

THE WEIGHT AND RANK FILTRATIONS

JOHN ROGNES

ABSTRACT. We compare the weight and stable rank filtrations of algebraic K -theory, and relate the Beilinson–Soulé vanishing conjecture to the author’s connectivity conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{F} be a field. For $j \geq 0$ let $K_j(\mathbb{F})$ be the (higher) algebraic K -groups of \mathbb{F} [Quillen (1970)]. There is a decreasing *weight filtration*

$$K_j(\mathbb{F}) \supset F^1 K_j(\mathbb{F}) \supset \cdots \supset F^w K_j(\mathbb{F}) \supset \cdots \supset F^j K_j(\mathbb{F}) \supset 0$$

associated to the λ - and Adams-operations on $K_j(\mathbb{F})$ [Grothendieck, Quillen/Hiller (1981)].

There is also an increasing *stable rank filtration*

$$0 \subset F_1 K_j(\mathbb{F}) \subset \cdots \subset F_r K_j(\mathbb{F}) \subset \cdots \subset F_j K_j(\mathbb{F}) \subset K_j(\mathbb{F}).$$

given by the image filtration

$$F_r K_j(\mathbb{F}) = \text{im}(\pi_j F_r \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \pi_j \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}))$$

associated to a sequence of spectra

$$* \rightarrow F_1 \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_r \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F})$$

called the *spectrum level rank filtration* [Rognes (1992)].

Conjecture 1.1 (Beilinson–Soulé).

$$F^w K_j(\mathbb{F}) = K_j(\mathbb{F})$$

for $2w \leq j + 1$.

A stronger form asserts this equality also for $2w = j + 2$ when $j > 0$. The conjecture is known with finite coefficients, so it suffices to verify it rationally, i.e., after tensoring over \mathbb{Z} with \mathbb{Q} . We write $A_{\mathbb{Q}} = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Conjecture 1.2 (Connectivity).

$$F_r K_j(\mathbb{F}) = K_j(\mathbb{F})$$

for $2r \geq j + 1$.

A stronger form asserts rational equality also for $2r = j$ when $j > 0$.

Conjecture 1.3 (Stable Rank).

$$F^w K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}} = F_r K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

for $w + r = j + 1$.

I will provide evidence for the connectivity and stable rank conjectures, which, if true, will imply the Beilinson–Soulé vanishing conjecture.

2. ALGEBRAIC K -THEORY

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ be the category of finitely generated projective \mathbb{F} -modules, i.e., the category of finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces.

The dimension $\dim V$ of an object V defines an additive invariant in $K_0(\mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

The determinant $\det A$ of an automorphism $A: V \rightarrow V$ defines an additive invariant in $K_1(\mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}^\times$.

The classifying space $|i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})|$ of the subcategory $i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ of isomorphisms in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ is built with one q -simplex Δ^q for each chain

$$V_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} V_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \dots \xrightarrow{\cong} V_q$$

of q composable morphisms in $i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$. The inclusion of the full subcategory generated by the objects \mathbb{F}^r , with automorphism groups $GL_r(\mathbb{F})$, induces an equivalence

$$\coprod_{r \geq 0} BGL_r(\mathbb{F}) \simeq |i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})|.$$

Direct sum of vector spaces, $(V, W) \mapsto V \oplus W$, makes $|i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})|$ a (coherently homotopy commutative) topological monoid. To group complete $\pi_0 \cong \{r \geq 0\}$ and $\pi_1 \cong GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ (for a suitable choice of base point), we map $K(\mathbb{F})_0 = |i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})|$ to a grouplike (coherently homotopy commutative) topological monoid, i.e., a (infinite) loop space $\Omega K(\mathbb{F})_1 = \Omega|iS_\bullet\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})|$, to be defined below. The map $K(\mathbb{F})_0 \rightarrow \Omega K(\mathbb{F})_1$ is a group completion.

Definition 2.1. $K_j(\mathbb{F}) = \pi_j \Omega K(\mathbb{F})_1 = \pi_{j+1} K(\mathbb{F})_1$, where $K(\mathbb{F})_1 = |iS_\bullet\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})|$ is given by Waldhausen's S_\bullet -construction.

3. THE ALGEBRAIC K -THEORY SPECTRUM

Waldhausen's S_\bullet -construction applied to $\mathcal{P}(F)$ is a simplicial category

$$[q] \mapsto iS_q\mathcal{P}(F).$$

The category in degree q has objects the sequences of injective homomorphisms

$$0 = V_0 \hookrightarrow V_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow V_q$$

in $\mathcal{P}(F)$, together with compatible choices of quotients V_j/V_i for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq q$. Morphisms are vertical isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 = V_0 & \hookrightarrow & V_1 & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & V_q \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 = W_0 & \hookrightarrow & W_1 & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & W_q \end{array}$$

of horizontal diagrams.

The construction can be iterated $n \geq 1$ times. We define

$$K(\mathbb{F})_n = |iS_\bullet^{(n)}\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})|$$

as the classifying space of the simplicial category

$$[q] \mapsto iS_q^{(n)}\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$$

with objects in degree q given by n -dimensional cubical diagrams $[q]^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$. In the case $n = 2$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & 0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \dots & \xlongequal{\quad} & 0 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \hookrightarrow & V_{1,1} & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & V_{1,q} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \hookrightarrow & V_{q,1} & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & V_{q,q} \end{array}$$

we require to have injective homomorphisms $V_{i-1,j} \rightarrow V_{i,j}$ and $V_{i,j-1} \rightarrow V_{i,j}$ and injective pushout homomorphisms

$$V_{i-1,j} \oplus_{V_{i-1,j-1}} V_{i,j-1} \rightarrow V_{i,j},$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq q$. For higher n there are similar conditions for d -dimensional subcubes for all $1 \leq d \leq n$.

Definition 3.1. The algebraic K -theory spectrum of \mathbb{F} is the spectrum

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) = \{n \mapsto K(\mathbb{F})_n = |iS_{\bullet}^{(n)} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})|\}.$$

It is positive fibrant, in the sense that $K(\mathbb{F})_n \rightarrow \Omega K(\mathbb{F})_{n+1}$ is an equivalence for each $n \geq 1$. Hence

$$K_j(\mathbb{F}) = \pi_j \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) = \pi_{j+n} K(\mathbb{F})_n$$

for each $n \geq 1$.

This constructions produces a symmetric spectrum: the group Σ_n permutes the order of the n instances of the S_{\bullet} -construction.

4. WEIGHT FILTRATION

Let $k \geq 0$. The k -th exterior power $V \mapsto \Lambda^k V$ induces λ -operations

$$\lambda^k: K_j(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow K_j(\mathbb{F}).$$

For $V = L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r$ a direct sum of lines,

$$\Lambda^k V = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq r} L_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{i_k}$$

corresponds to the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial

$$\sigma_k(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq r} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r}.$$

The k -th Adams operation

$$\psi^k: K_j(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow K_j(\mathbb{F})$$

is induced by $L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r \mapsto L_1^{\otimes k} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r^{\otimes k}$ and corresponds to the k -th power sum polynomial

$$s_k(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i^k.$$

It can thus be expressed in terms of the λ -operations.

The weight filtration $\{F^w K_j(\mathbb{F})\}_{w \geq 0}$ on $K_j(\mathbb{F})$ is constructed by means of the λ^k . The Adams operations satisfy

$$\psi^k(x) \equiv k^w x \pmod{F^{w+1} K_j(\mathbb{F})}$$

for $x \in F^w K_j(\mathbb{F})$. Hence ψ^k acts as multiplication by k^w on $F^w K_j(\mathbb{F})/F^{w+1} K_j(\mathbb{F})$, for all $k \geq 0$.

Rationally the weight filtration splits as a direct sum of common eigenspaces for the Adams operations. Let

$$K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(w)} = \{x \in K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \psi^k(x) = k^w x \text{ for all } k\}$$

be the *weight w rational eigenspace*. Then

$$F^w K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{v \geq w} K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(v)}$$

is the subspace of weights $\geq w$, and

$$\frac{F^w K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}}{F^{w+1} K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}} \cong K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(w)}.$$

Soulé proved that $K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(w)} = 0$ for $w < 0$ and for $w > j$, i.e., $K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ only contains classes of weight $0 \leq w \leq j$.

Example 4.1. ψ^k acts (additively) on $K_1(\mathbb{F})$ by $\psi^k(x) = kx$, since it acts (multiplicatively) on \mathbb{F}^\times by $u \mapsto u^k$. Hence all of $K_1(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_1(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(1)}$ has weight 1. The product $x_1 \cdots x_j \in K_j(\mathbb{F})$ of j classes $x_1, \dots, x_j \in K_1(\mathbb{F})$ has weight j , since $\psi^k(x_1 \cdots x_j) = \psi^k(x_1) \cdots \psi^k(x_j) = (kx_1) \cdots (kx_j) = k^j x_1 \cdots x_j$.

Milnor K -theory $K_*^M(\mathbb{F})$ is defined to be the quotient of the tensor algebra on F^\times , over \mathbb{Z} , by the ideal generated by $u \otimes (1 - u)$ for $u \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. It is graded commutative, so in degree j there are surjections

$$(\mathbb{F}^\times)^{\otimes j} \rightarrow \Lambda^j \mathbb{F}^\times \rightarrow K_j^M(\mathbb{F}).$$

By the Steinberg relation $\{u, 1 - u\} = 0$ in $K_2(\mathbb{F})$, these all map to $K_j(\mathbb{F})$, and land in the weight j eigenspace.

5. MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY

By analogy with the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence from singular cohomology to topological K -theory for a topological space, there is a *motivic spectral sequence*

$$E_{s,t}^2(\text{mot}) = H_{\text{mot}}^{t-s}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}(t)) \implies K_{s+t}(\mathbb{F}).$$

It is of homological type, concentrated in the first quadrant ($s \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$), and collapses rationally at the E^2 -term ($d^r = 0$ after rationalization for $r \geq 2$).

t	\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots
4	$H_{\text{mot}}^4(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(4))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^3(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(4))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^2(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(4))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^1(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(4))$	\dots
		$\nwarrow d^2$			
3	$H_{\text{mot}}^3(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(3))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^2(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(3))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^1(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(3))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^0(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(3))$	\dots
2	$H_{\text{mot}}^2(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(2))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^1(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(2))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^0(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(2))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(2))$	\dots
1	$H_{\text{mot}}^1(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(1))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^0(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(1))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(1))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^{-2}(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(1))$	\dots
0	$H_{\text{mot}}^0(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(0))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(0))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^{-2}(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(0))$	$H_{\text{mot}}^{-3}(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(0))$	\dots
$E_{s,t}^2$	0	1	2	3	s

Rationally, the motivic cohomology groups can be defined in terms of the weight filtration.

Definition 5.1.

$$H_{\text{mot}}^{t-s}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}(t))_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_{\text{mot}}^{t-s}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q}(t)) = K_{s+t}(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(t)}$$

so that

$$H_{\text{mot}}^i(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Q}(w)) = K_{2w-i}(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(w)}.$$

Remark 5.2. These groups give the E^2 -term of a rational motivic spectral sequence collapsing to $K_{s+t}(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The definition is not effective. $K_*(\mathbb{F})$ with its Adams operations is hard to calculate and to work with.

By Soulé's result, $H_{\text{mot}}^{t-s}(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Q}(t))$ can only be nonzero for $0 \leq t \leq s + t$, i.e., for $s \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$.

Equivalently, $H_{\text{mot}}^i(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q}(w))$ can only be nonzero for $w \geq 0$ and $i \leq w$. This does not ensure that $H_{\text{mot}}^i = 0$ for $i < 0$!

6. MIXED MOTIVES

It is expected that there exists a category MM of *mixed motives*, such that the motivic cohomology groups are given by the Ext-groups

$$H_{mot}^i(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Q}(w)) \cong \text{Ext}_{MM}^i(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(w))$$

classifying i -fold extensions from (the pure motive associated to) $\mathbb{Q}(w)$ to \mathbb{Q} in this category.

If this is true, $H_{mot}^i(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Q}(w)) = 0$ for $i < 0$, which is equivalent to $K_{2w-i}(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(w)} = 0$ for $i < 0$, hence also to $K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(w)} = 0$ for $2w < j$.

Furthermore, $H_{mot}^0(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Q}(w)) \cong \text{Hom}_{MM}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(w)) = 0$ for $w > 0$, so $K_{2w}(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(w)} = 0$ for $w > 0$, which means that $K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(w)} = 0$ for $2w \leq j$ when $w > 0$.

These assertions are the content of the Beilinson–Soulé vanishing conjecture.

Conjecture 6.1 (Beilinson–Soulé). $K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(w)} = 0$ for $w < j/2$ (and for $w \leq j/2$ when $j > 0$).

This is equivalent to the rational version of the conjecture as first stated.

7. HIGHER CHOW GROUPS

A construction of integral motivic cohomology groups is given by Bloch’s higher Chow groups.

Definition 7.1. For each $q \geq 0$ let

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^q = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}[x_0, \dots, x_q] / (x_0 + \dots + x_q = 1)$$

be the affine q -simplex over \mathbb{F} . It is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^q = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_q]$, but the $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^q$ combine more naturally to a presimplicial variety:

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_0} \\ \xleftarrow{d_1} \end{array} \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_0} \\ \xleftarrow{d_1} \\ \xleftarrow{d_2} \end{array} \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^2 \quad \dots$$

Let

$$z^p(\mathbb{F}, q) = \{ \text{codimension } p \text{ cycles } V \subset \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^q \text{ meeting each face } \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^a \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^q \text{ transversely} \}.$$

A cycle is an integral sum of irreducible subvarieties. Pullback of V along the cofaces $d_i: \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{q-1} \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^q$ defines face operators $d_i: z^p(\mathbb{F}, q) \rightarrow z^p(\mathbb{F}, q-1)$ that assemble to a presimplicial abelian group

$$z^p(\mathbb{F}, 0) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{d_0} \\ \xleftarrow{d_1} \end{array} z^p(\mathbb{F}, 1) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{d_0} \\ \xleftarrow{d_1} \\ \xleftarrow{d_2} \end{array} z^p(\mathbb{F}, 2) \quad \dots$$

There is an associated chain complex $(z^p(\mathbb{F}, *), \partial)$

$$0 \leftarrow z^p(\mathbb{F}, 0) \xleftarrow{\partial_1} z^p(\mathbb{F}, 1) \xleftarrow{\partial_2} z^p(\mathbb{F}, 2) \leftarrow \dots$$

with $\partial_1 = d_0 - d_1$, $\partial_2 = d_0 - d_1 + d_2$, etc.

Bloch’s higher Chow groups are the homology groups

$$CH^p(\mathbb{F}, q) = \frac{\ker \partial_q}{\text{im } \partial_{q+1}} = H_q(z^p(\mathbb{F}, *), \partial)$$

of this chain complex.

(In what generality is $CH^p(X, 0) = CH^p(X)$?)

Definition 7.2. Integral motivic cohomology groups can be defined as

$$H_{mot}^i(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(w)) = CH^w(\mathbb{F}, 2w - i).$$

Remark 7.3. These give an integral motivic spectral sequence converging to $K_*(\mathbb{F})$.

Rationally they agree with the weight eigenspace definition of rational motivic cohomology.

There are no codimension p subvarieties in $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^q$ for $q < p$, so $z^p(\mathbb{F}, q) = 0$ and $CH^p(\mathbb{F}, q) = 0$ for $q < p$. Hence $H_{mot}^i(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}(w)) = 0$ for $2w - i < w$, i.e., for $i > w$.

This definition does not tell us whether $H_{mot}^i = 0$ for $i < 0$, or equivalently, if $CH^p(\mathbb{F}, q) = 0$ for $2p < q$.

Theorem 7.4 (Suslin). *With finite coefficients,*

$$CH^p(\mathbb{F}, q; \mathbb{Z}/m) \cong H_{et}^{2p-q}(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}/m(p))$$

when $q \geq p$, i.e., when $2p-q \leq p$. In particular, $CH^p(\mathbb{F}, q; \mathbb{Z}/m) = 0$ for $2p < q$, so $H_{mot}^i(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/m(w)) = 0$ for $i < 0$.

Conjecture 7.5 (Beilinson/Lichtenbaum). *There are complexes (of Zariski/étale sheaves)*

$$\cdots \leftarrow \Gamma(w, \mathbb{F})^w \xleftarrow{\delta} \cdots \xleftarrow{\delta} \Gamma(w, \mathbb{F})^0 \leftarrow \cdots$$

with cohomology calculating motivic cohomology

$$H^i(\Gamma(w, \mathbb{F})^*, \delta) \cong H_{mot}^i(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}(w)).$$

Remark 7.6. We can let $\Gamma(0, \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Gamma(1, \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{F}^\times$ (in cohomological degree 1). Lichtenbaum has a proposed complex $\Gamma(2, F)$. Goncharov has proposed complexes $\Gamma_{pol}(w, \mathbb{F})$ associated to polylogarithms, i.e., functions like

$$Li_w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^w}.$$

Here $Li_1(z) = -\ln(1-z)$.

8. QUILLEN'S RANK FILTRATION

Recall that $K(\mathbb{F})_1 = |iS_\bullet \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})|$ is the classifying space of the simplicial category with q -simplices having objects

$$\sigma: 0 = V_0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow V_q.$$

Definition 8.1. Let $F_r K(\mathbb{F})_1 \subset K(\mathbb{F})_1$ be the subspace consisting of simplices where $\dim V_q \leq r$ (so that $\dim V_i \leq r$ for all i).

$$* = F_0 K(\mathbb{F})_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_{r-1} K(\mathbb{F})_1 \subset F_r K(\mathbb{F})_1 \subset \cdots \subset K(\mathbb{F})_1.$$

Proposition 8.2.

$$F_r K(\mathbb{F})_1 / F_{r-1} K(\mathbb{F})_1 \simeq \Sigma^2 B(\mathbb{F}^r)_{hGL_r(\mathbb{F})} = EGL_r(\mathbb{F})_+ \wedge_{GL_r(\mathbb{F})} \Sigma^2 B(\mathbb{F}^r)$$

is the (based) homotopy orbit space for $GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ acting on the double suspension of the Tits building $B(\mathbb{F}^r)$.

Sketch proof. The (non-basepoint) q -simplices of $F_r K(\mathbb{F})_1 / F_{r-1} K(\mathbb{F})_1$ are generated from the objects

$$\sigma: 0 = V_0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow V_q$$

with $\dim V_q = r$, together with the $GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ -action on the latter.

This is equivalent to the $GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ -homotopy orbits of the subspace with q -simplices

$$\sigma: 0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{q-1} \subset V_q = \mathbb{F}^r.$$

The i -th face operator deletes V_i . The 0-th and q -th face operators map to the base point if $0 \neq V_1$ or $V_{q-1} \neq \mathbb{F}^r$, respectively.

This is equivalent to Σ^2 of the simplicial set with $(q-2)$ -simplices the chains

$$0 \subsetneq V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{q-1} \subsetneq \mathbb{F}^r,$$

which is the nerve of the set of proper, nontrivial subspaces V of \mathbb{F}^r , partially ordered by inclusion, i.e., the Tits building $B(\mathbb{F}^r)$. An element $A \in GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ acts on the partially ordered set by mapping V to $A(V)$, and has the induced action on $B(\mathbb{F}^r)$. \square

Example 8.3. $\Sigma^2 B(\mathbb{F}^1) \cong \Delta^1 / \partial \Delta^1 \cong S^1$.

Theorem 8.4 (Solomon–Tits).

$$B(\mathbb{F}^r) \simeq \bigvee_{\alpha} S^{r-2}.$$

Definition 8.5.

$$\mathrm{St}_r(\mathbb{F}) = \tilde{H}_{r-2} B(\mathbb{F}^r) \cong \tilde{H}_r \Sigma^2 B(\mathbb{F}^r) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$$

is the *Steinberg representation* of $GL_r(\mathbb{F})$.

Corollary 8.6. *The homology*

$$\tilde{H}_*(F_r K(\mathbb{F})_1 / F_{r-1} K(\mathbb{F})_1) \cong \tilde{H}_*(\Sigma^2 B(\mathbb{F}^r)_{hGL_r(\mathbb{F})}) \cong H_{*-r}^{gp}(GL_r(\mathbb{F}); \text{St}_r(\mathbb{F}))$$

is concentrated in degrees $* \geq r$. Hence

$$H_{j+1}(F_r K(\mathbb{F})_1) \rightarrow H_{j+1} K(\mathbb{F})_1$$

is surjective for $j+1 = r$, and an isomorphism for $j+1 < r$. Thus

$$F_r H_{j+1} K(\mathbb{F})_1 = \text{im}(H_{j+1}(F_r K(\mathbb{F})_1) \rightarrow H_{j+1} K(\mathbb{F})_1)$$

is equal to $H_{j+1} K(\mathbb{F})_1$ for $r \geq j+1$.

This enters in the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 8.7 (Quillen). *Let \mathcal{O}_F be the ring of integers in a number field F . For each $j \geq 0$ the group $K_j(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is finitely generated.*

The connectivity conjecture asserts a stronger convergence result, namely $F_r K_j(\mathbb{F}) = K_j(\mathbb{F})$ for $2r \geq j+1$, but for the more powerful stable rank filtration.

9. THE SPECTRUM LEVEL RANK FILTRATION

Also recall that $\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) = \{n \mapsto K(\mathbb{F})_n = |iS_{\bullet}^{(n)} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})|\}$ where $iS_{\bullet}^{(n)} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ has q -simplices the category with objects

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma: [q]^n &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \\ (i_1, \dots, i_n) &\mapsto V_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \end{aligned}$$

plus choices of subquotients, subject to lists of conditions.

Definition 9.1 (Rognes (1992)). Let $F_r K(\mathbb{F})_n \subset K(\mathbb{F})_n$ be the subspace where $\dim V_{q, \dots, q} \leq r$ (so that $\dim V_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \leq r$ for all (i_1, \dots, i_n)). Let

$$F_r \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) = \{n \mapsto F_r K(\mathbb{F})_n\}$$

be the associated (pre-)spectrum. The sequence

$$* \rightarrow F_1 \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_{r-1} \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow F_r \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F})$$

is the *spectrum level rank filtration*.

Recall that $\pi_j \mathbf{X} = \text{colim}_n \pi_{j+n} X_n$ for a prespectrum $\mathbf{X} = \{n \mapsto X_n\}$.

Definition 9.2. Let

$$F_r K_j(\mathbb{F}) = \text{im}(\pi_j F_r \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \pi_j \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}))$$

so that

$$0 \subset F_1 K_j(\mathbb{F}) \subset \dots \subset F_r K_j(\mathbb{F}) \subset \dots \subset K_j(\mathbb{F}).$$

This is the *stable rank filtration*.

Proposition 9.3.

$$F_r \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) / F_{r-1} \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \simeq \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)_{hGL_r(\mathbb{F})} = EGL_r(\mathbb{F})_+ \wedge_{GL_r(\mathbb{F})} \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$$

is the homotopy orbit spectrum for $GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ acting on the stable building $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$.

Sketch proof. At level n , $F_r K(\mathbb{F})_n / F_{r-1} K(\mathbb{F})_n$ realizes a simplicial category with q -simplices diagrams

$$\sigma: (i_1, \dots, i_n) \mapsto V_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$$

with $\dim V_{q, \dots, q} = r$. It is equivalent to the subcategory where $V_{q, \dots, q} = \mathbb{F}^r$ and each V_{i_1, \dots, i_n} is a subspace of \mathbb{F}^r , with morphisms given by the $GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ -action on \mathbb{F}^r and its subspaces. \square

Definition 9.4. We define $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) = \{n \mapsto D(\mathbb{F}^r)_n\}$ by letting $D(\mathbb{F}^r)_n$ be a simplicial set with q -simplices diagrams $\sigma: [q]^n \rightarrow \text{Sub}(\mathbb{F}^r) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ consisting of subspaces V_{i_1, \dots, i_n} of \mathbb{F}^r and inclusions between these. The case $n = 2$ appears as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & = & 0 & = & \dots & = & 0 \\
\parallel & & \cap & & & & \cap \\
0 & \subset & V_{1,1} & \subset & \dots & \subset & V_{1,q} \\
\parallel & & \cap & & & & \cap \\
\vdots & & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\parallel & & \cap & & & & \cap \\
0 & \subset & V_{q,1} & \subset & \dots & \subset & V_{q,q}
\end{array}$$

with $\sigma: (i, j) \mapsto V_{i,j}$. In general we require (0) that $V_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = 0$ if some $i_s = 0$, and $V_{q, \dots, q} = \mathbb{F}^r$, (1) that $V_{i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, \dots, i_n} \subset V_{i_1, \dots, i_s, \dots, i_n}$ is an inclusion, (2) that the pushout morphism

$$V_{\dots, i_{s-1}, \dots, i_t, \dots} \oplus V_{\dots, i_{s-1}, \dots, i_{t-1}, \dots} \rightarrow V_{\dots, i_s, \dots, i_t, \dots}$$

is injective, etc. (to (n)). We call these the *lattice conditions*.

Example 9.5. $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^1) \cong \mathbf{S}$ (the sphere spectrum), so $F_1 \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \simeq \mathbf{S}_{hGL_1(\mathbb{F})} = \Sigma^\infty(B\mathbb{F}^\times)_+$. Rationally, $\pi_j F_1 \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \cong \pi_j^S(B\mathbb{F}_+^\times)$ is isomorphic to $H_j(B\mathbb{F}^\times) = H_j^{gp}(\mathbb{F}^\times)$, which is also rationally isomorphic to $\Lambda^j \mathbb{F}^\times$. Hence $F_1 K_j(\mathbb{F}) \subset K_j(\mathbb{F})$ agrees rationally with the image of Milnor K -theory:

$$F_1 K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_j^M(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

as subgroups of $K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

10. THE COMPONENT FILTRATION

To analyze the stable building $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ we associate some invariants to the simplices $\sigma: [q]^n \rightarrow \text{Sub}(\mathbb{F}^r)$.

Definition 10.1. The *rank jump* at $\vec{p} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in [q]^n$ is the dimension of the cokernel of the n -cube pushout morphism to V_{i_1, \dots, i_n} , i.e., the alternating sum

$$\sum_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n} \dim V_{i_1 - \epsilon_1, \dots, i_n - \epsilon_n}.$$

It is non-negative by the lattice conditions, and the sum over all \vec{p} of the rank jumps is $r = \dim V_{q, \dots, q}$. Hence there are r distinguished points $\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_r \in [q]^n$, counted with multiplicities, where the rank jumps are positive.

(The ordering of $\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_r$ is not well-defined.)

A preordering is a reflexive and transitive relation. It amounts to a small category with at most one morphism from i to j for each pair of objects (i, j) .

Definition 10.2. The r distinguished points $\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_r$ inherit a preordering from the product partial ordering on $[q]^n$. Let the *path component count* of σ , denoted $c(\sigma)$, be the number of path components of (the classifying space of the category associated to) this preordering. Clearly $1 \leq c(\sigma) \leq r$.

Face operators in $D(\mathbb{F}^r)_n$ may merge distinguished points, which in turn may reduce the path component count.

Definition 10.3. Let $F_c D(\mathbb{F}^r)_n \subset D(\mathbb{F}^r)_n$ be the simplicial subset consisting of simplices σ with path component count $c(\sigma) \leq c$. Let

$$F_c \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) = \{n \mapsto F_c D(\mathbb{F}^r)_n\}$$

be the associated (pre-)spectrum. The sequence

$$* \twoheadrightarrow F_1 \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow F_{c-1} \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) \twoheadrightarrow F_c \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow F_r \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) = \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$$

is the *component filtration* of the stable building $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$.

Example 10.4. $F_1 \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) \simeq \Sigma^\infty \Sigma B(\mathbb{F}^r) \simeq \bigvee_\alpha \mathbf{S}^{r-1}$.

Theorem 10.5.

$$F_c \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) / F_{c-1} \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) \simeq \bigvee_{\beta} \mathbf{S}^{r+c-2}$$

for $1 \leq c \leq r$.

Sketch proof. There is a finer filtration of $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ (than the component filtration) given by restricting the (isomorphism classes of) preorders on $\{1, \dots, r\}$ given by setting $s \leq t$ if $\vec{p}_s \leq \vec{p}_t$. The filtration subquotients of this *preorder filtration* can be completely analyzed, in terms of configuration spaces and smash products of Tits buildings. The preorders that are not componentwise (pre-)linear contribute stably trivial filtration subquotients. The stable homology of configuration spaces contributes Lie representations, and the smash products of Tits building contribute tensor products of Steinberg representations. See [Rognes (1992)] for details. \square

Hence $H_* \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ is the homology of a free chain complex

$$0 \rightarrow Z_{2r-2} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} Z_{r-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

with

$$Z_{r+c-2} = H_{r+c-2}(F_c \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) / F_{c-1} \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)) \quad (\cong \bigoplus_{\beta} \mathbb{Z})$$

for $1 \leq c \leq r$. In particular,

$$Z_{2r-2} = \mathbb{Z}[GL_r(\mathbb{F})/T_r] \otimes_{\Sigma_r} \text{Lie}_r^*$$

and $Z_{r-1} = \text{St}_r(\mathbb{F})$. Here $T_r \subset GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ is the diagonal torus, and Lie_r^* is the dual of the Lie representation of the symmetric group Σ_r . The group $GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ acts naturally on this complex.

Corollary 10.6. $H_* \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ is concentrated in the range $r-1 \leq * \leq 2r-2$.

11. THE CONNECTIVITY CONJECTURE

In [Rognes (1992)] we made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 11.1 (Connectivity). $H_* \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ is concentrated in degree $(2r-2)$.

Equivalently, the complex

$$0 \rightarrow H_{2r-2} \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) \rightarrow Z_{2r-2} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} Z_{r-1} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ is $(2r-3)$ -connected, and $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) \simeq \bigvee_{\gamma} \mathbf{S}^{2r-2}$.

Theorem 11.2 (Rognes). *The connectivity conjecture is true for $r = 1, 2$ and 3 .*

Definition 11.3. Let

$$\Delta_r(\mathbb{F}) = H_{2r-2} \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) \quad (\cong \bigoplus_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z})$$

be the *stable Steinberg representation* of $GL_r(\mathbb{F})$.

Example 11.4. $\Delta_1(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Delta_2(\mathbb{F})$ is H_1 of the complete graph on the set $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F})$ of lines $L \subset \mathbb{F}^2$.

Corollary 11.5. *If the connectivity conjecture holds, then*

$$H_*(F_r \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) / F_{r-1} \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F})) \cong H_*(\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)_{hGL_r(\mathbb{F})}) \cong H_{*-2r+2}^{gp}(GL_r(\mathbb{F}); \Delta_r(\mathbb{F}))$$

is concentrated in degrees $* \geq 2r-2$. Then $F_r \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F})$ is $(2r-1)$ -connected, so

$$F_r K_j(\mathbb{F}) = \text{im}(\pi_j F_r \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \pi_j \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}))$$

is equal to $K_j(\mathbb{F})$ for $j \leq 2r-1$, or equivalently, for $2r \geq j+1$.

Remark 11.6. For $r \geq 2$, if $H_0^{gp}(GL_r(\mathbb{F}); \Delta_r(\mathbb{F})) = \Delta_r(\mathbb{F})_{GL_r(\mathbb{F})}$ is torsion, hence rationally trivial, then $F_r K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_j(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ also for $j = 2r$, i.e., for $2r \geq j$.

12. THE STABLE RANK CONJECTURE

Applying homology to the sequence of homotopy cofiber sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 * & \longrightarrow & F_1 \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) & \longrightarrow & F_2 \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) & \longrightarrow & \dots \longrightarrow F_r \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \\
 & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \Sigma^\infty B\mathbb{F}_+^\times & & \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^2)_{hGL_2(\mathbb{F})} & & \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)_{hGL_r(\mathbb{F})}
 \end{array}$$

with $F_r \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F})$ in filtration $s = r - 1$ we obtain the *homological rank spectral sequence*

$$E_{s,t}^1(rk) = H_{s+t}(\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^{s+1})_{hGL_{s+1}(\mathbb{F})}) \implies_s H_{s+t} \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}).$$

It is of homological type, concentrated in the first quadrant ($s \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$). Assuming the connectivity conjecture, the E^1 -term can be rewritten as

$$E_{s,t}^1(rk) = H_{t-s}^{gp}(GL_{s+1}(\mathbb{F}); \Delta_{s+1}(\mathbb{F})),$$

hence is in fact concentrated in the wedge $s \geq 0$ and $t \geq s$. The Hurewicz homomorphism $K_{s+t}(\mathbb{F}) = \pi_{s+t} \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_{s+t} \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F})$ is a rational equivalence, so after rationalization the rank spectral sequence converges to $K_{s+t}(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

t	\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots
4	$H_4^{gp}(\mathbb{F}^\times) \longleftarrow$	$H_3^{gp}(GL_2 \mathbb{F}; \Delta_2 \mathbb{F}) \longleftarrow$	$H_2^{gp}(GL_3 \mathbb{F}; \Delta_3 \mathbb{F}) \longleftarrow$	$H_1^{gp}(GL_4 \mathbb{F}; \Delta_4 \mathbb{F}) \longleftarrow$	\dots
3	$H_3^{gp}(\mathbb{F}^\times) \longleftarrow$	$H_2^{gp}(GL_2 \mathbb{F}; \Delta_2 \mathbb{F}) \longleftarrow$	$H_1^{gp}(GL_3 \mathbb{F}; \Delta_3 \mathbb{F}) \longleftarrow$	$\Delta_4(\mathbb{F})_{GL_4 \mathbb{F}}$	\dots
2	$H_2^{gp}(\mathbb{F}^\times) \longleftarrow$	$H_1^{gp}(GL_2 \mathbb{F}; \Delta_2 \mathbb{F}) \longleftarrow$	$\Delta_3(\mathbb{F})_{GL_3 \mathbb{F}}$	0	\dots
1	$\mathbb{F}^\times \xleftarrow{d^1} \Delta_2(\mathbb{F})_{GL_2 \mathbb{F}}$	0	0	0	\dots
0	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	\dots
$E_{s,t}^1(rk)$	0	1	2	3	s

Example 12.1. $E_{0,t}^1(rk) = H_t(B\mathbb{F}^\times) = H_t^{gp}(\mathbb{F}^\times)$ is rationally isomorphic to $\Lambda^t \mathbb{F}^\times$.

The E^1 -term suggests the following definition of the motivic complexes sought by Beilinson and Lichtenbaum.

Definition 12.2. For each $w \geq 0$ define the *rank complex* $(\Gamma_{rk}(w, \mathbb{F})^*, \delta)$ by

$$\Gamma_{rk}(w, \mathbb{F})^i = E_{w-i, w}^1(rk)$$

and $\delta^i = d_{w-i, w}^1 : \Gamma_{rk}(w, \mathbb{F})^i \rightarrow \Gamma_{rk}(w, \mathbb{F})^{i+1}$.

By definition, $\Gamma_{rk}(w, \mathbb{F})^i = 0$ for $i > w$. If the connectivity conjecture holds, then

$$\Gamma_{rk}(w, \mathbb{F})^i \cong H_i^{gp}(GL_{w-i+1}(\mathbb{F}); \Delta_{w-i+1}(\mathbb{F}))$$

is nonzero only for $0 \leq i \leq w$.

Definition 12.3. Let the *rank cohomology* $H_{rk}^*(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(w))$ be the cohomology of this cochain complex:

$$H_{rk}^i(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(w)) = \frac{\ker \delta^i}{\text{im } \delta^{i-1}} = H^i(\Gamma_{rk}(w, \mathbb{F})^*, \delta).$$

These groups give the E^2 -term of the homological rank spectral sequence

$$E_{s,t}^2(rk) = H_{rk}^{t-s}(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{Z}(t)) \implies_s H_{s+t} \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}).$$

If the connectivity conjecture holds, then this spectral sequence is concentrated in the region $0 \leq s \leq t$ (with $s < t$ for $t > 0$ if $\Delta_r(\mathbb{F})_{GL_r(\mathbb{F})}$ is torsion).

Conjecture 12.4 (Stable Rank). *The motivic spectral sequence and the stable rank spectral sequence are rationally isomorphic, starting from the E^2 -terms:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{s,t}^2(mot)_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_{mot}^{t-s}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}(t))_{\mathbb{Q}} & \implies & K_{s+t}(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \cong \downarrow (?) & & \downarrow \cong \\ E_{s,t}^2(rk)_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_{rk}^{t-s}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}(t))_{\mathbb{Q}} & \implies & H_{s+t} \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F})_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

Theorem 12.5. *The stable rank conjecture holds for $s = 0$. More precisely,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{0,j}^2(mot) & \twoheadrightarrow & E_{0,j}^{\rho}(mot) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_{0,j}^2(rk) & \twoheadrightarrow & E_{0,j}^{\rho}(rk) \end{array}$$

consists of rational isomorphisms for all $\rho \geq 2$.

Sketch proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda^j \mathbb{F}^{\times} & \twoheadrightarrow & K_j^M(\mathbb{F}) & \longrightarrow & K_j(\mathbb{F}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_j^{gp} \mathbb{F}^{\times} & \longrightarrow & E_{0,j}^2(rk) & \longrightarrow & H_j \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{F}). \end{array}$$

□

The connectivity and stable rank conjectures together imply (the rational form of) the Beilinson–Soulé vanishing conjecture.

An advantage of the stable rank point of view is that $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ is described only in terms of linear subspaces $V \subset \mathbb{F}^r$, as opposed to general subvarieties of $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^q$.

13. THE COMMON BASIS COMPLEX

By covering the stable building $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ by the $GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ -translates of a *stable apartment* $\mathbf{A}(r)$, we obtain the following elementary description of the stable building.

Definition 13.1. Let the *common basis complex* $D'(\mathbb{F}^r)$ be the simplicial complex with vertices the proper, nontrivial subspaces $0 \subsetneq V \subsetneq \mathbb{F}^r$, such that a set $\{V_0, \dots, V_p\}$ of vertices spans a p -simplex if and only if these vector spaces admit a *common basis*, i.e., there exists a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$ for \mathbb{F}^r such that for each $i = 0, \dots, p$ there is a subset of \mathcal{B} that is a basis for V_i .

Theorem 13.2. $\Sigma^{\infty} \Sigma D'(\mathbb{F}^r) \simeq \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$.

Sketch proof. The stable apartment $\mathbf{A}(r)$ is a (pre-)spectrum with n -th space $A(r)_n$ a simplicial set with q -simplices diagrams $\sigma: [q]^n \rightarrow \text{Sub}(\{1, \dots, r\})$ consisting of subsets of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ and inclusions between these. We know that $A(r)_n \cong S^{rn}$, so $\mathbf{A}(1) \cong \mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{A}(r) \simeq *$ for $r \geq 2$. (This, incidentally, gives a proof of the Barratt–Priddy–Quillen theorem.)

The free \mathbb{F} -vector space functor $\text{Sub}(\{1, \dots, r\}) \rightarrow \text{Sub}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ induces an embedding $\mathbf{A}(r) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$, and the translates $\{g\mathbf{A}(r) \mid g \in GL_r(\mathbb{F})\}$ cover $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r)$. A $(p+1)$ -fold intersection

$$g_0 \mathbf{A}(r) \cap \dots \cap g_p \mathbf{A}(r)$$

is isomorphic to \mathbf{S} if there is a proper, nontrivial subspace $V \subset \mathbb{F}^r$ such that for each $0 \leq s \leq p$ there is a basis for V given by a subset of the columns of $g_s \in GL_r(\mathbb{F})$. Otherwise, the intersection is (stably) contractible. Hence $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{F}^r) \simeq \Sigma^{\infty} \Sigma D''(\mathbb{F}^r)$, where $D''(\mathbb{F}^r)$ is the simplicial complex with vertices the elements g of $GL_r(\mathbb{F})$, such that $\{g_0, \dots, g_p\}$ span a p -simplex if and only if there is a $0 \subsetneq V \subsetneq \mathbb{F}^r$ such that for each $0 \leq s \leq p$ a subset of the columns of g_s is a basis for V .

For each $0 \subsetneq V \subsetneq \mathbb{F}^r$ the set of $g \in GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ such that a subset of the columns of g is a basis for V span a contractible subspace $C(V) \subset D''(\mathbb{F}^r)$. A p -fold intersection

$$C(V_0) \cap \cdots \cap C(V_p)$$

is contractible if there exists a single $g \in GL_r(\mathbb{F})$ such that for each $0 \leq t \leq p$ a subset of the columns of g is a basis for V_t . In other words, the intersection is contractible if $\{V_0, \dots, V_p\}$ admit a common basis. Otherwise the intersection is empty. This proves that $D''(\mathbb{F}^r) \simeq D'(\mathbb{F}^r)$. \square

Conjecture 13.3 (Connectivity). $\tilde{H}_* D'(\mathbb{F}^r)$ is concentrated in degree $(2r - 3)$.

Example 13.4. For $r = 2$, $D'(\mathbb{F}^2)$ is the complete graph on the set $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F})$ of lines $L \subset \mathbb{F}^2$. It is connected, hence its homology $\tilde{H}_* D'(\mathbb{F}^2)$ is concentrated in degree 1. Thus $\Delta_2(\mathbb{F})$ is the homology of the complete graph on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F})$, as previously claimed.

REFERENCES

- [Rog92] John Rognes, *A spectrum level rank filtration in algebraic K-theory*, Topology **31** (1992), no. 4, 813–845, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(92)90012-7. MR1191383

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, NORWAY
Email address: rognes@math.uio.no