

MAJORIZATION AND SEMI-DOUBLY STOCHASTIC OPERATORS ON $L^1(X)$

Seyed Mahmoud Manjegani, Shirin Moein

Department of Mathematical Sciences,

Isfahan University of Technology,

Isfahan, Iran

manjegani@cc.iut.ac.ir

s.moein@math.iut.ac.ir

ABSTRACT

This article is devoted to a study of majorization based on semi-doubly stochastic operators (denoted by $SD(L^1)$) on $L^1(X)$ when X is a σ -finite measure space. We answered Mirsky's question and characterized the majorization by means of semi-doubly stochastic maps on $L^1(X)$. We collect some results of semi-doubly stochastic operators such as a strong relation of semi-doubly stochastic operators and integral stochastic operators, and relatively weakly compactness of $S_f = \{Sf : S \in SD(L^1)\}$ when f is a fixed element in $L^1(X)$ by proving equi-integrability of S_f .

1 Introduction

Until recent decades, the main attention in majorization theory was paid to finite-dimensional space, but recently because of its significant applications in a broad spectrum of fields, especially in quantum physics, considerable interest in infinite-dimensional spaces appeared mathematically and physically [2, 5, 7, 8, 13].

The aim of this paper is to study the notion of majorization on $L^1(X, \mu)$, that is the space of all absolutely integrable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ when (X, μ) is σ -finite measure space. Our motivation to work on this space is its application in quantum information theory, for details you can see [8, 12]. We start with short history.

Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya extended an equivalent condition of Muirhead's inequality from non-negative integer vectors to real vectors and called it vector majorization as follows.

Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with the similar total of the whole components. X is vector majorized by Y (denoted by $X \prec Y$) if for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ the sum of the k largest components of X is less than or equal to the sum of the k largest components of Y .

To avoid difficulty of decreasing rearrangement of components Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya proved equivalent conditions for $X \prec Y$ independent of decreasing rearrangements as following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. [9] *Let $x, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $X \prec Y$.
- (2) *There exists a doubly stochastic matrix $D = [d_{ij}]$ (an n -square matrix with $d_{ij} > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n d_{ij} = 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} = 1$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$) such that $X = DY$.*
- (3) *The following inequality hold for all convex functions g ,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n g(y_i).$$

Here x_i and y_i for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ are components of X and Y respectively.

As an infinite counterpart of Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya's results, Mirsky proposed this question[10, Section 4: Page 328]:

“The introduction of infinite doubly stochastic matrices raises the question whether there exists an infinite analogue of Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya’s results?”

In this work, by using semi-doubly stochastic operators we completely answer Mirsky’s question (extension of Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya’s results, Theorem 1.1) on $L^1(X, \mu)$ when (X, μ) is σ -finite measure space. We denote the dual space of $L^1(X, \mu)$ which is the space of essentially bounded functions on X by $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ and to shorten notation, we write $L^1(X)$ and $L^\infty(X)$ when no confusion can arise.

Section 2 contains a brief historical summary of results and mathematical preliminaries on majorization on $L^1(X)$. In Section 3 we will look more closely at semi-doubly stochastic operators and provide a method of constructing them in Theorem 3.2 and also for fixed $f \in L^1(X)$ we will prove equi-integrability of $S_f = \{Sf : S \in \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))\}$ in Theorem 3.8 for arbitrary measure space X , and using this theorem leads to Corollary 3.10 that is relatively weakly compactness of S_f when X is finite measure space. In Section 4 some of the recent results like relation between majorization and integral operators are reviewed in a more general setting by using semi-doubly stochastic operators and we will answer to Mirsky’s question by giving a full characterization of majorization in Theorem 4.13.

2 Mathematical preliminaries

There are two main ways for generalizing the majorization concept on measurable functions, extension based on decreasing rearrangement and based on stochastic operators.

2.1 Decreasing Rearrangement

In 1963, definition of decreasing rearrangement for measurable functions on $([0, 1], m)$ where m is the Lebesgue measure introduced by Ryff [14] and then in 1974 extended by Chong [3] to $L^1(X, \mu)$ for an arbitrary measure space (X, μ) as follows.

Definition 2.1. *If f is any measurable (respectively non-negative integrable) function defined on a finite (respectively infinite) measure space (X, μ) , then there exists a unique right continuous decreasing function f^\downarrow on the interval $[0, \mu(X)]$, called the decreasing rearrangement of f and defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} f^\downarrow(s) &= \inf\{t : d_f(t) \leq s\}, & 0 \leq s \leq \mu(X) \\ &= \sup\{t : d_f(t) > s\}, & 0 \leq s \leq \mu(X) \end{aligned}$$

where d_f is called distribution function of f and for all real t defined by

$$d_f(t) = \mu\{x : f(x) > t\}.$$

Then Chong generalized the notion of majorization as following definition to $L^1(X, \mu)$ for an arbitrary measure space X .

Definition 2.2. [3] *Let (X, μ) be an arbitrary measure space and $f, g \in L^1(X)$ (notice that for the infinite measure space we have to suppose f, g are non-negative). Then we say that f is weak majorized by g and write $f \prec_w g$ if*

$$\int_0^s f^\downarrow dm \leq \int_0^s g^\downarrow dm, \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq \mu(X)$$

and furthermore if we have the following equality we say that f is majorized by g and write $f \prec g$.

$$\int_0^{\mu(X)} f^\downarrow dm = \int_0^{\mu(X)} g^\downarrow dm,$$

where dm is the Lebesgue measure on the interval $[0, \infty)$.

The following Theorems are due to Chong which we will use them in next section.

Theorem 2.3. [3, Theorem 1.6] *If $f, g \in L^1(X, \mu)$ are non-negative and $\mu(X)$ is infinite, then for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\int_0^t f^\downarrow dm \leq \int_0^t g^\downarrow dm, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty),$$

if and only if

$$\int_u^\infty d_f dm \leq \int_u^\infty d_g dm.$$

Theorem 2.4. [3, Corollary 1.2] *If f is a measurable function on (X, μ) , where $\mu(X)$ is finite or infinite, then*

$$\int_X \max\{(f - u), 0\} d\mu = \int_u^\infty d_f(t) dt.$$

2.2 Semi-Doubly Stochastic operators

To avoid the difficulty of decreasing rearrangement in the definition of majorization, Ryff [14] introduced and characterized an important class of linear operators $T : L^1([0, 1], m) \rightarrow L^1([0, 1], m)$ which is known as doubly stochastic operators such that Tf is majorized by f for all $f \in L^1([0, 1], m)$. Ryff's characterization can not be extended on $L^1(X, \mu)$ when (X, μ) is σ -finite measure space (see [8, Example II.7] as a counter-example), but it could be extended by using semi doubly stochastic operators which is a new class of operators that introduced by Manjegani and et.al [8] on l^1 space and extended by Bahrami and et.al [2] on $L^1(X, \mu)$ where (X, μ) is σ -finite measure space.

The class of semi-doubly stochastic operators is larger than the class of doubly stochastic operators and smaller than the class of integral preserving operators which is known as Markov operators or stochastic operators in references. It is worth noting that the theory of Markov operators is extremely rich, one of its applications is an examination of the eventual behavior of densities in dynamical systems for more details see [6].

The definition of doubly stochastic, semi-doubly stochastic, and Markov operators are as follows, but before that, we recall that each bounded linear map $T : X \rightarrow Y$, between two normed linear spaces X and Y , induces a bounded linear operator $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$, between the dual spaces, defined for all $g \in Y^*$ and $x \in X$ by $\langle x, T^*g \rangle = \langle Tx, g \rangle$, which $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the dual pairing between the dual spaces.

Definition 2.5. Let (X, μ) be an arbitrary measure space.

- (a) A positive operator $T : L^1(X) \rightarrow L^1(X)$ is Markov operator if it satisfies for all $f \in L^1(X)$,

$$\int_X Tf \, d\mu = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$

In ordinary terms $T^*(1) = 1$. The set of all Markov operators on $L^1(X)$ denoted by $\mathcal{M}(L^1(X))$.

- (b) $T \in \mathcal{M}(L^1(X))$ is semi-doubly stochastic operator if it satisfies for each $E \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(E) < \infty$,

$$\int_X T^* \chi_E \, d\mu \leq \mu(E).$$

The set of all semi-doubly stochastic operators on $L^1(X)$ denoted by $\mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))$.

- (c) $T \in \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))$ is doubly stochastic operator if it satisfies for each $g \in L^\infty(X)$,

$$\int_X T^* g \, d\mu = \int_X g \, d\mu.$$

The set of all doubly stochastic operators on $L^1(X)$ denoted by $\mathcal{D}(L^1(X))$.

In the following lemma, we easily prove that for arbitrary either finite or infinite measure space X the Markov operators are bounded, and therefore all semi-doubly stochastic and doubly stochastic operators are bounded.

Lemma 2.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{M}(L^1(X))$, then $\|T\| = 1$, where

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tf\|_1 : \|f\|_1 = 1\}.$$

Proof. By definition of Markov operator for each $f \in L^1(X)$,

$$\int_X Tf \, d\mu = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \sup\{\|Tf\|_1 : \|f\|_1 = 1\} = \sup\left\{\int_X Tf \, d\mu : \|f\|_1 = 1\right\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\int_X f \, d\mu : \|f\|_1 = 1\right\} = \sup\{\|f\|_1 : \|f\|_1 = 1\} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

□

By adopting counting measure μ on the space of natural numbers \mathbb{N} , we rewrite the previous definition for the Banach space l^1 , consisting of all sequences whose series are absolutely convergent. In fact majorization theory on l^1 space play a key role in quantum information theory, for instant the generalization of Nielsen's result for infinite dimensional

quantum system asserts that quantum states ϕ can be convertible to quantum states ψ if and only if the sequence of Schmidt coefficients of ϕ is majorized by the sequence of Schmidt coefficients of ψ [13, Theorem 1], and by infinite dimensional version of Schmidt decomposition theorem the sequences of Schmidt coefficients are belong to l^1 space. Therefore rewriting of above definition would be useful to avoid confusion for readers in quantum information theory area. Before that, we denote sequence $e_n \in l^1$ as well known Kronecker delta $\delta_{mn} = (e_n)_m$ for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and also we denote the dual pairing between l^1 and its dual space l^∞ with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : l^1 \times l^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which for each $f \in l^1$ and $g \in l^\infty$, $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f_i g_i$. If we consider e_i as an element of l^∞ then for each $f \in l^1$, $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, e_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f_i$. We are now ready to rewrite above definition on l^1 space.

Definition 2.7. A positive operator $D : l^1 \rightarrow l^1$ is

- (a) A Markov operator if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle D e_j, e_i \rangle = 1$.
- (b) A semi-doubly stochastic operator if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle D e_j, e_i \rangle = 1$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle D e_j, e_i \rangle \leq 1$.
- (c) A doubly stochastic operator if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle D e_j, e_i \rangle = 1$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle D e_j, e_i \rangle = 1$.

In general form it is obvious that

$$\mathcal{D}(L^1(X)) \subseteq \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(L^1(X)). \quad (1)$$

It is worth referring to an important result by Bahrami et.al [2, Proposition 2.6] that if $\mu(X) < \infty$ then on $L^1(X, \mu)$ the semi-doubly stochastic operator coincide with the doubly stochastic operator. We provide a counterexample that asserts that in general the converse of inclusions (1) is not true.

Example 2.8. Let μ be counting measure on $X = \mathbb{N}$. Then positive operators $T_1, T_2, T_3 : l^1 \rightarrow l^1$ for each sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^1$ defined by

$$T_1(a_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_n, 0, 0, \dots \right),$$

$$T_2(a_n) = (0, a_1, a_2, \dots),$$

$$T_3(a_n) = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots).$$

Easily can be seen that $T_1 \in \mathcal{M}(l^1)$, $T_2 \in \mathcal{SD}(l^1)$, $T_3 \in \mathcal{D}(l^1)$. $T_1^* : l^\infty \rightarrow l^\infty$ defined by $T_1^*((b_n)) = (b_1, b_1, \dots)$ and therefore $T_1^*(\chi_{\{1\}}) = T_1^*(e_1) = (1, 1, \dots)$. Hence $T_1 \notin \mathcal{SD}(l^1)$. and also $T_2^* : l^\infty \rightarrow l^\infty$ as left shift operator define as $T_2^*((b_n)) = (b_2, b_3, \dots)$. Hence $T_2^*(e_1) = (0, 0, \dots)$ and $\int T_2^*(e_1) d\mu = 0 < \int_{\mathbb{N}} e_1 d\mu = 1$ therefore $T_2 \notin \mathcal{D}(l^1)$.

Now the following theorem is to bring together two areas of Subsections 2.1 and 2.2, that is an equivalent condition for majorization concept based on decreasing rearrangement (Definition 2.2) by using semi-doubly stochastic operators (Definition 2.5).

Theorem 2.9. [2, Corollary 2.10] Let X be a σ -finite measure space and f, g be non-negative belongs to $L^1(X)$. Then $g \prec f$ if and only if there is a sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))$ such that $S_n f \rightarrow g$ in $L^1(X)$.

3 Some Results on Semi-Doubly Stochastic Operators

3.1 Method of Constructing Semi-Doubly Stochastic Operators

In the following theorem, we present a method for constructing doubly (and semi-doubly) stochastic operators. To this end, we assume that (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a σ -finite measure space. Also we assume that $\{A_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of measurable sets with finite measure such that $X = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$. We denote the set of all measurable simple functions in $L^1(X)$ by \mathcal{S} .

Theorem 3.1. Let $D : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^1(X)$ be a linear function. Then D has a unique extension to a doubly stochastic operator if and only if D is nonnegative and the following inequalities hold for each $E \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(E) < \infty$:

$$\int_X D \chi_E d\mu = \mu(E), \quad (2)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \chi_E D \chi_{A_n} d\mu = \mu(E). \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{E_i}$ be a simple function. Then according to (2),

$$\int_X D\varphi d\mu = \int_X D\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{E_i}\right) d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_X D\chi_{E_i} d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu(E_i) = \int_X \varphi d\mu, \quad (4)$$

therefore for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\|D\varphi\|_1 = \int_X |D\varphi| d\mu \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \int_X D\chi_{E_i} d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \mu(E_i) = \int_X |\varphi| d\mu = \|\varphi\|_1.$$

Hence operator $D : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^1(X)$ is bounded and $\|D\| \leq 1$. Since \mathcal{S} is dense in $L^1(X)$, D has a unique extension to $L^1(X)$ which we denote it again by D .

Due to (4), for each $f \in L^1(X)$,

$$\int_X Df d\mu = \int_X f d\mu,$$

and by using (3), for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S} \subset L^1(X) \cap L^\infty(X)$,

$$\int_X D^* \varphi d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X D^* \varphi \chi_{A_n} d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \varphi D\chi_{A_n} d\mu \quad (5)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \int_X \chi_{E_i} D\chi_{A_n} d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \mu(E_i) = \int_X \varphi d\mu. \quad (6)$$

Hence qualities in (5) hold for each $f \in \mathcal{S} \subset L^1(X) \cap L^\infty(X)$ and it means that

$$\int_X D^* f d\mu = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Therefore $D : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^1(X)$ has a unique extension to a doubly stochastic operator on $L^1(X)$. The reverse is easily verifiable. \square

It is very obvious that with the same proof and very slight modification, we have the semi-doubly stochastic version of the above Theorem as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $S : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^1(X)$ be a linear function. Then S has a unique extension to a semi-doubly stochastic operator if and only if S is nonnegative and the following inequalities hold for each measurable set E with $\mu(E) < \infty$:*

$$\int_X S\chi_E d\mu = \mu(E), \quad (7)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \chi_E S\chi_{A_n} d\mu \leq \mu(E). \quad (8)$$

In this part we want to introduce another example of semi-doubly stochastic operators on $L^1(X)$ (Proposition 3.3) which we will use it for characterization of majorization relation. From now on, as a contract unless otherwise stated, we will assume that (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a σ -finite measure space, And $P := \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a disjoint family of measurable sets with $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ and such that $0 < \mu(A_n) < +\infty$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it is easily seen that the map $\Phi_P : L^1(X) \rightarrow l^1$ given by

$$\Phi_P(f) = \left(\int_{A_1} f d\mu, \int_{A_2} f d\mu, \dots, \int_{A_n} f d\mu, \dots \right), \quad f \in L^1(X) \quad (9)$$

is bounded linear map. Let $\Phi_P^* : l^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty(X)$ be its adjoint. Then for $(a_n) \in l^\infty$ and $f \in L^1(X)$,

$$\langle f, \Phi_P^*(a_n) \rangle = \langle \Phi_P(f), (a_n) \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_{A_n} f d\mu = \int_X f \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \chi_{A_n} d\mu$$

Therefore,

$$\Phi_P^*(a_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \chi_{A_n}, \quad \forall (a_n) \in l^\infty.$$

Similarly, if $\Psi_P : l^1 \rightarrow L^1(X)$ is defined by

$$\Psi_P(a_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\mu(A_n)} \chi_{A_n}, \quad \forall (a_n) \in l^\infty, \quad (10)$$

then Ψ_P is also a bounded linear map with the adjoint $\Psi_P^* : L^\infty(X) \rightarrow l^\infty$ $\forall g \in L^\infty(X)$ is defined by

$$\Psi_P^*(g) = \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A_1)} \int_{A_1} f d\mu, \frac{1}{\mu(A_2)} \int_{A_2} f d\mu, \dots, \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} f d\mu, \dots \right).$$

Proposition 3.3. *The bounded linear map $G_P : L^1(X) \rightarrow L^1(X)$ defined by $G_P = \Psi_P \Phi_P$ is a doubly stochastic operator, and accordingly a semi-doubly stochastic.*

Proof. Using the above considerations, we have

$$\forall f \in L^1(X), \quad G_P(f) = \Psi_P(\Phi_P(f)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} f d\mu \right) \chi_{A_n},$$

and

$$\forall g \in L^\infty(X), \quad G_P^*(g) = \Psi_P^*(\Phi_P^*(g)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} g d\mu \right) \chi_{A_n}.$$

Clearly G_P is a positive operator. For $f \in L^1(X)$, using monotone convergence Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x G_P(f) d\mu &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} f d\mu \right) \int_X \chi_{A_n} d\mu \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n} f d\mu = \int_X f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

If $g \in L^\infty(X) \cap L^1(X)$,

$$\int_x |G_P^*(g)| d\mu \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} g d\mu \right) \int_X \chi_{A_n} d\mu \leq \int_X |g| d\mu < \infty$$

i.e. $G_P^*(g) \in L^1(X)$. Similarly,

$$\int_X G_P^*(g) d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n} g d\mu = \int_X g d\mu.$$

By definition $G_P \in \mathcal{D}(L^1(X))$, and accordingly $G_P \in \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))$. \square

The previous proposition is an spacial case of the following theorem when $D := I$. To see why we will use extra assumption on measure space X in general case (Theorem 3.4), first let $D : l^1 \rightarrow l^1$ be a doubly stochastic operator and $\Phi_P : L^1(X) \rightarrow l^1$ and $\Psi_P : l^1 \rightarrow L^1(X)$ are the maps defined in (9) and (10), corresponding to the family of measurable subsets $A = \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of X with $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ and such that $0 < \mu(A_n) < \infty$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $G_D := \Psi_P D \Phi_P$ that for each $f \in L^1(X)$,

$$G_D(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{jn} \int_{A_j} f d\mu \right) \right) \chi_{A_n}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X G_D(f) d\mu &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{jn} \int_{A_j} f d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_j} f d\mu \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{jn} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_j} f d\mu \\ &= \int_X f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

So to prove G_D is a doubly stochastic operator (resp. semi-doubly stochastic), we should obtain the same equality relation (resp. inequality relation) for the map $G_D^* = \Psi_A^* D^* \Phi_A^*$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be σ -finite or non-finite measure space and suppose*

$$\inf\{\mu(A_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots\} = a \neq 0.$$

Then,

- (i) *if $D : l^1 \rightarrow l^1$ is semi-doubly stochastic operator, then the map $G_D : L^1(X) \rightarrow L^1(X)$ defined by $G_D = \Psi_P D \Phi_P$ is a semi-doubly stochastic operator.*
- (ii) *if $D : L^1(X) \rightarrow L^1(X)$ is a semi-doubly stochastic operator then the map $G_D := \Phi_P D \Psi_P : l^1 \rightarrow l^1$ is also semi-doubly stochastic on the sequence space l^1 .*

Proof. (i) For $g \in L^1(X) \cap L^\infty(X)$,

$$G_D^*(g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_{in} \frac{1}{\mu(A_i)} \int_{A_i} g d\mu \right) \chi_{A_n}$$

Therefore, using the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X G_D^*(g) d\mu &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_{in} \frac{1}{\mu(A_i)} \int_{A_i} g d\mu \right) \mu(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_{in} \int_{A_i} g d\mu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{in} \int_{A_i} g d\mu \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_i} g d\mu = \int_X g d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} D e_n &= \Phi_P G \Psi_P(e_n) \\ &= \Phi_P \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} G(\chi_{A_n}) \right) = \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_1} G(\chi_{A_n}) d\mu, \dots, \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} G(\chi_{A_n}) d\mu, \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle D e_n, e_m \rangle &= \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_m} G(\chi_{A_n}) d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_X G(\chi_{A_n}) d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_X \chi_{A_n} d\mu = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle D e_n, e_m \rangle &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_m} G(\chi_{A_n}) d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} G^*(\chi_{A_m}) d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n} G^*(\chi_{A_m}) d\mu = \frac{1}{a} \int_X G^*(\chi_{A_m}) d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \int_X \chi_{A_m} d\mu = \frac{1}{a} \mu(A_m) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2 Equi-Integrability

Bahrami and et.al characterized semi-doubly stochastic operators on $L^1(X)$ when X is σ -finite measure space by using the notion of majorization as following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. [2, Theorem 2.4] *Let (X, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and $S : L^1(X) \rightarrow L^1(X)$ be a positive bounded linear operator. Then for every non-negative integrable function f on X , $Sf \prec f$ if and only if $S \in \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))$.*

In this part we prove that when (X, μ) is a σ -finite measure space, $S_f = \{Sf : S \in \mathcal{SD}\}$ is equi-integrable. And then immediately give us relatively weakly compactness of S_f when (X, μ) is a probability measure.

Definition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1(X)$. \mathcal{F} is said to be equi-integrable if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $\delta > 0$ which for every $E \subset X$ with $\mu(E) < \delta$

$$\int_E |f| d\mu < \epsilon, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

For the proof of equi-integrability of $S_f = \{Sf : S \in \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))\}$ when $f \in L^1(X)$, first we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. Let $f, g \in L^1(X)$ be non negative functions with $f \prec g$ and also let $g \in L^\infty(X)$. Then for each $E \subset X$ with $\mu(E) < \infty$

$$\int_E f d\mu \leq \|g\|_\infty \mu(E)$$

Proof. By definition, for each $s > 0$

$$d_g(s) = \mu(\{x \in X; g(x) > s\}).$$

Therefore for each $s \geq \|g\|_\infty$, $d_g(s) = 0$. On the other since $f \prec g$ for each $s > 0$,

$$\int_s^\infty d_f(\tau) d\tau \leq \int_s^\infty d_g(\tau) d\tau.$$

Hence for each $s \geq \|g\|_\infty$,

$$\int_s^\infty d_f(\tau) d\tau \leq \int_s^\infty d_g(\tau) d\tau = 0$$

and then for each $s \geq \|g\|_\infty$, $d_f(s) = 0$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} d_{f\chi_E}(s) &= \mu(\{x \in X, f(x)\chi_E(x) > s\}) \\ &= \mu(\{x \in E, f(x) > s\}) \\ &\leq \min\{\mu(E), d_f(s)\}, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for each $s \geq \|g\|_\infty$, $d_{f\chi_E}(s) = 0$ and then

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_X f\chi_E d\mu = \int_0^\infty d_{f\chi_E}(s) ds \leq \mu(E) \|g\|_\infty.$$

□

Now, we are ready for the following key theorem.

Theorem 3.8. Let $f \in L^1(X)$ be non negative function. Then S_f is equi-integrable.

Proof. For $\epsilon > 0$, non negative function $g \in L^1(X) \cap L^\infty(X)$ has been selected in such a way that $g \leq f$ and $\|f - g\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Now set $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2\|g\|_\infty}$, then for each measurable subset $E \subset X$ with $\mu(E) < \delta$ and each $S \in \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |Sf| d\mu &\leq \int_E |Sf - Sg| d\mu + \int_E |Sg| d\mu \\ &\leq \int_X |f - g| d\mu + \int_E Sg d\mu \\ &= \|f - g\| + \int_E Sg d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \int_E Sg d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

and since $Sg \prec g$ by using the Lemma 3.7, $\int_E Sg d\mu \leq \|g\|_\infty \mu(E)$ and therefore

$$\int_E |Sf| d\mu \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \|g\|_\infty \mu(E) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \|g\|_\infty \delta < \epsilon.$$

□

For probability space (X, μ) which has many applications in quantum sciences, the following theorem provides lots of significant equivalence conditions for equi-integrability of $S_f = \{Sf : S \in \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))\}$.

Theorem 3.9. [1, Theorem 5.2.9] Let (X, μ) is a probability measure space. \mathcal{F} be a bounded set in $L^1(X)$. Then the following conditions on \mathcal{F} are equivalent.

- (i) \mathcal{F} is relatively weakly compact;
- (ii) \mathcal{F} is equi-integrable;
- (iii) \mathcal{F} does not contain a basic sequence equivalent to the canonical basis of l^1 ;
- (iv) \mathcal{F} does not contain a complemented basic sequence equivalent to the canonical basis of l^1 ;
- (v) for every sequence $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of disjoint measurable sets,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \int_{A_n} |f| d\mu = 0.$$

Without loss of generality Theorem 3.9 holds under the more general assumption that (X, μ) is finite measurable space.

Now we have the following corollary based on Theorem 3.8.

Corollary 3.10. Let $f \in L^1(X)$ be non-negative function where (X, μ) is finite measure space. Then S_f is relatively weakly compact.

Proof. For each $S \in \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))$ and a fixed $f \in L^1(X)$ by definition of $\mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))$ we have

$$\int_X S f d\mu = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Then for each $S \in \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))$ and a fixed $f \in L^1(X)$ we have $\|S f\|_1 = \|f\|_1$ so S_f is bounded. Therefore by using Theorem 3.8 and equivalency of items (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.9, S_f is relatively weakly compact. □

4 Characterization of majorization on $L^1(X)$

The goal of this section is to give a full characterization of majorization, the answer to Mirsky's question, using semi-doubly stochastic operators.

We already recalled Theorem 2.9 as an extension of Theorem 1.1, (1) \iff (2). In this section we want to consider the relation between Sublinear functions and also convex functions with majorization on $L^1(X)$ which gain us the extension of Theorem 1.1, (2) \iff (3). Also we will provide a strong relation between integral operators and semi-doubly stochastic operators, and finally a fully characterization of majorization on $L^1(X)$ when X is σ -finite measure space.

4.1 Sublinear and Convex functions

In matrix space, Dahl proved an equivalent condition for matrix majorization using sublinear functionals (i.e convex and positively homogeneous maps). Moein et.al proved one side extension of Dahl's result as the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. [11, Theorem 3.8] If (X, μ) is σ -finite measure space and $f, g \in L^1(X)$ such that f is matrix majorized by g (i.e there exists a Markov operator M that $f = M g$), then

$$\int_X \varphi(f) d\mu \leq \int_X \varphi(g) d\mu,$$

for all sublinear functionals $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$.

Since $\mathcal{SD}(L^1(X)) \subset \mathcal{M}(L^1(X))$ we simply have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. Let (X, μ) be a σ -finite measure space, $f, g \in L^1(X, \mu)$ and there exists $S \in \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))$ with $f = S g$. Then for all sublinear functionals $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\int_X \varphi(f) d\mu \leq \int_X \varphi(g) d\mu. \tag{11}$$

But for converse of the above corollary we have a counterexample.

Example 4.3. Let $X = [0, \infty)$, μ be Lebesgue measure and $f = 3\chi_{[0,1)} + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{[1,2)}$ and $g = 2\chi_{[0,2)}$. Then neither $f \prec_w g$ nor $g \prec_w f$. Now let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an arbitrary sublinear function then by its convexity and positively homogeneous property we have

$$\varphi(f) = 3\varphi(\chi_{[0,1)}) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\chi_{[1,2)}), \text{ and } \varphi(g) = 2\varphi(\chi_{[0,2)}).$$

And then by linearity of integral we have

$$\int_X \varphi(f) d\mu = 3 \int_0^1 \varphi(1) d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 \varphi(1) d\mu \leq 2 \int_0^2 \varphi(1) d\mu = \int_X \varphi(g) d\mu.$$

Because each sublinear function is convex a natural question can arise, is the converse of Corollary 4.2 true for convex functions? or can we characterize majorization relation in σ -finite measure space with inequality in Corollary 4.2 based on convex functions? Chong answered this question positively for weak majorization but with a restriction for convex functions, convex functions have to be increasing.

Theorem 4.4. [3, Theorem 2.1] Let (X, μ) be infinite measure space and $f, g \in L^1(X)$ be non-negative. Then $f \prec_w g$ i.e

$$\int_0^s f^\downarrow dm \leq \int_0^s g^\downarrow dm, \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq \infty$$

if and only if for all non-negative increasing convex functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\phi(0) = 0$,

$$\int_X \phi(f) d\mu \leq \int_X \phi(g) d\mu.$$

4.2 Semi-doubly Stochastic and Integral Operators

Stochastic (respectively doubly stochastic) integral operators as special classes of linear operators which are defined as follows are Markov (respectively doubly stochastic) operators.

Definition 4.5. [11] A measurable function $K : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called stochastic kernel if $\int_X K(x, y) d\mu(x) = 1$ for almost all $y \in Y$, and is called a doubly stochastic kernel if stochastic kernel has the additional property that $\int_Y K(x, y) d\nu(y) = 1$ for almost all $x \in X$.

Definition 4.6. [11] An integral operator $A : L^1(Y) \rightarrow L^1(X)$ defined by $Ag = \int_Y K(x, y) g(y) d\nu(y)$ is said to be a stochastic integral operator (resp. doubly stochastic integral operator) if $K(x, y)$ is stochastic kernel (resp. doubly stochastic kernel).

Each stochastic integral operator is a Markov operator (stochastic operator), and also each doubly stochastic integral operator is a doubly stochastic operator. But, simply by considering the identity operator which is a doubly stochastic operator, it is clear that the converse of both statements is false. In spite of that in [11] is proven that a Markov (resp. doubly stochastic) operator $D : L^1(Y) \rightarrow L^1(X)$ on a finite dimensional subspace F of $L^1(Y)$ can be approximated by stochastic (resp. doubly stochastic) integral operators when (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be a σ -finite (resp. finite) measure spaces.

Theorem 4.7. If (X, μ) and (Y, ν) are finite measure spaces, then D as a doubly stochastic operator from $L^1(Y)$ to $L^1(X)$ on a finite subspace F of $L^1(Y)$ can be approximated by doubly stochastic integral operators.

Proof. See Theorem 3.7 in [11]. □

By using this fact from [2, Proposition 2.6] that for the finite measure space (X, μ) the set of semi-doubly stochastic operators and the set of doubly stochastic operator coincide, a natural question which is our first aim in this section arises. Can we extend the Theorem 4.7 to σ -finite measure space? For this purpose, we need a class of integral operators between stochastic integral operators and doubly stochastic integral operators.

Definition 4.8. A measurable functional $K : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called semi-doubly stochastic kernel if $\int_X K(x, y) d\mu(x) = 1$ for almost all $y \in Y$, and $\int_Y K(x, y) d\nu(y) \leq 1$ for almost all $x \in X$.

Definition 4.9. An integral operator $A : L^1(Y) \rightarrow L^1(X)$ defined by $Ag = \int_Y K(x, y)g(y)d\nu(y)$ is said to be a semi-doubly stochastic integral operator if $K(x, y)$ is semi-doubly stochastic kernel.

Lemma 4.10. [11, Lemma 3.5] Let (X, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and let F be a finite dimensional subspace of $L^1(X)$. Then there exists a sequence of partitions $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of X into disjoint sets of finite measure such that $\{G_{P_n}f\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to f in the L^1 norm for all $f \in F$.

Theorem 4.11. Let (X, μ) be σ -finite measure space, and $S : L^1(X) \rightarrow L^1(X)$ be a semi-doubly stochastic operator. Then there exists a sequence of semi-doubly stochastic integral operators on $L^1(X)$ which converge to S on a finite dimensional subspace F of $L^1(X)$.

Proof. (The proof is combination the results of [2] and [11] but after modification in terms of semi-doubly stochastic). From the Proposition 3.3, we have

$$G_P(f) = \Psi_P(\Phi_P(f)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} f d\mu \right) \chi_{A_n}, \quad \forall f \in L^1(X),$$

is a semi-doubly stochastic operator on $L^1(X)$. Since the composite of two semi-doubly stochastic operators is semi-doubly stochastic operator, $G_P S$ is a semi-doubly stochastic operator on $L^1(X)$, we will show that it is a semi-doubly stochastic integral operator. Since F is a finite dimensional subspace of $L^1(X)$, then the forward image $S(F)$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $L^1(X)$ as well. And then the result follows from Lemma 4.10.

Fix $x \in X$, then there exists a unique $A_k \in P$ such that $x \in A_k$. The boundedness follows from

$$\begin{aligned} |(G_P S(f))(x)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\mu(A_k)} \int_{A_k} (Sf(t)) d\mu(t) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(A_k)} \int_{A_k} |(Sf)(t)| d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(A_k)} \int_X |(Sf)(t)| d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(A_k)} \int_X |f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(A_k)} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by using the Riesz representation theorem, there exist $h_x \in L^\infty(X)$ which is a nonnegative function and $(G_P S(f))(x) = \int_X f(y) h_x(y) d\mu$. Now let $K_P(x, y) = h_x(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then

$$(G_P S f)(x) = \int_X K_P(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y) \quad (12)$$

Since $G_P S$ is a semi-doubly stochastic operator then it preserves the integral

$$\int_X ((G_P S) f) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu \quad \forall f \in L^1(Y)$$

and by using Fubini's Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X f(x) d\mu(x) &= \int_X ((G_P S) f)(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X \int_X K_P(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X f(y) \left(\int_X K_P(x, y) d\mu(x) \right) d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\int_X K_P(x, y) d\mu(x) = 1$ for almost all $y \in X$.

Now suppose $\{B_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an increasing sequence of measurable sets such that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$\mu(B_n) < \infty$. But because of equality of dual pairing as follows

$$\langle G_P S \chi_{B_n}, \chi_A \rangle = \langle \chi_{B_n}, ((G_P S)^* \chi_A) \rangle,$$

we have

$$\int_X (G_P S \chi_{B_n}) \chi_A d\mu(x) = \int_X \chi_{B_n} ((G_P S)^* \chi_A) d\mu(x) \rightarrow \int_X (G_P S)^* \chi_A d\mu(x).$$

And by using equation (12) for $f = \chi_{B_n}$ for each n we have

$$\int_X (G_P S \chi_{B_n}) \chi_A d\mu(x) = \int_X \int_X K_P(x, y) \chi_{B_n}(y) \chi_A(x) d\mu(y) d\mu(x)$$

$$= \mu(A) \int_{B_n} K_P(x, y) d\mu(y).$$

Then if $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\int_X (G_P S)^* \chi_A d\mu(y) = \mu(A) \int_X K_P(x, y) d\mu(y).$$

Since $G_P S$ is semi-doubly stochastic then according to its definition for every measurable subset A with finite measure we have

$$\int_X (G_P S)^* \chi_A d\mu \leq \mu(A).$$

Then

$$\mu(A) \int_X K_P(x, y) d\mu(y) \leq \mu(A),$$

therefore $\int_X K_P(x, y) d\mu(y) \leq 1$ for almost all $x \in X$ and hence $G_P S$ is a semi-doubly stochastic integral operator. \square

The following theorem is a combination of two well-known results in finite measurable space by Chong and Day.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $f, g \in L^1(X, \mu)$ and $\mu(X) < \infty$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- a. $f \prec g$.
- b. For all convex functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_X \varphi(f) d\mu \leq \int_Y \varphi(g) d\mu.$$

- c. There exists a doubly stochastic operator D on $L^1(X)$ such that $f = Dg$.

Proof. The equivalence of (a) and (b) is proved by Chong [3, Theorem 2.5] and the equivalence of (a) and (c) is proved by Day [4, Theorem 4.9]. \square

Now we can summarize all equivalent conditions for majorization relation in case of σ -finite measure space. Theorem 4.13 as an extension of Day-Chong's result i.e Theorem 4.12 or Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya's result to σ -finite measure space asserts strong relation between majorization based on decreasing rearrangement, semi-doubly stochastic operators, semi-doubly stochastic integral operators and convex functions integral inequality. Also we should mention, there is an open problem in [8] (the converse of corollary II.13) which the following theorem can solve it by using counting measure on \mathbb{N} .

Theorem 4.13. *Let (X, μ) be σ -finite measure space and non-negative $f, g \in L^1(X, \mu)$. Then the followings are equivalent:*

- 1. $g \prec f$.
- 2. There exist a sequence $(S_k)_{n=1}^\infty$ of semi-doubly stochastic operators on L^1 such that $S_k f \rightarrow g$ in $L^1(X)$.
- 3. There exist a sequence $(I_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of semi-doubly stochastic integral operators on L^1 such that $I_n f \rightarrow g$ in $L^1(X)$.
- 4. $\int_X \phi(g) d\mu \leq \int_X \phi(f) d\mu$ for all increasing convex function ϕ from \mathbb{R}^+ to \mathbb{R}^+ such that $\phi(0) = 0$, and $\int_X g d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$.
- 5. $\int_X (g - u)^+ d\mu \leq \int_X (f - u)^+ d\mu$ for each positive real number u , and $\int_X g d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$.

Proof. (1), (2) and (4) are equivalent by Theorems 2.9 and 4.4.

(2) implies (3): Since there exists a sequence of semi-doubly stochastic operators $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ which $S_k g \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(X)$ by using Theorem 4.11, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, S_k as a semi-doubly stochastic operator on a finite dimensional subspace $F = \text{span}\{g\}$ of $L^1(X)$ can be approximated by semi-doubly stochastic integral operators means that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence of semi-doubly stochastic integral operators $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ on $L^1(X)$ which converge to S_k on F . Then we can say there exists a sequence of semi-doubly stochastic integral operators I_n which $I_n g \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(x)$.
(3) implies (2): we show that each semi-doubly stochastic integral operator is a semi-doubly stochastic operator.

Let $A : L^1(X) \rightarrow L^1(X)$ be a semi-doubly stochastic integral operator. Then by definition for each $f \in L^1(X)$ defined by a semi-doubly kernel $K(x, y)$ as follows

$$Ag = \int_X K(x, y)g(y)d\mu(y)$$

we have to show that $A \in \mathcal{SD}(L^1(X))$ i.e. for all $f \in L^1(X)$ and for each $E \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(E) < \infty$,

$$\int_X Af d\mu = \int_X f d\mu, \quad (13)$$

and also

$$\int_X A^* \chi_E d\mu \leq \mu(E). \quad (14)$$

(13) is simply obtained from this fact that $\int_X K(x, y)d\mu(x) = 1$ for almost all $y \in X$. Also (14) is obtained from this fact that for every measurable subset E with finite measure

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (A\chi_{B_n})\chi_E d\mu(x) = \int_X \chi_{B_n}(A^*\chi_E)d\mu(x) = \int_X A^*\chi_E d\mu(x),$$

and since

$$A\chi_{B_n} = \int_X K(x, y)\chi_{B_n}d\mu(y)$$

by using this fact that $\int_Y K(x, y)d\nu(y) \leq 1$ for almost all $x \in X$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain (14).

(4) implies (5) simply by choosing convex function ϕ given by $\phi(g) = \max\{g - u, 0\} = (g - u)^+$ for each $g \in L^1(X)$. Finally by using Theorems 2.4 and 2.3, (5) implies (1). \square

Remark 4.14. As you can see in Definition 2.2, non-negative property for functions in $L^1(X)$ is a necessary condition for defining majorization based on decreasing rearrangement. But Theorem 4.13 allows us to extend the definition of majorization to arbitrary functions which are not necessarily non-negative.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Department of Mathematical Sciences at the Isfahan University of Technology, Iran.

References

- [1] Albiac, F., Kalton, N.J.: Topics in Banach Space Theory. Springer (2006)
- [2] Bahrami, F., Manjegani, S.M., Moein, S.: Semi-doubly stochastic operators and majorization of integrable functions. Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society 44(2), 693–703 (2021)
- [3] Chong, K.M.: Some extensions of a theorem of hardy, littlewood, and polya and their applications. Canadian Journal of Mathematics 26, 1321–1340 (1974)
- [4] Day, P.W.: Decreasing rearrangements and doubly stochastic operators. American Mathematical Society 178, 383–392 (1973)
- [5] Kaftal, V., WeissSchur, G.: An infinite dimensional schur-horn theorem and majorization theory. Journal of Functional Analysis 12, 3115–3162 (2010)
- [6] Lasota, A., Mackey, M.C.: Chaos, Fractals, and Noise: Stochastic Aspects of Dynamics vol. 2. Springer (1994)
- [7] Ljubenovic, M.Z., Rakic, D.S.: Submajorization on $l^p(i)^+$ determined by proper doubly substochastic operators and its linear preservers. Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis 15 (2021)
- [8] Manjegani, S.M., Moein, S.: Quasi doubly stochastic operator on l_1 and Nielsen's theorem. Journal of Mathematical Physics 60, 103508 (2019)
- [9] Marshall, A.W., Olkin, I., Arnold, B.C.: Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications, Springer Series in Statistics. Springer (1979)
- [10] Mirsky, L.: Results and problems in the theory of doubly-stochastic matrices. Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete 1, 319–334 (1963)

- [11] Moein, S., Pereira, R., Plosker, S.: A simplified and unified generalization of some majorization results. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 478, 1049–1058 (2019)
- [12] Owari, M., Braunstein, S.L., Nemoto, K., Murao, M.: ϵ -convertibility of entangled states and extension of Schmidt rank in infinite-dimensional systems. *Quantum Information and Computation* 8, 0030–0052 (2008)
- [13] R. Pereira, S.P.: Extending a characterization of majorization to infinite dimensions. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 468, 80–86 (2015)
- [14] Ryff, J.V.: On the representation of doubly stochastic operators. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 13, 1379–1386 (1963)