Charge and Spin Dynamics and Enantioselectivity in Chiral Molecules
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Charge and spin dynamics is addressed in chiral molecules immediately after the instantaneous coupling to an external metallic reservoir. It is shown how a spin-polarization is induced in the chiral structure as a response to the charge dynamics. The dynamics indicate that chiral induced spin selectivity is an excited states phenomenon which, in the transient regime partly can be captured using a simplistic single particle description; however, in the stationary limit definitively shows that electron correlations, e.g., electron-vibration interactions, crucially contribute to sustain an intrinsic spin anisotropy that can lead to a non-vanishing spin selectivity. The dynamics, moreover, provide insight to enantiomer separation, due to different acquired spin-polarizations.

During the course of more than the past two decades, we have learnt that electron spin selective processes are intimately associated with chirality [1, 2]. The effect can partly be understood as emerging from a combination of structural chirality, spin-orbit interactions, and non-equilibrium conditions [3–28]. Nevertheless, despite that non-equilibrium conditions, which define the measurements, for instance, light exposure [1, 2, 31–35], local probing techniques [36–39], transport [37, 40, 41] and different types of Hall measurements [32, 33, 42–44], many theoretical accounts of the effect are based on the transmission properties of chiral molecules embedded in a given environment [3–8, 10–13, 15–17, 19, 20]. While the transmission pertains to the linear response regime, hence, the ground state properties of the molecule, it is also often typically the result of a single particle description which under stationary condition cannot account for the excited states properties that underly spin selectivity in chiral molecules.

Recent theoretical developments very clearly point towards the necessity to consider chiral induced spin selectivity from an excited states point of view [18, 21–23, 25–30], stressing the vital role of electronic correlations. It was shown that, e.g., Coulomb [18, 25, 29] and electron-vibration [21, 23, 24, 30] interactions, as well as polarons [22], photoexcitations [26], time-dependence [27], and dissipation [28] generate the exchange necessary to create measurable effects regarding chiral induced spin selectivity.

In this context, an obvious question is how a spin-polarization can be generated and stabilized in a molecular structure which is in a closed shell configuration when isolated from the surrounding environment. Recent experiments demonstrate that a measurable spin-polarization can be obtained whenever chiral molecules are interfaced with metallic surfaces [42, 45–47]. Through the anomalous Hall effect, chiral molecules were, for instance, shown to control the magnetism in thin Co layers [42, 45] and enantiomer separation on non-magnetic metals [46], whereas Yu-Shiba-Rusinov states [48–50] were observed in the vicinity of chiral molecules on the surface of superconducting NbSe_2 [47]. Related to these observations are also the results showing strongly enantiomer dependent binding energies on ferromagnetic metals [51–56]. Theoretically, enantiomer separation was addressed in Refs. 29, 30 for molecules in contact with ferromagnetic metal, based on descriptions in which the effective electronic exchange plays a crucial role in the magnetic response. On the other hand, while excited states appear to be crucial, the question of how spin-polarization emerges in chiral molecules when in contacted with a metal remains open.

In this Letter, it is shown that a finite spin-polarization is dynamically generated in chiral molecules as a response to the charge dynamics when interfaced with a metal. The dynamics indicate that chiral induced spin selectivity is an excited states phenomenon which, in the transient regime partly can be captured using a simplistic single particle description, while such a description is not sufficient in the stationary limit. The latter statement is founded on that the spin-polarization eventually vanishes which, in turns, implies that any mechanism that can sustain an immanent spin anisotropy has to account for excited states properties, e.g., electron-electron or electron-vibration interactions. In the subsequent discussion it is, therefore, shown that by adding electron-vibration interactions in the adiabatic limit, the transient spin fluctuations are stabilized and developed into a finite stationary spin-polarization. Finally, when interfacing the different enantiomers on ferromagnet, the results presented herein provide fundamental clues for a comprehensive picture of enantiomer separation.

The presented discussion is based on simulations of idealized chiral models of realistic, e.g., $\alpha$-helix, oligopeptides, and polyalanines. In this context the specific details of the molecules strongly vary, however, the salient properties such as chirality, spin-orbit interaction, and interface to a metal are captured. The model was previously proposed in order to point out the importance of electronic correlations originating from Coulomb [18] and electron-vibration [23, 30] interactions. Here, such mechanisms are first excluded for sake of highlighting the dynamics of excited states as a fundamental component in the charge redistribution and accompanied spin-polarization immediately after interfacing the molecule with the metal. Then, in the subsequent discussion it is shown that electron-vibration interactions stabilize a non-vanishing spin-polarizations also in the stationary limit, hence, emphasizing that the phenomenon of chiral induced spin selectivity is an excited states effect.

The simulations are based on a model of a chiral structure comprising a set of $\mathbb{M} = M \times N$ nuclear coordinates $\mathbf{r}_m = (\alpha \cos \varphi_m, \alpha \sin \varphi_m, c_m)$, $\varphi_m = 2\pi(m - 1)/(M - 1)$, and $c_m =$
$e\varphi_m/2\pi$, where $a$ and $c$ define the radius and length, respectively, of the helix of $M$ laps and $N$ nuclei per lap.

The molecule is described by the single-electron levels $\varepsilon = \text{diag}[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{|\mathcal{E}|}]$, representing the energy levels at $r_m$, associated with the electron creation and annihilation spinors $\psi_m^\dagger$ and $\psi_m$, respectively. Nearest neighbors interact via elastic and inelastic hopping with rates $\lambda_0$ and $\lambda_1$, through processes of the type $\lambda_0\psi_m^\dagger \psi_m^\dagger \sigma \psi_{m+2} \sigma$. $\psi_m$ defines the chirality in terms of the unit vectors $\varepsilon_1 = \psi_2 \psi_1$. Each enantiomer is represented by the sign $(\pm)$ of the chirality. The notation $\sigma^0$ and $\sigma$ refer to the identity and vector of Pauli matrices, respectively. The nuclear, or, molecular vibrations are captured in the coherent vibrational mode $\omega_0$, which is created and annihilated by the phonon operators $b^\dagger$ and $b$, respectively. A Hamiltonian model can be written $H_{\text{mol}} = H_0 + H_1 = \Psi^\dagger [H_0 + H_1(b+b^\dagger)] \Psi$, where $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_M)^T$ and

$$H_0 = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \varepsilon_m \psi_m^\dagger \psi_m + \omega_0 b^\dagger b - t_0 \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} (\psi_{m}^\dagger \psi_{m+1} + H.c.),$$

$$H_1 = -t_1 \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} (\psi_{m}^\dagger \psi_{m+1} + H.c.)(b+b^\dagger)$$

$$+ \lambda_0 \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} (\psi_{m}^\dagger \psi_{m+1} + H.c.)(b+b^\dagger).$$

The properties of the metal to which the molecule is connected are captured by the parameters $\Gamma = \Gamma(\sigma^0 + p\sigma^z)/2$, representing the coupling between the metal site and the metal. Here, $\Gamma = 2\pi \sum_{\nu} |\nu| \rho_\nu(\omega_0)$ accounts for the spin-dependent hybridization $\nu_\nu$ and spin-density $\rho_\nu$ of the coupling.

The time-evolution of the electronic structure of the molecule can be related to the time-dependent Green function $G_{nm}(t,t') = (-i)\langle \psi_{m}^\dagger(t') \psi_{n}^\dagger(t) \rangle$ through, e.g., the charge $\langle n_m(t) \rangle = (-i)\text{sp} G_{nm}(t,t) \sigma$ and spin-moment $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle = (-i)\text{sp} \sigma G_{nm}(t,t) \sigma/2$, where $G^{\sigma}(t,t')$ is proportional to the density of occupied/unoccupied electron states. Here, sp denotes the trace over spin 1/2 space.

As the main interest here lies on the time-dependence of the molecular properties immediately after the time $t_0$ when the molecule is interfaced with the metal, the interaction with the metal is treated with a time-dependent hybridization $V_{fr}(t) = V_{fr}(t-t_0)$, where $\theta(t)$ is the Heaviside step function. In the view of this time-dependent interaction, the equation of motion for the static ($\mathbf{H}_1 = 0$) Green function can be written as the Dyson equation

$$G(t,t')dt = g(t,t') + \int g(t,t') \Sigma(t',t')G(t',t')dt'dt', \quad (2a)$$

$$\Sigma(t,t') = \sum_k v_k(t)g_k(t)\mathbf{G}_k(t'), \quad (2b)$$

The bare Green functions $g(t,t') = (-i)\text{Tr} e^{-i\mathbf{H}_0(t-t')}$ and $g_k(t,t') = (-i)\text{Tr} e^{-i\mathbf{H}_k(t-t')}$ carry trivial time-dependencies, and alluding to the wide band limit for the electronic band in the metal [57], the lesser self-energy can be simplified into

$$\Sigma^{\leq}(t,t') = i\Gamma(0)\theta(t-t') \int f(\omega) e^{-i\omega(t-t')} d\omega \frac{2\pi}{\omega}, \quad (3)$$

where $f(\omega) = f(\omega - \mu)$ is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function defined at the chemical potential $\mu$ of the metal. Thanks to this simplification, and the relation $G^{\leq} = G^{\leq} \Sigma^{\leq} G^{\leq}$, where $G^{\leq}$ is the retarded/advanced Green function, the dressed lesser and retarded/advanced Green functions for the molecule becomes

$$G^{\leq}(t,t') = i\int_0^{\infty} f(\omega) G^{\leq}(t,t') \mathbf{G}^{\leq}(t',t') e^{-i\omega(t-t')} dt'dt', \quad (4a)$$

$$G^{\leq}(t,t') = (\pi i) \theta(\pm t \mp t') e^{-i\mathbf{H}_0(t-t')} \Gamma(t,t')/2, \quad (4b)$$

where the expression in Eq. (4a) is valid for $t,t' > t_0$, whereas

$$\Gamma(t,t') = \Gamma \int_0^{t'} \theta(t-t_0) d\omega.$$

Effects from inelastic scattering are included by repeating Eq. (2a), defining a dressed Green function $G$ and self-energy $\Sigma^{\text{ vib}}(t,t') = \mathbf{H}_1 G(t,t') d(t,t') \mathbf{H}_1$, where $d(t,t') = 2t \text{sin} \omega_0(t-t')$ is the propagator for the nuclear vibrations. However, since the aim is to provide a simple description of the charge and spin dynamics and a mechanism which eventually leads to a non-vanishing spin-polarized stationary state, as discussed in Ref. 30, the full Dyson equation for $G$ is reduced to the adiabatic (Markovian) approximation, in which the self-energy becomes time-independent. In this form, the resulting retarded Green function can be written on the form

$$G^{\leq}(t,t') = (-i)\theta(t-t') e^{-i\mathbf{H}_0(t-t')} \Sigma^{\text{ vib}}(t,t')/2, \quad (5)$$

in which expression $\Sigma^{\text{ vib}} = \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{L} \mathbf{H}_1$, where $\mathbf{L}$ is a diagonal matrix where the entries are defined by the electron-phonon loop

$$L_{nm} = \frac{n_B(\omega_0) + 1 - f(\varepsilon_m)}{\omega_0 - i\tau_{ph}} + \frac{n_B(\omega_0) + f(\varepsilon_m)}{\omega_0 - i\tau_{ph}} \varepsilon_m, \quad (6)$$

and $n_B(\omega)$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution function, whereas $\tau_{ph}$ defines an intrinsic vibrational life-time.

In a previous discussion, it was shown that the molecular structure given in $\mathbf{H}_0$, Eq. (1a), carries necessary components that lift the spin-degeneracy, arising from a confluence of the spin-orbit interactions and the chiral geometry [18]. However, the structure has to include at least four nuclear sites and be under non-equilibrium conditions, of which
the latter was previously considered to be arising from external voltage bias applied across a junction between metallic leads in which the molecule was mounted. The lack of a coupling between the internal and dissipative degrees of freedom does, nonetheless, not allow the structure to maintain a stationary spin-polarization when mounted with only one end to a metal and held in equilibrium, see Ref. 30. Such a stationary spin-polarization when mounted with only one terminal voltage bias applied across a junction between metal-

the molecules.

As a result of the charge motion in the chiral molecule, an accompanied spin-polarization emerges and evolves both in time and space, see Fig. 1 (b)–(d), (f)–(h), showing the projections of \( \mathbf{s}_m \) for the two enantiomers. While the plots appear to suggest the opposite, the spin redistribution also responds instantaneously when contacting the molecule with the metal, however, there is a time delay (about 10 fs in the example) before the accumulated spin angular momentum becomes sufficiently large to be visible on the given scale. The plots, moreover, illustrate that there is a finite time frame during which the spin-polarization is significant, after which it vanes and eventually vanishes (around 1 ps after contact).

Concerning the spin-polarization, there are two distinctive features which are clearly illustrated in Fig. 1. First, the transverse projections, \( \langle s_m^x \rangle \), indicate anti-ferromagnetic spin configurations resulting in no, or little, net moment perpendicular to the length direction of the molecule. This is in sharp contrast to the longitudinal projection \( \langle s_m^z \rangle \), where the moment on each site first becomes positive (negative), then switches sign and eventually vanishes, with the exception that the moments tend to switch once again at the sites near the free end of the molecule.

The spatially resolved charge \( \langle n_m \rangle \) (a), (e), and spin projections \( \langle s_m^y \rangle \) (b), (f), \( \langle s_m^z \rangle \) (c), (g), \( \langle s_m^y \rangle \) (d), (h), are simulated for positive (+) (a) – (d) and negative (−) (e) – (h) helicity. Parameters used are \( a = 5 \) Å, \( c = 235 \) Å, \( \kappa_m - \mu_L = -2 \), \( \lambda_0 = 1/40 \), and \( \Gamma^L = 1/4 \), in units of \( t_0 = 40 \) meV, simulated at \( T = 300 \) K. Time given on a logarithmic scale.

In terms of the presented model, Eq. (1), the magnetic moment of the molecule vanishes whenever the molecule is isolated from the metallic environment. At the time instant when the molecule is attached to the metal, the molecule is set into a strongly non-equilibrium state where electrons are passing through the interface between the molecule and metal, rendering a redistribution of charge in the molecule. These processes are captured very well already at the single electron level \( (\mathbf{H}_1 = 0) \), see Fig. 1 (a), (e), where a spatially resolved time-evolution of the molecular charge, \( \langle n_m \rangle \), is shown for a \((6 \times 8)\) chiral molecule with (a) positive and (e) negative chirality immediately after the instantaneous attachment of the molecule to a normal metal. In addition to showing that the time-evolution of the charge distribution is the same in the two enantiomers, the plots also show the strong fluctuations of the charge due to the abrupt changes in the environment of the molecules.

Inclusion of molecular vibrations changes the qualitative aspects of the charge and spin dynamics, see Fig. 2, showing...
the spatially resolved time-evolution of the molecular charge and spin from simulations with vibrating chiral molecules. First, it can be noticed that the vibrationally assisted spatial evolutions for both charge and spin initially are qualitatively similar to evolutions in the purely static molecule. However, while the charge in the static molecule returns to a more or less homogeneous distribution in the stationary regime, after an initially strong accumulation near the interface to the metal, Fig. 1 (a), (e), in the vibrating molecule the accumulated charge near the interface is maintained also when the system has reached a stationary state, see Fig. 2 (a), (e). Second, it can be noticed that the spin-polarizations that develop shortly after contact with the metal, also remain when the system reaches a stationary state, Fig. 2 (b)–(d), (f)–(h). While the transverse projections are configured anti-ferromagnetically within the molecule, Fig. 2 (b), (c), (f), (g), the longitudinal projection finally reaches a spin-polarized state with opposite polarizations at the two ends of the molecule, Fig. 2 (d), (h). The stationary state that is eventually reached for the molecule, corroborates the results obtained in the stationary regime [30], albeit the two approximations used are not exactly equivalent. The consistency between the results, nonetheless, suggests that the dissipative component should be realistic and sound.

While the property that the two enantiomers acquire opposite spin-polarization when in contact with the metal is expected, in the next step it will be shown that this feature also opens up for enantiomer separation. In experiments, this can be done by contacting the enantiomers on a ferromagnetic surface and measure, e.g. the absorption rate [51] or the force required to pull the molecule off the surface [54]. Here, the enantiomer selectivity is studied through the magnetic properties of the composite system comprising both the molecule and the metal.

Using the spin-polarization as a tool for enantiomer separation was also considered in a recent theoretical study [58]. However, quantifying the enantiomer specific properties in terms of the transmission, which is essentially not a measurable quantity, introduces ambiguities in how to comprehend the results. The reason is that measurable quantities, such as the magnetic moment and charge current, are integrated over many degrees of freedom, in particular the energy dependencies of the involved mechanisms. Therefore, it is questionable whether the detailed spectral properties are meaningful in a context where such cannot be resolved.

Hence, here the enantiomer specific properties are investigated in terms of the mean spin-polarization $M^z = 2 \sum_m (z_m - \langle z \rangle) \langle s^z_m \rangle / a$, where $\langle z \rangle = \sum_m z_m / M$, which defines a measure using which it is possible to determine whether there is any difference in the properties of the two enantiomers. As such, a negative (positive) spin-polarization can be understood as spin $\uparrow (\downarrow)$ being accumulated towards the interface with the metal accompanied with a spin $\downarrow (\uparrow)$ depletion towards the free end of the molecule. The advantage with this measure is that it directly connects to integrated measurable quantities such as the total magnetic moment since it provides a relation between the local magnetic moments $\langle s^z_m \rangle$ and the overall structure.

The mean spin-polarizations of static and vibrating molecules when in contact with a metal, are shown in Fig. 3. Bold (faint) lines in panels (a) and (b) correspond to simulations of the molecule in contact with a ferromagnetic (normal) metal with $p = 0.1 \ (p = 0)$, while the plots in panel (c) shows
FIG. 3. Time evolution of mean spin-polarization $M_z$ for (a) static molecule, (b) vibrating molecule, and (c) differences between the enantiomers $\Delta M_z$ in the static and vibrating configurations. Bold (faint) lines in panels (a) and (b) represent the results in presence of ferromagnetic (normal) metal with $p = 0.1$ ($p = 0$), for the two enantiomers $\pm$. In panel (c), the bold (faint) line represent the vibrating (static) configurations. Other parameters are as in Fig. 2.

the difference $\Delta M^\pm = M_{z}^\pm - M_{z}^-$, where $M_{z}^\pm$ denotes the spin polarization for the $\pm$ enantiomers, for the vibrating (bold) and static (faint) configurations. Although the quantitative details vary between the static and vibrating configurations, the overall conclusion that can be drawn is that the enantiomers acquire different mean spin-polarizations, particularly in the transient regime. While the static configuration does not allow for a distinction between the enantiomers when reaching the stationary limit, the vibrating configurations acquire a finite stationary mean spin-polarization. Of great importance for the chiral induced spin selectivity effect, however, is that the two enantiomers acquire different amplitudes of their spin-polarizations also in the stationary limit.

Here it should be stressed that chiral induced spin selectivity does not originate from the emergence of a spin-polarization in itself, but it is the unequal amplitudes of the spin-polarizations of the enantiomers that form the basis for the phenomenon. This statement can be understood from the following discussion. The chiral induced spin selectivity effect is founded on the difference of the charge currents through a chiral molecule under different conditions. It can, for instance, be electrons photoexcited by circularly polarized light with opposite helicity such that the two photocurrents are different [2]. While there is no question that the environment has a strong effect on the magnetic properties of the molecule, however, unless there is an immanent property of the molecule that responds differently when the light helicity is changed, there cannot be any change in the spin-polarization of the photovoltaic current. In this sense, the emergence of the spin-polarization is intimately related to the excited states of the molecule. In the transient regime, these excitations are made available by the dynamical changes of the electronic structure, however, when passing into the stationary regime, those excitations are no longer accessible in the static configuration considered here. That is, in the static configuration there is no mechanism that allows for transferring electron density between states and, in particular, there is no mechanism that sustains an angular momentum transfer within the molecule. Nuclear, or, molecular vibrations, by contrast, facilitate transfer of both electron density and angular momentum between states, or, channels in the molecule. Moreover, at room temperature there is a wide energy window available, about 26 meV, for electrons to transfer between states through thermal excitations, which is a reason for the effectiveness of the nuclear vibrations in this context.

In summary, it has been shown that spin-polarization is dynamically generated in chiral molecules upon interfacing it with a metal. The dynamics indicate that chiral induced spin selectivity is an excited states phenomenon which, in the transient regime is sustained by the strong fluctuations imposed by the temporally changing conditions. When passing over to the stationary regime, the system drives towards a ground state which may be spin-polarized, however, the existence of a non-vanishing spin-polarization depends on whether electronic density and angular momentum can be transferred between the states in the ground state. As has been shown here, nuclear vibrations do allow for such transfer and that these result provide fundamental clues for further development of a comprehensive theory for enantiomer selectivity.
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