Spin connection and cosmological perturbations in scalar-torsion gravity.

Alexey V. Toporensky[1,2*]
[1)] *SAI MSU, Moscow, Russia*
[2)] *Institute of Physics, Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya street 18, 420008 Kazan, Russia*

Petr V. Tretyakov[3†]
[3)] *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Joliot-Curie 6, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia*

In this paper we generalize our previous results on spin connection for the linear scalar cosmological perturbation in $f(T)$ theory to wider class of theories which includes a scalar field Φ non-minimally coupled to torsion, and allows Φ-dependence of the function f. The case of $f_{TT} = 0$, with a scalar field non-minimally coupled to torsion needs a special treatment. In both considered cases we derive self-consistent equations of motion for cosmological perturbation using a solution for non-trivial spin connection.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd, 98.80.-k, 98.80.Cq

arXiv:2110.12332v1 [gr-qc] 24 Oct 2021

Recently new type of modified gravity theories based on generalizations of Teleparallel Equivalent of General Relativity (TEGR) became very popular topic of intense investigations. In TEGR it is the torsion T which encodes the deviation from Minkowski space, while in classical formulation of General Relativity (GR) this role is played by the curvature R [1]. As curvature-free connection is not unique (in contrast to torsion-free Levi-Civita connections uniquely determined by metric), the additional structure in the form of tetrad field is required for the correct formulation of the theory [2]. This tetrad field decouples from the resulting equations of motion. The modification of TEGR is usually made by the same method as in modified gravity based on GR, replacing the torsion scalar T in the action by some function $f(T)$. It is known that despite TEGR is equivalent to GR at the level of equations of motion, $f(T)$ and $f(R)$ theories with the same function f are different theories [3]. A nice feature of $f(T)$ theory is that its equations of motion are second order differential equations in contrast to fourth order differential equations for a $f(R)$ theory. This fact may be considered as a significant advantage of $f(T)$ modifications of gravity [7–16]. However, this class of theories has a serious problem already at the level of equations of motions [17, 18]. In the first version of the theory it appears that only a special tetrad (a "good tetrad") is required on order to get consistent equations of motion [6, 19]. Otherwise, equations requires that second derivative of the function f with respect to T (usually denoted as $f_{TT}(T)$) should vanish, with simply means that we are back in TEGR [21].

Later, new version of the theory have been invented, in this version it is possible to use any tetrad if it is accompanied by suitable spin connection [27–31]. The problem of finding a "good tetrad" is then transfered into the problem to find an appropriate spin connections. In highly symmetric systems, like FRW cosmological background or spherically symmetric black holes, symmetry considerations are enough to find corresponding spin connections. However, situation is much less clear in more general systems.

One of the most important problem in cosmology (from both theoretical and observational perspectives) is to study evolution of small perturbations over a cosmological background. From the viewpoint of good tetrad this problem have been considered in [25, 26]. As for the covariant approach, in the perturbed system we can not use any more symmetry properties of the FRW solution. It is not even known if the problem of finding spin connection for a perturbed cosmological system has a unique solution. In our recent paper [38] we show that general properties of spin connections allows us to find at least one particular form of spin connection, leading to consistent equations of motion for linear scalar cosmological perturbations on FRW background. In the present paper we generalize this result for more general class of modified gravity theories, which include a non-minimally coupled scalar field [13, 33–37]. It is known that non-minimal coupling to torsion leads to a theory different from the theory with the same coupling to curvature, so scalar-torsion theories represents one more class of modified gravity theories. In the present paper we assume that both $f(T)$ modification and a scalar field with non-minimal coupling to torsion are present in the theory. Our considerations mostly follow those of [38], so we mostly concentrate on differences between that paper and the present more general situation. Some basic issues related to spin connections are repeated to make the present paper self-consistent

We start with a brief outline of the properties of covariant formulation of teleparallel gravity. Within this approach

*Electronic address: atopor@rambler.ru
†Electronic address: tpv@theor.jinr.ru

we use the following definition of connections

$$\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} = e_A{}^{\lambda}\left(\partial_\nu e^A{}_\mu + \omega^A{}_{B\nu} e^B{}_\mu\right), \tag{1}$$

where $\omega^A{}_{B\nu}$ is so called spin connection.

One of the important consequence of this approach is possibility to restore local Lorentz invariance of the theory. To do this the spin connection should satisfy the set of important conditions. First of all it is antisymmetric with respect to Latin indexes:

$$\omega^{AB}{}_\mu = -\omega^{BA}{}_\mu, \tag{2}$$

where Latin indexes both must be in upper or lower position. Second, spin connection are flat in the sense that

$$R^A{}_{B\mu\nu}(\omega^A{}_{B\mu}) = \partial_\mu \omega^A{}_{B\nu} - \partial_\nu \omega^A{}_{B\mu} + \omega^A{}_{C\mu}\omega^C{}_{B\nu} - \omega^A{}_{C\nu}\omega^C{}_{B\mu} = 0. \tag{3}$$

All this philosophy assumes that tetrad, which correspond to our metric is not defined uniquely, but only up to a local Lorenz transformation, which transforms the spin connection as well [29]

$$e'^A{}_\mu = \Lambda^A{}_B e^B{}_\mu, \quad \omega'^A{}_{B\mu} = \Lambda^A{}_C \omega^C{}_{F\mu}\Lambda_B{}^F + \Lambda^A{}_C \partial_\mu \Lambda_B{}^C, \tag{4}$$

where $\Lambda_A{}^B$ is the inverse of the Lorenz transformation matrix $\Lambda^A{}_B$. The third condition to be satisfied is the metricity condition

$$\partial_\mu e^A{}_\nu + \omega^A{}_{B\mu} e^B{}_\nu - \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\nu\mu} e^A{}_\lambda = 0. \tag{5}$$

The definitions of torsion and contorsion are the same as in the old variant of the theory with zero spin connections,

$$T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\nu\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}, \quad K^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} - \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu},$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma g_{\mu\nu})$ is the Levi-Civita connection, however, all tensors depend on spin connection implicitly through (1).

The torsion scalar can be written in the form

$$T \equiv \frac{1}{2} S_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} T^{\lambda\mu\nu} T_{\lambda\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} T^{\lambda\mu\nu} T_{\nu\mu\lambda} - T_{\lambda\mu}{}^{\lambda} T^{\nu\mu}{}_\nu, \tag{6}$$

where

$$S_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} \equiv (K^{\mu\nu}{}_\lambda + \delta^\mu_\lambda T^{\alpha\nu}{}_\alpha - \delta^\nu_\lambda T^{\alpha\mu}{}_\alpha) \tag{7}$$

and the action of teleparallel gravity for the $f(T)$ theory can be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x\, e\, f(T), \tag{8}$$

where $e = det(e^A{}_\mu) = \sqrt{-g}$ and κ^2 is the gravitational constant. Variation of action (8) with respect to tetrad field give us equation of motion in the following form

$$e^{-1}\partial_\mu(e S_A{}^{\nu\mu}) f' - e_A{}^{\lambda} T^{\rho}{}_{\mu\lambda} S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} f' + S_A{}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu(T) f'' + \frac{1}{2} e_A{}^{\nu} f = \kappa^2 e_A{}^{\rho} \Theta^{\nu}_{\rho}, \tag{9}$$

which is also may be rewritten in equivalent form [32]

$$\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv f'(\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \overset{\circ}{R}) + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}[f(T) - f'T] + f'' S_{\nu\mu\lambda}\nabla^\lambda T = \kappa^2 \Theta_{\mu\nu}, \tag{10}$$

where $\overset{\circ}{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \overset{\circ}{R}$ should be calculated using Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}$, and $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ is the matter energy-momentum tensor.

The covariant formulation of theory assumes that spin connection and tetrad are independent gravitational variables. So that, there is additional equation of motion, which appears due to variation with respect to spin connection:

$$\partial_\mu f_T \left[\partial_\nu (e e_{[A}{}^{\mu} e_{B]}{}^{\nu}) + 2 e e_{C}{}^{[\mu} e_{[A}^{\nu]} \omega^{C}{}_{B]\nu}\right] = 0, \tag{11}$$

and this equation may be interpreted as equation for spin connection.

It was proved [30] that equation (11) coincides with non-symmetric part of equation of motion in the old formulation of teleparallel gravity, and therefore in the covariant formulation of teleparallel gravity the equation of motion is symmetric automatically.

In the present paper we consider more general teleparallel theory with the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x\, e\, [f(T, \Phi) + Z(\Phi) \partial^\alpha \Phi \partial_\alpha \Phi], \tag{12}$$

The equations for tetrad and scalar field are[29]:

$$\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv f_T \overset{\circ}{G}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}[f - f_T T] + S_{\nu\mu\lambda} \nabla^\lambda f_T - Z \nabla_\mu \Phi \nabla_\nu \Phi + \frac{1}{2} Z g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\alpha \Phi \nabla_\alpha \Phi = \kappa^2 \Theta_{\mu\nu}, \tag{13}$$

$$f_\Phi - Z_\Phi \partial^\alpha \Phi \partial_\alpha \Phi - 2Z \overset{\circ}{\Box} \Phi = 0. \tag{14}$$

As the spin connection enters the action of the theory only through the torsion scalar T, the equation for spin connection is the same as in $f(T)$ theory:

$$\partial_\mu f_T \left[\partial_\nu (e e_{[A}{}^{\mu} e_{B]}{}^{\nu}) + 2 e e_{C}{}^{[\mu} e_{[A}^{\nu]} \omega^{C}{}_{B]\nu}\right] = 0, \tag{15}$$

note, however, that now $\partial_\mu f_T = f_{TT} \partial_\mu T + f_{T\Phi} \partial_\mu \Phi$, so scalar field enters into this equation implicitly.

To investigate the problem of cosmological perturbations we write down the perturbed FRW-metric in the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = diag\big[(1 + 2\psi), -a^2(1 + 2\phi), -a^2(1 + 2\phi), -a^2(1 + 2\phi)\big], \tag{16}$$

and corresponding tetrad field

$$e^A_{\ \mu} = diag\big[1 + \psi, a(1 + \phi), a(1 + \phi), a(1 + \phi)\big], \tag{17}$$

where $a = a(t)$ is scale factor and $\psi = \psi(t, x, y, z)$, $\phi = \phi(t, x, y, z)$ are small perturbations of metric. The inverse metric and tetrad field matrix may be easily found as

$$g^{\mu\nu} = diag\big[(1 - 2\psi), -a^{-2}(1 - 2\phi), -a^{-2}(1 - 2\phi), -a^{-2}(1 - 2\phi)\big],$$

and

$$e_A^{\ \mu} = diag\big[1 - \psi, a^{-1}(1 - \phi), a^{-1}(1 - \phi), a^{-1}(1 - \phi)\big].$$

We have chosen the Cartesian tetrad since it is a proper tetrad for the unperturbed system. So that the spin connection can be set to zero without perturbations, and non-zero values of spin connection should be of the order of perturbations.

We start with antisymmetry condition (2) for spin connection. It mean that in the most general case there are 24 independent non-trivial components (all $\omega^A{}_{A\mu} = 0$) The independent $\omega^A{}_{B\mu}$ are:

$$\omega^0{}_{10},\ \omega^0{}_{11},\ \omega^0{}_{12},\ \omega^0{}_{13},\ \omega^0{}_{20},\ \omega^0{}_{21},\ \omega^0{}_{22},\ \omega^0{}_{23},\ \omega^0{}_{30},\ \omega^0{}_{31},\ \omega^0{}_{32},\ \omega^0{}_{33},$$

$$\omega^1{}_{20},\ \omega^1{}_{21},\ \omega^1{}_{22},\ \omega^1{}_{23},\ \omega^1{}_{30},\ \omega^1{}_{31},\ \omega^1{}_{32},\ \omega^1{}_{33},\ \omega^2{}_{30},\ \omega^2{}_{31},\ \omega^2{}_{32},\ \omega^2{}_{33},$$

and the dependent are

$$\omega^1{}_{00} = \omega^0{}_{10},\ \omega^1{}_{01} = \omega^0{}_{11},\ \omega^1{}_{02} = \omega^0{}_{12},\ \omega^1{}_{03} = \omega^0{}_{13},\ \omega^2{}_{00} = \omega^0{}_{20},\ \omega^2{}_{01} = \omega^0{}_{21},$$

$$\omega^2{}_{02} = \omega^0{}_{22},\ \omega^2{}_{03} = \omega^0{}_{23},\ \omega^3{}_{00} = \omega^0{}_{30},\ \omega^3{}_{01} = \omega^0{}_{31},\ \omega^3{}_{02} = \omega^0{}_{32},\ \omega^3{}_{03} = \omega^0{}_{33},$$

$$\omega^2{}_{10} = -\omega^1{}_{20},\ \omega^2{}_{11} = -\omega^1{}_{21},\ \omega^2{}_{12} = -\omega^1{}_{22},\ \omega^2{}_{13} = -\omega^1{}_{23},\ \omega^3{}_{10} = -\omega^1{}_{30},\ \omega^3{}_{11} = -\omega^1{}_{31},$$

$$\omega^3{}_{12} = -\omega^1{}_{32},\ \omega^3{}_{13} = -\omega^1{}_{33},\ \omega^3{}_{20} = -\omega^2{}_{30},\ \omega^3{}_{21} = -\omega^2{}_{31},\ \omega^3{}_{22} = -\omega^2{}_{32},\ \omega^3{}_{23} = -\omega^2{}_{33},$$

all this values depend on all coordinates in the most general case.

Now taking the tetrad (17) and all 24 independent components of $\omega^{A}{}_{B\mu}$ we can calculate all values which is needed for equation of motion (13), keeping only linear terms with respect to ψ, ϕ, $\omega^{A}{}_{B\mu}$ and their derivatives. As the expressions for $T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}$ and $S^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}$ are rather combersome, we write down here final results only for torsion scalar and for $S_{ikl}\partial^{l} f_T$ product because they are both important for equations of motion.

Calculation for the torsion scalar give us the following result:

$$T = -6H^2 + 12H^2\psi - 12H\dot{\phi} + 4\frac{H}{a}\big(\omega^{0}{}_{11} + \omega^{0}{}_{22} + \omega^{0}{}_{33}\big), \tag{18}$$

and we can see that in spite of the most general form of spin connection only three components are present in this expression. For the scalar field perturbations we take $\Phi = \Phi(t) + \delta\Phi(t,x,y,z) \equiv \Phi_0 + \delta\Phi$.

Consider again the equation of motion (13). Any non-symmetric term can arise from the only source $S_{\nu\mu\lambda}\nabla^{\lambda} f_T = f_{TT} S_{\nu\mu\lambda}\nabla^{\lambda} T + f_{T\Phi} S_{\nu\mu\lambda}\nabla^{\lambda}\Phi \equiv f_{TT} M_{\nu\mu} + f_{T\Phi} N_{\nu\mu}$. Calculating its components up to the first order in perturbations we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{00} = &\quad 0, && (19)\\
M_{01} = &\quad 12\frac{\dot{a}}{a^3}\big(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2\big)\big(\omega^{1}{}_{22} + \omega^{1}{}_{33} + 2\phi_x\big), && (20)\\
M_{02} = &\quad 12\frac{\dot{a}}{a^3}\big(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2\big)\big(\omega^{2}{}_{33} - \omega^{1}{}_{21} + 2\phi_y\big), && (21)\\
M_{03} = &\quad 12\frac{\dot{a}}{a^3}\big(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2\big)\big(-\omega^{1}{}_{31} - \omega^{2}{}_{32} + 2\phi_z\big), && (22)\\
M_{10} = &\quad -8\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(\omega^{0}{}_{11} + \omega^{0}{}_{22} + \omega^{0}{}_{33} - 3a\phi_t + 3\dot{a}\psi\Big), && (23)\\
M_{11} = &\quad M_0 + M_* + 12\frac{H}{a}(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2)\omega^{0}{}_{11}, && (24)\\
M_{12} = &\quad 12\frac{\dot{a}}{a^2}\big(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2\big)\omega^{0}{}_{21}, && (25)\\
M_{13} = &\quad 12\frac{\dot{a}}{a^2}\big(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2\big)\omega^{0}{}_{31}, && (26)\\
M_{20} = &\quad -8\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^3}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big(\omega^{0}{}_{11} + \omega^{0}{}_{22} + \omega^{0}{}_{33} - 3a\phi_t + 3\dot{a}\psi\Big), && (27)\\
M_{21} = &\quad 12\frac{\dot{a}}{a^2}\big(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2\big)\omega^{0}{}_{12}, && (28)\\
M_{22} = &\quad M_0 + M_* + 12\frac{H}{a}(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2)\omega^{0}{}_{22}, && (29)\\
M_{23} = &\quad 12\frac{\dot{a}}{a^2}\big(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2\big)\omega^{0}{}_{32}, && (30)\\
M_{30} = &\quad -8\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^3}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big(\omega^{0}{}_{11} + \omega^{0}{}_{22} + \omega^{0}{}_{33} - 3a\phi_t + 3\dot{a}\psi\Big), && (31)\\
M_{31} = &\quad 12\frac{\dot{a}}{a^2}\big(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2\big)\omega^{0}{}_{13}, && (32)\\
M_{32} = &\quad 12\frac{\dot{a}}{a^2}\big(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2\big)\omega^{0}{}_{23}, && (33)\\
M_{33} = &\quad M_0 + M_* + 12\frac{H}{a}(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2)\omega^{0}{}_{33}, && (34)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
M_0 = &\quad -24\Big[H^2(\dot{a}^2 - a\ddot{a})\Big] - 24\Big[H^2 a\dot{a}\dot{\psi} - 4H^2(\dot{a}^2 - a\ddot{a})\psi + 2H^2(\dot{a}^2 - a\ddot{a})\phi + 2H(\dot{a}^2 - a\ddot{a})\dot{\phi} - \dot{a}^2\ddot{\phi}\Big] && (35)\\
M_* = &\quad 4\frac{H}{a}\Big[(7\dot{a}^2 - 5a\ddot{a})(\omega^{0}{}_{11} + \omega^{0}{}_{22} + \omega^{0}{}_{33}) - 2a\dot{a}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\omega^{0}{}_{11} + \omega^{0}{}_{22} + \omega^{0}{}_{33})\Big], && (36)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$N_{00} = \quad 0, \tag{37}$$
$$N_{01} = \quad -\dot{\Phi}_0(\omega^1{}_{22} + \omega^1{}_{33} + 2\phi_x), \tag{38}$$
$$N_{02} = \quad -\dot{\Phi}_0(\omega^2{}_{33} - \omega^1{}_{21} + 2\phi_y), \tag{39}$$
$$N_{03} = \quad -\dot{\Phi}_0(-\omega^1{}_{31} - \omega^2{}_{32} + 2\phi_z), \tag{40}$$
$$N_{10} = \quad -2H\delta\Phi_x, \tag{41}$$
$$N_{11} = \quad N_0 + N_* - a\dot{\Phi}_0\omega^0{}_{11}, \tag{42}$$
$$N_{12} = \quad -a\dot{\Phi}_0\omega^0{}_{21}, \tag{43}$$
$$N_{13} = \quad -a\dot{\Phi}_0\omega^0{}_{31}, \tag{44}$$
$$N_{20} = \quad -2H\delta\Phi_y, \tag{45}$$
$$N_{21} = \quad -a\dot{\Phi}_0\omega^0{}_{12}, \tag{46}$$
$$N_{22} = \quad N_0 + N_* - a\dot{\Phi}_0\omega^0{}_{22}, \tag{47}$$
$$N_{23} = \quad -a\dot{\Phi}_0\omega^0{}_{32}, \tag{48}$$
$$N_{30} = \quad -2H\delta\Phi_z, \tag{49}$$
$$N_{31} = \quad -a\dot{\Phi}_0\omega^0{}_{13}, \tag{50}$$
$$N_{32} = \quad -a\dot{\Phi}_0\omega^0{}_{23}, \tag{51}$$
$$N_{33} = \quad N_0 + N_* - a\dot{\Phi}_0\omega^0{}_{33}, \tag{52}$$

with

$$N_0 = \quad -2a\dot{a}\dot{\Phi}_0 + 4a\dot{a}\dot{\Phi}_0\psi - 2a\dot{a}\delta\dot{\Phi} - 2a\dot{\Phi}_0(a\dot{\phi} + 2\dot{a}\phi), \tag{53}$$
$$N_* = \quad a\dot{\Phi}_0(\omega^0{}_{11} + \omega^0{}_{22} + \omega^0{}_{33}). \tag{54}$$

We can see that for the non-symmetric part to vanish it is needed that

$$f_{TT}M_{\nu\mu} + f_{T\Phi}N_{\nu\mu} = f_{TT}M_{\mu\nu} + f_{T\Phi}N_{\mu\nu}, \tag{55}$$

so we are forced to put

$$\omega^0{}_{ij} = \omega^0{}_{ji},$$

and

$$\big(12H\dot{H}f^0_{TT} - \dot{\Phi}_0 f^0_{T\Phi}\big)\big(\omega^1{}_{22} + \omega^1{}_{33}\big) = \quad 2\partial_x\left[4Hf^0_{TT}\big(3H\dot{\phi} - 3\dot{H}\phi - 3H^2\psi - \frac{H}{a}\omega^0\big) + f^0_{T\Phi}\big(\dot{\Phi}_0\phi - H\delta\Phi\big)\right], \tag{56}$$
$$\big(12H\dot{H}f^0_{TT} - \dot{\Phi}_0 f^0_{T\Phi}\big)\big(\omega^2{}_{33} - \omega^1{}_{21}\big) = \quad 2\partial_y\left[4Hf^0_{TT}\big(3H\dot{\phi} - 3\dot{H}\phi - 3H^2\psi - \frac{H}{a}\omega^0\big) + f^0_{T\Phi}\big(\dot{\Phi}_0\phi - H\delta\Phi\big)\right], \tag{57}$$
$$-\big(12H\dot{H}f^0_{TT} - \dot{\Phi}_0 f^0_{T\Phi}\big)\big(\omega^1{}_{31} + \omega^2{}_{32}\big) = \quad 2\partial_z\left[4Hf^0_{TT}\big(3H\dot{\phi} - 3\dot{H}\phi - 3H^2\psi - \frac{H}{a}\omega^0\big) + f^0_{T\Phi}\big(\dot{\Phi}_0\phi - H\delta\Phi\big)\right], \tag{58}$$

where we denote $\omega^0 \equiv \omega^0{}_{11} + \omega^0{}_{22} + \omega^0{}_{33}$ and $f^0_{TT} \equiv f_{TT}(T_0, \Phi_0)$, $f^0_{T\Phi} \equiv f_{T\Phi}(T_0, \Phi_0)$.

The general form of the flatness condition is the same as in [38], so we briefly repeat the corresponding expressions. We can neglect two last terms in (3), because they are second order in perturbations. Thus the conditions can be presented in the following form

$$\partial_\mu\omega^A{}_{B\nu} = \partial_\nu\omega^A{}_{B\mu},$$

which implies that

$$\omega^0{}_{10} = a_{1t},\ \ \omega^0{}_{11} = a_{1x},\ \ \omega^0{}_{12} = a_{1y},\ \ \omega^0{}_{13} = a_{1z}, \tag{59}$$
$$\omega^0{}_{20} = a_{2t},\ \ \omega^0{}_{21} = a_{2x},\ \ \omega^0{}_{22} = a_{2y},\ \ \omega^0{}_{23} = a_{2z}, \tag{60}$$
$$\omega^0{}_{30} = a_{3t},\ \ \omega^0{}_{31} = a_{3x},\ \ \omega^0{}_{32} = a_{3y},\ \ \omega^0{}_{33} = a_{3z}, \tag{61}$$
$$\omega^1{}_{20} = b_{1t},\ \ \omega^1{}_{21} = b_{1x},\ \ \omega^1{}_{22} = b_{1y},\ \ \omega^1{}_{23} = b_{1z}, \tag{62}$$
$$\omega^1{}_{30} = b_{2t},\ \ \omega^1{}_{31} = b_{2x},\ \ \omega^1{}_{32} = b_{2y},\ \ \omega^1{}_{33} = b_{2z}, \tag{63}$$
$$\omega^2{}_{30} = c_t,\quad \omega^2{}_{31} = c_x,\quad \omega^2{}_{32} = c_y,\quad \omega^2{}_{33} = c_z, \tag{64}$$

where a_i, b_i and c are some functions of the coordinates (t, x, y, z) and index $\mu = t, x, y, z$ denotes differentiation with respect to coordinate.

We now start to search for a solution for spin connections. First of all we write down $\{ij\}$-components of equation (13) for $i \neq j$:

$$f_T^0 \overset{\circ}{R}_{ij} + f_{TT}^0 M_{ij} + f_{T\Phi}^0 N_{ij} \underset{i\neq j}{=} -f_T^0 \partial_i \partial_j (\phi + \psi) + \left(12 f_{TT}^0 H\dot{H}a - f_{T\Phi}^0 a\dot{\Phi}_0\right) \omega^0{}_{ij} = \kappa^2 \pi_{ij}. \tag{65}$$

Implying zero anisotropic stress and using the parametrization (59)-(61), which tell us that $\omega^0{}_{ij} = \omega^0{}_{ji} = \partial_j a_i$, we rewrite equation (65) as follows

$$f_T^0 \partial_i \partial_j (\phi + \psi) = \left(12 f_{TT}^0 H\dot{H}a - f_{T\Phi}^0 a\dot{\Phi}_0\right) \partial_j a_i,$$

which after integration gives us a general expression for a_i functions:

$$a_i = A_0 \partial_i (\phi + \psi), \tag{66}$$

where we denote

$$A_0 \equiv \frac{f_T^0}{12 f_{TT}^0 H\dot{H}a - f_{T\Phi}^0 a\dot{\Phi}_0}. \tag{67}$$

Solution (66) allows us to find a half of the components of spin connection set:

$$\omega^0{}_{i\mu} = A_0 \partial_i \partial_\mu (\phi + \psi). \tag{68}$$

In particular, we have

$$\omega^0 = A_0 \triangle (\phi + \psi). \tag{69}$$

To write down the remaining half of components of spin connection let us go back to the system (56)-(58). As in the pure $f(T)$ case [38], it can be rewritten in the form

$$\mathbf{rot}\overrightarrow{B} = 2\mathbf{grad}\Psi,$$

where 3d vectors depend now on the scalar field perturbations as well: $\overrightarrow{B}$ is the 3d vector with the components $\overrightarrow{B} = \left(12H\dot{H}f_{TT}^0 - \dot{\Phi}_0 f_{T\Phi}^0\right)(c,\ -b_2,\ b_1)$ and $\Psi = 4Hf_{TT}^0\left(3H\dot{\phi} - 3\dot{H}\phi - 3H^2\psi - \frac{H}{a}\omega^0\right) + f_{T\Phi}^0\left(\dot{\Phi}_0\phi - H\delta\Phi\right)$. After taking the divergence from left and right sides of the previous equation we find the following relation for perturbations

$$\triangle\left(4Hf_{TT}^0\left(3H\dot{\phi} - 3\dot{H}\phi - 3H^2\psi - \frac{H}{a}\omega^0\right) + f_{T\Phi}^0\left(\dot{\Phi}_0\phi - H\delta\Phi\right)\right) = 0, \tag{70}$$

this expression together with (69) can be used to determine the relation between ϕ and ψ, known as the gravitational slip. The simplest solution of this equation is

$$4Hf_{TT}^0\left(3H\dot{\phi} - 3\dot{H}\phi - 3H^2\psi - \frac{H}{a}\omega^0\right) + f_{T\Phi}^0\left(\dot{\Phi}_0\phi - H\delta\Phi\right) = 0, \tag{71}$$

and therefore we have

$$\mathbf{rot}\overrightarrow{B} = 0.$$

This indicates that the vector $\overrightarrow{B}$ is a gradient of some function α, and the solution for remaining components of spin connection is

$$c = \partial_x \alpha,\ \ b_2 = -\partial_y \alpha,\ \ b_1 = \partial_z \alpha, \tag{72}$$

where α is some function of the order of perturbations, its explicit form is not needed for closing the system of equations for perturbations.

Having the spin connection coefficients we can now turn to equations of motion. First of all note that we write equations for perturbations using (10) with one upper and one lower indexes, which is more conventional for this task.

$$\bar{G}^{\mu}_{\nu} \equiv f_T \overset{\circ}{G}^{\mu}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}[f(T) - f_T T] + f_{TT} M^{\mu}_{\nu} + f_{T\Phi} N^{\mu}_{\nu} - Z\nabla^{\mu}\Phi\nabla_{\nu}\Phi + \frac{1}{2}Z\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\nabla^{\alpha}\Phi\nabla_{\alpha}\Phi = \kappa^2\Theta^{\mu}_{\nu}. \tag{73}$$

Energy-momentum tensor has the following form

$$\Theta^{\mu}_{\nu} = (\rho + p)u^{\mu}u_{\nu} - \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}p, \tag{74}$$

and

$$\Theta^{0}_{0} = \delta\rho, \tag{75}$$

$$\Theta^{0}_{i} = -(p + \rho)\partial_i\delta u, \tag{76}$$

$$\Theta^{i}_{j} = -\delta^{i}_{j}\delta p, \tag{77}$$

Non-trivial components of Einstein tensor $\overset{\circ}{G}{}^{\mu}_{\nu} \equiv \overset{\circ}{R}{}^{\mu}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\overset{\circ}{R}$ read

$$\overset{\circ}{G}{}^{0}_{0} = 3H^2 + 2\left(3H\dot{\phi} - 3H^2\psi - \frac{1}{a^2}\triangle\phi\right), \tag{78}$$

$$\overset{\circ}{G}{}^{0}_{i} = -2\partial_i\left(\dot{\phi} - H\psi\right), \tag{79}$$

$$\overset{\circ}{G}{}^{i}_{i} = 2\dot{H} + 3H^2 + 2\left(\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} - H\dot{\psi} - 3H^2\psi - 2\dot{H}\psi\right) - \frac{1}{a^2}\left[\triangle(\phi + \psi) - \partial_i\partial_i(\phi + \psi)\right], \tag{80}$$

To derive equations we need the following components of M^{μ}_{ν}:

$$M^{0}_{i} = 24H^2\partial_i\big(\dot{\phi} - H\psi - \frac{1}{3a}\omega^0\big), \tag{81}$$

$$M^{i}_{i} = a^{-2}(2\phi - 1)M_0 - a^{-2}(-8H\dot{a}\dot{\omega}^0 + 28H^2\dot{a}\omega^0 - 20H\ddot{a}\omega^0 + 12\frac{H}{a}(a\ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2)\omega^0{}_{ii}), \tag{82}$$

and of N^{μ}_{ν}:

$$N^{0}_{i} = -2H\partial_i\delta\Phi, \tag{83}$$

$$N^{i}_{i} = 2H\dot{\Phi}_0 + 2\dot{\Phi}_0\dot{\phi} - 4H\dot{\Phi}_0\psi + 2H\delta\dot{\Phi} - \frac{1}{a}\dot{\Phi}_0(\omega^0 - \omega^0{}_{ii}). \tag{84}$$

Note that we still have auxiliary variable in expressions, which can be excluded by using the relation (71). Together with (69) it produces the relation between ϕ and ψ perturbations, determing the gravitational slip. As for the expression for $\omega^0{}_{ii}$, it be found by using (68). After such kind of manipulations and simplifications we have instead of (82)

$$\begin{aligned} M^{i}_{i} = \quad & -12H\dot{H}\frac{f^0_T}{12f^0_{TT}H\dot{H} - f^0_{T\Phi}\dot{\Phi}_0}\frac{1}{a^2}\partial_i\partial_i(\phi + \psi) - 12\left(3\dot{H}^2\phi + 2H\ddot{H}\phi + H\dot{H}\dot{\phi} - H^2\dot{H}\psi\right) \\ & + \frac{f^0_{T\Phi}}{f^0_{TT}}\left(2\dot{\Phi}_0\dot{\phi} + 2\ddot{\Phi}_0\phi + \frac{\dot{H}}{H}\dot{\Phi}_0\phi - 2H\delta\dot{\Phi} - 3\dot{H}\delta\Phi\right) + 2\left(\dot{\Phi}_0\phi - H\delta\Phi\right)\frac{1}{f^0_{TT}}\left(-12H\dot{H}f^0_{TT\Phi} + f^0_{T\Phi\Phi}\dot{\Phi}_0\right) \\ & -2\frac{f^0_{T\Phi}}{(f^0_{TT})^2}\left(\dot{\Phi}_0\phi - H\delta\Phi\right)\left(-12H\dot{H}f^0_{TTT} + f^0_{TT\Phi}\dot{\Phi}_0\right) - 24H^2\dot{H}, \end{aligned}$$

and instead of (84)

$$N_i^i = 2H\dot\Phi_0 - \dot\Phi_0\dot\phi - H\dot\Phi_0\psi + 2H\delta\dot\Phi + 3\dot\Phi_0\frac{\dot H}{H}\phi - \frac{1}{3H^2}\dot\Phi_0\frac{f^0_{T\Phi}}{f^0_{TT}}\left(\dot\Phi_0\phi - H\delta\Phi\right) + \dot\Phi_0\frac{f^0_T}{12f^0_{TT}H\dot H - f^0_{T\Phi}\dot\Phi_0}\frac{1}{a^2}\partial_i\partial_i(\phi+\psi).$$

Finally decomposing derivatives of function f and Z in Taylor series near the point $T = T_0 \equiv -6H^2$, $\Phi = \Phi_0$ we have

$$f = f^0 + f^0_T\delta T + f^0_\Phi\delta\Phi,$$

$$f_T = f^0_T + f^0_{TT}\delta T + f^0_{T\Phi}\delta\Phi,$$

$$f_{TT} = f^0_{TT} + f^0_{TTT}\delta T + f^0_{TT\Phi}\delta\Phi,$$

$$f_{T\Phi} = f^0_{T\Phi} + f^0_{TT\Phi}\delta T + f^0_{T\Phi\Phi}\delta\Phi,$$

$$Z = Z^0 + Z^0_\Phi\delta\Phi,$$

where $f^0_T = f_T|_{T=T_0,\Phi=\Phi_0}$ etc. Remembering that according to (18) $\delta T = 12H^2\psi - 12H\dot\phi + 4\frac{H}{a}A_0\triangle(\phi+\psi)$, we can collect all terms and get

$$\bar G^0_0 = \quad 2f^0_T\left(3H\dot\phi - 3H^2\psi - \frac{1}{a^2}\triangle\phi\right) - 72H^2\dot H f^0_{TT}\phi + 6H\dot\Phi_0 f^0_{T\Phi}\phi + \frac{1}{2}f^0_\Phi\delta\Phi + \sigma^0_0 = \kappa^2\delta\rho, \tag{85}$$

$$\bar G^0_i = \quad -2f^0_T\partial_i(\dot\phi - H\psi) + 24f^0_{TT}H\dot H\partial_i\phi - 2\dot\Phi_0 f^0_{T\Phi}\partial_i\phi + \sigma^0_i = -\kappa^2(p+\rho)\partial_i\delta u, \tag{86}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar G^i_i = \quad & 2f^0_T\left(\ddot\phi + 3H\dot\phi - H\dot\psi - 3H^2\psi - 2\dot H\psi - \frac{1}{2a^2}\triangle(\phi+\psi)\right) + \frac{1}{2}f^0_\Phi\delta\Phi \\ & +288H^2\dot H^2 f^0_{TTT}\phi - 12Hf^0_{TT}\left(H\dot H\dot\phi + 2\ddot H H\phi + 5\dot H^2\phi + 6H^2\dot H\phi - H^2\dot H\psi\right) \\ & +f^0_{T\Phi}\left(6\frac{\dot H}{H}\dot\Phi_0\phi + 2\ddot\Phi_0\phi + 6H\dot\Phi_0\phi + \dot\Phi_0\dot\phi - H\dot\Phi_0\psi - 3\dot H\delta\Phi\right) - \frac{\dot\Phi_0}{3H^2}\frac{(f^0_{T\Phi})^2}{f^0_{TT}}\left(\dot\Phi_0\phi - H\delta\Phi\right) \\ & -48H\dot H f^0_{TT\Phi}\dot\Phi_0\phi + 2f^0_{T\Phi\Phi}\dot\Phi_0^2\phi + \sigma^i_i = -\kappa^2\delta p.\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

where we denote

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} \equiv -Z\nabla_\mu\Phi\nabla_\nu\Phi + \frac{1}{2}Zg_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\alpha\Phi\nabla_\alpha\Phi, \tag{88}$$

so that

$$\sigma^0_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\left(2Z^0\dot\Phi_0\delta\dot\Phi - 2Z^0\dot\Phi_0^2\psi + Z^0_\Phi\dot\Phi_0^2\delta\Phi\right), \quad \sigma^i_i = -Z^0\dot\Phi_0\delta\Phi, \quad \sigma^i_i = -\sigma^0_0. \tag{89}$$

Finally, the field equation (14) gives us

$$\begin{aligned}& -12\dot H f^0_{T\Phi}\phi + \frac{(f^0_{T\Phi})^2}{Hf^0_{TT}}(\dot\Phi_0\phi - H\delta\Phi) + f^0_{\Phi\Phi}\delta\Phi + 2Z^0_\Phi\dot\Phi_0^2\psi - 2Z^0_\Phi\dot\Phi_0\delta\dot\Phi - Z^0_{\Phi\Phi}\dot\Phi_0^2\delta\Phi - 2Z^0_\Phi(\ddot\Phi_0 + 3H\dot\Phi_0)\delta\Phi \\ & -2Z^0\left[(\delta\ddot\Phi + 3H\delta\dot\Phi) - 2\psi(\ddot\Phi_0 + 3H\dot\Phi_0) - \dot\Phi_0\dot\psi + 3\dot\Phi_0\dot\phi + \triangle\delta\Phi\right] = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

As for explicit equation for the gravitational slip, it can be found by using two different representations (69) and (71) for ω^0:

$$\frac{4Hf^0_T}{12H\dot{H}f^0_{TT}-f^0_{T\Phi}\dot{\Phi}_0}\frac{1}{a^2}\triangle(\phi+\psi)=12H\dot{\phi}-12\dot{H}\phi-12H^2\psi+\frac{f^0_{T\Phi}}{Hf^0_{TT}}(\dot{\Phi}_0\phi-H\delta\Phi). \tag{91}$$

In the most general case we have five variables ϕ, ψ, $\delta\rho$, δu and $\delta\Phi$. To find them have four equations (85)-(87), (90) and the slip relation (91).

Note, that an attempt to find a solution with a separate symmetry $M_{\mu\nu}=M_{\nu\mu}$ and $N_{\mu\nu}=N_{\nu\mu}$ would lead to an additional constraint on the value of a scalar field perturbation. Indeed, these conditions written for N_{0i} components shows that in this case we have $H\delta\Phi=\dot{\Phi}_0\phi$, making the whole system of equations overdetermined.

At that point we should note that resulting set of equations has no smooth limit for $f_{TT}\to 0$, as we see that f_{TT} enters in denominators in the equations (87), (90) and (91). This means that the case of a pure non-minimal coupling to torsion is still out of our considerations. To obtain equations for this special case we are forced to start from the very beginning.

Without loss of generality we can put $f\equiv TF(\Phi)$ and field equations (13), (14) take the form

$$\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}\equiv F\overset{\circ}{G}_{\mu\nu}+F_\Phi N_{\nu\mu}+\sigma_{\mu\nu}=\kappa^2\Theta_{\mu\nu}, \tag{92}$$

$$TF_\Phi-Z_\Phi\partial^\alpha\Phi\partial_\alpha\Phi-2Z\overset{\circ}{\Box}\Phi=0, \tag{93}$$

First of all we can see that symmetry conditions, instead of (55), are now

$$N_{\mu\nu}=N_{\nu\mu}, \tag{94}$$

moreover term $M_{\mu\nu}$ is totally disconnected from other equations and we do not need it any more. Nevertheless, the condition

$$\omega^0{}_{ij}=\omega^0{}_{ji},$$

still holds. Now from $\{ij\}$-components of equation (13) for $i\neq j$ we have the following solutions

$$\omega^0{}_{i\mu}=A_0\partial_i\partial_\mu(\phi+\psi), \tag{95}$$

and

$$\omega^0=A_0\triangle(\phi+\psi) \tag{96}$$

where, instead of (67),

$$A_0\equiv-\frac{f^0_T}{f^0_{T\Phi}a\dot{\Phi}_0}=-\frac{F^0}{F^0_\Phi a\dot{\Phi}_0}. \tag{97}$$

Solution of equation (70) takes in this case a very simple form

$$H\delta\Phi=\dot{\Phi}_0\phi, \tag{98}$$

whereas solution for spatial part of spin connection (72) is unchanged.

Now decomposing equation (92) and using our previous calculations for $\overset{\circ}{G}{}^\mu_\nu$ and N^μ_ν we obtain

$$\tilde{G}^0_0=\quad 2F^0\left(3H\dot{\phi}-3H^2\psi-\frac{1}{a^2}\triangle\phi\right)+3H^2F^0_\Phi\delta\Phi+\sigma^0_0=\kappa^2\delta\rho, \tag{99}$$

$$\tilde{G}^0_i=\quad -2F^0\partial_i(\dot{\phi}-H\psi)-2HF^0_\Phi\partial_i\delta\Phi+\sigma^0_i=-\kappa^2(p+\rho)\partial_i\delta u, \tag{100}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{G}^i_i=\quad & 2F^0\left(\ddot{\phi}+3H\dot{\phi}-H\dot{\psi}-3H^2\psi-2\dot{H}\psi\right)\\ & +F^0_\Phi\left(2\dot{H}\delta\Phi+3H^2\delta\Phi+2\dot{\Phi}_0\dot{\phi}-4H\dot{\Phi}_0\psi+2H\delta\dot{\Phi}\right)+2H\dot{\Phi}_0F^0_{\Phi\Phi}\delta\Phi+\sigma^i_i=-\kappa^2\delta p,\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

with σ^μ_ν (89).

Finally for field equation (93) we have

$$12HF^0_\Phi(H\psi-\dot\phi)-\frac{4H}{\dot\Phi_0}\frac{1}{a^2}\triangle(\phi+\psi)F^0-6H^2F^0_{\Phi\Phi}\delta\Phi+2Z^0_\Phi\dot\Phi_0^2\psi-2Z^0_\Phi\dot\Phi_0\delta\dot\Phi-Z^0_{\Phi\Phi}\dot\Phi_0^2\delta\Phi$$

$$-2Z^0_\Phi(\ddot\Phi_0+3H\dot\Phi_0)\delta\Phi-2Z^0\left[(\delta\ddot\Phi+3H\delta\dot\Phi)-2\psi(\ddot\Phi_0+3H\dot\Phi_0)-\dot\Phi_0\dot\psi+3\dot\Phi_0\dot\phi+\triangle\delta\Phi\right]=0. \quad (102)$$

Thus we can see that we have five equations (98)-(102) for five variables: ϕ, ψ, Φ, $\delta\rho$, δu. Note, that in the case of $f_{TT}=0$ fixing $\delta\Phi$ using (98) does not lead to any problems since now we have no analog of the slip equation – the non-vanishing part of (71) does not contain ω_0 which is connected with slip through (96). Nevertheless, we should treat two scalar potentials ϕ and ψ as an independent variables, otherwise the number of equations will be bigger than the number of unknown variables, so the slip is nontrivial for this special case as well.

In the present paper we have shown that the method of getting a consistent system of equations of motion for scalar linear cosmological perturbations in $f(T)$ theory can be easily generalized for a wider class of scalar-torsion theories where function f depends on torsion scalar T and a scalar field Φ. As in the case of $f(T)$ theory we do not consider the problem of uniqueness of spin connections, presenting instead a particular example of spin connections, leading to consistent equations of motion. We also have found that the general form of equations of motion can not be applied to the case of usual non-minimal coupling between scalar field and torsion, i.e. when $f_{TT}(T,\Phi)$=0. This case needs a separate treatment which is presented in our paper as well. We have written down equations of motion for this particular case, and note that they still require nontrivial gravitational slip, as in general $f(T,\Phi)$ case, though the resulting set of equations is a bit different.

Acknowledgments

The work of AT was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (RSF) grant 21-12-00130 and by the Kazan Federal University Strategic Academic Leadership Program. The work of PT was supported by the RFBR grant 20-02-00411 A.

[1] A. Einstein, Math. Annal. **102**, 685 (1930), A. Unzicher, T. Case, arXiv:physics/0503046.
[2] R. Aldrovandi and J.G. Pereira, Teleparallel Gravity: An Introduction (Springer, Dordrecht, 2012)
[3] E. V. Linder, Phys. Rev. **D 81**, 127301 (2010)
[4] Georgios Kofinas, Emmanuel N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 084044 (2014); arXiv:1404.2249
[5] Petr V. Tretyakov, Dynamical stability of extended teleparallel gravity, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, Vol. 31, No. 14 (2016) 1650085; arXiv:1602.01287
[6] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Phys. Lett. **B 70**, 75 (2011); R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Phys. Rev. **D 84**, 083518 (2011).
[7] Kazuharu Bamba, Ratbay Myrzakulov, Shin'ichi Nojiri, Sergei D. Odintsov, Reconstruction of f(T) gravity: Rip cosmology, finite-time future singularities and thermodynamics, Physical Review D 85, 104036 (2012); arXiv:1202.4057
[8] Kazuharu Bamba, Salvatore Capozziello, Shin'ichi Nojiri, Sergei D. Odintsov, Dark energy cosmology: the equivalent description via different theoretical models and cosmography tests, Astrophysics and Space Science (2012) 342:155-228; arXiv:1205.3421
[9] M. E. Rodrigues, M. J. S. Houndjo, D. Saez-Gomez, F. Rahaman, Anisotropic Universe Models in f(T) Gravity, Phys. Rev. D 86, 104059 (2012); arXiv:1209.4859
[10] Kazuharu Bamba, Sergei D. Odintsov, Diego Saez-Gomez, Conformal symmetry and accelerating cosmology in teleparallel gravity, Physical Review D 88, 084042 (2013); arXiv:1308.5789
[11] Kazuharu Bamba, Salvatore Capozziello, Mariafelicia De Laurentis, Shin'ichi Nojiri, Diego Saez-Gomez, No further gravitational wave modes in F(T) gravity, Physics Letters B 727 (2013) 194-198; arXiv:1309.2698
[12] G. G. L. Nashed, Regularization of f(T) gravity theories and local Lorentz transformation, Advances in High Energy Physics, Volume 2015 (2015), Article ID 680457, 8 pages; arXiv:1403.6937
[13] Yi-Fu Cai, Salvatore Capozziello, Mariafelicia De Laurentis, Emmanuel N. Saridakis, f(T) teleparallel gravity and cosmology, Rept.Prog.Phys. 79 (2016) no.4, 106901; arXiv:1511.07586
[14] A. Awad, W. El Hanafy, G.G.L. Nashed, Emmanuel N. Saridakis, Phase Portraits of general f(T) Cosmology, JCAP 1802 (2018) no.02, 052; arXiv:1710.10194
[15] Yi-Fu Cai, Chunlong Li, Emmanuel N. Saridakis, LingQin Xue, f(T) gravity after GW170817 and GRB170817A, Phys. Rev. D 97, 103513 (2018), arXiv:1801.05827

[16] Sebastian Bahamonde, Konstantinos F. Dialektopoulos, Celia Escamilla-Rivera, Gabriel Farrugia, Viktor Gakis, Martin Hendry, Manuel Hohmann, Jackson Levi Said, Jurgen Mifsud, Eleonora Di Valentino, Teleparallel Gravity: From Theory to Cosmology, arXiv:2106.13793

[17] Baojiu Li, Thomas P. Sotiriou, John D. Barrow, f(T) Gravity and local Lorentz invariance, Phys.Rev.D83:064035,2011; arXiv:1010.1041

[18] Thomas P. Sotiriou, Baojiu Li, John D. Barrow, Generalizations of teleparallel gravity and local Lorentz symmetry, Phys.Rev.D83:104030,2011; arXiv:1012.4039

[19] Nicola Tamanini, Christian G. Boehmer, Good and bad tetrads in f(T) gravity, Physical Review D86 (2012) 044009; arXiv:1204.4593

[20] Keisuke Izumi, Yen Chin Ong, Cosmological Perturbation in f(T) Gravity Revisited, JCAP06(2013)029; arXiv:1212.5774

[21] Shih-Hung Chen, James B. Dent, Sourish Dutta, Emmanuel N. Saridakis, Cosmological perturbations in f(T) gravity, Phys.Rev.D83:023508,2011; arXiv:1008.1250

[22] Laur Järv, Manuel Hohmann, Martin Krŝŝák, Christian Pfeifer, Flat connection for rotating vacuum spacetimes in extended teleparallel gravity theories, Universe, 5(6), 142 (2019); arXiv:1905.03305

[23] James B. Dent, Sourish Dutta, Emmanuel N. Saridakis, f(T) gravity mimicking dynamical dark energy. Background and perturbation analysis, JCAP 1101:009,2011; arXiv:1010.2215

[24] Yi-Peng Wu, Chao-Qiang Geng, Matter Density Perturbations in Modified Teleparallel Theories, JHEP 1211, 142 (2012); arXiv:1211.1778

[25] Alexey Golovnev, Tomi Koivisto, Cosmological perturbations in modified teleparallel gravity models, JCAP11(2018)012; arXiv:1808.05565

[26] Alexey Golovnev, Perturbations in $f(T)$ cosmology and the spin connection, , JCAP04(2020)014; arXiv:2001.10015

[27] Martin Krssak, Emmanuel N. Saridakis, The covariant formulation of f(T) gravity, Class. Quantum Grav. 33 (2016) 115009; arXiv:1510.08432

[28] Alexey Golovnev, Tomi Koivisto, Marit Sandstad, On the covariance of teleparallel gravity theories, Classical and Quantum Gravity 34 (2017) 145013, arXiv:1701.06271

[29] Manuel Hohmann, Laur Jarv, Ulbossyn Ualikhanova, Covariant formulation of scalar-torsion gravity, Phys. Rev. D 97, 104011 (2018); arXiv:1801.05786

[30] Alexey Golovnev, Introduction to teleparallel gravities, arXiv:1801.06929

[31] M. Krssak, R. J. van den Hoogen, J. G. Pereira, C. G. Boehmer, A. A. Coley, Teleparallel Theories of Gravity: Illuminating a Fully Invariant Approach, Class.Quant.Grav. 36 (2019) no.18, 183001; arXiv:1810.12932

[32] Baojiu Li, Thomas P. Sotiriou, John D. Barrow, Large-scale Structure in f(T) Gravity, Phys. Rev. D 83, 104017 (2011); arXiv:1103.2786

[33] Chao-Qiang Geng, Chung-Chi Lee, Emmanuel N. Saridakis, Yi-Peng Wu, "Teleparallel" Dark Energy, Phys.Lett.B 704 (2011) 384; arXiv:1109.1092

[34] Chao-Qiang Geng, Chung-Chi Lee, Emmanuel N. Saridakis, Observational Constraints on Teleparallel Dark Energy, JCAP 01 (2012) 002; arXiv:1110.0913

[35] Maria Skugoreva, Emmanuel Saridakis, Alexey Toporensky, Dynamical features of scalar-torsion theories, Phys.Rev.D 91 (2015) 044023; arXiv:1412.1502

[36] Laur Jarv, Alexey Toporensky, General relativity as an attractor for scalar-torsion cosmology, Phys.Rev.D 93 (2016) 2, 024051; arXiv:1511.03933

[37] Manuel Gonzalez-Espinoza, Giovanni Otalora, Nelson Videla, Joel Saavedra, Slow-roll inflation in generalized scalar-torsion gravity, JCAP 08 (2019) 029; arXiv:1904.08068

[38] A.Toporensky, P.Tretyakov, Spin connection and cosmological perturbations in f(T) gravity, Phys. Rev. D 102, 044049 (2020); arXiv:1911.06064