A Carleman estimate and an energy method for a first-order symmetric hyperbolic system

¹ Giuseppe Floridia ² Hiroshi Takase ^{3.4,5,6} Masahiro Yamamoto

January 27, 2022

¹ Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria, Department PAU, Via dell'Università 25 89124 Reggio Calabria, Italy INdAM Unit, University of Catania, Italy e-mail:floridia.giuseppe@icloud.com

² Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

e-mail:htakase@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

³ Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

⁴ Honorary Member of Academy of Romanian Scientists, Ilfov, nr. 3, Bucuresti, Romania

 5 Correspondence member of Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti

⁶ Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) 6 Miklukho-Maklaya St, Moscow, 117198, Russian Federation e-mail: myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Abstract

For a symmetric hyperbolic system of the first order, we prove a Carleman estimate under some positivity condition concerning the coefficient matrices. Next, applying the Carleman estimate, we prove an observability L^2 -estimate for initial values by boundary data.

Key words. Carleman estimate, symmetric hyperbolic system, energy estimateAMS subject classifications. 35R30, 35L40

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$Q := \Omega \times (0, T).$$

For any $k \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., d\}$, let $H^k = H^k(x, t)$ be $N \times N$ matrices whose elements belong to $C^1(\overline{Q})$, and P be an $N \times N$ matrix with elements in $L^{\infty}(Q)$. We write $x = (x_1, ..., x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and

$$\partial_0 := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \partial_k := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \quad k = 1, ..., d.$$

Here and henceforth \cdot^{T} means the transpose of vectors and matrices under consideration.

Throughout this article, we assume that $H^k(x,t)$, k = 0, 1, ..., d, are symmetric matrices, that is,

$$(H^k)^T = H^k, \quad k = 0, 1, ..., d.$$

Let an \mathbb{R}^N -valued function F(x,t) be in $L^2(Q)$.

We consider a symmetric hyperbolic system of the first order:

$$Lu(x,t) := H^{0}(x,t)\partial_{t}u + \sum_{k=1}^{d} H^{k}(x,t)\partial_{k}u + P(x,t)u = F(x,t), \quad x \in \Omega, \ 0 < t < T,$$
(1.1)

where $u = (u_1, ..., u_N)^T$.

Whenever there is no fear of confusion, we understand that $(U \cdot V)$ denotes a scalar product in \mathbb{R}^N or $L^2(\Omega)$ for $U = (U_1, ..., U_N)^T$ and $V = (V_1, ..., V_N)^T$:

$$(U \cdot V) := \sum_{j=1}^{N} U_j V_j$$
 or $(U \cdot V) := \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^{N} U_j(x) V_j(x) dx.$

The system (1.1) can describe several important equations in mathematical physics such as Maxwell's equations and the elasticity equations.

The main purpose of this article is to establish a Carleman estimate for the symmetric hyperbolic system (1.1), and apply it to an energy estimate called an observability inequality.

A Carleman estimate is a weighted L^2 -estimate for solutions to (1.1), and originates from Carleman [6], and provides an effective method to the unique continuation problem and inverse problems for partial differential equation. As for the applications to the unique continuation, we can refer to Hörmander [11], Isakov [16] for example. On the other hand, as pioneerng work for an application of a Carleman estimate to inverse problems, see Bukhgeim and Klibanov [3]. See also Beilina and Klibanov [1], Bellassoued and Yamamoto [2], Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [14], [15], Klibanov [18], Klibanov and Timonov [21], Yamamoto [27]. Here we do not intend any comprehensive lists of works, and the readers can consult also the references therein.

We emphasize that Carleman estimates are essential ingredients for solving the unique continuation and mathematically analyzing inverse problems.

For N = 1, as related works by Carleman estimates, we refer to Gaitan and Ouzzane [9], Cannarsa, Floridia, Gölgeleyen, and Yamamoto [4], Cannarsa, Floridia and Yamamoto [5], Floridia and Takase [7], [8], Gölgeleyen and Yamamoto [10], and see also Klibanov and Pamyatnykh [19], [20], Klibanov and Yamamoto [22], Machida and Yamamoto [24] as for related problems for the radiative transport equation called the Boltzmann equation. On the other hand, for a symmetric hyperbolic system of the first order, the research for the direct problem is completed (e.g., Mizohata [25], Petrovsky [26]). However, to the best knowledge of the authors, Carleman estimates are not available for (1.1) and so works for inverse problems by Carleman esimates have not been published. Now for the statements of our main results, we introduce some notations and conditions. Let $\nu = (\nu_1, ..., \nu_d)^T$ be the unit outward normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ at x. For $0 \le t \le T$, we set

$$\partial\Omega_{+}(t) := \left\{ x \in \partial\Omega; \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} H^{k}(x,t)\nu_{k} \right) v \cdot v \right) > 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \right\}$$
(1.2)

and

$$\partial\Omega_{-}(t) := \left\{ x \in \partial\Omega; \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} H^{k}(x,t)\nu_{k} \right) v \cdot v \right) \le 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \right\}.$$
(1.3)

For t-independent H^k , we note that $\partial \Omega_+(t)$ and $\partial \Omega_-(t)$ are independent of t. We remark that $\partial \Omega \supseteq \partial \Omega_+(t) \cup \partial \Omega_-(t)$, in general.

Let $\varphi = \varphi(x,t) \in C^1(\overline{Q})$. Now we can state our first main result.

Theorem 1 (Carleman estimate for a symmetric hyperbolic system of the first order).

We assume that there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{d} (\partial_k \varphi) H^k(x, t)\right) v \cdot v\right) \ge \delta |v|_{\mathbb{R}^N}^2 \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in Q \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(1.4)

Then there exist constants C > 0 and $s_0 > 0$ such that

$$s \int_{\Omega} (H^{0}(x,0)u(x,0) \cdot u(x,0))e^{2s\varphi(x,0)}dx + s^{2} \int_{Q} |u|^{2}e^{2s\varphi}dxdt$$

$$+s \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial\Omega_{-}(t)} \left| \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} H^{k}(x,t)\nu_{k} \right)u \cdot u \right) \right| e^{2s\varphi}dSdt$$

$$\leq C \int_{Q} |F|^{2}e^{2s\varphi}dxdt + Cs \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega_{-}(t)} |u|^{2}e^{2s\varphi}dSdt$$

$$+s \int_{\Omega} (H^{0}(x,T)u(x,T) \cdot u(x,T))e^{2s\varphi(x,T)}dx$$

$$(1.5)$$

for all $s \ge s_0$ and $u \in H^1(Q)$ satisfying (1.1) in Q.

Theorem 1 is a direct generalization to the case of a system, where the case N = 1 is considered by [4] and [10], and the weight function φ is different from [9] and is of the same type as [4], [10], [24]. In general, Carleman estimates do not estimate $u(\cdot, 0)$, but the left-hand side of (1.5) can include the values u(x, 0).

The general theory for the Carleman estimate was designed mostly for functions with compact supports (e.g., [11], [16]), while our Carleman estimate does not assume that u has compact supports, which allows us to apply a simplified argument by Huang, Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [12]. If we apply Carleman estimates with compact supports, then we need a cut-off function, which is a quite conventional way. However, the cut-off procedure makes the total arguments more complicated. The application of a general theory for constructing Carleman estimates for functions without compact supports, is also very complicated and the direct way is more relevant for the derivation of a Carleman estimate for (1.1).

In the case where H^k , k = 0, 1, ..., d are diagonal matrices, system (1.1) is not coupled with the first-order terms but only with the zeroth order terms. Then under adequate conditions, thanks to the large parameter s > 0, the Carleman estimate can be directly derived from the case for N = 1, so that our main interest in Theorem 1 is for the case of non-diagonal matrices H^k for $0 \le k \le d$.

Next we apply Theorem 1 to the observability inequality of estimating initial value by boundary data. First in Theorem 1, we choose the weight function $\varphi(x, t)$ by

$$\varphi(x,t) = \eta(x) - \beta t,$$

where $\beta > 0$ and $\eta \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ are chosen later. We assume that there exist constants $\delta_0 > 0, \, \delta_1 > 0$ and M > 0 such that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} (\partial_k \eta)(x) H^k(x,t) v \cdot v\right) \ge \delta_0 |v|_{\mathbb{R}^N}^2 \quad \text{for all } (x,t) \in Q \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(1.6)

and

$$\delta_1 |v|_{\mathbb{R}^N}^2 \le (H^0(x,t)v \cdot v) \le M |v|_{\mathbb{R}^N}^2 \quad \text{for all } (x,t) \in Q \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(1.7)

Theorem 2 (Observability inequality).

We further assume (1.6), (1.7) and

$$T > \frac{M}{\delta_0} \left(\max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \eta(x) - \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \eta(x) \right).$$
(1.8)

Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$||u(\cdot,0)|| \le C ||u||_{L^2(\partial\Omega \times (0,T))}$$

for all $u \in H^1(Q)$ satisfying $H^0(x,t)\partial_t u + \sum_{k=1}^d H^k(x,t)\partial_k u + Pu = 0$ in Q.

This article is composed of three sections. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1 and Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof is based on integration by parts, which is applicable also for direct proofs of Carleman estimates for parabolic and hyperbolic equations. The main steps are described as follows.

Step I. We transform system (1.1) in u to a system in terms of $w := ue^{s\varphi}$.

Step II. Calculating the squared L^2 -norms of the transformed system, we make a lower estimate of the cross terms composed of products of w and $\partial_k w$ for k = 0, 1, ..., d.

Step III. The lower estimate in Step II cannot dierctly produce the Carleman estimate, which is the same for parabolic and hypebolic equations. Therefore, we take scalar products of the transformed system by B(x,t)w with suitably chosen matrix function B(x,t) and we add the resulting equality to the lower estimate obtained in Step II, which leads us to the conclusion.

Before starting the proof, we remark that it is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 for $P \equiv 0$. Indeed let Theorem 1 be proved in the case of $P \equiv 0$. Then

$$s \int_{\Omega} (H^{0}(x,0)u(x,0) \cdot u(x,0))e^{2s\varphi(x,0)}dx + s^{2} \int_{Q} |u|^{2}e^{2s\varphi}dxdt$$

Carleman estimate for a symmetric hyperbolic system

$$\begin{split} +s \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega_-(t)} \left| \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^d H^k(x,t)\nu_k \right) u \cdot u \right) \right| e^{2s\varphi} dS dt \\ \leq C \int_Q |F - Pu|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega_-(t)} |u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dS dt \\ +s \int_\Omega (H^0(x,T)u(x,T) \cdot u(x,T)) e^{2s\varphi(x,T)} dx. \end{split}$$

Since

$$|F - Pu|^{2} \le 2|F|^{2} + 2|Pu|^{2} \le 2|F|^{2} + 2||P||^{2}_{L^{\infty}(Q)}|u|^{2}$$

in Q, we can estimate $\int_Q |F-Pu|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt$ by

$$C_0 \int_Q |F|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + C_0 \int_Q |u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt$$

with some constant $C_0 > 0$. Choosing s > 0 large, we can absorb the term $C_0 \int_Q |u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt$ into $s^2 \int_Q |u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt$ on the left-hand side. Therefore, (1.5) with $P \in L^{\infty}(Q)$ is derived from (1.5) in the case of $P \equiv 0$.

Moreover we show

$$(R(x,t)\partial_k w \cdot w)_{\mathbb{R}^N} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_k (Rw \cdot w)_{\mathbb{R}^N} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_k Rw \cdot w)_{\mathbb{R}^N}, \quad k = 0, 1, ..., d \quad \text{in } Q, \quad (2.1)$$

where $R \in C^1(\overline{Q})$ is an $N \times N$ symmetric matrix and $w = (w_1, ..., w_N)^T \in H^1(Q)$.

Indeed, by the symmetry of R, we have $(R\partial_k w \cdot w)_{\mathbb{R}^N} = (\partial_k w \cdot Rw)_{\mathbb{R}^N}$, and so

$$\partial_k (Rw \cdot w)_{\mathbb{R}^N} = (R\partial_k w \cdot w)_{\mathbb{R}^N} + (Rw \cdot \partial_k w)_{\mathbb{R}^N} + ((\partial_k R)w \cdot w)_{\mathbb{R}^N}$$
$$= 2(R\partial_k w \cdot w)_{\mathbb{R}^N} + ((\partial_k R)w \cdot w)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \quad \text{in } Q,$$

which verifies (2.1).

Step I.

Not only for functions in $L^2(\Omega)$, but also for $u = (u_1, ..., u_N)^T$, $v = (v_1, ..., v_N)^T \in L^2(Q)$, we use the notation

$$(u \cdot v) := \int_Q \sum_{j=1}^N u_j(x,t) v_j(x,t) dx dt.$$

We set

$$Lu := H^0(x,t)\partial_t u + \sum_{k=1}^d H^k(x,t)\partial_k u = F \quad \text{in } Q.$$
(2.2)

We set

$$w := e^{s\varphi}u, \quad Pw := e^{s\varphi}L(e^{-s\varphi}w).$$

Then

$$Pw = H^0 \partial_t w + \sum_{k=1}^d H^k \partial_k w - s \left(\sum_{k=1}^d (\partial_k \varphi) H^k + (\partial_t \varphi) H^0 \right) w$$

in Q. For short description, we set

$$A := \sum_{k=0}^{d} (\partial_k \varphi) H^k \quad \text{in } Q,$$

where $\partial_0 \varphi = \partial_t \varphi$. Then we can write (1.1) in terms of the transformed system in terms of w:

$$Pw = H^0 \partial_t w + \sum_{j=1}^n H^k \partial_k w - sAw = F e^{s\varphi} \quad \text{in } Q.$$
(2.3)

Step II.

By the definition, we have

$$||Pw||^2 = \int_Q |Lw|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt = \int_Q |F|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt.$$

Therefore, we have to make a lower estimate of $||Pw||^2$ and extract the terms on the left-hand side of (1.5). Direct calculations yield

$$\|Pw\|^{2} = 2\left(\left(H^{0}\partial_{t}w + \sum_{k=1}^{d}H^{k}\partial_{k}w\right) \cdot -sAw\right) + s^{2}\|Aw\|^{2} + \left\|H^{0}\partial_{t}w + \sum_{k=1}^{d}H^{k}\partial_{k}w\right\|^{2}$$
$$\geq -2s\int_{Q}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{d}H^{k}\partial_{k}w \cdot Aw\right)dxdt + s^{2}\|Aw\|^{2}.$$

Hence,

$$-2s \int_{Q} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{d} H^{k} \partial_{k} w \cdot A w \right) dx dt + s^{2} \|Aw\|^{2} \le \|Fe^{s\varphi}\|^{2}.$$
(2.4)

The last term $s^2 ||Aw||^2$ can estimate $s^2 ||w||^2$ suitably, but we cannot directly estimate the cross term

$$-2s \int_Q \left(\sum_{k=0}^d H^k \partial_k w \cdot Aw\right) dx dt.$$

The difficulty comes from the non-symmetry in the form $\left(\sum_{k=0}^{d} H^{k} \partial_{k} w \cdot A w\right)$, so that we cannot treat the derivatives $\partial_{k} w$. We remark that H^{k} and A are symmetric but AH^{k} and $H^{k}A$ are not necessarily symmetric. Thus we need some argument in Step III.

Step III.

For compensating for the above cross term, we take the scalar product of (2.3) with B(x,t)w, where we choose B later:

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{d} H^{k} \partial_{k} w \cdot B w\right) - s(Aw \cdot Bw) = (Fe^{s\varphi} \cdot Bw).$$

For adjusting the power of s, we multiply by 2s:

$$2s\left(\sum_{k=0}^{d} H^{k}\partial_{k}w \cdot Bw\right) - 2s^{2}(Aw \cdot Bw) = 2s(Fe^{s\varphi} \cdot Bw).$$
(2.5)

Adding (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain

$$2s\left(\sum_{k=0}^{d} H^{k}\partial_{k}w \cdot (B-A)w\right) + s^{2}(\|Aw\|^{2} - 2(Aw \cdot Bw))$$
$$\leq \|Fe^{s\varphi}\|^{2} + 2s(Fe^{s\varphi} \cdot Bw).$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the right-hand side and using

$$2s(Fe^{s\varphi} \cdot Bw) = 2\left(\frac{Fe^{s\varphi}}{\varepsilon} \cdot s\varepsilon Bw\right) \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|Fe^{s\varphi}\|^2 + s^2\varepsilon^2 \|Bw\|^2$$

we obtain

$$2s\left(\sum_{k=0}^{d} H^{k}\partial_{k}w \cdot (B-A)w\right) + s^{2}(\|Aw\|^{2} - 2(Aw \cdot Bw))$$

$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\|Fe^{s\varphi}\|^{2} + s^{2}\varepsilon^{2}\|Bw\|^{2}.$$
(2.6)

In order to apply (2.1) to the first term on the left-hand side of (2.6) for estimating the cross term, we choose B suitably, for example, such that

$$B - A = -E_N,$$

where E_N is the $N \times N$ identity matrix. Then

$$-2s\left(\sum_{k=0}^{d}H^{k}\partial_{k}w\cdot w\right)+s^{2}(2(Aw\cdot w)-\|Aw\|^{2})$$

Carleman estimate for a symmetric hyperbolic system

$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \|Fe^{s\varphi}\|^2 + s^2 \varepsilon^2 \|(A - E_N)w\|^2.$$

$$(2.7)$$

With a parameter $\mu > 0$, we set

$$\widetilde{\varphi} := \mu \varphi, \quad \widetilde{A}(x,t) := \sum_{k=0}^{d} (\partial_k \widetilde{\varphi}) H^k = \mu A \text{ in } Q.$$

Henceforth C > 0 denotes generic constants which are independent of s > 0 and $\mu > 0$. Setting $\widetilde{w} := u e^{s\widetilde{\varphi}}$, we repeat the calculations to obtain

$$-2s\left(\sum_{k=0}^{d} H^{k}\partial_{k}\widetilde{w} \cdot \widetilde{w}\right) + s^{2}(2(\widetilde{A}\widetilde{w} \cdot \widetilde{w}) - \|\widetilde{A}\widetilde{w}\|^{2})$$
$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\|Fe^{s\widetilde{\varphi}}\|^{2} + s^{2}\varepsilon^{2}\|(\widetilde{A} - E_{N})\widetilde{w}\|^{2}.$$
(2.8)

We can directly verify

$$\|\widetilde{A}\widetilde{w}\|^2 = \mu^2 \|A\widetilde{w}\|^2 \le C\mu^2 \|\widetilde{w}\|^2$$

and

$$\|(\widetilde{A} - E_N)\widetilde{w}\|^2 \le 2\|\widetilde{A}\widetilde{w}\|^2 + 2\|E_N\widetilde{w}\|^2 \le 2(C\mu^2 + 1)\|\widetilde{w}\|^2.$$

Moreover, by (1.4), we see $(\widetilde{A}\widetilde{w}\cdot\widetilde{w}) \ge \mu \delta \|\widetilde{w}\|^2$. Hence, (2.8) yields

$$-2s\sum_{k=0}^{d}(H^{k}\partial_{k}\widetilde{w}\cdot\widetilde{w}) + s^{2}(2\delta\mu - C\mu^{2})\|\widetilde{w}\|^{2} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\|Fe^{s\widetilde{\varphi}}\|^{2} + 2s^{2}\varepsilon^{2}(C\mu^{2} + 1)\|\widetilde{w}\|^{2}.$$

$$(2.9)$$

Since H^k , k = 0, 1, ..., d are symmetric, by (2.1) we have

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{d} (H^{k} \partial_{k} \widetilde{w} \cdot \widetilde{w}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{Q} \partial_{k} (H^{k} \widetilde{w} \cdot \widetilde{w}) dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{Q} ((\partial_{k} H^{k}) \widetilde{w} \cdot \widetilde{w}) dx dt \quad (2.10)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega\times(0,T)} \sum_{k=1}^{d} ((H^{k}\nu_{k})\widetilde{w} \cdot \widetilde{w}) dS dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{Q} ((\partial_{k}H^{k})\widetilde{w} \cdot \widetilde{w}) dx dt$$

$$\geq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega_{-}(t)} \sum_{k=1}^{d} |H^{k}\nu_{k}| |\widetilde{w}|^{2} dS dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial\Omega_{-}(t)} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{d} ((H^{k}\partial_{k})\widetilde{w} \cdot \widetilde{w}) \right| dS dt - C \|\widetilde{w}\|^{2}.$$

Moreover,

$$-(H^{0}\partial_{t}\widetilde{w}\,\cdot\,\widetilde{w}) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{Q}\partial_{t}(H^{0}\widetilde{w}\cdot\widetilde{w})dxdt + \frac{1}{2}\int_{Q}((\partial_{t}H^{0})\widetilde{w}\cdot\widetilde{w})dxdt$$
(2.11)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (H^{0}(x,0)\widetilde{w}(x,0) \cdot \widetilde{w}(x,0))dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (H^{0}(x,T)\widetilde{w}(x,T) \cdot \widetilde{w}(x,T))dx \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} ((\partial_{t}H^{0})\widetilde{w} \cdot \widetilde{w})dxdt \\ \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (H^{0}(x,0)\widetilde{w}(x,0) \cdot \widetilde{w}(x,0))dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (H^{0}(x,T)\widetilde{w}(x,T) \cdot \widetilde{w}(x,T))dx - C \|\widetilde{w}\|^{2}.$$

Applying (2.10) and (2.11) in (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{split} s^{2}[(2\delta\mu - C\mu^{2}) - 2\varepsilon^{2}(C\mu^{2} + 1)] \|\widetilde{w}\|^{2} - Cs\|\widetilde{w}\|^{2} \\ + s\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\partial\Omega_{-}(t)} \left|\sum_{k=1}^{d} ((H^{k}\nu_{k})\widetilde{w}\cdot\widetilde{w})\right| dSdt + s\int_{\Omega} (H^{0}(x,0)\widetilde{w}(x,0)\cdot\widetilde{w}(x,0))dx \\ \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \|Fe^{s\widetilde{\varphi}}\|^{2} + Cs\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega_{-}(t)} |\widetilde{w}|^{2}dSdt \\ + s\int_{\Omega} (H^{0}(x,T)\widetilde{w}(x,T)\cdot\widetilde{w}(x,T))dx. \end{split}$$

We choose $\mu > 0$ small so that $2\delta\mu - C\mu^2 > 0$. For this $\mu > 0$, we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$(2\delta\mu - C\mu^2) - 2\varepsilon^2(C\mu^2 + 1) > 0.$$

Then, for $\mu > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a constant $C_0 = C_0(\mu, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$C_{0}s^{2}\|\widetilde{w}\|^{2} - Cs\|\widetilde{w}\|^{2} + Cs\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\partial\Omega_{-}(t)}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{d}((H^{k}\nu_{k})\widetilde{w}\cdot\widetilde{w})\right|dSdt$$
$$+s\int_{\Omega}(H^{0}(x,0)\widetilde{w}(x,0)\cdot\widetilde{w}(x,0))dx$$
$$\leq C_{0}\|Fe^{s\widetilde{\varphi}}\|^{2} + Cs\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega_{-}(t)}|\widetilde{w}|^{2}dSdt + s\int_{\Omega}(H^{0}(x,T)\widetilde{w}(x,T)\cdot\widetilde{w}(x,T))dx.$$

Setting $\widetilde{s}_0 := \frac{2C}{C_0(\mu,\varepsilon)}$, we obtain

$$C_0 s^2 \|\widetilde{w}\|^2 - Cs \|\widetilde{w}\|^2 \ge \frac{1}{2} C_0 s^2 \|\widetilde{w}\|^2$$

for all $s > \tilde{s}_0$. Rewriting in terms of $\tilde{w} = e^{s\tilde{\varphi}}u = e^{s\mu\varphi}u$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{2}C_0s^2\int_Q|u|^2e^{2s\mu\varphi}dxdt + s\int_0^T\int_{\partial\Omega_-(t)}\left|\sum_{k=1}^d((H^k\nu_k)u\cdot u)\right|e^{2s\mu\varphi}dSdt \\ & +s\int_\Omega(H^0(x,0)u(x,0)\cdot u(x,0))e^{2s\mu\varphi(x,0)}dx \\ & \leq C_0\|F\|^2e^{2s\mu\varphi}dxdt + Cs\int_0^T\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega_-(t)}|u|^2e^{2s\mu\varphi}dSdt \\ & +s\int_\Omega(H^0(x,T)u(x,T)\cdot u(x,T))e^{2s\mu\varphi(x,T)}dx \end{split}$$

for all $s > \tilde{s}_0$. Therefore, rewriting $\mu \varphi$ by φ in the above inequality, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark. In Step III, the choice of B is not unique. For example, we can similarly argue with

$$B := A - \gamma E_N$$

with large constant $\gamma > 0$. The auxiliary estimate in Step III for comleting the proof is traditional and conventional for establishing L^2 -estimates for partial differential equations. We can refer for example to the descriptions on p.8 in Bellassoued and Yamamoto [2], p.37 in Komornik [23], p.10 in Yamamoto [27].

3 Proof of Theorem 2

It is known that a relevant Carleman estimate produces an L^2 -estimate for initial value (e.g., Kazemi and Klibanov [17], Klibanov and Timonov [21]). Here we apply a method in Huang, Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [12] which simplifies the argument based on Carleman estimate in [17], [21].

First Step.

Let $H^k \in C^1(\overline{Q})$, k = 0, 1, ..., d be symmetric matrices. We prove Lemma 1 (energy estimate for an initial value problem). We assume (1.7). Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\|u(\cdot,t)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial\Omega_{+}(\xi)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} (H^{k}\nu_{k})u \cdot u \right) dSd\xi$$

$$\leq C \left(\|u(\cdot,0)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega_{+}(\xi)} |u|^{2} dSd\xi \right)$$
(3.1)

for all $u \in H^1(Q)$ satisfying

$$H^0\partial_t u + \sum_{k=1}^d H^k\partial_k u + Pu = 0 \quad in \ Q.$$
(3.2)

Proof.

The proof is by the following standard way. We take the scalar product (3.2) with uand integrate in $x \in \Omega$ to have

$$(H^{0}(\cdot,\xi)\partial_{\xi}u(\cdot,\xi)\cdot u(\cdot,\xi)) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} (H^{k}(\cdot,\xi)\partial_{k}u(\cdot,\xi)\cdot u(\cdot,\xi)) + (P(\cdot,\xi)u(\cdot,\xi)\cdot u(\cdot,\xi)) = 0, \quad 0 \le \xi \le t.$$

In the same way as (2.10) and (2.11), we see

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\xi}\int_{\Omega}(H^{0}(x,\xi)u(x,\xi)\cdot u(x,\xi))dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d}\nu_{k}H^{k}(x,\xi)\cdot u(x,\xi)\right)dS$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}((\partial_{t}H^{0}(x,\xi))u(x,\xi)\cdot u(x,\xi))dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d}\partial_{k}H^{0}(x,\xi)\right)u(x,\xi)\cdot u(x,\xi)\right)dx$$
$$+\int_{\Omega}(P(x,\xi)u(x,\xi)\cdot u(x,\xi))dx = 0, \quad 0 \le \xi \le t.$$

We integrate in $\xi \in (0, t)$ to have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} (H^0(x,t)u(x,t) \cdot u(x,\xi))dx + \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega_+(\xi)} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^d \nu_k H^k \right) u(x,\xi) \cdot u(x,\xi) \right) dSd\xi \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (H^0(x,0)u(x,0) \cdot u(x,0))dx - \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega_+(\xi)} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^d \nu_k H^k \right) u(x,\xi) \cdot u(x,\xi) \right) dSd\xi \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\partial_t H^0(x,\xi)u(x,\xi) \cdot u(x,\xi)) dxd\xi \end{split}$$

$$+\int_0^t \int_\Omega \sum_{k=1}^d ((\partial_k H^k)u(x,\xi) \cdot u(x,\xi))dxd\xi - 2\int_0^t \int_\Omega (Pu(x,\xi) \cdot u(x,\xi))dxd\xi, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

We set $E(t) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x,t)|^2 dx$. By (1.7), $H^k \in C^1(\overline{Q})$, and $P \in L^{\infty}(Q)$, we obtain

$$E(t) + \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega_+(\xi)} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^d \nu_k H^k \right) u(x,\xi) \cdot u(x,\xi) \right) dSd\xi$$

$$(3.3)$$

$$\leq CE(0) + C \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega_+(\xi)} |u(x,\xi)|^2 dS d\xi + C \int_0^T E(\xi) d\xi, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

In particular,

$$E(t) \le CE(0) + C \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega \setminus \partial\Omega_+(\xi)} |u(x,\xi)|^2 dS d\xi + C \int_0^t E(\xi) d\xi, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

The Gronwall inequality yields

$$E(t) \le CE(0) + C \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega \setminus \partial\Omega_+(\xi)} |u(x,\xi)|^2 dS d\xi, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

Substituting this into (3.3), we reach

$$\int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega_+(\xi)} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^d \nu_k H^k \right) u(x,\xi) \cdot u(x,\xi) \right) dS d\xi$$

$$\leq CE(0) + C \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega_+(\xi)} |u|^2 dS d\xi, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

Second Step.

We complete the proof of Theorem 2. By (1.8) we can choose $\beta \in (0, \frac{\delta_0}{M})$ which is close to $\frac{\delta_0}{M}$ such that

$$T > \frac{1}{\beta} (\max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \eta(x) - \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \eta(x)),$$

that is,

$$\min_{x\in\overline{\Omega}}\varphi(x,0) - \max_{x\in\overline{\Omega}}\varphi(x,T) > \delta_2 > 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\delta_2 > 0$ is some constant.

Moreover, by $\beta < \frac{\delta_0}{M}$, (1.6) and (1.7), we see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d} ((\partial_k \varphi) H^k v \cdot v) = -\beta (H^0 v \cdot v) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} ((\partial_k \eta) H^k v \cdot v) \ge (-\beta M + \delta_0) |v|_{\mathbb{R}^N}^2,$$

which verifies (1.4) with $\delta := \delta_0 - \beta M$. Therefore, Theorem 1 is applicable with the weight function $\varphi(x,t) := \eta(x) - \beta t$.

With this δ_2 , we apply Theorem 1. In terms of (1.7), the first term on the left-hand side of (1.5) is replaced by

$$s\delta_1 \int_{\Omega} |u(x,0)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x,0)} dx \ge s\delta_1 e^{2s\min_{x\in\overline{\Omega}}\varphi(x,0)} ||u(\cdot,0)||^2.$$

We neglect the second and the third terms on the left-hand side of (1.5) and further replace the second term on the right-hand side by $Cse^{C_1s} ||u||^2_{L^2(\partial\Omega\times(0,T))}$ where $C_1 := \max_{(x,t)\in\overline{Q}}\varphi(x,t)$.

Thus

$$se^{2s\min_{x\in\overline{\Omega}}\varphi(x,0)} \|u(\cdot,0)\|^2 \le Ce^{C_1s} \|u\|^2_{L^2(\partial\Omega\times(0,T))} + Cse^{2s\max_{x\in\overline{\Omega}}\varphi(x,T)} \|u(\cdot,T)\|^2$$

for all $s \ge s_0$. Applying (3.4), we obtain

$$\|u(\cdot,0)\|^{2} \le Ce^{C_{1}s} \|u\|^{2}_{L^{2}(\partial\Omega\times(0,T))} + Ce^{-2s\delta_{2}} \|u(\cdot,T)\|^{2}$$
(3.5)

for all $s \geq s_0$.

Lemma 1 implies

$$||u(\cdot,T)||^2 \le C(||u(\cdot,0)||^2 + ||u||^2_{L^2(\partial\Omega \times (0,T))})$$

Substituting this into (3.5), we reach

$$\|u(\cdot,0)\|^2 \le Ce^{Cs} \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega\times(0,T))}^2 + Ce^{-2s\delta_2} \|u(\cdot,0)\|^2 + Ce^{-2s\delta_2} \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega\times(0,T))}^2$$

for all $s \ge s_0$. Choosing s > 0 sufficiently large, we can absorb the second term on the right-hand side into the left-side hand, and so we complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgment

The second author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows JP20J11497 of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The third author was supported by Grantin-Aid for Scientific Research (A) 20H00117 of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, The National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11771270, 91730303), and the RUDN University Strategic Academic Leadership Program. The essential part was based on the intensive course by the third author at Tor Vergata University of Rome and he thanks Professor Piermarco Cannarsa for offeirng the opportunity.

References

- L. Beilina and M.V. Klibanov, Approximate Global Convergence and Adaptivity for Coefficient Inverse Problems, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2012.
- [2] M. Bellassoued and M. Yamamoto, Carleman Estimates and Applications to Inverse Problems for Hyperbolic Systems, Springer-Japan, Tokyo, 2017.
- [3] A.L. Bukhgeim and M.V. Klibanov, Global uniqueness of a class of multidimensional inverse problems, Sov. Math.-Dokl. 24 (1981) 244-247.
- [4] P. Cannarsa, G. Floridia, F. Gögeleyen, and M. Yamamoto, Inverse coefficient problems for a transport equation by local Carleman estimate, Inverse Problems 35 (2019) 105013.
- [5] P. Cannarsa, G. Floridia, and M. Yamamoto, Observability inequalities for transport equations through Carleman estimates, Trends in control theory and partial differential equations, 69-87, Springer INdAM Ser., 32, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [6] T. Carleman, Sur un problème d'unicité pour les systèmes d'équations aux derivées partielles à deux variables independentes, Ark. Mat. Astr. Fys. 2B (1939) 1-9.
- [7] G. Floridia and H. Takase, Observability inequalities for degenerate transport equations, DOI:10.1007/s00028-021-00740-z, to appear in Journal of Evolution Equations.
- [8] G. Floridia and H. Takase, Inverse problems for first-order hyperbolic equations with time-dependent coefficients, DOI:10.1016/j.jde.2021.10.007, to appear in Journal of Differential Equations.

- [9] P. Gaitan and H. Ouzzane, Inverse problem for a free transport equation using Carleman estimates, Appl. Anal. 93 (2014) 1073–1086.
- [10] F. Gölgeleyen and M. Yamamoto, Stability for some inverse problems for transport equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 48 (2016) 2319-2344.
- [11] L. Hörmander, *Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
- [12] X. Huang, O.Y. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, Stability for inverse source problems by Carleman estimates, Inverse Problems 36 (2020) 125006
- [13] O.Y. Imanuvilov, Controllability of parabolic equations, Sbornik Math. 186 (1995), 879-900.
- [14] O. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, Lipschitz stability in inverse parabolic problems by the Carleman estimate, Inverse Problems 14 (1998) 1229-1245.
- [15] O. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, Global Lipschitz stability in an inverse hyperbolic problem by interior observations, Inverse Problems 17 (2001) 717-728.
- [16] V. Isakov, Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [17] M. Kazemi and M.V. Klibanov, Stability estimates for ill-posed Cauchy problem involving hyperbolic equations and inequalities, Appl. Anal.50 (1993) 93 - 102.
- [18] M.V. Klibanov, Inverse problems and Carleman estimates, Inverse Problems 8 (1992) 575-596.
- [19] M.V. Klibanov and S.E. Pamyatnykh, Lipschitz stability of a non-standard problem for the non-stationary transport equation via a Carleman estimate, Inverse Problems 22 (2006) 881-890.
- [20] M.V. Klibanov and S.E. Pamyatnykh, Global uniqueness for a coefficient inverse problem for the non-stationary transport equation via Carleman estimate, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343 (2008) 352-365.

- [21] M.V. Klibanov and A. Timonov, Carleman Estimates for Coefficient Inverse Problems and Numerical Applications, VSP, Utrecht, 2004.
- [22] M. V. Klibanov and M. Yamamoto, Exact controllability for the time dependent transport equation, SIAM J. Control Optim., 46 (2007) 2071–2195.
- [23] V. Komornik, Exact Controllability and Stabilization the Multiplier Method, Wiley, Chichester, 1994.
- [24] M. Machida and M. Yamamoto, Global Lipschitz stability in determining coefficients of the radiative transport equation, Inverse Problems 30 (2014) 035010
- [25] S. Mizohata, The Theory of Partial Differential Equations, Cambridge University Press, London, 1973.
- [26] I.G. Petrovsky, Lectures on Partial Differential Equations, Dover, New York, 2012.
- [27] M. Yamamoto, Carleman estimates for parabolic equations and applications, Inverse Problems 25 (2009) 123013.