

ON THE REGULARITY AND EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF DEGENERATE SINGULAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEM

PRASHANTA GARAIN

ABSTRACT. In this article, we consider a class of degenerate singular problems. The degeneracy is captured by the presence of a class of p -admissible weights, which may vanish or blow up near the origin. Further, the singularity is allowed to vary inside the domain. We provide sufficient conditions on the weight function, on the singular exponent and the source function to establish regularity and existence results.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we consider the following class of degenerate singular elliptic problem

$$(1.1) \quad -\operatorname{div}(A(x, \nabla u)) = f(x)u^{-\gamma(x)} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded smooth domain with $N \geq 2$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\gamma \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ is a positive function and $f \in L^m(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is nonnegative, for some $m \geq 1$ to be made precise later on. Here $A := A(x, \xi) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, which is measurable in x for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and continuous in ξ for almost every $x \in \Omega$. Further, for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the function A satisfy the following four hypothesis:

- (H₁) $|A(x, \xi)| \leq \beta w(x)|\xi|^{p-1}$ for some constant $\beta > 0$,
- (H₂) $A(x, \xi)\xi \geq \alpha w(x)|\xi|^p$ for some constant $\alpha > 0$,
- (H₃) $\langle A(x, \xi_1) - A(x, \xi_2), \xi_1 - \xi_2 \rangle > 0$ for every $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ and
- (H₄) $A(x, \lambda\xi) = \lambda|\lambda|^{p-2}A(x, \xi)$ whenever $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

We will assume that the weight function w belong to a class of p -admissible weights, which may vanish or blow up near the origin (for example, $w(x) = |x|^\nu$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$) to be discussed below. The degeneracy is captured by such behavior of the weights.

We observe that equation (1.1) extends the following weighted anisotropic singular problem

$$(1.2) \quad -\operatorname{div}(w(x)H(\nabla u)^{p-1}\nabla H(\nabla u)) = f(x)u^{-\gamma(x)} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

which can be formed by setting

$$(1.3) \quad A(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{p}w(x)\nabla F(\xi),$$

in (1.1), see [37]. Here $1 < p < \infty$, $F = H^p$ is strictly convex, for a given Finsler-Minkowski norm $H : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ i.e. $H : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ and strictly convex such that $H(\xi) = 0$ iff $\xi = 0$, $H(t\xi) = |t|H(\xi)$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_1|\xi| \leq H(\xi) \leq c_2|\xi|$ for some positive constants c_1, c_2 for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. We refer the reader to [10, 11, 16] and the references therein for more details on H . When $H(\xi) = |\xi|$, equation (1.2) reduces to the following weighted singular p -Laplace equation

$$(1.4) \quad -\Delta_{p,w}u = f(x)u^{-\gamma(x)} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J75, 35J92, 35J70, 35D30.

Key words and phrases. Singular elliptic problem, variable exponent, p -admissible weights, existence, weighted p -Laplace equation.

where

$$\Delta_{p,w}u := \operatorname{div}(w(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u), \quad 1 < p < \infty$$

is the weighted p -Laplace operator. We observe that $\Delta_{p,w}$ reduces to the p -Laplace operator Δ_p when $w \equiv 1$. Therefore, equation (1.1) covers a wide range of singular problems.

Here singularity refers to the blow up property of the nonlinearity in the right hand side of (1.1), which occur due to the exponent γ . Elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities has been studied thoroughly over the last three decade in both the linear and nonlinear setting and there is a colossal amount of literature available in this direction, but most of them are restricted to bounded weight functions w and with constant singular exponent γ . In this article, we consider the case when the weight function w may vanish or blow up near the origin (for example, $w(x) = |x|^\nu$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$) and simultaneously γ is allowed to vary inside the domain Ω .

Let us discuss some known results for singular problems with bounded weight functions w and constant singular exponent γ . For $p = 2$, authors in [21] established existence of a unique classical solution for the singular Laplace equation

$$(1.5) \quad -\Delta u = u^{-\gamma} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

for any $\gamma > 0$. Later it has been observed in [41] such a solution belong to $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ if and only if $\gamma < 3$. Authors in [14] removed this restriction of γ to find weak solutions in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of the problem (1.5) for any $\gamma > 0$ by the approximation method. The associated singular p -Laplace equation of (1.5) is studied in [17, 23] and the references therein. In the perturbed case, for a certain range of λ and q , the equation

$$(1.6) \quad -\Delta u = \lambda u^{-\gamma} + u^q \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

possesses multiple weak solutions for any $0 < \gamma < 1$ as shown in [4, 35, 38], whereas for any $\gamma > 0$, existence of one weak solution is proved in [12], for multiplicity result in this concern, see [5]. For multiplicity result of the p -Laplace analogue of (1.6), see [32] for $0 < \gamma < 1$ and [6] for $\gamma \geq 1$. For study of singular measure data problems, we refer to [44] and the references therein.

When γ is a variable, authors in [18] provided sufficient conditions on γ to establish existence of weak solutions for the problem (1.5). Such phenomenon has been extended by many authors to the variants of p -Laplace equations, see [2, 3, 9, 15, 19, 31, 43, 45, 48] and the references therein. We would like to point out that for singular problems, when $\gamma > 1$, in general the solution u does not belong to $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. This is compensated by a suitable power $\theta \geq 1$ so that $u^\theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, which is referred to as the Dirichlet boundary condition $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ (for example, see [14, 17]).

It is worth mentioning that weighted singular problems are very less understood, when the weight function w vanish or blow up near the origin even in the linear case $p = 2$ in (1.4). In such situation, equation (1.4) becomes degenerate that is captured by the weight function w , one can refer to [24, 33, 34, 37] for a wide range of investigation of weighted problems with non-singular nonlinearities. Recently, authors in [7, 27, 30] studied the following type of weighted singular problems

$$(1.7) \quad -\Delta_{p,w}u = g(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

to deal with the question of existence for various type of singular nonlinearity g , where the weight w belong to a class of Muckenhoupt weights. Recently, singular problems for more general p -admissible weights has been studied in [8, 28, 29, 36].

In this article, we provide sufficient conditions on the weight function w , the variable singular exponent γ and on the nonlinearity f to ensure existence of weak solutions of (1.1). Further, for a wider class of nonlinearity f , we establish existence and regularity results assuming the singular exponent γ is a positive constant.

To prove our main results, we follow the approximation approach developed in [14], although we face several difficulties due to the weighted nonlinear structure. Some major difficulties in the weighted case are that suitable embedding results, regularity results are not readily available. We found a class of p -admissible weights W_p^s defined in Section 2 to be useful, for which the corresponding theory of the weighted Sobolev space is well-developed, see [24, 37]. This class of weights allows us to shift from the weighted Sobolev space to a unweighted Sobolev space with a different Sobolev exponent (see Lemma 2.2), which is useful to obtain several a priori estimates. Moreover, we observe that when $f = w$ our results hold for the whole class of p -admissible weights W_p to be defined in Section 2. As in [36], we prove existence of the approximate solutions by a direct implementation of the Minty-Browder Theorem from [20]. Moreover, due to the nonlinear structure of our operator, in contrast to [14], a priori estimates on the approximate solutions are not enough to pass to the limit, see [17], which required a gradient convergence theorem in the unweighted setting, see [13, 22, 40]. Here we apply gradient convergence theorem established for the weighted case in [42], see also [47]. Further, we use the technique from [18] to deal with variable singularity.

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we present the functional setting and state our main results. In Section 3, we establish some preliminary results and finally, in Section 4, we prove our main results.

2. FUNCTIONAL SETTING AND MAIN RESULTS

Throughout the rest of the article, we assume $1 < p < \infty$, unless otherwise mentioned. We say that a function w belong to the class of p -admissible weights W_p , if $w \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 < w < \infty$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^N and satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) for any ball B in \mathbb{R}^N , there exists a positive constant C_μ such that

$$\mu(2B) \leq C_\mu \mu(B),$$

where

$$\mu(E) = \int_E w \, dx$$

for a measurable subset E in \mathbb{R}^N and $d\mu(x) = w(x) \, dx$, where dx is the N -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

- (ii) If D is an open set and $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^\infty(D)$ is a sequence of functions such that

$$\int_D |\phi_i|^p \, d\mu \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \int_D |\nabla \phi_i - v|^p \, d\mu \rightarrow 0$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$, where v is a vector valued measurable function in $L^p(D, w)$, then $v = 0$.

- (iii) There exist constants $\kappa > 1$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |\phi|^{\kappa p} \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa p}} \leq C_1 r \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |\nabla \phi|^p \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

whenever $B = B(x_0, r)$ is a ball in \mathbb{R}^N centered at x_0 with radius r and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B)$.

- (iv) There exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \int_B |\phi - \phi_B|^p \, d\mu \leq C_2 r^p \int_B |\nabla \phi|^p \, d\mu,$$

whenever $B = B(x_0, r)$ is a ball in \mathbb{R}^N and $\phi \in C^\infty(B)$ is bounded. Here

$$\phi_B = \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B \phi \, d\mu.$$

The conditions (i)-(iv) are important in the theory of weighted Sobolev spaces, one can refer to [37] for more details.

Examples:

- Muckenhoupt weights A_p are p -admissible, see [37, Theorem 15.21].
- Let $1 < p < N$ and $J_f(x)$ denote the determinant of the Jacobian matrix of a K -quasiconformal mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, then $w(x) = J_f(x)^{1-\frac{p}{N}} \in W_q$ for any $q \geq p$, see [37, Corollary 15.34].
- If $1 < p < \infty$ and $\nu > -N$, then $w(x) = |x|^\nu \in W_p$, see [37, Corollary 15.35].

For more examples, refer to [1, 25, 26, 37, 39] and the references therein.

Definition 2.1. (*Weighted Spaces*) Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in W_p$. Then the weighted Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega, w)$ is the class of measurable functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the norm of u given by

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega, w)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

The weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is the class of measurable functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \|u\|_{1,p,w} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p w(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

If $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega', w)$ for every $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$, then we say that $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. The weighted Sobolev space with zero boundary value is defined as

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) = \overline{(C_c^\infty(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{1,p,w})}.$$

Using the Poincaré inequality from [37], the norm defined by (2.4) on the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is equivalent to the norm given by

$$(2.5) \quad \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p w dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Moreover, the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is a separable and uniformly convex Banach space, see [37].

We provide existence results for the following subclass of p -admissible weights given by W_p^s , see Theorem 2.5. Moreover, we establish existence results for the whole class of p -admissible weights $w \in W_p$, in Theorem 2.6 for $f = w$ in Ω . Let us remark that the class W_p^s is introduced in [24] to study nonsingular weighted p -Laplace equations, where such class are crucial, for example to obtain boundedness estimates, which allows us to deal with the case $\gamma > 1$ in Theorem 2.5. To this end, below we state an embedding result. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and define the set

$$(2.6) \quad I = \left[\frac{1}{p-1}, \infty \right) \cap \left(\frac{N}{p}, \infty \right).$$

Consider the following subclass of W_p given by

$$(2.7) \quad W_p^s = \left\{ w \in W_p : w^{-s} \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ for some } s \in I \right\}.$$

Let $s \in I$, then we observe that

$$w(x) = |x|^\nu \in W_p^s \text{ for any } \nu \in \left(-N, \frac{N}{s} \right).$$

The following embedding result follows from [24].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in W_p^s$ for some $s \in I$. Then the following continuous inclusion maps hold*

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p_s}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \begin{cases} L^t(\Omega), & \text{for } p_s \leq t \leq p_s^*, \text{ if } 1 \leq p_s < N, \\ L^t(\Omega), & \text{for } 1 \leq t < \infty, \text{ if } p_s = N, \\ C(\overline{\Omega}), & \text{if } p_s > N, \end{cases}$$

where $p_s = \frac{ps}{s+1} \in [1, p)$. Moreover, the second embedding above is compact except for $t = p_s^* = \frac{Np_s}{N-p_s}$, if $1 \leq p_s < N$. Further, the same result holds for the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.

Remark 2.3. *We note that if $0 < c \leq w \leq d$ for some constants c, d , then $W^{1,p}(\Omega, w) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and by the Sobolev embedding, Lemma 2.2 holds by replacing p_s and p_s^* with p and $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ respectively.*

Before stating our main results, let us define the notion of weak solutions in our setting.

Definition 2.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in W_p$, $f \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is nonnegative and $\gamma \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ is positive. Then we say that $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is a weak solution of (1.1) if $u > 0$ in Ω such that for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$ there exists a positive constant $c(\omega)$ satisfying $u \geq c(\omega) > 0$ in ω and for every $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$, one has*

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u) \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) u^{-\gamma(x)} \phi \, dx,$$

where $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ is defined in the sense that for some $\theta \geq 1$, one has $u^\theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.

Also, to deal with the variable exponent γ , we define a δ -neighbourhood of Ω by

$$(2.9) \quad \Omega_\delta := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta\}, \quad \delta > 0.$$

2.1. Statement of the main results: First, we state the following existence results concerning both variable and constant singular exponent. We remark that, for constant singular exponent γ , the assumptions on f can be relaxed compared to the variable exponent as stated in the following result.

Theorem 2.5. *(Existence) Let $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in W_p^s$ for some $s \in I$ and $\gamma \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ be positive.*

- (a) *Suppose there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < \gamma(x) \leq 1$ for every $x \in \Omega_\delta$, then the problem (1.1) admits a weak solution in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, provided $f \in L^m(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is nonnegative, where*

$$(2.10) \quad m = \begin{cases} (p_s^*)', & \text{if } 1 \leq p_s < N, \text{ or} \\ > 1 & \text{if } p_s = N, \text{ or} \\ 1 & \text{if } p_s > N. \end{cases}$$

- (b) *Suppose $\gamma(x) \equiv \gamma \in (0, 1)$ is a positive constant, then the problem (1.1) admits a weak solution in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, provided $f \in L^m(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is nonnegative, where*

$$(2.11) \quad m = \begin{cases} (\frac{p_s^*}{1-\gamma})', & \text{if } 1 \leq p_s < N, \text{ or} \\ > 1 & \text{if } p_s = N, \text{ or} \\ 1 & \text{if } p_s > N. \end{cases}$$

- (c) *Suppose $\gamma(x) \equiv 1$, then for any nonnegative $f \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, the problem (1.1) admits a weak solution in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.*

- (d) Suppose there exists $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma^* > 1$ such that $\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\delta)} \leq \gamma^*$, then the problem (1.1) admits a weak solution $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ such that $v^{\frac{\gamma^*+p-1}{p}} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, provided $f \in L^m(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is nonnegative, where

$$(2.12) \quad m = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{(\gamma^*+p-1)p_s^*}{p\gamma^*} \right)', & \text{if } 1 \leq p_s < N, \text{ or} \\ \left(\frac{(\gamma^*+p-1)l}{p\gamma^*} \right)' & \text{if } \frac{p\gamma^*}{\gamma^*+p-1} < l < \infty \text{ and } p_s = N, \text{ or} \\ 1 & \text{if } p_s > N. \end{cases}$$

- (e) Suppose $\gamma(x) \equiv \gamma > 1$ is a positive constant, then for any nonnegative $f \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, the problem (1.1) admits a weak solution $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ such that $v^{\frac{\gamma+p-1}{p}} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.

Moreover, we have the following existence result for any $w \in W_p$ when $f = w$ in Ω .

Theorem 2.6. (Existence) Let $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in W_p$ and $f = w$ in Ω . Assume that $\gamma \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ is positive. Suppose there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < \gamma(x) \leq 1$ for every $x \in \Omega_\delta$, then the problem (1.1) admits a weak solution in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.

Further, we have the following regularity results when $\gamma(x) \equiv \gamma$ is a positive constant. Taking into account Lemma 3.2, the proof of Theorem 2.7, 2.8 and 2.9 stated below follows along the lines of the proof of Theorem 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7 respectively in [27].

Theorem 2.7. (Regularity) Let $\gamma(x) \equiv \gamma \in (0, 1)$, then the solution v given by Theorem 2.5-(b) satisfy the following properties:

- (a) For $1 \leq p_s < N$,
 (i) if $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ for some $m \in [(\frac{p_s^*}{1-\gamma})', \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^*-p})$, then $v \in L^t(\Omega)$, $t = p_s^* \delta$ where $\delta = \frac{(\gamma+p-1)m'}{(pm'-p_s^*)}$.
 (ii) if $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ for some $m > \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^*-p}$, then $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.
 (b) Let $p_s = N$ and assume $q > p$. Then if $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ for some $m \in ((\frac{q}{1-\delta})', \frac{q}{q-p})$, we have $v \in L^t(\Omega)$, $t = p\delta$ where $\delta = \frac{pm'}{pm'-q}$.
 (c) For $p_s > N$ and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, we have $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.8. (Regularity) Let $\gamma(x) \equiv 1$, then the solution v given by Theorem 2.5-(c) satisfy the following properties:

- (a) For $1 \leq p_s < N$,
 (i) if $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ for some $m \in (1, \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^*-p})$, then $v \in L^t(\Omega)$, $t = p_s^* \delta$, where $\delta = \frac{pm'}{(pm'-p_s^*)}$.
 (ii) if $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ for some $m > \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^*-p}$, then $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.
 (b) Let $p_s = N$ and $q > p$. Then if $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ for some $m \in (1, \frac{q}{q-p})$, we have $v \in L^t(\Omega)$, $t = q\gamma$, where $\gamma = \frac{pm'}{pm'-q}$.
 (c) For $p_s > N$ and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, we have $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.9. (Regularity) Let $\gamma(x) \equiv \gamma > 1$, then the solution v given by Theorem 2.5-(e) satisfies the following properties:

- (a) For $1 \leq p_s < N$,
 (i) if $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ for some $m \in (1, \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^*-p})$, then $v \in L^t(\Omega)$ where $t = p_s^* \delta$, where $\delta = \frac{(\gamma+p-1)m'}{pm'-p_s^*}$.

- (ii) if $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ some $m > \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^* - p}$, then $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.
- (b) Let $p_s = N$ and assume $q > p$. Then if $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ for some $m \in (1, \frac{q}{q-p})$, we have $v \in L^t(\Omega)$, $t = q\delta$, where $\delta = \frac{(\gamma+p-1)m'}{pm'-q}$.
- (c) For $p_s > N$ and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, we have $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Remark 2.10. If $c \leq w \leq d$ for some positive constant c, d , then taking into account Remark 2.3 and arguing similarly, it follows that all the above existence and regularity results will be valid by replacing p_s and p_s^* with p and p^* respectively.

Notation: For $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, denote by $\|u\|$ to mean the norm $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)}$ as defined by (2.5). For given constants c, d , a set S and a function u , by $c \leq u \leq d$ in S , we mean $c \leq u \leq d$ almost everywhere in S . Moreover, we write $|S|$ to denote the Lebesgue measure of S . The symbol $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^N . The conjugate exponent of $\theta > 1$ is denoted by $\theta' = \frac{\theta}{\theta-1}$. We denote by $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ if $1 < p < N$ and $p_s^* = \frac{Np_s}{N-p_s}$ if $1 \leq p_s < N$. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $a^+ := \max\{a, 0\}$, $a^- = \max\{-a, 0\}$. We write by c, C or c_i, C_i for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ to mean a constant which may vary from line to line or even in the same line. The dependencies of the constants are written in parenthesis.

3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In the spirit of Boccardo-Orsina [14], for $1 < p < \infty$, we investigate the following approximate problem

$$(3.1) \quad -\operatorname{div}(A(x, \nabla u)) = f_n(x) \left(u^+ + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $f_n(x) = \min\{f(x), n\}$ for $x \in \Omega$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is a nonnegative function, $\gamma \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ is positive and $w \in W_p$. We obtain existence of solutions for the problem (3.1) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and prove some a priori estimates below to prove our main results. To this end, first we state the following result from [20, Theorem 9.14], which is useful to prove Lemma 3.2 below.

Theorem 3.1. *Let V be a real separable reflexive Banach space and V^* be the dual of V . Suppose that $T : V \rightarrow V^*$ is a coercive and demicontinuous monotone operator. Then T is surjective, i.e., given any $f \in V^*$, there exists $u \in V$ such that $T(u) = f$. If T is strictly monotone, then T is also injective.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose $w \in W_p^s$ for some $s \in I$ or $w = f$ in Ω . Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the problem (3.1) admits a positive weak solution $v_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ such that for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists a positive constant $C(\omega)$ satisfying $v_n \geq C(\omega) > 0$ in ω . Moreover, v_n is unique for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v_{n+1} \geq v_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in Ω . In addition, $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$, if $w \in W_p^s$ for some $s \in I$.*

Proof. We prove the result only by considering the case when $w \in W_p^s$ for some $s \in I$, since if $w = f$ in Ω , the proof is similar. First, we prove the existence of v_n . Let $V = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and V^* be the dual of V . We define $T : V \rightarrow V^*$ by

$$\langle T(v), \phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla v) \nabla \phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \left(v^+ + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \phi \, dx, \quad \forall v, \phi \in V.$$

Note that T is well defined. Indeed, using the Hölder's inequality and hypothesis (H_1) along Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T(v), \phi \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla v) \nabla \phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \left(v^+ + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \phi \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \beta \int_{\Omega} (w^{\frac{1}{p}} |\nabla v|^{p-1}) (w^{\frac{1}{p}} |\nabla \phi|) \, dx + n^{1+\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} \int_{\Omega} |\phi| \, dx \\ &\leq C(\|v\|^{p-1} \|\phi\| + \|\phi\|) \leq C\|\phi\|, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C .

Coercivity: Using (H_2) , Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\langle T(v), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla v) \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \left(v^+ + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} v \, dx \geq \alpha \|v\|^p - C\|v\|.$$

Therefore, since $1 < p < \infty$, T is coercive.

Demicontinuity: Let $v_k \rightarrow v$ in the norm of V as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then $w^{\frac{1}{p}} \nabla v_k \rightarrow w^{\frac{1}{p}} \nabla v$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore up to a subsequence, still denoted by v_k , we have $v_k(x) \rightarrow v(x)$ and $\nabla v_k(x) \rightarrow \nabla v(x)$ pointwise for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Since the function $A(x, \cdot)$ is continuous in the second variable, we have

$$w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p}} A(x, \nabla v_k(x)) \rightarrow w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p}} A(x, \nabla v(x))$$

pointwise for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Now using the growth condition (H_1) and the norm boundedness of v_k , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^{-\frac{1}{p}} A(x, \nabla v_k)\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)}^{p'} &= \int_{\Omega} w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) |A(x, \nabla v_k(x))|^{p'} \, dx \\ &\leq \beta^{p'} \int_{\Omega} w(x) |\nabla v_k(x)|^p \, dx \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C , independent of k . Therefore, upto a subsequence

$$w^{-\frac{1}{p}} A(x, \nabla v_k(x)) \rightharpoonup w^{-\frac{1}{p}} A(x, \nabla v(x))$$

weakly in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ and since the weak limit is independent of the choice of the subsequence, the above weak convergence holds for every k . Now $\phi \in V$ implies that $w^{\frac{1}{p}} \nabla \phi \in L^p(\Omega)$ and therefore by the above weak convergence, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla v_k(x)) \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla v(x)) \nabla \phi \, dx.$$

Moreover, since $v_k(x) \rightarrow v(x)$ pointwise a.e. in Ω and for every $\phi \in V$,

$$\left| f_n \left(v_k^+ + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \phi - f_n \left(v^+ + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \phi \right| \leq n^{1+\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} |\phi|.$$

Note that by Lemma 2.2, $\phi \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then using the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n \left(v_k^+ + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n \left(v^+ + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \phi \, dx, \quad \forall \phi \in V.$$

Therefore, from (3.2) and (3.3), it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle T(v_k), \phi \rangle = \langle T(v), \phi \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in V$$

and hence T is demicontinuous.

Monotonicity of T : Let $v_1, v_2 \in V$, then we observe that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle T(v_1) - T(v_2), v_1 - v_2 \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, \nabla v_1) - A(x, \nabla v_2), \nabla(v_1 - v_2) \rangle dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \left\{ \left(v_1^+ + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} - \left(v_2^+ + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \right\} (v_1 - v_2) dx \end{aligned}$$

Note that by (H_3) , the first integral above is nonnegative. Moreover, it is easy to see that the second integral above is nonpositive. Therefore, T is monotone.

Thus, by Theorem 3.1, T is surjective. Hence for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $v_n \in V$ such that we have

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla v_n) \nabla \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \left(v_n^+ + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \phi dx, \quad \forall \phi \in V.$$

Next, we prove the following properties of v_n .

Boundedness: Proceeding along the lines of the proof of [27, Page 13, Theorem 3.13], it follows that $v_n \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Positivity: Choosing $\phi = \min\{v_n, 0\}$ as a test function in the equation (3.5) we get $v_n \geq 0$ in Ω . Since $f \neq 0$ in Ω , by [37, Theorem 3.59] for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists a positive constant $C = C(\omega, n)$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad v_n \geq C(\omega, n) > 0 \text{ in } \omega.$$

Monotonicity of v_n : From the above step, recalling that $v_n \geq 0$ in Ω and choosing $\phi = (v_n - v_{n+1})^+$ as a test function in (3.5), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, \nabla v_n) - A(x, \nabla v_{n+1}), \nabla(v_n - v_{n+1})^+ \rangle dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ f_n \left(v_n + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} - f_{n+1} \left(v_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \right\} (v_n - v_{n+1})^+ dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} f_{n+1} \left\{ \left(v_n + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} - \left(v_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \right\} (v_n - v_{n+1})^+ dx \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality above follows using $f_n(x) \leq f_{n+1}(x)$ and the positivity of γ . Therefore by (H_3) we obtain $\nabla v_n = \nabla v_{n+1}$ in $\{x \in \Omega : v_n(x) > v_{n+1}(x)\}$. Hence, we have $v_{n+1} \geq v_n$ in Ω . In particular, we get $v_n \geq v_1$ in Ω and thus, by (3.6) for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists a positive constant $C(\omega)$ (independent of n) such that $v_n \geq C(\omega) > 0$ in ω . Uniqueness of v_n follows similarly as monotonicity. This completes the proof. \square

Next, we obtain some a priori estimates for v_n . Before stating them, we define

$$(3.8) \quad \omega_\delta = \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_\delta}, \quad \delta > 0,$$

where $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta\}$ is defined in (2.9).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in W_p^s$ for some $s \in I$. Assume that $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of solutions of (3.1) given by Lemma 3.2.*

- (a) *Suppose there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < \gamma(x) \leq 1$ for every $x \in \Omega_\delta$, then $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, provided $f \in L^m(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is nonnegative, where m is given in (2.10).*
- (b) *If $\gamma(x) \equiv \gamma \in (0, 1)$ is a positive constant, then $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, provided $f \in L^m(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is nonnegative, where m is given in (2.11).*

- (c) If $\gamma(x) \equiv 1$, then $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ for any nonnegative $f \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$.
- (d) Suppose there exists $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma^* > 1$ such that $\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\delta)} \leq \gamma^*$, then the sequences $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{v_n^{\frac{\gamma^*+p-1}{p}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are uniformly bounded in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ respectively, provided $f \in L^m(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is nonnegative, where m is given in (2.12).
- (e) If $\gamma(x) = \gamma > 1$ is a constant, then the sequences $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{v_n^{\frac{\gamma^*+p-1}{p}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are uniformly bounded in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ respectively, for any nonnegative $f \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. We prove the result only for $1 \leq p_s < N$, since the other cases are analogous.

- (a) Choosing $\phi = v_n$ as a test function in the weak formulation of (3.1) and using (H₂), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha \int_{\Omega} w(x) |\nabla v_n|^p dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} f_n \left(v_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{-\gamma(x)} v_n dx \leq \int_{\overline{\Omega_\delta} \cap \{0 < v_n \leq 1\}} f v_n^{1-\gamma(x)} dx \\
 (3.9) \quad &+ \int_{\overline{\Omega_\delta} \cap \{v_n > 1\}} f v_n^{1-\gamma(x)} dx + \int_{\omega_\delta} f \|c(\omega_\delta)^{-\gamma(x)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} v_n dx \\
 &\leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + (1 + \|c(\omega_\delta)^{-\gamma(x)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \int_{\Omega} f v_n dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $0 < \gamma(x) \leq 1$ for every $x \in \Omega_\delta$ and the property $v_n \geq c(\omega_\delta) > 0$ in ω_δ from Lemma 3.2. Since $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ for $m = (p_s^*)'$, using Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.2 in (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha \|v_n\|^p &\leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + (1 + \|c(\omega_\delta)^{-\gamma(x)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \|v_n\|_{L^{p_s^*}(\Omega)} \\
 &\leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + C \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \|v_n\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C , independent of n . Therefore, the sequence $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.

- (b) Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Choosing $\phi = v_n$ as a test function in (3.1), using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \alpha \|v_n\|^p = \int_{\Omega} f_n \left(v_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{-\gamma} v_n dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f v_n^{1-\gamma} dx \leq \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \|v_n\|^{1-\gamma},$$

where $m = \left(\frac{p_s^*}{1-\gamma}\right)'$.

- (c) If $\gamma = 1$, then again choosing $\phi = v_n$ in (3.1) and proceeding along the lines of (3.10), we get $\|v_n\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)}^p \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$.

(c) Note that by Lemma 3.2, we know that $v_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Therefore, choosing $v_n^{\gamma^*}$ as a test function in the weak formulation of (3.1) and using (H_2) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad & \alpha \gamma^* \left(\frac{p}{\gamma^* + p - 1} \right)^p \int_{\Omega} w(x) \left| \nabla v_n^{\frac{\gamma^* + p - 1}{p}} \right|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n \left(v_n + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} v_n^{\gamma^*} dx \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega_\delta \cap \{0 < v_n \leq 1\}} f v_n^{\gamma^* - \gamma(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega_\delta \cap \{v_n > 1\}} f v_n^{\gamma^* - \gamma(x)} dx + \int_{\omega_\delta} f \|c(\omega_\delta)^{-\gamma(x)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} v_n^{\gamma^*} dx \\
 & \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + (1 + \|c(\omega_\delta)^{-\gamma(x)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \int_{\Omega} f v_n^{\gamma^*} dx \\
 & \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + (1 + \|c(\omega_\delta)^{-\gamma(x)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \int_{\Omega} f \left(v_n^{\frac{\gamma^* + p - 1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p\gamma^*}{\gamma^* + p - 1}} dx \\
 & \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + c \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \left\| v_n^{\frac{\gamma^* + p - 1}{p}} \right\|^{\frac{l}{m'}} ,
 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant c , where we have used Lemma 2.2, the hypothesis $\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\delta)} \leq \gamma^*$ along with $v_n \geq c(\omega) > 0$ from Lemma 3.2 and also the fact that $v_n^{\frac{\gamma^* + p - 1}{p}} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, which is true since $v_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Since $p > \frac{l}{m'}$, it follows from (3.11) that $\left\{ v_n^{\frac{\gamma^* + p - 1}{p}} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Using this fact and Hölder's inequality, for any $\omega \in \Omega$, we observe that

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\omega} w v_n^p dx \leq \left(\int_{\omega} w v_n^{\gamma^* + p - 1} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{\gamma^* + p - 1}} \|w\|_{L^1(\omega)}^{\frac{\gamma^* - 1}{\gamma^* + p - 1}} \leq C,$$

for some positive constant C independent of n and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad & \int_{\omega} w \left| \nabla v_n^{\frac{\gamma^* + p - 1}{p}} \right|^p dx = \left(\frac{\gamma^* + p - 1}{p} \right)^p \int_{\omega} w v_n^{\gamma^* - 1} |\nabla v_n|^p dx \\
 & \geq c(\omega)^{\gamma^* - 1} \left(\frac{\gamma^* + p - 1}{p} \right)^p \int_{\omega} w |\nabla v_n|^p dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the last line above, we have again used the fact that $v_n \geq c(\omega) > 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ for some positive constant $c(\omega)$, independent of n . Therefore, the estimates (3.12) and (3.13) yields the uniform boundedness of $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. This completes the proof.

(e) Let $\gamma(x) \equiv \gamma > 1$. Again, note that by Lemma 3.1, we know that $v_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and as in (d) above, choosing v_n^γ as a test function in (3.1) and using (H_3) , we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \alpha \gamma \left(\frac{p}{\gamma + p - 1} \right)^p \int_{\Omega} w(x) \left| \nabla v_n^{\frac{\gamma + p - 1}{p}} \right|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n \left(v_n + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma} v_n^\gamma dx \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

Thus, proceeding similarly as in (c) above, the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in W_p$ and $f = w$ in Ω . Suppose $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of solutions of (3.1) given by Lemma 3.2. If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < \gamma(x) \leq 1$ for every $x \in \Omega_\delta$, then $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.*

Proof. Choosing $\phi = v_n$ as a test function in the weak formulation of (3.1) and proceeding along the lines of the proof of (a) in Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(3.15) \quad \alpha \|v_n\|^p \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + (1 + \|c(\omega_\delta)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{-\gamma(x)}) \int_{\Omega} f v_n dx \leq \|w\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + c \|w\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|v_n\|,$$

for some positive constant c . Since $1 < p < \infty$, the result follows. \square

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 2.5:

(a) Let $1 \leq p_s < N$ and $f \in L^m(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ be nonnegative, where $m = (p_s^*)'$. Then, by Lemma 3.2, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $v_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla v_n) \nabla \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n \left(v_n + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \phi dx, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^1(\Omega).$$

By Lemma 3.3-(a), we have $\|v_n\| \leq C$, for some positive constant C , independent of n . Using this fact and taking into account the monotonicity property $v_{n+1} \geq v_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ from Lemma 3.2, there exists $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ such that $0 < v_n \leq v$ a.e. in Ω and up to a subsequence $v_n \rightarrow v$ pointwise a.e. in Ω and weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.2, for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists a positive constant $c(\omega)$, independent of n such that $v \geq v_n \geq c(\omega) > 0$ in ω , which gives

$$(4.2) \quad \left| f_n \left(v_n + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \phi \right| \leq \|c_{\text{supp } \phi}^{-\gamma(x)} \phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} f \in L^1(\Omega),$$

for every $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$. Note that since $0 < v_n \leq v$ a.e. in Ω , by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, $v_n \rightarrow v$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega, w)$. Thus, by [42, Theorem 2.16], up to a subsequence $\nabla v_n \rightarrow \nabla v$ pointwise a.e. in Ω and hence, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla v_n) \nabla \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla v) \nabla \phi dx,$$

for every $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$. On the other hand, by (4.2) and the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n \left(v_n + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\gamma(x)} \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} f v^{-\gamma(x)} \phi dx,$$

for every $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$. Thus using (4.3) and (4.4) in (4.1), the result follows. The proof for $p_s \geq N$ is analogous.

(b) By Lemma 3.3-(b), we have $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Now, proceeding along the lines of the proof of part (a) above, the result follows.

(c) By Lemma 3.3-(c), we have $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Now, proceeding along the lines of the proof of part (a) above, the result follows.

(d) By Lemma 3.3-(d), the sequences $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{ v_n^{\frac{\gamma^* + p - 1}{p}} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are uniformly bounded in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ respectively. Then, analogous to the proof of (a) above, the result follows.

(e) By Lemma 3.3-(e), the sequences $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{ v_n^{\frac{\gamma + p - 1}{p}} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are uniformly bounded in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ respectively. Then, analogous to the proof of (a) above, the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.6: If $f = w$ in Ω , by Lemma 3.4, the sequence $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Then, following the exact proof of (a) above in Theorem 2.5, the result follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Aimar, M. Carena, R. Durán, and M. Toschi. Powers of distances to lower dimensional sets as Muckenhoupt weights. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 143(1):119–137, 2014. 4
- [2] Claudianor O. Alves and Abdelkrim Moussaoui. Existence and regularity of solutions for a class of singular $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian systems. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 63(2):188–210, 2018. 2
- [3] Claudianor O. Alves, Carlos Alberto Santos, and Thiago Willians Siqueira. Uniqueness in $W_{loc}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and continuity up to portions of the boundary of positive solutions for a strongly-singular elliptic problem. *J. Differential Equations*, 269(12):11279–11327, 2020. 2
- [4] David Arcoya and Lucio Boccardo. Multiplicity of solutions for a Dirichlet problem with a singular and a supercritical nonlinearities. *Differential Integral Equations*, 26(1-2):119–128, 2013. 2
- [5] David Arcoya and Lourdes Moreno-Mérida. Multiplicity of solutions for a Dirichlet problem with a strongly singular nonlinearity. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 95:281–291, 2014. 2
- [6] Kaushik Bal and Prashanta Garain. Multiplicity of solution for a quasilinear equation with singular nonlinearity. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 17(3):Paper No. 91, 20, 2020. 2
- [7] Kaushik Bal and Prashanta Garain. Weighted and anisotropic sobolev inequality with extremal. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, pages 1–17, 2021. 2
- [8] Kaushik Bal and Prashanta Garain. Weighted anisotropic Sobolev inequality with extremal and associated singular problems. *Differential Integral Equations*, 36(1-2):59–92, 2023. 2
- [9] Kaushik Bal, Prashanta Garain, and Tuhina Mukherjee. On an anisotropic p -Laplace equation with variable singular exponent. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 26(11-12):535–562, 2021. 2
- [10] D. Bao, S.-S. Chern, and Z. Shen. *An introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry*, volume 200 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. 1
- [11] M. Belloni, V. Ferone, and B. Kawohl. Isoperimetric inequalities, Wulff shape and related questions for strongly nonlinear elliptic operators. volume 54, pages 771–783. 2003. Special issue dedicated to Lawrence E. Payne. 1
- [12] Lucio Boccardo. A Dirichlet problem with singular and supercritical nonlinearities. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75(12):4436–4440, 2012. 2
- [13] Lucio Boccardo and François Murat. Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 19(6):581–597, 1992. 3
- [14] Lucio Boccardo and Luigi Orsina. Semilinear elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 37(3-4):363–380, 2010. 2, 3, 7
- [15] Sun-Sig Byun and Eunkyoung Ko. Global $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity and existence of multiple solutions for singular $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 56(3):Paper No. 76, 29, 2017. 2
- [16] Xia C. *On a class of anisotropic problems*, *Dissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik der Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg im Breisgau*, 2012. <https://freidok.uni-freiburg.de/fedora/objects/freidok:8693/datastreams/FILE1/content>. 1
- [17] Annamaria Canino, Berardino Sciunzi, and Alessandro Trombetta. Existence and uniqueness for p -Laplace equations involving singular nonlinearities. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 23(2):Art. 8, 18, 2016. 2, 3
- [18] José Carmona and Pedro J. Martínez-Aparicio. A singular semilinear elliptic equation with a variable exponent. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, 16(3):491–498, 2016. 2, 3
- [19] Ying Chu, Yanchao Gao, and Wenjie Gao. Existence of solutions to a class of semilinear elliptic problem with nonlinear singular terms and variable exponent. *J. Funct. Spaces*, pages Art. ID 9794739, 11, 2016. 2
- [20] Philippe G. Ciarlet. *Linear and nonlinear functional analysis with applications*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, 2013. 3, 7
- [21] M. G. Crandall, P. H. Rabinowitz, and L. Tartar. On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 2(2):193–222, 1977. 2
- [22] Gianni Dal Maso and François Murat. Almost everywhere convergence of gradients of solutions to nonlinear elliptic systems. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 31(3-4):405–412, 1998. 3
- [23] Linda Maria De Cave. Nonlinear elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 84(3-4):181–195, 2013. 2

- [24] Pavel Drábek, Alois Kufner, and Francesco Nicolosi. *Quasilinear elliptic equations with degenerations and singularities*, volume 5 of *De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1997. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- [25] Ricardo G. Durán and Fernando López García. Solutions of the divergence and analysis of the Stokes equations in planar Hölder- α domains. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 20(1):95–120, 2010. [4](#)
- [26] Ricardo G. Durán, Marcela Sanmartino, and Marisa Toschi. Weighted a priori estimates for the Poisson equation. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 57(7):3463–3478, 2008. [4](#)
- [27] Prashanta Garain. On a degenerate singular elliptic problem. *Math. Nachr.*, 295(7):1354–1377, 2022. [2](#), [6](#), [9](#)
- [28] Prashanta Garain. On a class of weighted anisotropic p -Laplace equation with singular nonlinearity. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2112.13294 (to appear in Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis), December 2021. [2](#)
- [29] Prashanta Garain and Juha Kinnunen. Nonexistence of variational minimizers related to a quasilinear singular problem in metric measure spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 149(8):3407–3416, 2021. [2](#)
- [30] Prashanta Garain and Tuhina Mukherjee. On a class of weighted p -Laplace equation with singular nonlinearity. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 17(4):Paper No. 110, 18, 2020. [2](#)
- [31] Prashanta Garain and Tuhina Mukherjee. Quasilinear nonlocal elliptic problems with variable singular exponent. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 19(11):5059–5075, 2020. [2](#)
- [32] Jacques Giacomoni, Ian Schindler, and Peter Takáč. Sobolev versus Hölder local minimizers and existence of multiple solutions for a singular quasilinear equation. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 6(1):117–158, 2007. [2](#)
- [33] V. Gol’dshstein, D. Motreanu, and V. V. Motreanu. Non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary value problems in weighted Sobolev spaces. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 60(3):372–391, 2015. [2](#)
- [34] V. Gol’dshstein, V. V. Motreanu, and A. Ukhlov. Embeddings of weighted Sobolev spaces and degenerate Dirichlet problems involving the weighted p -Laplacian. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 56(10-11):905–930, 2011. [2](#)
- [35] Yang Haitao. Multiplicity and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions for a singular semilinear elliptic problem. *J. Differential Equations*, 189(2):487–512, 2003. [2](#)
- [36] Takanobu Hara. Trace inequalities of the Sobolev type and nonlinear Dirichlet problems. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 61(6):Paper No. 216, 21, 2022. [2](#), [3](#)
- [37] Juha Heinonen, Tero Kilpeläinen, and Olli Martio. *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993. Oxford Science Publications. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [9](#)
- [38] Norimichi Hirano, Claudio Saccon, and Naoki Shioji. Existence of multiple positive solutions for singular elliptic problems with concave and convex nonlinearities. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 9(1-2):197–220, 2004. [2](#)
- [39] Tero Kilpeläinen. Weighted Sobolev spaces and capacity. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 19(1):95–113, 1994. [4](#)
- [40] Tero Kilpeläinen and Jan Malý. Degenerate elliptic equations with measure data and nonlinear potentials. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 19(4):591–613, 1992. [3](#)
- [41] A. C. Lazer and P. J. McKenna. On a singular nonlinear elliptic boundary-value problem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 111(3):721–730, 1991. [2](#)
- [42] Pasi Mikkonen. On the Wolff potential and quasilinear elliptic equations involving measures. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss.*, (104):71, 1996. [3](#), [12](#)
- [43] Sofiane El-Hadi Miri. On an anisotropic problem with singular nonlinearity having variable exponent. *Ric. Mat.*, 66(2):415–424, 2017. [2](#)
- [44] Francescantonio Oliva and Francesco Petitta. On singular elliptic equations with measure sources. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 22(1):289–308, 2016. [2](#)
- [45] Nikolaos S. Papageorgiou and Andrea Scapellato. Positive solutions for anisotropic singular (p, q) -equations. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 71(5):Paper No. 155, 16, 2020. [2](#)
- [46] Irene Peral. Multiplicity of solutions for the p -laplacian, lecture notes at the second school on nonlinear functional analysis and applications to differential equations at ictp of trieste. *ICTP lecture notes*, 1997.
- [47] Neil S. Trudinger and Xu-Jia Wang. On the weak continuity of elliptic operators and applications to potential theory. *Amer. J. Math.*, 124(2):369–410, 2002. [3](#)
- [48] Qihu Zhang. Existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions to $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations with singular nonlinearities. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, pages Art. ID 19349, 9, 2007. [2](#)

(Prashanta Garain)
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH BERHAMPUR,
BERHAMPUR, ODISHA 760010, INDIA,
EMAIL: pgarain92@gmail.com