Effect of hole doping on the 120 degree order in the triangular lattice Hubbard model: A Hartree-Fock revisit
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We revisit the unrestricted Hartree Fock study on the evolution of the ground state of the Hubbard model on the triangular lattice with hole doping. At half-filling, it is known that the ground state of the Hubbard model on triangular lattice develops a 120 degree coplanar order at half-filling in the strong interaction limit, i.e., in the spin 1/2 anti-ferromagnetic Heisenberg model on the triangular lattice. The ground state property in the doped case is still in controversy even though extensive studies were performed in the past. Within Hartree Fock theory, we find that the 120 degree order persists from zero doping to about 0.3 hole doping. At 1/3 hole doping, a three-sublattice collinear order emerges in which the doped hole is concentrated on one of the three sublattices with antiferromagnetic Neel order on the remaining two sublattices, which forms a honeycomb lattice. Between the 120 degree order and 1/3 doping region, a phase separation occurs in which the 120 degree order coexists with the collinear anti-ferromagnetic order in different region of the system. The collinear phase extends from 1/3 doping to about 0.41 doping, beyond which the ground state is paramagnetic with uniform electron density. The phase diagram from Hartree Fock could provide guidance for the future study of the doped Hubbard model on triangular lattice with more sophisticated many-body approaches.

I. INTRODUCTION

The physics of doping anti-ferromagnetic Mott insulator [1] is one of the most important themes in the study of correlation effect in quantum many body systems. In a Mott insulator where charge degree of freedom is frozen, states with different types of spin order and spin liquid state can be realized. By introducing holes into the Mott insulator, the charge and spin degrees of freedom are entangled with each other which could result in exotic phases. The most well known example is the emergence of high-Tc superconductivity in the cuprates by doping the parent anti-ferromagnetic Mott insulator [2].

The Hubbard model [3-5] and its decedents are the simplest models to explore the physics of the doped Mott insulator. On the square lattice, it is now known that the ground state is an antiferromagnetic insulator at half-filling with even infinitesimal U value [6]. With doping, it was expected that superconductivity could emerge similar as in the cuprates. However, a recent collaboration work with a variety of state-of-the-art numerical approaches established the ground state as stripe phase [7] with the absence of superconductivity in the pure Hubbard model [8], which underlines the necessity to introduce extra interacting terms in the Hubbard model to be able to describe the superconductivity in cuprates. On the honeycomb lattice, there exists a critical interaction strength $U_c \approx 3.8$ at half filling which separates the paramagnetic semi-metal phase in small U limit and the anti-ferromagnetic insulator phase at the large U limit [9][10]. By doping the large $U$ anti-ferromagnetic state, it was recently found that stripe phase emerges [11] and superconductivity is also absent [12], similar as the square lattice case.

Given that stripe order emerges by doping the anti-ferromagnetic Mott insulating phase on both the square and honeycomb lattices, it is natural to ask what is the ground state of the doped Hubbard model on the triangular lattice. Different from the bipartite square and honeycomb lattices, the triangular lattice is tripartite and hence the spin degree of freedom is frustrated on it. For the half-filled Hubbard model in the large $U$ limit, a 120 degree coplanar order instead of a spin liquid phase was established on the spin 1/2 Heisenberg model on triangular lattice [13][14]. It is now known that the critical $U$ for the onset of the 120 degree order is $U_c \approx 12$ [15]. But how does the ground state evolve from small $U$ to large $U$ is still unknown. Whether the small $U$ paramagnetic metallic phase is directly connected with the 120 degree Mott insulator phase is still in controversy [16][17]. One difficulty to resolve this issue is that the frustration in the triangular lattice causes the infamous sign problem in quantum Monte Carlo simulation [20][21]. Recently, possible intermediate spin liquid phase was found by studying triangular cylinders with DMRG [18][22] sparks the interest in this model [23][24] with the hope that doping this spin liquid phase could result in unconventional superconductivity [25][27].

Experimentally, the discovery of superconductivity on the triangular material Na$_2$CoO$_2$H$_2$O [28] back to 2003 induced a wave of interest in the study of Hubbard model on the triangular lattice [29][32]. The Hubbard model on triangular lattice model is also relevant to the recently synthesized organic compound [33] and perovskite [34].

In this work, we will focus on the large $U$ phase where the spin is ordered 120 degree at half-filling. We intend to investigate what is the ground state when holes are doped into the 120 degree ordered insulator. We notice
FIG. 1: An illustration of the triangular lattice. We choose a three-site unit cell as shown in the dashed circle to accommodate possible orders with three sublattices. The two primitive vectors are \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \). In the dotted rhombus, a supercell with \( L_1 \times L_2 = 3 \times 3 \) is shown.

Hartree Fock calculation of the Hubbard model on the triangular lattice was already performed by many authors in the literature \[40\], \[41\]. A full Hartree Fock phase diagram can be found in \[42\]. However, in \[42\], charge degree of freedom is frozen and only the helix spin order was considered. In this study we don’t impose any restriction on the possible spin order and also allow charge fluctuation in the Hartree-Fock solution. We find a new phase at 1/3 doping where doped holes are concentrated on one of the three sublattices and the spin order in the other two sublattices is collinear. Moreover, a phase separation between the 120 degree order and the 1/3 collinear order region was found in the real space calculations.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the Hubbard model on triangular lattice and the unrestricted Hartree-Fock theory. In Sec. III we discuss the phase diagram at half-filling. In Sec. IV we study the ground state away from half-filling. In Sec. V we show the phase diagram. We conclude this work with a summary and perspective in Sec. VI.

FIG. 2: The two types of orders considered in the hole doped region. The arrow represents the local spin density and the area of the circle is proportional to the hole density on each site. (a): the 120 degree order, (b): the collinear order. In (a), the charge (hole) is uniformly distributed, while a charge order is also present in (b). The related strength of collinear order among the three sublattices can vary with doping in (b). At the special 1/3 doping, the order is like the antiferromagnetic order on honeycomb lattice in which the spin order is exactly zero for one sublattice and the doped holes are concentrated on the same sublattice.

II. MODEL AND COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

A. Model

The Hamiltonian of the Hubbard model is:

\[
H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} \tag{1}
\]

where \( t \) is the hopping constant and is set to the energy unit. The density is denoted as \( n = N_e/N_s \) with \( N_s \) and \( N_e \) the total number of electrons and lattice sites. The hole doping is \( h = 1 - n \). The local spin in \( z \) and \( x \) direction at site \( i \) are \( m^z_i = (n_{i\uparrow} - n_{i\downarrow})/2 \) and \( m^x_i = (c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} + c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\uparrow})/2 \) respectively. The hole density at site \( i \) is \( h_i = (1 - n_{i\uparrow} - n_{i\downarrow}) \). We study the Hubbard model on the triangular lattice which is shown in Fig. 1. We choose a three-site unit cell as in the dashed circle of Fig. 1. The two primitive vectors are \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \). We only consider nearest neighboring hopping and focus on hole doping \( (h \geq 0) \) in this work.

B. Hartree-Fock theory

In the restricted Hartree-Fock theory, the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) is decoupled as

\[
H_{hf} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i (n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + n_{i\downarrow} n_{i\uparrow}) \\
- \langle S^+_i S^-_i \rangle - \langle S^+_i \rangle \langle S^-_i \rangle + \langle S^+_i \rangle \langle S^-_i \rangle \tag{2}
\]
where $n_{d\uparrow} = c_{d\uparrow}^\dagger c_{d\uparrow}$, $n_{d\downarrow} = c_{d\downarrow}^\dagger c_{d\downarrow}$, $S_{\uparrow}^z = c_{d\uparrow}^\dagger c_{d\uparrow}$, and $S_{\downarrow}^z = c_{d\downarrow}^\dagger c_{d\downarrow}$. We don’t impose any restriction on the direction of possible spin order. To obtain the Hartree Fock solution, we need to solve Eq. (2) self-consistently. The strategy we adopt is as follows. We first solve Eq. (2) self-consistently in real space with finite size to search for the possible orders. Then we turn to momentum space with unit cell compatible to the real space solution which allows us to study system with larger sizes. We also compare the energies of different types of orders to determine which one is the true ground state. With the unit cell chosen in Fig. 1, the Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian in $k$ space is

$$H = -t \sum_k \left( c_{k\uparrow}\dagger c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} c_{k\downarrow} + \text{H.c.} \right)$$

with $A(k) = e^{i\delta_1} + e^{-i\delta_2} + e^{i(\delta_1 - \delta_2)}$ and $\delta_1 = (\frac{\pi}{3}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{2}), \delta_2 = (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{2})$. For the 120 degree order, we set $n_A = n_B = n_C = n/3$, $m_A^z = m_B^z = m_C^z = 0$, $m_B = m/2$, $m_B^z = -\sqrt{3}m/2$, $m_C^z = m/2$, $m_C = \sqrt{3}m/2$. For the collinear order (see Fig. 2), we set $n_A + n_B + n_C = n$ and $m_A^z = m_B^z = m_C^z = 0$ to restrict the spin of all three sublattices in $z$ direction, but allow the magnitude of spin order and the hole density to fluctuate freely.

III. HALF-FILLING

It is known that the half-filled Hubbard model on the triangular lattice is a Mott insulator with 120 degree spin order in the large $U$ limit [13, 14]. In Fig. 3 we show the evolution of magnetization of the 120 degree order and the charge gap with $U$ for a 300 × 300 lattice. By fixing the order type and the unit cell, we can carry out the calculation in the momentum space with very large sizes.

As can be seen in Fig. 3, there are two phase transitions at half-filling by varying $U$ values. At $U \approx 5.14$ we can find a first order transition. There is another phase transition at $U \approx 4.7$. However, the charge gap only opens for $U > U_{c2}$. It was known that between $U_{c1}$ and $U_{c2}$ the true ground state is a helix order with the wavevector varying with $U$ [12]. So the 120 degree order only develops for $U > U_{c2}$. Hartree-Fock usually overestimates the magnitude of the order so that the critical $U_{c2}$ here is smaller than the value from more accurate many-body calculations [15]. We notice that there were studies indicating there is an intermediate spin liquid phase between the small $U$ paramagnetic and the large $U$ 120 degree order [18] [22] region. It will be interesting to compare the intermediate helix spin phase [42] with the spin liquid phase.

IV. AWAY FROM HALF-FILLING

Doping an antiferromagnetic Mott insulator usually results in exotic phases because of the competition of kinetic and potential energies. In this section and hereafter, we will study how the 120 degree order evolves with hole doping by fixing $U = 6$ which is larger than $U_{c2}$, to ensure the parent state is in the 120 degree phase.

A. The stability of the 120 degree order

We first study the small doping region to study the stability of the 120 degree order. We find that the 120 degree order is quite robust against hole doping. It persists to doping as large as $h = 0.3$ with $U = 6$. This result is quite different from the case of the square and honeycomb lattices, where the antiferromagnetic Neel order turns to stripe order with small doping [7, 11]. The coordination number on triangular lattice (6) is larger than that of square (4) and honeycomb lattices (3). So to develop a stripe like order, the cost of interacting energy will be higher, which might not be able to fully compensated by the gain of kinetic energy in a stripe state.

B. Collinear order at 1/3 doping

As expected, the 120 degree order finally melts with larger doping. By increasing the doping to 1/3, we observe a collinear phase with both spin and charge order as in Fig. 2 (b). At exact 1/3 doping, the spin on one of the three sublattices is absolutely zero and the holes are concentrated on the same sublattice. The spin on the other two sublattices is like the antiferromagnetic order on the honeycomb lattice. In the infinite U limit, all the
doped hole resides on one sublattice and the triangular lattice is reduced to the honeycomb lattice. We notice that this order was previously observed in different calculations [43–45].

In the vicinity of the 1/3 doping, the spin order remains collinear with the magnitude of the spin and hole order changes with doping. In Fig. 4, we plot the energy difference between the 120 degree order and the collinear order. Finite size effect of the energy difference is small as shown by the results from size of 24×24 to 72×72. The peak position of the energy difference in Fig. 5 is exactly at 1/3 doping. As we will discuss below, between 0.3 and 1/3 doping, a phase separation [46] occurs where the 120 degree order coexists with the collinear order in different region of the system. For doping larger than 1/3, the collinear phase has lower energy. When doping is larger than 0.41, the system is paramagnetic with uniform charge density where the orders are zero and the two types of states have equal energy. We notice that this collinear phase can be viewed as a stripe phase with periodicity 3 for both spin and charge order, even though it is totally different from the parent 120 degree order.

C. The phase separation

Between $h = 0.3$ and $h = 1/3$, we find a phenomenon of phase separation [46] in the real space calculation. In Fig. 5, we study a 16×16 lattice by adding 8 extra electrons to the 1/3 doped system. We can clearly find a phase separation from the spin and hole density distribution in Fig. 5. Most part of the system displays an order same as the 1/3 doped collinear order, while a 120 degree order emerges in a small portion of the system as indicated by the oval of Fig. 4. The occurrence of phase separation in this region means a uniform state has higher energy [46]. Near the boundary of the two phases, we find that the spin order was distorted to connect the two phase smoothly in order not to cause a large increase of energy. With the decrease of doping, the area of 120 degree order region expands and the system eventually switches to the 120 degree order with $h < 0.3$. On the square lattice, phase separation in Hubbard model was usually predicted in the vicinity of half-filling [47]. But here on the triangular lattice, it occurs in the boundary of 120 degree order phase and the collinear phase.

V. THE PHASE DIAGRAM

The whole phase diagram with $U = 6$ is summarized in Fig. 6. The 120 degree order extends from half-filling to about 0.3 doping. Between 1/3 and 0.4 doping, collinear phase with charge order is the ground state with a phase separation region connecting the 120 degree order and the collinear phase. With doping larger than 0.4, the ground state is paramagnetic with uniform charge density.

VI. SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVES

We revisit the Hartree-Fock calculation of the evolution of the 120 degree order of the Hubbard model on the triangular lattice with hole doping. We find that the 120 degree order is quite stable against hole doping and persists to hole doping as large as 0.3. We find a collinear phase in the vicinity of 1/3 doping. This collinear order is similar to the antiferromagnetic order on the honeycomb lattice with doped hole concentrated on one of the three sublattices. Between 0.3 and 1/3 doping, a phase separation occurs where the 120 degree order coexists with the collinear order in different region of the system.

Different from the square and honeycomb lattices, doped hole doesn’t cause the antiferromagnetic order turns to a stripe order in the small doping region. However, the collinear phase near 1/3 doping can be viewed as a stripe phase with the periodicity of spin and charge order $\lambda = 3$, even though the collinear order is totally different from the parent 120 degree order.

The collinear order in the vicinity of 1/3 doping was previously observed in the 1/3 electron doped region with the slave-boson approach [42], in the t-J-V model with
FIG. 4: Spin and hole density for a 16 × 16 lattice. The arrow represents the local spin density and the area of the circle is proportional to the hole density on each site. 8 extra electrons are introduced into the 1/3 doped collinear order. We can clearly find a phase separation in the system. The collinear order is present in most part of the system, while the 120 degree order can be found in the small region marked by the red oval. Similar result is also obtained for a larger 24 × 24 system.

FIG. 5: Energy difference between the 120 degree order and the collinear order. Between 0.3 and 1/3 doping, a phase separation occurs (see the discussion in Sec. 4). For doping larger than 0.41, the order parameters for both 120 degree and the collinear order are zero, which indicates the ground state is paramagnetic with uniform charge density in this region.

FIG. 6: The Hartree Fock phase diagram of the hole doped Hubbard model on triangular lattice with \( U = 6 \).

Superconductivity was predicted in the Hubbard model on triangular lattice by doping the intermediate spin liquid phase \([26, 27]\). In this work we focus on the large \( U \) region to study the doping effect on the 120 degree order and the study of superconductivity is beyond the reach of Hartree Fock. In \([25]\), it was found that pairing correlation is enhanced when doping \( h > 20\% \) in the large \( U \) region. Again, the possibility of collinear order in this region when many body correlation is considered needs further investigation.

Carlo method \([43, 45]\). The final determination of the existence of the collinear order in the pure Hubbard model on triangular lattice need calculations with accurate many-body methods.
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