

BOUNDARY CONCENTRATION PHENOMENA FOR AN ANISOTROPIC NEUMANN PROBLEM IN $\mathbb{R}^2$

YIBIN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Given a smooth bounded domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^2$, we study the following anisotropic Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla(a(x)\nabla u) + a(x)u = \lambda a(x)u^{p-1}e^{u^p}, & u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a small parameter, $0 < p < 2$, $a(x)$ is a positive smooth function over $\overline{\Omega}$ and ν denotes the outer unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$. Under suitable assumptions on anisotropic coefficient $a(x)$, we construct solutions of this problem with arbitrarily many mixed interior and boundary bubbles which concentrate at totally different strict local maximum or minimal boundary points of $a(x)$ restricted to $\partial\Omega$, or accumulate to the same strict local maximum boundary point of $a(x)$ over $\overline{\Omega}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the analysis of solutions to the anisotropic Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla(a(x)\nabla u) + a(x)u = \lambda a(x)u^{p-1}e^{u^p}, & u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$, $\lambda > 0$ is a small parameter, $0 < p < 2$, $a(x)$ is a positive smooth function over $\overline{\Omega}$ and ν denotes the outer unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$. This problem is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the energy functional

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega a(x)(|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_\Omega a(x)e^{u^p}, \quad u \in H^1(\Omega), \quad (1.2)$$

which is well defined because the critical Moser-Trudinger inequality implies the validity of the Sobolev-Orlicz compact subcritical embedding

$$\sup_{u \in H^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \int_\Omega a(x) \exp\left(\frac{u^p}{\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^p}\right) < +\infty.$$

We are interested in the existence of solutions of equation (1.1) that exhibit the boundary concentration phenomenon as the parameter λ tends to zero. This work is strongly stimulated by some extensive research involving the isotropic case $a(x) \equiv 1$ in equation (1.1):

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v + v = \lambda v^{p-1}e^{v^p}, & v > 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{D}, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B25; Secondary 35B38, 35J25.

Key words and phrases. Boundary concentration phenomena; Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method; Anisotropic Neumann problem.

where $\mathcal{D}$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $N \geq 2$. In the case of $p = 1$, this scalar equation is equivalent to an elliptic system representing the stationary Keller-Segel chemotaxis system with linear sensitivity:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\psi - \nabla(\psi\nabla v) = 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \Delta v - v + \psi = 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ v, \psi > 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}v = \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\psi = 0, & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{D}, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

because the first equation in system (1.4) implies

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \psi |\nabla(\log \psi - v)|^2 = 0,$$

and hence $\psi = \lambda e^v$ for some positive constant λ . Steady states of system (1.4), namely its solutions, are of basic importance for a better understanding of global dynamics to the following Keller-Segel system with $\tau \geq 0$:

$$\begin{cases} \psi_t = \Delta\psi - \nabla(\psi\nabla v), & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \tau v_t = \Delta v - v + \psi, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ v, \psi > 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}v = \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\psi = 0, & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{D}, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

which describes chemotactic feature of cellular slime molds sensitive to the gradient of a chemical substance secreted by themselves (see [15]). The one-dimensional form of system (1.4) was first studied by Schaaf [19]. In higher dimensions $N \geq 2$ Biler [2] established the existence of non-constant radially symmetric solution to (1.4) when the domain $\mathcal{D}$ is a ball. In the general two-dimensional case, Wang-Wei [22], independently of Senba-Suzuki [20], proved that for any $\mu \in (0, 1/|\Omega| + \mu_1) \setminus \{4\pi m | m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ (where μ_1 denotes the first positive eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ with Neumann boundary condition), system (1.4) has a non-constant solution such that $\int_{\mathcal{D}} \psi = \mu|\Omega|$. Meanwhile, if space dimension is $N = 2$, it is known that as infinite time blow-up solutions of the parabolic-elliptic system (1.5) from chemotaxis, steady states of (1.4) produce a significant concentration phenomenon in mathematical biology referred as ‘chemotactic collapse’, namely the blow-up for the quantity ψ in (1.4) takes place as a finite sum of Dirac measure at points with masses equal to 8π or 4π , respectively, depending on whether the blow-up points lie inside the domain or on the boundary. By analyzing the asymptotic behavior of families of solutions to equation (1.3) $_{|p=1}$ Senba-Suzuki [20, 21] exhibited this phenomenon for the term λe^v in (1.3) $_{|p=1}$ with positive, uniformly bounded mass $\lambda \int_{\mathcal{D}} e^v$ as λ tends to zero. More precisely, if v_λ is a family of solutions of (1.3) under $p = 1$ and $N = 2$, such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \int_{\mathcal{D}} e^{v_\lambda} = L > 0,$$

then there exist non-negative integers k, l with $k + l \geq 1$ for which $L = 4\pi(k + 2l)$. Moreover, once λ tends to zero, this family of solutions concentrate at l different points $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l$ inside the domain $\mathcal{D}$ and k different points $\xi_{l+1}, \dots, \xi_{k+l}$ on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$. In particular, far away from these concentration points the asymptotic profile of v_λ is uniformly described as

$$v_\lambda(x) \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^l 8\pi G(x, \xi_i) + \sum_{i=l+1}^{k+l} 4\pi G(x, \xi_i).$$

In addition, these concentration points or blow-up points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+l})$ are nothing but critical points of a functional

$$\varphi_{k+l}(\xi) = \varphi_{k+l}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+l}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+l} c_i^2 H(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \sum_{i \neq j}^{k+l} c_i c_j G(\xi_i, \xi_j),$$

where $c_i = 8\pi$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$, but $c_i = 4\pi$ for $i = l+1, \dots, k+l$, $G(x, y)$ denotes the Green’s function of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_x G(x, y) + G(x, y) = \delta_y(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu_x}(x, y) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and $H(x, y)$ its regular part defined as

$$H(x, y) = \begin{cases} G(x, y) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x - y|, & y \in \Omega, \\ G(x, y) + \frac{1}{\pi} \log |x - y|, & y \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Reciprocally, in the spirit of the Lyapunov-Schmidt finite-dimensional reduction method del Pino-Wei [12] constructed a family of mixed interior and boundary bubbling solutions for equation (1.3)_{| $p=1, N=2$} with exactly the asymptotic profile above. Successively, when $N = 2$ and p is between 0 and 2, Deng [13] used a reductional argument to build solutions for equation (1.3) with bubbling profiles at points inside $\mathcal{D}$ and on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$, which recovered the result in [12] when $p = 1$. In general, such bubbling solutions are called *solutions concentrating on 0-dimensional sets with uniformly bounded mass*.

Clearly, a natural question is to ask whether there exist a family of solutions of equation (1.3) concentrating on higher dimensional subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ with or without uniformly bounded mass as the parameter λ tends to zero. The first result in this direction was obtained by Pistoia-Vaira [17] in the case that $p = 1$ and the domain $\mathcal{D}$ is a ball with dimension $N \geq 2$. Based on a fixed-point argument, they constructed a family of uniformly unbounded mass radial solutions of (1.3)_{| $p=1$} in the ball, which blow up on the entire boundary and hence produce the boundary concentration layer. Following closely the techniques of (1.3)_{| $p=1$} in [17], Bonheure-Casteras-Noris [5] constructed a family of boundary layer solutions in the annulus blowing up simultaneously along both boundaries, a family of internal layer solutions in the unit ball blowing up on an interior sphere, and a family of solutions in the unit ball with an internal layer and a boundary layer blowing up simultaneously on an interior sphere and the boundary. Very recently, when the domain $\mathcal{D}$ is a unit disk (corresponding to a unit ball with dimension $N = 2$), Bonheure-Casteras-Román [7] have successfully constructed a family of uniformly unbounded mass radial solutions of (1.3)_{| $p=1$} which concentrate at the origin and blow up on the entire boundary. Additionally, some bifurcation analyses of radial solutions to (1.3)_{| $p=1$} in a ball with dimension $N \geq 2$ were also performed by Bonheure et al. in [4, 6]. As for a general smooth two-dimensional domain $\mathcal{D}$, it is very worth mentioning that inspired by the novel result in [17], del Pino-Pistoia-Varia [11] applied an infinite-dimensional form of Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction to establish the existence of a family of solution v_λ for equation (1.3)_{| $p=1, N=2$} with unbounded mass $\lambda \int_{\mathcal{D}} e^{v_\lambda}$, which exhibit a sharp boundary layer and blow up along the entire $\partial\mathcal{D}$ as λ tends to zero but remains suitably away from a sequence of critical small values where certain resonance phenomenon occurs. Finally, when the domain $\mathcal{D}$ has suitable rational symmetries in higher dimensions $N \geq 3$, Agudelo-Pistoia [1] constructed several families of layered solutions v_λ of the stationary Keller-Segel chemotaxis equation (1.3)_{| $p=1$} with uniformly bounded mass $\lambda \int_{\mathcal{D}} e^{v_\lambda}$, which exhibit *three* different types of chemoattractant concentration along suitable $(N - 2)$ -dimensional minimal submanifolds of the boundary.

Problem (1.1) is seemingly similar to equation (1.3). Our original motivation in equation (1.3) is based on the fact that except for $p = 1$, nothing is known about the existence or the boundary concentration phenomenon for solutions of equation (1.3) in higher dimensions $N \geq 3$. For this aim our idea is to consider partially axially symmetric solutions of equation (1.3) when the domain $\mathcal{D}$ has some rotational symmetries, which implies that problem (1.1) can be viewed as a special case of equation (1.3) in higher dimensions $N \geq 3$. Indeed, take $n \in \{1, 2\}$ as a fixed integer. Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\overline{\Omega} \subset \{(x_1, x_n, x') \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{2-n} \mid x_i > 0, \ i = 1, n\}.$$

Fix $k_1, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_1 + k_n = N - 2 \geq 1$ and set

$$\mathcal{D} := \{(y_1, y_n, x') \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{k_n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{2-n} \mid (|y_1|, |y_n|, x') \in \Omega\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ which is invariant under the action of the group $\Upsilon := \mathcal{O}(k_1 + 1) \times \mathcal{O}(k_n + 1)$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$ given by

$$(g_1, g_n)(y_1, y_n, x') := (g_1 y_1, g_n y_n, x').$$

Note that $\mathcal{O}(k_i + 1)$ is the group of linear isometries of $\mathbb{R}^{k_i+1}$ and $\mathbb{S}^{k_i}$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{k_i+1}$. If we seek Υ -invariant solutions of equation (1.3), i.e. solutions v of the form

$$v(y_1, y_n, x') = u(|y_1|, |y_n|, x'),$$

a direct calculus shows that equation (1.3) is transformed to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k_i}{x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + u = \lambda u^{p-1} e^{u^p}, & u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Thus if we take anisotropic coefficient

$$a(x) = a(x_1, x_n, x') := x_1^{k_1} \cdot x_n^{k_n}, \quad (1.7)$$

then equation (1.6) can be rewritten as problem (1.1). Hence by considering rotational symmetry of $\mathcal{D}$, a fruitful approach for seeking layered solutions of equation (1.3) with concentration along some $(N-2)$ -dimensional minimal submanifolds of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{S}^{k_n}$ is to reduce it to produce point-wise blow-up solutions of the anisotropic problem (1.1) in the domain Ω of dimension 2. This approach, together with some Lyapunov-Schmidt finite-dimensional reduction arguments, has recently been taken to construct multi-layer positive solutions of equation (1.3) concentrating along some $(N-2)$ -dimensional minimal submanifolds of $\partial\mathcal{D}$, which can be found in [1] only for the case $p = 1$.

In this paper, our goal is to obtain the existence of boundary separated or clustered layer positive solutions for equation (1.3) in the higher-dimensional domain with some rotational symmetries, by constructing bubbling solutions for the anisotropic planar problem (1.1) with simple or non-simple boundary concentration points when p is between 0 and 2. We try to use a new reductional argument to investigate the effect of anisotropic coefficient $a(x)$ on the existence of boundary concentrating solutions to problem (1.1). As a result, with the help of some suitable assumptions on anisotropic coefficient $a(x)$ we prove that there exist a family of positive solutions of problem (1.1) with an arbitrary number of mixed interior and boundary bubbles which concentrate at totally different strict local maximum or minimal boundary points of $a(x)$ restricted to $\partial\Omega$, or accumulate to the same strict local maximum boundary point of $a(x)$ over $\overline{\Omega}$ as λ tends to zero. In particular, we recover and improve the result in [1] when $p = 1$.

Before precisely stating our results, let us start with some notations. Let ε be a positive parameter given by the relation

$$p\lambda \left(-\frac{4}{p} \log \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-2)}{p}} = 1. \quad (1.8)$$

Clearly, $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $\lambda = \varepsilon^2$ if $p = 1$. Let

$$\Delta_a u = \frac{1}{a(x)} \nabla(a(x) \nabla u) = \Delta u + \nabla \log a(x) \nabla u,$$

and $G_a(x, y)$ be the anisotropic Green's function associated to the Neumann equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_a G_a(x, y) + G_a(x, y) = \delta_y(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial G_a}{\partial \nu_x}(x, y) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

for every $y \in \overline{\Omega}$. The regular part of $G_a(x, y)$ is defined depending on whether y lies inside the domain or on its boundary as

$$H_a(x, y) = \begin{cases} G_a(x, y) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x - y|, & y \in \Omega, \\ G_a(x, y) + \frac{1}{\pi} \log |x - y|, & y \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

In this way, $y \in \overline{\Omega} \mapsto H_a(\cdot, y) \in C(\Omega, C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})) \cap C(\partial\Omega, C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}))$ and $H_a(x, y) \in C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega} \times \Omega) \cap C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega} \times \partial\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times \Omega \setminus \{x = y\}) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times \partial\Omega \setminus \{x = y\})$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and the corresponding Robin's function $y \in \overline{\Omega} \mapsto H_a(y, y)$ belongs to $C^1(\Omega) \cap C^1(\partial\Omega)$ (see [1]). Moreover, by the maximum principle, for any $y \in \overline{\Omega}$, $G_a(\cdot, y) > 0$ over $\overline{\Omega}$.

Our first result concerns the existence of solutions of problem (1.1) whose mixed interior and boundary bubbles are uniformly far away from each other and interior bubbles lie in the domain with distance to the boundary uniformly approaching zero.

Theorem 1.1. *Let k, l be any non-negative integers with $k + l \geq 1$, $0 < p < 2$ and assume that there exist $k + l$ different points $\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_{k+l}^* \in \partial\Omega$ such that each ξ_i^* is either a strict local maximum or a strict local minimum point of $a(x)$ restricted*

to $\partial\Omega$ and satisfies for all $i = 1, \dots, l$, $\partial_\nu a(\xi_i^*) := \langle \nabla a(\xi_i^*), \nu(\xi_i^*) \rangle > 0$. Then for any sufficiently small λ , there exist a family of positive solutions u_λ for problem (1.1) with k different boundary bubbles and l different interior bubbles located at distance $O(1/|\log \varepsilon|)$ from $\partial\Omega$ such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{2(2-p)}{p}} \int_{\Omega} a(x) e^{(u_\lambda)^p} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+l} c_i a(\xi_i^*),$$

where ε is defined in (1.8), $c_i = 8\pi$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$, but $c_i = 4\pi$ for $i = l+1, \dots, k+l$. More precisely,

$$u_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{p} \left(-\frac{4}{p} \log \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{k+l} \left[\log \frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i^\varepsilon|^2)^2} + c_i H_a(x, \xi_i^\varepsilon) + o(1) \right],$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, on each compact subset of $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_{k+l}^\varepsilon\}$, the parameter μ_i satisfies

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \mu_i \leq |\log \varepsilon|^C,$$

for some $C > 0$, and $(\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_{k+l}^\varepsilon) \in \Omega^l \times (\partial\Omega)^k$ satisfies

$$\xi_i^\varepsilon \rightarrow \xi_i^* \quad \text{for all } i, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dist}(\xi_i^\varepsilon, \partial\Omega) = O(1/|\log \varepsilon|) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, l.$$

In particular, for some $d > 0$, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$,

$$p \left(-\frac{4}{p} \log \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} u_\lambda(x) \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k+l} c_i G_a(x, \xi_i^*) \quad \text{uniformly in } \overline{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+l} B_d(\xi_i^*).$$

Our next result concerns the existence of solutions of problem (1.1) with mixed interior and boundary bubbles which accumulate to the same boundary point.

Theorem 1.2. Let k, l be any non-negative integers with $k+l \geq 1$, $0 < p < 2$ and assume that $\xi_* \in \partial\Omega$ is a strict local maximum point of $a(x)$ over $\overline{\Omega}$ and satisfies $\partial_\nu a(\xi_*) := \langle \nabla a(\xi_*), \nu(\xi_*) \rangle = 0$. Then for any sufficiently small λ , there exist a family of positive solutions u_λ for problem (1.1) with k different boundary bubbles and l different interior bubbles which accumulate to ξ_* as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{2(2-p)}{p}} \int_{\Omega} a(x) e^{(u_\lambda)^p} = 4\pi(k+2l)a(\xi_*),$$

where ε is defined in (1.8). More precisely,

$$u_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{p} \left(-\frac{4}{p} \log \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{k+l} \left[\log \frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i^\varepsilon|^2)^2} + c_i H_a(x, \xi_i^\varepsilon) + o(1) \right],$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, on each compact subset of $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_{k+l}^\varepsilon\}$, $c_i = 8\pi$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$, but $c_i = 4\pi$ for $i = l+1, \dots, k+l$, the parameter μ_i satisfies

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \mu_i \leq |\log \varepsilon|^C,$$

for some $C > 0$, and $(\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_{k+l}^\varepsilon) \in \Omega^l \times (\partial\Omega)^k$ satisfies

$$\xi_i^\varepsilon \rightarrow \xi_* \quad \forall i, \quad |\xi_i^\varepsilon - \xi_j^\varepsilon| > \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{2(m^2+1)}} \quad \forall i \neq j, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dist}(\xi_i^\varepsilon, \partial\Omega) > \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{2(m^2+1)}} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, l.$$

In particular, for some $d > 0$, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$,

$$p \left(-\frac{4}{p} \log \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} u_\lambda(x) \rightarrow 4\pi(k+2l)G_a(x, \xi_*) \quad \text{uniformly in } \overline{\Omega} \setminus B_d(\xi_*).$$

Now we find that if $0 < p < 2$ and the domain $\mathcal{D}$ has some rational symmetries in higher dimensions $N \geq 3$ such that the corresponding anisotropic coefficient $a(x)$ given by (1.7) satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 1.2, then equation (1.3) has a

family of positive solutions with arbitrarily many mixed interior and boundary layers which collapse to the same $(N-2)$ -dimensional minimal submanifold of $\partial\mathcal{D}$ as λ tends to zero. Meanwhile, we observe that the assumptions in Theorem 1.2 contain the following two cases:

(C1) $\xi_* \in \partial\Omega$ is a strict local maximum point of $a(x)$ restricted to $\partial\Omega$;

(C2) $\xi_* \in \partial\Omega$ is a strict local maximum point of $a(x)$ restricted in Ω and satisfies $\partial_\nu a(\xi_*) = \langle \nabla a(\xi_*), \nu(\xi_*) \rangle = 0$.

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we readily prove that if (C1) holds, then problem (1.1) has positive solutions with arbitrarily many boundary bubbles which accumulate to ξ_* along $\partial\Omega$; while if (C2) holds, then problem (1.1) has positive solutions with arbitrarily many interior bubbles which accumulate to ξ_* along the neighborhood near the inner normal direction of $\partial\Omega$. As for the latter case, our result seems to close some *gap* which was left open in the literature [1] regarding such type of chemoattractant concentration from the stationary Keller-Segel system with linear chemotactical sensitivity function, namely involving the existence of solutions of equation (1.3) $_{|p=1, N \geq 3}$ with an arbitrary number of interior layers which simultaneously accumulate along a suitable $(N-2)$ -dimensional minimal submanifold of $\partial\mathcal{D}$ as λ tends to zero. Finally, it is necessary to point out that radial solutions of equation (1.3) $_{|p=1}$ with concentration on an arbitrary number of internal spheres were built by Bonheure-Casteras-Noris [6] when the domain $\mathcal{D}$ is a ball with dimension $N \geq 2$, but a remarkable fact is that, in opposition to our result or an analogous one given by Malchiodi-Ni-Wei [16] for a singularly perturbed elliptic Neumann problem on a ball, the layers of those solutions do not accumulate to the boundary of $\mathcal{D}$ as λ tends to zero.

The proof of our results relies on a very well known Lyapunov-Schmidt finite-dimensional reduction procedure. In Section 2 we provide a good approximation for the solution of problem (1.1) and estimate the scaling error created by this approximation. Then we rewrite problem (1.1) in terms of a linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ for which a solvability theory is performed through solving a linearized problem in Section 3. In Section 4 we solve an auxiliary nonlinear problem. In Section 5 we reduce the problem of finding bubbling solutions of (1.1) to that of finding a critical point of a finite-dimensional function. In section 6 we give an asymptotic expansion of the energy functional associated to the approximate solution. In Section 7 we provide the detailed proof of Theorems 1.1-1.2. Finally, we give some technical explanations in Appendix 8.

Notation: In this paper the letters C and D will always denote a universal positive constant independent of λ , which could be changed from one line to another. The symbol $o(t)$ (respectively $O(t)$) will denote a quantity for which $\frac{o(t)}{|t|}$ tends to zero (respectively, $\frac{O(t)}{|t|}$ stays bounded) as parameter t goes to zero. Moreover, we will use the notation $o(1)$ (respectively $O(1)$) to stand for a quantity which tends to zero (respectively, which remains uniformly bounded) as λ tends to zero.

2. AN APPROXIMATION FOR THE SOLUTION

The original cells for the construction of an approximate solution of problem (1.1) are based on the four-parameter family of functions

$$\omega_{\varepsilon, \mu, \xi}(z) = \log \frac{8\mu^2}{(\varepsilon^2\mu^2 + |z - \xi|^2)^2}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad \mu > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.1)$$

which exactly solve

$$-\Delta\omega = \varepsilon^2 e^\omega \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varepsilon^2 e^\omega = 8\pi.$$

Set

$$\omega_\mu(z) = \omega_{1, \mu, (0,0)}(|z|) \equiv \log \frac{8\mu^2}{(\mu^2 + |z|^2)^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

The configuration space for m concentration points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ we try to look for is the following

$$\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon := \left\{ \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \Omega^l \times (\partial\Omega)^{m-l} \left| \min_{i,j=1,\dots,m, i \neq j} |\xi_i - \xi_j| > \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^\kappa}, \quad \min_{1 \leq i \leq l} \text{dist}(\xi_i, \partial\Omega) > \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^\kappa} \right. \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $l \in \{0, \dots, m\}$, ε is sufficiently small and uniquely defined by λ in (1.8), and κ is given by

$$\kappa = 2(m^2 + 1). \quad (2.4)$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ be fixed. For numbers μ_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, yet to be determined, but we always assume

$$1/C \leq \mu_i \leq |\log \varepsilon|^C, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (2.5)$$

for some $C > 0$, independent of ε . Define

$$p\gamma^p = -4 \log \varepsilon, \quad (2.6)$$

and for each $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$U_i(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left[\omega_{\varepsilon, \mu_i, \xi_i}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \omega_{\mu_i}^j \left(\frac{x - \xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right) \right]. \quad (2.7)$$

Here, $\omega_{\mu_i}^j$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are radial solutions of

$$\Delta \omega_{\mu_i}^j + e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(|z|)} \omega_{\mu_i}^j = e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(|z|)} f_{\mu_i}^j \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.8)$$

with

$$f_{\mu_i}^1 = - \left[\omega_{\mu_i} + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right], \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$f_{\mu_i}^2 = - \left\{ \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] + \omega_{\mu_i} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 + \frac{1}{2} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right]^2 \right\}, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mu_i}^3 = & - \left\{ \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{p-1} \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{6(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right] + \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] \right. \\ & + \omega_{\mu_i} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right)^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i}^1)^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \omega_{\mu_i}^1 \\ & \left. + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{24(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^4 + \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right] + \frac{1}{6} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right]^3 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mu_i}^4 = & - \left\{ \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^3 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i}^1)^2 + \frac{p-2}{p-1} \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{2(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)(p-4)}{24(p-1)^3} (\omega_{\mu_i})^4 \right] \right. \\ & + \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{p-1} \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{6(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right] \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] + \frac{1}{24} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right]^4 \\ & + \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right)^2 \right] \\ & + \omega_{\mu_i} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^3 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i}^1)^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{24(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^4 \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right) \left(\omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right) + \frac{1}{6} \left(\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right)^3 \right] \\ & + \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} \omega_{\mu_i} (\omega_{\mu_i}^1)^2 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{6(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)(p-4)}{120(p-1)^3} (\omega_{\mu_i})^5 \right] \\ & + \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^3 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i}^1)^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{24(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^4 \right] \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right]^2 \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right] \right\}, \quad \text{for } p \neq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

According to [8], it readily follows that for any $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\omega_{\mu_i}^j(r) = \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{\mu_i^2} \right) + O \left(\frac{\mu_i}{\mu_i + r} \right), \quad \partial_r \omega_{\mu_i}^j(r) = \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j r}{\mu_i^2 + r^2} + O \left(\frac{\mu_i}{\mu_i^2 + r^2} \right) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad r = |z|, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$D_{\mu_i}^j = 8 \int_0^{+\infty} t \frac{t^2 - 1}{(t^2 + 1)^3} f_{\mu_i}^j(\mu_i t) dt. \quad (2.14)$$

Obviously, for every $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, the coefficient $D_{\mu_i}^j$ has at most polynomial growth with respect to $\log \mu_i$. Moreover,

$$D_{\mu_i}^1 = 4 \log 8 - 8 - 8 \log \mu_i. \quad (2.15)$$

Now we construct the approximate solution of problem (1.1) by

$$U_\xi(x) := \sum_{i=1}^m P U_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m [U_i(x) + H_i(x)], \quad (2.16)$$

where H_i is a correction term defined as the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_a H_i + H_i = \nabla \log a(x) \nabla U_i - U_i & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial \nu} = -\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial \nu} & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

To state the asymptotic behavior of each correction term H_i in terms of ε , μ_i and ξ_i , we first use the convention

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 8\pi, & \text{if } \xi_i \in \Omega, \\ 4\pi, & \text{if } \xi_i \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

Lemma 2.1. *For any $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then we have*

$$H_i(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] c_i H_a(x, \xi_i) - \log(8\mu_i^2) + \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \log(\varepsilon\mu_i) + O \left((\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\alpha/2} \right) \right\} \quad (2.19)$$

uniformly in $\overline{\Omega}$, where H_a is the regular part of the anisotropic Green's function defined in (1.10).

Proof. Inserting (2.1), (2.7) and (2.13) into (2.17), we have that for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_a H_i + H_i = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left\{ \left[-4 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \left[\frac{(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla \log a(x)}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} - \frac{1}{2} \log(\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2) \right] - \log(8\mu_i^2) \right. \\ \quad + \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \log(\varepsilon\mu_i) + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} O_{L^\infty}(\Omega \setminus B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i)) \left(\frac{\varepsilon\mu_i}{\varepsilon\mu_i + |x - \xi_i|} + \frac{\varepsilon\mu_i}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} \right) \\ \quad \left. + \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] O_{L^\infty}(\Omega \cap B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i)) \left(\frac{|(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla \log a(x)|}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} + \log \frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2} \right) \right\} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial \nu} = -\frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left\{ \left[-4 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \frac{(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nu(x)}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} O_{L^\infty}(\partial \Omega \setminus B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i)) \left(\frac{\varepsilon\mu_i}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} \right) \right. \\ \quad \left. + \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] O_{L^\infty}(\partial \Omega \cap B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i)) \left(\frac{|(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nu(x)|}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} \right) \right\} & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Using (1.9)-(1.10) we get that the regular part of Green's function, $H_a(x, \xi_i)$, satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_a H_a(x, \xi_i) + H_a(x, \xi_i) = \frac{4}{c_i} \log |x - \xi_i| - \frac{4}{c_i} \frac{(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla \log a(x)}{|x - \xi_i|^2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial H_a(x, \xi_i)}{\partial \nu} = \frac{4}{c_i} \frac{(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nu(x)}{|x - \xi_i|^2} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$Z_i(x) = p\gamma^{p-1}H_i(x) - \left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}}\right] c_i H_a(x, \xi_i) + \log(8\mu_i^2) - \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}}\right] \log(\varepsilon\mu_i).$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_a Z_i + Z_i = \left[-4 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}}\right] \left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{|x - \xi_i|^2}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2}\right) - \frac{(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla \log a(x)}{|x - \xi_i|^2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2}\right] \\ \quad + \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}}\right] O_{L^\infty}(\Omega \cap B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i)) \left(\frac{|(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla \log a(x)|}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} + \log \frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2}\right) \\ \quad + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} O_{L^\infty}(\Omega \setminus B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i)) \left(\frac{\varepsilon\mu_i}{\varepsilon\mu_i + |x - \xi_i|} + \frac{\varepsilon\mu_i}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2}\right) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial \nu} = \left[-4 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}}\right] \frac{(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nu(x)}{|x - \xi_i|^2} \frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} O_{L^\infty}(\partial\Omega \setminus B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i)) \left(\frac{\varepsilon\mu_i}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2}\right) \\ \quad + \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}}\right] O_{L^\infty}(\partial\Omega \cap B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i)) \left(\frac{|(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nu(x)|}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2}\right) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Direct computations show that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $q > 1$,

$$\left\| \log \left(\frac{|x - \xi_i|^2}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} \right) \right\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\frac{2}{q}},$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{\varepsilon\mu_i}{\varepsilon\mu_i + |x - \xi_i|} + \frac{\varepsilon\mu_i}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} \right\|_{L^q(\Omega \setminus B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i))} \leq C(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{1-\beta+\frac{\beta}{q}},$$

and for any $1 < q < 2$,

$$\left\| \frac{|(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla \log a(x)|}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} + \log \frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2} \right\|_{L^q(\Omega \cap B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i))} \leq C(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})},$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla \log a(x)}{|x - \xi_i|^2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} \right\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\frac{2}{q}-1}.$$

Hence for any $\xi_i \in \bar{\Omega}$ and any $1 < q < 2$,

$$\| -\Delta_a Z_i + Z_i \|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})}.$$

On the other hand, if $\xi_i \in \partial\Omega$, from the fact that $|(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nu(x)| \leq C|x - \xi_i|^2$ for any $x \in \partial\Omega$ (see [1]) we can compute that for any $q > 1$,

$$\left\| \frac{(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nu(x)}{|x - \xi_i|^2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} \right\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq C(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

$$\left\| \frac{(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nu(x)}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} \right\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega \cap B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i))} \leq C(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\frac{\beta}{2q}},$$

$$\left\| \frac{\varepsilon\mu_i}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} \right\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega \setminus B_{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta/2}}(\xi_i))} \leq C \left[\varepsilon\mu_i + (\varepsilon\mu_i)^{1-\beta+\frac{\beta}{2q}} \right],$$

then

$$\left\| \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq C(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\frac{\beta}{2q}}.$$

While if $\xi_i \in \Omega$, by the definition of $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ in (2.3) we easily find

$$\left\| \frac{\varepsilon\mu_i}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa},$$

$$\left\| \frac{(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nu(x)}{|x - \xi_i|^2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 |\log \varepsilon|^{3\kappa},$$

then

$$\left\| \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa-1}.$$

As a consequence, from elliptic regularity theory we have that for any $1 < q < 2$ and any $0 < \theta < 1/q$,

$$\|Z_i\|_{W^{1+\theta,q}(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\left\| -\Delta_a Z_i + Z_i \right\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \right) \leq C(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})}.$$

By Morrey's embedding theorem,

$$\|Z_i\|_{C^\tau(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C(\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\beta(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})},$$

where $0 < \tau < 1/2 + 1/q$, which implies that expansion (2.19) holds with $\alpha = 2\beta(1/q - 1/2)$. $\square$

From Lemma 2.1 we can easily prove that away from each point ξ_i , namely $|x - \xi_i| \geq 1/|\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa}$ for any $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$U_\xi(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] c_i G_a(x, \xi_i) + O\left((\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\alpha/2}\right) \right\}. \quad (2.20)$$

While if $|x - \xi_i| < 1/|\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa}$ with some i , from the fact that $H_a(\cdot, \xi_k) \in C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$ for any $\xi_k \in \overline{\Omega}$ and any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ we find

$$PU_i(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left\{ p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_i} \left(\frac{x - \xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \omega_{\mu_i}^j \left(\frac{x - \xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right) + \left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] c_i H_a(\xi_i, \xi_i) \right. \\ \left. - \log(8\mu_i^2) + \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \log(\varepsilon\mu_i) + O\left(|x - \xi_i|^\alpha + (\varepsilon\mu_i)^{\alpha/2}\right) \right\},$$

and for any $k \neq i$,

$$PU_k(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_k}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] c_k G_a(\xi_i, \xi_k) + O\left(|x - \xi_i|^\alpha + (\varepsilon\mu_k)^{\alpha/2}\right) \right\}.$$

Thus if $|x - \xi_i| < 1/|\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa}$,

$$U_\xi(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left[p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_i} \left(\frac{x - \xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \omega_{\mu_i}^j \left(\frac{x - \xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right) + O\left(|x - \xi_i|^\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^m (\varepsilon\mu_k)^{\alpha/2}\right) \right] \quad (2.21)$$

will be a good approximation for the solution of problem (1.1) provided that for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, the concentration parameter μ_i satisfies the nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned} \log(8\mu_i^2) = & \left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] c_i H_a(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \log(\varepsilon \mu_i) \\ & + \sum_{k \neq i}^m \left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_k}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] c_k G_a(\xi_i, \xi_k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

It is necessary to point out that from (2.6), (2.14), (2.15) and the Implicit Function Theorem we readily have that for any sufficiently small ε and any points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$, there is a unique solution $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ for system (2.22) under assumption (2.5). Moreover, for any $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$|D_\xi \log \mu_i| \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa,$$

and

$$\log(8\mu_i^2) = \left\{ \frac{2(p-1)}{2-p} (1 - \log 8) + \frac{1}{2-p} \left[c_i H_a(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^m c_k G_a(\xi_i, \xi_k) \right] \right\} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{\log^2 |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right]. \quad (2.23)$$

Let us perform the change of variables

$$v(y) = p\gamma^{p-1}u(\varepsilon y) - p\gamma^p, \quad \forall y \in \Omega_\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{-1}\Omega.$$

By the definitions of ε and γ in (1.8) and (2.6), respectively, we can rewrite equation (1.1) in the following form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} v + \varepsilon^2 v = f(v) - p\gamma^p \varepsilon^2, & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon, \end{cases} \quad (2.24)$$

where

$$f(v) = \left(1 + \frac{v}{p\gamma^p} \right)^{p-1} e^{\gamma^p [(1 + \frac{v}{p\gamma^p})^p - 1]}. \quad (2.25)$$

We write $\xi'_i = \xi_i/\varepsilon$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ and define the initial approximate solution of (2.24) as

$$V_{\xi'}(y) = p\gamma^{p-1}U_\xi(\varepsilon y) - p\gamma^p, \quad (2.26)$$

with $\xi' = (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_m)$ and U_ξ defined in (2.16). What remains of this paper is to look for solutions of problem (2.24) in the form $v = V_{\xi'} + \phi$, where ϕ will represent a higher-order correction. In terms of ϕ , problem (2.24) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi) = -[E_{\xi'} + N(\phi)] & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon, \end{cases} \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) = -\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} \phi + \varepsilon^2 \phi - W_{\xi'} \phi \quad \text{with} \quad W_{\xi'} = f'(V_{\xi'}),$$

and

$$E_{\xi'} = -\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} V_{\xi'} + \varepsilon^2 V_{\xi'} - f(V_{\xi'}) + p\gamma^p \varepsilon^2, \quad N(\phi) = -[f(V_{\xi'} + \phi) - f(V_{\xi'}) - f'(V_{\xi'})\phi]. \quad (2.28)$$

For any $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ and $h \in L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, let us introduce a weighted L^∞ -norm defined as

$$\|h\|_* = \sup_{y \in \Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)^{2+\sigma}} + \varepsilon^2 \right)^{-1} h(y) \right|, \quad (2.29)$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is small but fixed, independent of ε . With respect to the $\|\cdot\|_*$ -norm, the error term $E_{\xi'}$ defined in (2.28) can be estimated as follows.

Proposition 2.2. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ and for any ε small enough,*

$$\|E_{\xi'}\|_* \leq \frac{C}{\gamma^{4p}} = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^4}\right). \quad (2.30)$$

Proof. By (2.16), (2.17) and (2.26) we obtain

$$-\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} V_{\xi'} + \varepsilon^2 V_{\xi'} + p\gamma^p \varepsilon^2 = p\gamma^{p-1} \varepsilon^2 \sum_{i=1}^m [-\Delta_a(U_i + H_i) + (U_i + H_i)] = -p\gamma^{p-1} \varepsilon^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \Delta U_i.$$

Furthermore, by (2.7)-(2.8),

$$-\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} V_{\xi'} + \varepsilon^2 V_{\xi'} + p\gamma^p \varepsilon^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left[1 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} (\omega_{\mu_i}^j - f_{\mu_i}^j) \right] (y - \xi'_i). \quad (2.31)$$

By (2.2), (2.5) and (2.13) we have that if $|y - \xi'_i| \geq 1/(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})$ for any $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) = 4 \log \varepsilon + O(\log |\log \varepsilon|), \quad \omega_{\mu_i}^j(y - \xi'_i) = -D_{\mu_i}^j \log \varepsilon + O(\log |\log \varepsilon|), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

and then, by (2.9)-(2.12),

$$-\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} V_{\xi'} + \varepsilon^2 V_{\xi'} + p\gamma^p \varepsilon^2 = \left[\sum_{i=1}^m e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right] O(|\log \varepsilon|^4). \quad (2.32)$$

On the other hand, in the same region, by (2.20) and (2.26) we obtain

$$1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}(y)}{p\gamma^p} = \frac{p\gamma^{p-1} U_\xi(\varepsilon y)}{p\gamma^p} = O\left(\frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad (2.33)$$

and hence,

$$f(V_{\xi'}) = \left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right)^{p-1} e^{\gamma^p \left[\left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right)^p - 1 \right]} = \frac{O(\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p}} \log^{p-1} |\log \varepsilon|)}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \exp \left[O\left(\frac{\log^p |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \right) \right],$$

which, together with (2.5) and (2.32), easily yields

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)^{2+\sigma}} + \varepsilon^2 \right)^{-1} E_{\xi'}(y) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{4-2p}{p}} \left(\frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right)^{p-1} \exp \left[O\left(\frac{\log^p |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \right) \right] = o\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{4p}} \right). \quad (2.34)$$

Let us fix an index $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and the region $|y - \xi'_i| \leq 1/(\varepsilon^\theta |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})$ with any $\theta < 1$ but close enough to 1. From (2.21), (2.26) and Taylor expansion we have that in the ball $|y - \xi'_i| < \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau$ with $\tau \geq 10$ large but fixed,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right)^{p-1} &= 1 + \underbrace{\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)}_{A_1} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^{2p}} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right]}_{A_2} (y - \xi'_i) \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\gamma^{3p}} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{p-1} \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{6(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right]}_{A_3} (y - \xi'_i) \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^4 \frac{1}{\gamma^{4p}} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^3 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i}^1)^2 + \frac{p-2}{p-1} \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{2(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \omega_{\mu_i}^1 \right]}_{A_4} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\left[\frac{(p-2)(p-3)(p-4)}{24(p-1)^3} (\omega_{\mu_i})^4 \right]}_{A_4} (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^\beta (\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{5p}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^p \left[\left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right)^p - 1 \right] &= \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) + \underbrace{\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right]}_{B_1} (y - \xi'_i) \\
&+ \underbrace{\left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^{2p}} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right]}_{B_2} (y - \xi'_i) \\
&+ \underbrace{\left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\gamma^{3p}} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^3 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i}^1)^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{24(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^4 \right]}_{B_3} (y - \xi'_i) \\
&+ \underbrace{\left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^4 \frac{1}{\gamma^{4p}} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^4 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} \omega_{\mu_i} (\omega_{\mu_i}^1)^2 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{6(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \omega_{\mu_i}^1 \right]}_{B_4} \\
&+ \underbrace{\frac{(p-2)(p-3)(p-4)}{120(p-1)^3} (\omega_{\mu_i})^5}_{B_4} (y - \xi'_i) + O \left(\frac{\log^\beta(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{5p}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta > 1$ is large but fixed, independent of ε . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\gamma^p \left[\left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right)^p - 1 \right]} &= e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} B_1 + \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^{2p}} \left[B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right] + \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\gamma^{3p}} [B_3 + B_1 B_2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{6}(B_1)^3] + \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^4 \frac{1}{\gamma^{4p}} \left[B_4 + \frac{1}{2}(B_2)^2 + B_1 B_3 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 B_2 + \frac{1}{24}(B_1)^4 \right] + O \left(\frac{\log^\beta(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{5p}} \right) \right\}. \quad (2.35)
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by (2.25),

$$\begin{aligned}
f(V_{\xi'}) &= e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} (A_1 + B_1) + \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^{2p}} \left[A_2 + A_1 B_1 + B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right] + \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\gamma^{3p}} [A_3 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + A_2 B_1 + A_1 \left(B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) + B_3 + B_1 B_2 + \frac{1}{6}(B_1)^3] + \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^4 \frac{1}{\gamma^{4p}} \left[A_4 + A_3 B_1 + A_2 \left(B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + A_1 \left(B_3 + B_1 B_2 + \frac{1}{6}(B_1)^3 \right) + B_4 + \frac{1}{2}(B_2)^2 + B_1 B_3 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 B_2 + \frac{1}{24}(B_1)^4 \right] + O \left(\frac{\log^\beta(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{5p}} \right) \right\}. \quad (2.36)
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.31), (2.36) and the definitions of $f_{\mu_i}^j$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ in (2.9)-(2.12) we can derive that in the region $|y - \xi'_i| < \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau$,

$$E_{\xi'} = -\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} V_{\xi'} + \varepsilon^2 V_{\xi'} - f(V_{\xi'}) + p\gamma^p \varepsilon^2 = e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} O \left(\frac{\log^\beta(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{5p}} \right),$$

and by (2.5)-(2.6),

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)^{2+\sigma}} + \varepsilon^2 \right)^{-1} E_{\xi'}(y) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\gamma^{5p}} \frac{\mu_i^{2-\sigma} \log^\beta(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)^{2-\sigma}} \leq \frac{C}{\gamma^{4p}}. \quad (2.37)$$

As in the remaining region $\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \leq |y - \xi'_i| \leq 1/(\varepsilon^\theta |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})$ with any $\theta < 1$ but close enough to 1, by (2.9)-(2.13) and (2.31) we find that there exists a constant $D > 0$, independent of every $\theta < 1$, such that

$$| -\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} V_{\xi'} + \varepsilon^2 V_{\xi'} + p\gamma^p \varepsilon^2 | \leq D |\log \varepsilon|^4 e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)}. \quad (2.38)$$

On the other hand, in the same region, by (2.5), (2.13), (2.21) and (2.26) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} &= 1 + \frac{1}{p\gamma^p} \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) + \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \frac{1}{4} \log \left(\frac{8}{\mu_i^2} \right) + O \left(\frac{\mu_i}{|y - \xi'_i|} \right) \right\} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{p\gamma^p} \left\{ \left[1 + O \left(\frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right] \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) + O \left(\frac{\log^2 |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$1 - \theta + 2\kappa \frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} + O \left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \leq 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \leq 1 - \tau \frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} + O \left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right). \quad (2.39)$$

Furthermore, by the Taylor expansion we find that there exists a constant $D > 0$, independent of every $\theta < 1$, such that

$$e^{\gamma^p \left[\left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right)^p - 1 \right]} \leq D e^{\left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)}, \quad (2.40)$$

and

$$\left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right)^{p-1} \leq D \left(1 + \frac{\log^{p-1} |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \right). \quad (2.41)$$

Hence in the region $\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \leq |y - \xi'_i| \leq 1/(\varepsilon^\theta |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})$ with any $\theta < 1$ but close enough to 1, by (2.38), (2.40) and (2.41),

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)^{2+\sigma}} + \varepsilon^2 \right)^{-1} E_{\xi'}(y) \right| \leq C \left[|\log \varepsilon|^4 \left| \frac{y - \xi'_i}{\mu_i} \right|^{\sigma-2} + \left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2} \right)^{O\left(\frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right)} \left| \frac{y - \xi'_i}{\mu_i} \right|^{\sigma-1+O\left(\frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right)} \right] = o \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{4p}} \right),$$

which, together with (2.34) and (2.37), implies the validity of estimate (2.30). $\square$

3. ANALYSIS OF THE LINEARIZED OPERATOR

In this section we perform the solvability theory for the linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ under the weighted L^∞ -norm introduced in (2.29), uniformly on $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$. Notice that $\mathcal{L}(\phi) = -\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} \phi + \varepsilon^2 \phi - W_{\xi'} \phi$, where $W_{\xi'} = f'(V_{\xi'})$. As in Proposition 2.2, we have the following asymptotical expansions with respect to $W_{\xi'}$ and $f''(V_{\xi'})$, respectively.

Proposition 3.1. *There exists a constant $D_0 > 0$ such that for any $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ and for any ε small enough,*

$$|W_{\xi'}(y)| \leq D_0 \sum_{i=1}^m e^{\left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \quad \text{and} \quad |f''(V_{\xi'})| \leq D_0 \sum_{i=1}^m e^{\left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)}, \quad (3.1)$$

uniformly in the region $\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \leq |y - \xi'_i| \leq 1/(\varepsilon^\theta |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})$ with any $\theta < 1$ but close enough to 1. While if $|y - \xi'_i| < \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau$ with $\tau \geq 10$ large but fixed, then

$$W_{\xi'}(y) = \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O \left(\frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}} \right) \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

In addition,

$$\|W_{\xi'}\|_* \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \|f''(V_{\xi'})\|_* \leq C. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, we consider the estimates for the potential $W_{\xi'}$ only. By (2.25) we can compute

$$W_{\xi'} = f'(V_{\xi'}) = \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p}\right)^{p-2} e^{\gamma^p \left[\left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p}\right)^p - 1\right]} + \left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p}\right)^{2(p-1)} e^{\gamma^p \left[\left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p}\right)^p - 1\right]} := I + J.$$

If $|y - \xi'_i| < \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau$ with any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\tau \geq 10$ large but fixed, by using (2.35) and Taylor expansion we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I = & e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}}\right) \right\} \\ & \times \frac{p-2}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[\frac{p-1}{p-2} + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \omega_{\mu_i} (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^2(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}}\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J = & e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}}\right) \right\} \\ & \times \left[1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{2}{\gamma^p} \omega_{\mu_i} (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^2(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}}\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$W_{\xi'}(y) = e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}}\right) \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

While if $\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \leq |y - \xi'_i| \leq 1/(\varepsilon^\theta |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})$ with any $\theta < 1$ but close enough to 1, by (2.39) we find

$$\left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}(y)}{p\gamma^p}\right)^{p-2} = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}(y)}{p\gamma^p}\right)^{2(p-1)} = O(1),$$

and by (2.40),

$$|W_{\xi'}(y)| \leq C e^{\gamma^p \left[\left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p}\right)^p - 1\right]} = O(1) e^{\left[1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}}\right] \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Additionally, if $|y - \xi'_i| \geq 1/(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, by (2.33) we deduce

$$I = \frac{O(\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p}} \log^{p-2} |\log \varepsilon|)}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \exp \left[O\left(\frac{\log^p |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right) \right], \quad J = \frac{O(\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p}} \log^{2(p-1)} |\log \varepsilon|)}{|\log \varepsilon|^{2(p-1)}} \exp \left[O\left(\frac{\log^p |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right) \right],$$

and so

$$|W_{\xi'}(y)| = \left(\frac{\log^{p-2} |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} + \frac{\log^{2(p-1)} |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|^{2(p-1)}} \right) O(\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p}}) \exp \left[O\left(\frac{\log^p |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right) \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

Jointing together (3.4)-(3.6) and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_*$ in (2.29), we obtain the first estimate in (3.3). $\square$

Given $h \in L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ and points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$, we consider the following linear problem of finding a function ϕ and scalars $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, J_i$, such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi) = -\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} \phi + \varepsilon^2 \phi - W_{\xi'} \phi = h + \frac{1}{a(\varepsilon y)} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} c_{ij} \chi_i Z_{ij} & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \phi = 0 & \forall i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, J_i, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where $J_i = 2$ if $i = 1, \dots, l$ while $J_i = 1$ if $i = l + 1, \dots, m$, and Z_{ij} , χ_i , are defined as follows: let $R_0 > 0$ be a large but fixed number and $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth, non-increasing cut-off function such that $\chi(r) = 1$ if $r \leq R_0$, and $\chi(r) = 0$ if $r \geq R_0 + 1$. Set

$$Z_0(z) = \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1}, \quad Z_j(z) = \frac{z_j}{|z|^2 + 1}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.8)$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, l$, we have $\xi_i \in \Omega$ and define

$$\chi_i(y) = \chi\left(\frac{|y - \xi'_i|}{\mu_i}\right), \quad Z_{ij}(y) = \frac{1}{\mu_i} Z_j\left(\frac{y - \xi'_i}{\mu_i}\right), \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (3.9)$$

For each $i = l + 1, \dots, m$, we have $\xi_i \in \partial\Omega$ and define a rotation map $A_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $A_i \nu_\Omega(\xi_i) = \nu_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}(0)$. Let $\mathcal{G}(x_1)$ be the defining function for the boundary $A_i(\partial\Omega - \{\xi_i\})$ in a small neighborhood of the origin, that is, there exist $R_1 > 0$, $\delta > 0$ small and a smooth function $\mathcal{G} : (-R_1, R_1) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\mathcal{G}(0) = 0$, $\mathcal{G}'(0) = 0$ and such that $A_i(\Omega - \{\xi_i\}) \cap B_\delta(0, 0) = \{(x_1, x_2) : -R_1 < x_1 < R_1, x_2 > \mathcal{G}(x_1)\} \cap B_\delta(0, 0)$. Then we consider the flattening change of variables $F_i : B_\delta(0, 0) \cap A_i(\Omega - \{\xi_i\}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$F_i = (F_{i1}, F_{i2}), \quad \text{where} \quad F_{i1} = x_1 + \frac{x_2 - \mathcal{G}(x_1)}{1 + |\mathcal{G}'(x_1)|^2} \mathcal{G}'(x_1), \quad F_{i2} = x_2 - \mathcal{G}(x_1).$$

Then for each $i = l + 1, \dots, m$, we set

$$F_i^\varepsilon(y) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F_i(A_i(\varepsilon y - \xi_i)),$$

and define

$$\chi_i(y) = \chi\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i} |F_i^\varepsilon(y)|\right), \quad Z_{ij}(y) = \frac{1}{\mu_i} Z_j\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i} F_i^\varepsilon(y)\right), \quad j = 0, 1. \quad (3.10)$$

Note that F_i^ε , $i = l + 1, \dots, m$, preserves the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition. Moreover,

$$\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} Z_{i0} + \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} Z_{i0} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon\mu_i}{(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)^3}\right), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (3.11)$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let m be a positive integer. Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, any points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ and any $h \in L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, there is a unique solution $\phi \in L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ and $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, J_i$ to problem (3.7). Moreover,*

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \|h\|_* \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} \mu_i |c_{ij}| \leq C \|h\|_*.$$

The proof of this result will be split into a series of lemmas which we state and prove as follows.

Lemma 3.3. *There exist constants $R_1 > 0$ and $C > 0$, independent of ε , such that for any sufficiently small ε , any points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ and any $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, there is a function*

$$\psi : \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{R_1\mu_i}(\xi'_i) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$$

smooth and positive so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\psi) &= -\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)}\psi + \varepsilon^2\psi - W_{\xi'}\psi \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} + \varepsilon^2 & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{R_1\mu_i}(\xi'_i), \\ \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu} &\geq 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{R_1\mu_i}(\xi'_i), \\ \psi &> 0 & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{R_1\mu_i}(\xi'_i), \\ \psi &\geq 1 & \text{on } \Omega_\varepsilon \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m \partial B_{R_1\mu_i}(\xi'_i) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, ψ is uniformly bounded, i.e.

$$1 < \psi \leq C \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{R_1\mu_i}(\xi'_i).$$

Proof. Let us take

$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^\sigma} \right) + C_1 \Psi_0(y),$$

where Ψ_0 is the uniformly bounded solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)}\Psi_0 + \varepsilon^2\Psi_0 = \varepsilon^2 & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \frac{\partial\Psi_0}{\partial\nu} = \varepsilon & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Choosing the positive constant C_1 larger if necessary, it is directly checked that ψ meets all the conditions of the lemma for R_1 large but ε small enough. $\square$

Given $h \in L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$, let us consider the linear equation

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi) = -\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)}\phi + \varepsilon^2\phi - W_{\xi'}\phi = h & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

Lemma 3.4. *There exist $R_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and any solution ϕ of (3.12) with the orthogonality conditions*

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \phi = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 0, 1, J_i, \quad (3.13)$$

one has

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C \|h\|_*,$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of ε .

Proof. Set $R_0 = 4R_1$, R_1 being the constant in Lemma 3.3. By (2.5) it follows that $\varepsilon\mu_i = o(1/|\log \varepsilon|^\kappa)$ for ε small enough, and by (2.3), all $B_{R_1\mu_i}(\xi'_i)$ are disjoint for any points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$. Let h be bounded and ϕ a solution to (3.12) satisfying (3.13). We define the inner norm of ϕ by

$$\|\phi\|_i = \sup_{y \in \overline{\Omega_\varepsilon} \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{R_1\mu_i}(\xi'_i) \right)} |\phi(y)|,$$

and claim that there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of ε such that

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C (\|\phi\|_i + \|h\|_*). \quad (3.14)$$

Indeed, set

$$\tilde{\phi}(y) = C_1 (\|\phi\|_i + \|h\|_*) \psi(y) \quad \forall y \in \overline{\Omega}_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{R_1 \mu_i}(\xi'_i),$$

where ψ is the positive, uniformly bounded barrier constructed by Lemma 3.3 and the constant $C_1 > 0$ is chosen larger if necessary, independent of ε . Then for $y \in \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{R_1 \mu_i}(\xi'_i)$,

$$\mathcal{L}(\tilde{\phi} \pm \phi)(y) \geq C_1 \|h\|_* \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} + \varepsilon^2 \right\} \pm h(y) \geq |h(y)| \pm h(y) \geq 0,$$

for $y \in \partial\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{R_1 \mu_i}(\xi'_i)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(\tilde{\phi} \pm \phi)(y) \geq 0,$$

and for $y \in \Omega_\varepsilon \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^m \partial B_{R_1 \mu_i}(\xi'_i))$,

$$(\tilde{\phi} \pm \phi)(y) > \|\phi\|_i \pm \phi(y) \geq |\phi(y)| \pm \phi(y) \geq 0.$$

From the maximum principle (see [18]), it follows that $-\tilde{\phi} \leq \phi \leq \tilde{\phi}$ on $\overline{\Omega}_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{R_1 \mu_i}(\xi'_i)$, which gives estimate (3.14).

We prove the lemma by contradiction. Assume that there exist a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow +\infty$, points $\xi^n = (\xi_1^n, \dots, \xi_m^n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_n}$, functions h_n , and associated solutions ϕ_n of equation (3.12) with orthogonality conditions (3.13) such that

$$\|\phi_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\varepsilon_n})} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|h_n\|_* \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.15)$$

For each $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, we have $\xi_k^n \in \Omega$ and we consider $\hat{\phi}_k^n(z) = \phi_n(\mu_k^n z + (\xi_k^n)')$, where $\mu^n = (\mu_1^n, \dots, \mu_m^n)$ and $(\xi_k^n)' = \xi_k^n / \varepsilon_n$. Note that

$$h_n(y) = (-\Delta_{a(\varepsilon_n y)} \phi_n + \varepsilon_n^2 \phi_n - W_{(\xi^n)'})|_{y=\mu_k^n z + (\xi_k^n)'} = (\mu_k^n)^{-2} \left[-\Delta_{\hat{a}_n} \hat{\phi}_k^n + \varepsilon_n^2 (\mu_k^n)^2 \hat{\phi}_k^n - (\mu_k^n)^2 \hat{W}^n \hat{\phi}_k^n \right](z),$$

where

$$\hat{a}_n(z) = a(\varepsilon_n \mu_k^n z + \xi_k^n), \quad \hat{W}^n(z) = W_{(\xi^n)' }(\mu_k^n z + (\xi_k^n)').$$

By the expansion of $W_{(\xi^n)'}$ in (3.2) and elliptic regularity, $\hat{\phi}_k^n$ converges uniformly over compact sets to a bounded solution $\hat{\phi}_k^\infty$ of equation

$$\Delta \phi + \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \phi = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi Z_j \hat{\phi}_k^\infty = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (3.16)$$

However, by the result of [3, 9], $\hat{\phi}_k^\infty$ must be a linear combination of Z_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$. Notice that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi Z_j Z_t = 0$ for $j \neq t$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi Z_j^2 > 0$. Hence (3.16) implies $\hat{\phi}_k^\infty \equiv 0$.

As for each $k \in \{l+1, \dots, m\}$, we have $\xi_k^n \in \partial\Omega$ and we consider $\hat{\phi}_k^n(z) = \phi_n((A_k^n)^{-1} \mu_k^n z + (\xi_k^n)')$, where $A_k^n : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a rotation map such that $A_k^n \nu_{\Omega_{\varepsilon_n}}((\xi_k^n)') = \nu_{\mathbb{R}_+^2}(0)$. Similarly to the above argument, we have that $\hat{\phi}_k^n$ converges uniformly over compact sets to a bounded solution $\hat{\phi}_k^\infty$ of equation

$$\Delta \phi + \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \phi = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2,$$

which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \chi Z_j \hat{\phi}_k^\infty = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1. \quad (3.17)$$

Then $\hat{\phi}_k^\infty$ is a linear combination of Z_j , $j = 0, 1$. Notice that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \chi Z_j Z_t = 0$ for $j \neq t$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \chi Z_j^2 > 0$. Hence (3.17) implies $\hat{\phi}_k^\infty = 0$ and then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\phi_n\|_i = 0$. But by (3.14)-(3.15), $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\phi_n\|_i > 0$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, if ϕ solves (3.12) and satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \phi = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, J_i, \quad (3.18)$$

then

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \|h\|_*, \quad (3.19)$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of ε .

Proof. According to the results in Lemma 3.4 of [12] and Lemma 4.5 of [13], for simplicity we consider the validity of estimate (3.19) only when the m concentration points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ satisfy the relation $|\xi_i - \xi_k| \leq 2d$ for any $i, k = 1, \dots, m$, $i \neq k$ and for any $d > 0$ sufficiently small, fixed and independent of ε . Let $R > R_0 + 1$ be a large but fixed number. For any $i = 1, \dots, m$, we define

$$\widehat{Z}_{i0}(y) = Z_{i0}(y) - \frac{1}{\mu_i} + a_{i0} G_a(\varepsilon y, \xi_i), \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$a_{i0} = \frac{1}{\mu_i [H_a(\xi_i, \xi_i) - \frac{4}{c_i} \log(\varepsilon \mu_i R)]}. \quad (3.21)$$

From estimate (2.5) and definitions (3.9) and (3.10) we have

$$C_1 |\log \varepsilon| \leq -\log(\varepsilon \mu_i R) \leq C_2 |\log \varepsilon|, \quad (3.22)$$

and

$$\widehat{Z}_{i0}(y) = O\left(\frac{G_a(\varepsilon y, \xi_i)}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|}\right). \quad (3.23)$$

Let η_1 and η_2 be radial smooth cut-off functions in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \eta_1 \leq 1; \quad |\nabla \eta_1| \leq C \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2; \quad \eta_1 \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_R(0); \quad \eta_1 \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{R+1}(0); \\ 0 \leq \eta_2 \leq 1; \quad |\nabla \eta_2| \leq C \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2; \quad \eta_2 \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_{3d}(0); \quad \eta_2 \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{6d}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Denote that for any $i = 1, \dots, l$,

$$\eta_{i1}(y) = \eta_1\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i} |y - \xi'_i|\right), \quad \eta_{i2}(y) = \eta_2(\varepsilon |y - \xi'_i|), \quad (3.24)$$

and for any $i = l+1, \dots, m$,

$$\eta_{i1}(y) = \eta_1\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i} |F_i^\varepsilon(y)|\right), \quad \eta_{i2}(y) = \eta_2(\varepsilon |F_i^\varepsilon(y)|). \quad (3.25)$$

Now define

$$\widetilde{Z}_{i0}(y) = \eta_{i1} Z_{i0} + (1 - \eta_{i1}) \eta_{i2} \widehat{Z}_{i0}. \quad (3.26)$$

Given ϕ satisfying (3.12) and (3.18), let

$$\widetilde{\phi} = \phi + \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \widetilde{Z}_{i0} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} e_{ij} \chi_i Z_{ij}. \quad (3.27)$$

We can adjust d_i and e_{ij} such that $\widetilde{\phi}$ satisfies the orthogonality conditions

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \widetilde{\phi} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, j = 0, 1, J_i. \quad (3.28)$$

Indeed, testing (3.27) by $\chi_i Z_{ij}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 0, 1, J_i$ and using (3.18), (3.28) and the fact that $\chi_i \chi_k \equiv 0$ if $i \neq k$, we find

$$d_i \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{i0} \widetilde{Z}_{i0} + \sum_{k \neq i}^m d_k \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{i0} \widetilde{Z}_{k0} + \sum_{t=1}^{J_i} e_{it} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i^2 Z_{i0} Z_{it} = - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{i0} \phi, \quad (3.29)$$

$$d_i \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \tilde{Z}_{i0} + \sum_{k \neq i}^m d_k \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \tilde{Z}_{k0} + \sum_{t=1}^{J_i} e_{it} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i^2 Z_{ij} Z_{it} = 0, \quad j = 1, J_i. \quad (3.30)$$

Note that for any $i = 1, \dots, l$, $j = 1, 2$ and $t = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{i0} \tilde{Z}_{i0} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi Z_0^2 = C_0 > 0, & \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i^2 Z_{i0} Z_{it} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi^2 Z_0 Z_t = 0, \\ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \tilde{Z}_{i0} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi Z_j Z_0 = 0, & \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i^2 Z_{ij} Z_{it} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi^2 Z_j Z_t = C_j \delta_{jt}, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{jt} denotes the Kronecker's symbol, but for any $i = l+1, \dots, m$ and $j = t = J_i = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{i0} \tilde{Z}_{i0} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \chi Z_0^2 [1 + O(\varepsilon \mu_i |z|)] = \frac{C_0}{2} + O(\varepsilon \mu_i), & \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i^2 Z_{i0} Z_{i1} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \chi^2 Z_0 Z_1 [1 + O(\varepsilon \mu_i |z|)] = O(\varepsilon \mu_i), \\ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{i1} \tilde{Z}_{i0} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \chi Z_1 Z_0 [1 + O(\varepsilon \mu_i |z|)] = O(\varepsilon \mu_i), & \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i^2 Z_{i1}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \chi^2 Z_1^2 [1 + O(\varepsilon \mu_i |z|)] = \frac{C_1}{2} + O(\varepsilon \mu_i). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.23) and (3.26) it follows that for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 0, 1, J_i$,

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \tilde{Z}_{k0} = O\left(\frac{\mu_i \log |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad \forall k \neq i.$$

Hence by (3.30) we can get that for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, J_i$,

$$e_{ij} = \left(-d_i \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \tilde{Z}_{i0} - \sum_{k \neq i}^m d_k \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \tilde{Z}_{k0} \right) / \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i^2 Z_{ij}^2,$$

and then

$$|e_{ij}| \leq C \left(\varepsilon \mu_i |d_i| + \sum_{k \neq i}^m \frac{\mu_i \log |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|} |d_k| \right). \quad (3.31)$$

We need just to consider d_i . From (3.29) it follows that for any $i = 1, \dots, l$,

$$d_i C_0 + \sum_{k \neq i}^m d_k O\left(\frac{\mu_i \log |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|}\right) = - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{i0} \phi, \quad (3.32)$$

and for any $i = l+1, \dots, m$,

$$\frac{1}{2} d_i C_0 [1 + O(\varepsilon \mu_i)] + \sum_{k \neq i}^m d_k O\left(\frac{\mu_i \log |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|}\right) + e_{i1} O(\varepsilon \mu_i) = - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{i0} \phi, \quad (3.33)$$

where e_{i1} satisfies (3.31). We denote $\mathcal{A}$ the coefficient matrix of equations (3.32)-(3.33) with respect to $(d_1, \dots, d_m)$. By the above estimates, $\mathcal{M}^{-1} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{M}$ is diagonally dominant, so invertible, where $\mathcal{M} = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$. Hence $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible and $(d_1, \dots, d_m)$ is well defined.

Estimate (3.19) is a direct consequence of the following two claims.

Claim 1. Let $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} + \varepsilon^2 - W_{\varepsilon'}$, then for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, J_i$,

$$\|\mathcal{L}(\chi_i Z_{ij})\|_* \leq \frac{C}{\mu_i}, \quad \|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})\|_* \leq C \frac{\log^2 |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|}. \quad (3.34)$$

Claim 2. For any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, J_i$,

$$|d_i| \leq C \mu_i |\log \varepsilon| \|h\|_*, \quad |e_{ij}| \leq C \mu_i \log(|\log \varepsilon|) \|h\|_*.$$

In fact, by the definition of $\tilde{\phi}$ in (3.27) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\phi}) = h + \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} e_{ij} \mathcal{L}(\chi_i Z_{ij}) & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (3.35)$$

Since (3.28) holds, the previous lemma allows us to conclude

$$\|\tilde{\phi}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C \left\{ \|h\|_* + \sum_{i=1}^m |d_i| \|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})\|_* + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |e_{ij}| \|\mathcal{L}(\chi_i Z_{ij})\|_* \right\} \leq C \log^2(|\log \varepsilon|) \|h\|_*. \quad (3.36)$$

Using the definition of $\tilde{\phi}$ again and the fact that

$$\|\tilde{Z}_{i0}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{\mu_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\chi_i Z_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{\mu_i}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, J_i, \quad (3.37)$$

estimate (3.19) then follows from estimate (3.36) and Claim 2.

Proof of Claim 1. Let us first denote that $z_i := y - \xi'_i$ for any $i = 1, \dots, l$, but $z_i := F_i^\varepsilon(y)$ for any $i = l+1, \dots, m$. For any $i = l+1, \dots, m$, due to $F_i^\varepsilon(\xi'_i) = (0, 0)$ and $\nabla F_i^\varepsilon(\xi'_i) = A_i$, we find

$$z_i = F_i^\varepsilon(y) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F_i(A_i(\varepsilon y - \xi_i)) = A_i(y - \xi'_i) \{1 + O(\varepsilon A_i(y - \xi'_i))\}, \quad (3.38)$$

and

$$\nabla_y = A_i \nabla_{z_i} + O(\varepsilon |z_i|) \nabla_{z_i}, \quad -\Delta_y = -\Delta_{z_i} + O(\varepsilon |z_i|) \nabla_{z_i}^2 + O(\varepsilon) \nabla_{z_i}. \quad (3.39)$$

Then for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, J_i$, by (3.2), (3.9) and (3.10) we have that in the region $|z_i| \leq \mu_i(R_0 + 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(Z_{ij}) &= (-\Delta_y - W_{\xi'}) \left[\frac{1}{\mu_i} Z_j \left(\frac{z_i}{\mu_i} \right) \right] - \varepsilon \nabla_y \log a(\varepsilon y) \nabla_y \left[\frac{1}{\mu_i} Z_j \left(\frac{z_i}{\mu_i} \right) \right] + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\mu_i} Z_j \left(\frac{y - \xi'_i}{\mu_i} \right) \\ &= O \left(\frac{1}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|} \cdot \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \right) + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2} \right) + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^{1/2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\chi_i Z_{ij}) &= \chi_i \mathcal{L}(Z_{ij}) - 2 \nabla \chi_i \nabla Z_{ij} - Z_{ij} [\Delta \chi_i + \varepsilon \nabla \log a(\varepsilon y) \nabla \chi_i] \\ &= O \left(\frac{1}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|} \cdot \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{\mu_i} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^{1/2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the definition of $\|\cdot\|_*$ in (2.29), implies $\|\mathcal{L}(\chi_i Z_{ij})\|_* = O(1/\mu_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, J_i$.

Let us prove the second inequality in (3.34). Consider four regions

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \{y \in \Omega_\varepsilon \mid |z_i| \leq \mu_i R\}, & \Omega_2 &= \{y \in \Omega_\varepsilon \mid \mu_i R < |z_i| \leq \mu_i(R+1)\}, \\ \Omega_3 &= \{y \in \Omega_\varepsilon \mid \mu_i(R+1) < |z_i| \leq 3d/\varepsilon\}, & \Omega_4 &= \{y \in \Omega_\varepsilon \mid 3d/\varepsilon < |z_i| \leq 6d/\varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice first that

$$\left| Z_{i0} - \frac{1}{\mu_i} \right| = \frac{2\mu_i}{\mu_i^2 + |z_i|^2} = O \left(\frac{\mu_i}{(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)^2} \right), \quad (3.40)$$

and for any $\mu_i R < |z_i| \leq 6d/\varepsilon$,

$$Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0} = \frac{1}{\mu_i} - a_{i0} G_a(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) = \frac{1}{\mu_i [H_a(\xi_i, \xi_i) - \frac{4}{c_i} \log(\varepsilon \mu_i R)]} \left[\frac{4}{c_i} \log \frac{|y - \xi'_i|}{\mu_i R} + O(\varepsilon^\alpha |y - \xi'_i|^\alpha) \right], \quad (3.41)$$

and for any $|z_i| \leq \mu_i(R+1)$, by (3.2) and (8.6),

$$\left[\frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} - W_{\xi'} \right] Z_{i0} = O \left(\frac{\log^2 \mu_i}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|} \cdot \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \right). \quad (3.42)$$

In Ω_1 ,

$$\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) = \mathcal{L}(Z_{i0}) = \left[-\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} Z_{i0} - \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} Z_{i0} \right] + \left[\frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} - W_{\xi'} \right] Z_{i0} + \varepsilon^2 Z_{i0}.$$

By (3.11) and (3.42),

$$|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})(y)| = O \left(\frac{\log^2 \mu_i}{\mu_i^3 |\log \varepsilon|} \right), \quad \forall y \in \Omega_1. \quad (3.43)$$

In Ω_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) &= \left[-\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} Z_{i0} - \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} Z_{i0} \right] + \left[\frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} - W_{\xi'} \right] Z_{i0} + \varepsilon^2 \left(Z_{i0} - \frac{1}{\mu_i} \right) \\ &\quad + W_{\xi'}(1 - \eta_{i1})(Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\mu_i} \eta_{i1} - 2\nabla \eta_{i1} \nabla (Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) - (Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) \Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} \eta_{i1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.22) and (3.41) we conclude that for any $\mu_i R < |z_i| \leq \mu_i(R+1)$,

$$|Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}| = O \left(\frac{1}{\mu_i R |\log \varepsilon|} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla(Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0})| = O \left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2 R |\log \varepsilon|} \right). \quad (3.44)$$

Moreover, $|\nabla \eta_{i1}| = O(1/\mu_i)$ and $|\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} \eta_{i1}| = O(1/\mu_i^2)$. From (3.11), (3.40) and (3.42) we can derive that

$$|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})(y)| = O \left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^3 R |\log \varepsilon|} \right), \quad \forall y \in \Omega_2. \quad (3.45)$$

In Ω_3 , by (3.11), (3.40) and (3.41),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) &= \mathcal{L}(\hat{Z}_{i0}) = \mathcal{L}(Z_{i0}) - \mathcal{L}(Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) \\ &= \left[\frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} - W_{\xi'} \right] Z_{i0} + W_{\xi'} \left[\frac{1}{\mu_i} - a_{i0} G_a(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) \right] + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon \mu_i}{(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)^3} \right) + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i}{(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)^2} \right) \\ &\equiv \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2 + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon \mu_i}{(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)^3} \right) + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i}{(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the estimation of the first two terms, we split Ω_3 into some subregions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{3,i} &= \left\{ y \in \Omega_3 \mid \mu_i(R+1) < |z_i| \leq \frac{1}{4} \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \right\}, \\ \Omega_{3,k} &= \left\{ y \in \Omega_3 \mid |z_k| \leq \frac{1}{4} \mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \right\}, \quad k \neq i, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Omega}_3 = \Omega_3 \setminus \bigcup_{t=1}^m \Omega_{3,t}. \end{aligned}$$

In $\Omega_{3,i}$, by (2.13) and (3.2) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &= \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \left\{ \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O \left(\frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}} \right) \right\} O \left(\frac{1}{\mu_i} \right) \\ &= \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} O \left(\frac{\log^2(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.22) and (3.41),

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} O \left(\frac{\log |y - \xi'_i| - \log \mu_i R + \varepsilon^\alpha |y - \xi'_i|^\alpha}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|} \right),$$

which implies

$$|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})(y)| = \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} O\left(\frac{\log^2(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|} + \frac{\log |y - \xi'_i| - \log \mu_i R}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad \forall y \in \Omega_{3,i}. \quad (3.46)$$

In $\tilde{\Omega}_3$, by (3.1),

$$|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})(y)| = \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\frac{8\mu_k^2}{(\mu_k^2 + |y - \xi'_k|^2)^2} \right]^{1+O\left(\frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right)} O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i} + \frac{\log |y - \xi'_i| - \log \mu_i R}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad \forall y \in \tilde{\Omega}_3. \quad (3.47)$$

As in $\Omega_{3,k}$ with $k \neq i$, by (3.2), (3.11), (3.23) and (3.40),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) &= \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} Z_{i0} - \left[\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} Z_{i0} + \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} Z_{i0} \right] + \varepsilon^2 \left(Z_{i0} - \frac{1}{\mu_i} \right) - W_{\xi'} \hat{Z}_{i0} \\ &= O\left(\frac{8\mu_k^2}{(\mu_k^2 + |y - \xi'_k|^2)^2} \cdot \frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Finally, in Ω_4 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) &= \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \eta_{i2} Z_{i0} - \eta_{i2} \left[\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} Z_{i0} + \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} Z_{i0} \right] + \varepsilon^2 \eta_{i2} \left(Z_{i0} - \frac{1}{\mu_i} \right) \\ &\quad - \eta_{i2} W_{\xi'} \hat{Z}_{i0} - 2\nabla \eta_{i2} \nabla \hat{Z}_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0} \Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} \eta_{i2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $W_{\xi'} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p}-\sigma})$ in Ω_4 . Moreover, $|\nabla \eta_{i2}| = O(\varepsilon/d)$, $|\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} \eta_{i2}| = O(\varepsilon^2/d^2)$,

$$|\hat{Z}_{i0}| = O\left(\frac{|\log d|}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \hat{Z}_{i0}| = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|}\right). \quad (3.49)$$

By (3.11) and (3.40),

$$|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})(y)| = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2 |\log d|}{\mu_i d^2 |\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad \forall y \in \Omega_4. \quad (3.50)$$

Combining (3.43), (3.45), (3.46), (3.47), (3.48) and (3.50), we arrive at

$$\|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})\|_* \leq C \left(\frac{\log^2 \mu_i}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|} + \frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|} \right) = O\left(\frac{\log^2 |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proof of Claim 2. Testing equation (3.35) against $a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{i0}$ and using estimates (3.36)-(3.37), we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^m d_k \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{k0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) \\ &= - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) h \tilde{Z}_{i0} + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{\phi} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) - \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{J_k} e_{kt} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \chi_k Z_{kt} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) \\ &\leq C \frac{\|h\|_*}{\mu_i} + C \|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})\|_* \left(\|\tilde{\phi}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{J_k} \frac{1}{\mu_k} |e_{kt}| \right) \\ &\leq C \frac{\|h\|_*}{\mu_i} + C \|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})\|_* \left[\|h\|_* + \sum_{k=1}^m |d_k| \|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{k0})\|_* + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{J_k} |e_{kt}| \left(\frac{1}{\mu_k} + \|\mathcal{L}(\chi_k Z_{kt})\|_* \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{(|y - \xi'_i| + \mu_i)^{2+\sigma}} \leq C, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m.$$

From estimates (3.31) and (3.34) it follows that for any $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$|d_i| \left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{i0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) \right| \leq C \frac{\|h\|_*}{\mu_i} + C \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{|d_k| \log^4 |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i \mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^2} + \sum_{k \neq i}^m \left| d_k \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{k0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) \right|. \quad (3.51)$$

Observe that

$$\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) = \eta_{i1} \mathcal{L}(Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) + \eta_{i2} \mathcal{L}(\hat{Z}_{i0}) - (Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) \Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} \eta_{i1} - 2 \nabla \eta_{i1} \nabla (Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) - 2 \nabla \eta_{i2} \nabla \hat{Z}_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0} \Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} \eta_{i2}.$$

Then by (3.20) and (3.26),

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{i0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) := K + I,$$

where

$$K = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{i0} \left[-(Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) \Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} \eta_{i1} - 2 \nabla \eta_{i1} \nabla (Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) - 2 \nabla \eta_{i2} \nabla \hat{Z}_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0} \Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} \eta_{i2} \right],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{i0} \left[\eta_{i1} \mathcal{L}(Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) + \eta_{i2} \mathcal{L}(\hat{Z}_{i0}) \right] \\ &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \eta_{i2}^2 \left\{ Z_{i0} - (1 - \eta_{i1}) \left[\frac{1}{\mu_i} - a_{i0} G_a(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) \right] \right\} \times \left\{ W_{\xi'} (1 - \eta_{i1}) \left[\frac{1}{\mu_i} - a_{i0} G_a(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} Z_{i0} + \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi_i'|^2)^2} Z_{i0} \right] + \left[\frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi_i'|^2)^2} - W_{\xi'} \right] Z_{i0} + \varepsilon^2 \left(Z_{i0} - \frac{1}{\mu_i} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\mu_i} \eta_{i1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us first estimate the expression K . Integrating by parts the first term and the last term of K respectively, we find

$$\begin{aligned} K &= - \int_{\Omega_2} a(\varepsilon y) \hat{Z}_{i0} \nabla \eta_{i1} \nabla (Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) + \int_{\Omega_2} a(\varepsilon y) (Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0})^2 |\nabla \eta_{i1}|^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_2} a(\varepsilon y) (Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) \nabla \eta_{i1} \nabla \hat{Z}_{i0} + \int_{\Omega_4} a(\varepsilon y) |\hat{Z}_{i0}|^2 |\nabla \eta_{i2}|^2 \\ &= K_{21} + K_{22} + K_{23} + K_4. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), (3.39) and (3.44) we have that $|\nabla \hat{Z}_{i0}| = O(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2 R^3})$ and $|\nabla \eta_{i1}| = O(\frac{1}{\mu_i})$ in Ω_2 . Then

$$K_{22} = O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2 R |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad K_{23} = O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2 R^3 |\log \varepsilon|}\right).$$

By (3.49),

$$K_4 = O\left(\frac{|\log d|^2}{\mu_i^2 |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right).$$

Since $\hat{Z}_{i0} = Z_{i0} [1 + O(\frac{1}{R |\log \varepsilon|})]$ in Ω_2 , by (2.5), (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), (3.20), (3.21), (3.38) and (3.39) we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} K &= - \frac{a_{i0}}{\mu_i^2} \int_{\{\mu_i R < |z_i| \leq \mu_i(R+1)\}} \frac{1}{|y - \xi_i'|} a(\varepsilon y) Z_0 \left(\frac{z_i}{\mu_i} \right) \eta_1' \left(\frac{|z_i|}{\mu_i} \right) \left[\frac{4}{c_i} + o(1) \right] dy + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2 R^3 |\log \varepsilon|}\right) \\ &= - \frac{c_i a_{i0}}{4 \mu_i} \int_R^{R+1} a(\xi_i) \eta_1'(r) \left[\frac{4}{c_i} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \right] dr + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2 R^3 |\log \varepsilon|}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{c_i a(\xi_i)}{\mu_i^2 |\log \varepsilon|} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

Next, we analyze the expression I . From (2.5), (3.1), (3.2), (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), (3.40) and (3.41) we can estimate

$$\int_{|z_i| \leq \frac{1}{4} \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau} a(\varepsilon y) \eta_{i2}^2 \left\{ Z_{i0} - (1 - \eta_{i1}) \left[\frac{1}{\mu_i} - a_{i0} G_a(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) \right] \right\} \times W_{\xi'} (1 - \eta_{i1}) \left[\frac{1}{\mu_i} - a_{i0} G_a(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) \right] dy = O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2 R |\log \varepsilon|}\right),$$

and

$$\int_{|z_i| \leq \frac{1}{4}\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau} a(\varepsilon y) \eta_{i2}^2 \left\{ Z_{i0} - (1 - \eta_{i1}) \left[\frac{1}{\mu_i} - a_{i0} G_a(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) \right] \right\} \times \left[\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)} Z_{i0} + \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} Z_{i0} \right] dy = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_i}\right),$$

and

$$\int_{|z_i| \leq \frac{1}{4}\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau} a(\varepsilon y) \eta_{i2}^2 \left\{ Z_{i0} - (1 - \eta_{i1}) \left[\frac{1}{\mu_i} - a_{i0} G_a(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) \right] \right\} \times \left[\varepsilon^2 \left(Z_{i0} - \frac{1}{\mu_i} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\mu_i} \eta_{i1} \right] dy = O\left(\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|\right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|z_i| \leq \frac{1}{4}\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau} a(\varepsilon y) \eta_{i2}^2 (1 - \eta_{i1}) \left[\frac{1}{\mu_i} - a_{i0} G_a(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) \right] \left[\frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} - W_{\xi'} \right] Z_{i0} dy \\ &= \int_{\mu_i R < |z_i| \leq \frac{1}{4}\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau} \frac{8\mu_i^2 a(\varepsilon y)}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \left\{ \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}}\right) \right\} \\ & \quad \times O\left(\frac{\log |y - \xi'_i| - \log(\mu_i R) + \varepsilon^\alpha |y - \xi'_i|^\alpha}{\mu_i^2 |\log \varepsilon|}\right) dy \\ &= O\left(\frac{\log^2 |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i^2 R |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

But by (3.23), (3.47) and (3.48),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|z_i| > \frac{1}{4}\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{i0} \left[\eta_{i1} \mathcal{L}(Z_{i0} - \hat{Z}_{i0}) + \eta_{i2} \mathcal{L}(\hat{Z}_{i0}) \right] dy \\ &= \sum_{k \neq i}^m \int_{\Omega_{3,k}} a(\varepsilon y) \eta_{i2}^2 \hat{Z}_{i0} \mathcal{L}(\hat{Z}_{i0}) dy + \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_3 \cup \Omega_4} a(\varepsilon y) \eta_{i2}^2 \hat{Z}_{i0} \mathcal{L}(\hat{Z}_{i0}) dy \\ &= \sum_{k \neq i}^m O\left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{4}\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\tau} \frac{8\mu_k^2}{(\mu_k^2 + r^2)^2} \frac{\log^2(|\log \varepsilon|)}{\mu_i^2 |\log \varepsilon|^2} r dr\right) + O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{4}\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + r^2)^2} \right]^{1+O\left(\frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right)} \frac{\log \varepsilon r}{\mu_i^2 |\log \varepsilon|} r dr\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{\log^2(|\log \varepsilon|)}{\mu_i^2 |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{|z_i| \leq \frac{1}{4}\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau} a(\varepsilon y) \eta_{i2}^2 Z_{i0}^2 \left[\frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} - W_{\xi'} \right] dy + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2 R |\log \varepsilon|}\right) \\ &= -\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \int_{|z_i| \leq \frac{1}{4}\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau} \frac{8a(\varepsilon y)}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \left[Z_0 \left(\frac{z_i}{\mu_i} \right) \right]^2 \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (y - \xi'_i) dy + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2 R |\log \varepsilon|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Owing to the relation in the Appendix

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(\mu_i^2 + |z|^2)^2} \left[Z_0 \left(\frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \right]^2 \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (z) dz = \frac{8\pi}{\mu_i^2}, \quad (3.53)$$

by (2.5) and (3.38) we find

$$I = \frac{1-p}{4} \frac{c_i a(\xi_i)}{\mu_i^2 |\log \varepsilon|} + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i^2 R |\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (3.54)$$

Combining estimates (3.52) and (3.54), we arrive at

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{i0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) = K + I = \frac{2-p}{4} \frac{c_i a(\xi_i)}{\mu_i^2 |\log \varepsilon|} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{R}\right) \right], \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (3.55)$$

According to (3.51), we still need to calculate $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{k0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})$ with $k \neq i$. From the previous estimates of $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0})$ and $\tilde{Z}_{k0}$, we can easily compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{k0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) &= O\left(\frac{R^2(\log^2 \mu_i) \log |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i \mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right), & \int_{\Omega_2} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{k0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) &= O\left(\frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i \mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right), \\ \int_{\Omega_4} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{k0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) &= O\left(\frac{|\log d|^2}{\mu_i \mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right), & \int_{\Omega_{3,i} \cup \tilde{\Omega}_3} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{k0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) &= O\left(\frac{\log^3 |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i \mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_{3,l}} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{k0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) = O\left(\frac{\log^2 |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i \mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right) \quad \text{for all } l \neq i \text{ and } l \neq k.$$

It remains to consider the integral over $\Omega_{3,k}$. Using (3.26) and an integration by parts, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{3,k}} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{k0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) = \int_{\Omega_{3,k}} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{i0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{k0}) - \int_{\partial\Omega_{3,k}} a(\varepsilon y) \hat{Z}_{k0} \frac{\partial \hat{Z}_{i0}}{\partial \nu} + \int_{\partial\Omega_{3,k}} a(\varepsilon y) \hat{Z}_{i0} \frac{\partial \hat{Z}_{k0}}{\partial \nu}.$$

As above, we get

$$\int_{\Omega_{3,k}} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{i0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{k0}) = O\left(\frac{\log^3 |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i \mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right).$$

On $\partial\Omega_{3,k}$, by (2.3) and (3.23),

$$\hat{Z}_{k0} = O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_k}\right), \quad \hat{Z}_{i0} = O\left(\frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|}\right),$$

and

$$|\nabla \hat{Z}_{k0}| = O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_k^2 |\log \varepsilon|^{1+\tau}}\right), \quad |\nabla \hat{Z}_{i0}| = O\left(\frac{|\log \varepsilon|^{\kappa-1}}{\mu_i}\right).$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega_{3,k}} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{k0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) = O\left(\frac{\log^3 |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i \mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right).$$

From the above estimates we find

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \tilde{Z}_{k0} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_{i0}) = O\left(\frac{\log^3 |\log \varepsilon|}{\mu_i \mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^2}\right), \quad \text{if } i \neq k. \quad (3.56)$$

Furthermore, substituting (3.55)-(3.56) into (3.51), we obtain

$$\frac{|d_i|}{\mu_i} \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \|h\|_* + C \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{|d_k|}{\mu_k} \frac{\log^4 |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|}.$$

Using linear algebra arguments, we can conclude Claim 2 for d_i and complete the proof by (3.31). $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let us first prove that for any ϕ , c_{ij} solutions of problem (3.7), the a priori estimate

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \|h\|_* \quad (3.57)$$

holds. In fact, Lemma 3.5 gives

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \left(\|h\|_* + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |c_{ij}| \cdot \|\chi_i Z_{ij}\|_* \right) \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \left(\|h\|_* + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} \mu_i |c_{ij}| \right).$$

As in Lemma 3.4, arguing by contradiction to (3.57), we assume further that

$$\|\phi_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\varepsilon_n})} = 1, \quad |\log \varepsilon_n| \|h_n\|_* \rightarrow 0, \quad |\log \varepsilon_n| \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} \mu_i^n |c_{ij}^n| \geq \delta > 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.58)$$

We omit the dependence on n . It suffices to prove that $|c_{ij}| \leq C\mu_i^{-1}\|h\|_*$. To this end, we multiply (3.7) by $a(\varepsilon y)\eta_{i2}Z_{ij}$, with η_{i2} the cut-off function defined in (3.24)-(3.25), and integrate by parts to find that for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, J_i$,

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y)\phi\mathcal{L}(\eta_{i2}Z_{ij}) = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y)h\eta_{i2}Z_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{J_k} c_{kt} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_k Z_{kt}\eta_{i2}Z_{ij}. \quad (3.59)$$

From (3.2), (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), (3.38) and (3.39) we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\eta_{i2}Z_{ij}) &= \eta_{i2}\mathcal{L}(Z_{ij}) - Z_{ij}\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)}\eta_{i2} - 2\nabla\eta_{i2}\nabla Z_{ij} \\ &= \left[\frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} - W_{\xi'} \right] \eta_{i2}Z_{ij} + \varepsilon^2\eta_{i2}Z_{ij} + \eta_{i2} \left[-\Delta_{a(\varepsilon y)}Z_{ij} - \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2}Z_{ij} \right] + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^3}{d^3}\right) \\ &\equiv \mathcal{B} + \varepsilon^2\eta_{i2}Z_{ij} + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{(|y - \xi'_i| + \mu_i)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^3}{d^3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the estimation of the first term, we decompose $\text{supp}(\eta_{i2})$ into several pieces:

$$\widehat{\Omega}_{1k} = \left\{ y \in \Omega_\varepsilon \mid |z_k| \leq \frac{1}{4}\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \right\}, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$\widehat{\Omega}_2 = \left\{ y \in \Omega_\varepsilon \mid |z_i| \leq \frac{6d}{\varepsilon}, \quad |z_k| > \frac{1}{4}\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\tau, \quad k = 1, \dots, m \right\},$$

where $z_k = y - \xi'_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, l$, but $z_k = F_k^\varepsilon(y)$ for $k = l+1, \dots, m$. From (2.3), (2.5) and (3.38) we obtain

$$|y - \xi'_i| \geq |\xi'_i - \xi'_k| - |y - \xi'_k| \geq |\xi'_i - \xi'_k| - \frac{1}{2}\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \geq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa}, \quad (3.60)$$

uniformly in $\widehat{\Omega}_{1k}$, $k \neq i$. In $\widehat{\Omega}_{1i}$, by (3.2), (3.8) (3.9) and (3.10) we have that for any $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $j = 1, 2$,

$$\mathcal{B} = -\frac{8\mu_i^2(y - \xi'_i)_j}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^3} \left\{ \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}}\right) \right\},$$

and for any $i = l+1, \dots, m$ and $j = J_i = 1$,

$$\mathcal{B} = -\frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \frac{(F_i^\varepsilon(y))_1}{\mu_i^2 + |F_i^\varepsilon(y)|^2} \left\{ \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}}\right) \right\}.$$

In $\widehat{\Omega}_{1k}$, $k \neq i$, by (3.60),

$$\mathcal{B} = O\left(\frac{8\varepsilon\mu_k^2 |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa}{(\mu_k^2 + |y - \xi'_k|^2)^2}\right).$$

In $\widehat{\Omega}_2$, by (3.1),

$$\mathcal{B} = \sum_{k=1}^m O\left(\left(\frac{\mu_k^2}{|y - \xi'_k|^4}\right)^{1+o(1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau}\right).$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y)\phi\mathcal{L}(\eta_{i2}Z_{ij}) = -\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \frac{1}{\mu_i} a(\xi_i) E_j(\widehat{\phi}_i) + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^2} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\right), \quad (3.61)$$

where for any $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $j = 1, 2$, $\widehat{\phi}_i(z) = \phi(\xi'_i + \mu_i z)$ and

$$E_j(\widehat{\phi}_i) = \int_{B_{\frac{1}{8}} |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(0)} \frac{8z_j}{(|z|^2 + 1)^3} \widehat{\phi}_i(z) \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (\mu_i |z|) dz,$$

but for any $i = l+1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1$, $\widehat{\phi}_i(z) = \phi((F_i^\varepsilon)^{-1}(\mu_i z))$ and

$$E_j(\widehat{\phi}_i) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap B_{\frac{1}{8}} |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(0)} \frac{8z_j}{(|z|^2 + 1)^3} \widehat{\phi}_i(z) \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (\mu_i |z|) dz.$$

On the other hand, if $1 \leq k = i \leq l$,

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_k Z_{kt} \eta_{i2} Z_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi Z_t Z_j dz = D_t \delta_{tj}, \quad (3.62)$$

and if $l+1 \leq k = i \leq m$,

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_k Z_{k1} \eta_{i2} Z_{i1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \chi Z_1^2 [1 + O(\varepsilon \mu_i |z|)] dz = \frac{1}{2} D_1 [1 + O(\varepsilon \mu_i)], \quad (3.63)$$

and if $k \neq i$, by (3.60),

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_k Z_{kt} \eta_{i2} Z_{ij} = O(\mu_k \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa). \quad (3.64)$$

In addition, due to $\|\eta_{i2} Z_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C \mu_i^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) h \eta_{i2} Z_{ij} = O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i} \|h\|_*\right). \quad (3.65)$$

As a consequence, replacing estimates (3.61)-(3.65) to (3.59), we have that for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, J_i$,

$$D_j c_{ij} + O\left(\sum_{k \neq i}^m \sum_{t=1}^{J_k} \varepsilon \mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa |c_{kt}|\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i} \|h\|_* + \frac{1}{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\right).$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} \mu_i |c_{ij}| = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\right) + O(\|h\|_*). \quad (3.66)$$

From the first two assumptions in (3.58) we get $\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} \mu_i |c_{ij}| = o(1)$. As in contradiction arguments of Lemma 3.4, we can derive that for any $i = 1, \dots, l$,

$$\widehat{\phi}_i \rightarrow C_i \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1} \quad \text{uniformly in } C_{loc}^0(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

but for any $i = l+1, \dots, m$,

$$\widehat{\phi}_i \rightarrow C_i \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1} \quad \text{uniformly in } C_{loc}^0(\mathbb{R}_+^2),$$

with some constant C_i . In view of the odd function $\frac{8z_j}{(|z|^2+1)^3}$ with $j = 1, 2$, by (8.6) and Lebesgue's theorem we have that

$$E_j(\widehat{\phi}_i) \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, J_i.$$

Hence by replacing estimates (3.61)-(3.65) to (3.59) again we have a better estimate

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} \mu_i |c_{ij}| = o\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) + O(\|h\|_*),$$

which is impossible because of the last assumption in (3.58). So estimate (3.57) is established and then by (3.66), we find

$$|c_{ij}| \leq C \frac{1}{\mu_i} \|h\|_*.$$

Let us consider the Hilbert space

$$H_\xi = \left\{ \phi \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon) \left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \phi = 0 \text{ for any } i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, J_i; \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_\varepsilon \right. \right\}$$

with the norm $\|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) (|\nabla \phi|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \phi^2)$. Equation (3.7) is equivalent to find $\phi \in H_\xi$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) (\nabla \phi \nabla \psi + \varepsilon^2 \phi \psi) - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) W_{\xi'} \phi \psi = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) h \psi \quad \forall \psi \in H_\xi.$$

By Fredholm's alternative this is equivalent to the uniqueness of solutions to this problem, which in turn follows from estimate (3.57). The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 3.6. Given $h \in L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ with $\|h\|_* < \infty$, let ϕ be the solution of equation (3.7) given by Proposition 3.2. Multiplying (3.7) by $a(\varepsilon y)\phi$ and integrating by parts, we get

$$\|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) W_{\xi'} \phi^2 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) h \phi.$$

By Proposition 3.1 we find

$$\|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \|h\|_*).$$

Remark 3.7. The result of Proposition 3.2 implies that the unique solution $\phi = \mathcal{T}(h)$ of equation (3.7) defines a continuous linear map from the Banach space C_* of all functions h in L^∞ for which $\|h\|_* < \infty$, into L^∞ . It is necessary to point out that the operator $\mathcal{T}$ is differentiable with respect to the variables $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ in $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$. More precisely, if we fix $h \in C_*$ and set $\phi = \mathcal{T}(h)$, then by formally computing the derivative of ϕ with respect to $\xi' = (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_m)$ and using the delicate estimate $\|\partial_{(\xi'_i)_j} W_{\xi'}\|_* = O(1)$ we can obtain the a priori estimate

$$\|\partial_{(\xi'_i)_j} \mathcal{T}(h)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^2 \|h\|_*, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, J_i.$$

4. THE NONLINEAR PROBLEM

Consider the nonlinear problem: for any points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$, we find a function ϕ and scalars c_{ij} , $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, J_i$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi) = -[E_{\xi'} + N(\phi)] + \frac{1}{a(\varepsilon y)} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} c_{ij} \chi_i Z_{ij} & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \phi = 0 & \forall i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, J_i, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where $W_{\xi'} = f'(V_{\xi'})$ satisfies (3.1)-(3.3), and $E_{\xi'}$, $N(\phi)$ are defined in (2.28). We have the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let m be a positive integer. Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and any points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$, problem (4.1) admits a unique solution $\phi_{\xi'}$ for some coefficients $c_{ij}(\xi')$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, J_i$, such that*

$$\|\phi_{\xi'}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^3}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} \mu_i |c_{ij}(\xi')| \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^4} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi_{\xi'}\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^3}. \quad (4.2)$$

Furthermore, the map $\xi' \mapsto \phi_{\xi'}$ is a C^1 -function in $C(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$ and $H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, precisely for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, J_i$,

$$\|\partial_{(\xi'_i)_j} \phi_{\xi'}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\xi' := (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_m) = (\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \xi_1, \dots, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \xi_m)$.

Proof. Proposition 3.2 and Remarks 3.6-3.7 allow us to apply the Contraction Mapping Theorem and the Implicit Function Theorem to find a unique solution for problem (4.1) satisfying (4.2)-(4.3). Since it is a standard procedure, we omit the details, see Lemmas 4.1-4.2 in [10] for a similar proof. We just mention that $\|N(\phi)\|_* \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2$ and $\|\partial_{(\xi'_i)_j} E_{\xi'}\|_* \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-3}$. $\square$

5. VARIATIONAL REDUCTION

Since problem (4.1) has been solved, we find a solution of problem (2.27) and hence to the original equation (1.1) if we match ξ' with the coefficient $c_{ij}(\xi')$ in (4.1) so that

$$c_{ij}(\xi') = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, J_i. \quad (5.1)$$

We consider the energy functional J_λ defined in (1.2) and take its finite-dimensional restriction

$$F_\lambda(\xi) = J_\lambda \left((U_\xi + \tilde{\phi}_\xi)(x) \right) \quad \forall \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon,$$

where

$$(U_\xi + \tilde{\phi}_\xi)(x) = \gamma + \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} (V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

with $V_{\xi'}$ defined in (2.26) and $\phi_{\xi'}$ the unique solution to problem (4.1) given by Proposition 4.1. Define

$$I_\varepsilon(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) (|\nabla v|^2 + \varepsilon^2 v^2) dy - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) e^{\gamma^p [(1 + \frac{v}{p\gamma^p})^p - 1]} dy, \quad v \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon).$$

Then by (1.8),

$$I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) = p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)} F_\lambda(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) - I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'}) = p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)} [F_\lambda(\xi) - J_\lambda(U_\xi)]. \quad (5.2)$$

Proposition 5.1. *The function $F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^1 . Moreover, for all λ sufficiently small, if $D_\xi F_\lambda(\xi) = 0$, then $\xi' = \xi/\varepsilon$ satisfies (5.1), that is, $U_\xi + \tilde{\phi}_\xi$ is a solution of equation (1.1).*

Proof. Since the map $\xi' \mapsto \phi_{\xi'}$ is a C^1 -function in $C(\overline{\Omega}_\varepsilon)$ and $H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, we can check that $F_\lambda(\xi)$ is a C^1 -function of ξ in $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$. Assume that $\phi_{\xi'}$ solves problem (4.1) and $D_\xi F_\lambda(\xi) = 0$. Then by (5.2), we have that for any $k = 1, \dots, m$ and $t = 1, J_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= I'_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) \partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} (V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} V_{\xi'} - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \phi_{\xi'} \partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} (\chi_i Z_{ij}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Recall that $D_{\xi'} V_{\xi'}(y) = p\gamma^{p-1} D_{\xi'} U_\xi(\varepsilon y)$. From (2.1), (2.2), (2.7) and (2.16) we know that

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} V_{\xi'}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} \left[\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \omega_{\mu_i}^j(y - \xi'_i) + p\gamma^{p-1} H_i(\varepsilon y) \right].$$

From the fact that $|\partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} \log \mu_i| = O(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa)$ for any $i = 1, \dots, m$, we have that

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) = \frac{4}{\mu_i} \delta_{ki} Z_t \left(\frac{y - \xi'_i}{\mu_i} \right) + O(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa),$$

and for each $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} \omega_{\mu_i}^j(y - \xi'_i) = -\frac{1}{\mu_i} \delta_{ki} \left[D_{\mu_i}^j Z_t \left(\frac{y - \xi'_i}{\mu_i} \right) + O \left(\frac{\mu_i^2}{|y - \xi'_i|^2 + \mu_i^2} \right) \right] + O(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, by the elliptic regularity of the equation we can prove that

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} [p\gamma^{p-1} H_i(\varepsilon y)] = O(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa).$$

Then

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} V_{\xi'}(y) = \frac{1}{\mu_k} \left\{ \left[4 - \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_k}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] Z_t \left(\frac{y - \xi'_k}{\mu_k} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right\} + O(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa).$$

So

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \chi_i Z_{ij} \partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} V_{\xi'} = \delta_{ki} \left[\frac{c_k}{2\pi} \delta_{tj} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi(|z|) Z_t^2(z) dz + O\left(\frac{\log|\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right] + (1 - \delta_{ki}) O\left(\frac{\mu_i}{|\xi'_i - \xi'_k|}\right) + O(\varepsilon \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa). \quad (5.4)$$

On the other hand, by (3.8), (3.9), (3.10) and (3.38) we can compute

$$|\partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} (\chi_i Z_{ij})| = O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_i} \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa + \frac{1}{\mu_i^2} \delta_{ki}\right).$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \phi_{\xi'} \partial_{(\xi'_k)_t} (\chi_i Z_{ij}) = \|\phi_{\xi'}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} O(\varepsilon \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\kappa + \delta_{ki}) = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^3}\right). \quad (5.5)$$

Hence by (5.4)-(5.5), equations (5.3) can be written as, for each $k = 1, \dots, m$ and $t = 1, J_k$,

$$c_{kt}(\xi') \left[\frac{c_k}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi(|z|) Z_t^2(z) dz \right] + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |c_{ij}(\xi')| O\left(\frac{\log|\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) = 0,$$

which is a strictly diagonal dominant system. This implies that $c_{kt}(\xi') = 0$ for each $k = 1, \dots, m$ and $t = 1, J_k$. $\square$

In order to solve for critical points of the function F_λ , a delicate ingredient is the expected uniformly C^1 -closeness between the functions $I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'})$ and $I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'})$, which will be applied in the proof of our main theorems.

Proposition 5.2. *For any points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ and for any ε small enough, the following expansion uniformly holds*

$$I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) = I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'}) + \theta_\varepsilon(\xi'),$$

where

$$|\theta_\varepsilon(\xi')| + \|\nabla \theta_\varepsilon(\xi')\| = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^6}\right).$$

Proof. Using $DI_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'})[\phi_{\xi'}] = 0$, a Taylor expansion and an integration by parts give

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) - I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'}) &= \int_0^1 D^2 I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + t\phi_{\xi'}) \phi_{\xi'}^2 (1-t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) [f'(V_{\xi'}) - f'(V_{\xi'} + t\phi_{\xi'})] \phi_{\xi'}^2 - a(\varepsilon y) [E_{\xi'} + N(\phi_{\xi'})] \phi_{\xi'} \right\} (1-t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

so we get

$$\theta_\varepsilon(\xi') = I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) - I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'}) = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^7}\right),$$

taking into account $\|\phi_{\xi'}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-3}$, $\|E_{\xi'}\|_* \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-4}$ and $\|N(\phi_{\xi'})\|_* \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-6}$ and (3.3). Let us differentiate with respect to ξ' ,

$$\partial_{\xi'} [I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) - I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'})] = \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(\varepsilon y) \partial_{\xi'} \{ [f'(V_{\xi'}) - f'(V_{\xi'} + t\phi_{\xi'})] \phi_{\xi'}^2 - [E_{\xi'} + N(\phi_{\xi'})] \phi_{\xi'} \} \right\} (1-t) dt.$$

From estimates $\|\partial_{\xi'} \phi_{\xi'}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}$, $\|\partial_{\xi'} E_{\xi'}\|_* \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-3}$, $\|\partial_{\xi'} N(\phi_{\xi'})\|_* \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-5}$ and $\|\partial_{\xi'} W_{\xi'}\|_* \leq C$ we find

$$\partial_{\xi'} \theta_\varepsilon(\xi') = \partial_{\xi'} [I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) - I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'})] = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^6}\right).$$

The continuity in ξ' of all these expressions is inherited from that of $\phi_{\xi'}$ and its derivatives in ξ' in the L^∞ norm. $\square$

6. EXPANSION OF THE ENERGY

In this section we will give an asymptotic estimate of $J_\lambda(U_\xi)$ where U_ξ is the approximate solution defined in (2.16) and J_λ is the energy functional (1.2) associated to problem (1.1).

We have

Proposition 6.1. *Let m be a positive integer. With the choice (2.22) for the parameters μ_i , there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and any points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$, the following expansion uniformly holds*

$$J_\lambda(U_\xi) = \frac{1}{2p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i a(\xi_i) \left\{ 4|\log \varepsilon| + (1+p)K + (p-1)L - c_i H_a(\xi_i, \xi_i) - \sum_{k \neq i}^m c_k G_a(\xi_i, \xi_k) + O\left(\frac{\log^\beta |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right\}, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\beta > 1$ is large but fixed, independent of ε , $K = \log 8 - 2$ and L is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (v_\infty)^2 - \omega_\infty^0 \right] (z) dz - 1 \quad (6.2)$$

with v_∞ and ω_∞^0 defined in (8.2) and (8.5), respectively.

Proof. Observe that

$$J_\lambda(U_\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla U_\xi|^2 + U_\xi^2) - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x) e^{(U_\xi)^p} := I_A - I_B. \quad (6.3)$$

Let us analyze the behavior of I_A . From the definition of U_ξ in (2.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a(x) (-\Delta_a U_\xi + U_\xi) U_\xi dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} a(x) (-\Delta U_i) U_\xi dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2p\gamma^{p-1}\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} a(x) \left[e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(\frac{x-\xi_i}{\varepsilon})} - \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \Delta \omega_{\mu_i}^j \left(\frac{x-\xi_i}{\varepsilon}\right) \right] U_\xi dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2p\gamma^{p-1}\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} a(x) e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(\frac{x-\xi_i}{\varepsilon})} \left[1 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} (\omega_{\mu_i}^j - f_{\mu_i}^j) \left(\frac{x-\xi_i}{\varepsilon}\right) \right] U_\xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.20)-(2.21) we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &= \frac{1}{2p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i,k=1}^m \int_{\Omega \cap B_{1/|\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa}}(\xi_k)} a(x) e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(\frac{x-\xi_i}{\varepsilon})} \left[1 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} (\omega_{\mu_i}^j - f_{\mu_i}^j) \left(\frac{x-\xi_i}{\varepsilon}\right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_k} \left(\frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \omega_{\mu_k}^j \left(\frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon}\right) + O\left(|x-\xi_k|^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^m (\varepsilon \mu_i)^{\alpha/2}\right) \right] dx + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega \cap B_{1/|\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa}}(\xi_k)} a(x) e^{\omega_{\mu_k}(\frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon})} \left[1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} (\omega_{\mu_k}^1 - f_{\mu_k}^1) \left(\frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon}\right) \right] \times \left[p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_k} \left(\frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon}\right) \right] dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left(\frac{\log^\beta |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation $p\gamma^p = -4\log \varepsilon$ and the change of variables $\varepsilon\mu_k z = x - \xi_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{1/|\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa}}(\xi_k)} a(x) e^{\omega_{\mu_k} \left(\frac{x - \xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right)} \left[p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_k} \left(\frac{x - \xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega \cap B_{1/|\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa}}(\xi_k)} a(x) \frac{8\varepsilon^2 \mu_k^2}{(\varepsilon^2 \mu_k^2 + |x - \xi_k|^2)^2} \log \frac{8\mu_k^2}{(\varepsilon^2 \mu_k^2 + |x - \xi_k|^2)^2} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon\mu_k} \cap B_{1/(\varepsilon\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})}(0)} a(\varepsilon\mu_k z + \xi_k) \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \left[\log \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} - \log(\varepsilon^4 \mu_k^2) \right] dz, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_{\varepsilon\mu_k} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu_k}(\Omega - \{\xi_k\})$. But

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon\mu_k} \cap B_{1/(\varepsilon\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})}(0)} a(\varepsilon\mu_k z + \xi_k) \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} = c_k a(\xi_k) + O(\varepsilon\mu_k),$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon\mu_k} \cap B_{1/(\varepsilon\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})}(0)} a(\varepsilon\mu_k z + \xi_k) \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \log \frac{1}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} = -2c_k a(\xi_k) + O(\varepsilon\mu_k).$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{1/|\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa}}(\xi_k)} a(x) e^{\omega_{\mu_k} \left(\frac{x - \xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right)} \left[p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_k} \left(\frac{x - \xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] dx = c_k a(\xi_k) [\log 8 - \log(\varepsilon^4 \mu_k^2) - 2] + O(\varepsilon\mu_k). \quad (6.4)$$

Similarly, by (2.9), (8.2) and (8.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{1/|\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa}}(\xi_k)} a(x) e^{\omega_{\mu_k} \left(\frac{x - \xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right)} \left[\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} (\omega_{\mu_k}^1 - f_{\mu_k}^1) \left(\frac{x - \xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] \left[p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_k} \left(\frac{x - \xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon\mu_k} \cap B_{1/(\varepsilon\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})}(0)} a(\varepsilon\mu_k z + \xi_k) \frac{8(p-1)}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2} (v_\infty)^2 - \omega_\infty^0 \right] (z) + (1 - 2\log \mu_k) \left(\frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z|^2 + 1} \log 8 - \frac{2|z|^2}{|z|^2 + 1} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{4(\log^2 \mu_k - \log \mu_k)}{|z|^2 + 1} + 2\log^2 \mu_k - 2\log \mu_k \right\} dz + O\left(\frac{\log^\beta |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon\mu_k} \cap B_{1/(\varepsilon\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})}(0)} a(\varepsilon\mu_k z + \xi_k) \frac{8|z|^2}{(1 + |z|^2)^3} = \frac{1}{2} c_k a(\xi_k) + O(\varepsilon\mu_k).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{1/|\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa}}(\xi_k)} a(x) e^{\omega_{\mu_k} \left(\frac{x - \xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right)} \left[\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} (\omega_{\mu_k}^1 - f_{\mu_k}^1) \left(\frac{x - \xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] \left[p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_k} \left(\frac{x - \xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] dx \\ &= (p-1)c_k a(\xi_k) \left\{ \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (v_\infty)^2 - \omega_\infty^0 \right] (z) dz - 1 + 2\log \mu_k \right\} + O\left(\frac{\log^\beta |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Hence by (6.2), (6.4) and (6.5),

$$I_A = \frac{1}{2p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \sum_{k=1}^m c_k a(\xi_k) \left\{ 4|\log \varepsilon| + 2(p-2)\log \mu_k + (p-1)L - 2 + \log 8 + O\left(\frac{\log^\beta |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right\}. \quad (6.6)$$

Regarding the expression I_B , by (2.26) we have

$$I_B = \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{p} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap B_{\mu_i} |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_i)} + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap (B_{1/(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})}(\xi'_i) \setminus B_{\mu_i} |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_i))} \right) + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m B_{1/(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})}(\xi'_i)} \right] a(\varepsilon y) e^{\gamma^p \left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'_i}(y)}{p\gamma^p} \right)^p} dy.$$

By (2.6) and (2.33),

$$\frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{p} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{1/(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})}(\xi'_i)} a(\varepsilon y) e^{\gamma^p \left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'_i}(y)}{p\gamma^p}\right)^p} dy = O(\lambda) \exp \left[O \left(\frac{\log^p |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \right) \right].$$

By (1.8) and (2.40),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{p} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap (B_{1/(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})}(\xi'_i) \setminus B_{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i))} a(\varepsilon y) e^{\gamma^p \left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'_i}(y)}{p\gamma^p}\right)^p} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap (B_{1/(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})}(\xi'_i) \setminus B_{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i))} a(\varepsilon y) e^{\gamma^p \left[\left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'_i}(y)}{p\gamma^p}\right)^p - 1 \right]} dy \\ &\leq \frac{D}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap (B_{1/(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{2\kappa})}(\xi'_i) \setminus B_{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i))} e^{[1 + O(\frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|})] \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} O \left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^\tau} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.5), (2.35) and (8.2)-(8.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{p} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap B_{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)} a(\varepsilon y) e^{\gamma^p \left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'_i}(y)}{p\gamma^p}\right)^p} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap B_{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)} a(\varepsilon y) e^{\gamma^p \left[\left(1 + \frac{V_{\xi'_i}(y)}{p\gamma^p}\right)^p - 1 \right]} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \cap B_{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)} a(\varepsilon y) e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \left[1 + O \left(\frac{\log^\beta |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right] dy \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} c_i a(\xi_i) \left[1 + O \left(\frac{\log^\beta |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$I_B = \frac{1}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i a(\xi_i) \left[1 + O \left(\frac{\log^\beta |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right]. \quad (6.7)$$

Submitting (6.6)-(6.7) into (6.3), we obtain

$$J_\lambda(U_\xi) = \frac{1}{2p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i a(\xi_i) \left\{ 4|\log \varepsilon| + 2(p-2) \log \mu_i + (p-1)L - 4 + \log 8 + O \left(\frac{\log^\beta |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right\},$$

which, together with (2.23), implies (6.1). $\square$

7. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will look for a solution of problem (1.1) in the form $u = U_\xi + \tilde{\phi}_\xi$, where the concentration points $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ are determined by the parametrization

$$\xi_i \equiv \xi_i(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) = s_i - \frac{t_i}{|\log \varepsilon|} \nu(s_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, l, \quad \text{but} \quad \xi_i \equiv \xi_i(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) = s_i, \quad i = l+1, \dots, m,$$

where $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_m) \in (\partial\Omega)^m$ and $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_l) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^l$ belong to the configuration space

$$\Lambda_d = \{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) \in (\partial\Omega)^m \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^l \mid |s_i - s_k| > 2d, \quad d < t_j < 1/d, \quad \forall i, k = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, l, \quad i \neq k\},$$

for any $d > 0$ small and independent of $\varepsilon > 0$. Notice that if $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$ is a critical point of the reduced energy $\widehat{F}_\lambda(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) := F_\lambda(\xi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}))$ in Λ_d , then the function $U_{\xi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})} + \tilde{\phi}_{\xi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})}$ is a solution of problem (1.1) with the qualitative properties described by Theorem

1.1. Hence with the aid of (5.2), Propositions 5.2 and 6.1 we are led to find a critical point of the reduced energy $\widehat{F}_\lambda$, or equivalently, a critical point of

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) &:= \frac{2p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}}{4|\log \varepsilon|} \widehat{F}_\lambda(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i a(\xi_i) \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{4|\log \varepsilon|} \left[c_i H_a(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \sum_{k \neq i}^m c_k G_a(\xi_i, \xi_k) - (1+p)K - (p-1)L \right] \right\} + O\left(\frac{\log^\beta(|\log \varepsilon|)}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

We claim that $\widetilde{F}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$ can be written as

$$\widetilde{F}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) = 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^l \left\{ a(s_i) + \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} [a(s_i) \log t_i - t_i \partial_\nu a(s_i)] \right\} + 4\pi \sum_{k=l+1}^m a(s_k) + \Gamma_\varepsilon(\mathbf{s}) + \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \Theta_\varepsilon(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}), \quad (7.2)$$

where the smooth functions $\Theta_\varepsilon(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$ depends on $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ but $\Gamma_\varepsilon(\mathbf{s})$ only depends on $\mathbf{s}$, and $\Gamma_\varepsilon(\mathbf{s})$, $\Theta_\varepsilon(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$, $|\nabla \Gamma_\varepsilon(\mathbf{s})|$ and $|\nabla \Theta_\varepsilon(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})|$ uniformly converge to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In fact, using asymptotical properties of the regular part of the anisotropic Green's function in [1], we have that for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $k = 1, \dots, l$,

$$H_a(\xi_i, \xi_k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|\xi_i - \hat{\xi}_k|} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \nabla \log a(\xi_k) \cdot V(\xi_i - \xi_k) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \nabla \log a(\hat{\xi}_k) \cdot V(\xi_i - \hat{\xi}_k) + \tilde{z}(\xi_i, \xi_k), \quad (7.3)$$

where $\hat{\xi}_k = s_k + \frac{t_k}{|\log \varepsilon|} \nu(s_k)$, the vector function $V(\cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^\alpha(\overline{B_r(0)})$ for any $r > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, the mapping $y \in \Omega_d \mapsto \tilde{z}(\cdot, y) \in C^1(\Omega_d, C^1(\overline{\Omega}))$ with $\Omega_d = \{y \in \overline{\Omega} \mid \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega) < d\}$. Then

$$H_a(\xi_i, \xi_i) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{2t_i}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) + \tilde{z}(s_i, s_i) + O\left(\frac{t_i^\alpha}{|\log \varepsilon|^\alpha}\right), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, l. \quad (7.4)$$

Moreover, if $i, k = 1, \dots, l$ with $i \neq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_a(\xi_i, \xi_k) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left| s_i - s_k - \frac{t_i}{|\log \varepsilon|} \nu(s_i) + \frac{t_k}{|\log \varepsilon|} \nu(s_k) \right| - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left| s_i - s_k - \frac{t_i}{|\log \varepsilon|} \nu(s_i) - \frac{t_k}{|\log \varepsilon|} \nu(s_k) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{t_k}{\pi |\log \varepsilon|} \langle \nabla \log a(s_k), \nabla V(s_i - s_k) \cdot \nu(s_k) \rangle - \frac{t_k}{\pi |\log \varepsilon|} \langle (\nabla \times (\nabla \log a))(s_k) \cdot \nu(s_k), V(s_i - s_k) \rangle \\ &\quad + \tilde{z}(s_i, s_k) - \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \langle \nabla_{(s_i, s_k)} \tilde{z}(s_i, s_k), (t_i \nu(s_i), t_k \nu(s_k)) \rangle + O\left(\frac{t_i^2 + t_k^2}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

while if $i = l+1, \dots, m$ and $k = 1, \dots, l$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_a(\xi_i, \xi_k) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left| s_i - s_k + \frac{t_k}{|\log \varepsilon|} \nu(s_k) \right| - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left| s_i - s_k - \frac{t_k}{|\log \varepsilon|} \nu(s_k) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{t_k}{\pi |\log \varepsilon|} \langle \nabla \log a(s_k), \nabla V(s_i - s_k) \cdot \nu(s_k) \rangle - \frac{t_k}{\pi |\log \varepsilon|} \langle (\nabla \times (\nabla \log a))(s_k) \cdot \nu(s_k), V(s_i - s_k) \rangle \\ &\quad + \tilde{z}(s_i, s_k) - \frac{t_k}{|\log \varepsilon|} \langle \nabla_{s_k} \tilde{z}(s_i, s_k), \nu(s_k) \rangle + O\left(\frac{t_k^2}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

On the other hand, using the smooth property of $a(x)$ over $\overline{\Omega}$, we perform a Taylor expansion around each boundary point s_i along the inner normal vector $-\nu(s_i)$ to give

$$a(\xi_i) = a(s_i) - \frac{t_i}{|\log \varepsilon|} \partial_\nu a(s_i) + O\left(\frac{t_i^2}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, l. \quad (7.7)$$

Inserting (7.4)-(7.7) into (7.1) and using (2.18) and the fact that $a(\xi_i)G_a(\xi_i, \xi_k) = a(\xi_k)G_a(\xi_k, \xi_i)$ for all $i, k = 1, \dots, m$ with $i \neq k$, we conclude that expansion (7.2) holds.

We seek a critical point of $\tilde{F}_\varepsilon$ by degree theory. Let $\partial_{T(s_i)}$ be the tangential derivative which is defined on $s_i \in \partial\Omega$. Set

$$A(s_i, t_i) = a(s_i) + \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} [a(s_i) \log t_i - t_i \partial_\nu a(s_i)], \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

Then

$$\partial_{T(s_i)} A(s_i, t_i) = \left(1 + \frac{\log t_i}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \partial_T a(s_i) - \frac{t_i}{|\log \varepsilon|} \partial_T \partial_\nu a(s_i), \quad \partial_{t_i} A(s_i, t_i) = \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \left(\frac{a(s_i)}{t_i} - \partial_\nu a(s_i)\right).$$

Due to $\partial_\nu a(\xi_i^*) > 0$ with $i = 1, \dots, l$, we can choose d small enough so that for any $s_i \in B_d(\xi_i^*) \cap \partial\Omega$, there exists a unique positive $t_i = t_i(s_i) = \frac{a(s_i)}{\partial_\nu a(s_i)}$ such that $\partial_{t_i} A(s_i, t_i) = 0$ and $\partial_{t_i t_i}^2 A(s_i, t_i) < 0$. Set $t_i^* = t_i(\xi_i^*)$, $i = 1, \dots, l$. Since $\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*$ are m different strict local maximum or strict local minimum points of $a(x)$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have that for any sufficiently small d, ε and any $i = 1, \dots, l$, the Brouwer degrees

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg((\partial_{T(s_i)} A, \partial_{t_i} A), (B_d(\xi_i^*) \cap \partial\Omega) \times (t_i^* - d, t_i^* + d), 0) \\ &= \deg((\partial_T a(s_i), \partial_{t_i} A), (B_d(\xi_i^*) \cap \partial\Omega) \times (t_i^* - d, t_i^* + d), 0) \\ &= \text{sign det} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\partial_{TT}^2 a(\xi_i^*) & \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \left(\frac{\partial_T a(\xi_i^*)}{t_i^*} - \partial_T \partial_\nu a(\xi_i^*) \right) \right) \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \frac{1}{(t_i^*)^2} a(\xi_i^*) \end{bmatrix} = \pm 1 \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\deg\left((\partial_{T(s_{l+1})} a, \dots, \partial_{T(s_m)} a), \prod_{k=l+1}^m (B_d(\xi_k^*) \cap \partial\Omega), 0\right) = \text{sign} \left(\prod_{k=l+1}^m \partial_{TT}^2 a(\xi_k^*) \right) = \pm 1 \neq 0.$$

Then by (7.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg\left(\nabla_{(T(s), t)} \tilde{F}_\varepsilon(s, t), \prod_{i=1}^l (B_d(\xi_i^*) \cap \partial\Omega) \times \prod_{k=l+1}^m (B_d(\xi_k^*) \cap \partial\Omega) \times \prod_{i=1}^l (t_i^* - d, t_i^* + d), 0\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^l \deg((\partial_{T(s_i)} A, \partial_{t_i} A), (B_d(\xi_i^*) \cap \partial\Omega) \times (t_i^* - d, t_i^* + d), 0) \times \deg\left((\partial_{T(s_{l+1})} a, \dots, \partial_{T(s_m)} a), \prod_{k=l+1}^m (B_d(\xi_k^*) \cap \partial\Omega), 0\right) \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if ε is small enough, there exists $(s^\varepsilon, t^\varepsilon)$ such that $\nabla_{(T(s), t)} \tilde{F}_\varepsilon(s^\varepsilon, t^\varepsilon) = 0$. In particular, $s^\varepsilon = (s_1^\varepsilon, \dots, s_m^\varepsilon) \rightarrow (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We need just to find a critical point $\xi^\varepsilon = (\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_m^\varepsilon) \in \Omega^l \times (\partial\Omega)^{m-l}$ of F_λ such that points $\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_m^\varepsilon$ accumulate to ξ_* . For this aim, we consider the configuration space

$$\mathcal{O}_{d, \varepsilon}^* := \left\{ \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in (B_d(\xi_*) \cap \Omega)^l \times (B_d(\xi_*) \cap \partial\Omega)^{m-l} \mid \min_{i \neq j} |\xi_i - \xi_j| > \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^\kappa}, \quad \min_{1 \leq k \leq l} \text{dist}(\xi_k, \partial\Omega) > \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^\kappa} \right\},$$

where $d > 0$ is a sufficiently small but fixed number, independent of ε . Using (5.2), Propositions 5.2 and 6.1 together with the fact that $a(\xi_i)G_a(\xi_i, \xi_k) = a(\xi_k)G_a(\xi_k, \xi_i)$ for all $i, k = 1, \dots, m$ with $i \neq k$, we obtain that F_λ reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} F_\lambda(\xi) &= \frac{8\pi}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ 2 \sum_{i=1}^l a(\xi_i) \left[|\log \varepsilon| - 2\pi H_a(\xi_i, \xi_i) - 2\pi \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^l G_a(\xi_i, \xi_k) \right] - 4\pi \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{k=l+1}^m a(\xi_k) G_a(\xi_k, \xi_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=l+1}^m a(\xi_i) \left[|\log \varepsilon| + \sum_{k=l+1, k \neq i}^m \log |\xi_i - \xi_k| \right] + O(1) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

C^0 -uniformly in $\mathcal{O}_{d,\varepsilon}^*$. Let us claim that for any $m \geq 1$, $0 \leq l \leq m$ and for any ε small enough, the maximization problem

$$\max_{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{d,\varepsilon}^*}} F_\lambda(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$$

has a solution in the interior of $\mathcal{O}_{d,\varepsilon}^*$. Once this claim is proven, we can easily conclude the qualitative properties of solutions of (1.1) described by Theorem 1.2.

Let $\xi^\varepsilon = (\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_m^\varepsilon) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{d,\varepsilon}^*}$ be the maximizer of F_λ . We are led to prove that ξ^ε belongs to the interior of $\mathcal{O}_{d,\varepsilon}^*$. First, we obtain a lower bound for F_λ over $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{d,\varepsilon}^*}$. Around the point $\xi_* \in \partial\Omega$, we consider a smooth change of variables

$$H_{\xi_*}^\varepsilon(y) = \varepsilon^{-2} H_{\xi_*}(\varepsilon^2 y),$$

where $H_{\xi_*} : B_d(\xi_*) \mapsto \mathcal{M}$ is a diffeomorphism and $\mathcal{M}$ is an open neighborhood of the origin such that $H_{\xi_*}(B_d(\xi_*) \cap \Omega) = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $H_{\xi_*}(B_d(\xi_*) \cap \partial\Omega) = \mathcal{M} \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2$. Let

$$\xi_i^0 = \xi_* - \frac{t_i}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} \nu(\xi_*), \quad i = 1, \dots, l, \quad \text{but} \quad \xi_i^0 = \varepsilon^2 (H_{\xi_*}^\varepsilon)^{-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} \hat{\xi}_i^0 \right), \quad i = l+1, \dots, m,$$

where $t_i > 0$ and $\hat{\xi}_i^0 \in \mathcal{M} \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2$ satisfy $t_{i+1} - t_i = \rho$, $|\hat{\xi}_i^0 - \hat{\xi}_{i+1}^0| = \rho$ for all $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small, fixed and independent of ε . By using the expansion $(H_{\xi_*}^\varepsilon)^{-1}(z) = \varepsilon^{-2} \xi_* + z + O(\varepsilon^2 |z|)$ we find

$$\xi_i^0 = \xi_* + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} \hat{\xi}_i^0 + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} |\hat{\xi}_i^0| \right), \quad i = l+1, \dots, m.$$

Then it is clear to see $\xi^0 = (\xi_1^0, \dots, \xi_m^0) \in \mathcal{O}_{d,\varepsilon}^*$ because of $\kappa > 1$. Since $\xi_* \in \partial\Omega$ is a strict local maximum point of $a(x)$ over $\overline{\Omega}$ and satisfies $\partial_\nu a(\xi_*) = \langle \nabla a(\xi_*), \nu(\xi_*) \rangle = 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of ε such that

$$a(\xi_*) - \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|} \leq a(\xi_i^0) < a(\xi_*), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

From (7.3) it follows that for any $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$ with $i \neq k$,

$$H_a(\xi_i^0, \xi_i^0) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log |\log \varepsilon| + O(1), \quad G_a(\xi_k^0, \xi_i^0) = H_a(\xi_k^0, \xi_i^0) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |\xi_k^0 - \xi_i^0| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |\log \varepsilon| + O(1).$$

Moreover, for any $i, k = l+1, \dots, m$ with $i \neq k$,

$$\log |\xi_i^0 - \xi_k^0| = -\frac{1}{2} \log |\log \varepsilon| + O(1).$$

Hence by (7.8),

$$\max_{\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{d,\varepsilon}^*}} F_\lambda(\xi) \geq F_\lambda(\xi^0) \geq \frac{8\pi}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ (m+l)a(\xi_*) |\log \varepsilon| - \frac{1}{2} (m+l)(m+l-1)a(\xi_*) \log |\log \varepsilon| + O(1) \right\}. \quad (7.9)$$

Next, we suppose $\xi^\varepsilon = (\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_m^\varepsilon) \in \partial\mathcal{O}_{d,\varepsilon}^*$. There are four possibilities:

- C1. There exists an $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon \in \partial B_d(\xi_*) \cap \Omega$, in which case, $a(\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon) < a(\xi_*) - d_0$ for some $d_0 > 0$ independent of ε ;
- C2. There exists an $i_0 \in \{l+1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon \in \partial B_d(\xi_*) \cap \partial\Omega$, in which case, $a(\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon) < a(\xi_*) - d_0$ for some $d_0 > 0$ independent of ε ;
- C3. There exists an $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $\text{dist}(\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon, \partial\Omega) = |\log \varepsilon|^{-\kappa}$;
- C4. There exist indices $i_0, k_0, i_0 \neq k_0$ such that $|\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon - \xi_{k_0}^\varepsilon| = |\log \varepsilon|^{-\kappa}$.

From (1.9), (7.3) and the maximum principle we have that for all $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$ with $i \neq k$,

$$G_a(\xi_k^\varepsilon, \xi_i^\varepsilon) > 0, \quad H_a(\xi_k^\varepsilon, \xi_i^\varepsilon) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_a(\xi_i^\varepsilon, \xi_i^\varepsilon) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log [\text{dist}(\xi_i^\varepsilon, \partial\Omega)] + O(1).$$

Thus in the first and second cases,

$$\max_{\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{d,\varepsilon}^*}} F_\lambda(\xi) = F_\lambda(\xi^\varepsilon) \leq \frac{8\pi}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ [(m+l)a(\xi_*) - d_0] |\log \varepsilon| + O(\log |\log \varepsilon|) \right\},$$

which contradicts to (7.9). This shows that $a(\xi_i^\varepsilon) \rightarrow a(\xi_*)$. By the condition of $a(x)$ over $\overline{\Omega}$, we deduce $\xi_i^\varepsilon \rightarrow \xi_*$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

In the third case,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{d,\varepsilon}^*} F_\lambda(\xi) = F_\lambda(\xi^\varepsilon) &\leq \frac{8\pi}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \{(m+l)a(\xi_*)|\log \varepsilon| - 4\pi a(\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon)H_a(\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon, \xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon) + O(1)\} \\ &\leq \frac{8\pi}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \{(m+l)a(\xi_*)|\log \varepsilon| - 2\kappa a(\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon) \log |\log \varepsilon| + O(1)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

In the last case, if $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, l\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{d,\varepsilon}^*} F_\lambda(\xi) = F_\lambda(\xi^\varepsilon) &\leq \frac{8\pi}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \{(m+l)a(\xi_*)|\log \varepsilon| + 2a(\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon) \log |\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon - \xi_{k_0}^\varepsilon| + O(1)\} \\ &\leq \frac{8\pi}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \{(m+l)a(\xi_*)|\log \varepsilon| - 2\kappa a(\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon) \log |\log \varepsilon| + O(1)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.11)$$

while if $i_0 \in \{l+1, \dots, m\}$ and $k_0 \in \{l+1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{d,\varepsilon}^*} F_\lambda(\xi) = F_\lambda(\xi^\varepsilon) &\leq \frac{8\pi}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \{(m+l)a(\xi_*)|\log \varepsilon| + a(\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon) \log |\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon - \xi_{k_0}^\varepsilon| + O(1)\} \\ &\leq \frac{8\pi}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \{(m+l)a(\xi_*)|\log \varepsilon| - \kappa a(\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon) \log |\log \varepsilon| + O(1)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

Comparing (7.10)-(7.12) with (7.9), we obtain

$$2\kappa a(\xi_{i_0}^\varepsilon) \log |\log \varepsilon| + O(1) \leq \frac{1}{2}(m+l)(m+l-1)a(\xi_*) \log |\log \varepsilon| + O(1), \quad (7.13)$$

which is impossible by the choice of κ in (2.4). $\square$

8. APPENDIX

According to [8], for a radial function $f(y) = f(|y|)$ there exists a radial solution

$$\omega(r) = \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2} \left(\int_0^r \frac{\phi_f(s) - \phi_f(1)}{(s-1)^2} ds + \phi_f(1) \frac{r}{1-r} \right) \quad (8.1)$$

for the equation

$$\Delta \omega + \frac{8}{(1+|y|^2)^2} \omega = \frac{8}{(1+|y|^2)^2} f(y) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where

$$\phi_f(s) = 8 \left(\frac{s^2+1}{s^2-1} \right)^2 \frac{(s-1)^2}{s} \int_0^s t \frac{1-t^2}{(t^2+1)^3} f(t) dt \quad \text{for } s \neq 1, \quad \text{but } \phi_f(1) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \phi_f(s).$$

Furthermore, if f is the smooth function with at most logarithmic growth at infinity, then a direct computation shows that

$$\omega(r) = \frac{D_f}{2} \log(1+r^2) + O\left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right), \quad \partial_r \omega(r) = \frac{r D_f}{1+r^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{1+r^2}\right) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where

$$D_f = 8 \int_0^{+\infty} t \frac{t^2-1}{(t^2+1)^3} f(t) dt.$$

Proof of (3.53). Using the change of variables $z = \mu_i y$, we denote that

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1(y) := \omega_{\mu_i}^1(\mu_i y), \quad \tilde{f}_{\mu_i}^1(y) := f_{\mu_i}^1(\mu_i y) \quad \text{and} \quad v_\infty(y) := \omega_{\mu_i}(\mu_i y) + 2 \log \mu_i = \log \frac{8}{(1+|y|^2)^2}. \quad (8.2)$$

Let ω_∞^0 , ω_∞^1 and ω_∞^2 be some radial solutions of

$$\Delta \omega_\infty^j + \frac{8}{(1+|y|^2)^2} \omega_\infty^j = \frac{8}{(1+|y|^2)^2} f_j(y) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

where

$$f_0(y) = \frac{1}{2}(v_\infty(y))^2, \quad f_1(y) = v_\infty(y), \quad f_2(y) = 1.$$

Obviously,

$$\omega_\infty^2(y) = 1 - Z_0(y) = \frac{2}{|y|^2 + 1}.$$

From formula (8.1) we can compute

$$\omega_\infty^0(y) = \frac{1}{2}(v_\infty(y))^2 + 6 \log(|y|^2 + 1) + \frac{2 \log 8 - 10}{|y|^2 + 1} + \frac{|y|^2 - 1}{|y|^2 + 1} \left[4 \int_{|y|^2}^{+\infty} \frac{\log(s+1)}{s(s+1)} ds - 2 \log^2(|y|^2 + 1) - \frac{1}{2} \log^2 8 \right], \quad (8.3)$$

and

$$\omega_\infty^1(y) = \frac{|y|^2 - 1}{|y|^2 + 1} \left\{ \frac{2}{|y|^2 - 1} [v_\infty(y) + |y|^2] + v_\infty(y) + \log 8 \right\}. \quad (8.4)$$

By (2.9) we obtain

$$\tilde{f}_{\mu_i}^1(y) = -[f_0(y) + (1 - 2 \log \mu_i) f_1(y) + 2(\log^2 \mu_i - \log \mu_i) f_2(y)],$$

and hence

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1(y) = -\omega_\infty^0(y) - (1 - 2 \log \mu_i) \omega_\infty^1(y) - 4(\log^2 \mu_i - \log \mu_i) \frac{1}{|y|^2 + 1}. \quad (8.5)$$

This combined with (8.2)-(8.4) readily implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (\mu_i y) &= \left[\frac{1}{2}(v_\infty)^2 + v_\infty - \omega_\infty^0 \right] (y) + (1 - 2 \log \mu_i) \left(\frac{1 - |y|^2}{|y|^2 + 1} \log 8 - \frac{2|y|^2}{|y|^2 + 1} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{4(\log^2 \mu_i - \log \mu_i)}{|y|^2 + 1} + 2 \log^2 \mu_i - 4 \log \mu_i + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(\mu_i^2 + |z|^2)^2} \left[Z_0 \left(\frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \right]^2 \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu_i^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8(|y|^2 - 1)^2}{(|y|^2 + 1)^4} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2}(v_\infty)^2 + v_\infty - \omega_\infty^0 \right] (y) + (1 - 2 \log \mu_i) \left(\frac{1 - |y|^2}{|y|^2 + 1} \log 8 - \frac{2|y|^2}{|y|^2 + 1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{4(\log^2 \mu_i - \log \mu_i)}{|y|^2 + 1} + 2 \log^2 \mu_i - 4 \log \mu_i + 1 \right\} dy. \end{aligned}$$

In a straightforward but tedious way, by the explicit expression of $\omega_\infty^0(y)$ we can compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8(|y|^2 - 1)^2}{(|y|^2 + 1)^4} \left[\frac{1}{2}(v_\infty)^2 + v_\infty - \omega_\infty^0 \right] (y) dy = 8\pi,$$

(also see [14] on Page 50). Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8(|y|^2 - 1)^2}{(|y|^2 + 1)^4} dy = \frac{8\pi}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8(|y|^2 - 1)^2}{(|y|^2 + 1)^4} \frac{|y|^2}{|y|^2 + 1} dy = \frac{4\pi}{3}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(\mu_i^2 + |z|^2)^2} \left[Z_0 \left(\frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \right]^2 \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (z) dz = \frac{8\pi}{\mu_i^2}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Agudelo, A. Pistoia, Boundary concentration phenomena for the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel system, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* (2016) 55:132.
- [2] P. Biler, Local and global solvability of some parabolic systems modelling chemotaxis, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* 8 (1998) 715–743.
- [3] S. Baraket, F. Parcard, Construction of singular limits for a semilinear elliptic equation in dimension 2, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 6 (1998) 1–38.
- [4] D. Bonheure, J.-B. Casteras, J. Foldes, Singular radial solutions for the Keller-Segel equation in high dimension, *J. Math. Pure Appl.* 134 (2020) 204–254.
- [5] D. Bonheure, J.-B. Casteras, B. Noris, Layered solutions with unbounded mass for the Keller-Segel equation, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 19 (2017) 529–558.
- [6] D. Bonheure, J.-B. Casteras, B. Noris, Multiple positive solutions of stationary Keller-Segel system, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* (2017) 56:74.
- [7] D. Bonheure, J.-B. Casteras, C. Román, Unbounded mass radial solutions for the Keller-Segel equation in the disk, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* (2021) 60:198.
- [8] D. Chae, O. Imanuvilov, The existence of non-topological multivortex solutions in the relativistic self-dual Chern-Simons theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 215 (2000) 119–142.
- [9] C.C. Chen, C.S. Lin, Sharp estimates for solutions of multi-bubbles in compact Riemann surfaces, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 55 (2002) 728–771.
- [10] M. del Pino, M. Kowalczyk, M. Musso, Singular limits in Liouville-type equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 24 (2005) 47–81.
- [11] M. del Pino, A. Pistoia, G. Vaira, Large mass boundary condensation patterns in the stationary Keller-Segel system, *J. Differential Equations*, 261 (2016) 3414–3462.
- [12] M. del Pino, J. Wei, Collapsing steady states of the Keller-Segel system, *Nonlinearity* 19 (2006) 661–684.
- [13] S. Deng, Mixed interior and boundary bubbling solutions for Neumann problem in $\mathbb{R}^2$, *J. Differential Equations* 253 (2012) 727–763.
- [14] P. Esposito, M. Musso, A. Pistoia, Concentrating solutions for a planar elliptic problem involving nonlinearities with large exponent, *J. Differential Equations* 227 (2006) 29–68.
- [15] E.F. Keller, L.A. Segel, Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, *J. Theoretical Biology* 26 (1970) 399–415.
- [16] A. Malchiodi, W.-M. Ni, J. Wei, Multiple clustered layer solutions for semilinear Neumann problems on a ball, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 22 (2005) 143–163.
- [17] A. Pistoia, G. Vaira, Steady states with unbounded mass of the Keller-Segel system, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A* 145 (2015) 203–222.
- [18] M.H. Protter, H.F. Weinberger, *Maximum Principles in Differential Equation*, Corrected Reprint of the 1967 Original, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [19] R. Schaaf, Stationary solutions of chemotaxis systems, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 292 (1985) 531–556.
- [20] T. Senba, T. Suzuki, Some structures of the solution set for a stationary system of chemotaxis, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* 10 (2000) 191–224.
- [21] T. Senba, T. Suzuki, Weak solutions to a parabolic-elliptic system of chemotaxis, *J. Funct. Anal.* 191 (2002) 17–51.
- [22] G. Wang, J. Wei, Steady state solutions of a reaction-diffusion system modeling Chemotaxis, *Math. Nachr.* 233-234 (2002) 221–236.

COLLEGE OF SCIENCES, NANJING AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210095, CHINA
Email address: yibin10201029@njau.edu.cn