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Abstract. In this paper, we have studied almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton within the framework of certain classes of almost Kenmotsu manifold. First, we prove that complete Kenmotsu manifold admitting gradient almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton is locally isometric to hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}$ when $\xi r = 0$. Next, we prove that almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton on Kenmotsu manifold reduces to Ricci-Yamabe soliton under the certain condition on the soliton function. Moreover, gradient almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton on $(\kappa, \mu)'$-almost Kenmotsu manifold and generalized $(\kappa, \mu)$-almost Kenmotsu manifold with $h' \neq 0$ are examined. Some examples have been constructed of almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton on different class of warped product spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 53C15; Secondary 53C25.

Keywords. Almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton, Kenmotsu manifold, Almost Kenmotsu manifold, Ricci soliton, Yamabe soliton.

1. Introduction

The theory of geometric flows plays a significant role in understanding the geometric structure in Riemannian geometry. The Ricci flow is a well-known geometric flow introduced by Hamilton [1], who used it to prove a three-dimensional sphere theorem [2]. The Ricci flow plays a crucial role in forming proof of Thurston’s conjecture, including as a special case the Poincare conjecture. The Ricci soliton on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{L}_V g)(X, Y) + 2S(X, Y) = 2\lambda g(X, Y),$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$. Here, $\mathcal{L}_V g$ denotes the Lie-derivative of $g$ along a potential vector field $V$, $S$ is the Ricci curvature of $M$ and $\lambda$, real constant. The Ricci soliton is a special self-similar solution of the Hamilton’s Ricci flow: $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = -S(t)$, with initial condition $g(0) = g$; and is said to be shrinking, steady and expanding accordingly, as $\lambda$ is positive, zero and
negative respectively. When the vector field $V$ is the gradient of a smooth function $f$ on $M$, that is, $V = \nabla f$, then we say that Ricci soliton is gradient. For details see [3, 29].

To tackle the Yamabe problem on manifolds of positive conformal Yamabe invariant, Hamilton introduced the geometric flow known as Yamabe flow. The Yamabe soliton is a self-similar solution to the Yamabe flow. On a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, a Yamabe soliton is given by

$$(\mathcal{L}_V g)(X, Y) = 2(r - \lambda)g(X, Y),$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$. Here, $r$ is the scalar curvature of the manifold and $\lambda$, real constant. The Yamabe soliton preserves the conformal class of the metric but the Ricci soliton does not in general. Moreover, in dimension $n = 2$, both the solitons are similar. If $\lambda$ is a smooth function on $M$ then Ricci soliton and Yamabe soliton are called Ricci almost soliton [4] and almost Yamabe soliton [5] respectively.

Recently, in 2019, Guler and Crasmareanu [7] introduced a new type of geometric flow which is a scalar combination of Ricci flow and Yamabe flow under the name Ricci-Yamabe map. In [7], the author define the following:

**Definition 1.1.** [7] A Riemannian flow on $M$ is a smooth map:

$$g : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Riem}(M),$$

where $I$ is a given open interval.

**Definition 1.2.** [7] The map $R_Y^{(\alpha, \beta, g)} : I \rightarrow T^*_2(M)$ given by:

$$R_Y^{(\alpha, \beta, g)} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t) + 2\alpha S(t) + \beta r(t)g(t),$$

is called the $(\alpha, \beta)$-Ricci-Yamabe map of the Riemannian flow $(M, g)$. If $R_Y^{(\alpha, \beta, g)} \equiv 0$, then $g(.)$ will be called an $(\alpha, \beta)$-Ricci-Yamabe flow.

The Ricci-Yamabe flow can be Riemannian or semi-Riemannian or singular Riemannian flow due to the involvement of scalars $\alpha$ and $\beta$. These kinds of choices can be useful when dealing with relativity. The Ricci-Yamabe soliton emerges as the limit of the solution of Ricci-Yamabe flow. The notion of Ricci-Yamabe soliton from the Ricci-Yamabe flow can be defined as follows:

**Definition 1.3.** [6] A Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g), n > 2$ is said to admit a Ricci-Yamabe soliton $(g, V, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if

$$L_V g + 2\alpha S = (2\lambda - \beta r)g,$$

where $\lambda, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. If $V$ is a gradient of some smooth function $f$ on $M$, then the above notion is called gradient Ricci-Yamabe soliton and then (1.1) reduces to

$$\nabla^2 f + \alpha S = (\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\beta r)g,$$
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where $\nabla^2 f$ is the Hessian of $f$.

The Ricci-Yamabe soliton is said to be expending, shrinking or steady if $\lambda < 0$, $\lambda > 0$ or $\lambda = 0$ respectively. Therefore, equation (1.1) is Ricci-Yamabe soliton of $(\alpha, \beta)$-type which is a combination of Ricci soliton and Yamabe soliton. In particular, $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(1, -1)$ and $(1, -2\rho)$-type Ricci-Yamabe soliton are Ricci soliton, Yamabe soliton, Einstein soliton and $\rho$-Einstein soliton respectively. Therefore, the notion of Ricci-Yamabe soliton generalizes a large class of soliton-like equations. If we replace $\lambda$ in equation (1.1) by a smooth function, then we said that $(M, g)$ is an almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton.

Recently, in [6], the author studied Ricci-Yamabe soliton on almost kenmotsu manifolds. He showed that a $(k, \mu)'$-almost Kenmotsu manifolds admitting a Ricci-Yamabe soliton or gradient Ricci-Yamabe soliton is locally isometric to the Riemannian product $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(-4) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Siddiqi and Akyol [8], introduced the notion of $\eta$-Ricci-Yamabe soliton and establish the geometrical bearing on Riemannian submersions in terms of $\eta$-Ricci-Yamabe soliton with the potential field and giving the classification of any fiber of Riemannian submersion is an $\eta$-Ricci-Yamabe soliton, $\eta$-Ricci soliton and $\eta$-Yamabe soliton. Yoldaş [9] deal with Kenmotsu manifolds admitting $\eta$-Ricci-Yamabe solitons. In [10], the authors studied the applications of Ricci-Yamabe soliton in perfect fluid spacetime. Motivated by their works we studied almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton within the framework of certain types of almost Kenmotsu manifold. The paper is organized as follows: after preliminaries in section 3, we analyzed almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton in normal almost Kenmotsu manifold (or Kenmotsu manifold). Firstly we showed that a complete Kenmotsu manifold is locally isometric to hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}(-1)$ if $\xi r = 0$. Also, we proved that almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton in Kenmotsu manifold reduces to Ricci-Yamabe soliton when $Hess_{\lambda}(\xi, \xi)$ is constant along Reeb vector field. Moreover, $\eta$-Einstein Kenmotsu manifold admitting Ricci-Yamabe soliton is studied. Next in section 4, we proved that a $(k, \mu)'$-almost Kenmotsu manifold with $h' \neq 0$ admits gradient almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton then either $M$ is locally isometric to $\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}(-4) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ or potential vector field is pointwise collinear with the Reeb vector field. Lastly, the non-existence of almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton on generalized $(k, \mu)$-almost Kenmotsu manifold is proved.

2. Preliminaries

A $(2n+1)$-dimensional smooth manifold $M$ is called an almost contact metric manifold if it admits a $(1, 1)$-tensor field $\varphi$, a unit vector field $\xi$ (called the Reeb vector field) and a 1-form $\eta$ such that

$$\varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \; \eta(X) = g(X, \xi),$$

(2.1)

for all vector field $X$ on $M$. A Riemannian metric $g$ is said to be an associated (or compatible) metric if it satisfies

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

(2.2)
for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$. An almost contact manifold $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta)$ together with a compatible metric $g$ is known as almost contact metric manifold (see Blair [11]).

An almost Kenmotsu manifold is defined as an almost contact metric manifold if it satisfies $d\eta = 0$ and $\Phi = 2\eta \wedge \Phi$, where the fundamental 2-form $\Phi$ of the almost contact metric manifold is defined by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y)$ for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$ (see [12]). On the product $M^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ of an almost contact metric manifold $M^{2n+1}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, there exists an almost complex structure $J$ defined by

$$J(X, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\varphi X - f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt}),$$

where $X$ denotes a vector field tangent to $M^{2n+1}$, $t$ is the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$ and $f$ is a $C^\infty$-function on $M^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$. If $J$ is integrable, then almost contact metric structure on $M^{2n+1}$ is said to be normal. A normal almost Kenmotsu manifold is called a Kenmotsu manifold (see [13]). An almost Kenmotsu manifold is a Kenmotsu manifold if and only if

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X,$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M^{2n+1}$. On a Kenmotsu manifold the following holds [13]:

$$\nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi, \quad (2.3)$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X, \quad (2.4)$$

$$Q\xi = -2n\xi, \quad (2.5)$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M^{2n+1}$. Here $R$ is the curvature tensor of $g$ and $Q$ the Ricci operator associated with the $(1, 2)$ Ricci tensor $S$ given by $S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$ for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $M^{2n+1}$. It is shown that a Kenmotsu manifold is locally a warped product $I \times f N^{2n}$, where $I$ is an open interval with coordinate $t$, $f = ce^t$ is the warping function for some positive constant $c$ and $N^{2n}$ is a Kählerian manifold [13].

On an almost Kenmotsu manifold the following formula is valid [14][15]:

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\varphi^2 X - \varphi hX, \quad (2.6)$$

for any vector field $X$ on $M^{2n+1}$. We define two operators $h$ and $\ell$ by $h = \frac{1}{2}L_\xi \varphi$ and $\ell = R(\cdot, \xi)\xi$ on $M^{2n+1}$ satisfying $h\xi = h'\xi = 0$, $Tr.h = Tr.h' = 0$, $h\varphi = -\varphi h$ where $h' = h \cdot \varphi$ and $Tr.$ denotes trace.

### 3. Normal almost Kenmotsu manifold

In this section, we deal with normal almost Kenmotsu manifold, that is, Kenmotsu manifold admitting almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton and gradient almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton. Firstly, we give some examples of gradient almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton.
Example 1. Let \((N, J, g_0)\) be a Kähler manifold of dimension \(2n\). Consider the warped product \((M, g) = (\mathbb{R} \times \sigma N, dt^2 + \sigma^2 g_0)\), where \(t\) is the coordinate on \(\mathbb{R}\). We set \(\eta = dt\), \(\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\) and \((1,1)\) tensor field \(\varphi\) by \(\varphi X = JX\) for vector field \(X\) on \(N\) and \(\varphi X = 0\) if \(X\) is tangent to \(\mathbb{R}\). The above warped product with the structure \((\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)\) is a Kenmotsu manifold [13]. In particular, if we take \(N = \mathbb{C} \mathbb{H}^{2n}\), then \(N\) being Einstein, the Ricci tensor of \(M\) becomes \(S^M = -2ng\). Then it is easy to verify that \((M, f, g, \lambda)\) is an almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton for \(f(x, t) = ke^t, k > 0\) and \(\lambda(x, t) = -2n\alpha - n\beta(2n+1) + ke^t\).

Therefore, a large number of examples can be constructed by considering different potential functions \(f\) on warped product spaces. Next, we constructed an example by using Kanai’s result [16].

Example 2. Let \(N^{2n}\) be a complete Einstein Kähler manifold with \(S^N = -(2n-1)g_0\). Now consider the warped product \(M^{2n+1} = \mathbb{R} \times \text{cosh} t N^{2n}\) with the metric \(g = dt^2 + (\text{cosh} t)^2 g_0\). Then by using result by Kanai [16], there exists a function \(f\) on \(M\) without critical points satisfying \(\nabla^2 f = -fg\). Then it is easy to see that \((M, g, \nabla f, \lambda)\) is an almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton for \(\lambda = -2n\alpha - f - n\beta(2n+1)\).

Ghosh [20] initiated the study of Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu 3-manifold. He later studied gradient Ricci almost soliton in Kenmotsu manifold and obtained Theorem 3. Here, we generalized these results for almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton and prove.

**Theorem 3.1.** If the metric of a Kenmotsu manifold \(M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)\) admits a gradient almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton with \(\alpha \neq 0\), then it is \(\eta\)-Einstein. Moreover, if \(M\) is complete and \(\xi\) leaves the scalar curvature invariant then it is locally isometric to Hyperbolic space \(\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}(-1)\). Also, \(\lambda\) can be expressed locally as \(\lambda = A\text{cosh}t + B\text{sinh}t - 2n\alpha - n\beta(2n+1),\) where \(A, B\) are constants on \(M\).

**Proof.** Suppose the metric \(g\) of Kenmotsu manifold admits gradient Ricci-Yamabe soliton, then from (1.2) we have

\[
\nabla_X Df = \sigma X - \alpha QX, \tag{3.1}
\]

for any vector field \(X\) on \(M\) and \(\sigma = \lambda - \frac{\alpha \gamma}{2}\) is a smooth function on \(M\).

Taking an inner product of (3.1) along arbitrary vector field \(Y\), we obtain:

\[
\nabla_Y \nabla_X Df = (Y\sigma)X + \sigma(\nabla_Y X) - \alpha(\nabla_Y Q)X - \alpha Q(\nabla_Y X). \tag{3.2}
\]

Making use of (3.2) in the well-known formula \(R(X, Y)Df = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Df - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Df - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Df\) yields

\[
R(X, Y)Df = (X\sigma)Y - (Y\sigma)X - \alpha[(\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X]. \tag{3.3}
\]

Taking a covariant derivative of (2.5) and using (2.3), we get \((\nabla_X Q)\xi = -2n(X - \eta(X))\xi\). Because of this in the inner product of (3.3) with \(\xi\) gives

\[
g(R(X, Y)Df, \xi) = (X\sigma)\eta(Y) - (Y\sigma)\eta(X). \tag{3.4}
\]
Now, taking an inner product of (2.4) with $Df$ yields
\[ g(R(X, Y)\xi, Df) = (Y f)\eta(X) - (X f)\eta(Y). \] (3.5)
Combining (3.4) and (3.5) and replacing $Y$ by $\xi$ in the obtain relations we obtain
\[ d(\sigma - f) = \xi(\sigma - f)\eta, \] (3.6)
where $d$ is the exterior derivative. This means that $\sigma - f$ is invariant along the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ (i.e., $\mathcal{D} = \ker\eta$) hence $\sigma - f$ is constant for all $X \in \mathcal{D}$.

Contracting (3.3) infer
\[ S(Y, Df) = -2n(Y\sigma) + \frac{\alpha}{2}(Y r), \] (3.7)
for any vector field $Y$ on $M$. Replacing $Y$ by $\xi$ in (3.3) and taking an inner product with $Y$ gives
\[ g(R(X, \xi)Df, Y) = (X\sigma)\eta(Y) - (\xi\sigma)g(X, Y) - \alpha S(X, Y) + 2nag(X, Y). \] (3.8)
In consequence of (2.3) and (2.4) in (3.8) we get
\[ [(X f) - (X\sigma)]\eta(Y) + \xi(\sigma - f)g(X, Y) + \alpha S(X, Y) + 2nag(X, Y) = 0. \] (3.9)
Contracting (3.9) over $X$ gives
\[ 2n\xi(\sigma - f) + \alpha[r + 2n(2n + 1)] = 0. \] (3.10)
Replacing $Y$ by $\xi$ in (3.7) and making use of (3.10) and (2.5), we see that $\xi r = -2(r + 2n(2n + 1))$, for $\alpha \neq 0$. In consequence, (3.10) in (3.6) gives
\[ d(\sigma - f) = -\alpha(\frac{r}{2n} + 2n + 1)\eta. \] (3.11)
Applying Poincare lemma and using the fact that $d\eta = 0$ on (3.11), we obtain $-adr \wedge \eta = 0$, and making use of the value of $\xi r$ we have
\[ Dr = -2(r + 2n(2n + 1))\xi. \] (3.12)
Taking an inner product of (3.11) with vector field $X$, then inserting it along with (3.10) in (3.9) we get
\[ QX = (\frac{r}{2n} + 1)X - (\frac{r}{2n} + 2n + 1)\eta(X)\xi, \] (3.13)
for any vector field $X$ on $M$. Therefore, $M$ is $\eta$-Einstein.

Suppose that $\xi r = 0$, i.e., $\xi$ leaves the scalar curvature invariant. In consequence of this we get $r = -2n(2n + 1)$, a constant. Inserting this in (3.13) implies $QX = -2nX$ i.e., $M$ is Einstein. Now suppose that $M$ is complete. As $r$ is constant, (3.11) gives $Df = D\lambda$. In consequence of this, (3.11) becomes
\[ \nabla_X D\lambda = (\lambda + k)X, \] (3.14)
where $k = n(2\alpha + \beta(2n + 1))$. Applying Tashiro's theorem [17], we see that it is locally isometric to hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}(-1)$. Replacing $X$ by $\xi$ and taking inner product with $\xi$, (3.14) gives $\xi(\xi\lambda) = \lambda + k$. But as we know that a Kenmotsu manifold is locally isometric to the warped product $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times_{ce^t} N$, where $N$ is a Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$ and $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ is an open interval.
Using the local parametrization: $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ (where $t$ is the coordinate on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$) we get from (3.14) \[ \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial t^2} = \lambda + 2n\alpha + n\beta(2n + 1) \]

Its solution can be exhibited as $\lambda = Acosht + Bsinht - 2n\alpha - n\beta(2n + 1)$, where $A, B$ are constants on $M$. This completes the proof. \[\square\]

**Lemma 3.2.** If the metric of a Kenmotsu manifold $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)(n > 1)$ admits almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton then

1. $\xi(\xi \lambda) + \xi \lambda = 2(2n\alpha + \lambda + n\beta(2n + 1))$.
2. $D\lambda = (\xi \lambda)\xi + \beta\{(r + 2n(2n + 1))\xi + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}\}$.

**Proof.** Taking the covariant derivative of (1.1) along arbitrary vector field $X$, we get

\[ (\nabla_X L_V g)(Y, Z) = 2(X\sigma)g(Y, Z) - 2\alpha(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z), \] (3.15)

where $\sigma = \lambda - \frac{\beta t}{2}$. We know the following commutative formula (see [18]):

\[ (L_V \nabla_X g - \nabla_X L_V g - \nabla_{[V, X]} g)(Y, Z) = -g((L_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) - g((L_V \nabla)(X, Z), Y), \] (3.16)

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z$ on $M$. Since $g$ is parallel with respect to Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$, the above relation becomes:

\[ (\nabla_X L_V g)(Y, Z) = g((L_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) + g((L_V \nabla)(X, Z), Y). \] (3.17)

We know that $L_V \nabla$ is a symmetric tensor of type $(1,2)$ and so it follows from (3.17) that

\[ 2g((L_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) = (\nabla_X L_V g)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y L_V g)(Z, X) - (\nabla_Z L_V g)(X, Y). \] (3.18)

Inserting (3.15) in (3.18), then replacing $Y$ by $\xi$ we obtain

\[ (L_V \nabla)(X, \xi) = 2\alpha QX + (4n\alpha + \xi\sigma)X + g(X, D\sigma)\xi - \eta(X)D\sigma. \] (3.19)

Taking the covariant derivative of (3.19) along arbitrary vector field $Y$ gives

\[ (\nabla_Y L_V \nabla)(X, \xi) + (L_V \nabla)(X, Y) - \eta(Y)(L_V \nabla)(X, \xi) = 2\alpha(\nabla_Y Q)X + Y(\xi\sigma)X + g(X, \nabla_Y D\sigma)\xi - g(X, D\sigma)\varphi^2 Y + g(X, \varphi^2 Y)D\sigma - \eta(X)(\nabla_Y D\sigma). \] (3.20)

Making use of this in the formula (see [18])

\[ (L_V R)(X, Y, Z) = (\nabla_X L_V \nabla)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y L_V \nabla)(X, Z) \]

we obtain

\[ (L_V R)(X, Y)\xi = 2\alpha\{(\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X\} + X(\xi\sigma)Y - Y(\xi\sigma)X + g(Y, D\sigma)X - g(X, D\sigma)Y + \eta(X)\nabla_Y D\sigma - \eta(Y)\nabla_X D\sigma + 2\alpha\{\eta(Y)QY - \eta(Y)QX\} + (4n\alpha + \xi\sigma)\{\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X\}. \] (3.21)

Now differentiating $\xi\sigma = g(\xi, D\sigma)$ along vector field $X$ and using (2.3) we get

\[ X(\xi\sigma) = g(X, D\sigma) - (\xi\sigma)\eta(X) + g(\nabla_X D\sigma, \xi). \] (3.22)
Replacing $Y$ by $\xi$ in (1.1), then inserting it in the Lie-derivative of (2.4) yields

$$(L_V R)(X,Y)\xi + R(X,Y)L_V \xi = g(X, L_V \xi)Y - g(Y, L_V \xi)X + 2(\sigma + 2\alpha n)\{\eta(Y)Y - \eta(Y)X\}. \quad (3.23)$$

Combining (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23), we obtain

$$g(X, L_V \xi)Y - g(Y, L_V \xi)X - R(X,Y)\nabla_y X$$

Replacing

$$g \text{ gives } 2\alpha\{(\nabla_x Q)Y - (\nabla_y Q)X + \eta(X)QY - \eta(Y)QX\} + g(\nabla_x D\sigma, \xi)Y - g(\nabla_y D\sigma, \xi)X + \eta(X)\nabla_y D\sigma - \eta(Y)\nabla_x D\sigma - 2\sigma\{\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X\}. \quad (3.24)$$

Replacing $X$ and $Y$ by $\varphi X$ and $\varphi Y$ in (3.24) then contracting the obtain equation and using Lemma 4.2 (see [24]) results in

$$S(Y, L_V \xi) + 2ng(Y, L_V \xi) = \alpha(Yr) + 2\alpha(r + 4n^2 + 2n)\eta(Y) - g(\nabla_\xi D\lambda, \varphi^2 Y).$$

Contracting (3.24) and combining it with the forgoing equation yields

$$2(n - 1)g(\nabla_\xi D\sigma, Y) + \xi(\xi\sigma)\eta(Y) + \eta(Y)\text{div}D\sigma = 4n(2n\alpha + \sigma)\eta(Y). \quad (3.25)$$

Replacing $Y$ by $\xi$ in (3.25), we get $(2n - 1)\xi(\xi\sigma) + \text{div}D\sigma = 4n(2n\alpha + \sigma)$. In view of this in (3.25) infer $g(\nabla_\xi D\sigma, X) = \xi(\xi\sigma)\eta(X)$ for $n > 1$. Now taking $\xi$ instead of $Y$ in (3.24) and making use of above relations we obtain

$$\nabla_x D\sigma = -2(2n\alpha + \sigma)\varphi^2 X + \xi(\xi\sigma)\varphi^2 X + \xi(\xi\sigma)\eta(X)\xi. \quad (3.26)$$

In consequence of (3.26), the expression of the curvature tensor is as follows:

$$R(X, Y)D\sigma = 2(Y\sigma)\varphi^2 X - 2(X\sigma)\varphi^2 Y + (\xi(\xi\sigma))X - X(\xi(\xi\sigma))Y + 2(\xi(\xi\sigma) - \sigma - 2\alpha)\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\}. \quad (3.27)$$

Replacing $Y$ by $\xi$ in (3.27), then inserting the obtain equation back in (3.27) gives

$$R(X, Y)D\sigma = (X\sigma)Y - (Y\sigma)X - 2\{(X\sigma)\eta(Y)\xi - (Y\sigma)\eta(X)\xi\} + \{\xi(\xi(\xi\sigma)) - \xi\sigma\}\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\} + 2\{\xi(\xi\sigma) - \sigma - 2\alpha\}\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\}. \quad (3.28)$$

Replacing $X$ and $Y$ by $\varphi X$ and $\varphi Y$ in (3.28) then contracting the obtained result yields

$$S(Y, D\sigma) = -2ng(Y, D\sigma).$$

In consequence of this in the contraction of (3.28) and further replacing $Y$ by $\varphi Y$ in the obtained expression yields $\varphi D\sigma = 0$. Differentiating this along vector field $X$ and inserting it in (3.26) along with the fact that $\sigma = \lambda - \frac{2\gamma}{r}$ and (2.3) gives

$$\xi(\xi\lambda) + \xi\lambda = 2(2n\alpha + \lambda + n\beta(2n + 1)). \quad (3.29)$$

This completes the proof.
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**Theorem 3.3.** Let $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)(n > 1)$ be a Kenmotsu manifold whose metric represents an almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton. If $\text{Hess}_\lambda(\xi, \xi)$ is constant along Reeb vector field then it reduces to Ricci-Yamabe soliton with $\lambda = -2n\alpha - n\beta(2n + 1)$.

**Proof.** By hypothesis, $\text{Hess}_\lambda(\xi, \xi)$ is constant along the Reeb vector field $\xi$, i.e., $\xi(\xi(\xi(\lambda))) = 0$ implies $\xi(\xi(\lambda))$ is constant along $\xi$. In view of this in the covariant derivative of first relation in Lemma (3.2) along $\xi$, we get $\xi(\xi(\lambda)) = 2(\xi(\lambda))$. Again differentiating this along $\xi$ yields $\xi_\lambda = 0$, that is, $\lambda$ is constant along $\xi$. Making use of this in first relation of Lemma (3.2) gives $\lambda = -2n\alpha - n\beta(2n + 1)$. Therefore almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton reduces to Ricci-Yamabe soliton. This completes the proof. □

**Remark 3.4.** The above Theorem 3.3 is a generalization of Theorem 4.1 in Ghosh [24], where he obtained the condition under which a Ricci almost soliton reduces to an expanding Ricci soliton with $\lambda = -2n$. It is easy to see that for $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ Theorem 4.1 [24] can be obtained from Theorem 3.3. Moreover, the first condition of Theorem 4.1 [24] is also true for this choice of scalars.

A Kenmotsu manifold is said to be $\eta$-Einstein if there exists smooth functions $a$ and $b$ such that

$$S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (3.30)$$

for all vector field $X, Y$ on $M$. If $b = 0$, then $M$ becomes an Einstein manifold.

**Theorem 3.5.** If the metric of an $\eta$-Einstein Kenmotsu manifold $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)(n > 1)$ admits Ricci-Yamabe soliton with $\alpha \neq 0$ then it is Einstein with constant scalar curvature $r = -2n(2n + 1)$, provided $2\alpha + n\beta \neq 0$.

**Proof.** Replacing $Y$ by $\xi$ in (3.30) and using (2.5), we get $a + b = -2n$. Then contracting (3.30) gives $r = (2n + 1)a + b$. In view of this (3.30) becomes

$$S(X, Y) = (\frac{r}{2n} + 1)g(X, Y) - (\frac{r}{2n} + 2n + 1)\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (3.31)$$

for any vector field $X, Y$ on $M$. Making use of (3.31) in (1.1) yields

$$(\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) = \{2\lambda - \beta r - 2\alpha(\frac{r}{2n} + 1)\}g(Y, Z) + 2\alpha\{(2n + 1) + \frac{r}{2n}\}\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \quad (3.32)$$

Taking the covariant derivative of (3.32) along arbitrary vector field $X$ we obtain

$$(\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) = -\{\frac{\alpha}{n} + \beta\}(Xr)g(Y, Z) + \frac{\alpha}{n}(Xr)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)$$

$$+ 2\alpha(\frac{r}{2n} + 2n + 1)\{g(X, Y)\eta(Z) + g(X, Z)\eta(Y) - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\}. \quad (3.33)$$
Making use of (3.33) in (3.18) yields
\[
2(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Y) = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{n} + \beta\right)\{(Xr)Y + (Yr)X - g(X, Y)Dr\} \\
+ \frac{\alpha}{n}\{(Xr)\eta(Y)\xi + (Yr)\eta(X)\xi - \eta(X)\eta(Y)Dr\} \\
+ 4\alpha(2n + 1 + \frac{r}{2n})\{g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi\}. \quad (3.34)
\]
Setting \(X = Y = e_i\) where \(e_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n + 1\) is an orthonormal frame in (3.34) and summing over \(i\), we get
\[
2 \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \varepsilon_i(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(e_i, e_i) = -\{\beta(1-2n) + \frac{\alpha}{n}(1-n)\}Dr \加上 \frac{2\alpha}{n}(\xi r)\xi + 8n\alpha(\frac{r}{2n} + 2n + 1)\xi. \quad (3.35)
\]
Now taking the covariant derivative of (3.32) give
\[
(\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) = -\beta(Xr)g(Y, Z) - 2\alpha(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z),
\]
which on contracting yields \(2 \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \varepsilon_i(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(e_i, e_i) = \beta(2n - 1)Dr\). In consequence of this in (3.35), we obtain
\[
2\alpha(n-1)Dr + 2\alpha(\xi r)\xi + 8n\alpha(\frac{r}{2n} + 2n + 1)\xi = 0. \quad (3.36)
\]
Taking an inner product of (3.36) with \(\xi\), we get \(\xi r = -(r + 2n(2n + 1))\) for \(\alpha \neq 0\). In view of this, (3.36) yields \(Dr = (\xi r)\xi\) for \(n > 1\). Then, replacing \(Y\) by \(\xi\) in (3.34) results in
\[
2(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, \xi) = -\beta(Xr)\xi + (\frac{\alpha}{n} + \beta)(\xi r)\varphi^2 X. \quad (3.37)
\]
Taking the covariant derivate of (3.37), then inserting it in Yano’s result [18]:
\[
(\mathcal{L}_V R)(X, Y, Z) = (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Z)
\]
, for \(Z = \xi\) yields
\[
2(\mathcal{L}_V R)(X, Y, \xi) = -\beta\{X(Yr)\xi - Y(Xr)\xi\} - \beta\{(Xr)\varphi^2 Y - (Yr)\varphi^2 X\} \\
- (\frac{\alpha}{n} + \beta)\{X(\xi r)\varphi^2 Y - Y(\xi r)\varphi^2 X + 2(\xi r)\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\}\} \quad (3.38)
\]
Contracting (3.38) over \(X\) gives \(\mathcal{L}_V S)(Y, \xi) = -n\beta(\xi r)\eta(Y)\). In consequence of this in the Lie-derivative of (2.5), we obtain
\[
(\frac{r}{2n} + 1)g(Y, \mathcal{L}_V \xi) - (\frac{r}{2n} + 2n + 1)\eta(Y)\eta(\mathcal{L}_V \xi) = \\
n\beta(\xi r)\eta(Y) - 2n(2\lambda - 3r + 4\alpha n)\eta(Y) - 2ng(Y, \mathcal{L}_V \xi). \quad (3.39)
\]
Replacing \(Y\) by \(\xi\) in (3.39) then inserting back in (3.39) gives \(\lambda = -2\alpha n - n\beta(2n + 1)\). In view of this in (3.32) we get \(\mathcal{L}_V \xi = -\frac{\beta}{2}(r + 2n(2n + 1))\). In consequence of this and \(Dr = (\xi r)\xi\) in the Lie-derivative of \(S(X, \xi) = -2n\eta(X)\) we get
\[
(r + 2n(2n + 1))\{2\mathcal{L}_V \xi - \frac{\beta}{2}(\xi r)\xi\} = 0. \quad (3.40)
\]
Thus we get either \( r = -2n(2n + 1) \) in this case \( M \) is Einstein or \( \mathcal{L}_V \xi = \frac{\beta}{4}(\xi r) \xi \). Suppose \( r \neq -2n(2n + 1) \) in some open set \( \mathcal{O} \) on \( M \). Then using (2.3) and (3.40) implies
\[
\nabla_\xi V = V - \eta(V)\xi - \frac{\beta}{4}(\xi r)\xi.
\]
Taking \( Y = \xi \) in the commutative formula \( (\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y V - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} V + R(V, X)Y \) and using (3.41), (3.40) and (3.37), we obtain
\[
(2\alpha + n\beta)(\xi r)\phi^2 X = 0,
\]
for any vector field \( X \) on \( \mathcal{O} \). This shows that \( \xi r = 0 \), that is, \( r = -2n(2n + 1) \), a contradiction. This completes the proof.

In particular, if we take scalar \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( \beta = 0 \) then in regard of Theorem 3.5, we can state the following:

**Corollary 3.6.** [27] If the metric of an \( \eta \)-Einstein Kenmotsu manifold \( M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g) \), \( n > 1 \) is a Ricci soliton then it is Einstein and the soliton is expanding.

For the case \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( \beta = -2\rho \), we can state the following:

**Corollary 3.7.** If the metric of an \( \eta \)-Einstein Kenmotsu manifold \( M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)(n > 1) \) admits \( \rho \)-Einstein soliton then it is Einstein with constant scalar curvature \( r = -2n(2n + 1) \), provided \( \rho \neq \frac{1}{n} \).

It is known that the warped product \( \mathbb{R} \times_{ce} V(k) \) where \( V(k) \) is a Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature of dimension \( 2n \) admits Kenmotsu structure (see [13]). Moreover, its sectional tensor is given by [19]
\[
R(X, Y)Z = H\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} + (H + 1)\{g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi + \eta(X)\eta(Z)X + g(X, \varphi Z)\varphi Y - g(Y, \varphi Z)\varphi X + 2g(X, \varphi Y)\varphi Z\}.
\]
Contracting the above equation we see that
\[
S(X, Y) = 2\{(n - 1)H - 1\}g(X, Y) - 2(n - 1)(H + 1)\eta(X)\eta(Y),
\]
that is, it is \( \eta \)-Einstein. Now making use of Theorem (3.5) we get \( H = -1 \). Hence, we can state the following:

**Corollary 3.8.** If the metric of the warped product \( \mathbb{R} \times_{ce} V(k) \), \( n > 1 \) is a Ricci-Yamabe soliton with \( \alpha \neq 0 \) then it is of constant curvature -1, provided \( 2\alpha + n\beta \neq 0 \).

### 4. Non-Normal almost Kenmotsu manifolds

An almost Kenmotsu manifold \( M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g) \) is said to be a generalized \((\kappa, \mu)\)-almost Kenmotsu manifold if \( \xi \) belongs to the generalized \((\kappa, \mu)\)-nullity distribution, i.e.,
\[
R(X, Y)\xi = \kappa[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + \mu[\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY],
\]
(4.1)
for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$, where $\kappa, \mu$ are smooth functions on $M$. An almost Kenmotsu manifold $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is said to be a generalized $(\kappa, \mu)'$-almost Kenmotsu manifold if $\xi$ belongs to the generalized $(\kappa, \mu)'$-nullity distribution, i.e.,

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \kappa[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + \mu[\eta(Y)h'X - \eta(X)h']$$

(4.2)

for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$, where $\kappa, \mu$ are smooth functions on $M$. Moreover if both $\kappa$ and $\mu$ are constants in (4.2), then $M$ is called a $(\kappa, \mu)'$-almost Kenmotsu manifold (see [15, 20, 21]). On generalized $(\kappa, \mu)$ or $(\kappa, \mu)'$-almost Kenmotsu manifold with $h \neq 0$ (equivalently, $h' \neq 0$), the following relations hold [15]:

$$h'^2 = (\kappa + 1)\varphi^2, \ h^2 = (\kappa + 1)\varphi^2,$$

(4.3)

$$Q\xi = 2n\kappa\xi.$$  

(4.4)

It follows from (4.3) that $\kappa \leq -1$ and $\nu = \pm \sqrt{-\kappa - 1}$, where $\nu$ is an eigenvalue corresponding to eigenvector $X \in D (D = \text{Ker}(\eta))$ of $h'$. The equality holds if and only if $h = 0$ (equivalently, $h' = 0$). Thus $h' \neq 0$ if and only if $\kappa < -1$.

First, we give some examples of almost Kenmotsu manifold admitting almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton.

**Example 3.** Let $(N, J, \bar{g})$ be a strictly almost Kähler Einstein manifold. We take $\eta = dt$, $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and (1,1)-tensor $\varphi$ by $\varphi X = JX$ for vector field $X$ on $N$ and $\varphi X = 0$ if $X$ is tangent to $\mathbb{R}$. Then it is known that $(M, g) = (\mathbb{R} \times e^{ct} N, g_0 + ce^{2ct}\bar{g})$ together with the structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost Kenmotsu manifold (see [13]). Also since $N$ is Einstein, we see $S^M = -2ng$.

We define a smooth function $f(x, t) = t^2$ then it is easy to see that $(M, g, f, \lambda)$ is an almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton for $\lambda(x, t) = -2n\alpha - n\beta(2n + 1) + 2$.

We can also construct an example of almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton in almost Kenmotsu manifold constructed by Barbosa-Ribeiro [22].

**Example 4.** On the warped product $M = \mathbb{R} \times_{\sigma(t)} \mathbb{H}^{2n}$ consider the metric $g = dt^2 + \sigma^2(t)g_0$, where $g_0$ is the standard metric on the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{2n}$. Then by Algere et al. [23] result, it is easy to see that it is almost Kenmotsu manifold. Let $\sigma(t) = \cosht$ and $f(x, t) = \sinht$ then $(M, g, f, \lambda)$ is an almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton with $\lambda = \sinht - n\beta(2n + 1) - 2n\alpha$.

Next, we review some of the results which will be used later.

**Lemma 4.1.** [21] Let $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a generalized $(\kappa, \mu)'$-almost Kenmotsu manifold with $h' \neq 0$. For $n > 1$, the Ricci operator $Q$ of $M$ can be expressed as

$$QX = -2nX + 2n(\kappa + 1)\eta(X)\xi - [\mu - 2(n - 1)h']X,$$

for any vector field $X$ on $M$. Further, if $\kappa$ and $\mu$ are constants and $n \geq 1$, then $\mu = -2$ and hence

$$QX = -2nX + 2n(\kappa + 1)\eta(X)\xi - 2nh'X,$$

(4.5)
for any vector field $X$ on $M$. In both cases, the scalar curvature of $M$ is $2n(\kappa - 2n)$.

**Lemma 4.2.** [21] Let $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a generalized $(\kappa, \mu)$-almost Kenmotsu manifold with $h' \neq 0$. For $n > 1$, the Ricci operator $Q$ of $M$ can be expressed as

$$QX = -2nX + 2n(\kappa + 1)\eta(X)\xi - 2(n - 1)h'X + \mu hX,$$

for any vector field $X$ on $M$. Also, the scalar curvature of $M$ is $2n(\kappa - 2n)$.

Here, we consider gradient almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton in the context of $(\kappa, \mu)^{'}$-almost Kenmotsu manifold and generalized Theorem 3.5 [6] and Theorem 3.1 [28]. We state and prove the following:

**Theorem 4.3.** If $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(\kappa, \mu)^{'}$-almost Kenmotsu manifold with $h' \neq 0$ admitting gradient almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton then either $M$ is locally isometric to $\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}(-4) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ or potential vector field is pointwise collinear with the Reeb vector field.

**Proof.** Suppose that $(\kappa, \mu)^{'}$-almost Kenmotsu manifold admits gradient almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton then Eq. (3.1)-(3.3) is valid. Taking an inner product of (3.3) with $\xi$ and inserting Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$g(R(X,Y)Df, \xi) = (X\lambda)\eta(Y) - (Y\lambda)\eta(X) - \alpha\{g(Qh'Y, X) - g(Qh'X, Y)\}. \ \ (4.6)$$

Taking an inner product of (4.2) with $Df$, then inserting it in (4.6) and replacing $X$ by $\xi$ gives

$$-(\xi\lambda)\xi + D\lambda = \kappa\{(\xi f)\xi - Df\} - \mu h'Df. \ \ (4.7)$$

Contracting (3.3) over $X$, we get $QDf = -2nD\lambda$. In consequence of this in Lemma 4.1 gives

$$D\lambda - Df + (\kappa + 1)(\xi f)\xi = h'Df. \ \ (4.8)$$

Combining (4.7) and (4.8), we obtain

$$\kappa\{(\xi f)\xi - Df\} + D\lambda + (\xi\lambda)\xi - 2Df + 2(\kappa + 1)(\xi f)\xi = 0. \ \ (4.9)$$

Operating the forgoing equation by $\varphi$ yields

$$\varphi D\lambda - (\kappa + 2)\varphi Df = 0,$$

implies,

$$D\lambda - (\kappa + 2)Df \in \mathbb{R}\xi.$$

Therefore, we can write $D\lambda = (\kappa + 2)Df + s\xi$, where $s$ is a smooth function. In view of this in (4.7) infer

$$2(\kappa + 1)Df + (s - \xi\lambda - \kappa\xi f)\xi = 2h'Df. \ \ (4.10)$$

Operating (4.10) by $h'$ then inserting the obtain expression in (4.10) gives

$$(\kappa + 2)\varphi Df = 0.$$
Thus we have either $\kappa = -2$ or $Df = (\xi f)\xi$.

Suppose $\kappa = -2$. Then without loss of generality, we may choose $\nu = 1$. Then we have from Theorem 5.1 of [20] we get

$$R(X_\nu, Y_\nu)Z_\nu = -4[g(Y_\nu, Z_\nu)X_\nu - g(X_\nu, Z_\nu)Y_\nu],$$

$$R(X_{-\nu}, Y_{-\nu})Z_{-\nu} = 0,$$

for any $X_\nu, Y_\nu, Z_\nu \in \nu'$ and $X_{-\nu}, Y_{-\nu}, Z_{-\nu} \in -\nu'$. In consequence of this in Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 of [20] along with $\nu = 1$ shows that it is locally isometric to $H^{2n+1}(-4) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. This completes the proof. 

Suppose $\kappa \neq -2$. Then in regard of Theorem 4.3 we have $V = Df = (\xi f)\xi$. Take $F = \xi f$ and taking the covariant derivative of $V = F\xi$ along arbitrary vector field $X$ we get

$$\nabla_X V = (XF)\xi + F(-\varphi^2 X + h'X).$$

Making use of this in (1.1) yields

$$(XF)\eta(Y) + (YF)\eta(X) + 2Fg(X, Y) - 2F\eta(X)\eta(Y) + 2Fg(h'X, Y) = (2\lambda - \beta r)g(X, Y) - 2\alpha S(X, Y).$$

(4.11)

Replacing $Y$ by $\xi$ in (4.11) gives

$$XF = (2\lambda - \beta r - 4n\alpha\kappa - \xi F)\eta(X),$$

(4.12)

for any vector field $X$ on $M$. Contracting (4.11) then inserting it in (4.12) and replacing $X$ by $\xi$ in the obtained expression we obtain

$$F = \lambda - \frac{\beta r}{2} + 2n\alpha.$$  

(4.13)

Inserting (4.12) in (4.11) and comparing it with Lemma 4.1 yields $(F - 2n\alpha)(\kappa + 1)\varphi^2 X = 0$ for any $X$ on $M$. As $\kappa < -1$, we see that $F = 2n\alpha$, in view of this in (4.13) implies $\lambda = \frac{3r}{2}$ i.e., a constant. Therefore, $M$ reduces to gradient Ricci-Yamabe soliton. Hence using Corollary 3.7 of [6] we can state the following:

**Corollary 4.4.** Let $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a non-Kenmotsu $(\kappa, \mu)'$-almost Kenmotsu manifold with $\kappa \neq -2$ admitting a gradient almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton then

1. The potential vector field $V$ is a constant multiple of $\xi$.
2. $V$ is a strict infinitesimal contact transformation.
3. $V$ leaves $h'$ invariant.

**Theorem 4.5.** There does not exist a gradient almost Ricci-Yamabe soliton with $\alpha \neq 0$ on generalized $(\kappa, \mu)$-almost Kenmotsu manifold $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \eta, \xi, g) (n > 1)$ with $h' \neq 0$.

**Proof.** Replacing $X$ and $Y$ by $\varphi X$ and $\varphi Y$ in (4.6), we get

$$\alpha\{g(Qh\varphi^2 Y, \varphi X) - g(Qh\varphi^2 X, \varphi Y)\} = 0.$$  

(4.14)
Making use of Lemma 4.2 in (4.14) gives \(\alpha \mu h^2 \varphi^2 X = 0\) for any vector field \(X\) on \(M\). Using last equation gives \(\alpha (\kappa + 1) \mu h^2 X = 0\). As \(h' \neq 0\), \(\kappa < -1\) it follows that \(\mu \alpha = 0\). For \(\mu = 0\), Eq. (4.17) becomes

\[
D\lambda - (\xi \lambda)\xi + \kappa \{ Df - (\xi f)\xi \} = 0.
\] (4.15)

Inserting Lemma 4.2 in \(QDf = -2nD\lambda\) then operating it by \(\varphi\) yields

\[
2n\varphi D\lambda + 2n\varphi Df + 2(n - 1) \varphi h'Df = 0,
\]

Operating (4.15) by \(\varphi\) then inserting in the foregoing expression we get

\[
2n(\kappa + 1)\varphi Df - 2(n - 1)hDf = 0. \tag{4.16}
\]

Operating by \(h' \varphi\) in (4.16) then inserting it in (4.16) gives

\[
[n^2(\kappa + 1) + (n - 1)^2]|\varphi^2 Df = 0,
\]

We get the following cases:

**Case-I:** \(\varphi^2 Df \neq 0\) then \(\kappa = -1 - (\frac{n - 1}{n})^2\) i.e., a constant. In view of Proposition 3.2 [20], we get \(\kappa = -1\), a contradiction.

**Case-II:** \(\varphi^2 Df = 0\) implies \(Df = (\xi f)\xi\). Taking the covariant derivative of foregoing equation then inserting (2.3) and (3.1) we obtain:

\[
(\lambda - \frac{\beta r}{2})X - \alpha QX = X(\xi f)\xi + (\xi f)(-\varphi^2 X + h'X). \tag{4.17}
\]

Combining (4.17) with Lemma 4.2 and contracting it gives

\[
(2n + 1)(\lambda - \frac{\beta r}{2} + 2n\alpha) - 2n\alpha(\kappa + 1) = \xi(\xi f) + 2n(\xi f). \tag{4.18}
\]

Replacing \(X\) by \(\xi\) then taking an inner product with \(\xi\) we get \(\xi(\xi f) = \lambda - \frac{\beta r}{2} + 2n\alpha\). In regard of this in (4.18) infer

\[
\xi f = \lambda - \frac{\beta r}{2} + 2n\alpha. \tag{4.19}
\]

Operating (4.17) by \(\varphi\) then using (4.19) we obtain

\[
(\lambda + 2\alpha - \frac{\beta r}{2})\varphi h'X = 0. \tag{4.20}
\]

Operating the last equation by \(h\) and using the fact that \(\kappa < -1\), we get \(\lambda - \frac{\beta r}{2} = -2\alpha\). In consequence, Eq. (4.19), we see that \(\xi f = 2\alpha(n - 1)\) i.e., a constant. Therefore, \(\xi(\xi f) = 0\) which implies \(2\alpha(n\kappa + 1) = 0\), as \(\alpha \neq 0\) we get \(\kappa = -\frac{1}{n}\), a contraction. This completes the proof. \(\square\)
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