

# ACTION-ANGLE COORDINATES FOR SURFACE GROUP REPRESENTATIONS IN GENUS ZERO

ARNAUD MARET


**ABSTRACT.** We study a family of compact components of totally elliptic representations of the fundamental group of a punctured sphere into  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  discovered by Deroin-Tholozan. We develop a polygonal model for the space of representations in terms of chains of triangles in the hyperbolic plane. This model makes explicit the symplectic toric nature of the space of representations described by Deroin-Tholozan. We introduce action-angle coordinates as geometric quantities associated to the chains of triangles. The coordinates give rise to an explicit isomorphism between the space of representations and the complex projective space. We prove that they are almost global Darboux coordinates for the Goldman symplectic form.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A character variety is, broadly speaking, a symplectic manifold associated to an oriented surface  $\Sigma$  and a semisimple Lie group  $G$ . It is defined as the space of conjugacy classes of representations of the fundamental group of  $\Sigma$  into  $G$ . To study the topology of a character variety, one way to proceed is to find a specific set of coordinates that parametrize (most of) it. A foundational example is the parametrization of Teichmüller space via Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates.

In this note we study the geometry of compact components of relative character varieties of representations of the fundamental group of a punctured sphere into  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , discovered by Deroin-Tholozan in [DT19]. We refer to these compact components as *Deroin-Tholozan relative character varieties* and to the representations themselves as *Deroin-Tholozan representations*. They have the fundamental property of mapping any simple closed curve to an elliptic element of  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . They are called *totally elliptic*.

**1.1. The results.** Let  $\Sigma_n$  denote a connected and oriented surface of genus zero with  $n \geq 3$  punctures. A pants decomposition of  $\Sigma_n$  consists of  $n - 2$  pair of pants. Given such a pants decomposition, we associate to every Deroin-Tholozan representation a combinatorial object. It consists of a chain of  $n - 2$  geodesic triangles  $\Delta_0, \dots, \Delta_{n-3}$  in the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$  constructed as follows. The vertices are the fixed points of the images of the boundary curves of each pair of pants. These exist by total ellipticity. Two vertices are connected by a geodesic segment if the corresponding curves belong to the same pair of pants. This defines a chain of triangles as illustrated by the figure below.



The rotations around the common vertices of two consecutive triangles in the chain give a maximal Hamiltonian torus action. Let  $a_i$  be twice the area of the triangle  $\Delta_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  be the angle between the triangles  $\Delta_{i-1}$  and  $\Delta_i$  measured at their common vertex.

**Theorem A.** *If we set  $\sigma_i := \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i$ , then*

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-3}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-3}\}$$

*are action-angle coordinates for the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety.*

The notion of *action-angle coordinates* refers to the canonical coordinates of an integrable system in the sense of the Arnold-Liouville Theorem, see e.g. [CdS01]. Theorem A says that the dynamical system induced by rotating the triangles around their common vertices is integrable with canonical coordinates  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-3}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-3}\}$ . In fact, the dynamics correspond to the maximal Hamiltonian torus action on the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety defined in [DT19] by considering the twist flows *à la Goldman* along the separating curves defining the pants decomposition of  $\Sigma_n$ . This action equips the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety with the structure of a *symplectic toric manifold*. We deduce Theorem A from

**Theorem B.** *The map from the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  defined in homogeneous coordinates by*

$$[\sqrt{a_0} : \sqrt{a_1}e^{i\sigma_1} : \dots : \sqrt{a_{n-3}}e^{i\sigma_{n-3}}]$$

*is an isomorphism of symplectic toric manifolds.*

Both spaces involved in the statement of Theorem B are equipped with a natural symplectic structure: the Goldman symplectic form for the character variety and the Fubini-Study form for the complex projective space. It was already proven in [DT19] that the two spaces are isomorphic by Delzant's classification of symplectic toric manifolds. Theorem B provides an explicit isomorphism.

The main difficulty in the proof of Theorem B relies in checking that the map is differentiable. This requires a careful analysis of all the parameters involved. The primary source of trouble is the erratic behaviour of the parameters  $\sigma_i$  when a triangle in a chain degenerates to a single point and the presence of square roots on the parameters  $a_i$ . An immediate consequence of Theorem B is

**Theorem C.** *The 2-form*

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} da_i \wedge d\sigma_i$$

*is equal to the Goldman symplectic form on an open dense subset of the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety. In particular, it is independent of the pants decomposition used to define the coordinates  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-3}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-3}\}$ .*

Theorem C is analogue to a famous result of Wolpert known as *Wolpert's magic formula* in the context of Teichmüller space. We briefly explain the analogy. The Teichmüller space of a closed hyperbolic surface of genus  $g$  can be identified with  $(0, \infty)^{3g-3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3g-3}$  using Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates, see e.g. [FM12]. Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates consist of length parameters  $l_1, \dots, l_{3g-3}$  and twist parameters  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{3g-3}$ . They are defined for any pants decomposition of the surface. Wolpert proved in [Wol83] that the length and twist parameters are dual to each other and that the 2-form

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3g-3} dl_i \wedge d\theta_i$$

is independent of the choice of the pants decomposition. He did so by proving that the 2-form (1.1) is equal to the Weil-Petersson form on Teichmüller space. This relation is nowadays known as Wolpert's magic formula. Goldman proved in [Gol84] that the Weil-Petersson form is a multiple of the Goldman symplectic form if one sees Teichmüller space as a component of the character variety of representations of the fundamental group of the surface into  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

The cornerstone to define the coordinates  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-3}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-3}\}$  is the modelling of Deroin-Tholozan representations in terms of chains of geodesic triangles in the upper half-plane. Formally, we introduce a moduli space of *chains of geodesic triangles* in the upper half-plane and show that it is in one-to-one correspondence with the relative character variety of Deroin-Tholozan representations. We refer to it as the *polygonal model* for Deroin-Tholozan representations. Other character varieties arise as moduli spaces of combinatorial structures. An example is the relation singled out by Kapovich-Millson in [KM96] between the moduli space of polygons in the three-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^3$  and the character variety of representations of the fundamental group of a punctured sphere into the isometries of  $\mathbb{E}^3$ .

**1.2. Organization of the paper.** Section 2 fixes notation and recalls some fundamental notions about character varieties, including the notion of volume of a representation. It introduces the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety

$$\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$$

and also provides a brief recap of the main results of [DT19]. These include total ellipticity and the toric structure.

In Section 3 we introduce the polygonal model for Deroin-Tholozan representations as a certain moduli space denoted by

$$\text{ChTri}_\alpha$$

and consisting of chains of geodesic triangles in the upper half-plane. Sufficient conditions for a chain of triangles to lie inside  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$  are described in Lemma 3.5, see also Figure 5. We show that there is a one-to-one correspondence

$$\mathfrak{P}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \text{ChTri}_\alpha.$$

We also explain how the parameters used to define Deroin-Tholozan representations and quantities associated to them, such as their volume, can be measured from the associated chain of triangles. We explain how to visualize the integrable system dynamics in that picture.

In Section 4 we formally define the coordinates  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-3}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-3}\}$ . The coordinates are used to define the map

$$\mathfrak{e}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-3}$$

of Theorem B which is carefully stated at the start of Section 4 as Theorem 4.1. At the end of the section we explain how Theorem C (see Corollary 4.2) follows from Theorem B.

Section 5 is dedicated to the proof of Theorem B. The proof is divided into successive steps where we first address the questions of bijectivity, continuity and then differentiability.




FIGURE 1. The various aspects of Deroin-Tholozan representations are drawn as a diagram. The correspondences symbolized by the outer dashed arrows are part of the original work by Deroin-Tholozan [DT19]. The space  $\text{HypConMet}$  refers to the moduli space of hyperbolic metrics with conical singularities whose monodromies are Deroin-Tholozan representations.

**1.3. Acknowledgements.** The author wishes to thank first and foremost his doctoral advisers Peter Albers and Anna Wienhard for constant support during the last three years. This work benefited greatly from informal discussions with many members of the Heidelberg math community. Special thanks must be addressed to Andy Sanders and Beatrice Pozzetti for particularly fruitful conversations. I am also grateful to Xenia Flamm and Fernando Camacho Cadena for their precious comments.

This work is supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC-2181/1 - 390900948 (the Heidelberg STRUCTURES Excellence Cluster), the Transregional Collaborative Research Center CRC/TRR 191 (281071066) and the Research Training Group RTG 2229 (281869850).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $G := \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  be the Lie group of orientation-preserving isometries of the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra consisting of traceless two-by-two real matrices. Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer. We denote by  $\Sigma_n$  an oriented and connected surface of genus zero with  $n$  labelled punctures. Let  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$  be its fundamental group. It can be presented as

$$(2.1) \quad \pi_1(\Sigma_n) = \langle c_1, \dots, c_n : \prod_{i=1}^n c_i = 1 \rangle.$$

We think of the generator  $c_i$  of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$  as the homotopy class of based loops enclosing the  $i$ th puncture of  $\Sigma_n$ . The *character variety* associated to the pair  $(\Sigma_n, G)$  is the topological quotient of the space of group homomorphisms  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n) \rightarrow G$  by the conjugation action of  $G$ . It is denoted  $\mathrm{Rep}(\Sigma_n, G)$ .

We are interested in representations  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n) \rightarrow G$  that map each generator  $c_i$  of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$  to an *elliptic* element inside  $G$ . Elliptic transformations in  $G$  are, by definition, those which act on  $\mathbb{H}$  with a unique fixed point. Equivalently,  $A \in G$  is elliptic if and only if the absolute value of its trace is smaller than two. The subset of elliptic elements of  $G$  is denoted by

$$\mathcal{E} := \{A \in G : A \text{ is elliptic}\}.$$

It is analytically diffeomorphic to the open ball  $\mathbb{H} \times (0, 2\pi)$ . In fact, an analytic diffeomorphism  $(\mathrm{fix}, \vartheta) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \times (0, 2\pi)$  can be defined as follows. The first component  $\mathrm{fix} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  maps  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  to its unique fixed point  $\mathrm{fix}(A)$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ . The second component  $\vartheta : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (0, 2\pi)$  records the *angle of rotation*  $\vartheta(A)$  of  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ , see Appendix A for a precise definition. The angle of rotation is invariant under the  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{E}$  by conjugation and completely distinguishes the conjugacy classes of  $\mathcal{E}$ . We refer the reader to Appendix A for more considerations on  $\mathcal{E}$  and various assorted formulae.

Given a tuple of angles  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (0, 2\pi)^n$ , we define the *relative character variety* of representations of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$  into  $G$  associated to  $\alpha$  to be the subspace of the character variety given by

$$\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_n, G) := \{[\phi] \in \mathrm{Rep}(\Sigma_n, G) : \vartheta(\phi(c_i)) = \alpha_i, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

The relative character variety  $\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_n, G)$  is an analytic manifold of dimension  $2(n-3)$  for all possible choices of  $\alpha$ . Each of these relative character varieties has a natural symplectic structure for any choice of a non-degenerate, Ad-invariant bilinear form on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ , see [Gol84] and [GHJW97] for the original results and [Mon16] for a recent account. The symplectic structure is named after Goldman who originally constructed it in the case of closed surfaces in [Gol84].

**Convention 2.1.** We equip the relative character varieties  $\mathrm{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_n, G)$  with the Goldman symplectic form  $\omega_G$  built from the trace form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr} : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (A, B) &\mapsto \mathrm{tr}(AB). \end{aligned}$$

**2.1. Volume of a representation.** There is an important tool to study the topology of relative character varieties called *volume of a representation* (or *Toledo number*). We briefly recall its definition below for the case of representations of the punctured sphere into  $G$ . The reader is referred to [BIW10] for more details and for the general construction.

Given three points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  in the upper half-plane, we denote by  $\Delta(z_1, z_2, z_3)$  the oriented geodesic triangle with vertices  $z_1, z_2, z_3$ . Its signed area, computed with the standard volume form of  $\mathbb{H}$ , is denoted by

$$[\Delta(z_1, z_2, z_3)].$$

Fix a base point  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . Consider the function

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} c: G \times G &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (A_1, A_2) &\rightarrow [\Delta(z, A_1 z, A_1 A_2 z)]. \end{aligned}$$

A rapid computation shows that  $c$  satisfies the cocycle condition

$$(2.3) \quad c(A_2, A_3) - c(A_1 A_2, A_3) + c(A_1, A_2 A_3) - c(A_1, A_2) = 0$$

for every  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in G$ . Therefore,  $c$  defines a group cohomology class  $\kappa := [c]$  inside  $H^2(G; \mathbb{R})$ . Moreover the function  $c$  is bounded because the area of a hyperbolic triangle is always smaller than  $\pi$ . The cohomology class  $\kappa$  is thus a class in *bounded* group cohomology  $\kappa \in H_b^2(G; \mathbb{R})$ . The class  $\kappa$  is independent of the choice of the base point  $z$  involved in the definition of  $c$ . Given a representation  $\phi: \pi_1(\Sigma_n) \rightarrow G$  we can pull back  $\kappa$  to the class  $\phi^* \kappa$  inside  $H_b^2(\pi_1(\Sigma_n); \mathbb{R})$ . We denote by  $\partial\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$  the collection  $\{\langle c_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle c_n \rangle\}$  of rank 1 subgroups of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ . An important property of bounded cohomology of amenable groups says that the map

$$j: H_b^2(\pi_1(\Sigma_n), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_n); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^2(\pi_1(\Sigma_n); \mathbb{R})$$

from the long exact sequence in cohomology of the pair  $(\pi_1(\Sigma_n), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_n))$  is an isomorphism. Integrating along the fundamental class  $[\pi_1(\Sigma_n), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_n)]$  corresponding to the orientation of  $\Sigma_n$  provides an isomorphism from  $H_b^2(\pi_1(\Sigma_n), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_n); \mathbb{R})$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** The *volume* of a representation  $\phi: \pi_1(\Sigma_n) \rightarrow G$  is the real number defined by

$$\text{vol}(\phi) := j^{-1}(\phi^* \kappa) \frown [\pi_1(\Sigma_n), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_n)].$$

The volume is a well-defined function of the character variety

$$\text{vol}: \text{Rep}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

To state the properties of the volume it is convenient to introduce the following function. The angle function  $\vartheta: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (0, 2\pi)$  can be extended to a non-continuous function  $\bar{\vartheta}: G \rightarrow [0, 2\pi]$  by

$$\bar{\vartheta}(A) := \begin{cases} \vartheta(A), & \text{if } A \text{ is elliptic,} \\ 0, & \text{if } A \text{ is hyperbolic or positively parabolic,} \\ 2\pi, & \text{if } A \text{ is the identity or negatively parabolic.} \end{cases}$$

The notions of being positively and negatively hyperbolic refer to the two conjugacy classes of parabolic elements in  $G$ . They are of no importance for this paper.

**Theorem 2.3** ([BIW10]). *The volume function  $\text{vol}: \text{Rep}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has the following properties:*

- (1) *vol is locally constant on each relative character variety,*
- (2) *(Milnor-Wood inequality) vol is bounded:*

$$|\text{vol}| \leq 2\pi(n-2),$$

- (3) *(additivity) if  $\Sigma_n$  is separated by a simple closed curve into two surfaces  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , then, for every  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}(\Sigma_n, G)$ ,*

$$\text{vol}([\phi]) = \text{vol}([\phi \upharpoonright_{\pi_1(S_1)}]) + \text{vol}([\phi \upharpoonright_{\pi_1(S_2)}]),$$

- (4) *for every  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}(\Sigma_n, G)$ , there exists an integer  $k([\phi])$ , called the relative Euler class of  $[\phi]$ , satisfying*

$$\text{vol}([\phi]) = 2\pi k([\phi]) - \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\vartheta}(\phi(c_i)).$$

To conduct explicit computations of the volume of a representation, as it will be the case in the proof of Lemma 3.3, it is convenient to fix a resolution for group (co)homology. We choose to work with the bar resolution. The reader is referred to [Nos17, Chapter 7] or [Löh10] for the definition of the bar complex and all the relevant formulae. The fundamental class  $[\pi_1(\Sigma_n), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_n)]$  can be expressed in the bar resolution as follows. First consider the 2-chain

$$(2.4) \quad e := (c_1, c_2) + (c_1c_2, c_3) + \dots + (c_1c_2 \cdots c_{n-1}, c_n) + (1, 1)$$

in the bar complex of the group  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ . The 2-chain  $(e, c_1, \dots, c_n)$  in the relative bar complex of the pair  $(\pi_1(\Sigma_n), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_n))$  is closed and its homology class is the fundamental class  $[\pi_1(\Sigma_n), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_n)]$ , see [GHJW97] for explicit computations.

**2.2. Remarkable connected components.** Deroin-Tholozan proved in [DT19] that the relative Euler class of any  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_n, G)$  is bounded above by  $n - 1$ . Furthermore, they proved that there exists  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_n, G)$  with  $k([\phi]) = n - 1$  if and only if

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n > 2\pi(n - 1).$$

These representations were originally called *supra-maximal* because they maximize the relative Euler class. However, these representations do not have maximal volume and are thus not *maximal* in the sense of [BIW10]. They even tend to minimize the volume in absolute value. Indeed, if  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_n, G)$  satisfies  $k([\phi]) = n - 1$ , then

$$\text{vol}([\phi]) = 2\pi(n - 1) - \alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_n \in (-2\pi, 0).$$

The range of the volume over  $\text{Rep}(\Sigma_n, G)$ , according to the Milnor-Wood inequality stated in Theorem 2.3, is  $[-2\pi(n - 2), 2\pi(n - 2)]$ . To avoid any further confusion we prefer the terminology of *Deroin-Tholozan representations* instead of that of supra-maximal representations.

**Definition 2.4.** The real number

$$\lambda := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - 2\pi(n - 1) < 2\pi$$

is called the *scaling factor*. The condition (2.5), or equivalently the condition  $\lambda > 0$ , is referred to as the *angles condition* on  $\alpha$ .

Observe that  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_n, G)$  satisfies  $k([\phi]) = n - 1$  if and only if it satisfies  $\text{vol}([\phi]) = -\lambda$ .

**Convention 2.5.** From now on, and unless otherwise stated, a vector of angles  $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi)^n$  is assumed to satisfy the angles condition (2.5).

**Definition 2.6.** The subset of  $\text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_n, G)$  consisting of those classes of representations  $[\phi]$  with  $\text{vol}([\phi]) = -\lambda$  is called the *Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety* and is denoted by

$$\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G).$$

Any representation whose conjugacy class lies inside  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  is called a *Deroin-Tholozan representation*.

**Theorem 2.7** ([DT19]). *The Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety is a nonempty and compact connected component of the relative character variety. It is moreover symplectomorphic to the complex projective space of complex dimension  $n - 3$ :*

$$(\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G), \omega_G) \cong (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}, \lambda \cdot \omega_{\mathcal{FS}}),$$

where  $\omega_{\mathcal{FS}}$  is the Fubini-Study symplectic form on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  with volume  $\pi^{n-3}/(n - 3)!$ .

*Remark 2.8.* These compact connected components were already discovered by Benedetto-Goldman in the case  $n = 4$  [BG99].

Deroin-Tholozan representations have an important property called total ellipticity. A representation is called *totally elliptic* if it maps any simple closed curve to an elliptic element of  $G$ . Total ellipticity for Deroin-Tholozan representation was originally proved in [DT19] for a particular collection of simple closed curves. The argument generalizes immediately to any simple closed curve, see [Mar20].

**Proposition 2.9** (total ellipticity). *Let  $a \in \pi_1(\Sigma_n)$  denote the homotopy class of a simple closed curve on  $\Sigma_n$ . Then  $\phi(a) \in G$  is elliptic for any  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ .*

The Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety admits a natural maximal and effective Hamiltonian torus action. Recall that a torus action on a symplectic manifold is called *maximal* if the dimension of the torus is half the dimension of the manifold and it is called *effective* if only the identity element acts trivially. Here, it is constructed following the work of Goldman in [Gol86] on invariant functions. Recall that the angle of rotation  $\vartheta: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (0, 2\pi)$  is a function invariant under conjugation defined on the subspace  $\mathcal{E} \subset G$  of elliptic elements. Therefore, by Proposition 2.9, any simple closed curve  $a$  on  $\Sigma_n$  gives a Hamiltonian function

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_a: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) &\longrightarrow (0, 2\pi) \\ [\phi] &\mapsto \vartheta(\phi(a)). \end{aligned}$$

The associated Hamiltonian flow  $\Phi_a$  has minimal period  $\pi$ , see [DT19]. We refer to this flow as the *twist flow* along the curve  $a$ . Goldman proved in [Gol86] that two twist flows  $\Phi_{a_1}$  and  $\Phi_{a_2}$  commute if the curves  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are disjoint. Recall that a maximal collection of disjoint and non-homotopic simple closed curves on  $\Sigma_n$  has cardinality  $n - 3$ . Each such collection of curves therefore defines a Hamiltonian action of the torus  $(\mathbb{R}/\pi\mathbb{Z})^{n-3}$  on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  via the associated twist flows. Since  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  has dimension  $2(n - 3)$ , this action is maximal and equips  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  with the structure of a symplectic toric manifold.

Deroin-Tholozan proved Theorem 2.7 using Delzant's classification of symplectic toric manifolds, see e.g. [CdS01] for a neat presentation of Delzant's classification. To any symplectic toric manifold you can associate a polytope called the *moment polytope*. Delzant's classification says that the moment polytopes of two symplectic toric manifolds agree if and only if the two symplectic toric manifolds are isomorphic. Here isomorphism means an equivariant symplectomorphism.

The goal of this article is to describe an explicit equivariant symplectomorphism between the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety and the complex projective space. This amounts to describing angle coordinates to supplement the action coordinates given by the moment map.

### 3. A POLYGONAL MODEL

The coordinates for the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety we are about to construct depend on the choice of a pants decomposition of  $\Sigma_n$ . Let us thus fix a maximal collection of disjoint and non-homotopic simple closed curves  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-3}$  on  $\Sigma_n$ . It is convenient to work with the curves

$$b_i := c_{i+1}^{-1} c_i^{-1} \cdots c_1^{-1} \in \pi_1(\Sigma_n)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 3$ , where the curves  $c_i$  refer to the presentation of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$  fixed in (2.1). The curves  $b_i$  are illustrated on Figure 2. We set  $b_0 := c_1^{-1}$  and  $b_{n-2} := c_n$  for convenience. Below, we fix a maximal Hamiltonian torus action on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  using a combination of the twist flows along the disjoint curves  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-3}$ , see Section 3.3. To describe angle coordinates for this torus action, we introduce a polygonal model for Deroin-Tholozan representations.




FIGURE 2. The simple closed curves  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-3}$  and the peripheral curves  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ . This illustration is modelled on [DT19, Fig. 2].

Let  $[\phi]$  denote the conjugacy class of a Deroin-Tholozan representation  $\phi: \pi_1(\Sigma_n) \rightarrow G$ . By definition of the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety,  $\phi(c_i)$  is elliptic and satisfies  $\vartheta(\phi(c_i)) = \alpha_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let

$$C_1(\phi), \dots, C_n(\phi) \in \mathbb{H}$$

be the fixed points of  $\phi(c_1), \dots, \phi(c_n)$ , respectively. Proposition 2.9 says that  $\phi(b_i)$  is elliptic for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-3$ . Let

$$B_1(\phi), \dots, B_{n-3}(\phi) \in \mathbb{H}$$

be the fixed points of  $\phi(b_1), \dots, \phi(b_{n-3})$ , respectively. We emphasize that those fixed points are associated to the representation  $\phi$  and not to its conjugacy class  $[\phi]$ . A different representative of the class  $[\phi]$  leads to a different set of fixed points. However, for  $A \in G$ , it holds that  $C_i(A\phi A^{-1}) = A \cdot C_i(\phi)$  and  $B_i(A\phi A^{-1}) = A \cdot B_i(\phi)$ . This observation motivates the following. Let  $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{H} \times \dots \times \mathbb{H}$ . We introduce the topological quotient  $(\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^{n-3})/G$  where  $G$  acts diagonally on  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^{n-3}$ . We refer to it as *the moduli space of point configurations* in  $\mathbb{H}$ . It allows for the definition of a map

$$\mathfrak{P}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^{n-3})/G$$

that sends  $[\phi]$  to the equivalence class of the points  $(C_1(\phi), \dots, C_n(\phi), B_1(\phi), \dots, B_{n-3}(\phi))$  in the moduli space of point configurations. The map  $\mathfrak{P}$  is injective because a Deroin-Tholozan representation  $\phi$  is entirely determined by the fixed points of  $\phi(c_1), \dots, \phi(c_n)$  (recall that the angles of rotation  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are fixed parameters). Let

$$\text{ChTri}_\alpha \subset (\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^{n-3})/G$$

denote the image of the map  $\mathfrak{P}$ . The inverse map

$$\mathfrak{P}^{-1}: \text{ChTri}_\alpha \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$$

maps an equivalence class of points  $(C_1, \dots, C_n, B_1, \dots, B_{n-3})$  to the conjugacy class of the representation  $\phi: \pi_1(\Sigma_n) \rightarrow G$  that sends each generator  $c_i$  of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$  to the rotation of angle  $\alpha_i$  around  $C_i$ .

The notation  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$  for the image of  $\mathfrak{P}$  is an abbreviation of *chain of triangles* and is motivated by the following construction. Let  $(C_1, \dots, C_n, B_1, \dots, B_{n-3})$  be a configuration of points in  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^{n-3}$  whose isometry class lies in  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$ . For convenience, we let  $B_0 := C_1$  and  $B_{n-2} := C_n$ . For every  $i = 0, \dots, n-3$ , we consider the oriented geodesic triangle

$$\Delta_i := \Delta(B_i, C_{i+2}, B_{i+1})$$

in the upper half-plane, see Figure 3. The triangles  $\Delta_i$  and  $\Delta_{i+1}$  share the common vertex  $B_i$ . The geometric quantities associated to the triangles  $\Delta_i$ , such as their area or interior angles, are invariant of the isometry class of  $(C_1, \dots, C_n, B_1, \dots, B_{n-3})$ . We refer to  $(\Delta_0, \dots, \Delta_{n-3})$  as a *chain of triangles*. Chain of triangles constitute the polygonal model for the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety.




FIGURE 3. Example of a configuration of the fixed points and the associated chain of triangles in the case  $n = 6$ .

We advertise two results to convince the reader about the pertinence of the polygonal model for  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . The first concerns angle coordinates which can be read directly from the chain of triangles. We prove below in Section 5 that the angles between the geodesic rays  $\overrightarrow{B_i C_{i+2}}$  and  $\overrightarrow{B_i C_{i+1}}$  are angle coordinates for the Hamiltonian torus action on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ , see Figure 7.

The second example concerns the action coordinates which also appear as geometric quantities in the chain of triangles. For  $i = 1, \dots, n-3$ , we write

$$(3.1) \quad \beta_i([\phi]) := \vartheta_{b_i}([\phi]) = \vartheta(\phi(b_i))$$

for the angle of rotation of the elliptic element  $\phi(b_i) \in G$ . Let further, in accordance to our previous conventions,  $\beta_0([\phi]) := 2\pi - \alpha_1$  and  $\beta_{n-2}([\phi]) := \alpha_n$ . The functions  $\beta_i$  are the components of the moment map for the torus action defined by the twist flows along the curves  $b_i$ . We prove the following below in Subsection 3.2, see Figure 5.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\Delta_i$  be a non-degenerate triangle in the chain built from  $\mathfrak{P}([\phi])$  for some  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . The following holds: The triangle  $\Delta_i$  is clockwise oriented and the interior angle of  $\Delta_i$  at  $B_i$  equals  $\beta_i([\phi])/2$ , the interior angle at  $C_{i+2}$  equals  $\pi - \alpha_{i+2}/2$  and the interior angle at  $B_{i+1}$  equals  $\pi - \beta_{i+1}([\phi])/2$ .*

The remainder of this section is dedicated to the study of the possible configurations of points inside  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$ . We want to find sufficient geometrical conditions for a chain of triangles to be a configuration of fixed points associated to a Deroin-Tholozan representation. We start with the case  $n = 3$  and then explain how the cases  $n \geq 4$  are built from the case  $n = 3$ .

**3.1. The case of the thrice-punctured sphere.** Assume that  $n = 3$  and let  $\Sigma_3$  be an oriented and connected sphere with three labelled punctures. Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in (0, 2\pi)^3$  be a triple of angles. At this stage, we make no particular assumption concerning a lower bound for  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ . Let  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_3, G)$ . The following lemma describes the possible configurations of the fixed points  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  of  $\phi(c_1), \phi(c_2), \phi(c_3)$ . The lemma is transcribed from [DT19] and the proof is included for completeness.

**Lemma 3.2** ([DT19]). *The points  $C_1, C_2, C_3 \in \mathbb{H}$  are arranged in one of the following three configurations:*

- (1) *All three points coincide and  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \in \{2\pi, 4\pi\}$ .*
- (2) *The points form a non-degenerate triangle  $\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$  which is oriented clockwise and has interior angles  $\pi - \alpha_i/2$  at  $C_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Moreover,  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 > 4\pi$ .*
- (3) *The points form a non-degenerate triangle  $\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$  which is oriented anti-clockwise and has interior angles  $\alpha_i/2$  at  $C_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Moreover,  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < 2\pi$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $C_i = C_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ . Let  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  be the third index. Up to permutation of  $i$  and  $j$ , it holds that  $\phi(c_k) = \phi(c_i)^{-1}\phi(c_j)^{-1}$  because  $c_1 c_2 c_3 = 1$  by assumption. So,  $\phi(c_k)$  fixes both  $C_k$  and  $C_i = C_j$ . Therefore, all three points must coincide because  $\phi(c_k)$  is elliptic. It means that  $\phi(c_1), \phi(c_2)$  and  $\phi(c_3)$  are rotations about the same point. Since their product is the identity,  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$  is an integer multiple of  $2\pi$ .

Assume now that  $C_1, C_2$  and  $C_3$  are distinct. Let  $\zeta_3$  be the geodesic through  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Let  $\zeta_2$  be the image of  $\zeta_3$  by a clockwise rotation of  $\pi - \alpha_1/2$  around  $C_1$ . Let  $\zeta_1$  be the image of  $\zeta_3$  by an anti-clockwise rotation of  $\pi - \alpha_2/2$  around  $C_2$ , see Figure 4. We denote by  $\tau_i : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  the reflection through the geodesic  $\zeta_i$ . By construction,  $\phi(c_1) = \tau_2 \tau_3$  and  $\phi(c_2) = \tau_3 \tau_1$ . Hence,  $\phi(c_3) = \phi(c_1)^{-1} \phi(c_2)^{-1} = \tau_1 \tau_2$ . Since  $\phi(c_3)$  fixes  $C_3$ , the geodesics  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  must intersect at  $C_3$ .

We distinguish two cases according to the orientation of  $\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$ .

- First, assume that the triangle is clockwise oriented. In that case,  $\tau_2 \tau_3$  is a clockwise rotation around  $C_1$  of twice the interior angle at  $C_1$ . Since  $\phi(c_1)$  is by definition an anti-clockwise rotation of angle  $\alpha_1$  around  $C_1$  and  $\phi(c_1) = \tau_2 \tau_3$ , the interior angle at  $C_1$  must be  $\pi - \alpha_1/2$ . For the same reason, the interior angles at  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are  $\pi - \alpha_2/2$  and  $\pi - \alpha_3/2$ , respectively. The positive area of the triangle  $\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$  is equal to the angle




FIGURE 4. The two non-degenerate configurations of fixed points. Above: the configuration where  $\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$  is clockwise oriented and the interior angles are  $\pi - \alpha_i/2$ . Below: the configuration where  $\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$  is anti-clockwise oriented and the interior angles are  $\alpha_i/2$ .

defect:

$$\pi - \sum_{i=1}^3 (\pi - \alpha_i/2) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - 4\pi).$$

We conclude that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 > 4\pi$ .

- Conversely, if the triangle is anti-clockwise oriented, then the same argument shows that the interior angle at  $C_i$  is  $\alpha_i/2$ . In this case, the positive area of the triangle  $\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$  is equal to

$$\pi - \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i/2 = \frac{1}{2}(2\pi - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3).$$

We conclude that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < 2\pi$ . □

A consequence of Lemma 3.2 is that  $\text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_3, G)$  is empty whenever  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \in (2\pi, 4\pi)$ . The next lemma shows that the volume of  $[\phi]$  is directly proportional to the signed area of the triangle  $\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_3, G)$ . Then*

$$\text{vol}([\phi]) = -2 \cdot [\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)].$$

*Proof.* The proof is an explicit computation of  $\text{vol}([\phi])$  from Definition 2.2. The computations are conducted in the bar resolution for group cohomology and use the explicit form of the fundamental class  $[\pi_1(\Sigma_3), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_3)]$  described in (2.4).

Let  $z$  be a base point in  $\mathbb{H}$ . We start by computing the preimage of the cocycle  $\phi^* \kappa \in H_b^2(\pi_1(\Sigma_3); \mathbb{R})$  under the isomorphism  $j: H_b^2(\pi_1(\Sigma_3), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_3); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^2(\pi_1(\Sigma_3); \mathbb{R})$ . This means finding primitives for  $\phi^* c: \pi_1(\Sigma_3) \times \pi_1(\Sigma_3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  restricted to the subgroup  $\langle c_i \rangle$  of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_3)$ , where  $c$  is the cocycle defined in (2.2). For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , consider the functions  $k_i: \langle c_i \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$k_i(c_i) := [\Delta(C_i, z, \phi(c_i)z)].$$

We claim that the functions  $k_i$  are the desired primitives. By definition of the bar complex,  $k_i$  is a primitive for  $\phi^* c$  restricted to  $\langle c_i \rangle$  if for any two integers  $a$  and  $b$ , it holds that  $k_i(c_i^a) + k_i(c_i^b) - k_i(c_i^{a+b}) = c(\phi(c_i)^a, \phi(c_i)^b)$ . We compute  $k_i(c_i^a) + k_i(c_i^b) - k_i(c_i^{a+b})$ . This is, by definition of  $k_i$ , equal to

$$[\Delta(C_i, z, \phi(c_i)^a z)] + [\Delta(C_i, z, \phi(c_i)^b z)] - [\Delta(C_i, z, \phi(c_i)^{a+b} z)].$$


Since  $\phi(c_i)^a$  is an orientation-preserving isometry of the upper half-plane that fixes  $C_i$ , it holds that

$$[\Delta(C_i, z, \phi(c_i)^b z)] = [\Delta(C_i, \phi(c_i)^a z, \phi(c_i)^{a+b} z)].$$

We make use of the following formula. For any four points  $A, B, C, D$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ , it follows that

$$(3.2) \quad [\Delta(A, B, C)] + [\Delta(C, D, A)] = [\Delta(B, C, D)] + [\Delta(B, D, A)].$$

Note that this property is equivalent to the cocycle formula (2.3).



Thus, with  $A = C_i$ ,  $B = z$ ,  $C = \phi(c_i)^a z$  and  $D = \phi(c_i)^{a+b} z$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} k_i(c_i^a) + k_i(c_i^b) - k_i(c_i^{a+b}) &= [\Delta(z, \phi(c_i)^a z, \phi(c_i)^{a+b} z)] \\ &= c(\phi(c_i)^a, \phi(c_i)^b). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. Hence

$$j^{-1}(\phi^* \kappa) = [(\phi^* c, k_1, k_2, k_3)].$$

Definition 2.2 says that

$$\text{vol}([\phi]) = [(\phi^* c, k_1, k_2, k_3)] \frown [\pi_1(\Sigma_3), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_3)].$$

Recall that  $[\pi_1(\Sigma_3), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma_3)]$  is the homology class of the 2-chain  $(e, c_1, c_2, c_3)$  where  $e$  was explicitly described in (2.4). Using the explicit expression of the cap product in the bar complex, see e.g. [KM96, Proposition 5.8], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}([\phi]) &= (\phi^* c)(e) - k_1(c_1) - k_2(c_2) - k_3(c_3) \\ &= [\Delta(z, \phi(c_1)z, \phi(c_1 c_2)z)] + [\Delta(z, \phi(c_1 c_2)z, \phi(c_1 c_2 c_3)z)] + [\Delta(z, z, z)] \\ &\quad - [\Delta(C_1, z, \phi(c_1)z)] - [\Delta(C_2, z, \phi(c_2)z)] - [\Delta(C_3, z, \phi(c_3)z)] \\ (3.3) \quad &= [\Delta(z, \phi(c_1)z, \phi(c_1 c_2)z)] - \sum_{i=1}^3 [\Delta(C_i, z, \phi(c_i)z)]. \end{aligned}$$

The volume is independent of the choice of the base point  $z$ , so we may as well assume  $z = C_1$ . After obvious cancellations, (3.3) becomes

$$\text{vol}([\phi]) = [\Delta(C_1, C_2, \phi(c_2)C_1)] + [\Delta(C_1, C_3, \phi(c_3)C_1)].$$

Using  $\phi(c_3)C_1 = \phi(c_2)^{-1}C_1$ , we further compute

$$(3.4) \quad \text{vol}([\phi]) = [\Delta(C_1, C_2, \phi(c_2)C_1)] + [\Delta(\phi(c_2)C_1, \phi(c_2)C_3, C_1)].$$

We make use of (3.2) again. Letting  $A = C_1$ ,  $B = C_2$ ,  $C = \phi(c_2)C_1$  and  $D = \phi(c_2)C_3$ , the relation (3.4) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{vol}([\phi]) &= [\Delta(C_2, \phi(c_2)C_1, \phi(c_2)C_3)] + [\Delta(C_2, \phi(c_2)C_3, C_1)] \\ &= -[\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)] + [\Delta(C_1, C_2, \phi(c_2)C_3)]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $C_1 = C_2 = C_3$  then  $\text{vol}([\phi]) = 0$  by (3.5), and so  $\text{vol}([\phi]) = -2[\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)]$  as desired. Otherwise, we know from the proof of Lemma 3.2 that all three points are distinct and  $\phi(c_2) = \tau_3 \tau_1$ . Observe that the triangle  $\Delta(C_1, C_2, \phi(c_2)C_3)$  is the image under  $\tau_3$  of the triangle  $\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$  because  $\tau_3$  fixes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  and  $\tau_1$  fixes  $C_3$ . Hence, for  $\tau_3$  is orientation-reversing,

$$[\Delta(C_1, C_2, \phi(c_2)C_3)] = -[\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)].$$

and (3.5) becomes  $\text{vol}([\phi]) = -2[\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)]$ . This finishes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We can compile the conclusions of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 into the following summary table, see Table 1.

So far, we discussed the properties of the elements of  $\text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_3, G)$ . Now, we address the question of existence and uniqueness of such elements. If  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 > 4\pi$ , then there exists a unique clockwise oriented triangle  $\Delta_\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ , up to orientation-preserving isometries, with interior angles  $\pi - \alpha_i/2$ . The composition of the reflections through the sides of  $\Delta_\alpha$ , as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, defines an element of  $\text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_3, G)$ . This element is unique because  $\Delta_\alpha$  is unique up

angles	volume	relative Euler class	configuration of $\Delta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$
$\sum \alpha_i \in \{2\pi, 4\pi\}$	0	$k = 1$ if $\sum \alpha_i = 2\pi$ , $k = 2$ if $\sum \alpha_i = 4\pi$	$C_1 = C_2 = C_3$
$\sum \alpha_i > 4\pi$	$4\pi - \sum \alpha_i$	$k = 2$	clockwise oriented, interior angles $\pi - \alpha_i/2$
$\sum \alpha_i < 2\pi$	$2\pi - \sum \alpha_i$	$k = 1$	anti-clockwise oriented, interior angles $\alpha_i/2$

TABLE 1. Summary of the different configurations of fixed points in the case  $n = 3$ .

to isometry. If  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 4\pi$ , then  $\Delta_\alpha$  is degenerate to a point. The rotations of angle  $\alpha_i$  around that point define an element of  $\text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_3, G)$ . This element is unique because  $G$  acts transitively on the upper half-plane. The case  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \leq 2\pi$  is similar. In conclusion, we obtain

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \in (0, 2\pi] \cup [4\pi, 6\pi)$ , then  $\text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_3, G)$  is a singleton and  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$  consists of only the isometry class of  $\Delta_\alpha$ . If  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \in (2\pi, 4\pi)$ , then  $\text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_3, G)$  and  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$  are empty.*

**3.2. The general case.** Let us first prove that the chain of triangles associated to a Deroin-Tholozan representation has the geometric properties stated in Lemma 3.1. The curves  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-3}$  illustrated in Figure 2 define a pants decomposition of  $\Sigma_n$  into  $n - 2$  pair of pants  $P_0, \dots, P_{n-3}$ . The pair of pants  $P_i$  has boundary curves  $b_i^{-1}$ ,  $c_{i+2}$  and  $b_{i+1}$  (with the convention that  $b_0 = c_1^{-1}$  and  $b_{n-2} = c_n$ ). Let  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . The conjugacy class  $[\phi \upharpoonright_{P_i}]$  of the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $P_i$  lies in the relative character variety  $\text{Rep}_{\varpi_i}(P_i, G)$  where  $\varpi_i$  is the vector of angles  $(2\pi - \beta_i([\phi]), \alpha_{i+2}, \beta_{i+1}([\phi]))$ . Indeed, the functions  $\beta_i$ , introduced in (3.1), measure the angle of rotation of the evaluation on the curve  $b_i$ . Deroin-Tholozan observed in [DT19] that the relative Euler classes of all the  $[\phi \upharpoonright_{P_i}]$  are automatically maximal. The argument is simple. Since the volume of a representation is additive, it holds that  $\text{vol}([\phi]) = \text{vol}([\phi \upharpoonright_{P_0}]) + \dots + \text{vol}([\phi \upharpoonright_{P_{n-3}}])$  or equivalently

$$(3.6) \quad 2\pi(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} (2\pi k([\phi \upharpoonright_{P_i}]) - (2\pi - \beta_i([\phi]) + \alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1}([\phi]))) \\ = 2\pi \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} k([\phi \upharpoonright_{P_i}]) - 2\pi(n-3) - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i.$$

So, we conclude  $k([\phi \upharpoonright_{P_0}]) + \dots + k([\phi \upharpoonright_{P_{n-3}}]) = 2(n-2)$ . Table 1 says that  $k([\phi \upharpoonright_{P_i}]) \in \{1, 2\}$  for every  $i$ . Therefore, it must hold  $k([\phi \upharpoonright_{P_i}]) = 2$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, n-3$  and the relative Euler class of each  $[\phi \upharpoonright_{P_i}]$  is indeed maximal.

We can apply the case distinction of Table 1 to the triangles  $\Delta_0, \dots, \Delta_{n-3}$  built from  $\mathfrak{P}([\phi])$ . Let  $\Delta_i$  be any of these triangles. For  $k([\phi \upharpoonright_{P_i}]) = 2$ , we have  $2\pi - \beta_i([\phi]) + \alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1}([\phi]) \geq 4\pi$  or equivalently

$$\alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1}([\phi]) - \beta_i([\phi]) \geq 2\pi.$$

If  $\alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1}([\phi]) - \beta_i([\phi]) > 2\pi$ , then  $\Delta_i$  is a non-degenerate, clockwise oriented, triangle with interior angles  $\beta_i([\phi])/2$ ,  $\pi - \alpha_{i+1}/2$  and  $\pi - \beta_{i+1}([\phi])/2$ , such as stated in Lemma 3.1. If  $\alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1}([\phi]) - \beta_i([\phi]) = 2\pi$ , then  $\Delta_i$  is degenerate to a point. In both cases,

$$\text{vol}([\phi \upharpoonright_{P_i}]) = -2[\Delta_i] = -(\alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1}([\phi]) - \beta_i([\phi]) - 2\pi).$$

Observe that, thanks to the clockwise orientation of  $\Delta_i$ , its area is always nonnegative. Table 2 summarizes the above discussion.

It turns out that Lemma 3.1 completely determines  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$  in the case the triangles are non-degenerate. This allows for a purely geometric description of the subset  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$  of the moduli space of point configurations in  $\mathbb{H}$ . This is the purpose of Lemma 3.5. In the case none of the

angles	$\text{vol}([\phi \upharpoonright_{P_i}])$	configuration of $\Delta_i = \Delta(B_i, C_{i+2}, B_{i+1})$
$\alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1} - \beta_i > 2\pi$	$-(\alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1} - \beta_i - 2\pi)$	clockwise oriented, interior angles $\beta_i/2$ , $\pi - \alpha_{i+1}/2$ and $\pi - \beta_{i+1}/2$
$\alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1} - \beta_i = 2\pi$	0	degenerate, $B_i = C_{i+2} = B_{i+1}$ .

TABLE 2. The two different natures of  $[\phi \upharpoonright_{P_i}]$ .

triangles are degenerate, there is a cleaner formulation of the sufficient conditions for a chain of triangles to lie in  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$ . We state it as Corollary 3.6.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $(C_1, \dots, C_n, B_1, \dots, B_{n-3})$  be a configuration of points in the upper half-plane and let  $(\Delta_0, \dots, \Delta_{n-3})$  be the chain of triangles defined by  $\Delta_i = \Delta(B_i, C_{i+2}, B_{i+1})$ , with the usual convention that  $B_0 = C_1$  and  $B_{n-2} = C_n$ . Further, for  $i = 0, \dots, n-4$ , let*

$$\beta_{i+1} := \sum_{j=0}^i 2[\Delta_j] - \sum_{j=0}^{i+2} \alpha_j + 2(i+2)\pi.$$

The isometry class of  $(C_1, \dots, C_n, B_1, \dots, B_{n-3})$  lies in  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$  if and only if the following conditions on  $\Delta_0, \dots, \Delta_{n-3}$  are fulfilled.

- (1) If  $[\Delta_i] > 0$ , then  $\Delta_i$  is clockwise oriented and has interior angle  $\beta_i/2$  at  $B_i$ ,  $\pi - \alpha_{i+2}/2$  at  $C_{i+2}$  and  $\pi - \beta_{i+1}/2$  at  $B_{i+1}$ . Moreover, if  $i = 0$ , then  $\Delta_0$  has interior angle  $\pi - \alpha_1/2$  at  $C_1$  and if  $i = n-3$ , then  $\Delta_{n-3}$  has interior angle  $\pi - \alpha_n/2$  at  $C_n$ .
- (2) If  $[\Delta_i] = 0$ , then  $B_i = C_{i+2} = B_{i+1}$ .

*Proof.* The forward implication follows from the discussion that lead to Table 2. To prove the backward implication, start with a configuration of points  $(C_1, \dots, C_n, B_1, \dots, B_{n-3})$  in the upper half-plane that satisfy the properties (1) and (2). We construct a Deroin-Tholozan representation  $[\phi]$  such that  $\mathfrak{P}([\phi])$  is the isometry class of  $(C_1, \dots, C_n, B_1, \dots, B_{n-3})$ . Define  $\phi(c_i)$  to be the rotation of angle  $\alpha_i$  with fixed point  $C_i$ . We first claim that  $\phi$  is a representation  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$  into  $G$ , i.e.  $\phi(c_1) \cdots \phi(c_n) = 1$ . Indeed, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we observe that  $\phi(c_2)^{-1} \phi(c_1)^{-1}$  is a rotation of angle

$$2[\Delta_0] - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 4\pi$$

around  $B_1$ . This angle is by definition equal to  $\beta_1$ . Similarly,  $\phi(c_{n-2})^{-1} \cdots \phi(c_1)^{-1}$  is a rotation of angle

$$2[\Delta_{n-4}] - \alpha_{n-2} - (2\pi - \beta_{n-4}) + 4\pi$$

around  $B_{n-3}$ . Again, observe that this angle is by definition equal to  $\beta_{n-3}$ . Moreover, the same argument shows that  $\phi(c_{n-1})\phi(c_n)$  is also a rotation of angle  $\beta_{n-3}$  around  $B_{n-3}$ . Hence  $\phi(c_{n-2})^{-1} \cdots \phi(c_1)^{-1} = \phi(c_{n-1})\phi(c_n)$ . This proves that  $\phi$  is a representation of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_n)$  into  $G$ . It is immediate from the definition of  $\phi$  that  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha(\Sigma_n, G)$ . We now prove that  $\text{vol}([\phi]) = -\lambda$ . In fact, using both the additivity of the volume and Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\text{vol}([\phi]) = -2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} [\Delta_i].$$

We express  $[\Delta_{n-3}]$  in terms of the interior angles of  $\Delta_{n-3}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} -2[\Delta_{n-3}] &= -2\pi + (2\pi - \alpha_n) + (2\pi - \alpha_{n-1}) + \beta_{n-3} \\ &= 2\pi - \alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1} + \beta_{n-3}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $\beta_{n-3}$  it holds

$$-2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-4} [\Delta_i] = -\beta_{n-3} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha_i + 2(n-2)\pi.$$

We conclude that  $\text{vol}([\phi]) = 2\pi(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = -\lambda$  and thus  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . By construction, the chain of triangles built from  $\mathfrak{P}([\phi])$  is  $(\Delta_0, \dots, \Delta_{n-3})$ . We conclude that the isometry class of  $(C_1, \dots, C_n, B_1, \dots, B_{n-3})$  lies in  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$  as desired.  $\square$

If all the triangles are non-degenerate, then Lemma 3.5 admits a cleaner formulation which we state as a corollary.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $(C_1, \dots, C_n, B_1, \dots, B_{n-3})$  be a configuration of points in the upper half-plane and let  $(\Delta_0, \dots, \Delta_{n-3})$  be the chain of triangles it defines. Assume that none of the triangles  $\Delta_i$  are degenerate. The isometry class of  $(C_1, \dots, C_n, B_1, \dots, B_{n-3})$  lies in  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$  if and only if the following conditions on  $\Delta_0, \dots, \Delta_{n-3}$  are fulfilled.*

- (1) *The triangle  $\Delta_i$  is clockwise oriented and has interior angle  $\pi - \alpha_{i+2}/2$  at  $C_{i+2}$ . Moreover, if  $i = 0$ , then  $\Delta_0$  has interior angle  $\pi - \alpha_1/2$  at  $C_1$  and if  $i = n-3$ , then  $\Delta_{n-3}$  has interior angle  $\pi - \alpha_n/2$  at  $C_n$ .*
- (2) *The interior angles of  $\Delta_i$  and  $\Delta_{i+1}$  at their common vertex  $B_{i+1}$  are supplementary.*

The conditions of Corollary 3.6 are illustrated on Figure 5.




FIGURE 5. Example of a configuration of points whose isometry class lies in  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$  in the case  $n = 6$ .

**3.3. The torus action revisited.** We explained how to use Proposition 2.9 to associate to a maximal collection of simple closed curves on  $\Sigma_n$  a maximal torus action on the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety. In this section we first fix a parametrization of the maximal torus action associated to the curves  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-3}$  we intend to work with. We should emphasize that our choice of parametrization is different from that of Deroin-Tholozan in [DT19]. Deroin-Tholozan work with the torus action given by the Hamiltonian flows of the functions  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-3}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow (0, 2\pi)$  defined in (3.1). We choose to consider the Hamiltonian flows of the functions  $1/2(\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i)$  instead. They define an effective action

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbb{T}^{n-3} := (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^{n-3} \subset \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G).$$

The reason for considering  $1/2(\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i)$  instead of  $\beta_i$  is that the expression  $1/2(\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i)$  is up to constant equal to the area of the triangle  $\Delta_i$ , see Table 2.

Following [Gol86] we can write down explicitly how the Hamiltonian flows of the functions  $1/2(\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i)$  act on representations. For  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-3}) \in \mathbb{T}^{n-3}$  we introduce the notation

$$\bar{\theta}_i := \theta_i - \theta_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-3,$$

where it is understood that  $\theta_0 = 0$ . The unique elliptic element of  $G$  that fixes  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  with angle of rotation  $\vartheta \in (0, 2\pi)$  is denoted

$$\text{rot}_\vartheta(z).$$

Let  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  and let  $B_i \in \mathbb{H}$  be the fixed point of  $\phi(b_i)$ , with the convention that  $B_{n-2} = C_n$  is the fixed point of  $\phi(c_n)$ . Under the action (3.7) the image of  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^{n-3}$  acting on  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  is the conjugacy class of the representation  $\theta \cdot \phi$  given by

$$(\theta \cdot \phi)(c_i) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{i-2} \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_j}(B_j) \right) \cdot \phi(c_i) \cdot \left( \prod_{j=1}^{i-2} \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_j}(B_j) \right)^{-1}.$$

Or more explicitly

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} (\theta \cdot \phi)(c_1) = \phi(c_1), \\ (\theta \cdot \phi)(c_2) = \phi(c_2), \\ (\theta \cdot \phi)(c_3) = \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_1}(B_1) \cdot \phi(c_3) \cdot \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_1}(B_1)^{-1}, \\ (\theta \cdot \phi)(c_4) = \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_1}(B_1) \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_2}(B_2) \cdot \phi(c_4) \cdot \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_2}(B_2)^{-1} \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_1}(B_1)^{-1}, \\ \vdots \\ (\theta \cdot \phi)(c_{n-1}) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_i}(B_i) \right) \cdot \phi(c_{n-1}) \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_i}(B_i) \right)^{-1}, \\ (\theta \cdot \phi)(c_n) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_i}(B_i) \right) \cdot \phi(c_n) \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_i}(B_i) \right)^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Observe that both  $\phi(c_{n-1})$  and  $\phi(c_n)$  are conjugated by the same element because they correspond to the same triangle in the chain built from  $\mathfrak{P}([\phi])$ . The reader is referred to [Gol86] for explanations on how the explicit action (3.8) corresponds to the torus action (3.7) given by the Hamiltonian flows of the functions  $1/2(\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i)$ .

The action (3.7) is a Hamiltonian torus action on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  equipped with the symplectic form  $1/\lambda \cdot \omega_G$  with moment map  $\mu: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$  defined by

$$(3.9) \quad \mu_i([\phi]) := \frac{1}{2\lambda}(\alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1}([\phi]) - \beta_i([\phi]) - 2\pi).$$

Recall that  $\lambda$  is the scaling factor introduced in Definition 2.4. Comparing Table 2 one observes that

$$\mu_i([\phi]) = \frac{1}{\lambda}[\Delta_i].$$

The image of  $\mu$  inside  $\mathbb{R}^{n-3}$  is the moment polytope for the action of  $\mathbb{T}^{n-3}$  on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . The area of the triangles in a chain corresponding to an element of  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  are nonnegative numbers that sum up to  $\lambda/2$ :

$$[\Delta_i] \in [0, \lambda/2] \subset [0, \pi), \quad [\Delta_0] + \dots + [\Delta_{n-3}] = \lambda/2.$$

This is a consequence of the additivity of the volume and Lemma 3.3; the computation is similar to (3.6). Hence

$$\mu_i \in [0, 1/2], \quad \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_{n-3} \leq 1/2.$$

This shows that the moment polytope is the  $(n-3)$ -simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-3}$  with side length  $1/2$ . If we compare Lemma 3.5 and the range of  $[\Delta_i]$  we deduce

$$(3.10) \quad \beta_i \in \left[ 2(i+1)\pi - \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} \alpha_j, \sum_{i+2}^n \alpha_j - 2\pi(n-i-2) \right] \subset (0, 2\pi).$$

Observe that the length of the range of the function  $\beta_i$  is equal to  $\lambda$  and that the range of the function  $\beta_{i+1}$  is obtained from that of  $\beta_i$  by a translation of  $2\pi - \alpha_{i+2}$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *The fibre of the moment map  $\mu$  over a point of the moment polytope is an embedded torus of dimension  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-3\}$  in  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ , where  $(n-3)-k$  is the number of degenerate triangles in the chain associated to any element of the fibre.*

Lemma 3.7 is a standard fact about symplectic toric manifolds. The toric fibres of maximal dimension  $n - 3$  form an open dense subset of  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . They are called *regular fibres* of the moment map. Their union is the preimage under  $\mu$  of the interior of the moment polytope. We denote this subspace by

$$\mathring{\text{Rep}}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \subset \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G).$$

It is a full measure subset that consists exactly of the points where  $\mathbb{T}^{n-3}$  acts freely.

The torus action (3.7) explicitly described by (3.8) may look, in the words of a retired analyst, baroque. It can be easily visualized if we translate it to our polygon model. This is yet another pleasant feature of the polygonal model for the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety. For this purpose, we declare the bijection  $\mathfrak{P}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \text{ChTri}_\alpha$  to be equivariant and define therewith an action of  $\mathbb{T}^{n-3}$  on  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$ . Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^{n-3}$ . We denote the fixed points of  $(\theta \cdot \phi)(c_i)$  and  $(\theta \cdot \phi)(b_i)$  by  $C_i^\theta$  and  $B_i^\theta$ , respectively. From (3.8), we obtain that

$$(3.11) \quad C_1^\theta = C_1, \quad C_2^\theta = C_2, \quad C_3^\theta = \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_1}(B_1) \cdot C_3, \quad \dots, \quad C_n^\theta = \prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_i}(B_i) \cdot C_n,$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad B_1^\theta = B_1, \quad B_2^\theta = \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_1}(B_1) \cdot B_2, \quad \dots, \quad B_{n-3}^\theta = \prod_{i=1}^{n-4} \text{rot}_{\bar{\theta}_i}(B_i) \cdot B_{n-3}.$$

This means that  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^{n-3}$  acts on a chain of triangles in  $\text{ChTri}_\alpha$  by successive rotations of the sub-chain of triangles  $\Delta_i, \dots, \Delta_{n-3}$  by an angle  $\bar{\theta}_i$  around  $B_i$ , see Figure 6.

#### 4. COMPLEX PROJECTIVE COORDINATES

In this section, we construct an explicit equivariant symplectomorphism from  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ . It is based on the polygonal model developed in the previous section.

**4.1. Definition of the map.** Let  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . We associate to  $[\phi]$  a collection of parameters defined using the chain of triangles  $\Delta_0, \dots, \Delta_{n-3}$  built from  $\mathfrak{P}([\phi]) \in \text{ChTri}_\alpha$ . The first collection of parameters  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-3}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are called *area parameters* and are defined to be twice the area of the triangle  $\Delta_i$ :

$$a_i([\phi]) := 2[\Delta_i], \quad i = 0, \dots, n-3.$$

Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$(4.1) \quad a_i([\phi]) = \alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1}([\phi]) - \beta_i([\phi]) - 2\pi \geq 0.$$

Each area parameter takes value in  $[0, \lambda]$  and their sum is constant and equal to the scaling factor  $\lambda > 0$ . This was already observed earlier when we computed the moment polytope for the moment map (3.9). In particular, at least one area parameter is nonzero. Since the functions  $\beta_i$  are analytic functions of  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ , the area parameters are analytic functions as well. Observe that, because of (3.9), it holds that

$$(4.2) \quad a_i([\phi]) = 2\lambda \cdot \mu_i([\phi]).$$

The second set of parameters  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-3}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  are called *angle parameters*. Their definition is more subtle as one needs to consider the case where some triangles of the chain are degenerate to a point. First, assume that  $a_i([\phi]) \neq 0$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, n-3$  or equivalently that  $[\phi]$  lies in a regular fibre of the moment map. This ensures that the fixed points  $B_i(\phi), C_{i+1}(\phi), C_{i+2}(\phi)$ , abbreviated  $B_i, C_{i+1}, C_{i+2}$  below, are distinct points for every  $i$ . In this case, we define, for  $i = 1, \dots, n-3$ , the angle  $\gamma_i([\phi]) \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  to be the oriented angle between the geodesic rays  $\overrightarrow{B_i C_{i+2}}$  and  $\overrightarrow{B_i C_{i+1}}$  (see Figure 7):

$$\gamma_i([\phi]) := \angle(\overrightarrow{B_i C_{i+2}}, \overrightarrow{B_i C_{i+1}}).$$




FIGURE 6. The action of  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$  in the case  $n = 5$ . The initial configuration is drawn on top. The intermediate configuration is obtained after rotating the triangles  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  together by an angle  $\bar{\theta}_1$  around  $B_1$ . The triangle  $\Delta_0$  is not moved during this step. The final configuration is obtained from the intermediate configuration after rotating the triangle  $\Delta_2$  by an angle  $\bar{\theta}_2$  around  $B_2$ . The triangles  $\Delta_0$  and  $\Delta_1$  are not moved during this step.

In less rigorous words,  $\gamma_i$  is the angle between the triangle  $\Delta_{i+1}$  and the triangle  $\Delta_i$ . In the case that some of the area parameters vanish, we define  $\gamma_i([\phi]) \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  to be

$$\gamma_i([\phi]) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a_j([\phi]) = 0, \forall j < i, \\ \pi - \alpha_{i+2}/2, & \text{if } a_i([\phi]) = 0 \text{ and } \exists j < i, a_j([\phi]) > 0, \\ \angle(\overrightarrow{B_i C_{i+2}}, \overrightarrow{B_i C_{m(i)+2}}), & \text{if } a_i([\phi]) > 0 \text{ and } \exists j < i, a_j([\phi]) > 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $m(i)$  is the largest index smaller than  $i$  such that  $a_{m(i)}([\phi]) > 0$ , see Figure 7. Whenever  $[\phi]$  lies in a regular fibre of the moment map, then  $m(i) = i - 1$  for every  $i$ , showing that the definition of  $\gamma_i$  is consistent. Note that the parameters  $\gamma_i([\phi])$  are well-defined in the sense that if  $a_i([\phi]) > 0$  then  $B_i \neq C_{i+2}$  and  $B_i \neq C_{m(i)+2}$ . We finally define the angle parameters  $\sigma_i([\phi]) \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 3$  by

$$\sigma_i([\phi]) := \sum_{j=1}^i \gamma_j([\phi]).$$

Below, we will refer to both sets of parameters  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-3}\}$  and  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-3}\}$  as angle parameters, without distinction. The angle parameters  $\gamma_i$  and  $\sigma_i$  are analytic functions on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  and may have points of discontinuity on the complement of  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ .




FIGURE 7. The angles  $\gamma_i$  for two configurations of fixed points in the case  $n = 5$ . The left picture corresponds to a representation in a regular fiber of the moment map. The right picture corresponds to a representation for which  $a_1$  vanishes.

Area and angle parameters completely characterize Deroin-Tholozan representations. To see this, we introduce the map

$$(4.3) \quad \mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3} \\ [\phi] \mapsto \left[ \sqrt{a_0([\phi])} : \sqrt{a_1([\phi])} e^{i\sigma_1([\phi])} : \dots : \sqrt{a_{n-3}([\phi])} e^{i\sigma_{n-3}([\phi])} \right].$$

Recall that the area parameters are nonnegative and cannot vanish all at once. Moreover, recall that both the area and angle parameters are geometric invariants of  $\mathfrak{P}([\phi]) \in \text{ChTri}_\alpha$ . We thus see that the map  $\mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  is well-defined.

Recall that the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety has the structure of a symplectic toric manifold with symplectic form  $1/\lambda \cdot \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$  and the torus action (3.7). We equip  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  with the Fubini-Study symplectic form  $\omega_{\mathcal{FS}}$  of volume  $\pi^{n-3}/(n-3)!$ , see e.g. [CdS01] for more details on the symplectic nature of the complex projective space. We further equip  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  with the  $\mathbb{T}^{n-3}$ -action defined in homogeneous coordinates by

$$(4.4) \quad \theta \cdot [z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_{n-3}] := [z_0 : e^{-\theta_1} z_1 : \dots : e^{-\theta_{n-3}} z_{n-3}], \quad \theta \in \mathbb{T}^{n-3}.$$

This action is a maximal effective Hamiltonian torus action with moment map

$$(4.5) \quad \nu([z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_{n-3}]) := \left( \frac{|z_1|^2}{2|z|^2}, \dots, \frac{|z_{n-3}|^2}{2|z|^2} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-3},$$

where  $|z|^2 := |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_{n-3}|^2$ . The main result of this paper is

**Theorem 4.1.** *The map  $\mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  defined in (4.3) is an isomorphism of symplectic toric manifolds. In other words,  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an equivariant diffeomorphism such that*

$$\mu = \nu \circ \mathfrak{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{C}^* \omega_{\mathcal{FS}} = 1/\lambda \cdot \omega_G.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is unfolded, step by step, below. The main difficulty in the proof is showing that the map  $\mathfrak{C}$  is differentiable at the points in the irregular fibres of the moment map — that is, on the complement of  $\mathring{\text{Rep}}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . On these fibres the area parameters can vanish causing the angles parameters  $\gamma_i$  to be discontinuous.

A direct consequence of Theorem 4.1, already pointed out in [DT19], says that the symplectic volume of the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety is equal to

$$\frac{(\lambda\pi)^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}.$$

**4.2. A Wolpert-type formula.** Theorem 4.1 implies that the coordinates

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-3}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-3}\}$$

are action-angle coordinates for the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety. In particular, as a corollary of Theorem 4.1, we prove that the coordinates are Darboux coordinates for the Goldman symplectic form.

**Corollary 4.2.** *The restriction of the Goldman form on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  to  $\mathring{\text{Rep}}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  can be written as*

$$\omega_G = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} da_i \wedge d\sigma_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} d\gamma_i \wedge d\beta_i.$$

*Proof.* At any point  $[z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_{n-3}] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  for which  $z_i \neq 0$  for all  $i = 0, \dots, n-3$ , the Fubini-Study form can be written as

$$\omega_{\mathcal{FS}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} d\nu_i \wedge d\theta_i,$$

where  $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-3})$  are the components of the moment map (4.5) and  $\theta_i$  is the complex argument of  $z_i$  (defined up to a constant). The coordinates  $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-3}, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-3}\}$  are action-angle coordinates for the integrable dynamics on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  defined by (4.4). Theorem 4.1 says that  $\omega_G = \lambda \cdot \mathfrak{C}^* \omega_{\mathcal{FS}}$ . It also implies  $\mathfrak{C}^* d\nu_i = d\mu_i = da_i/(2\lambda)$  (where we used (4.2)) and  $\mathfrak{C}^* d\theta_i = d\sigma_i$ . Hence, on  $\mathring{\text{Rep}}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ , it holds that

$$\omega_G = \lambda \cdot \mathfrak{C}^* \omega_{\mathcal{FS}} = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} \mathfrak{C}^* d\nu_i \wedge \mathfrak{C}^* d\theta_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} da_i \wedge d\sigma_i.$$

Using  $da_i = d\beta_{i+1} - d\beta_i$ , with  $d\beta_0 = d\beta_{n-2} = 0$ , and  $d\sigma_{i+1} - d\sigma_i = d\gamma_{i+1}$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-3} da_i \wedge d\sigma_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} d\gamma_i \wedge d\beta_i.$$

□

Corollary 4.2 implies that, even if the definition of the coordinates  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-3}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-3}\}$  depends on the choice of a pants decomposition of  $\Sigma_n$ , the 2-form  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-3} da_i \wedge d\sigma_i$  does not. This is because the Goldman symplectic form on the Deroin-Tholozan relative character variety is defined without any reference to a pants decomposition.

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1

In this section we prove that the map  $\mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  defined in (4.3) is an equivariant symplectomorphism.

**5.1. Homeomorphism property.** We start by proving

**Proposition 5.1.** *The map  $\mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  is a homeomorphism.*

To prove Proposition 5.1, we show that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a continuous bijection. This is done in Lemmata 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 below. Since  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  is compact by Theorem 2.7 and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  is a Hausdorff space, it follows that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a homeomorphism.

**Lemma 5.2.** *The map  $\mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* Let  $[z_0 : \dots : z_{n-3}] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ . We may assume that  $|z_0|^2 + \dots + |z_{n-3}|^2 = \lambda$  and that the first nonzero  $z_i$  is a positive real number. The goal is to build a representation  $\phi: \pi_1(\Sigma_n) \rightarrow G$  such that  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  and  $\mathfrak{C}([\phi]) = [z_0 : \dots : z_{n-3}]$ . To do so, we build a chain of triangles satisfying the properties of Lemma 3.5 such that the corresponding Deroin-Tholozan representation has the desired image under  $\mathfrak{C}$ . The triangles are constructed in  $n-2$  steps starting with  $\Delta_0$ .

- (0) *Step 0.* Let  $C_1$  be any point in  $\mathbb{H}$ . If  $z_0 = 0$ , then we let  $C_2 := B_1 := C_1$ . Now, assume  $z_0 \neq 0$ . By assumption,  $z_0$  is a positive real number. First, observe that  $|z_0|^2/2 = z_0^2/2 \leq \lambda/2 < \pi$ . Further, let  $\beta_1 := z_0^2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 4\pi$ . Note that, since  $4\pi > \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  and  $\lambda - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 < -2\pi$ , it holds  $\beta_1 \in (0, 2\pi)$ . In particular, there exists a clockwise oriented hyperbolic triangle  $\Delta_0 = \Delta(C_1, B_1, C_2)$  such that

- $\Delta_0$  has area  $z_0^2/2$ ,
- $\Delta_0$  has interior angles  $\pi - \alpha_1/2$  at  $C_1$  and  $\pi - \alpha_2/2$  at  $C_2$ .

The triangle  $\Delta_0$  is not uniquely determined as it can be arbitrarily rotated around  $C_1$ . We fix one such triangle  $\Delta_0$ . By construction,  $\Delta_0$  has interior angle  $\pi - \beta_1/2$  at  $B_1$ .

- (1) *Step 1.* If  $z_1 = 0$ , then we let  $C_3 = B_2 = B_1$ . Now, assume  $z_1 \neq 0$ . Again, observe that  $|z_1|^2/2 \leq \lambda/2 < \pi$  and  $\beta_2 := |z_1|^2 - \alpha_3 + \beta_1 + 2\pi \in (0, 2\pi)$ , because  $-\alpha_3 + \beta_1 + 2\pi \geq 6\pi - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 > 0$  and  $\lambda - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 < -4\pi$ . So, there exists a clockwise oriented hyperbolic triangle  $\Delta_1 = \Delta(B_1, C_3, B_2)$  such that

- $\Delta_1$  has area  $|z_1|^2/2$ ,
- $\Delta_1$  has interior angles  $\pi - \alpha_3/2$  at  $C_3$  and  $\beta_1/2$  at  $B_1$ .

If  $z_0 = 0$ , then as before  $\Delta_1$  can be arbitrarily rotated around  $B_1$ . If  $z_0 \neq 0$ , then  $\Delta_1$  is uniquely determined if we further impose

- the angle  $\angle(\overrightarrow{B_1 C_3}, \overrightarrow{B_1 C_2})$  is equal to the complex argument of  $z_1$ .

If  $\Delta_1$  is non-degenerate, then by construction it has interior angle  $\pi - \beta_2/2$  at  $B_2$ .

- (2) *Step 2.* If  $z_2 = 0$ , then we let  $C_4 = B_3 = B_2$ . Now, assume  $z_2 \neq 0$ . It holds  $|z_2|^2/2 \leq \lambda/2 < \pi$  and  $\beta_3 := |z_2|^2 - \alpha_4 + \beta_2 + 2\pi \in (0, 2\pi)$ . There exists a clockwise oriented hyperbolic triangle  $\Delta_2 = \Delta(B_2, C_4, B_3)$  such that

- $\Delta_2$  has area  $|z_2|^2/2$ ,
- $\Delta_2$  has interior angles  $\pi - \alpha_4/2$  at  $C_4$  and  $\beta_2/2$  at  $B_2$ .

If  $z_0 = 0$  and  $z_1 = 0$ , then  $\Delta_2$  can be arbitrarily rotated around  $B_2$ . If  $z_0 \neq 0$  and  $z_1 = 0$ , then  $\Delta_2$  is uniquely determined if we impose

- the angle  $\angle(\overrightarrow{B_2 C_4}, \overrightarrow{B_2 C_2})$  is equal to the complex argument of  $z_2$ .

If  $z_1 \neq 0$ , then  $\Delta_2$  is uniquely determined if we impose

- the angle  $\angle(\overrightarrow{B_2 C_4}, \overrightarrow{B_2 C_3})$  is equal to the complex argument of  $z_2$  minus the complex argument of  $z_1$ .

If  $\Delta_2$  is non-degenerate, then by construction it has interior angle  $\pi - \beta_3/2$  at  $B_3$ .

This process can be repeated  $n-5$  times until the point  $C_n = B_{n-2}$  has been constructed. The last triangle in the chain, namely  $\Delta_{n-3} = \Delta(B_{n-3}, C_{n-1}, C_n)$ , has area  $|z_{n-3}|^2/2$  and interior angles  $\pi - \alpha_{n-1}/2$  at  $C_{n-1}$  and  $\beta_{n-3}/2$  at  $B_{n-3}$ , assuming  $z_{n-3} \neq 0$ . Since

$$|z_{n-3}|^2 = \lambda - |z_0|^2 - \dots - |z_{n-4}|^2 = \alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1} - \beta_{n-3} - 2\pi,$$

it follows that the interior angle of  $\Delta_{n-3}$  at  $C_n$  is  $\pi - \alpha_n/2$ . Therefore, the configuration of points  $(C_1, \dots, C_n, B_1, \dots, B_{n-3})$  we just built satisfies the properties of Lemma 3.5. Its preimage under  $\mathfrak{P}$  is the conjugacy class of a Deroin-Tholozan representation  $[\phi]$ . It follows from the construction that  $\mathfrak{C}([\phi]) = [z_0 : \dots : z_{n-3}]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *The map  $\mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $[\phi]$  and  $[\phi']$  be two elements of  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  such that  $\mathfrak{C}([\phi]) = \mathfrak{C}([\phi'])$ . We want to prove that  $[\phi] = [\phi']$ . To achieve this, it is sufficient to check that the chain of triangles built from  $\mathfrak{P}([\phi])$  and  $\mathfrak{P}([\phi'])$  are isometric because  $\mathfrak{P}$  is injective.

Let  $a_i = \alpha_{i+2} + \beta_{i+1} - \beta_i - 2\pi$  and  $a'_i = \alpha_{i+2} + \beta'_{i+1} - \beta'_i - 2\pi$  be the area parameters associated to  $[\phi]$  and  $[\phi']$ , respectively. Similarly, let  $\gamma_i, \sigma_i$  and  $\gamma'_i, \sigma'_i$  be their respective angle parameters. Recall that  $a_0 + \dots + a_{n-3} = a'_0 + \dots + a'_{n-3} = \lambda$ . By definition of  $\mathfrak{C}$  (see (4.3)), since we assume  $\mathfrak{C}([\phi]) = \mathfrak{C}([\phi'])$ , it follows that  $a_i = a'_i$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, n-3$ . Moreover, it also implies  $\sigma_i = \sigma'_i + \sigma$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n-3$ , where  $\sigma$  is some constant. Note that, if  $a_0 = a'_0 > 0$ , then  $\sigma = 0$ .

From  $a_i = a'_i$ , it follows  $\beta_i = \beta'_i$  for every  $i$ . Thus, by Lemma 3.1, the oriented triangles  $\Delta_i$  and  $\Delta'_i$  inside  $\mathbb{H}$  have the same interior angles and are therefore isometric for every  $i$ . To conclude that the two chains are isometric, it suffices to check that the angles between consecutive non-degenerate triangles in each chain are equal. Since  $\sigma_i = \sigma'_i + \sigma$ , we have  $\gamma_1 = \gamma'_1 + \sigma$  and  $\gamma_i = \gamma'_i$  for every  $i \geq 2$ . Since  $\sigma = 0$  whenever  $a_0 = a'_0 > 0$ , this shows that the angles between the corresponding pairs of consecutive non-degenerate triangles in each chain are equal. We conclude that  $\mathfrak{P}([\phi]) = \mathfrak{P}([\phi'])$  and thus  $[\phi] = [\phi']$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *The map  $\mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* The continuity of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is immediate at any point in a regular fibre of the moment map. The task is more subtle when some area parameters vanish because of the discontinuity of the angle parameters  $\gamma_i$ .

Let  $[\phi_0] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . We prove that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is continuous at  $[\phi_0]$ . Let  $i \geq 0$  be the smallest index such that  $a_i([\phi_0]) > 0$ . We work in the chart  $\{z_i \neq 0\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ . Continuity is guaranteed for every index  $j$  such that  $a_j([\phi_0]) = 0$ . It thus suffices to prove that  $\sigma_j([\phi]) - \sigma_i([\phi])$  is continuous around  $[\phi_0]$  for every index  $j > i$  such that  $a_j([\phi]) > 0$ . Let  $i = i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_d$  denote the indices such that  $a_{i_l}([\phi]) > 0$ . Because of telescopic cancellations, it is sufficient to prove that  $\sigma_{i_{l+1}}([\phi]) - \sigma_{i_l}([\phi])$  is continuous around  $[\phi_0]$  for every  $l = 1, \dots, d-1$ .

We treat the case  $l = 1$ . Let  $i = i_1 < i_2 = j$ . We first consider the case  $j - i = 1$  first. In this case,

$$\sigma_j([\phi]) - \sigma_i([\phi]) = \gamma_{i+1}([\phi]).$$

Since  $a_{i+1}([\phi_0]) > 0$  and  $a_i([\phi_0]) > 0$  by assumption, the angle parameter  $\gamma_{i+1}([\phi])$  is a continuous function around  $[\phi_0]$ .

Now, we consider the general case  $j - i \geq 2$ . Recall that it corresponds the situation where  $a_i([\phi_0]) > 0$ ,  $a_j([\phi_0]) > 0$  and  $a_l([\phi_0]) = 0$  for all  $i < l < j$ . For clarity, we let  $[\phi_k]$  be a sequence that converges to  $[\phi_0]$ . We will assume that  $a_\ell([\phi_k]) > 0$  for every  $k$  and every  $i \leq \ell \leq j$ . The argument below can be adapted to the case where, for some  $i < \ell < j$ ,  $a_\ell([\phi_k]) = 0$  for infinitely many  $k$ . Since we assume  $a_j([\phi_k]) > 0$  and  $a_i([\phi_k]) > 0$ , it holds  $B_j(\phi_k) \neq C_{j+2}(\phi_k)$  and  $B_{i+1}(\phi_k) \neq C_{i+2}(\phi_k)$ . For  $k$  large enough, we may assume that the geodesics  $\overrightarrow{B_j(\phi_k)C_{j+2}(\phi_k)}$  and  $\overrightarrow{B_{i+1}(\phi_k)C_{i+2}(\phi_k)}$  intersect, because they do so at the limit. Recall that, by definition,  $\gamma_j = \angle(\overrightarrow{B_jC_{j+2}}, \overrightarrow{B_{i+1}C_{i+2}})$  (see Figure 7) and so

$$(5.1) \quad \gamma_j([\phi_0]) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \angle(\overrightarrow{B_j(\phi_k)C_{j+2}(\phi_k)}, \overrightarrow{B_{i+1}(\phi_k)C_{i+2}(\phi_k)}).$$

The angle  $\angle(\overrightarrow{B_jC_{j+2}}, \overrightarrow{B_{i+1}C_{i+2}})$  can be decomposed as follows:

$$\angle(\overrightarrow{B_jC_{j+2}}, \overrightarrow{B_jC_{j+1}}) + \angle(\overrightarrow{B_jC_{j+1}}, \overrightarrow{B_{j-1}C_{j+1}}) + \angle(\overrightarrow{B_{j-1}C_{j+1}}, \overrightarrow{B_{j-1}C_j}) + \dots + \angle(\overrightarrow{B_{i+1}C_{i+3}}, \overrightarrow{B_{i+1}C_{i+2}}).$$

Using

$$\angle(\overrightarrow{B_m(\phi_k)C_{m+2}(\phi_k)}, \overrightarrow{B_m(\phi_k)C_{m+1}(\phi_k)}) = \gamma_m([\phi_k])$$

and

$$\angle(\overrightarrow{B_m(\phi_k)C_{m+1}(\phi_k)}, \overrightarrow{B_{m-1}(\phi_k)C_{m+1}(\phi_k)}) = \pi - \frac{\alpha_{m+1}}{2},$$

and recalling that

$$\gamma_m([\phi_0]) = \pi - \frac{\alpha_{m+2}}{2}, \quad m = i + 1, \dots, j - 1,$$

we conclude

$$\angle(\overrightarrow{B_j(\phi_k)C_{j+2}(\phi_k)}, \overrightarrow{B_{i+1}(\phi_k)C_{i+2}(\phi_k)}) = \sigma_j([\phi_k]) - \sigma_i([\phi_k]) - \gamma_{j-1}([\phi_0]) - \dots - \gamma_{i+1}([\phi_0]).$$

Because of (5.1) we conclude that  $\sigma_j([\phi_k]) - \sigma_i([\phi_k])$  converges to  $\gamma_j([\phi_1]) + \dots + \gamma_{i+1}([\phi_0]) = \sigma_j([\phi_0]) - \sigma_i([\phi_0])$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Equivariance property.** We prove

**Proposition 5.5.** *The map  $\mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-3}$  is equivariant with respect to the torus actions (3.8) and (4.4). Moreover,*

$$\mu = \nu \circ \mathfrak{C},$$

where  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are the moment maps defined in (3.9) and (4.5).

*Proof.* Both torus actions and both moment maps are continuous. The map  $\mathfrak{C}$  is continuous by Lemma 5.4. It thus suffices to check the conclusion of the proposition on the dense open subset given by the regular fibres of the moment map  $\mu$ . Let  $[\phi]$  an element in a regular fibre and let  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^{n-3}$ . The relations (3.11) and (3.12) (see also Figure 6) show that, for any  $i = 0, \dots, n-3$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n-3$ ,

$$a_i(\theta \cdot [\phi]) = a_i([\phi]) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_j(\theta \cdot [\phi]) = \gamma_j([\phi]) - \bar{\theta}_j.$$

Hence  $\sigma_j(\theta \cdot [\phi]) = \sigma_j([\phi]) - \theta_j$ . This implies  $\mathfrak{C}(\theta \cdot [\phi]) = \theta \cdot \mathfrak{C}([\phi])$ . Observe further that, for every  $i = 1, \dots, n-3$ , it holds that

$$\nu_i \circ \mathfrak{C}([\phi]) = \frac{a_i([\phi])}{2\lambda} = \mu_i([\phi]),$$

where we used that the sum of the area parameters is equal to  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

**5.3. Differentiability property.** In this section, we prove that

**Proposition 5.6.** *The map  $\mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-3}$  is continuously differentiable.*

The map  $\mathfrak{C}$  restricted to  $\overset{\circ}{\text{Rep}}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  is analytic because both the area and angle parameters are analytic functions of  $\overset{\circ}{\text{Rep}}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . As mentioned earlier, two factors lead to complications when trying to prove differentiability on the complement of  $\overset{\circ}{\text{Rep}}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . The first one is the presence of square roots on the area parameters. The second one is the discontinuity of the angle parameters whenever triangles are degenerate.

The proof that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a continuous function (Lemma 5.4) showed the importance of considering consecutive indices for which the corresponding area parameters vanish. This leads to the notion of *chain of degeneracy* for  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  by which we mean a *maximal* collection of consecutive degenerate triangles in the chain built from  $\mathfrak{P}([\phi])$ . A chain of degeneracy is said to be of *type*  $(j, k)$  if the maximal collection of consecutive degenerate triangles is  $\Delta_j, \dots, \Delta_{j+k-1}$ . The number  $k$  is the length of the chain. The maximality assumption means that the triangles  $\Delta_{j-1}$  and  $\Delta_{j+k}$ , if they exist, are non-degenerate.

To conclude the proof of Proposition 5.6 it remains to check that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is continuously differentiable at every  $[\phi]$  with at least one chain of degeneracy. For simplicity, we only cover the case where  $a_{n-3}([\phi]) > 0$ . The case  $a_{n-3}([\phi]) = 0$  can be treated in similar manner.

Let  $[\phi_0] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  such that  $a_{n-3}([\phi_0]) > 0$ . Assume that  $[\phi_0]$  has exactly  $d \geq 1$  chains of degeneracy of types  $(j_1, k_1), \dots, (j_d, k_d)$  with  $j_1 < \dots < j_d$ . This means that  $a_{j_l+k_l}([\phi_0]) > 0$  for every  $l = 1, \dots, d$  (the case  $l = d$  follows from the assumption  $a_{n-3}([\phi_0]) > 0$ ). This implies that the angle parameters  $\gamma_i$  are analytic in a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$  for every index  $i$  in the complement of

$$\{j_1, \dots, j_1 + k_1\} \cup \dots \cup \{j_d, \dots, j_d + k_d\}.$$

More precautions must be taken to deal with the case where  $j_1 = 0$ , i.e. when  $a_0([\phi_0]) = 0$ . To prove that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is continuously differentiable at  $[\phi_0]$  we claim that it is sufficient to prove

**Lemma 5.7.** *The following functions are continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$ :*

- (1)  $[\phi] \mapsto \exp(i \cdot (\gamma_{j_1}([\phi]) + \dots + \gamma_{j_1+k_1}([\phi])))$  if  $j_1 \neq 0$ ,
- (2)  $[\phi] \mapsto \exp(i \cdot (\gamma_{j_l}([\phi]) + \dots + \gamma_{j_l+k_l}([\phi])))$  for every  $l = 2, \dots, d$ ,
- (3)  $[\phi] \mapsto \sqrt{a_i([\phi])} \exp(i \cdot (-\gamma_{i+1}([\phi]) - \dots - \gamma_{j_l+k_l}([\phi])))$  for every  $i = j_l, \dots, j_l + k_l - 1$  and  $l = 1, \dots, d$ .

We now explain how Proposition 5.6 follows from Lemma 5.7.

*Proof of Proposition 5.6.* We prove that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is continuously differentiable at  $[\phi_0]$ . First assume  $j_1 \neq 0$ . The first two statements of Lemma 5.7, together with the observation made just before stating Lemma 5.7, imply that  $\exp(i \cdot \sigma_i([\phi]))$  is continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$  for every index  $i$  in the complement of

$$\{j_1, \dots, j_1 + k_1 - 1\} \cup \dots \cup \{j_d, \dots, j_d + k_d - 1\}.$$

These are precisely the indices  $i$  for which  $a_i([\phi_0]) > 0$ . Denote the collection of these indices  $\mathcal{I}_{reg}$ . If  $j_1 = 0$ , then we may only conclude that  $\exp(i \cdot (\sigma_i([\phi]) - \sigma_{j_1+k_1}([\phi])))$  is continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$  for every index  $i$  in  $\mathcal{I}_{reg}$ . So, in both cases we know that

$$(5.2) \quad \exp(i \cdot (\sigma_i([\phi]) - \sigma_{j_1+k_1}([\phi])))$$

is continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$  for every index  $i$  in  $\mathcal{I}_{reg}$ .

Recall that if  $a_i([\phi_0]) > 0$ , then  $\sqrt{a_i([\phi])}$  is differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$ . We decide to work in the chart  $\{z_{j_1+k_1} \neq 0\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ . So, proving that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is continuously differentiable at  $[\phi_0]$  amounts to prove that all the functions

$$(5.3) \quad \sqrt{a_i([\phi])} \exp(i \cdot (\sigma_i([\phi]) - \sigma_{j_1+k_1}([\phi])))$$

are continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$  for every  $i \neq j_1 + k_1$ . This is immediate for  $i \in \mathcal{I}_{reg}$ . For all the indices  $i$  such that  $a_i([\phi_0]) = 0$ , we proceed as follows. Recall from (5.2) that the functions  $\exp(i \cdot (\sigma_i([\phi]) - \sigma_{j_l+k_l}([\phi])))$  are continuously differentiable for  $i = j_l + k_l$  with  $l = 2, \dots, d$ . So, proving that the functions of the type (5.3) are continuously differentiable for  $i \notin \mathcal{I}_{reg}$  is equivalent to proving that all the functions

$$\sqrt{a_i([\phi])} \exp(i \cdot (\sigma_i([\phi]) - \sigma_{j_l+k_l}([\phi])))$$

are differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$  for all  $i = j_l, \dots, j_l + k_l - 1$  and all  $l = 1, \dots, d$ . This is exactly the third statement of Lemma 5.7.  $\square$

The rest of this section is devoted to prove Lemma 5.7. The idea is to express the area and angle parameters as functions of the coordinates of the points  $C_i = x_{C_i} + i \cdot y_{C_i}$  and  $B_i = x_{B_i} + i \cdot y_{B_i}$ . We start with the area parameters.

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . For any  $i = 0, \dots, n-3$ , it holds*

$$a_i([\phi]) = 4 \arcsin \left( \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\beta_i}{2}\right)}{2 \sin\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2} + 2\pi - \beta_{i+1} - \beta_i}{4}\right)} \cdot y_{C_{i+2}}^{-1} y_{B_i}^{-1} ((x_{C_{i+2}} - x_{B_i})^2 + (y_{C_{i+2}} - y_{B_i})^2) \right),$$

where we abbreviated  $\beta_i = \beta_i([\phi])$ ,  $\beta_{i+1} = \beta_{i+1}([\phi])$ ,  $C_{i+2} = C_{i+2}(\phi)$  and  $B_i = B_i(\phi)$ .

*Proof.* The formula is true if the triangle  $\Delta_i$  is degenerate because then  $B_i = C_{i+2}$ . Recall that the hyperbolic distance  $d(C_{i+2}, B_i)$  in the upper half-plane is given by

$$(5.4) \quad \cosh(d(C_{i+2}, B_i)) = 1 + \frac{(x_{C_{i+2}} - x_{B_i})^2 + (y_{C_{i+2}} - y_{B_i})^2}{y_{C_{i+2}} y_{B_i}}.$$

The hyperbolic law of cosines applied to the triangle  $\Delta_i = \Delta(B_i, C_{i+2}, B_{i+1})$  gives

$$\cos\left(\pi - \frac{\beta_{i+1}}{2}\right) = -\cos\left(\pi - \frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\beta_i}{2}\right) + \sin\left(\pi - \frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\beta_i}{2}\right) \cosh(d(C_{i+2}, B_i)).$$

For geometric reasons, it makes sense to keep using  $2\pi - \beta_{i+1}$  and not simplify the corresponding trigonometric terms. Using the angle sum identity for the cosine, this can be rewritten as

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \cos\left(\pi - \frac{\beta_{i+1}}{2}\right) &= \cos\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\beta_i}{2}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\beta_i}{2}\right) \cosh(d(C_{i+2}, B_i)) \\ &= \cos\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2} - \beta_i}{2}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\beta_i}{2}\right) (\cosh(d(C_{i+2}, B_i)) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We use the trigonometric identity  $\cos(x) + \cos(y) = -2 \sin((x-y)/2) \sin((x+y)/2)$  to write

$$\cos\left(\frac{2\pi - \beta_{i+1}}{2}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2} - \beta_i}{2}\right) = -2 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi - \beta_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+2} + \beta_i}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi - \beta_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2} - \beta_i}{4}\right).$$

Using (4.1) we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \cos\left(\frac{2\pi - \beta_{i+1}}{2}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2} - \beta_i}{2}\right) = 2 \sin\left(\frac{a_i([\phi])}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2} + 2\pi - \beta_i - \beta_{i+1}}{4}\right).$$

The conclusion follows from (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6).  $\square$

The formula of Lemma 5.8 for the area parameters is relevant for the following reasons. Recall that the ranges of the functions  $\beta_i$  over  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  are compact subsets of  $(0, 2\pi)$  explicitly written down in (3.10). So, the range of the ratio

$$\frac{\sin\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\beta_i}{2}\right)}{2 \sin\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2} + 2\pi - \beta_i - \beta_{i+1}}{4}\right)}$$

over  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  is a compact interval inside the positive real numbers. On the other hand, the expression

$$y_{C_{i+2}}^{-1} y_{B_i}^{-1} ((x_{C_{i+2}} - x_{B_i})^2 + (y_{C_{i+2}} - y_{B_i})^2)$$

is zero whenever the triangle  $\Delta_i$  is degenerate. This means that the function

$$\frac{a_i}{y_{C_{i+2}}^{-1} y_{B_i}^{-1} ((x_{C_{i+2}} - x_{B_i})^2 + (y_{C_{i+2}} - y_{B_i})^2)}$$

extends analytically to any  $[\phi_0]$  such that  $a_i([\phi_0]) = 0$ . Moreover, its value at  $[\phi_0]$  is the positive number

$$\frac{2 \sin\left(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\beta_i([\phi_0])}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\beta_{i+1}([\phi_0])}{2}\right)},$$

which remains uniformly bounded away from zero by the above remark for every such  $[\phi_0]$ . We conclude that the function

$$(5.7) \quad \sqrt{\frac{a_i}{y_{C_{i+2}}^{-1} y_{B_i}^{-1} ((x_{C_{i+2}} - x_{B_i})^2 + (y_{C_{i+2}} - y_{B_i})^2)}}$$

also extends analytically to any  $[\phi_0]$  such that  $a_i([\phi_0]) = 0$ . We proved

**Lemma 5.9.** *The function defined by (5.7) on the subspace of  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  of all  $[\phi]$  for which  $a_i([\phi]) > 0$  extends analytically to  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ .*

We now proceed with a computation of the angle parameters. We start by introducing the function  $\Gamma: \mathbb{H} \setminus \{i\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  defined as

$$\Gamma(x + iy) := \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0 \text{ and } y > 1, \\ \pi, & x = 0 \text{ and } y < 1, \\ 3\pi/2, & x^2 + y^2 = 1 \text{ and } x > 0, \\ \pi/2, & x^2 + y^2 = 1 \text{ and } x < 0, \\ \pi - \arctan\left(\frac{2x}{x^2 + y^2 - 1}\right), & x^2 + y^2 < 1, \\ -\arctan\left(\frac{2x}{x^2 + y^2 - 1}\right), & x^2 + y^2 > 1. \end{cases}$$

The different domains involved in the definition of  $\Gamma$  are illustrated on Figure 8.




FIGURE 8. Illustration of the different domains involved in the definition of the function  $\Gamma$  and the value of  $\Gamma$  in each of these regions.

The function  $\Gamma$  has a geometric interpretation. It measures the oriented angle between the vertical geodesic ray leaving from  $i$  and the geodesic ray leaving from  $i$  and going through  $x + iy$ . This can easily be seen after noticing that the ratio

$$\frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{2x}$$

is the point on the boundary of the upper half-plane which is the center of the semi-circle supporting the geodesic through  $i$  and  $x + iy$ .

**Lemma 5.10.** *The function  $\Gamma: \mathbb{H} \setminus \{i\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  is continuously differentiable.*

*Proof.* We refer to Figure 8. The function  $\Gamma$  is continuously differentiable in the blue and red regions. These regions are open subdomains of  $\mathbb{H}$ . If one carefully studies the limit behaviour of  $\Gamma$  at the boundary of the blue and red regions, one sees that  $\Gamma$  is a continuous function. The partial derivatives inside the blue and red regions are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( -\arctan \left( \frac{2x}{x^2 + y^2 - 1} \right) \right) = \frac{2(x^2 - y^2 + 1)}{4x^2 + (x^2 + y^2 - 1)^2}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( -\arctan \left( \frac{2x}{x^2 + y^2 - 1} \right) \right) = \frac{4xy}{4x^2 + (x^2 + y^2 - 1)^2}.$$

These partial derivatives extend continuously to  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \{i\}$ . We conclude that  $\Gamma$  is continuously differentiable.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.11.** *It holds*

$$\exp(i \cdot \Gamma(x + iy)) = \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1 - i \cdot 2x}{\sqrt{4x^2 + (x^2 + y^2 - 1)^2}}.$$

*Proof.* We use the following identity:

$$e^{i \cdot \arctan(x)} = \frac{1 + ix}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}}.$$

It implies

$$\exp(i \cdot \Gamma(x + iy)) = \begin{cases} -\exp\left(i \arctan\left(\frac{2x}{x^2+y^2-1}\right)\right), & x^2 + y^2 < 1, \\ \exp\left(i \arctan\left(\frac{2x}{x^2+y^2-1}\right)\right), & x^2 + y^2 > 1. \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$\sqrt{\frac{4x^2}{(x^2+y^2-1)^2} + 1} = \frac{\sqrt{4x^2 + (x^2+y^2-1)^2}}{|x^2+y^2-1|}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(i \cdot \Gamma(x + iy)) &= \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{\sqrt{4x^2 + (x^2 + y^2 - 1)^2}} - i \cdot \frac{2x}{x^2 + y^2 - 1} \cdot \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{\sqrt{4x^2 + (x^2 + y^2 - 1)^2}} \\ &= \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1 - i \cdot 2x}{\sqrt{4x^2 + (x^2 + y^2 - 1)^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $p = x_p + iy_p$  be a point in  $\mathbb{H}$ . We introduce the function  $\Gamma_p: \mathbb{H} \setminus \{p\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$\Gamma_p(z) := \Gamma(y_p^{-1}(z - x_p)).$$

Note that the function  $\Gamma_p$  is defined to be the composition of the function  $\Gamma$  with the orientation-preserving isometry

$$\pm y_p^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} y_p^{-1} & -x_p y_p^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of the upper half-plane that sends  $p$  to  $i$ . This isometry sends vertical geodesics to vertical geodesics. In other words,  $\Gamma_p$  measures the oriented angle between the vertical geodesic ray leaving from  $p$  and the geodesic ray leaving from  $p$  and going through  $z$ . The analogue of Lemma 5.11 for the function  $\Gamma_p$  reads

$$(5.8) \quad \exp(i \cdot \Gamma_p(x + iy)) = \frac{(x - x_p)^2 + y^2 - y_p^2 - i \cdot 2y_p(x - x_p)}{\sqrt{4(x - x_p)^2 + ((x - x_p)^2 + y^2 - y_p^2)^2}}.$$

**Lemma 5.12.** *The function that maps a pair of distinct points  $(p, z)$  in  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$  to*

$$\exp(i \cdot (\Gamma_z(p) - \Gamma_p(z)))$$

*extends to a continuously differentiable function of  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p = x_p + iy_p$  and  $z = x_z + iy_z$ . We use (5.8) to compute, with the help of Wolfram Mathematica<sup>1</sup>,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\exp(i \cdot \Gamma_z(p))}{\exp(i \cdot \Gamma_p(z))} &= \frac{(x_p - x_z)^2 + y_p^2 - y_z^2 - i \cdot 2y_z(x_p - x_z)}{\sqrt{4(x_p - x_z)^2 + ((x_p - x_z)^2 + y_p^2 - y_z^2)^2}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{4(x_z - x_p)^2 + ((x_z - x_p)^2 + y_z^2 - y_p^2)^2}}{(x_z - x_p)^2 + y_z^2 - y_p^2 - i \cdot 2y_p(x_z - x_p)} \\ &= \frac{(x_p - x_z) - i \cdot (y_p + y_z)}{(x_p - x_z) + i \cdot (y_p + y_z)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is a continuously differentiable function of  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ . □

The relation between the function  $\Gamma$  and the angle parameters is immediate. Let  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  be such that  $a_i([\phi]) > 0$  and  $a_{i-1}([\phi]) > 0$ . Let  $\ell_i(\phi)$  be the vertical geodesic ray leaving from  $B_i(\phi)$ . Using the definition of  $\gamma_i$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (5.9) \quad \gamma_i([\phi]) &= \angle(\overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)C_{i+2}(\phi)}, \overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)C_{i+1}(\phi)}) \\ &= \angle(\ell_i(\phi), \overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)C_{i+1}(\phi)}) - \angle(\ell_i(\phi), \overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)C_{i+2}(\phi)}) \\ &= \Gamma_{B_i(\phi)}(C_{i+1}(\phi)) - \Gamma_{B_i(\phi)}(C_{i+2}(\phi)). \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>version 12.2.0.0

The second conclusion of Corollary 3.6 says that

$$\angle(\overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)B_{i+1}(\phi)}, \overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)C_{i+2}(\phi)}) + \angle(\overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)C_{i+1}(\phi)}, \overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)B_{i-1}(\phi)}) = \beta_i/2 + \pi - \beta_i/2 = \pi.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_i([\phi]) &= \pi - \angle(\overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)B_{i-1}(\phi)}, \overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)B_{i+1}(\phi)}) \\ &= \pi - (\angle(\ell_i(\phi), \overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)B_{i+1}(\phi)}) - \angle(\ell_i(\phi), \overrightarrow{B_i(\phi)B_{i-1}(\phi)})) \\ (5.10) \quad &= \pi - (\Gamma_{B_i(\phi)}(B_{i+1}(\phi)) - \Gamma_{B_i(\phi)}(B_{i-1}(\phi))). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.13.** *Let  $j < k$  and  $[\phi_0] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  be such that  $a_{j-1}([\phi_0]) > 0$ ,  $a_{j+k}([\phi_0]) > 0$  and  $a_i([\phi_0]) = 0$  for every  $l = j, \dots, j+k-1$ . Then the function*

$$\exp(i \cdot (\gamma_j([\phi]) + \dots + \gamma_{j+k}([\phi])))$$

is continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$ .

*Proof.* We know from the proof of Lemma 5.4 that the function is continuous. Using (5.10) we write (dropping the dependence on  $\phi$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j + \dots + \gamma_{j+k} &= (k+1)\pi - \sum_{i=j}^{j+k} (\Gamma_{B_i}(B_{i+1}) - \Gamma_{B_i}(B_{i-1})) \\ &= (k+1)\pi + \Gamma_{B_j}(B_{j-1}) - \Gamma_{B_{j+k}}(B_{j+k+1}) - \sum_{i=j}^{j+k-1} (\Gamma_{B_i}(B_{i+1}) - \Gamma_{B_{i+1}}(B_i)). \end{aligned}$$

In a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$  we may assume that  $a_{j-1}$  and  $a_{j+k}$  are nonzero, so that  $B_j \neq B_{j-1}$  and  $B_{j+k} \neq B_{j+k+1}$ . This means that the functions  $\Gamma_{B_j}(B_{j-1})$  and  $\Gamma_{B_{j+k}}(B_{j+k+1})$  are continuously differentiable around  $[\phi_0]$ . Lemma 5.12 implies that every summand in the remaining sum extends to a continuously differentiable function around  $[\phi_0]$ . This concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

The next step consists in expressing  $\exp(i \cdot \Gamma_{B_{i+1}}(C_{i+2}))$  in terms of the coordinates of the points  $B_i$  and  $C_{i+1}$ . We first need to compute the coordinates of the point  $B_{i+1}$  in terms of that of  $B_i$  and  $C_{i+2}$ . Recall that  $B_{i+1}$  is the fixed point of  $\phi(c_{i+2})^{-1}\phi(b_i)$ . Using Proposition 6.2 we can write down explicitly  $\phi(c_{i+2})$  and  $\phi(b_i)$  in terms of the coordinates of  $C_{i+2}$  and  $B_i$ , and the angles  $\alpha_{i+2}$  and  $\beta_i$ . We can then compute the product  $\phi(c_{i+2})^{-1}\phi(b_i)$  and deduce the coordinates of  $B_{i+1}$  using formula (6.1). With the help of Wolfram Mathematica, we obtain

$$x_{B_{i+1}} = \frac{2(x_{C_{i+2}}y_{B_i} \cos(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) + x_{B_i}y_{C_{i+2}} \cos(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2})) + \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2})(x_{B_i}^2 - x_{C_{i+2}}^2 + y_{B_i}^2 - y_{C_{i+2}}^2)}{2(y_{B_i} \cos(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) + y_{C_{i+2}} \cos(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2})) + \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2})(x_{B_i} - x_{C_{i+2}})}$$

and

$$x_{C_{i+2}} - x_{B_{i+1}} = \frac{-\sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2})(2y_{C_{i+2}}(x_{B_i} - x_{C_{i+2}}) \cos(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) + \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2})((x_{B_i} - x_{C_{i+2}})^2 + y_{B_i}^2 - y_{C_{i+2}}^2))}{2(y_{B_i} \cos(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) + y_{C_{i+2}} \cos(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2})) + \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2})(x_{B_i} - x_{C_{i+2}})}.$$

We also have

$$y_{C_{i+2}}^2 - y_{B_{i+1}}^2 = y_{C_{i+2}}^2 - \frac{4y_{B_i}^2y_{C_{i+2}}^2 - (-2y_{B_i}y_{C_{i+2}} \cos(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \cos(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) + \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2})((x_{B_i} - x_{C_{i+2}})^2 + y_{B_i}^2 + y_{C_{i+2}}^2))}{4(y_{B_i} \cos(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) + y_{C_{i+2}} \cos(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2})) + \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2})(x_{B_i} - x_{C_{i+2}})}.$$

We apply (5.8) to get

$$e^{i \cdot \Gamma_{B_{i+1}}(C_{i+2})} = \frac{(x_{C_{i+2}} - x_{B_{i+1}})^2 + y_{C_{i+2}}^2 - y_{B_{i+1}}^2 - i \cdot 2y_{B_{i+1}}(x_{C_{i+2}} - x_{B_{i+1}})}{\sqrt{((x_{B_i} - x_{C_{i+2}})^2 + (y_{B_i} - y_{C_{i+2}})^2)((x_{B_i} - x_{C_{i+2}})^2 + (y_{B_i} + y_{C_{i+2}})^2)}} \cdot \frac{y_{B_i} \cos(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) + y_{C_{i+1}} \cos(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2}) \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) + \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2}) \sin(\frac{\alpha_{i+2}}{2})(x_{B_i} - x_{C_{i+2}})}{-y_{C_{i+2}} \sin(\frac{2\pi-\beta_i}{2})}.$$

The crucial observation is that the irregularity of the function  $\exp(i \cdot \Gamma_{B_{i+1}}(C_{i+2}))$  comes from the presence of the term  $\sqrt{(x_{B_i} - x_{C_{i+2}})^2 + (y_{B_i} - y_{C_{i+2}})^2}$  in the denominator. If we compare this observation with Lemma 5.9 we conclude

**Lemma 5.14.** *The function*

$$[\phi] \mapsto \sqrt{a_i([\phi])} \exp(i \cdot \Gamma_{B_{i+1}(\phi)}(C_{i+2})(\phi))$$

is continuously differentiable on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ .

We are now ready to prove Lemma 5.7.

*Proof of Lemma 5.7.* The first and second assertions of Lemma 5.7 follow from Lemma 5.13. To prove the third assertion, first observe that (as in the proof of Lemma 5.13)  $-\gamma_{i+1}([\phi]) - \dots - \gamma_{j_i+k_i}([\phi])$  can be written as

$$-(j_l + k_l - i)\pi - \Gamma_{B_{i+1}}(B_i) + \Gamma_{B_{j_i+k_i}}(B_{j_i+k_i+1}) + \sum_{m=i+1}^{j_l+k_l-1} (\Gamma_{B_m}(B_{m+1}) - \Gamma_{B_{m+1}}(B_m)).$$

The functions  $\exp(i \cdot (\Gamma_{B_m}(B_{m+1}) - \Gamma_{B_{m+1}}(B_m)))$  are continuously differentiable by Lemma 5.12. Since  $a_{j_i+k_i}([\phi_0]) > 0$  by hypothesis, the points  $B_{j_i+k_i}(\phi)$  and  $B_{j_i+k_i+1}(\phi)$  are distinct for  $[\phi]$  is a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$ . Thus the function  $\exp(i \cdot \Gamma_{B_{j_i+k_i}}(B_{j_i+k_i+1}))$  is continuously differentiable in the same neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$ . Now observe that

$$\Gamma_{B_{i+1}}(B_i) = \Gamma_{B_{i+1}}(C_{i+2}) + \beta_{i+1}/2.$$

For the function  $\beta_{i+1}$  is analytic on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ , it is sufficient to prove that

$$\sqrt{a_i} \exp(i \cdot \Gamma_{B_{i+1}}(C_{i+2}))$$

is continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $[\phi_0]$  to conclude the third assertion of Lemma 5.7. This is precisely the statement of Lemma 5.14.  $\square$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 5.6.

**5.4. Diffeomorphism property.** We now prove

**Proposition 5.15.** *The map  $\mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  is a diffeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Thanks to Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.6 we know that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a continuously differentiable bijection. To prove that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a diffeomorphism it is thus sufficient to prove that the differential of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is regular at every point.

Let  $[\phi_0] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  and assume for simplicity that  $a_0([\phi_0]) > 0$ . We decide to work in the chart  $\{z_0 \neq 0\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ . In a slight abuse of notation, we write  $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_{n-3})$  for the map

$$[\phi] \mapsto \left( \sqrt{\frac{a_1([\phi])}{a_0([\phi])}} e^{i\sigma_1([\phi])}, \dots, \sqrt{\frac{a_{n-3}([\phi])}{a_0([\phi])}} e^{i\sigma_{n-3}([\phi])} \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-3}$$

defined for every  $[\phi] \in \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  such that  $a_0([\phi]) > 0$ . We prove that  $(d\mathfrak{C})_{[\phi_0]}$  is surjective. We distinguish two cases according to whether some area parameters of  $[\phi_0]$  vanish.

First assume that  $a_i([\phi_0]) > 0$  for every  $i$ . We consider the decomposition of the tangent space to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  at  $\mathfrak{C}([\phi_0])$  as the direct sum of the kernel of the differential of the moment map  $\nu$  defined in (4.5) and its complement  $V$ :

$$T_{\mathfrak{C}([\phi_0])} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3} = \text{Ker}((d\nu)_{\mathfrak{C}([\phi_0])}) \oplus V.$$

Both subspaces have dimension  $n - 3$  because  $\mathfrak{C}([\phi_0])$  lies in a regular fibre of  $\nu$ . Since we are assuming  $a_i([\phi_0]) > 0$  for every  $i$ , the exterior derivative of  $\mathfrak{C}$  at  $[\phi_0]$  is given in components by

$$(5.11) \quad (d\mathfrak{C}_i)_{[\phi_0]} = e^{i\sigma_i([\phi_0])} \left( i \cdot (d\sigma_i)_{[\phi_0]} \sqrt{\frac{a_i([\phi_0])}{a_0([\phi_0])}} + \frac{a_0([\phi_0])(da_i)_{[\phi_0]} - a_i([\phi_0])(da_0)_{[\phi_0]}}{2a_0([\phi_0])\sqrt{a_i([\phi_0])a_0([\phi_0])}} \right).$$

Note that since the torus action on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  is by diffeomorphisms, we can neglect the term  $e^{i\sigma_i([\phi_0])}$  appearing in (5.11). Said differently,  $d\mathfrak{C}$  is surjective at  $[\phi_0]$  if and only if it is surjective at  $[\phi'_0]$ , where  $[\phi'_0]$  and  $[\phi_0]$  lie in the same fibre of the moment and  $\sigma_i([\phi'_0]) = 0$  for every  $i$ .

We consider a first family of smooth deformations of  $[\phi_0]$  along the orbits corresponding to a fixed component of the torus action. Assume that we deform along the orbit corresponding to the

$i$ th component of the torus. Along that deformation all the area parameters  $a_j$  are constant and the angle parameters  $\sigma_j$  are constant for  $j \neq i$ . The image under the differential of  $\mathfrak{C}$  of that deformation is generated by the complex direction of the  $i$ th component of  $\mathbb{C}^{n-3}$  according to (5.11). Moreover, the images of each such deformation lie in the kernel of  $(d\nu)_{\mathfrak{C}([\phi_0])}$  by Proposition 5.5. Comparing dimensions, we conclude that the image of  $(d\mathfrak{C})_{[\phi_0]}$  contains the kernel of  $(d\nu)_{\mathfrak{C}([\phi_0])}$ .

Next we consider a second family of smooth deformations of  $[\phi_0]$  corresponding to the complement  $W$  of the kernel of the differential of the moment map  $\mu$  defined in (3.9):

$$T_{[\phi_0]} \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) = \text{Ker}((d\mu)_{[\phi_0]}) \oplus W.$$

Proposition 5.5 says that  $\mu = \nu \circ \mathfrak{C}$  which implies  $(d\mathfrak{C})_{[\phi_0]}(W) \subset V$ . Because both  $(d\mu)_{[\phi_0]}$  and  $(d\nu)_{\mathfrak{C}([\phi_0])}$  have maximal rank, we conclude that  $(d\mathfrak{C})_{[\phi_0]}$  maps  $W$  surjectively onto  $V$ . This shows that the image of  $(d\mathfrak{C})_{[\phi_0]}$  contains  $V$ . We conclude that  $(d\mathfrak{C})_{[\phi_0]}$  is surjective.

Now, we deal with the case where  $a_i([\phi_0]) = 0$  for some index  $i$ . The argument here relies on the existence of a smooth deformation  $[\phi_t]$  of  $[\phi_0]$  such that  $a_i([\phi_t]) > 0$  for  $t \neq 0$ . The existence of such a deformation is a general property of symplectic toric manifolds (recall that  $a_i$  is a multiple of the  $i$ th component of the moment map  $\mu$ ). Let us abbreviate  $a_i(t) := a_i([\phi_t])$ . Note that, by assumption,  $a_i(0) = a_i'(0) = 0$ . We can choose the deformation  $[\phi_t]$  to ensure that  $a_i''(0) > 0$ . Proposition 5.6 implies that the function

$$[\phi] \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{a_1([\phi])}{a_0([\phi])}} e^{i\sigma_1([\phi])}$$

is continuously differentiable. We claim that its derivative at  $[\phi_0]$  along the deformation  $[\phi_t]$  is nonzero. This is the case because we assumed  $a_i''(0) > 0$ . This means that the image of  $(d\mathfrak{C}_i)_{[\phi_0]}$  inside  $\mathbb{C}$  has real dimension at least one. However, whenever  $a_i([\phi_0]) = 0$ , there is an effective action of the  $i$ th component of the torus on  $T_{[\phi_0]} \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . Since the torus action is by diffeomorphisms and  $\mathfrak{C}$  is equivariant, we conclude that  $(d\mathfrak{C}_i)_{[\phi_0]}$  is surjective. We can repeat this argument for every index  $i$  such that  $a_i([\phi_0]) = 0$ . Combined with the previous case, this shows that  $(d\mathfrak{C})_{[\phi_0]}$  is surjective even when some area parameters vanish.  $\square$

**5.5. Symplectomorphism property.** Finally, we prove that

**Proposition 5.16.** *The map  $\mathfrak{C}: \text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  is a symplectomorphism, i.e.*

$$\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{C}^* \omega_{\mathcal{FS}} = \omega_G.$$

To prove Proposition 5.16, we need the following result about symplectic toric manifolds. The result is folklore; a proof is included for completeness.

**Lemma 5.17.** *Let  $M$  be a compact connected smooth manifold of dimension  $2m$ . Assume that  $M$  is equipped with an effective action of an  $m$ -dimensional torus. Let  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  be two symplectic forms on  $M$  for which the torus action is Hamiltonian with respect to the same moment map  $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then  $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathring{M}$  denote the preimage of the interior of the moment polytope. This is an open and dense subset of  $M$ . It is thus sufficient to check that  $\omega_1 = \omega_2$  on  $\mathring{M}$ . The Arnold-Liouville Theorem states (see e.g. [CdS01]) the existence of angle coordinates  $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$  and  $(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m)$  defined on  $\mathring{M}$  such that

$$\omega_1 \upharpoonright_{\mathring{M}} = \sum_{i=1}^m d\mu_i \wedge d\varphi_i, \quad \omega_2 \upharpoonright_{\mathring{M}} = \sum_{i=1}^m d\mu_i \wedge d\psi_i,$$

where  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  is the moment map.

We are assuming that the torus action on  $M$  is Hamiltonian with moment map  $\mu$  for both  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ . So, for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , if  $\Theta$  denotes the vector field on  $M$  defined by the infinitesimal action of  $\theta$ , then  $\omega_1(\Theta, \cdot) = d\langle \mu, \theta \rangle = \omega_2(\Theta, \cdot)$ . By letting  $\theta$  range over the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , we observe that  $d\varphi_i = d\psi_i$  must hold for every  $i$ . Hence  $\omega_1 \upharpoonright_{\mathring{M}} = \omega_2 \upharpoonright_{\mathring{M}}$ . This concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 5.16.* We want to apply Lemma 5.17 for the torus action (3.7) on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . This action is Hamiltonian with respect to the symplectic form  $\omega_G$  and the moment map  $\mu$  defined in (3.9). Proposition 5.15 says that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a diffeomorphism. So,  $\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{C}^* \omega_{\mathcal{FS}}$  is another symplectic form on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$ . Proposition 5.5 implies that the torus action (3.7) on  $\text{Rep}_\alpha^{\text{DT}}(\Sigma_n, G)$  is Hamiltonian with respect to the symplectic form  $\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{C}^* \omega_{\mathcal{FS}}$  and the moment map  $\mu$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.17,  $\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{C}^* \omega_{\mathcal{FS}} = \omega_G$ .  $\square$

Propositions 5.5 and 5.16 together prove Theorem 4.1.

## 6. APPENDIX

**A. The subspace of elliptic elements in  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .** The Lie group  $G = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is the quotient of the group  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  of real  $2 \times 2$  matrices with determinant 1 by  $\{\pm I\}$ , where  $I$  is the identity  $2 \times 2$  matrix. It can be identified with the group of orientation-preserving isometries of the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$ . It acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  by Möbius transformations

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot z := \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

The open subspace of  $G$  consisting of elements whose trace in absolute value is smaller than 2 is called the subspace of *elliptic elements* of  $G$ . It is denoted  $\mathcal{E} \subset G$ . Equivalently, an element of  $G$  is elliptic if and only if it has a unique fixed point in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *If*

$$A = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

*is elliptic, then  $b \neq 0$  and  $c \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $b = 0$  or  $c = 0$ , then  $\det(A) = ad = 1$ . So,  $\text{tr}(A)^2 = (a + d)^2 \geq 4ad = 4$  and  $A$  is not elliptic.  $\square$

Let  $A = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  be an elliptic element. We denote the unique fixed point of  $A$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  by  $\text{fix}(A)$ . A rapid computation shows that

$$(6.1) \quad \text{fix}(A) = \frac{a - d}{2c} + i \cdot \frac{\sqrt{4 - (a + d)^2}}{2|c|}.$$

Since  $c \neq 0$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  by Lemma 6.1, the association of their fixed point to elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  is an analytic map

$$\text{fix}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}.$$

The elliptic elements that fix the complex unit  $i \in \mathbb{H}$  are of the form

$$\text{rot}_\vartheta := \pm \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\vartheta/2) & \sin(\vartheta/2) \\ -\sin(\vartheta/2) & \cos(\vartheta/2) \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $\vartheta \in (0, 2\pi)$ . Every  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  is conjugate to a unique  $\text{rot}_{\vartheta(A)}$ . The number  $\vartheta(A) \in (0, 2\pi)$  is called the *angle of rotation* of  $A$ . It can be computed as the complex argument of

$$(6.2) \quad \left. \frac{dA}{dz} \right|_{z=\text{fix } A} = \left( \frac{(a + d)^2}{2} - 1 \right) - i \cdot (a + d) \frac{c}{|c|} \frac{\sqrt{4 - (a + d)^2}}{2}.$$

Observe that the imaginary part of (6.2) vanishes if and only if  $a + d = 0$ , in which case its real part is equal to  $-1$ . This means that the complex number defined by (6.2) takes values inside  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . If we think of the complex argument of a number inside  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  as a function  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow (0, 2\pi)$ , then it is analytic. We conclude that the angle of rotation

$$\vartheta: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (0, 2\pi)$$

is an analytic function. For the sake of completeness, we state here the explicit formula to compute the angle of rotation of  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ :

$$(6.3) \quad \vartheta(A) = \arctan\left(\frac{-c}{|c|} \cdot \frac{a+d}{(a+d)^2-2} \sqrt{4-(a+d)^2}\right) + \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (a+d)^2 > 2 \text{ and } (a+d)\frac{-c}{|c|} > 0, \\ \pi, & \text{if } (a+d)^2 < 2, \\ 2\pi, & \text{if } (a+d)^2 > 2 \text{ and } (a+d)\frac{-c}{|c|} < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 6.2.** *The map*

$$(\text{fix}, \vartheta): \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \times (0, 2\pi)$$

*is an analytic diffeomorphism.*

*Proof.* We explained above that the map  $(\text{fix}, \vartheta)$  is analytic. The inverse map sends a point  $z = x + i \cdot y \in \mathbb{H}$  and an angle  $\vartheta \in (0, 2\pi)$  to the elliptic element

$$(6.4) \quad \text{rot}_\vartheta(z) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\vartheta/2) - xy^{-1} \sin(\vartheta/2) & (x^2y^{-1} + y) \sin(\vartheta/2) \\ -y^{-1} \sin(\vartheta/2) & \cos(\vartheta/2) + xy^{-1} \sin(\vartheta/2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed, an immediate computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fix}(\text{rot}_\vartheta(z)) &= \frac{-2xy^{-1} \sin(\vartheta/2)}{-2y^{-1} \sin(\vartheta/2)} + i \cdot \frac{2 \sin(\vartheta/2)}{2y^{-1} \sin(\vartheta/2)} \\ &= x + iy, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\text{rot}_\vartheta(z)) &= \arg\left(\left(\frac{4 \cos(\vartheta/2)^2}{2} - 1\right) - i \cdot (2 \cos(\vartheta/2)) \cdot (-1) \cdot \frac{2 \sin(\vartheta/2)}{2}\right) \\ &= \arg(\cos(\vartheta) + i \sin(\vartheta)) \\ &= \vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [BG99] Robert L. Benedetto and William M. Goldman, *The topology of the relative character varieties of a quadruply-punctured sphere*, Experiment. Math. **8** (1999), no. 1, 85–103. MR 1685040
- [BIW10] Marc Burger, Alessandra Iozzi, and Anna Wienhard, *Surface group representations with maximal Toledo invariant*, Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 1, 517–566. MR 2680425
- [CdS01] Ana Cannas da Silva, *Lectures on symplectic geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1764, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. MR 1853077
- [DT19] Bertrand Deroin and Nicolas Tholozan, *Supra-maximal representations from fundamental groups of punctured spheres to  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$* , Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **52** (2019), no. 5, 1305–1329. MR 4057784
- [FM12] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 49, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. MR 2850125
- [GHJW97] K. Guruprasad, J. Huebschmann, L. Jeffrey, and A. Weinstein, *Group systems, groupoids, and moduli spaces of parabolic bundles*, Duke Math. J. **89** (1997), no. 2, 377–412. MR 1460627
- [Gol84] William M. Goldman, *The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces*, Adv. in Math. **54** (1984), no. 2, 200–225. MR 762512
- [Gol86] ———, *Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 2, 263–302. MR 846929
- [KM96] Michael Kapovich and John J. Millson, *The symplectic geometry of polygons in Euclidean space*, J. Differential Geom. **44** (1996), no. 3, 479–513. MR 1431002
- [Löh10] Clara Löh, *Group cohomology & bounded cohomology, an introduction for topologists*, November 2010.
- [Mar20] Arnaud Maret, *Ergodicity of the mapping class group action on super-maximal representations*, arXiv:2012.05775, 2020.
- [Mon16] Gabriele Mondello, *Topology of representation spaces of surface groups in  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  with assigned boundary monodromy and nonzero Euler number*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **12** (2016), no. 3, 399–462. MR 3767231
- [Nos17] Takefumi Nosaka, *Quandles and topological pairs*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer, Singapore, 2017, Symmetry, knots, and cohomology. MR 3729413
- [Wol83] Scott Wolpert, *On the symplectic geometry of deformations of a hyperbolic surface*, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 2, 207–234. MR 690844

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, RUPRECHT-KARLS-UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG, GERMANY  
*Email address:* [amaret@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de](mailto:amaret@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de)