decomposes accordingly to three parts: 1) the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^T$ of $(Z, g_\mathcal{Z})$, 2) a metric compatible connection $\nabla^N$ acting on sections of the normal bundle $(N, g_N)$ and 3) the 2nd fundamental form.

Fix normal coordinates $(z_j)_j$ on a neighborhood $U \subset Z$ centered at $p$ and choose orthonormal frames $\{e_{\alpha}\}_\alpha$ that are $\nabla^N$-parallel at $p$, trivializing the restriction bundle $N|_U = \pi^{-1}(U)$. The frame $\{e_{\alpha}\}_\alpha$ at $z \in U$ identifies $N_z$ with $\mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ and $N_\epsilon \subset N_z$ with an open subset of $B(0,2\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ with coordinates $\{(t_{\alpha})_\alpha\}$. We define the so called Fermi coordinates as the bundle coordinates, $(x_j, x_\alpha, A=j, \alpha)$ on a neighborhood $\pi^{-1}(U))$ by requiring,

$$
\begin{align*}
x_i & \left( \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n t_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}(z) \right) = z_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, m \\
x_\alpha & \left( \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n t_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}(z) \right) = t_\alpha, \quad \alpha = m+1, \ldots, n.
\end{align*}
$$

when restricted on subsets

$$
N_\epsilon^U := N_\epsilon \cap \pi^{-1}(U),
$$

Frequently we will omit $\epsilon$ from the notation and write $N_U$ instead of $N_\epsilon^U$ and $N$ instead of $N_\epsilon$.

We denote by $\{\partial_j, \partial_\alpha\}$ and $\{dx^j, dx^\alpha\}$, the tangent and cotangent frames respectively so that $\partial_{\alpha}|_U = e_{\alpha}$ and $\partial_j|_U = \partial_j$. More generally, a local vector field at $N_U$ is called tangential Fermi field provided it has the form $\phi = \sum_j d_j \partial_j$, for some constants $\{d_j\}_j$ and is called a normal Fermi field if it has the form $\psi = \sum_\alpha d_\alpha \partial_\alpha$, for some constants $\{d_\alpha\}_\alpha$. In these coordinates, the distance function is described as $r^2 = x_{m+1}^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$ and the radial outward vector field with its dual, are given by

$$
\partial_r = \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \frac{x_\alpha}{r} \partial_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad dr = \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \frac{x_\alpha}{r} dx^\alpha.
$$

The notation $O(r^k \partial^H)$ and $O(r^k \partial^N)$ will denote expressions of tangential and normal Fermi fields correspondingly with coefficient components vanishing up to order $r^k$ when $r \to 0^+$. Using the transformation rules of the bundle coordinates,

$$
y_j = y_j(x_1, \ldots, x_m), \quad y_\alpha = O_{\alpha\beta}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)x_\beta
$$

for some orthogonal matrix $[O_{\alpha\beta}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)]_{\alpha,\beta}$, the orders in $r$ of expressions of the form $O(r^k \partial^N)$ and $O(r^{k+1} \partial^N + r^k \partial^H)$ do not change under different coordinate frames.

Fermi coordinates are generalization of Gauss coordinates and the components $\{g_{AB}\}_{A,B=1,\ldots,n}$ of the Riemannian metric $g$ in $N_U$, satisfy

$$
g_{rr} = 1, \quad g_{jr} = 0, \quad g_{ar} = \frac{x_\alpha}{r}, \quad g_{j\alpha} = O(r), \quad \text{and} \quad g_{a\beta} = \delta_{a\beta} + O(r^2), \quad (A.1)
$$

as $r \to 0^+$, for every $j, \alpha$. To describe the rates of the components in this decomposition of the connection 1-form of $\nabla^T$ as $r \to 0^+$, we write in Fermi coordinates

$$
\nabla_{\partial_j}^T = \partial_j + \Gamma^B_{jA}, \quad \nabla_{\partial_\alpha}^T = \partial_\alpha + \Gamma^B_{\alpha A} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_r = \partial_r + \Gamma^B_r_{A},
$$

the corresponding directional derivatives in terms of the corresponding Christoffel symbols for $A, B = j, \beta$. We make use of Einstein’s summation notation and we use the bar notation to denote restriction of the
quantity to $U \subset \mathcal{N}_U \subset \mathcal{N}$ that is $\Gamma^k_{ja} = \Gamma^k_{ja}|_U$. We have the relations
\[
\Gamma^A_{AB} = \Gamma^A_{BA}, \quad \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = 0, \quad \Gamma^\beta_{ja} = -\Gamma^\beta_{j\alpha} \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\alpha \Gamma^\beta_{\delta j} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^\alpha_{ij} g^{ij} = -\sum_k \Gamma^k_{k\alpha} = -H_\alpha, \tag{A.2}
\]
for every $A, B, \Delta$ and every $i, j, \alpha, \beta$ where $H_\alpha := H_{e_\alpha}$ is the mean curvature in the direction of $e_\alpha$.

For the expansion of the Dirac operator $D$, we need orthonormal frames to work with instead of Fermi frames. We introduce additional orthonormal frame $\{e_j\}_j$ with $e_j = d_j \partial_k|_U$, that are $\nabla^T Z$ parallel at $p \in U$ so that $e_j|_p = \partial_j|_p$. We extend the frame $\{e_j, e_\alpha\}_{j,\alpha}$ by radial $\nabla^T N$-parallel transport to frames $\{\tau_j, \tau_\alpha\}_{j,\alpha}$ over $\mathcal{N}_U$. The connection components $\nabla^T \tau_A \tau_B = \omega^A_{AB} \tau_\Delta$, satisfy
\[
\omega^A_{AB} + \omega^B_{A\Delta} = 0, \quad \omega^B_{\Delta A} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^\beta_{j\alpha}(p) = \omega^j_{j\alpha}(p) = 0. \tag{A.3}
\]

\section{Appendix B. Taylor Expansions in Fermi coordinates and 1-jets}

In this section of the appendix, we calculate the Taylor expansions of some of the quantities involved in the proof of Theorem 2. The expansions are calculated up to the orders $O(r^3)$ for $\mathcal{A}$ and $O(r)$ for $\nabla \mathcal{A}$ and are necessary for the error terms to become negligible after the rescaling $\{w_\alpha = \sqrt{\sigma} x_\alpha\}_\alpha$ as $s \to \infty$.

We work on a Fermi chart $\langle \mathcal{N}_U, (x_j, x_\alpha)_{j,\alpha} \rangle$ defined by normal coordinates $(U, (x_j)_j)$ on $Z$ and an orthonormal frame $\{e_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of $\mathcal{N}_U$. Let $\{\sigma_k\}_k$, $\{f_k\}_k$ orthonormal frames trivializing $E^0|_U$ and $E^1|_U$. Using Assumptions 3 and 4, together with Proposition 3.7, we choose the frames so that the first $d$ vectors trivialize $S^i|_U$, $i = 0, 1$. We extend these frames radially by $\nabla^E$-parallel transport so obtain trivializations over $\mathcal{N}_U$ keeping the same notation. In subsection 3.1 these frames used in the proof of Proposition 3.7.

After proving of Proposition 3.7 is proven, the frames $\{\sigma_k\}_k$, $\{f_k\}_k$, can be chosen to respect the decomposition $\{3.15\}$ and the decomposition of each $\tilde{S}^i_{tk}$ into simultaneous eigenspaces of $\{M_\alpha\}_\alpha$. In particular, one of them trivializes $\tilde{S}^i_{t1}$. In any occasion, the connection 1-form in these frames with respect to the frames $\{\tau_A\}_{A=j,\alpha}$ are given by
\[
\nabla^\tilde{E}^i_{\tau_A} = \tau_A + \theta^\gamma_A, \quad A = j, \alpha, \quad i = 0, 1 \tag{B.1}
\]
for every $\alpha, \beta$ and every $i = 0, 1$.

Finally we introduce the $\nabla^\tilde{E}$-parallel transport map along the radial geodesics of $\mathcal{N}_z$
\[
\tau : \quad C^\infty(\mathcal{N}_z; \tilde{E}^i_z) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{N}_z; \tilde{E}^i|_{\mathcal{N}_z}) \\
f(z, v) \sigma_k(z, 0) \mapsto f(z, v) \sigma_k(z, v), \tag{B.2}
\]
for every $v \in \mathcal{N}_z$ with $|v|_z < 2\varepsilon$ and every $i = 0, 1$. Hence $\tau$ operates from sections of $\pi^* E|_Z$ to give sections of $\tilde{E}|_\mathcal{N}$.

\subsection{B.1. The expansions of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^* \mathcal{A}$, $\nabla \mathcal{A}$ along $Z$, as $r \to 0^+$}

We next proceed to calculating terms up to second order in the Taylor expansion along the normal directions of $Z$ of the bundle maps $\tilde{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^* \mathcal{A}$ and their covariant derivatives. We continue working in the chart $\langle \mathcal{N}_U, (x_j, x_\alpha)_{j,\alpha} \rangle$. For this subsection, we choose the frames $\{\sigma_k\}_k$ of $E^0|_{\mathcal{N}_U}$ and $\{f_k\}_k$ of $E^1|_{\mathcal{N}_U}$ so that they respect the decompositions $\tilde{E}^i = S^i \oplus (S^i)^\perp$, $i = 0, 1$ introduced in Definition 3.1. Recall the orthogonal projections $P^i : E^i|_Z \rightarrow S^i|_Z$, $i = 0, 1$. We denote the following notations for covariant derivatives,
\[
A_\alpha := (\nabla_{\tau_\alpha} A)|_{\mathcal{N}_U}, \quad A^\beta_\gamma := (\nabla_{\tau_\alpha} \nabla_{\tau_\gamma} A)|_{\mathcal{N}_U}. \tag{B.3}
\]
and
\[
\tilde{A}^r := \frac{x_\alpha}{r} \tilde{A}_\alpha, \quad \tilde{A}^r_\alpha := \frac{x_\alpha x_\beta}{r^2} \tilde{A}_{\alpha\beta},
\]
where we use the bar notation when restricting components in $U$ that is $\tilde{A} := A|_U$, $\partial_A \tilde{A}_k^i := (\partial_A A_k^i)|_U$, $\tilde{A}_\alpha = \nabla_{e_\alpha} A$ etc. The expressions $A_B$, $A_{BR}$ depend on the choice of Fermi coordinates and frames while the expressions $\tilde{A}_r$, $\tilde{A}_{rr}$ do not.

**Proposition B.1.**

(1) If $A$ satisfies transversality Assumption \[\text{(1)}\] then $A^*$ does too.

(2) Assumption \[\text{(1)}\] imply that,

$$\nabla_u A(S^0) \subseteq S^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_u A^*(S^1) \subseteq S^0,$$

for every $u \in N$, where the later relation is obtained by the former one using \[\text{(3.2)}\].

(3) Recall the map $\tau$ from \[\text{(3.2)}\]. Then, for every $\eta \in C^\infty(N_U; S^0)$ with $\eta = \tau \xi$,

$$\tilde{A}_\eta = \tau\left(r A_r \xi + \frac{1}{2} r^2 A_{rr} \xi + O(r^3)\xi\right)$$

(4) Recall the matrices $C^i$ introduced in \[\text{(2.12)}\]. We have expressions,

$$C^i = \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
-\sum_{\alpha} c(e^\alpha)A_\alpha|_{S^0}, & \text{if } i = 0, \\
-\sum_{\alpha} c(e^\alpha)A_\alpha|_{S^1}, & \text{if } i = 1
\end{array}\right.,$$

**Proof.** To prove \[\text{(B.4)}\], we write the bundle map as

$$\tilde{A}|_{N_U} = A_k^i \sigma_i^* \otimes f_k \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{A}_k^i = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \ell \leq d \text{ or } k \leq d.$$ 

Recall the connection one forms $\theta^i$, $i = 0, 1$ in the frames $\{\sigma_\ell\}_\ell$ and $\{f_k\}_k$ where, the connection matrices satisfy relations \[\text{(B.1)}\]. Then,

$$A_\alpha = (\tau A_k^i + A_k^i \theta_{0\ell} + A_k^i \theta_{1\ell}) \sigma_i^* \otimes f_k = (\partial_\alpha \tilde{A}_k^i + O(r)) \sigma_i^* \otimes f_k, \quad \text{(B.9)}$$

and

$$A_{\alpha \beta} = \partial^2_{\alpha \beta} \tilde{A}_k^i \sigma_i^* \otimes f_k + \tilde{A}_k^i (\partial_\alpha \theta_{0\ell}^i \sigma_i^* \otimes f_k + \partial_\alpha \theta_{1\ell}^i \sigma_i^* \otimes f_k).$$

By using \[\text{(B.1)}\],

$$\tilde{A}_\alpha^\ell = \partial_\alpha \tilde{A}_\ell^\alpha$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta} x_{\alpha \beta} (\tilde{A}_{\alpha \beta})_\ell^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} x_{\alpha \beta} \partial_\alpha \tilde{A}_\ell^\beta,$$

for every $k, \ell$.

Now the transversality Assumption \[\text{(1)}\] is written in local coordinates as

$$\partial_\alpha \tilde{A}_k^i = 0, \quad \text{when} \quad \ell \geq d + 1, \quad k \leq d \text{ or } \ell \leq d, \quad k \geq d + 1.$$ 

It follows that \[\text{(1)}\] and inclusions \[\text{(B.4)}\] is a direct consequence of \[\text{(B.11)}\] and \[\text{(B.10)}\].

By Taylor expanding the coefficients $A_k^i$ along the normal directions, we obtain

$$\tilde{A} = \left(\tilde{A}_k^i + x_\alpha (\partial_\alpha \tilde{A}_k^i) + \frac{1}{2} x_{\alpha \beta} (\partial^2_{\alpha \beta} \tilde{A}_k^i) + O(|x|^3)\right) \sigma_i^* \otimes f_k,$$

$$\tilde{A}^* \tilde{A} = \left(\tilde{A}_k^i \tilde{A}_k^i + x_\alpha (\tilde{A}_k^i (\partial_\beta \tilde{A}_k^i) + (\partial_\alpha \tilde{A}_k^i).) \sigma_i^* \otimes \sigma_i \right.$$

$$+ x_{\alpha \beta} \left((\partial_\alpha \tilde{A}_k^i)(\partial_\beta \tilde{A}_k^i) + \frac{1}{2} ((\partial^2_{\alpha \beta} \tilde{A}_k^i) \tilde{A}_k^i + \tilde{A}_k^i ((\partial^2_{\alpha \beta} \tilde{A}_k^i)) \right) \sigma_i^* \otimes \sigma_i$$

$$+ O(|x|^3) \sigma_i^* \otimes \sigma_i.$$

Therefore, when we restrict to the subbundle $S^0$ spanned by the frame $\{\sigma_\ell\}_{\ell \leq d}$, we use \[\text{(B.8)}\] and \[\text{(B.11)}\] to obtain,

$$\tilde{A}|_{S^0} = \sum_{\ell, k \leq d} x_\alpha (\partial_\alpha \tilde{A}_k^i) \sigma_i^* \otimes f_k + \sum_{\ell \leq d} \left(\frac{1}{2} x_{\alpha \beta} \partial_\alpha \tilde{A}_\ell^\beta + O(|x|^3)\right) \sigma_i^* \otimes f_k,$$

(B.12)
Proof. Without loss of generality assume that
\[ \eta \quad \text{according to the decomposition} \quad E \]
so that,\[ \text{Lemma B.2. Assume} \ A \ \text{satisfies Assumption 3} \quad \text{Then there exist} \ \varepsilon_0 > 0 \ \text{and} \ C = C(\varepsilon_0) \ \text{so that when} \ \eta \in C^\infty(\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_0}), \text{then} \]
\[ |A\eta|^2 \geq C\varepsilon^2|\eta|^2, \]
where \( r \) is the distance function from \( Z_A \).
Proof. Without loss of generality assume that \( Z_A \) is a single component \( Z \). We decompose \( \eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 \) according to the decomposition \( E^0|_V = S^0 \oplus (S^0)^\perp \). By using Assumption 3, we estimate
\[ |\tilde{A}\eta|^2 = \langle A^*A\eta_1, \eta_1 \rangle + 2\langle A^*A\eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle + |\tilde{A}\eta_2|^2 \]
\[ \geq r^2\langle \eta_1, Q^0\eta_1 \rangle + (O(r^3)|\eta_1| + r^2\langle A_{rr}\eta_1, \tilde{A}\eta_2 \rangle + O(r^3)|\eta_1| + C_1|\eta_2|^2. \]
For the cross terms we estimate
\[ |r^2\langle A_{rr}\eta_1, \tilde{A}\eta_2 \rangle| \leq \delta r^2|\eta_1|^2 + \frac{\delta^2 C_3}{\delta^2}r^2|\eta_2|^2, \]
so that,
\[ |\tilde{A}\eta|^2 \geq (C_0 - \delta)r^2|\eta_1|^2 + (C_1 - \frac{\delta^2 C_3}{\delta^2})|\eta_2|^2 + O(r^3)(|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2) \]
\[ = C_4 r^2(|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2), \]
for every \( 0 < r < \varepsilon_0 \) and some possibly even smaller \( \varepsilon_0 \) and \( C_4 = C_4(\varepsilon_0). \)

Lemma B.3. The terms
\[ \sum_a x_a \tilde{A}_a = x_a c_a M^0_a, \quad \sum_a \tilde{A}_\alpha = \text{and} \sum_j c_j B^j \]
do not depend on the choice of bundle coordinates \( (N|_U, (x_j, x_\alpha)_{j,\alpha}) \) and frames.
Proof. Let \( V \subset Z \) with \( V \cap U \neq \emptyset \) and new coordinates \( (N|_V, (y_j, y_\alpha)_{j,\alpha}) \) so that \( y_\alpha = \Delta^\alpha_\beta x_\beta \) and frames \( \{\tilde{\alpha}_t\}_t \) of the bundle \( E|_{U \cap V} \) associated to the \( y \)-coordinates so that \( \tilde{\alpha}_t = O^t_k \sigma_k \) for some orthogonal transformations \( \Delta : U \cap V \rightarrow SO(n-m) \) and \( O : U \cap V \rightarrow SO(dim E) \). If \( \xi \) and \( \xi \) denote the coordinates of a section of the bundle \( \pi^*(E|_Z) \) with respect to the corresponding local frames, then \( \xi = O\xi \). Also the coordinate vectors and covectors are transforming as,
\[ dy^j = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_k} dx^k, \quad \partial y_j = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_k} \partial x_k + \frac{\partial \Delta^\alpha_\beta}{\partial y_j} \Delta^\alpha_\beta x_\beta, \]
\[ dy^\alpha = \frac{\partial \Delta^\alpha_\beta}{\partial x_k} x_\beta dx^k + \Delta^\alpha_\beta dx_\beta, \quad \partial y_\alpha = \Delta^\alpha_\beta \partial x_\beta. \]
If \( e^\alpha = dx^\alpha|_U \), it follows that
\[
c_Z(e^\alpha)O = \Delta^\alpha_\beta O c_Z(e^\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad c_Z(dy^\beta)O = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_k} O c_Z(dx^k),
\]
and the connection transform as
\[
\nabla_{\partial_x} \xi = \Delta^\alpha_\beta O \nabla_{\partial_x} \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{\partial_y} \nabla_{\partial_x} \xi = \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial y_j} O \nabla_{\partial_y} (\partial_{x_k} |_{U \cap V}) \xi.
\]
For the first couple of terms,
\[
y_\alpha (\nabla_{\partial_x} A) \xi = x_\alpha \Delta^\alpha_\beta \Delta^\beta_\gamma O (\nabla_{\partial_x} A) \xi = x_\beta O (\nabla_{\partial_x} A) \xi,
\]
and for every \( \xi \in \Omega^0|_Z \),
\[
(\nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla_{\partial_x} A) \xi = \Delta^\alpha_\beta \Delta^\beta_\gamma O (\nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla_{\partial_x} A) \xi = O (\nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla_{\partial_x} A) \xi.
\]
For the third term,
\[
c_Z(dy^\beta)B^0_{\partial_y} |_{U \cap V} \xi = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial x_l}{\partial y_j} O c_Z(dx^k) B^0_{\partial_x} |_{U \cap V} \xi = O c_Z(dx^k) B^0_{\partial_x} |_{U \cap V} \xi.
\]
\[\square\]

In the following lemma we show how we can perturb the bundle map \( A \) to a new map with second order jet terms vanishing:

**Lemma B.4.** Under Assumption \( 3 \) we can choose our perturbation \( \tilde{A} \) so that \( \nabla^n_{u,v} A|_Z \equiv 0 \) for every \( u,v \in N \).

**Proof.** Set \( T_x = x_\alpha A_\alpha \). Then according to Assumption \( 3 \), \( T_x(S^0|_Z) = S^1|_Z \) and for every \( \xi \in \Omega^0|_Z \),
\[
T_x^* T_x \xi = \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} x_\alpha x_\beta (A_\alpha^\alpha A_\beta + A_\beta^\alpha A_\alpha) \xi + \sum_{\alpha} x_\alpha x_\alpha A_\alpha \xi = \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} x_\alpha x_\beta M_{\alpha, \beta} \xi + |x|^2 Q_{00} \xi = |x|^2 Q_0 \xi
\]
so that
\[
|T_x \xi|^2 = |x|^2 Q_0 \xi \xi \geq C_0 |x|^2 |\xi|^2.
\]
When \( \xi \in \Omega^0|_Z = (S^0 \oplus (S^0)^\perp)|_Z \), we decompose \( \xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \) and estimate
\[
|(\tilde{A} + T_x) \xi_1|^2 = |\tilde{A} \xi_2 + T_x \xi_1 + T_x \xi_2|^2 \leq |\tilde{A} \xi_2 + T_x \xi_1|^2 + |T_x \xi_2|^2 + 2 \langle T_x \xi_1, T_x \xi_2 \rangle.
\]
so that
\[
|\tilde{A} \xi_2 + T_x \xi_1|^2 \geq |\xi_2| - |T_x \xi_1| \geq (C_0 - C_1 |x|) |\xi_2|
\]
\[
\leq 2 C_1^2 |x|^2 |\xi_1| |\xi_2| \leq \frac{\delta |x|^2 |\xi_1|^2 + |x|^2 C_1^2 |\xi_2|^2}{\delta} \geq C_0 |x|^2 |\xi_1|^2.
\]
Putting everything together and choosing \( \epsilon_1 > 0 \) small enough, we obtain
\[
|(\tilde{A} + T_x) \xi|^2 \geq \left( (C_0 - C_1 |x|)^2 - \frac{|x|^2 C_1^2}{\delta} \right) |\xi_2|^2 + (C_0 - \delta) |x|^2 |\xi_1|^2
\]
\[
\geq C_3 |x|^2 |\xi|^2,
\]
for every \( 0 < |x| < \epsilon_1 \). Hence
\[
\tilde{A} + T_x : E^0|_Z \to E^1|_Z
\]
is invertible for every \( 0 < |x| < \epsilon_1 \) and
\[
|(\tilde{A} + T_x)^{-1}| \leq \frac{C}{|x|}.
\]

Introduce now a cut off function supported on \( N \), a tubular neighborhood around \( Z \) of radius \( \epsilon \) to be chosen later. Let \( \hat{\rho} : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1] \) smooth cut off with \( \hat{\rho}^{-1}(0) = [1, \infty), \rho^{-1}(1) = [0, 1/2] \) and
Recall the parallelism condition of the compatibility with the Clifford multiplication, component $Z$.

Proof of Proposition 3.9. Choose $0$ for every $A - B$ still holds for Case 4: Assume that $\xi$ projection obey (B.14), equation (3.21) follows. Derivating relation (3.2) we get that $u. \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta A = -\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta A^* u$. (B.13) hence $u B = -B^* u$. for every $u \in T^* X|Z$. Hence $A - B$ satisfies (3.2) and using the expansion of $A$ on $N_U$ $A - B = \bar{A} + T_x + \frac{1 - \rho(x)}{2} x_{\alpha \beta} \tilde{A}_{\alpha \beta} + O(|x|^3)$. Choose $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_1$ so that for every $0 < |x| < \epsilon$ $\left| \frac{1 - \rho(x)}{2} x_{\alpha \beta} \tilde{A}_{\alpha \beta} + O(|x|^3) \right| < |x| < \frac{1}{2C} \left| (\bar{A} + T_x)^{-1} \right|^{-1}$. If $\Delta := \frac{1 - \rho(x)}{2} x_{\alpha \beta} \tilde{A}_{\alpha \beta} + O(|x|^3)$, then if $(A - B) \xi = 0$ for some $\xi \in E|N - Z$, then $\xi$ solves the equation $-(\bar{A} + T_x)^{-1} \Delta \xi = \xi$. Therefore $|\xi| = |(\bar{A} + T_x)^{-1} \Delta \xi| \leq |(\bar{A} + T_x)^{-1}||\Delta||\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\xi|$, so that $\xi = 0$. Hence $A - B$ is invertible on $N - Z$ and agrees with $A$ outside $N$ and therefore $Z_{A - B} = Z_A$. Also by construction $\nabla^2_{u,v}(A - B) = 0 : E|Z \to F|Z$ for every $u, v \in N$. Finally we have only changed the 2-jet of $A$ around $Z$ to produce $A - B$. Assumption 3 still holds for $A - B$ since it relates only to the 1-jet of $A$ on $Z$. Replacing $A$ with $A - B$ on every component $Z$ of $Z_A$ we are done. \[ \square \]

B.2. Proof of Proposition 3.9. Recall that since $(S^0 \oplus S^1)|Z$ and its complement in $E^0 \oplus E^1$ are both $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $Cl(T^* N|Z)$-submodules and since $S^0_{\ell} \oplus S^1_{\ell}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $Cl(T^* Z)$-submodule, the projections satisfy $c(u) \circ P_{1 - i} = P^i \circ c(u)$ and $c(v) \circ P_{(1 - i)^+} = P^{i+} \circ c(v)$, (B.14) for every $u \in T^* N|Z$ and every $v \in T^* Z$. We use the dot notation $w.$ for $c(w)$ throughout the proof. Recall the parallelism condition of the compatibility with the Clifford multiplication, $\left[ \nabla^E_{\xi}, w. \cdot \right] \cdot \xi = (\nabla^{T^* N} w). \xi$, (B.15)

When $\xi \in C^\infty(Z; (S^0|Z)^\perp)$ in (B.15), we apply to both sides, the projection $1_{E^1|Z} = P^i$. Since the projection obey (B.14), equation (3.21) follows.

We now proceed to show (3.20). The proof is divided into cases:

Case 4: Assume that $\xi \in C^\infty(Z; S^+_\ell)$. When $w \in C^\infty(Z; T^* Z)$, then $w \cdot \xi \in C^\infty(Z; S^+_\ell)$ and $
abla^E_{\xi} \cdot \xi = P^+_\ell \nabla^E_{\xi} \cdot \xi$, by Definition (3.8),

$\nabla^E_{\xi} \cdot \xi = P^+_\ell \left( \nabla^{T^* N} w. \xi \right)$, by (B.15),

$= P^+_\ell \left( \nabla^{T^* Z} w. \xi + p_N \cdot \nabla^{T^* N} w. \xi \right)$

$= \nabla^{T^* Z} w. \xi$. 
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Here \( p_N : T^*N|_Z \to N^* \) is the projection and the last equality follows since the term \( p_N \cdot \nabla^T w. \xi \) is a section pointwise orthogonal to \( S^+_r \). When \( w \in C^\infty(Z; N^*) \) the identity follows by the definition of \( \nabla \). This finishes Case 1.

Case 5: Assume now that \( \xi = \theta \xi^+ \) for some section \( \theta \in C^\infty(Z; \Lambda^k N^*) \) and some \( \xi^+ \in C^\infty(Z; S^+_r) \). When \( w \in C^\infty(Z; T^*N) \), then \( w. \xi^+ \in C^\infty(Z; S^+_r) \) and

\[
[\nabla, w.](\theta, \xi^+) = \nabla((-1)^k \theta, w. \xi^+) - w. \nabla(\theta, \xi^+),
\]

satisfying (A.3). The connection \( T_N \) of the horizontal lifts appears in the expansion of the \( \{ \tau_j, \pi \}_{j,\alpha} \) frames and the Clifford action. As a consequence of \( \nabla^N \) being compatible with the Riemannian metric on \( \Lambda^* N^* \), we have that \( [\nabla^N, \hat{\tau}(w) \theta] = \hat{\tau}(\nabla^N w) \theta \) and therefore,

\[
[\nabla^N, \hat{\tau}(w) \theta] \xi^+ = (\hat{\tau}(\nabla^N w) \theta) \xi^+ = \nabla^N w. (\theta, \xi^+),
\]
as required. This finishes Case 2.

The proof of the proposition is complete.

B.3. The expansion of the \( \{ \tau_j, \pi \}_{j,\alpha} \) frames and the Clifford action. In expanding the Dirac operator \( \tau^{-1} \hat{D} = \hat{c}(\tau^A) \nabla_{\tau_A} \) along the fibers of the normal bundle in Section II one has to expand also the frames \( \{ \tau_A \}_{A = j, \alpha} \). Recall the frames \( \{ e_j, e_\alpha \}_{j,\alpha} \) and the connection components \( \nabla^T \tau_A \tau_B = \omega^B_{AB} \), satisfying (A.23). The connection \( \nabla^N \) of the bundle \( N \to Z \) induces horizontal lifts of \( TZ \) in the tangent space \( TN \) and a bundle isomorphism, given in local coordinates by

\[
H : \pi^*(TZ \oplus N) \to TN : \begin{cases} ((x_j, x_\alpha), (e_j, 0)) & \mapsto ((x_j, x_\alpha), d_j \partial_k - x_\gamma \bar{\omega}^\beta_{j\gamma} \partial_\beta) \\ ((x_j, x_\alpha), (0, e_\alpha)) & \mapsto ((x_j, x_\alpha), \partial_\alpha) \end{cases}.
\]

We denote vertical and horizontal distributions by \( V = H(\pi^* N) \) and \( H = H(\pi^* TZ) \) respectively so that \( TN = V \oplus H \). We also denote

\[
h_X = H e_X \quad \text{and the algebraic dual by} \quad h^X = (H^*)^{-1} e^X,
\]

for every \( A = j, \alpha \) where \( H^* \) denotes the adjoint map

\[
H^* : T^* N \to \pi^*(T^* Z \oplus N^*).
\]

The dual frames are described alternatively, by

\[
h^\alpha = dx^\alpha + x_\beta \bar{\omega}^\alpha_{\beta \gamma} d_k dx^k \quad \text{and} \quad h^j = d_j dx^j.
\]

The horizontal lifts appear in the expansion of the \( \{ \tau_j, \pi \}_{j,\alpha} \) frames because of the curvature of the normal bundle \( (N, \nabla^N) \to Z \):

**Proposition B.5.** The frames \( \tau_j \) and \( \tau_\alpha \) expand in the radial directions as

\[
\tau_\alpha = h_\alpha + O(r^2 \partial^V + r \partial^H) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_j = h_j + O(r^2 \partial^V + r \partial^H),
\]

where \( O(r^k \partial^V) \) and \( O(r^k \partial^H) \) will denote expressions in horizontal and vertical lifts correspondingly with coefficient components vanishing up to order \( r^k \) when \( r \to 0^+ \).
Proof. The frames \( \{ \tau_j \}_j \) and \( \{ \tau_\alpha \}_\alpha \) expand with respect to tangential and normal Fermi fields as
\[
\tau_\alpha = \partial_\alpha + O(r^2 \partial^N + r \partial^H) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_j = d_{jk} \partial_k - x_{3j} \tilde{\omega}^\alpha_{j3} \partial_\alpha + O(r^2 \partial^N + r \partial^H).
\]
Using the coordinate formulas of the bundle map \( H : \pi^*(TZ \oplus N) \to TN \), we obtain the expansions (B.19). \( \square \)

**Remark B.6.**

1. The expansions of the frames \( \{ \tau_j \}_j \) develope up to order \( O(r^2) \partial^N \) in the spherical Fermi fields since terms of order \( O(r) \partial^N \) become significant after the rescaling \( \{ w_\alpha = \sqrt{s} x_\alpha \}_\alpha \) is applied. In particular the horizontal lifts \( h_j \) are precisely the terms of significant order in this expansion. This is the reason we ultimately have to work with the frames \( \{ h_j \} \), instead of the Fermi fields \( \{ \partial_j \}_j \).

2. If the bundle \( (N, \nabla^N) \to Z \) is flat, then the tangential and spherical Fermi-fields suffice to describe the following expansion.

There is also a Clifford action of \( T^*N \) to the fibers:

**Definition B.7.** We use the bundle isomorphism \( H^* \) from (B.17) to define \( c_N \) as the composition
\[
c_N : T^*N \otimes \pi^* (E^i|Z) \xrightarrow{H^* \otimes \pi^*} \pi^*((TZ \oplus N^*) \otimes E^i|Z) \xrightarrow{c|Z} \pi^*(E^{1-i}|Z).
\]

To describe the components of \( c_N \) in the local frames \( \{ h_A, \sigma_k, f_l \} \), we observe that,
\[
\tilde{c} = \sum_{A,i,k} c^i_{Ak} \tau_A \otimes \sigma^k \otimes f_i. \tag{B.20}
\]

Since the Clifford multiplication and the local frames are \( \tilde{\nabla}^E \)-parallel, the components \( c^i_A \) are the components of the representation matrices \( c_A = A \otimes \sigma^k \otimes f_i \) that, in the local frames, we obtain
\[
c_N = (c_A \otimes \pi) h_A \otimes \sigma^k \otimes f_i.
\]

### B.4. The total space of \( TN \to N \)

In this subsection we introduce the connections and the Riemannian metric we will be using for the analytical part of the paper. Recall the splitting \( TN = V \oplus H \) introduced in Appendix subsection B.3. This splitting is crucial in the expansion of Lemma 4.3. The vertical and horizontal distributions are used in Definition 4.4 to facilitate the vertical operator \( \nabla^V \). Under the rescaling \( \{ w_\alpha = \sqrt{s} x_\alpha \}_\alpha \) the fields in the vertical distribution re-scale to order \( O(\sqrt{s}) \) and the fields in the horizontal distribution re-scale to order \( O(1) \). To account for different rates in the re-scaling, we use the bundle isomorphism \( H : \pi^*(TZ \oplus N) \to TN \) from (B.10) to push forward the Riemannian metric and connection into the total space \( TN \): we define a new Riemannian metric on the total space \( TN \), as the push-forward
\[
g_{TN} : TN \otimes TN \to \mathbb{R}, \quad H v \oplus H w \mapsto g(v, w), \quad v, w \in \pi^*(TZ \oplus N). \tag{B.21}
\]

The pullback bundle \( \pi^*(TN|Z) = \pi^*(N \oplus TZ) \) with the pullback connection \( \nabla^{\pi^*N} \oplus \nabla^{\pi^*TZ} \) induce, through the bundle isomorphism \( H \), a new connection \( \nabla^{TN} \)
\[
\nabla^{TN} = H \circ (\nabla^{\pi^*N} \oplus \nabla^{\pi^*TZ}) \circ H^{-1}. \tag{B.22}
\]

Recalling the frames \( \{ e_j, e_\alpha \}_j,\alpha \) of \( TZ \oplus N \), we introduce \( g_{TN} \)-orthonormal frames that are the lifts \( \{ h_j = H e_j \}_j \) and \( \{ h_\alpha = H e_\alpha = \partial_\alpha \}_\alpha \) on \( TN \) with dual coframes \( \{ h^A \}_{A=0} \). In these local frames of \( TN \), the connection writes
\[
\nabla^{TN}_{h_j} h_k = \omega^i_{jk} h_i, \quad \nabla^{TN}_{h_\alpha} h_\beta = \omega^a_{\beta \alpha} h_a, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^{TN}_{h_\alpha} h_A = 0, \tag{B.23}
\]
for every \( j, k, \alpha, A \), where \( \omega \) is the components in (A.3).
We finally have a definition for the connection in the dual bundles,
\[ \nabla^{T^*N} = (H^*)^{-1} \circ (\nabla^{\pi^*N^*} \oplus \nabla^{\pi^*T^*Z}) \circ H^*. \] (B.24)

B.5. The expansion of the volume from along \( Z \) when \( r \to 0^+ \).

Recall that \( g = \exp^* g_x \). The volume element of \( g \) is expressed in local coordinates \( d\text{vol}^N = (\det g)^{1/2} \wedge_j dx^j \wedge \wedge_\alpha dx^\alpha \). Since \( \partial_A (\det g)^{1/2} = (\text{div}_g \partial_A)(\det g)^{1/2} \), by using \cite{G}[Theorem 9.22] and relations \cite{A,2}, we have,
\[
d\text{vol}_g = \sum_j \Gamma^i_j + \sum_\beta \Gamma^\alpha_\beta = H_\alpha + O(r)
\]
as \( r \to 0^+ \). Therefore, in Fermi coordinates, we have an expansion,
\[
d\text{vol}^N = \left( 1 + \sum_\alpha x_\alpha H_\alpha + O(r^2) \right) (\det g)^{1/2} \wedge_j dx^j \wedge \wedge_\alpha dx^\alpha. \] (B.25)

The local volume element \((\det g)^{1/2} \wedge_j dx^j \wedge \wedge_\alpha dx^\alpha\) is independent of the bundle coordinates and defines a volume element \( d\text{vol}^N \) that is expressed in the frames \( \{ h^i \}_A \) in \cite{B.18} as \( h^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge h^n \), on the total space of the normal bundle \( N \). More to the point, \( d\text{vol}^N \) is the associated volume element produced by \( g_{TN} \) in an orthonormal coframe of lifts \( \{ h^i \}_A \). Finally there exist \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \) so that for every \( 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \), the corresponding densities satisfy,
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left| d\text{vol}^N \right|_q \leq \left| d\text{vol}^N \right|_q \leq 2 \left| d\text{vol}^N \right|_q, \quad \text{for every } q \in N_\varepsilon.
\] (B.26)

B.6. The Clifford bundle \((\pi^*(E|Z), \nabla, \epsilon_N)\). Recall the connection \( \nabla^{E|Z} \), introduced in Definition \ref{def:connection}. The restriction bundle \((E|Z, \nabla^{E|Z}, c_Z)\) pullback through the normal bundle map \( \pi : N \to Z \), inducing new bundles over the total space \( N \), so that the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi^*(E|Z), \nabla^{\pi^*(E|Z)} & \longrightarrow & (E|Z, \nabla^{E|Z}) \\
N & \longrightarrow & Z \\
\end{array}
\]
commute. According to \cite{KN}[Ch.II, Prop. 6.2], the main property of the pullback connection \( \nabla^{\pi^*(E|Z)} \) is that, for every section \( \xi : Z \to E|Z \),
\[
\nabla^{\pi^*(E|Z)}_v (\pi^* \xi) = \pi^*(\nabla^{E|Z}_v \xi), \quad \text{for every } v \in TN.
\] (B.27)

Therefore in the bundle coordinates \((N_U, (x_j, x_\alpha))_{j,a} \) and the frames \( \{ h_A = He_A \} \),
\[
\nabla^{\pi^*(E|Z)}_h (\pi^* \xi) = h_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^{\pi^*(E|Z)}_v (h_\alpha) = h_\alpha + \partial_i^j, \quad i = 0, 1.
\] (B.28)
Likewise, the curvature \( F^{\pi^*(E|Z)} \) of the bundle \((\pi^*(E|Z), \nabla^{\pi^*(E|Z)})\) satisfies
\[
F^{\pi^*(E|Z)}(u, v)(\pi^* \xi) = \pi^*(F^{E|Z}(u, v)_\xi),
\] (B.29)
where \( F^{E|Z} \) denotes the curvature of the bundle \((E|Z, \nabla)\). For notational simplicity, every section \( \xi : Z \to E|Z \) that pulls back to \( \pi^* \xi : N \to \pi^* E \) and will be denoted by again by \( \xi \). We also denote \( \nabla^{\pi^*(E|Z)} \) as simply \( \nabla \).

Proposition \ref{prop:c} has the following analogue for the pullback connection:

Proposition B.8.  
(1) The connection \( \nabla^{T^*N} \) is compatible with \( g^{T^*N} \). Its torsion \( T := T^{\nabla^{T^*N}} : TN \otimes TN \to TN \) is given by \((p, v), X \otimes Y) \mapsto HF^{T^*N}(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y)v\), for every \((p, v) \in N\).
(2) For every \( \theta \in C^\infty(N; T^*N) \)
\[
[\nabla, \epsilon_N(\theta)] = \epsilon_N(\nabla^{T^*\theta}N)\).
(3) The connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is compatible with the inner product on the bundle $\pi^*(E|_Z)$. Furthermore it reduces to a sum of connections on the summands of the decomposition of $\pi^*(S^1|Z)$ into eigenbundles of the sections $C^*$ introduced in (2.12).

(4) We define $\tilde{\nabla}^V : C^\infty(N; \pi^*E) \to C^\infty(N; \mathcal{V} \otimes \pi^*E)$ and $\tilde{\nabla}^H : C^\infty(N; \mathcal{H} \otimes \pi^*E)$ with

$$\tilde{\nabla}^V = h^\alpha \otimes \tilde{\nabla}_{h^\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nabla}^H = h^j \otimes \tilde{\nabla}_{h^j}.$$ 

Their adjoints are given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}^{V*}(h^\alpha \otimes s_\alpha) = -\tilde{\nabla}_{h^\alpha} s_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nabla}^{H*}(h^j \otimes s_j) = -\tilde{\nabla}_{h^j} s_j.$$ 

(5) We define the Hessian operator with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ of the total space $\mathfrak{g}$ parallel at $p$ and we obtain

$$\nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} \theta = \nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} \theta + \nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} \theta,$$

and equations (A.3) hold and dual coframes $\{\sigma_i\}_i$, $\{\xi_i\}_i$. The Clifford action obeys $c_N(h^k) = c(e^k) =: c_A$, the matrix representation with respect to the preceding frames.

Part (3) follows by the definition of $\nabla$. To prove part (1) we write $g(T) = h^k \otimes h^k + h^a \otimes h^a$. By the local expressions (2.23) it follows that $\nabla_{h^a} g(T) = 0$ and that

$$\nabla_{h^a} g(T) = \omega_{jk}^a + \omega_{k}\partial\partial\partial\partial = 0, \quad \text{by (A.3)}.$$ 

We calculate the torsion $T$ of the connection $\nabla^T$ over the fiber $N_p$ of the total space $N$ at $p$. We have that

$$\nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} h_B = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [h_{\alpha}, h_{\beta}] = [\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\beta}] = 0,$$

for every $A, B, \alpha, \beta$. Therefore $T(h_{\alpha}, h_{\beta}) = 0$. Also $[h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}] = \omega_{j\alpha}^a h^a = 0$ and therefore $T(h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}) = 0$. Finally one of the definitions of the curvature $(\nabla^N)$ is as the obstruction to the integrability of the horizontal distribution induced by $\nabla^N$ in $T$. We review the calculation,

$$[h_{\alpha}, h_{\beta}] = [d_{\alpha}d_{\beta}, d_{\alpha}d_{\beta}] + [x_{\alpha}d_{\alpha}d_{\beta}, d_{\alpha}d_{\alpha}d_{\beta}] - [d_{\alpha}d_{\alpha}d_{\beta}, d_{\alpha}d_{\alpha}d_{\beta}] - [x_{\alpha}d_{\alpha}d_{\alpha}d_{\beta}, d_{\alpha}d_{\alpha}d_{\alpha}d_{\beta}],$$

over $N_p$ and we obtain $T(h_{\alpha}, h_{\beta}) = H(F^{\nabla^N}(\pi_*h_{\alpha}, \pi_*h_{\beta})(x_a e_a))$. This finishes the computation of the torsion.

We prove part (2) for sections $\theta \in C^\infty(N; T^*N)$ so that $H^\theta = r_1w_1 + r_2w_2$ with $w_1$ being a section of $T^*Z$ and $w_2$ a section of $N^*$ and $r_1, r_2 \in C^\infty(N)$. Since $\pi_*h_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha}$,

$$[\nabla_{h_{\alpha}}, c_N(\theta)] = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( h_{\alpha}c(w_i) + r_i [\nabla_{e_i}, c(w_i)] \right),$$

by definition of $\nabla$.

From equation (3.20)

$$[\nabla_{e_j}, c(w_i)] = \begin{cases} c(\nabla^{T^*N}w_1), & \text{if } i = 1, \\ c(\nabla^{T^*N}w_2), & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

Substituting back and using (3.21), we obtain

$$[\nabla_{h_{\alpha}}, c_N(\theta)] = c\left( (\nabla^{T^*N} \nabla^{T^*N} + \nabla^{T^*N} \nabla^{T^*N})(r_1w_1 + r_2w_2) \right) = c_N(\nabla^{T^*N} \theta).$$
Finally writing $\theta = \theta_A h^A$, we have $c_N(\theta) = \theta_A c(e^A) = \theta_A c_A$ so that,
\[
[\nabla h_A, c_N(\theta_A h^A)] = (h_A \theta_A) c_A = \theta_A [\partial_A, c_A] = c_N(\nabla h_A(\theta_A h^A)).
\]
Any other section of $T^* N$ is locally a linear combination of sections $\theta$ of the forms examined. Therefore part (2) hold in general.

To prove part (2) let $\xi \in C(\mathbb{N}; \pi^* E)$ and $s \in C(\mathbb{N}; \mathcal{H} \otimes \pi^* E)$. In local frames $s = h^j \otimes s_j$. We define $Y = \sum_j Y_j h_j$ where $Y_j = \langle \xi, s_j \rangle$ and set $\omega = I_{\gamma} dv_N$.

By Cartan’s identity $d\omega^H = L_{Y_N} dv_N$ where $dv_N = h^A \wedge \cdots \wedge h^n$ so that
\[
L_{Y_N} dv_N = \sum_{j,A} h^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge L_{Y_j h_j} h^A \wedge \cdots \wedge h^n.
\]
Calculating over $N_p$, we have that
\[
L_{Y_j h_j} h^k = -h^k([Y_j h_j, h_i]) h^l - h^k([Y_j h_j, h^j]) h^l = \delta_{jk} dY_j,
\]
so that $L_{Y_N} dv_N = h^j h_j dY_j^N$. Then
\[
\begin{align*}
L_{Y_j h_j} h^k &= \langle \nabla_{h_j} \xi, s_j \rangle + \langle \nabla h_j \xi, s_j \rangle, \\
\langle \nabla h_j \xi, s_j \rangle &= \langle h^j \otimes \nabla h_j \xi, h^j \otimes s_j \rangle + \langle \nabla h_j \xi, s_j \rangle, \quad \text{since } |h^j| = 1, \\
&= \langle \nabla^H \xi, s \rangle - \langle \xi, \nabla^H s \rangle,
\end{align*}
\]
that is
\[
d\omega = \left(\langle \nabla^H \xi, s \rangle - \langle \xi, \nabla^H s \rangle\right) dv_N.
\]
This finishes the proof of the local formula for $\nabla^H$. The formula for $\nabla^V$ follows the same pattern. The formula for the skew-symmetrization of the Hessian in part (3) is a straightforward calculation. Also the asserted symmetry of the Hessian follows by the formula, since $T(h_\alpha, h_\beta) = T(h_j, h_\alpha) = 0$ and $F(\pi^*(E \chi)(h_\alpha, h_\beta) = F(\pi^*(E \chi) (h_j, h_\alpha) = 0$ by (12.29). □

B.7. Various analytical proofs.

**Proof of improved concentration principle.** The proof uses a technique described in [A] [Ch.4, Th.4.4,pp.59]. Let $\rho : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and $\xi \in C(\mathbb{N}; E^0)$. Using that $D_x(\rho \xi) = d\rho \xi + \rho D_x \xi$, we calculate
\[
\langle D_x(\rho \xi), D_x(\rho^2 \xi)\rangle_{L^2(X)} = \langle d\rho \xi, D_x(\rho \xi)\rangle_{L^2(X)} + \langle D_x(\rho \xi), \rho d\xi \rangle_{L^2(X)} = \|D_x(\rho \xi)\|^2_{L^2(X)} - \langle d\rho \xi, D_x(\rho \xi)\rangle_{L^2(X)} + \langle d\rho \xi, \rho D_x \xi\rangle_{L^2(X)} = \|D_x(\rho \xi)\|^2_{L^2(X)} - \|d\rho \xi\|^2_{L^2(X)}.
\]
Let now $\delta > 0$ a number satisfying the assumptions of the statement. Assume additionally that $\supp \rho \subset \Omega(\delta)$. Then, by a concentration estimate, for every $\xi \in C(\mathbb{N}; E)$,
\[
\|D_x(\rho \xi)\|^2_{L^2(X)} \geq s \|B_{\rho \xi}\|_{L^2(X)} + s^2 \|A(\rho \xi)\|^2_{L^2(X)} \geq (s^2 \kappa^2 - |s| C_0) \|\rho \xi\|^2_{L^2(X)},
\]
where
\[
C_0 = \sup\{|B_{A}| : p \in \mathbb{X}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_0 = \inf\{|A| : v \in \mathbb{E}_p, |v| = 1\}.
\]
Combining the preceding estimates, we obtain
\[
\int_{\Omega(\delta)} [(s^2 \kappa^2 - |s| C_0 - \lambda_\ast) \rho^2 + |d\rho|^2] |\xi|^2 dvol_X \leq \int_{\Omega(\delta)} [\|D_x(\rho \xi)\|^2_{L^2(X)} - |d\rho|^2 |\xi|^2 - \lambda_\ast |\rho \xi|^2] dvol_X = \langle D_x \xi, D_x (\rho^2 \xi)\rangle_{L^2(X)} - \lambda_\ast \|\rho \xi\|^2_{L^2(X)} = \langle D_x^* D_x - \lambda_\ast \xi, \rho^2 \xi\rangle_{L^2(X)},
\]
that is
\[
\int_{\Omega(\delta)} \left[ (s^2 \kappa_3^2 - |s|C_0 - \lambda_\delta) \rho^2 - |d\rho|^2 \right] |\xi|^2 \, d\text{v}ol^X \leq 0.
\]

We simplify this inequality by choosing $|s| > 2(C_0 + C_1)/\kappa_\delta$, so that
\[
\int_{\Omega(\delta)} \left( \frac{1}{2} s^2 \kappa_3^2 \rho^2 - |d\rho|^2 \right) |\xi|^2 \, d\text{v}ol^X \leq 0. \tag{B.32}
\]

Note that, by an approximation argument, the following inequality holds for every $\rho$ Lipschitz.

Let now $\chi : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ a cutoff with $\chi|_{[0, 1]} \equiv 1$, supp $\chi \subset [0, 2]$ and $|\chi'| \leq 1$. Then define
\[
\rho(p) = \begin{cases} 
1 - \chi \left( \frac{|s|}{\delta} \right) e^{|s|r^2\epsilon}, & \text{if } p \in Z_A(4\delta), \\
\frac{1}{\delta^2} \chi \left( \frac{|s|}{\delta} \right) e^{|s|r^2\epsilon} \rho \left( s \right) r \, dr_\rho, & \text{if } p \in \Omega(4\delta),
\end{cases}
\]
where $r = r(p)$ is the distance of $p$ from the core $Z_A$. Note that
\[
d\rho(p) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } p \in Z_A(\delta) \cup \Omega(4\delta), \\
\frac{1}{\delta^2} \chi \left( \frac{|s|}{\delta} \right) e^{|s|r^2\epsilon} \rho \left( s \right) r \, dr_\rho, & \text{if } p \in \Omega(\delta; 2\delta),
\end{cases}
\]
where $\Omega(\delta_1; \delta_2) = Z_A(\delta_2) \setminus Z_A(\delta_1)$, for every $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2$. Substituting back to (B.32) and using that $(a + b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$,
\[
\frac{1}{2} s^2 \kappa_3^2 e^{16|s|\delta^2 \epsilon} \int_{\Omega(\delta)} |\xi|^2 \, d\text{v}ol^X + s^2 \int_{\Omega(\delta; 4\delta)} \left( \frac{1}{2} s^2 \kappa_3^2 - 2r^2 \epsilon^2 \right) |\rho_\xi|^2 \, d\text{v}ol^X \leq 2 \frac{2}{\delta^2} \int_{\Omega(\delta; 4\delta)} e^{2|s|r^2\epsilon} |\xi|^2 \, d\text{v}ol^X.
\]

The second integral of the left hand side is positive (and can be ignored) provided that $8\delta\epsilon < \kappa_\delta$ that is when we choose $0 < \epsilon < \kappa_\delta/(8\delta)$. We obtain that
\[
\int_{\Omega(\delta)} |\xi|^2 \, d\text{v}ol^X \leq \frac{4}{s^2 \delta^2 \kappa^2} e^{-12|s|\delta^2 \epsilon} \|\xi\|^2_{L^2(X)}.
\]

An analogue to the bootstrap argument given in [M] [Cor.2.6] proves now the proposition, where $\delta$ is changing to $2\delta$ or $3\delta$ in each iteration and there are as many iterations as needed for application of Morrey’s inequality to be applied in the space $C^{\ell/2}(\Omega(\delta))$. This explains the dependence of the constants $C', \epsilon_0$ and $s_0$ in $\ell$ and $\alpha$. \hfill $\square$

We include here an approximation theorem, for the spaces $W^{1,2}_{k,\ell}(N)$:

**Theorem B.9.** We have alternative descriptions:

\[
L^2_{k}(N) = \{ \xi \in L^2(N) : \|\xi\|_{0,2,k,0} < \infty \}, \tag{B.33}
\]
\[
W^{1,2}_{k,\ell}(N) = \{ \xi \in L^2(N) : \nabla^\ell \xi \in L^2(N) \text{ and } \|\xi\|_{1,2,k,0} < \infty \}, \tag{B.34}
\]
\[
W^{1,2}_{k,\ell}(N) = \{ \xi \in W^{1,2}_{k,\ell} : \|\xi\|_{1,2,k,1} < \infty \}. \tag{B.35}
\]

**Proof.** For every $\xi \in C^\infty_c(N)$, we have $\|\xi\|_2 \leq \|\xi\|_{0,2,k,0}$. Therefore a Cauchy sequence in $L^2_{k}(N)$ is also Cauchy in $L^2(N)$ and converges to the same limit. This proves the one inclusion in (B.33). For the other inclusion, we introduce a bump function $\rho : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$, with $\rho([0, 1]) = \{ 1 \}$ and $\rho^{-1}([0, 1]) = [2, \infty)$ so that $|\rho'(t)| \leq 1$. Given $\xi \in L^2(N)$ with $\|\xi\|_{0,2,k,0} < \infty$, we introduce $\xi_j(v) = \xi(v) \cdot \rho(|v| - j), \ v \in N, \ j \in \mathbb{N}$ and estimate
\[
\|\xi_j - \xi\|_{0,2,k,0} \leq \int_{|v| = |v|_j} |\xi|^2 (1 + r^2)^{k+1} \, d\text{v}ol^N \to 0 \quad \text{as } j \to \infty.
\]

For $j$ chosen so that $\xi_j$ is in $\epsilon/2$ distance from $\xi$, we can find a $\phi \in C^\infty_c(B(Z, j))$ so that $\|\xi_j - \phi\|_{0,2,k,0} < \epsilon/2$. Hence $\phi$ is $\epsilon$-close to $\xi$. This proves (B.33).

Similarly, we have that $\|\nabla^\ell \xi\|_{1,2} \leq \|\xi\|_{1,2,k,0}$ and that $\|\xi\|_{1,2} \leq \|\xi\|_{1,2,k,1}$. Hence if $\xi \in W^{1,2}_{k,\ell}(N)$, then $\xi$ poses weak derivatives in normal directions if $l = 0$ and in every direction if $l > 0$ and the weak...
derivatives belong in $L^2(N)$. On the other hand, given $\xi \in W^{1,2}(N)$ with $\|\xi\|_{1,2,k,l} < \infty$ we form the sequence $\xi_j$ as above and estimate
\[
\int_N |\bar{\nabla}(\xi_j - \xi)|^2(1 + r^2)^{k+1} d\text{vol}^N \leq \int_{\{v : |v| \geq j\}} (|\xi|^2 + |\bar{\nabla}\xi|^2)(1 + r^2)^{k+1} d\text{vol}^N \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty.
\]
Now the same argument as with (B.33) works to prove (B.34) and (B.35). $\square$
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