Effect of an interplanetary coronal mass ejection on Saturn’s radio emission
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ABSTRACT

The Saturn Kilometric Radiation (SKR) was observed for the first time during the flyby of Saturn by the Voyager spacecraft in 1980. These radio emissions, in the range of a few kHz to 1 MHz, are emitted by electrons travelling around auroral magnetic field lines. Their study is useful to understand the variability of a magnetosphere and its coupling with the solar wind. Previous studies have shown a strong correlation between the solar wind pressure and the SKR intensity. However, up to now, the effect of an Interplanetary Coronal Mass Ejection (ICME) has never been examined in detail, due to the lack of SKR observations at the time when an ICME can be tracked and its different parts be clearly identified. In this study, we take advantage of a large ICME that reached Saturn mid-November 2014 (Witasse et al., 2017). At that time, the Cassini spacecraft was fortunately travelling within the solar wind for a few days, and provided a very accurate timing of the ICME structure. A survey of the Cassini data for the same period indicated a significant increase in the SKR emissions, showing a good correlation after the passage of the ICME shock with a delay of 13 hours and after the magnetic cloud passage with a delay of 25-42 hours. In between, a smaller SKR burst could be correlated with a proton flux peak occurring during the passage of the ejecta of the ICME.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Saturn Kilometric Radiation (SKR) was observed for the first time by Kaiser et al. (1980) during the flyby of Saturn in January 1980, with the Voyager/PRA (Planetary Radio Astronomy) instrument (Warwick et al., 1977). The SKR radio emission is a low frequency non-thermal radio emission observed between a few kHz to about 1 MHz. It is emitted through the Cyclotron Maser Instability (Wu and Lee, 1979; Lamy et al., 2010) triggered by accelerated electrons travelling around auroral field lines (Lamy et al., 2009a). SKR is thus directly related to magnetospheric dynamical activity.
The analysis of Voyager PRA data showed a strong correlation between the Solar Wind pressure and SKR emitted flux (Desch, 1982; Desch and Rucker, 1983). Desch (1983) even showed the SKR disappeared while Saturn passed through the tail of the Jovian magnetosphere. Thanks to the Cassini RPWS (Radio and Plasma Waves Science) experiment (Gurnett et al., 2004) we have quasi-continuous observations of the SKR from early 2004 to the end of mission in October 2017. The radio data have the potential to be used as a remote proxy for both upstream driving and magnetospheric dynamics, and many studies have sought to explore the role of the solar wind perturbations in stimulating auroral and radio phenomena. Corotating interaction region (CIR) compressions in the solar wind have been found to intensify the SKR bursts and occasionally to disrupt their regular phasing (Badman et al., 2008; Kurth et al., 2016). Dynamic magnetotail reconnection events have also been shown to correlate well with intensifications and low frequency extensions (LFEs) of the SKR (Bunce et al., 2005; Jackman et al., 2005, 2009; Reed et al., 2018).

Although the link between enhanced solar wind dynamic pressure and intense SKR has been known for many years (e.g. Kaiser et al., 1980; Desch and Rucker, 1983; Taubenschuss et al., 2006), the effect of Interplanetary Coronal Mass Ejections (ICME) on SKR activity has never been described in detail, due to the lack of SKR observations at the time when an ICME impact can be clearly identified. In this study, we take advantage of a large ICME that reached Saturn in November 2014 (Witasse et al., 2017). The solar wind feature was tracked from the Sun up to Voyager 2 as well as simulated with the WSA-ENLIL+Cone model. A still of this model at Saturn is shown in Figure 1. One of the major challenges for interpreting remote sensing observations is the lack of an upstream monitor to provide context for the observations and a quantitative measure of the solar wind driving conditions. However, for this rare example, the Cassini spacecraft was situated upstream of the bow shock, sampling the solar wind for a few days. Thus, it has been possible to use in situ data from the Cassini magnetometer (MAG) (Dougherty et al., 2004) and energetic particle instrument (MIMI) (Krimigis et al., 2004) to provide accurate timing for the ICME arrival. The ICME impacted Cassini on November 12th, 2014. A survey of the RPWS data for the same period indicated a significant increase in the SKR emissions. This article reports on the correlation between this solar wind disturbance and SKR emissions.

### 2 DATA AND TRAJECTORIES

In this study, we use several datasets from various instruments: radio frequency data from the High Frequency Receiver (HFR) of Cassini/RPWS (Gurnett et al., 2004); magnetic field data from the Cassini/MAG (Magnetometer) (Dougherty et al., 2004); and particle distribution function moments from Cassini/MIMI (Magnetosphere Imaging Instrument) (Krimigis et al., 2004). The trajectory data is provided by the CDPP (Centre de Données de la Physique des Plasmas) through their AMDA (Automated Multi-Dataset Analysis) and 3Dview tools (Génot et al., 2017).

The Cassini/RPWS/HFR instrument is recording radio electric signals from 3.5 kHz to 16 MHz, using three electric antennas. This instrument allows to reconstruct the absolute flux density and polarization of the observed radio waves (Cecconi and Zarka, 2005). In this study, we make use of two datasets from HFR: (a) the Cassini/RPWS/HFR Level 3e (N3e) dataset (Cecconi et al., 2017), i.e., the “Circular Polarization Mode” goniopolarimetric inversion as described in section 2.1.3.2 of Cecconi and Zarka (2005); and (b) the Cassini/RPWS/HFR SKR dataset (Lamy et al., 2009b) as described in Lamy et al. (2008).

The Cassini/MIMI instrument is designed for energetic neutral and charged particle detection. MIMI consists of three sensors of which we use the Low Energy Magnetospheric Measurement System (LEMMS) sensor (Krimigis et al., 2004). LEMMS is a charged particle telescope with two units separated by 180° in pointing that use solid state detectors and coincidence logic to determine the type of particle (electron...
The data have been studied during a 30-day interval (2014-11-06 00:00:00 to 2014-12-06 00:00:00), including on the prediction ICME arrival times at Saturn (see next sections). Figure 2 is showing an overview of the magnetospheric configuration, using 3DView (Génot et al., 2017), and a dynamic magnetospheric model (Kanani et al., 2010) implemented in this tool. The input Solar Wind dynamic pressure is based
Figure 2. Cassini trajectory with Saturn’s Magnetopause model [Kanani et al., 2010]. Snapshots from 3Dview, at 00:00 on days 2014-11-10, 2014-11-13, 2014-11-19 and 2014-12-05, from (a) to (d) respectively. On panel (d) a marker shows the modeled reentry into the magnetosphere.

on an MHD simulation propagation of OMNI data [Tao et al., 2005], and is described in the next section (Figure 6). Figure 3 shows the Cassini trajectory together with two modeled magnetopause [Arridge et al., 2006] and bowshock [Went et al., 2011], using a typical ram pressure of 0.05 nPa. Those figures illustrate the geometrical configuration involved in this study: The Cassini spacecraft comes out from the Kronian magnetosphere at the beginning of the interval, on the Northern dawn side; it then travels in the Solar Wind, while moving along the morning flank of the magnetosphere; it reenters the equatorial magnetosphere in the late morning local time at the end of the interval.

3 OBSERVATIONS AND MODELS

Figure 4 is showing the radio electric and the in-situ particle and magnetic field observations for the selected 30 days. The flux density and circular polarization degree spectrograms, and the SKR integrated flux time series are showing an intense SKR activity episode, including LFEs, from Nov. 11th to 29th, 2014:

- **Before Nov. 11th, 12:00**: The SKR is present with moderate intensity, as expected for observations from early morning local time (< 6hr LT, see, e.g., Figure 4 of Lamy et al., 2009a).
From Nov. 11th, 12:00 to Nov. 15th 00:00: A more intense SKR episode is observed, with a pair of intense outburst accompanied with LFEs on Nov. 13th at 12:00 and 19:30 (see Figure 8). The LFE event is clear on the Integrated SKR Power panel, since the dashed line (wide-band integration, 10 kHz to 1 MHz) is significantly higher than the plain line (medium-band integration, 100 to 400 kHz). This is also visible on the upper panel, where strong continuous emissions are observed between 10 and 100 kHz.

From Nov. 15th to Nov. 20th: An SKR dropout is observed, with an isolated burst around Nov. 17th 00:00.

From Nov. 20th to Nov. 24th: Another intense SKR episode is observed with strong and long lasting LFEs.

From Nov. 24th to Nov. 29th: The LFE component is going on, with moderate intensity SKR bursts.

From Nov. 29th to Dec. 1st: The SKR is dropping out and resumes to expected levels at the end of the interval.

From Nov. 29th to the end of the studied interval: A periodic very low frequency signal (~5 kHz) is observed, and mostly visible on the polarization panel. This feature corresponds to the 5 kHz Saturn narrow-band emission, so called n-SMR (Louarn et al., 2007) or n-SKR (Wang et al., 2010).

The SKR dropouts observed in the morning sector of the magnetosphere is unusual, since this is the main activity LT sector of SKR (Galopeau et al., 1995; Lamy et al., 2009a). Furthermore, one must keep in mind that the SKR modulation at or close to the planetary rotation period makes it difficult to accurately determine the actual onset of SKR events. The n-SMR feature observed at the end of the interval is typical of energetic magnetospheric events, as described in details in Louarn et al. (2007). Their figure 1 shows...
similar LFEs followed by n-SMR pulsed emissions. The in-situ data (magnetic field and particle) displays several characteristic signatures which will be described in section 4.

The variability of the emitted SKR power (Lamy et al., 2008) is presented on figure 5. The average usual SKR emitted power is of the order of $10^6$ W/sr to $10^7$ W/sr, and we observe SKR average power raising up to $10^7$ W/sr, with peaks to $10^8$ W/sr (i.e., 1 order of magnitude higher than regular levels). SKR emitted power is dropping down to $10^5$ W/sr and even down to undetectable levels on day Nov. 18th, 2014, and on the last two days of Nov. 2014.

The propagated Solar Wind data available in AMDA are presented in Figure 6. This Figure shows the modeled Solar Wind dynamic pressure (top panel), using the 1D MHD model developed by Tao et al. (2005). Setting a threshold to 0.1 nPa, AMDA provides us with four time intervals of enhanced dynamic pressure, with peak values at about 0.15 nPa. The four intervals are shown in Table 1. The model is however not well constrained as we can see on the lower panel of Figure 6 since Saturn is in Solar conjunction from Earth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Start Time</th>
<th>End Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2014-11-10 17:55</td>
<td>2014-11-10 19:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2014-11-28 06:05</td>
<td>2014-11-28 09:05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. AMDA derived dynamic pressure peaks (> 0.1 nPa) as modeled by Tao et al. (2005). Times are given in the format YYYY-MM-DD hh:mm

Propagated Solar wind data available at CCMC (Community Coordinated Modeling Center) are presented in Figure 7. The simulation uses ENLIL, a 3D MHD modeling code (Odstrcil, 2003). The simulation run used here is Leila_Mays_092716_SH_1 (Witasse et al., 2017). The Figure shows a clear enhancement of dynamic pressure from Nov. 15th to Nov. 17th, with a peak value around 0.2 nPa. The ENLIL data also shows another similar event starting at the end of the time interval, on Dec 4th.

The two propagation models are predicting different arrival times of the ICME. The (Tao et al., 2005) model from CDPP is about 5 days early compared to the ENLIL simulation run output from CCMC. Due to the Solar System orbital configuration, the ENLIL simulation run should be more accurate.

4 ICME EVENT

In order to correlate and interpret the variations of the SKR emissions, it is necessary to draw a picture of the ICME event. This ICME erupted from the Solar Active Region 12192 on 14 October 2014 at ~18:30 UT as seen by the SOlar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO), the Project for On Board Autonomy 2 (PROBA-2), the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO), and the Solar TErrestrial RElations Observatory Ahead (STEREO-A) missions. In its way towards the outer solar system hit STEREO-A, Venus, Mars, comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko, Saturn, and possibly New Horizons on its way to Pluto and Voyager-2 at the heliosheath as discussed in detail by Witasse et al. (2017). The propagation of this event was also modelled with two different solar wind simulations, such as the CDPP Propagation Tool, and the Wang-Sheeley-Arge (WSA)-ENLIL + Cone model. For this latest case and based on the Graduated Cylindrical Shell (GCS) fit performed to the coronagraph observations from STEREO-A and SOHO, the CME was injected into the simulation using as inputs 1015 km/s of radial speed, 150° of longitude, 12° of latitude,

Available at: https://ccmc.gsfc.nasa.gov/database_SH/Leila_Mays_092716_SH_1.php
Figure 4. The from top to bottom: Radio electric flux density spectrogram; Radio electric circular polarization degree spectrogram; SKR integrated flux (plain line: 100-400 kHz range; dotted line: 10-1000 kHz range), with a 2 hours running average; energetic particle fluxes for electrons (magenta line) and protons (green line) in units of $cm^{-2}sr^{-1}s^{-1}keV^{-1}$; and magnetic field components in RTN coordinate system ($B_r$ in blue, $B_t$ in orange, $B_n$ in red, and $|B|$ in black). The tags (capital letters from A to S) correspond to events described in Table 2. Times are given in the format YYYY-MM-DD.
Emitted RH SKR integrated power measured by Cassini/RPWS/HFR

![Graph showing emitted RH SKR integrated power measured by Cassini/RPWS/HFR over time.

Figure 5. Right Handed polarized emitted SKR power integrated on 100-400 kHz (plain line) and 10-1000 kHz (dashed line), as measured by Cassini/RPWS/HFR. The data has been averaged over 15 minutes.

and a full width of 116°. For the ICME propagation out to Saturn, a medium resolution (2°) simulation was performed on a HEEQ spherical grid of 1920×60×180 (r, θ, φ) size, with a range of 0.1 to 10.1 AU in radius (r), -60° to +60° in latitude (θ), and -180° to 180° in longitude (φ). In addition to the CME of this study, the simulation also included 138 CMEs with speeds above 500 km/s and full angular widths above 50°. Figure 1 shows a still of the propagation of this ICME just before its arrival at Saturn (the ICME is outlined in black), and Figure 7 shows the derived solar wind density, velocity and temperature profiles obtained from the simulation at Saturn. The ICME arrived at Saturn approximately one month after its ejection at the Sun as observed by Cassini, which was immersed in the solar wind at this time. Table 2 gives a summary of the timing of the different regions of the ICME at Cassini as well as the corresponding SKR enhancements.

Although there were no in-situ measurements of the ICME and the background solar wind speeds, values of 500 and 400 km/s respectively can be estimated from Witasse et al. (2017). This estimation is consistent with the modelled Solar Wind velocity presented on Figure 6.

It is noticeable that the enhanced activity of the SKR starts with the first ENLIL simulation run event, during the enhanced Solar Wind density event, before the peak dynamic pressure. The isolated SKR burst emission on Nov. 17th (during phase (c)) corresponds to the peak of dynamic pressure predicted by the ENLIL simulation run. The rise of SKR activity the end of the interval also correspond to the ENLIL enhanced density (and dynamic pressure).

5 DISCUSSION

The SKR variability observed the month of Nov 2014 is closely related to the ICME events, with observed delays of ~20h between SKR and Solar Wind events. We observe that the integrated power (over 100-400 kHz, i.e., the core of the SKR band, as well as over the 10-1000 kHz, i.e., the extended SKR band) increase by 1 order of magnitude. We also observe SKR drop outs at undetectable levels. Such low SKR level
Figure 6. Solar Wind modeled dynamic pressure from Tao et al. (2005). From top to bottom: Solar wind dynamic pressure in nPa; Tangential component of the Solar Wind velocity in km/s; and the Saturn-Sun-Earth angle (in degrees). Times are given in the format YYYY-MM-DD.

conditions are indicating a significant expansion of the Kronian magnetosphere (or, equivalently, a strong depletion of the Solar Wind) (Kaiser et al., 1980). It is noticeable that the increase, drop-out and increase again is very similar as the event presented in Figure 1 of Jackman et al. (2005).

The spacecraft is located on the dawn side of the magnetosphere (∼7 hr LT). This local time sector should display continuous SKR modulations, as observed before Nov. 11th, 2014 on Figure 4, as described in Lamy et al. (2008). The SKR modulation breakouts, as well as SKR peaks and dropouts are clearly a specific set of events, responding to the dynamics, rather than an effect of limited viewing due to spatial constraints.

The intensification in the main band of SKR is accompanied by an extension of the emission to lower frequencies, similarly to what is observed in the case of a CIR impacting the Kronian magnetosphere, as investigated in details by Jackman et al. (2005). This strong, continuous extension of emission is interpreted as a growth/expansion of the radio source to higher altitudes along the field line, with the lower field strength correlated to lower frequency emission (due to the cyclotron maser instability generation mechanism).

We observe an enhancement in the SKR ∼20 hours after in-situ events, with delays ranging from ∼13 hr at the shock arrival, to ∼25 hr at the proton injection and magnetic bottle start, and to ∼44 hr near the
Figure 7. Solar Wind parameters from ENLIL model from CCMC. From top to bottom: Solar wind density (in $cm^{-3}$), dynamic pressure (in nPa), and temperature (in kK). Times are given in the format YYYY-MM-DD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>ICME</th>
<th>SKR</th>
<th>Delay (hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnetosheath (outwards)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2014-11-11 09:00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2014-11-11 12:00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock arrival</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2014-11-12 22:50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheath/ejecta</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>2014-11-13 11:30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2014-11-13 11:40</td>
<td>12.8 from C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2014-11-14 03:00</td>
<td>15.5 from D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2014-11-15 02:00</td>
<td>38.5 from D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proton injection (start)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2014-11-15 09:30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proton injection (end)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2014-11-16 10:30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic cloud start</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2014-11-16 12:00</td>
<td>26.5 from H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic bottle (start)</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>2014-11-16 19:30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic bottle (end)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2014-11-17 11:00</td>
<td>24.5 from I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic cloud end/sheath</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2014-11-18 06:10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic bottle (end)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2014-11-19 07:20</td>
<td>25.2 from M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2014-11-22 01:30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2014-11-23 20:10</td>
<td>42.7 from O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>2014-11-26 19:30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic sheath (inwards)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2014-11-28 19:00</td>
<td>47.5 from Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>2014-11-30 08:40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Timings of the major signatures of the ICME and SKR. The first column are the tags shown on Figure 4. Times are given in the format YYYY-MM-DD hh:mm

end of the event. The uncertainty on the delays shall be evaluated taking into account the SKR modulation at or close to the planetary rotation period, i.e., $\sim$10.5 hr ($Lamy$ 2010). This implies an asymmetric uncertainty from 0 (if the event occurs during the high SKR level modulation phase) to $\sim$5 hr (1/2 of the modulation period, if the event occurs during the low SKR level modulation phase). Our findings on delays between in-situ and radio events are fully consistent with the study of Taubenschuss et al. (2006), where delays between Solar Wind and SKR events have been derived using statistical methods. This previous study identified delays ranging from $\sim$13 hr for dynamic pressure enhancement, to $\sim$27 hr to $\sim$44 hr for magnetic field events.

Thanks to the specific orbital configuration during the event, we have been able to observe simultaneously the ICME in the Solar Wind upstream from Saturn, as well as the SKR response. This study is thus very
complementary to other studies [Palmerio et al. (2021)] using only modelled data to identify the event arrival times at Saturn. The shock arrival time derived from the data is estimated to 2014-11-12 22:50. The 1D-MHD modelled data [Tao et al. (2005)] predicts a first dynamic pressure pulse starting at 2014-11-10 17:55, and a second, longer one, at 2014-11-11 20:55. The ENLIL model [Odstrcil (2003)] predicts a peaked solar wind density on 2014-11-11 and a peaked dynamic pressure on 2014-11-15. In terms of time of arrival estimations, our observational data seem to favor the 1D-MHD modelling available in AMDA provides, compared to the ENLIL code available at CCMC.
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APPENDIX: SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
The supplementary material for this paper [Cecconi et al. (2021)] is available from [https://doi.org/10.25935/DZRB-P221](https://doi.org/10.25935/DZRB-P221), and contains the following content.

5.1 Autoplot configuration files
Three Autoplot configuration files (.vap extension) are available:

- icme-skr-fig-full.vap to reproduce figure 4
- icme-skr-fig-skr-emitted.vap to reproduce figure 5
- icme-skr-fig-event-1.vap to reproduce figure 8
- icme-skr-fig-event-2.vap to reproduce figure 9
5.2 3Dview files

-.icme-skr-3dview.mov: 3Dview ([Génot et al., 2017]) generated movie, showing the Cassini trajectory during the studied interval. The Cassini/MAG magnetic field vector is plotted along the trajectory, with a rainbow color map for the magnetic field amplitude. The received SKR RH integrated power time series (on the 10 to 1000 kHz band) is also plotted along the trajectory, in the orbit plane, with a white-blue color map for the power values. The magnetopause location is also displayed, using the ([Kanani et al., 2010]) model, with the dynamic pressure input provided by 3dview from ([Tao et al., 2005]).

-icme-skr-3dview.3dv: 3Dview configuration file to reproduce icme-skr-3dview.mov.
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Figure 8. Zoomed-in version of Figure 4 from Nov. 10th 00:00 SCET to Nov. 15th, 12:00 SCET. The panel description is the same as for Figure 4. Times are given in the format YYYY-MM-DD, and hh:mm.
Figure 9. Zoomed-in version of Figure 4 from Nov. 18th 00:00 SCET to Nov. 24th 10:00 SCET. The panel description is the same as for Figure 4. Times are given in the format YYYY-MM-DD, and hh:mm.