We explore the interplay between nematicity (spontaneous breaking of the sixfold rotational symmetry), superconductivity, and non-Fermi liquid behavior in partially flat-band models on the triangular lattice. A key result is that the nematicity (Pomeranchuk instability), which is driven by many-body effect and stronger in flat-band systems, enhances superconducting transition temperature in a systematic manner on the $T_c$ dome. There, a $s_{x^2+y^2} - d_{x^2-y^2} - d_{xy}$-wave symmetry, in place of the conventional $d_{xy}$-wave symmetry, governs the nematicity-enhanced pairing with a sharp rise in the $T_c$ dome on the filling axis. When the sixfold symmetry is spontaneously broken, the pairing becomes more compact in real space than in the case when the symmetry is enforced. These are accompanied by a non-Fermi character of electrons in the partially flat bands with many-body interactions.

Introduction. — Strongly correlated systems have become an epitome in the condensed-matter physics, as exemplified by the high-temperature superconductivity in the cuprate [1], and iron-based [2] families with rich phase diagrams as hallmarked by the emergence of unconventional superconductivity, and a plethora of magnetic orders, thereby giving opportunities for nematic instabilities to arise. As a key finding, we shall provide pieces of evidence that nematicity can significantly enhance transition temperatures ($T_c$) in the superconducting phase, where $s_{x^2+y^2} - d_{x^2-y^2} - d_{xy}$-wave pairing resides. This takes place for an intermediate Hubbard repulsion and in a non-Fermi liquid regime. To further corroborate our findings on the synergy between the flat dispersion, nematicity, and superconductivity, we analyze a tailored system on a triangular structure with a completely flat subregion. There, we again observe a more pronounced nematic instability and its effect on the superconductivity, as elaborated in the supplemental materials (SM) for details [27].

Model and method. — The Hubbard Hamiltonian on the isotropic triangular lattice reads

$$H = \sum_{k,\sigma} \varepsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} - \mu \sum_i n_{i\sigma},$$

(1)

where $c_{k\sigma}^\dagger$ ($c_{k\sigma}$) creates (annihilates) an electron with momentum $k = (k_x, k_y)$ and spin $\sigma$ at site $i$, $n_{i\sigma} \equiv c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}$. The repulsive Hubbard interaction is denoted as $U$, and $\mu$ is the chemical potential. We cast the non-interacting band dispersion $\varepsilon_k$ on the triangular lattice as $\varepsilon_k = -\frac{W}{W_0} \varepsilon_{k,0}(t,t')$ [28] with

$$\varepsilon_{k,0}(t,t') = \left[ -2 \cos(k_x) - 4 \cos(k_x/2) \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y/2) \right]$$

$$+ t' \left[ -2 \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y) - 4 \cos(3k_x/2) \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y/2) \right],$$

(2)
where \( t \) is the nearest-neighbor hopping (taken as a unit of energy) and \( t' \) is the second-neighbor hopping. We normalize the bandwidth \( W \) by \( W_0 \), the bandwidth of \( \varepsilon_{k,0} \) as a function of \((t, t')\). Here, we mainly consider \((t, t') = (1.0, 0.15)\), which possesses a nearly flat region along \( K - K' - K'' \), see SM [27], and compare the results with those for \( t' = 0 \), which we call the regular band and has a van Hove singularity. To facilitate later comparisons, we set \( W = 7.533t \) for both the PFB and regular \((t' = 0)\) models. For the interaction, we set \( U = 4.5t \), with the inverse temperature set to be \( \beta = 1/(k_B T) = 30/t \) except in Fig. 3(b).

Since we are interested in momentum-dependent pairing interactions, we employ here the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) combined self-consistently with the fluctuation exchange approximation (FLEX), known as the FLEX+DMFT formalism [29]. To study the effect of nematicity, i.e., a spontaneously broken sixfold \((C_6)\) symmetry in the electronic structure from the triangular lattice, we calculate the FLEX+DMFT self-consistent loops both with and without imposing the \( C_6 \) constraints [30]. We also introduced a small distorted initial self-energy to see if this seed arouses nematic instabilities (see SM [27] for details).

**Nematicity and non-Fermi liquid behavior.** We start with presenting Green’s functions in momentum space in Fig. 1(top row). The band filling is varied from hole-doped \((\langle n \rangle = 0.9\) panel(a)), half-filled \((\langle n \rangle = 1.0, \) (b)) up to electron-doped \((\langle n \rangle = 1.1, \) (c)). While sharp ridges in \(|G_k|^2\) would indicate well-defined Fermi surfaces, Fig. 1 shows that the ridges are not very sharp for all the band fillings displayed, implying the system does not possess well-defined Fermi surfaces. Notably, the hole-doped case for the PFB exhibits a degrading of \(C_6\) down to a twofold \(C_2\) symmetry in \(|G_k|^2\). Namely, we have here an emergence of nematicity, or a Pomeranchuk instability. The breaking of \(C_6\) is seen to occur even at half-filling, while the electron-doped case shows a preserved \(C_6\).

The filling dependent instabilities can be further tracked in the momentum distribution function plotted in panels (d-f) in Fig. 1(middle rows). For a system with a well-defined Fermi surface, \(\langle n_k \rangle\) should take the value of unity (zero) inside (outside) the Fermi surface for \(T \to 0\). For all band fillings in our results, the maximum of the momentum-dependent distribution function is below unity. Numerically, \(\max\langle n_k \rangle \approx 0.92\) in the electron-doped \(\langle n \rangle = 0.9\) [Fig. 1(f)], at half-filling \(\max\langle n_k \rangle \approx 0.89\) (e), and \(\max\langle n_k \rangle \approx 0.85\) at \(\langle n \rangle = 0.9\) below half-filling (d).

To quantify the broken \(C_6\) symmetry, we introduce point-group resolved Pomeranchuk order parameters defined as \(\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}} = \sum_k d_{x^2-y^2}(k)n_k\) and \(\xi_{d_{xy}} = \sum_k d_{xy}(k)n_k\), with \(\sum_k = 1\) [31]. The form factors, \(d_{x^2-y^2} = \cos(k_x) - \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y/2)\cos(k_x/2)\) and \(d_{xy} = \sqrt{3}\sin(\sqrt{3}k_y/2)\sin(k_x/2)\), describe the distortion of the Fermi surface in the point group \(C_6\) and \(\xi\) is a real number with values between zero (when \(C_6\) is preserved) and unity.

Figure 2(a) displays \(\xi\) against the band filling. We can see that, as the band filling is reduced, \(\xi\) starts to grow, and at a critical band filling \(\langle n_c \rangle\), \(\xi_{d_{xy}}\) in the regular band model and \(\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}\) in the PFB model respectively undergo first-order phase transitions [32, 33]. \(\langle n_c \rangle\) is shown to depend sensitively on the strength of the repulsion, which is natural because the Pomeranchuk instability is correlation-driven. The critical band filling for the present choice of parameters is \(\langle n_c \rangle = 1.02\) for PFB [vertical blue line in Fig. 2(a)]. At this filling, the onset of nematicity is accompanied by a Lifshitz transition, where the Fermi surface delineated by the ridges in \(|G_k|^2\) is not only distorted but undergoes a topological change from closed to open structures; compare panel (b) with (c) in Fig. 1. We can also see \(\langle n_c \rangle\) and \(\xi\) are sensitive to the area of the flat regions as well. For the regular band model with \(t' = 0\) with no flat portion, \(\langle n_c \rangle = 0.908\) and \(\xi\) diminishes below \(\langle n_c \rangle\), see SM [27]. This suggests that breaking of the \(C_6\) symmetry is more severe in systems with larger flat subregions.

We further notice that the filling dependence of the nematicity differs between \(\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}\) and \(\xi_{d_{xy}}\) in the PFB model; compare purple and magenta lines in Fig. 2(a). For 0.986 < \(\langle n \rangle\) < \(\langle n_c \rangle\), \(\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}\) is dominant, while \(\xi_{d_{xy}}\) takes over below \(\langle n \rangle = 0.986\), which we call the second characteristic band filling, \(\langle n_c \rangle\) [vertical dashed sky-blue
stability is stronger for the PFB system with
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Figure 2. (a) Pomeranchuk order parameter ξ. ξ_{d_{xy}}
is represented by solid red (for t′ = 0.0) and purple (for
t′ = 0.15) lines. ξ_{d_{xy}} is shown by dashed orange (for t′ = 0.0) and magenta (for t′ = 0.15) lines. (b) Exponent α of the

Figure 3. (a) The largest eigenvalue λ of the linearized Eliashberg equation for the singlet pairing symmetry against

band filling for the PFB system with t′ = 0.15 and U = 4.5,

with the broken (dark blue curves) or unbroken (sky-blue) sixfold symmetry. Black horizontal line marks λ = 1, and an

arrow points to the dip in λ when C6 is enforced. (b) Superconducting transition temperature T_c against band filling,

where we compare the PFB (dark blue) with the regular band (green). Vertical solid blue and green lines in both panels indicate respective ⟨n⟩, while vertical dotted sky blue lines indicate ⟨n⟩ = ⟨n_c⟩. Note a difference in the range of plots for the two panels.

distortion of the lattice or phonons, precludes structural transitions. We have checked that the charge susceptibility

is at least an order of magnitude smaller than the spin susceptibility in both the regular and PFB models. Thus

the spin-mediated correlations should be responsible for the emergence of the Pomeranchuk instability [37].

Now let us turn to a non-Fermi liquid character of the present electronic systems, since the flat portions of the band

may well exert peculiar effects. We can quantify this in terms of the impurity self-energy in DMFT by fitting the imaginary part of the self-energy on Matsubara axis to |ImΣ_{DMFT}(iω_n)| ∝ ω_n^α, and present the result for the exponent α in Fig. 2(b). In general, α = 1 (c.f.,

α = 2 on real frequency axis) characterizes the Fermi liquid, while α < 0.5 will signify a non-Fermi liquid (bad metal) behavior [38–40]. Above the first order Pomeranchuk transition for ⟨n⟩ > ⟨n_c⟩, α’s computed for systems with (dashed lines) and without (solid lines) the enforced C6 constraint naturally coincide with each other both for t′ = 0 (green lines) and 0.15 (blue). We can see that both systems display strong non-Fermi liquid behavior with α well below 1. If we turn to ⟨n⟩ < ⟨n_c⟩ for which we have revealed the nematicity, Fig. 2(b) shows

notable differences in α between the cases where C6 is enforced or not. After a sharp drop at ⟨n_c⟩ as the band

filling is reduced, α gradually decreases (increases) in

line in Fig. 2(a)]. While ξ_{d_{xy}} displays a first-order transition at ⟨n⟩ = 0.908 (vertical solid green line) both ξ_{d_{xy}} and ξ_{d_{z^2−r^2}} experience the first-order transitions are different from each other. If we turn to the regular band model, ξ gradually grows as the band filling is reduced, and close to ⟨n⟩ = 0.908 (vertical solid green line) both ξ_{d_{xy}} and ξ_{d_{z^2−r^2}} undergo a first-order transition. Below these transitions, the Pomeranchuk instability is stronger for the PFB system with t′ = 0.15 than for the regular one with t′ = 0. This implies that the presence of flat portions in the dispersion promotes the nematicity.

To trace back the origin of the nematic phases, let us next present the momentum-dependent spin susceptibility χ_s(k) for the PFB model in Fig.1(g-i). In the electron-doped regime where the Pomeranchuk instability is absent, χ_s respects the sixfold rotational symmetry of the lattice, with peaks at k = (3π/2,0) and its equivalent positions under C6. As band filling is decreased below the half-filling, the spin susceptibility develops spikes around k = (3π/3,2π/3) and the equivalent places under the symmetry now degrades into C2. The appearance of spikes at mid-momenta in the spin susceptibilities indicates the presence of long-range spin fluctuations in our systems [34].

Generally speaking, an electronic nematicity without breaking the translational symmetry can be driven by structural transitions, charge [35] or spin [36] fluctuations. Our Hamiltonian, which does not deal with the
the presence (absence) of the imposed sixfold constraint. Eventually $\alpha$ starts to decrease with decreasing $\langle n \rangle$ at $\langle n \rangle \approx 0.85$ (0.82) in the PFB (regular) system. The persistent $\alpha < 0.5$ for $\langle n \rangle < \langle n_c \rangle$ implies that the nematic phase resides in the non-Fermi liquid regime.

Superconductivity.— Now let us come to our key interest in pairing instabilities, for which we solve the linearized Eliashberg equation does not indicate magnitudes of $\Delta$.

Eventually the presence (absence) of the imposed sixfold constraint. The gap function is bluish (reddish) for negative (positive) values, for which we have omitted the color bars since the linearized Eliashberg equation does not indicate magnitudes of $\Delta$.

Eventually the presence (absence) of the imposed sixfold constraint. The gap function is bluish (reddish) for negative (positive) values, for which we have omitted the color bars since the linearized Eliashberg equation does not indicate magnitudes of $\Delta$.

Eventually the presence (absence) of the imposed sixfold constraint. The gap function is bluish (reddish) for negative (positive) values, for which we have omitted the color bars since the linearized Eliashberg equation does not indicate magnitudes of $\Delta$.

Eventually the presence (absence) of the imposed sixfold constraint. The gap function is bluish (reddish) for negative (positive) values, for which we have omitted the color bars since the linearized Eliashberg equation does not indicate magnitudes of $\Delta$.
and increases up to 0.5 below $\langle n_c \rangle$.

To better understand the role of nematicity in superconducting phases, we can look at $\Delta V_{\text{eff}} = V_{\text{eff}} - V_{\text{eff}}^{C6}$, where $V_{\text{eff}}^{C6}$ is the effective interaction with the imposed $C_6$ constraint. As shown in Fig.S19 in SM [27], $V_{\text{eff}}$ is much intensified when $C_6$ is lifted. Since $\chi_{c}$ is much smaller than $\chi_{s}$, the effective pairing interaction reflects the momentum-dependence of the spin-susceptibility under the Pomeranchuk distortions. This effective interaction assists electrons to nonlocally form Cooper pairs [15, 33, 45]. The deformation in $\Delta V_{\text{eff}}$ allows first-order perturbation corrections in the distortion, which should be responsible for the drastic changes in $\lambda$ below $\langle n_c \rangle$. This contrasts with the previous study on the interplay between nematicity and superconductivity, where the enhancement of $\lambda$ originates from the second-order perturbation corrections and thus results in much smaller changes [37].

Summary. — We have studied whether and how an emergent nematicity affects superconductivity in partially flat bands on the isotropic triangular lattice. We have shown with the FLEX+DMFT that nematicity does dramatically affect pairing symmetry, where $T_C$ can significantly be enhanced by the lowered point-group symmetry in the electronic structure. This is shown to occur in a non-Fermi liquid regime, which is characterized by blurred Fermi surfaces, momentum-dependent fractional occupations of the band, and a fractional power-law in the self-energy. In the presence of nematic orders, the superconducting symmetry changes from an (extended) $d_{x^2-y^2}$-wave to a $s_{x^2+y^2} - d_{xy} - d_{x^2-y^2}$-wave, where the pairing interaction is indeed governed by an interplay between an intrinsic spin structure unlike in the conventional nesting-dominated situations. The partially flat-band model possesses a $T_c$ dome whose width vs filling is wider than that in the non-flat band model.

Future works should include the elaboration of the way in which the non-Fermi liquid property affects the superconductivity, and exploration of the interplay between Pomeranchuk instability and superconductivity in multiband/orbital systems with flat regions.
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[27] The Supplemental Material includes details on ideal partially flat-band systems, further results on PFB model, and details on possible symmetries of singlet gap functions on the triangular lattice.

[28] Note that the minus sign in the definition of $\varepsilon_k$ ensures locating the nearly flat region at the bottom of the band.


[34] In SM, we present spatially extended pairings, with multi-nodal gap functions for $\langle n \rangle < \langle n_\text{min} \rangle$ (marked with a blue arrow in Fig. 3) in PFB with $C_6$ symmetry [27]. However, such pairings do not form when nematicity is present. As a result, the weight of the real-space gap function in PFB mainly extend to the nearest neighbors.

[35] We can check that the values of $\lambda$ for the spin-triplet even-frequency, singlet and triplet odd-frequency gap functions are much smaller than those for the spin-singlet even-frequency.

[36] We can check that the values of $\lambda$ for the spin-triplet even-frequency, singlet and triplet odd-frequency gap functions are much smaller than those for the spin-singlet even-frequency.

[37] In SM, we present spatially extended pairings, with multi-nodal gap functions for $\langle n \rangle < \langle n_\text{min} \rangle$ (marked with a blue arrow in Fig. 3) in PFB with $C_6$ symmetry [27]. However, such pairings do not form when nematicity is present. As a result, the weight of the real-space gap function in PFB mainly extend to the nearest neighbors.

[38] We can check that the values of $\lambda$ for the spin-triplet even-frequency, singlet and triplet odd-frequency gap functions are much smaller than those for the spin-singlet even-frequency.

[39] In SM, we present spatially extended pairings, with multi-nodal gap functions for $\langle n \rangle < \langle n_\text{min} \rangle$ (marked with a blue arrow in Fig. 3) in PFB with $C_6$ symmetry [27]. However, such pairings do not form when nematicity is present. As a result, the weight of the real-space gap function in PFB mainly extend to the nearest neighbors.

[40] Supplementary studies on the ordinary triangular lattice, with an inverted band structure from the present regular band model, in the strong (electron-electron) coupling regime suggests the chiral $d_{x^2−y^2} + id_{xy}$ superconductivity in an hole-doped regime as the the doublet $[d_{x^2−y^2}, d_{xy}]$ in the point group $C_6$ is degenerate [27]. This situation still holds for $\langle n \rangle > \langle n_c \rangle$ in our systems, although $T_c$ is much smaller in this region than for $\langle n \rangle < \langle n_c \rangle$.


[42] Louis-François Arsenault, Patrick Séémin, and A.-M. S. Tremblay, “Benchmark of a modified iterated perturbation theory approach on the fcc lattice at strong cou-

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Appendix A: Numerical Method

To study paramagnetic phases with no spin imbalance, we employ the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) combined with the fluctuation exchange approximation (FLEX), known as the FLEX+DMFT formalism [29]. This method comprises both the DMFT and FLEX loops, which will be solved self-consistently at each level of FLEX+DMFT iterations. In this work, we solve the DMFT impurity problem by the modified iterative perturbation theory [46, 47]. The momentum-dependent FLEX self-energy is also constructed from the algebraic sum of bubble and ladder diagrams. After removing the doubly-counted diagrams in the local FLEX self-energy, the FLEX+DMFT self-energy is updated, and momentum-dependent Green’s functions are calculated afterward. The momentum-dependent self-energy in the FLEX+DMFT incorporates vertex corrections generated from the DMFT iterations into the local part of the FLEX self-energy. Even though our FLEX+DMFT method lacks treating spatial vertex corrections, larger coordination number and frustrated magnetic fluctuations in the triangular lattice give rise to more local self-energies and less dominant spatial vertex corrections as compared to the square lattice [48]. As a result, the FLEX+DMFT formalism is a reliable approach that can deal with local and nonlocal correlations, which are needed to study Mott’s physics and spin-mediated effects, such as unconventional superconductivity.

While the non-interacting tight-binding Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) has the sixfold rotational ($C_6$) lattice symmetry, the solution of the interacting problem may lower the symmetry. To study the phases with/without $C_6$ symmetry, we solve the FLEX+DMFT loops with/without imposing the $C_6$ constraints, which is $C_6[f(k)] = f(k_{rot})$ with $k_{rot} = kM^T$ where $M^T$ stands for the transposed sixfold rotation matrix. To explore the Pomeranchuk instability with the broken $C_6$ symmetry, we initialize the self-energy as $\Sigma_{in} = 0.05[\cos(k_x) - \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y/2) \cos(k_z/2)]$ which works as a seed to distort the Fermi surface during the FLEX+DMFT iterations. The FLEX+DMFT calculations are here performed on a $64 \times 64$ momentum grid and an energy mesh with 2048 points.

Appendix B: Observables

.1. **DMFT and momentum-dependent spectral functions.** The DMFT spectral function, $A(\omega) = -1/\pi \text{Im}[G_{\text{imp}}(\omega)]$, is computed by analytically continuing the DMFT impurity Green’s function on Matsubara frequency axis with the Padé approximation [49]. Similarly, the momentum-dependent spectral function, $A(k, \omega) = -1/\pi \text{Im}[G(k, \omega)]$, in FLEX is evaluated from the momentum-dependent Green’s function with an analytical continuation.

.2. **Normalized double-occupancy.** The normalized double-occupancy($\langle n_\uparrow n_\downarrow \rangle / \langle n_\uparrow \rangle \langle n_\downarrow \rangle$) is the ratio between the number of doubly occupied sites $\langle n_\uparrow n_\downarrow \rangle$ to the uncorrelated value $\langle n_\uparrow \rangle \langle n_\downarrow \rangle$.

.3. **Momentum distribution.** The momentum-dependent distribution function is given by

$$\langle n_k \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\sigma \langle c_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma} \rangle. \quad (B1)$$

For systems with well-defined Fermi surface, $\langle n_k \rangle$ acquires one (zero) inside (outside) the Fermi surface at $T = 0$. 

In this section, we define observables of interest.
4. Pomeranchuk order parameters. The Pomeranchuk order parameters $\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$ and $\xi_{d_{xy}}$, which quantify the amount of symmetry breaking [32], are given on the triangular lattice by [31]

$$\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}} = \sum_k d_{x^2-y^2}(k)n_k, \quad \text{(B2)}$$

$$\xi_{d_{xy}} = \sum_k d_{xy}(k)n_k, \quad \text{(B3)}$$

where $\sum_k = 1$. The form factors, $d_{x^2-y^2} = \cos(k_x) - \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y/2)\cos(k_x/2)$ and $d_{xy} = \sqrt{3}\sin(\sqrt{3}k_y/2)\sin(k_x/2)$, describe the component-resolved distortion of $d$-wave instabilities for the Fermi surface distortion in the point group $C_6$. When the $C_6$ symmetry is preserved, both $\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$ and $\xi_{d_{xy}}$ are equal to zero.

5. Static spin and charge susceptibilities. The static charge susceptibility reads

$$\chi_c(k) = \int_0^\beta d\tau \langle n_k(\tau)n_{-k}(0) \rangle, \quad \text{(B4)}$$

where $\tau$ denotes imaginary time and $\beta$ is the inverse temperature. Similarly, the static spin susceptibility is given by

$$\chi_s(k) = 2\int_0^\beta d\tau \langle S^z_k(\tau)S^z_{-k}(0) \rangle. \quad \text{(B5)}$$

The local spin susceptibility is then given by

$$\chi^{\text{loc}}_s = \sum_k \chi_s(k). \quad \text{(B6)}$$

The DMFT impurity spin susceptibility is calculated from the

$$\chi^{\text{imp}}_s = \frac{\chi_0(0)}{1 - U\chi_0(0)}, \quad \text{(B7)}$$

where the polarization function is $\chi_0(0) = -\sum_{\omega_n} G^{\text{imp}}(i\omega_n)G^{\text{imp}}(-i\omega_n)$, where $G^{\text{imp}}$ is the impurity Green’s function computed in the DMFT iteration and $\sum_{\omega_n} = 1$.

6. Exponent of the impurity self-energy. To quantify non-Fermi liquid behavior we can fit the imaginary parts of the DMFT self-energies at small Matsubara frequencies ($\omega_n$) to

$$|\text{Im}\Sigma_{\text{DMFT}}(i\omega_n)| \propto \omega_n^\alpha, \quad \text{(B8)}$$

For the Fermi liquids, $\text{Im}\Sigma(i\omega_n) \propto i\omega_n$, i.e., the exponent is $\alpha = 1$ on the Matsubara axis (c.f., $\text{Im}\Sigma(\omega) \propto \omega^2$ on the real frequency axis). If $\alpha < 0.5$, the bad metallic behavior is indicated as a signature of non-Fermi liquid physics.

7. Superconducting gap functions. For pairing instabilities we solve the linearized Eliashberg equation to find leading eigenvalues $\lambda$ and superconducting gap functions $\Delta$ as

$$\lambda(k) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k'} V_{\text{eff}}(k-k')G(k')G(-k')\Delta(k'), \quad \text{(B9)}$$

where $k = (k, i\omega_n)$ with the fermionic Matsubara frequency $\omega_n$, $G$ denotes the single-particle Green’s function, and the effective interaction for the spin-singlet pairing,

$$V_{\text{eff}}(k) = U + \frac{3}{2}U^2 \chi_s(k) - \frac{1}{2}U^2 \chi_c(k). \quad \text{(B10)}$$

In this paper, we focus ourselves on gap functions with even parity in the Matsubara frequency (even-frequency) which satisfy $\Delta(k, i\omega_n) = [\Delta(k, i\omega_n) + \Delta(k, -i\omega_n)]/2$. Superconductivity is identified when the largest eigenvalue $\lambda$ exceeds unity.
Appendix C: Ideal partially flat-band model

In this section, we explore how the way in which the flat portion in the band dispersion is prepared affects the physics. So here we consider the Hubbard model, Eq. 1, on a model [which we call the ideal partially flat-band (iPFB)] with a dispersion relation given by

\[ \varepsilon_k = \frac{W}{W_\kappa} \times \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{k,0}(1,0) & \varepsilon_{k,0}(1,0) < \kappa, \\ \kappa & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]  

Namely, the dispersion is truncated at \( \kappa \) to make it perfectly flat, \( W = 7.533t \), with a bandwidth \( W_\kappa = W_0 - \kappa \), and \( \varepsilon_{k,0}(1,0) \) reads

\[ \varepsilon_{k,0}(t, t') = t \left[ -2 \cos(k_x) - 4 \cos(k_x/2) \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y/2) \right] + t' \left[ -2 \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y) - 4 \cos(3k_x/2) \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y/2) \right], \]

where \( t \) and \( t' \) stand for the nearest and second-neighbor hopping amplitudes, respectively, see Fig. S1(a). \( W_0 \) denotes the bandwidth of \( \varepsilon_{k,0} \) for a set of \((t, t')\). Note that the iPFB reduces to the regular band model with \( t' = 0.0 \) when \( \kappa \to 0 \). The regular band model has a van Hove singularity, see Sec. D. Careful readers should note that the regular band model has an inverted band of the ordinary triangular lattice, and as a result, its van Hove singularity is located at smaller energies as opposed to the ordinary bands with van Hove singularities at positive energies (occupied at large fillings in the electron-doped regime). Dashed lines in Fig. S7 present the band structures for the regular band system (a) and two iPFB systems with \( \kappa = 1.0 \) (b) or 1.5 (c), respectively. The larger the \( \kappa \), the more extended the flat region at the bottom of the band.

In this section, we set \( U = 4.5t \) and, except for Figs. S9(b), S10(b), the inverse temperature is taken at \( \beta = 1/(k_B T) = 30/t \). We take \( t \) as the unit of energy as in the main text.

1. Results for iPFB

   a. Nematicity and non-Fermi liquid behavior

In search for footprints of non-Fermi liquid behavior, we start with the absolute value of Green’s functions \( |G_{k}|^2 \) (top rows) and the momentum-dependent occupation number (middle) in Fig. S2 for the regular band model, and in Figs. S3, S4 for the iPFB models with \( \kappa = 1.0 \) and 1.5, respectively. The regular band model and both iPFB models display absence of sharp peaks in \( |G_{k}|^2 \), i.e., absence of well-defined Fermi surfaces, which hints that non-Fermi
physics governs these systems. Further signatures of non-Fermi liquid characters is evident in \langle n \rangle in which we observe max[\langle n_k \rangle] < 0.95 for all presented band fillings in the regular band model and iPFB models.

In the hole-doped regime of the regular band model, we observe a broken sixfold symmetry, see panels (a,b) and (e,f) in Fig. S2. We observe similar filling-dependent behavior for the iPFB model with \( \kappa = 1 \), see panels (a,b) and (c,f) in Fig. S3. For the iPFB model with \( \kappa = 1.5 \) in Fig. S4, \( C_6 \) symmetry is reduced to \( C_2 \) even at half-filling, while the symmetry is retained for smaller band fillings, namely \( \langle n \rangle < 0.8 \). These results suggest that the region of broken \( C_6 \) symmetry is shifted to higher band fillings as we increase the size of the flat portion. While it is difficult to make a direct comparison between the PFB and iPFB model due to nonzero dispersion of the flat portion in the PFB model, our conclusion regarding the dependency of band fillings with broken \( C_6 \) symmetry on the details of the flat subregions, their dispersion, and size, is firmly established.

Let us present the normalized double occupancy against band filling in Fig. S5(a) to see how this quantity is correlated with the spontaneous breaking of \( C_6 \). Above a particular filling, the normalized double occupancy in systems with (dashed lines) and without (solid lines) enforced \( C_6 \) symmetry are identical. We will later show that this particular filling is a critical band filling, which we call \( \langle n_c \rangle \), at which the Pomeranchuk instability undergoes a first-order phase transition. Figure S5(a) shows that \( \langle n_c \rangle \) depends on the size of the flat portion as \( \langle n_c \rangle = 0.97 \) in the iPFB model with \( \kappa = 1.0 \), and \( \langle n_c \rangle = 1.08 \) for an increased \( \kappa = 1.5 \). Vertical lines in Fig. S5(a) mark \( \langle n_c \rangle \). For \( \langle n \rangle < \langle n_c \rangle \), \( C_6 \) symmetry is spontaneously broken, and thus we observe deviations from the corresponding results for which sixfold symmetry is artificially enforced, see shaded area in Fig. S5(a). This discrepancy vanishes in the iPFB model with \( \kappa = 1.5 \) for \( \langle n \rangle < 0.8 \) at which the \( C_6 \) symmetry is restored. We refer to \( \langle n_{cl} \rangle = 0.81 \) as the lower critical filling.

At critical band fillings \( \langle n_c \rangle \), our systems experience a Lifshitz transition, i.e., the closed structure of ridges in \( |G_k|^2 \) above \( \langle n_c \rangle \) is topologically changed to an open structure, c.f., panels (b,c) in Fig. S2, panels (b,c) in Fig. S3 and panels (c,d) in Fig. S4. The second Lifshitz transition occurs at the second \( \langle n_{cl} \rangle \), below which the open ridges in \( |G_k|^2 \) changes into a closed structure, see Fig. S4(a).

To further quantify the strength of the nematicity, we present in Fig. S5(b) the Pomeranchuk order parameters \( (\xi) \) against band filling for \( \kappa \) increased from 0 to 1.5 at \( \beta = 30 \). For the regular band with \( \kappa = 0 \), \( \xi_{d_{xy}} \) (light green squares) and \( \xi_{d_{2x-y^2}} \) (dark green circles) experience a first-order phase transition at \( \langle n_c \rangle \). Below this critical filling, both of the orders grow, with \( \xi_{d_{xy}} \) larger of the two. In the iPFB systems with \( \kappa = 1.0 \), we observe a sharp rise in \( \xi_{d_{2x-y^2}} \) at another \( \langle n_c \rangle \) accompanied by a sharp rise in \( \xi_{d_{xy}} \) at \( \langle n_{c_2} \rangle = 0.964 \) (vertical dotted orange line). For band fillings below \( \langle n_{c_2} \rangle \), \( \xi_{d_{xy}} \) dominates. When \( \kappa \) is increased to 1.5 for the iPFB model, the critical band filling \( \langle n_c \rangle \) for \( \xi_{d_{2x-y^2}} \)
Figure S3. For the iPFB model, here with $\kappa = 1.0$ and $U = 4.5$, Green’s functions (top panels), momentum distribution functions (middle) and spin susceptibilities (bottom) are presented in momentum space for band fillings $\langle n \rangle = 0.8$ (a, e, i), $0.9$ (b, f, j), $1.0$ (c, g, k) and $1.1$ (d, h, l). The black hexagon in each panel represents the Brillouin zone. Note different color codes for different band fillings.

Figure S4. The same as the previous figure, for an increased $\kappa = 1.5$.

Further increases, while $\xi_{d_{xy}}$ has a jump at $\langle n_c \rangle = 1.027$ (vertical dotted sky-blue line) accompanied by a drop in $\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$. For $\langle n \rangle < \langle n_c \rangle$, the dominant Pomeranchuk instability has the $d_{xy}$ character. This continues until the lower critical filling $\langle n_c \rangle = 0.81$ below which the iPFB system retrieves the $C_6$ symmetry and all instabilities are gone.

To further explore the properties of $\xi_s$, we present their temperature dependence of the $\xi_s$ at respective $\langle n_c \rangle$ in Fig. S5(d). In the regular band with $\kappa = 0$, the rapid growths of both $\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$ and $\xi_{d_{xy}}$ simultaneously occur at $T \approx 0.045 \pm 0.005$. It is evident that these nematic orders at $\langle n_c \rangle$ survive at higher temperatures and the $\xi_{d_{xy}}$ remains the dominant instability. In the iPFB model with $\kappa = 1.0$, we see a first-order phase transition in $\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$ at $T \approx 0.038$ followed by a sharp increase in $\xi_{d_{xy}}$ at $T \approx 0.055$. At $T = 0.066$, the two Pomeranchuk instabilities
Figure S5. Normalized double occupancies (a), Pomeranchuk order parameters (b), and the exponent of the impurity self-energy (c) at $\beta = 30$. (d) depicts Pomeranchuk order parameters at $\langle n \rangle = \langle n_c \rangle$ against temperature. Here we survey the regular band with $\kappa = 0.0$ (greenish lines) at $\langle n_c \rangle = 0.91$, for the iPFB model with $\kappa = 1.0$ (red and orange) at $\langle n_c \rangle = 0.97$ and $\kappa = 1.5$ (bluish) at $\langle n_c \rangle = 1.08$. Dashed lines in (a,c) describe the results with the sixfold symmetry imposed. In panels (b,d) $\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$ and $\xi_{d_{xy}}$ are presented with solid and dashed lines, respectively. Vertical solid (dashed) lines mark $\langle n_c \rangle \ (\langle n_{c_2} \rangle)$, respectively.

become comparable, similar trend as $\xi_s$ at $\langle n_{c_2} \rangle$ at $\beta = 30$ presented in Fig. S5(b), and above this temperature $\xi_{d_{xy}}$ takes over. In the iPFB model at $\kappa = 1.5$, we witness a first-order phase transition of $\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$ at $T \approx 0.038$, blue line in Fig. S5(d). This order parameter remains the only instability at higher temperatures as at $\langle n_c \rangle$, with $\xi_{d_{xy}}$ almost vanishing as the temperature is increased.

To explore the physical drive for the nematicity, we turn to the spin susceptibility in Fig. S2(bottom rows), for the regular band with $\kappa = 0.0$, and Figs. S3, S4(bottom rows) for the iPFB models with $\kappa = 1.0$ and 1.5, respectively. In the electron-doped regime where the Pomeranchuk distortion is absent, the spin susceptibility exhibits spikes with antiferromagnetic spin structure at $k = (\sqrt{3} \pi/2, 0)$ with its equivalent sixfold k-points, see panel (l) in Figs. S2, S3, S4. Below $\langle n_c \rangle$ in the regular band and the iPFB with $\kappa = 1.0$ and 1.5, the spin susceptibility consists of only two streaks which are because of the governing $C_2$ symmetry. The position of these peaks, except for the iPFB with $\kappa = 1.0$ at $\langle n \rangle = 0.9$, is at $k = (\sqrt{3} \pi/3, 2 \pi/3)$, and its twofold equivalent places. At $\langle n \rangle = 0.9$ in the iPFB with $\kappa = 1.0$, the streaks in the spin susceptibility are rotated by $\pi/6$ and located at $k = (-\sqrt{3} \pi/3, 2 \pi/3)$, and its twofold equivalent places. Consistent with other observations, the sixfold symmetry in the spin susceptibility in iPFB with $\kappa = 1.5$ is retained below $\langle n \rangle = \langle n_{c_2} \rangle$. While the charge susceptibility in the regular band with $\kappa = 0$ and iPFB with $\kappa = 1.0$ and 1.5 exhibit similar filling dependence, its magnitude is at least an order of magnitude smaller than the spin susceptibility. In agreement with the conclusion of the main text, we can thus infer that the nematicity in the regular band and iPFB is triggered by spin fluctuations.

We can further look at the impurity and local spin susceptibilities in Fig. S6(a,b), respectively. Right at $\langle n \rangle = \langle n_c \rangle$, the $\chi_s^{\text{imp}}$ and $\chi_s^{\text{loc}}$ in systems without the enforced $C_6$ symmetry exhibit drastic deviations from those in systems the sixfold constraint is imposed. At $\langle n_{c_2} \rangle$ in iPFB with $\kappa = 1.0$ and 1.5 where the dominant Pomeranchuk instability changes from $\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$ to $\xi_{d_{xy}}$, the impurity and local spin susceptibilities exhibit small kinks. For band filling below $\langle n_{c_1} \rangle$ at which the iPFB at $\kappa = 1.5$ recovers its sixfold symmetry, $\chi_s^{\text{imp}}$ and $\chi_s^{\text{loc}}$ with and without enforced $C_6$
Figure S6. Impurity spin susceptibility (a) and local spin susceptibility (b) for the regular band model with \( \kappa = 0 \) (green lines), and for the iPFB models with \( \kappa = 1.0 \) (red lines) or 1.5 (blue lines). Dashed lines represent the results with the imposed sixfold symmetry. Vertical solid (dashed) lines mark \( \langle n_c \rangle \) (\( \langle n_c \rangle \)).

symmetry give identical results.

In addition, at fillings below \( \langle n_c \rangle \) (solid vertical lines in Fig. S6), the difference between \( \chi_s^{\text{loc}} \) in the presence (dashed lines) and absence (solid lines) of \( C_6 \) becomes more pronounced in iPFB. This observation is not unexpected as many-body effects, reflected in \( \chi_s(k) \), are stronger in partially flat band systems, and thus the correlation-driven electronic instabilities should be more substantial. This conclusion has also been drawn for partially flat-band systems studied by the determinant Monte-Carlo [8] and FLEX+DMFT [9] calculations on the square lattice.

To further track the fingerprints of the nematic orders, we present in Fig. S7 the momentum-dependent spectral functions \( (A(k, \omega)) \) in the regular band with \( \kappa = 0 \) (a) and the iPFB models with \( \kappa = 1.0 \) (b) or \( \kappa = 1.5 \) (c) at \( \langle n \rangle = 0.9 \). In the regular band, the energy dispersion of the many-body system is slightly changed, mainly at the bottom of the band, as compared to the noninteracting band structure (dashed line). In the iPFB models with \( \kappa = 1.0 \) and 1.5, even in the presence of the interaction, the flat region at the bottom of the bands with quasiparticle peaks, remains intact, aside from a downward energy shift, in most parts, except along the high symmetry lines where \( C_6 \) is broken, see the second columns in Figs. S3, S4. More specifically, in the iPFB model with \( \kappa = 1.0 \), the nematicity vector is along \( K' \rightarrow K \), which changes to \( K \rightarrow K'' \) for \( \kappa = 1.5 \), see labels in Fig. S1(b). For the momenta in these regions, the renormalized spectral functions split and exhibit structures with the gap size up to \( \approx U/2 \).

To understand how the spectral function is affected by the flat regions and nematicity, we plot DMFT spectral function \( A(\omega) \) for the regular band (top row), the iPFB models with \( \kappa = 1.0 \) (middle) and 1.5 (bottom) in Fig. S8. Results at \( \langle n \rangle = 0.8 \) (first column), 0.9 (second), 1.0 (third), 1.1 (fourth), computed with (without) the imposed \( C_6 \), are presented in dashed red (solid blue) lines. The width of the main peaks in \( A(\omega) \) is larger in systems with wider flat portions when the sixfold symmetry is imposed, c.f., panels (a,c,e,i). In the electron-doped regime (d,h,i), the retained \( C_6 \) in the regular band and iPFB with \( \kappa = 1.0 \) and 1.5 is reflected in \( A(\omega) \) as well. Below \( \langle n_c \rangle \) at which Pomeranchuk distortion emerges, the DMFT spectral function acquires a multi-peak structure with a mismatch between the position of its largest peak and the main peak in the \( C_6 \) imposed systems, c.f. solid blue and dashed red lines in panels (a,b,e,f,j,k). The iPFB model with \( \kappa = 1.5 \) at \( \langle n \rangle = 0.8 \), located slightly below \( \langle n_c \rangle \), the sixfold symmetry is restored as noted by comparing \( A(\omega) \)'s in systems with and without the enforced \( C_6 \) symmetry.

To corroborate the non-Fermi liquid character of electrons in our models, we plot the exponent of the impurity self-energies in systems with (dashed lines) and without (solid) the sixfold symmetry in Fig. S5(c) above, respectively. For the whole region studied for the band filling, \( \alpha \) exhibits values less than 0.5, indicating the non-Fermi liquid in the regular band and iPFB models with \( \kappa = 1.0 \) and 1.5. In the electron-doped regime for \( \langle n \rangle > \langle n_c \rangle \), where the regular band and iPFB models have the unbroken \( C_6 \), \( \alpha \) grows as we approach \( \langle n_c \rangle \) by decreasing the band filling. Just below \( \langle n_c \rangle \), \( \alpha \) exhibits a sharp drop, followed by a gradual enhancement accompanying the Pomeranchuk instabilities. Close to \( \langle n \rangle = \langle n_c \rangle \), \( \alpha \) in iPFB with \( \kappa = 1.0, 1.5 \) in the presence of nematicity (solid lines) undergoes another drastic change as a further reduction in \( \alpha \). Note that the regular band at \( \langle n_c \rangle \) exhibits similar trend as iPFB models at \( \langle n_c \rangle \). The difference between \( \alpha \) in the iPFB systems with \( \kappa = 1.5 \) between the presence and absence of imposed \( C_6 \) terminates when the sixfold symmetry is recovered at \( \langle n_c \rangle \).
We now turn to superconductivity in the regular band and the iPFB. We present the largest eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the Eliashberg equation for the singlet pairing for the regular band in Fig. S9(a), and for iPFB in Fig. S10(a). Let us first look at the result when the $C_6$ symmetry is imposed (dashed lines), $\lambda$ remains below 0.85, i.e., no superconducting instabilities, for the regular band (light green) and iPFB with $\kappa = 1.0$ (orange) or $\kappa = 1.5$ (sky-blue). Similar to our finding in the main text for the PFB model, we can characterize the double-dome structure in $\lambda$ as a signature of a change in the number of nodal lines from 2 in the right dome to $\geq 4$ for the left dome. The band filling at which these two domes are connected is indicated by arrows with the same color as the corresponding dashed lines in Fig. S9(a) and Fig. S10(a).

When the nematicity is allowed, on the other hand, $\lambda$ is overall greater than those when $C_6$ is imposed, and specifically rapidly exceeds unity just below the respective $\langle n_c \rangle$. $\lambda$ falls below unity for $\langle n \rangle > \langle n_c \rangle$ and a gradual reduction up to $\langle n_{c_2} \rangle$, a rapid rise at $\langle n \rangle = \langle n_{c_2} \rangle$ and a decrease for $\langle n \rangle < \langle n_{c_2} \rangle$. Corresponding superconducting
Figure S9. For the regular band with $\kappa = 0$ the linearized Eliashberg eigenvalue $\lambda$ (a) and superconducting transition temperature $T_c$ (b) are plotted as dark green lines. In panel (a) dashed line in light green shows $\lambda$ when the sixfold symmetry is imposed, the black horizontal line marks $\lambda = 1$, and an arrow points to a dip when the $C_6$ constraint is enforced. Vertical solid lines in both panels indicate $\langle n_c \rangle$.

Figure S10. For the iPFB with $\kappa = 1.0$ (red lines) or $\kappa = 1.5$ (dark blue) the linearized Eliashberg eigenvalue $\lambda$ (a) and superconducting transition temperature $T_c$ (b) are plotted. In panel (a) dashed lines show $\lambda$ when the sixfold symmetry is imposed, the black horizontal line marks $\lambda = 1$, and arrows point to respective dips when the $C_6$ constraint is enforced. Vertical solid lines indicate respective $\langle n_c \rangle$, while dotted vertical lines mark respective $\langle n \rangle = \langle n_{c2} \rangle$.

Transition temperatures is displayed for the regular band [Fig. S9(b)] and iPFB [Fig. S10(b)]. The double-dome structure is inherited in $T_c$, which endorses the interplay between various symmetries of Pomeranchuk distortions and the superconducting instabilities.

To identify the pairing symmetry, we present the singlet gap functions in momentum and real spaces for the regular band in Fig. S11, for iPFB model with $\kappa = 1.0$ in Fig. S12, and for $\kappa = 1.5$ in Fig. S13. Above $\langle n_c \rangle$, the gap functions have $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry [see panels (d) in these figures], where the pairing in real-space extends to one lattice spacing [panels (h)]. As in Fig. 4 in the main text for PFB, the gap function changes from the $d_{x^2-y^2}$-wave to a $\gamma s_{x^2+y^2} - d_{x^2-y^2} - d_{xy}$-wave for $\langle n_{c1} \rangle < \langle n \rangle < \langle n_c \rangle$ when Pomeranchuk distortions emerge, see panels (a,b) in Fig. S11 for the regular band, panel (a) in Fig. S12 and panels (a,b) in Fig. S13 for iPFB. The value of $\gamma$ increases from zero to almost 0.3 when the filling is reduced from $\langle n_c \rangle$ up to $\langle n_{c2} \rangle$. $\gamma$ acquires values larger than 0.3 below $\langle n_{c2} \rangle$. In iPFB with $\kappa = 1.0$ at $\langle n \rangle = 0.9$ the superconducting gap function exhibits a $\pi/6$ rotated $s_{x^2+y^2} - d_{x^2-y^2} - d_{xy}$-wave pairing, i.e., $s_{x^2+y^2} - d_{x^2-y^2} + d_{xy}$-wave pairing, which reflects the momentum-dependency of its spin-susceptibility. Below $\langle n_{c2} \rangle$, the pair becomes spatially extended (panel (e) in Fig. S13), which accompanies the appearance of multiple nodal lines in the k-space (panel (a)). While this is expected for the systems with partially flat bands [9] because of a bunch of pair-scattering channels around the flat regions, suppression of these types of pairings in the presence of Pomeranchuk instabilities is interesting. This can be understood by recalling that a nematicity, which brings...
Figure S11. Singlet gap functions in momentum-space (top row) and in real-space (bottom) for the regular band with $t' = 0$ and $\kappa = 0$. Black hexagons in top panels indicate the Brillouin zone. Dashed lines in panels (g,h) represent nodes.

Figure S12. Singlet gap functions in momentum-space (top row) and in real-space (bottom) for iPFB with $\kappa = 1.0$. Black hexagons in top panels indicate the Brillouin zone. Dashed lines in panels (g,h) represent nodes.

About some superstructures, degrades the flatness of the noninteracting band dispersion. Concomitantly, the spin susceptibility acquires spikes structures.

Aside from the discussed singlet superconductivity, we have also examined the triplet gap functions and associated $\lambda$. Our results show that the $\lambda$ never exceeds 0.4 in the region studied. This is why we have not presented these data in the present work.
Figure S13. The same as above figure for an increased $\kappa = 1.5$.

Appendix D: Density of states for triangular lattices

Figure S14. Noninteracting density of states in the regular band model with $t' = 0$ [solid green line in panel (a)], the PFB model with $t' = 0.15$ [dashed blue line in (a)], the iPFB model with $\kappa = 1.0$ [solid green line in (b)], or with $\kappa = 1.5$ [dashed blue line in (b)]. Note different ranges in the plot between (a) and (b).

To demonstrate presence of van-Hove singularities in our triangular lattices, we present in Fig. S14 the noninteracting density of states (DOS) for the regular band with $t' = 0$ [solid green line in panel (a)], PFB with $t' = 0.15$ (dashed blue line in panel (a)), and iPFB with $\kappa = 1.0$ (solid green line in panel (b)), or $\kappa = 1.5$ (dashed blue line in panel (a)). The DOS for the system with a partially flat portion, the blue line in panel (a) and lines in panel (b), exhibit a singular value as small energies. A large DOS is also observed at $\omega \approx -1.68$, slightly above the bottom of the band, for the regular band system. Note that while the bandwidths of these four systems are identical, the bottom of their band structure is not located at the same energy.
Appendix E: Further details on the PFB model

1. Double occupancy

To observe differences between configurations of electrons in the PFB and the regular band systems, we now present the normalized double-occupancy, introduced in Sec. B. Figure S15 displays the double-occupancy for systems with (dashed lines) or without (solid) the enforced sixfold symmetry in the regular band with $t' = 0$ (green lines) and the PFB model with 0.15 (blue). The result shows two related points: (i) The normalized double-occupancy in PFB is smaller than the corresponding value in the regular band, as consistent with $\langle n \rangle$, see Figs. S2(middle row) and 1(middle row). (ii) The difference (shaded area in Fig. S15) between the result with (dashed lines) and without (solid) the sixfold symmetry reveals that the systems with broken $C_6$ possess smaller double occupancies.

Figure S15. Normalized double occupancy for the regular band with $t' = 0$ (green lines) and the PFB systems with $t' = 0$.15 (blue), for $U = 4.5$ at $\beta = 30$. Dashed lines represent the double occupancies when the sixfold symmetry is imposed. Vertical solid lines indicate respective $\langle n \rangle$ (see main text and Appendix C).

2. Pomeranchuk order parameters at $\langle n \rangle$

To further explore the temperature-dependence of the Pomeranchuk instabilities, we plot $\xi_{d_{z^2-r^2}}$ and $\xi_{d_{xy}}$ at $\langle n \rangle$ against temperature in Fig. S16(a). While for $T < 0.0425$ both of the nematic orders are negligible, $\xi_{d_{z^2-r^2}}$ undergoes a first-order transition at $T = 0.0425$, which indicates the broken $C_6$ symmetry at higher temperatures.

Figure S16. (a) For the PFB model Pomeranchuk order parameters, $\xi_{d_{z^2-r^2}}$ (solid purple line) and $\xi_{d_{xy}}$ (pink), at a band filling $\langle n \rangle = 1.02$. Impurity (b) and local (c) spin susceptibilities against band filling are also plotted. All panels are for $U = 4.5$ and $\beta = 30$. Vertical solid lines in panels (b,c) indicate $\langle n \rangle$, while vertical dotted pale-blue lines $\langle n \rangle = \langle n^2 \rangle$ (see text).
3. Impurity spin-susceptibility

Impurity spin-susceptibility for PFB model with $t' = 0.15$ in Fig. S16(b) shows that the increasing spin fluctuations for $\langle n \rangle > \langle n_c \rangle$ sharply drops when sixfold rotational symmetry is broken for $\langle n \rangle < \langle n_c \rangle$. Local spin-susceptibility in Fig. S16(c), on the other hand, displays a maximum at $\langle n \rangle = \langle n_c \rangle$ in PFB. We can note that we hardly detect any features at $\langle n_c^2 \rangle$ in the impurity spin-susceptibility which might be related to a crossover from $\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$ to $\xi_{d_{xy}}$, see Fig. 2(a). When the structure of $\xi$ undergoes an abrupt change from $\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$ to $\xi_{d_{xy}}$, due to the first-order transition in $\xi_{d_{xy}}$, the impurity spin-susceptibility exhibits a kink at $\langle n_c^2 \rangle$, see e.g. Fig. S5(b) and related discussion in Sec. C.

4. Spectral functions

To further track the footprints of the correlation-driven nematicity in other observables, we now plot the momentum-resolved spectral functions in Fig. S17 for the PFB systems at $\langle n \rangle = 0.9$. As we discussed previously, at this band filling, the PFB exhibits nematic characters. Vertical solid lines in Fig. S17 are located at the high symmetry points introduced in Fig. S1(b). The interacting PFB exhibits large quasiparticle peaks along $M \rightarrow K' \rightarrow K$ and $K'' \rightarrow M$. Along $K \rightarrow K''$ where we have observed the broken $C_6$ symmetry in $|G_k|^2$ and $\langle n_k \rangle$, see Fig. 1, the spectral functions display superstructures which significantly deviates from the noninteracting structure (dashed lines). These correlation-induced features possess maximum gap sizes of $U/2$.

Fig. S18 presents the DMFT spectral function, which provides the interacting density of states, for the PFB systems. When the sixfold rotational symmetry is imposed (dashed red lines), systems display single-peak structures for $\langle n \rangle \geq 1.0$. In the electron-doped regime, these DMFT spectral functions are the same as those for systems without enforced $C_6$ symmetry (solid blue lines). This is because, above half-filling, both systems enjoy the sixfold symmetry.

At $\langle n \rangle = 1.0$, $A(\omega)$ in Fig. S18(c), where we have previously identified a nematic order, the DMFT spectral functions...
Figure S19. Difference, $\Delta V_{\text{eff}}$, in the effective pairing interaction between the absence and presence of the $C_6$ symmetry for the PFB system with $t' = 0.15$ for $U = 4.5$ and $\beta = 30$. Black hexagons represent the Brillouin zone. Note that the scale of the color bar differs by orders of magnitude between the panels.

Figure S20. Gap functions in real-space with singlet pairing for the PFB system with $t' = 0.15$ for $U = 4.5$ and $\beta = 30$. Dashed lines in panel (c) represent nodes. Color code for the gap function is bluish (reddish) for negative (positive) values, for which we have omitted the color bars since the linearized Eliashberg equation does not indicate magnitudes of $\Delta$. This figure is to be compared with Fig. 4 in the momentum space in the main text.

in the presence and absence of the sixfold symmetry no longer match. In the presence of nematicity, solid blue line, the DMFT spectral function develops a multi-peak structure whose main peak is shifted to smaller energies, c.f., the main peak when $C_6$ symmetry is imposed.

Below half-filling, in the absence of nematicity (dashed red lines), main peaks in DMFT spectral functions are accompanied by shoulder-like features, Fig. S18(a-b). When the sixfold symmetry is broken, multi peaks with separation of $\omega \ll U$ form. The width and the energy of the largest peak in $A(\omega)$ are smaller when the $C_6$ constraint is not imposed. This can be due to the singular behavior of spin susceptibilities, presented in bottom rows in Fig. 1, as a source of the spin-mediated many-body effects in our systems, c.f. $\chi_s$ possesses smaller streaks when the $C_6$ symmetry is imposed, see Fig. S23.

5. Superconductivity

Fig. S19 presents $\Delta V_{\text{eff}} = V_{\text{eff}} - V_{\text{eff}}^{C_6}$, where $V_{\text{eff}}^{C_6}$ is the effective interaction with the imposed $C_6$ constraint. We can see that $\Delta V_{\text{eff}}$ displays not only the broken $C_6$ symmetry but also amplitudes significantly intensified than in the $C_6$ case. See also the discussion on $\Delta V_{\text{eff}}$ in the main text.

Gap functions in the real-space with singlet, even-frequency pairing for the PFB model with $t' = 0.15$ is plotted in Fig. S20. In the main text, we presented the $\gamma s$ – $d_{xy}$-wave symmetry of the gap function below $\langle n_c \rangle$ in the momentum space; see Fig. 4(a-b). Evidently, the electron pairing is short-range in this filling region. This observation is in contrast with the formation of extended Cooper pairs in systems where the $C_6$ constraint is imposed; see Sec. G.

Appendix F: Dependence of the critical band filling on the Hubbard interaction

In the main text, we have focused on the result for a fixed Hubbard $U = 4.5$, but it is interesting to explore how the size of $U$ will affect the physics. Here we explore how the double occupancy and Pomeranchuk order parameters, with associated $\langle n_c \rangle$, behave when $U$ is varied. Figure S21 displays these for three different values of $U$ in the PFB systems with $t' = 0.15$. For a smaller $U = 2.5$, the sixfold symmetry remains intact, as seen from the renormalized double
Figure S21. For the PFB systems with $t' = 0.15$, we vary $U$ from $U = 2.5$ (green lines), 3.5 (red) to 4.5 (blue) in plotting normalized double occupancies (a) and Pomeranchuk order parameters (b), all at $\beta = 30$. Dashed lines in panel (a) represent the double occupancies when the sixfold symmetry is imposed. In panel (b) $\xi_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$ and $\xi_{d_{xy}}$ are represented with solid and dashed lines, respectively. Vertical lines indicate respective $\langle n_c \rangle$.

occupancy, green lines in panel (a), and from the absence of Pomeranchuk instabilities, green lines in panel (b). As we increase $U$ to 3.5 and 4.5, $C_6$ starts to be broken, as shown by red and blue lines in Fig. S21, respectively. The critical band filling $\langle n_c \rangle$ is seen to increase with $U$.

**Appendix G: Momentum-dependent observables in the presence of $C_6$ symmetry**

![Diagram](image)

Figure S22. For the regular band model with $t' = 0$, Green’s functions (top panels), momentum distribution functions (middle) and spin susceptibilities (bottom) are presented in momentum space for band fillings $\langle n \rangle = 0.8$ (a, e, i), 0.9 (b, f, j), 1.0 (c, g, k) and 1.1 (d, h, l). All results are calculated at $\beta = 30$ with the $C_6$ symmetry imposed. The black hexagon in each panel indicates the Brillouin zone.

To examine the difference in momentum-dependent observables between the presence and absence of the sixfold symmetry, we plot the same observables as in Figs. S2, 1, S11, 4 and S20 but with imposed $C_6$ in Figs. S22, S23, S24 and S25, respectively. The absence of sharp ridges in Green’s functions (top panels) indicates that ill-defined Fermi surfaces are noticeable for the regular band and PFB systems. The $\langle n_k \rangle$ with maxima smaller than unity, mainly in the hole-doped regime, also signals a non-Fermi liquid behavior in both systems (middle panels). The presented $\alpha_{\text{imp}} < 0.5$ and $\alpha_{\text{loc}} < 1.0$, dashed lines in Figs. S16(b,c), further corroborate the non-Fermi liquid character of electrons in both systems, which is stronger in PFB. The regular band exhibits incommensurate antiferromagnetic spin susceptibilities.
For the PFB model with $t' = 0.15$, Green’s functions (top panels), momentum distribution functions (middle) and spin susceptibilities (bottom) are presented in momentum space for band fillings $\langle n \rangle = 0.8$ (a, e, i), 0.9 (b, f, j), 1.0 (c, g, k) and 1.1 (d, h, l). All results are calculated at $\beta = 30$ with the $C_6$ symmetry imposed. The black hexagon in each panel indicates the Brillouin zone.

For the regular band systems with $t' = 0$, gap functions with singlet pairing are plotted in momentum-space (top row), and in real-space (bottom). The $C_6$ symmetry is imposed in all systems. Black hexagons in panels (a-d) indicate the Brillouin zone. Dashed lines in panels (f-h) represent nodes.

above half-filling, see panels (k-l) in Figs. S23. These systems show more complex structures centered around $K$ points in the hole-doped regime. PFB displays a crossover from the incommensurate antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations in the electron-doped regime to incommensurate ferromagnetic spin textures in the hole-doped regime (see lower panels in Fig. S23). The ferromagnetic spin fluctuations in the hole-doped regime of the flat-band model should be because of the energetically favorable spin alignment of electrons on the flat regions. This behavior has been reported in various other models with (partially) flat bands [9, 50, 51]. Observing the extended pairings, see panel (e) in Fig. S24.
Figure S25. For the PFB systems with $t' = 0.15$, gap functions with singlet pairing are plotted in momentum-space (top row), and in real-space (bottom). The $C_6$ symmetry is imposed in all systems. Black hexagons in panels (a-d) indicate the Brillouin zone. Dashed lines in panels (g,h) represent nodes.

and panels (e-f) in Fig. S25, with multiple nodal lines for band fillings close to the Van Hove singularity or the flat portion of the band is also consistent with previous studies on PFB systems [9].

Appendix H: Possible singlet pairing symmetries on the triangular lattice

In this section, we present various symmetries in the gap functions that can be realized on the triangular lattice. In the presence of $C_6$ symmetry and for the pairs not extending beyond nearest neighbors in real space, the spin-singlet gap functions are either $s_{x^2+y^2}$-wave or $d$-wave, with respective forms in k-space,

$$
\Delta_{s_{x^2+y^2}}(k) = \cos(k_x) + 2\cos(\sqrt{3}k_y/2)\cos(k_y/2), \quad (H1)
$$

$$
\Delta_{d_{x^2-y^2}}(k) = \cos(k_x) - \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y/2)\cos(k_y/2), \quad (H2)
$$

$$
\Delta_{d_{xy}}(k) = \sqrt{3}\sin(\sqrt{3}k_y/2)\sin(k_y/2). \quad (H3)
$$

In the presence of $C_6$ symmetry, the above two $d$-waves, depicted in Fig. S26(a-b), are associated with two degenerate irreducible representations in the $C_6$ point group, so that the topological superconductivity with gap function $\Delta_{d_{x^2-y^2}}(k) + i\Delta_{d_{xy}}$ is also possible. When $C_6$ symmetry is reduced down to $C_2$, on the other hand, $\Delta_{s_{x^2+y^2}}$ and $\Delta_{d_{x^2-y^2}}$ are no longer irreducible representations, and instead their linear combination $s_{x^2+y^2} - d_{x^2-y^2}$, $\Delta_{s-d}$, with a form factor,

$$
\Delta_{s-d}(k) = 3\cos(\sqrt{3}k_y/2)\cos(k_y/2), \quad (H4)
$$

finds room to emerge, see Fig. S26(c). In the $C_2$ point group, $s_{x^2+y^2} - d_{x^2-y^2}$ reads $s_{y^2}$, and in this point group $s_{y^2}$ and $d_{xy}$ are not degenerate, so that this group does not permit topological superconductivity. Still, linear combinations of $\Delta_{d_{xy}}$ and $\Delta_{s-d}$ may be realized, as we have indeed shown in Figs. 4, S11, S12, S13. Fig. S26(c-d) shows $\alpha(s_{x^2+y^2} - d_{x^2-y^2}) + \beta d_{xy}$ with $(\alpha, \beta) = (0.2, -1)$ in (c), $(0.5, -1)$ in (d) and $(0.5, +1)$ in (d). Panel (c) in Fig. S26 exhibits a momentum-dependence similar to Fig. 4(b) on the PFB model at half filling. So this is why we can say the pairing in the PFB model is $s_{x^2+y^2} - d_{x^2-y^2} - d_{xy}$. The structure exemplified by panel (e) is evident in most gap functions below $\langle n_{y^2} \rangle$ in the regular band, PFB and iPFB models. The gap function in panel (f) is also displayed at $\langle n \rangle = 0.9$ in the iPFB model with $\kappa = 1.0$ in Fig. S12(b).
Figure S26. Gap functions $d_{x^2-y^2}$ (a), $d_{xy}$ (b), $s_{x^2+y^2}-d_{x^2-y^2}$ (c), $0.2(s_{x^2+y^2}-d_{x^2-y^2})-d_{xy}$ (d), $0.5(s_{x^2+y^2}-d_{x^2-y^2})-d_{xy}$ (e) and $0.5(s_{x^2+y^2}-d_{x^2-y^2})+d_{xy}$ (f) are plotted in momentum-space, with positive (negative) values represented by blue (red). $s-d$ in panels (c-f) is the shorthand for $s_{x^2+y^2}-d_{x^2-y^2}$.